



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2024, **14**, 24000

Fabrication of a free-standing $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh counter electrode via interfacial polymerization for dye-sensitized solar cells[†]

Suruthi Priya Nagalingam, ^a Saravanan Pandiaraj, ^b Khalid E. Alzahrani, ^c Abdullah. N. Alodhayb ^c and Andrews Nirmala Grace ^{a*}

The current work involves the fabrication of a MXene-Polythiophene ($\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh) composite via interfacial polymerization, alongside its deployment as a counter electrode (CE) or photocathode in dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs). The structural properties of the synthesized materials were investigated through a comprehensive array of techniques, including X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) spectroscopy, high resolution scanning electron microscopy (HRSEM), energy-dispersive X-ray analysis (EDAX), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). The electrochemical performance, assessed via cyclic voltammetry (CV) and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS), revealed that the $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE exhibits superior electro-catalytic activity, and reduction in charge transfer resistance compared to other individual CEs. These observations are in concordance with the data obtained from Tafel analysis. The incorporation of $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ sheets into the composite significantly augmented its catalytic efficacy for triiodide reduction, manifesting in elevated short-circuit photocurrent density and enhanced fill factor metrics. A DSSC utilizing the $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE exhibited a power conversion efficiency (PCE) of 5.83%, which stands on par with that of traditional Pt CEs. Thus, the $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE material is posited as a viable, cost-efficient alternative to Pt, heralding a new era in the engineering of counter electrodes for the next generation of DSSCs.

Received 9th April 2024
 Accepted 22nd July 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ra02651a
rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

The pressing need to address the impacts of climate change and meet the growing global energy demand requires a revolutionary shift in energy production systems. Solar energy, renowned for its renewable, pristine, and limitless characteristics, emerges as a possible remedy in the quest for a low-carbon economy.^{1–3} Since their invention in 1991 by Gratzel's group, dye-sensitized solar cells (DSSCs) have become a viable third-generation choice among several solar cell technologies, known for their cheap cost, high efficiency, and simple production procedure.^{4,5} DSSCs generally consist of the following components: a photocathode, a dye-sensitized titanium dioxide (TiO_2) photoanode, coupled with an electrolyte comprising a redox pair of iodide and triiodide redox pair.⁶ The counter electrode (CE) is of utmost importance as it facilitates

the catalytic reduction of triiodide ions by collecting electrons from the external circuit. Due to its outstanding catalysis and electron exchange properties, platinum (Pt) has long been considered the gold standard for CE materials; yet, the high cost and limited availability of Pt are formidable obstacles to the commercialization and mass adoption of DSSCs.^{7,8} As a result of this constraint, investigations have been initiated into non-Pt CE materials that are environmentally friendly and cost-effective, and which can match or surpass the performance of Pt.^{9,10} To replace Pt, a number of contenders have shown promise, including composites, metal alloys,¹¹ carbon-based materials,^{12,13} transition metal compounds,¹⁴ and conductive polymers.^{15,16} These developments are in line with the worldwide movement towards renewable energy sources and represent a larger effort to create DSSCs with improved environmental sustainability, lower prices, and greater power conversion efficiencies (PCE).

MXenes ($\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$), characterized by their two-dimensional (2D) structures of transition metal nitrides, carbonitrides, and carbides have emerged as prominent materials in energy storage and conversion technologies.^{17,18} These materials boast significant surface area, high electrical conductivity, a wide range of compositions, and the ability to undergo surface modifications. The layered structure of $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$, coupled with their excellent ion intercalation capability, thermal stability,

^aCentre for Nanotechnology Research, Vellore Institute of Technology, Vellore 632014, India. E-mail: anirmalagladys@gmail.com

^bDepartment of Self-Development Skills, King Saud University, Riyadh, 11451, Saudi Arabia

^cDepartment of Physics and Astronomy, College of Science, King Saud University, Riyadh, 11451, Saudi Arabia

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ra02651a>

flexibility, and modifiable surface terminal groups (such as hydroxyl, oxygen, and fluorine), renders them a focal point of research across various domains, including wearable electronics, supercapacitors, photovoltaics, and more.^{17,19-24} In the domain of third-generation photovoltaic technologies, $Ti_3C_2T_x$ have shown considerable promise in enhancing the efficiency of DSSCs, perovskite solar cells (PSCs), and quantum dot solar cells (QDSCs).²⁵ This enhancement is primarily attributed to $Ti_3C_2T_x$ ability to stabilize the perovskite layer, improve charge carrier mobility, and adjust work functions at different interfaces, including photoanodes, hole transporting layers, and CEs. Notably, $Ti_3C_2T_x$ have been utilized as additives in mesoporous TiO_2 -based photoanodes for DSSCs, significantly improving charge transfer and light scattering capabilities, thereby augmenting light-harvesting and electron collection efficiencies.^{26,27} Furthermore, the conductive nature of $Ti_3C_2T_x$ offers an economically viable and stable alternative to Pt CEs, overcoming the latter's cost and instability challenges in acidic environments. Recent advancements have showcased the potential of $Ti_3C_2T_x$ to surpass conventional Pt-based CEs in terms of PCE. Innovations such as hydrothermal deposition of CoS on $Ti_3C_2T_x$ and the creation of CoS/ $Ti_3C_2T_x$ CE through ion-exchange techniques have led to significant improvements in electrocatalytic properties and PCE of 8.0%.²⁸ Additionally, incorporating carbon nanotubes (CNTs) to prevent agglomeration has yielded structures with optimal ratios of CoMoP₂ and $Ti_3C_2T_x$, achieving remarkable PCE enhancements of 10.64%.²⁹ These developments highlight $Ti_3C_2T_x$ as cost-effective, high-performing, and stable Pt-free alternatives for CEs in DSSCs. However, challenges such as charge trapping within MXene's multilayered structure and van der Waals force-induced stacking, which hinder electron transport and reduce specific surface area and conductivity, remain.^{8,30} To address these issues, surface modification with conductive polymers like polyaniline (PANI), polypyrrole (PPy), and particularly polythiophene (PTh) and its derivatives has been proposed.³¹ These modifications aim to improve electrochemical performance and stability by preventing stacking and enhancing the electroactive surface area. A novel approach involving the integration of PTh nanoparticles between $Ti_3C_2T_x$ nanosheets has been explored, resulting in the creation of $Ti_3C_2T_x$ -PTh hybrid. This method, which involves liquid-liquid interfacial polymerization, offers a simple and room-temperature process for producing high-molecular-weight polymers.³² The resulting $Ti_3C_2T_x$ -PTh hybrid manifests considerable potential as a CE material for the first time, amalgamating the advantageous properties of its constituent materials. The physicochemical characteristics of the composite, alongside its photovