

RESEARCH ARTICLE

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2021, **8**, 1695

Efficient and steady production of 1 : 2 syngas (CO/H₂) by simultaneous electrochemical reduction of CO₂ and H₂O†

Wei Yang,^{‡a} Ji-Hong Zhang,^{‡a} Rui Si,^{Ⓜb} Li-Ming Cao,^a Di-Chang Zhong^{Ⓜ*a} and Tong-Bu Lu^{Ⓜa}

Efficient generation of synthetic gas (syngas) through the electrochemical reduction of CO₂ and H₂O is a promising route to realize the conversion and storage of electricity. The production of syngas with a controlled CO/H₂ ratio is significant for the subsequent preparation of value-added chemicals. Herein, a guest–host pyrolysis strategy is employed to synthesize Co–C/N_x-based single-site catalytic materials. The as-prepared materials possess a high graphitic degree of carbon, which supports the rapid electron transport and promotes efficient electrochemical reduction reactions. As a result, these materials can serve as excellent electrocatalysts for the production of syngas by the electrochemical reduction of CO₂ and H₂O, which exhibits high production rates and steady CO/H₂ ratio (v : v = 1 : 2) at wide-range electrochemical windows. The faradaic efficiency reaches nearly 100%, and the formation rate reaches as high as 1.08 mol g^{−1} h^{−1} at 1.0 V vs. RHE.

Received 17th November 2020,

Accepted 5th January 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d0qi01351j

rsc.li/frontiers-inorganic

1. Introduction

Synthetic gas (syngas), a mixture of carbon monoxide (CO) and hydrogen (H₂), is one of the most important types of raw materials for producing synthetic fuels and value-added industrial chemicals.^{1–6} Owing to the increase in the energy consumption and environmental concerns induced by the excessive usage of fossil fuels, syngas may become an important alternative carbon resource replacing fossil fuels. Conventionally, syngas is generated by reforming non-renewable fossil fuels under a high temperature.^{7,8} The pathway requires substantial separation and refinement operation steps, which increase the consumption of fossil fuel and aggravate the energy crisis. The electrochemical reduction of CO₂ and H₂O is a promising route to produce syngas because of its high energy-conversion efficiency and production rates.^{9–14} The key to this technology was to develop suitable electrocatalysts that could finely balance the CO₂ reduction reaction (CO₂RR) and the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) to pre-

cisely control the CO/H₂ ratios. Several electrocatalysts with high catalytic activities for syngas production have been reported. For example, Kang *et al.* built a three-component catalytic system by combining Co₃O₄, CDots and C₃N₄, with which the CO/H₂ ratio could be tuned from 1 : 4 to 1 : 0.07 by controlling the applied potential.¹⁵ Zeng *et al.* developed a series of CdS_xSe_{1–x} catalysts for the production of syngas. They observed that with an increase in Se content in CdS_xSe_{1–x}, the FE for H₂ production increased. At −1.2 V vs. RHE, the ratio of CO/H₂ in the products varied from 4 : 1 to 1 : 4 in CdS_xSe_{1–x} nanorods (*x* is from 1 to 0).¹⁶ We found that a Ni(II) tripodal complex could be utilized as an electrocatalyst for the production of syngas in a water-containing medium. The composition of the evolved syngas could be well tuned by varying the applied potential.¹⁷

In mature syngas conversion technologies, the syngas used for the synthesis of downstream products was usually in certain ratios of CO/H₂. For instance, a ratio of CO/H₂ of 1 : 0.6 was often used to produce hydrocarbons by Fischer–Tropsch process,^{18–22} and a ratio of CO/H₂ of 1 : 2 was often used for methanol production.²³ Therefore, an efficient production of syngas with a desired CO/H₂ ratio was significant and much in demand. In this case, the syngas could be directly used, and no purification cost was involved. Among the downstream products of syngas, methanol is an important substance in the current chemical industry. Thus, a controlled synthesis of syngas with a 1 : 2 ratio of CO/H₂ is much desired. Although several electrocatalysts can produce syngas with a 1 : 2 ratio of

^aInstitute for New Energy Materials and Low Carbon Technologies, School of Materials Science and Engineering, Tianjin University of Technology, Tianjin 300384, China. E-mail: dczhong@email.tjut.edu.cn

^bShanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility, Shanghai Institute of Applied Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201204, China

†Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental section and supplementary figures. See DOI: 10.1039/D0QI01351J

‡These authors contributed equally to this work.




Fig. 1 (a) Cage structure of $\text{Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8}$. (b) PXRD patterns of $x\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8}$. (c) FT-IR spectra of Co_2L , ZIF-8, physically mixed $\text{Co}_2\text{L} + \text{ZIF-8}$, and host-guest $\text{Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8}$. (d) N_2 adsorption isotherms of ZIF-8 and $10\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8}$ at 77 K.

CO/H_2 ,^{23,24} those with low onset overpotential, high current density, and wide electrochemical window are still highly desired.

Herein, we proposed a guest-host pyrolysis strategy^{25–30} to prepare Co single-site catalysts for an efficient electrocatalytic reduction of CO_2 and H_2O to steadily produce syngas with a 1 : 2 ratio of CO/H_2 at a wide electrochemical window. First, we encapsulated a dinuclear Co(II) cryptate molecular complex $[(\text{Co}_2(\text{OH})\text{L})(\text{ClO}_4)_3]$ (Co_2L ; $\text{L} = \text{N}[(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{NHCH}_2(m\text{-C}_6\text{H}_4)\text{CH}_2\text{NH}(\text{CH}_2)_2]_3\text{N}$)^{31,32} into a structurally caged ZIF-8 (Fig. 1a). The resultant $\text{Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8}$ with different amounts of Co_2L were pyrolyzed at different temperatures to obtain a series of Co-carbon/nitrogen (Co-C/ N_x)-based catalysts. As the cages in ZIF-8 could serve as molecular fences to spatially separate the Co_2L precursors, the generated cobalt atoms would not aggregate during the pyrolysis process. Consequently, single-site Co-carbon/nitrogen (Co-C/ N_x)-based catalysts were successfully prepared by a guest-host pyrolysis strategy. More importantly, the as-obtained Co-C/ N_x catalysts demonstrated excellent catalytic performances for the production of syngas by the simultaneous electrochemical reduction of CO_2 and H_2O . The optimal catalyst exhibited an exceptionally high production rate of $1.08 \text{ mol g}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ at 1.0 V vs. RHE. Moreover, the ratio of CO/H_2 could be maintained as 1 : 2 at a wide electrochemical window of -1.0 to -0.5 V.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials

All of the chemicals and materials were commercially obtained and used without further purification. Nafion solution (5 wt%) was purchased from Alfa Aesar. All of the solutions used in the electrochemical experiments were prepared with Millipore

water (18.2 MΩ). The purity of both argon and CO_2 was 99.999%. Co_2L ³³ and ZIF-8²⁷ were synthesized according to the literature methods.

2.2. Preparation

2.2.1. $x\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8}$. 25 mL methanol containing $\text{Zn}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (950 mg, 5 mmol) and 5 mL CH_3CN containing x mg Co_2L ($x = 5, 10, 20$ and 40 mg) were homogeneously mixed, and then the mixture was slowly added to 25 mL methanol solution containing 2-methylimidazole (2 g, 24.4 mmol). The obtained mixture was further stirred for 1 h and then maintained at room temperature for 24 h. $x\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8}$ composites with different contents of Co_2L were obtained as precipitates. They were centrifuged and washed with DMF and MeOH 3 times, respectively, and dried at 70 °C overnight (yield: ca. 850 mg).

2.2.2. $x\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8-T}$. 100 mg $x\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8}$ precursor prepared above was placed in a tube furnace and heated to a specified temperature ($T = 650, 750, 850$, and 950 °C) at a heating rate of 5 °C min^{-1} . After maintaining at this temperature for 2 h under a flow of Ar atmosphere, the furnace was cooled naturally to room temperature. $x\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8-T}$ with a yield of ca. 250 mg was obtained and used for the structural characterization and catalytic performance estimation. The contents of Co in $x\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8-T}$ were tested by ICP-MS, and the results are listed in Table S1.†

2.3. Characterization

Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer Frontier Mid-IR FT-IR spectrometer in the 400–4000 cm^{-1} region with KBr pellets. Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a Smart X-ray diffractometer (SmartLab 9 kW, Rigaku, Japan) equipped with graphite monochromatized with a Cu $\text{K}\alpha$ source (radiation) ($\lambda = 1.54178 \text{ \AA}$). Nitrogen sorption tests were performed on a multi-station specific surface micropore and vapor adsorption analyzer (BELSORP-Max, MicrotracBEL, Japan) at 77 K. X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) were measured on an ESCALAB 250 Xi. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were recorded on a Verios 460 L Ultrahigh Resolution Scanning Electron Microscope. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements were carried out by using a Tecnai G2 Spirit TWIN at an acceleration voltage of 120 kV. HAADF-STEM images were obtained using a Transmission Electron Microscope with a probe corrector (Titan Themis Cubed G2 60-300, FEI). X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) spectra were obtained from BL14W1 beamline of Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility (SSRF). The metal (Co and Zn) contents in the prepared catalysts were measured by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES, SPECTROBLUE). Raman spectra were acquired with a Horiba Jobin-Yvon LabRAM HR800 spectrometer using a 514.5 nm laser for excitation. Electrochemical measurements were carried out on a CHI 670E electrochemical workstation. The evolved CO and H_2 were monitored by using an Agilent 7820A gas chromatograph or a Shimadzu GC-2014 gas chro-

matograph. The liquid product was characterized by ^1H NMR on a Bruker AVANCE III HD using a pre-saturation sequence.

2.4. Electrochemical measurements

All of the electrochemical measurements were conducted in an airtight H-type cell using a CHI 670E electrochemical station with a three-electrode configuration. The H-type cell was separated by a Nafion membrane (Nafion 117, DuPont) and filled with 40 mL 0.1 M KHCO_3 aqueous solution in each side. A platinum foil and an Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl) electrode were used as the counter and reference electrodes, respectively. The working electrode was prepared as follows: 5 mg catalyst was dispersed in an ethanol solvent (1 mL) with 50 μL Nafion solution (5 wt% in water). The suspension was ultrasonicated for 30 min to generate a homogeneous ink. The ink was then drop-casted onto a piece of carbon cloth with a size of 0.5 cm $\times$ 2.0 cm with 0.5 mg cm^{-2} loading for catalysts.

0.1 M KHCO_3 aqueous solution was used as the electrolyte (pH = 7.8). Prior to the tests, the electrolyte was purged with Ar or CO_2 for at least 20 min. The gas product was detected by gas chromatography (GC), and the liquid product was characterized by ^1H NMR spectroscopy. The liquid product concentration was quantified by using dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) as the internal standard. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) measurements at 100 mV s^{-1} were performed for 20 cycles prior to recording a linear scan voltammetry (LSV) at 10 mV s^{-1} for each sample. Each sample on the same substrate was measured for at least three independent times. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were conducted in static solution at -1.2 V (vs. Ag/AgCl). The amplitude of the sinusoidal wave was 10 mV, and the frequency scan range was from 100 kHz to 100 Hz. EIS spectra were analyzed using the Nova software package. Unless otherwise stated, all experiments were performed at ambient temperature, and the electrode potentials were converted to the RHE scale using the equation $E(\text{RHE}) = E(\text{Ag/AgCl}) + 0.197 \text{ V} + 0.059 \times \text{pH}$. The mole number for a given gas product was calculated as below:

$$n_i = x_i \times \frac{Pv_0}{RT}$$

where x_i is the volume fraction of the product by online GC, v_0 is the volume of airtight H-type cell by excluding 40 mL 0.1 M KHCO_3 electrolyte, $P = 101.3$ kPa, R is the gas constant, and T is the room temperature (298.15 K).

The faradaic efficiencies (FE%) for CO and H_2 production were calculated at a given potential as follows:

$$\text{FE\%} = \frac{J_i}{J_{\text{total}}} \times 100\% = \frac{n_i \times N \times F}{\sum J_i} \times 100\%$$

where J_i is the partial current density for product formation, J_{total} is the total current density, N is the number of electrons transferred for product formation, where N is 2 for CO and H_2 , n_i is the production rate of CO or H_2 (measured by GC) and F is the faradaic constant (96485 C mol^{-1}).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Structure and morphology

3.1.1. $x\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8}$. The powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) patterns demonstrated that the diffraction peaks of $x\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8}$ completely matched with those obtained for ZIF-8, which indicated that the encapsulation of Co_2L did not destroy the framework of ZIF-8 (Fig. 1b). Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy showed that the characteristic absorbance peaks of $10\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8}$ were slightly different from the peaks of the physically mixed $\text{Co}_2\text{L} + \text{ZIF-8}$, indicating the inherent interactions between Co_2L and ZIF-8 in $\text{Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8}$ (Fig. 1c). N_2 sorption measurements revealed that the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area of $10\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8}$ ($1583 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$) was slightly smaller in contrast to ZIF-8 ($1824 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$), which illustrated that the encapsulation of Co_2L occupied some pore volume of ZIF-8 and the successful encapsulation of Co_2L by ZIF-8 (Fig. 1d).

3.1.2. $x\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8-T}$. $x\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8}$ composites were further pyrolyzed at different temperatures, affording a series of Co-C/Nx-based catalysts ($x\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8-T}$). For comparison, ZIF-8 was also pyrolyzed to form an N-doped nanoporous carbon (ZIF-8-T). Fig. 2a shows that the PXRD pattern of $10\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8-850}$ is similar to that of ZIF-8-850, and no extra diffraction peaks except broad diffraction peaks at $\sim 25.3^\circ$ corresponding to graphitic carbon were observed, indicating the absence of Co species aggregation. High resolution scanning electron microscopy (HRSEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements of the as-prepared $10\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8-850}$ exhibited an average diameter of ~ 100 nm and a regular polyhedral bulk morphology with a rugged surface (Fig. 2b–d), similar to that of ZIF-8-850 (Fig. S2†).




Fig. 2 (a) PXRD patterns of $10\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8}$ and ZIF-8-850. (b) SEM and (c, d) TEM images of $10\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8-850}$. (e) HRTEM images and the corresponding elemental mapping of $10\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8-850}$. (f) HAADF-STEM images of $10\text{-Co}_2\text{L@ZIF-8-850}$.

Consistent with the PXRD result, no Co species aggregation was observed in the TEM image (Fig. 2d), which indicated the presence of a single-site cobalt within 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850. The energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) exhibited the uniform distributions of Zn, Co, C, and N, indicating that the Co atoms were highly-dispersed in 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 (Fig. 2e). High-angle annular dark field STEM further demonstrated the single-site cobalt in 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850, where high density bright dots could be observed, which corresponded to the isolated Co atoms (Fig. 2f).

N₂ adsorption measurements were performed to evaluate the porosity of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 (Fig. S3†). The result showed that the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 is around 410 m² g^{−1}, which was significantly larger than those obtained for the carbon materials derived from the non-MOF based precursors,³⁴ thereby indicating that the porous precursors were useful to obtain the carbon materials with a high surface area and abundant porosity, which were conducive to the electrolyte diffusion and mass transfer in the electrocatalytic reactions.³⁵ Further gas sorption tests demonstrated that the large porosity of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 also endowed it with a remarkable CO₂ uptake capacity. As shown in Fig. S4,† at 298.15 K, the CO₂ capture capacity of Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 reaches as high as 53 cm³ g^{−1}. The good CO₂ uptake might be ascribed to the suitable pore structure and the rich Co sites on the pore surface. Raman spectroscopy measurements were further carried out for 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 and ZIF-8-850. As shown in Fig. S5,† the Raman spectrum of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 is similar to that of ZIF-8-850, with two peaks at 1335 and 1598 cm^{−1}, corresponding to the well-defined D band and G band, respectively. The ratio of the band intensity (*I*_D/*I*_G) for both the samples was approximately equal to 1 (Fig. S6†), which indicated a similar defect and graphitic degree of carbon in 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 and ZIF-8-850.

XPS analyses were carried out to explore the elemental composition and the valence state in 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 and ZIF-8-850. As shown in Fig. 3a and b, the survey spectrum only represents the elemental peaks of Zn, Co, C, N and O, consistent with the EDS analysis results (Fig. S1†). Two peaks at 796.6 and 781.1 eV as well as the two satellite peaks (Fig. 3c) could be attributed to Co 2p_{1/2} and Co 2p_{3/2}, respectively. The broad peaks centered at 781.1 eV originated from the chemical bonding between Co and N, which confirmed the existence of Co–N_x species in 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850. The broad and weak peaks might possibly be due to the very low Co contents.

The N atoms with different chemical states in both Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 and ZIF-8-850 could be demonstrated by deconvoluting N 1s signals. The five peaks at 398.3, 399.0, 400.2, 401.2 and 403.7 eV (Fig. S7b and S7e†) corresponded to the pyridinic N, Co–N_x, pyrrolic N, graphitic N, and oxide N, respectively.³⁶ The atomic contents of the pyridinic N, Co–N_x, pyrrolic N, graphitic N and N–O were determined to be 4.743, 2.981, 1.355, 1.704, 0.737% for 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 and 4.757, 2.576, 0.846, 2.391 and 0.460% for ZIF-8-850, respectively (Fig. 3d).




Fig. 3 (a) XPS spectra and (b) elemental composition of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 and ZIF-8-850, (c) high-resolution XPS spectrum of Co 2p in 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850, (d) atomic contents of the five different N species in 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 and ZIF-8-850, (e) Co K-edge XANES profiles of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850, Co₃O₄, CoO and Co foil and (f) Co K-edge *k*³-weighted FT-EXAFS spectra of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850, Co₃O₄, CoO and Co foil.

X-ray absorption near-edge spectroscopy (XANES) and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) analysis were carried out to further characterize the coordination environment and the electronic structure of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850. As shown in Fig. 3e, the spectroscopic features of the Co K-edge in 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 shifted towards the high energy relative to the Co foil. The oxidation state of Co in 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 was close to +2, because the energy of the main absorption edge coincided with that of Co(2+)/O. The peak at around 2.43 Å observed in the Fourier transform (FT) *k*³-weighted EXAFS curve of Co foil (Fig. 3f) could be attributed to the Co–Co bond. The absence of the Co–Co bond confirmed the isolated dispersion of Co atoms in 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850. For CoO and Co₃O₄, the first major peak at ~1.92 Å could be assigned to the dominant Co–O coordination bonds. In contrast, the 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 spectrum exhibited distinctive peak position at around 1.73 Å, which could be attributed to the Co–N_xC_y bonds. The result was well consistent with the FT-EXAFS fitting one (Fig. S8 and Table S2†).

3.2. Electrocatalytic property

The electrocatalytic tests for the prepared catalysts were assessed by using an H-type cell in a standard three-electrode system. Linear sweep voltammograms (LSVs) were measured from 0 to −1.1 V vs. RHE (reversible hydrogen electrode; all

potentials mentioned are *vs.* RHE hereafter) under Ar-bubbled and CO₂-bubbled 0.1 M KHCO₃ electrolyte, respectively. As shown in Fig. S9a,[†] the catalytic current density of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 under the CO₂ atmosphere increased slightly compared to that under Ar, indicating the strong competition reaction of the HER in aqueous electrolytes, and the syngas would be produced by the simultaneous reduction of CO₂ and H₂O. It should be noted that the electrocatalytic activity of *x*-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 was closely related to the amount of Co₂L (*x*) in *x*-Co₂L@ZIF-8 composites. As shown in Fig. S9b,[†] ZIF-8-850 displays negligible electrocatalytic activity for CO₂ reduction, whereas *x*-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 shows remarkably enhanced catalytic activity from −0.3 to −1.2 V, which underlines the crucial role of Co center in the activation of CO₂. Furthermore, with an increase in Co₂L, the catalytic performance of *x*-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 evidently improved in terms of the onset potential, overpotential (η), and catalytic current, which further dominated the effect of Co amount on the catalytic activity. Among the tested *x*-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850, 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 exhibited the best electrocatalytic activity. At −1.2 V, the current density of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 for syngas reached 46.3 mA cm^{−2}, about 7.7 times as high as that of ZIF-8-850 (Fig. S9b[†]). Gas chromatography (GC) and ¹H NMR spectroscopy confirmed the presence of two gaseous products of CO and H₂ for all of the tested catalysts, and no liquid product was observed (Fig. S10[†]). Fig. 4a shows the current densities of CO (j_{CO} , red trace), H₂ (j_{H_2} , black trace), and the total current density under different potentials applied in a CO₂-saturated 0.1 M KHCO₃ (pH = 6.8) solution. It could be seen that at a certain potential, j_{H_2} markedly increased than j_{CO} , which might be attributed to the low solubility and limited mass transfer for the CO₂ reduction reaction, whereas these factors would not influence the HER. At a potential of

1.0 V, the total current density of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 for CO₂ reduction and HER reached as high as 31.7 mA cm^{−2}. The value was significantly higher than those of the reported noble-metal or noble metal-free electrocatalysts (Table S2[†]).

The controlled potentiostatic electrolysis measurements of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 were further carried out under CO₂ atmosphere with potentials ranging from −0.5 to −1.0 V. The results showed that with a negative increase in the potentials, the productivity of syngas (CO + H₂) of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 increased substantially (Fig. 4b). At 1.0 V, the production yield reached as high as 1.08 mol g^{−1} h^{−1}, significantly higher than that of ZIF-8-850 (0.071 mol g^{−1} h^{−1}, Fig. S11[†]) and those of the most reported electrocatalysts.³⁷ It should be noted that during the broad electrochemical window (from −0.6 to −1.0 V), the total FE of CO and H₂ combined was over 96%, and the generated syngas (CO/H₂) exhibited a steady molar ratio of *ca.* 1:2. Evidently, the electrocatalytic performance of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 was better than those derived from Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 with 5, 20, and 40 mg Co₂L, respectively (Fig. S11[†]), as well as those from 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8 pyrolyzed at 750 and 950 °C, respectively (Fig. S12[†]). The relatively lower electrocatalytic performance could be attributed to the generation of CoO_x nanoparticles during the preparation process. As shown in Fig. S13,[†] the diffraction peaks at 44.2° and 51.5° of 40-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 can be indexed to Co NPs (PDF#15-0806), which confirm the formation of CoO_x nanoparticles. In addition, we observed that the FE (CO + H₂) was lower than 100%. Besides the reason that small amounts of liquid products, such as formic acid and methanol, were generated (Fig. S14[†]), the reduction of CoO_x might also be a non-negligible reason. In all, the above results demonstrate that the electrocatalytic performances of these catalysts were highly related to the precursor composition of the catalyst and the pyrolysis temperature.

The stability of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 was tested by long-term electrolysis at a constant potential of −0.4 and −0.8 V, respectively. As shown in Fig. 4c, after a continuous electrolysis for 10 h, a negligible degradation of current density was observed, which evidences that 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 possesses good robustness. Evidently, the electrocatalytic features of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 discussed above will make it outstanding among the reported electrocatalysts for syngas production (Table S3[†]).

To reveal the excellent catalytic performance of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850, the electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements and electrochemically active surface areas (ECSAs) were investigated. The results showed that the diameter of the capacitive loop in the Nyquist plot of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 was smaller than those of *x*-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 (*x* = 5, 20 and 40; Fig. S15a[†]) and 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-T (T = 750 and 950 °C; Fig. S15b[†]), which indicated the smallest resistance for electron transfer in 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 (Fig. 4d). As revealed by Raman spectroscopy, 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 and ZIF-8-850 exhibited similar graphitic degrees; thus the conductivity was not a limiting factor for differentiating the electrocatalytic performance. ECSAs were then estimated from the




Fig. 4 (a) LSV polarization curves of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 at 5 mV s^{−1} in CO₂-saturated 0.1 M KHCO₃ solution (pH = 6.8). (b) The productivity (left Y-axis) and FE (right Y-axis) of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 under different applied potentials, where the red and dark columns represent CO and H₂, respectively. (c) Stability tests of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 at applied potentials of −0.4 and −0.8 V. (d) Nyquist plots of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 and ZIF-8-850.

electrochemical double-layer capacitance (C_{dl}). As shown in Fig. S16,[†] the 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 electrode exhibits a larger C_{dl} value (12.8 mF cm⁻²) in contrast to ZIF-8-850 (10.6 mF cm⁻²), indicating that 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 possesses larger catalytic active surface areas. The higher ECSAs and rich active sites might significantly contribute to the high electrocatalytic efficiency of 10-Co₂L@ZIF-8-850 for syngas production.

4. Conclusions

In this study, we successfully fabricated a series of Co single-site C/N_x-based catalysts by a guest–host pyrolysis strategy, which could be used for the simultaneous electrocatalytic reduction of CO₂ and H₂O to produce syngas with a tunable CO/H₂ molar ratio. This strategy proved to be facile, effective, and efficient. As expected, the as-prepared Co single-site catalyst exhibited a high efficiency for the electrocatalytic reduction of CO₂ and H₂O to steadily produce syngas with a CO/H₂ molar ratio of 1:2. This work provides a new way for the preparation of carbon-based metal single-site electrocatalysts with high catalytic efficiencies.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFA0700104), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22071182, 21931007, 21861001, and 21790052), the 111 Project of China (D17003), and the Science and Technology Development Fund of Tianjin Education Commission for Higher Education (2018KJ129).

References

- 1 A. Y. Khodakov, W. Chu and P. Fongarland, Advances in the Development of Novel Cobalt Fischer–Tropsch Catalysts for Synthesis of Long-Chain Hydrocarbons and Clean Fuels, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 1692–1744.
- 2 B. H. Zhao, Y. Huang, D. L. Liu, Y. F. Yu and B. Zhang, Integrating photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with selective oxidation of tetrahydroisoquinoline over InP–In₂O₃ Z-scheme p–n junction, *Sci. China: Chem.*, 2019, **63**, 1–7.
- 3 S. Gao, Y. Lin, X. C. Jiao, Y. F. Sun, Q. Q. Luo, W. H. Zhang, D. Q. Li, J. L. Yang and Y. Xie, Partially oxidized atomic cobalt layers for carbon dioxide electroreduction to liquid fuel, *Nature*, 2016, **529**, 68–71.
- 4 J. W. Wang, W. J. Liu, D. C. Zhong and T. B. Lu, Nickel complexes as molecular catalysts for water splitting and CO₂ reduction, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **378**, 237–261.
- 5 D. C. Liu, D. C. Zhong and T. B. Lu, Non-noble metal-based molecular complexes for CO₂ reduction: From the ligand design perspective, *EnergyChem*, 2020, **2**, 100034.
- 6 Y. N. Yao, Y. Gao, L. Ye, H. Chen and L. Sun, Highly efficient photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ and H₂O to CO and H₂ with a cobalt bipyridyl complex, *J. Energy Chem.*, 2018, **27**, 502–506.
- 7 T. T. Zheng, K. Jiang and H. T. Wang, Recent Advances in Electrochemical CO₂-to-CO Conversion on Heterogeneous Catalysts, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1802066.
- 8 K. Yuan and Y. W. Zhang, Engineering well-defined rare earth oxide-based nanostructures for catalyzing C₁ chemical reactions, *Inorg. Chem. Front.*, 2020, **7**, 4256–4280.
- 9 D. F. Gao, H. Zhou, J. Wang, S. Miao, F. Yang, G. X. Wang, J. G. Wang and X. H. Bao, Size-Dependent Electrocatalytic Reduction of CO₂ over Pd Nanoparticles, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 4288–4291.
- 10 H. S. Jeon, I. Sinev, F. Scholten, N. J. Divins, I. Zegkinoglou, L. Pielsticker and B. R. Cuenya, Operando Evolution of the Structure and Oxidation State of Size-Controlled Zn Nanoparticles during CO₂ Electroreduction, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 9383–9386.
- 11 J. B. Zhang, R. G. Yin, Q. Shao, T. Zhu and X. Q. Huang, Oxygen Vacancies in Amorphous InO_x Nanoribbons Enhance CO₂ Adsorption and Activation for CO₂ Electroreduction, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 5609–5613.
- 12 S. B. Liu, J. Xiao, X. F. Lu, J. Wang, X. Wang and X. W. Lou, Efficient Electrochemical Reduction of CO₂ to HCOOH over Sub-2 nm SnO₂ Quantum Wires with Exposed Grain Boundaries, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 8499–8503.
- 13 W. Y. Zhang, Q. Qin, D. Lei, R. X. Qin and N. F. Zheng, Electrochemical Reduction of CO₂ to CH₃OH on hierarchical Pd/SnO₂ nanosheets with abundant Pd–O–Sn interfaces, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 9475–9479.
- 14 R. He, X. Yuan, P. Shao, T. Duan and W. Zhu, Hybridization of Defective Tin Disulfide Nanosheets and Silver Nanowires Enables Efficient Electrochemical Reduction of CO₂ into Formate and Syngas, *Small*, 2019, **15**, 1904882.
- 15 S. J. Guo, S. Q. Zhao, X. Q. Wu, H. Li, Y. J. Zhou, C. Zhu, N. J. Yang, X. Jiang, J. Gao, L. Bai, Y. Liu, Y. Lifshitz, S. T. Lee and Z. H. Kang, A Co₃O₄–CDots–C₃N₄ three component electrocatalyst design concept for efficient and tunable CO₂ reduction to syngas, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 1828.
- 16 R. He, A. Zhang, Y. L. Ding, T. Y. Kong, Q. Xiao, H. L. Li, Y. Liu and J. Zeng, Achieving the Widest Range of Syngas Proportions at High Current Density over Cadmium Sulfoselenide Nanorods in CO₂ Electroreduction, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1705872.
- 17 J. W. Wang, H. H. Huang, J. K. Sun, D. C. Zhong and T. B. Lu, Syngas Production with a Highly-Robust Nickel(II) Homogeneous Electrocatalyst in a Water-Containing System, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 7612–7620.
- 18 S. R. Foit, I. C. Vinke, L. G. J. de Haart and R. A. Eichel, Power-to-Syngas: An Enabling Technology for the

- Transition of the Energy System?, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 5402–5411.
- 19 L. A. Diaz, N. S. J. Gao, B. Adhikari, T. E. Lister, E. J. Dufek and A. D. Wilson, Electrochemical production of syngas from CO₂ captured in switchable polarity solvents, *Green Chem.*, 2018, **20**, 620–626.
 - 20 B. H. Qin, Y. H. Li, H. Q. Fu, H. J. Wang, S. Z. Chen, Z. L. Liu and F. Peng, Electrochemical Reduction of CO₂ into Tunable Syngas Production by Regulating the Crystal Facets of Earth-Abundant Zn Catalyst, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 20530–20539.
 - 21 W. C. Sheng, S. Kattel, S. Y. Yao, B. H. Yan, Z. X. Liang, C. J. Hawxhurst, Q. Y. Wu and J. G. G. Chen, Electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to synthesis gas with controlled CO/H₂ ratios, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2017, **10**, 1180–1185.
 - 22 W. Xi, R. Z. Ma, H. Wang, Z. Gao, W. Q. Zhang and Y. F. Zhao, Ultrathin Ag Nanowires Electrode for Electrochemical Syngas Production from Carbon Dioxide, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2018, **6**, 7687–7694.
 - 23 M. B. Ross, C. T. Dinh, Y. Li, D. Kim, P. D. Luna, E. H. Sargent and P. D. Yang, Tunable Cu Enrichment Enables Designer Syngas Electrosynthesis from CO₂, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 9359–9363.
 - 24 X. Yuan, Y. Luo, B. Zhang, C. Dong, J. Lei, F. Yi, T. Duan, W. Zhu and R. He, Decoration of In nanoparticles on In₂S₃ nanosheets enables efficient electrochemical reduction of CO₂, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 4212–4215.
 - 25 G. D. Li, S. L. Zhao, Y. Zhang and Z. Y. Tang, Metal–Organic Frameworks Encapsulating Active Nanoparticles as Emerging Composites for Catalysis: Recent Progress and Perspectives, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1800702.
 - 26 L. Y. Chen, R. Luque and Y. W. Li, Controllable design of tunable nanostructures inside metal–organic frameworks, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 4614–4630.
 - 27 S. Mukhopadhyay, J. Debgupta, C. Singh, A. Kar and S. K. Das, A Keggin Polyoxometalate Shows Water Oxidation Activity at Neutral pH: POM@ZIF-8, an Efficient and Robust Electrocatalyst, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 1918–1923.
 - 28 Z. C. Kong, J. F. Liao, Y. J. Dong, Y. F. Xu, H. Y. Chen, D. B. Kuang and C. Y. Su, Core@Shell CsPbBr₃@Zeolitic Imidazolate Framework Nanocomposite for Efficient Photocatalytic CO₂ Reduction, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2018, **3**, 2656–2662.
 - 29 S. F. Ji, Y. J. Chen, S. Zhao, W. X. Chen, L. J. Shi, Y. Wang, J. C. Dong, Z. Li, F. W. Li, C. Chen, Q. Peng, J. Li, D. S. Wang and Y. D. Li, Atomically Dispersed Ruthenium Species Inside Metal–Organic Frameworks: Combining the High Activity of Atomic Sites and the Molecular Sieving Effect of MOFs, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 4271–4275.
 - 30 Y. T. Xu, X. F. Xiao, Z. M. Ye, S. L. Zhao, R. G. Shen, C. T. He, J. P. Zhang, Y. D. Li and X. M. Chen, Cage-Confinement Pyrolysis Route to Ultrasmall Tungsten Carbide Nanoparticles for Efficient Electrocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 5285–5288.
 - 31 J. M. Chen, W. Wei, X. L. Feng and T. B. Lu, CO₂ fixation and transformation by a dinuclear copper cryptate under acidic conditions, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2007, **2**, 710–719.
 - 32 T. Ouyang, H. J. Wang, H. H. Huang, J. W. Wang, S. Guo, W. J. Liu, D. C. Zhong and T. B. Lu, Dinuclear Metal Synergistic Catalysis Boosts Photochemical CO₂-to-CO Conversion, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 16480–16485.
 - 33 T. Ouyang, H. H. Huang, J. W. Wang, D. C. Zhong and T. B. Lu, A Dinuclear Cobalt Cryptate as a Homogeneous Photocatalyst for Highly Selective and Efficient Visible-Light Driven CO₂ Reduction to CO in CH₃CN/H₂O Solution, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **129**, 756–761.
 - 34 R. G. Ma, Y. Zhou, Y. F. Chen, P. X. Li, Q. Liu and J. C. Wang, Ultrafine Molybdenum Carbide Nanoparticles Compositing with Carbon as a Highly Active Hydrogen-Evolution Electrocatalyst, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 14723–14727.
 - 35 C. M. Zhao, X. Y. Dai, T. Yao, W. X. Chen, X. Q. Wang, J. Wang, J. Yang, S. Q. Wei, Y. Wu and Y. D. Li, Ionic Exchange of Metal–Organic Frameworks to Access Single Nickel Sites for Efficient Electroreduction of CO₂, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 8078–8081.
 - 36 Q. P. Lin, X. H. Bu, A. G. Kong, C. Y. Mao, F. Bu and P. Y. Feng, Heterometal-Embedded Organic Conjugate Frameworks from Alternating Monomeric Iron and Cobalt Metalloporphyrins and Their Application in Design of Porous Carbon Catalysts, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 3431–3436.
 - 37 X. Song, H. Zhang, Y. Yang, B. Zhang, M. Zuo, X. Cao, J. Sun, C. Lin, X. Li and Z. Jiang, Bifunctional Nitrogen and Cobalt Codoped Hollow Carbon for Electrochemical Syngas Production, *Adv. Sci.*, 2018, **5**, 1800177.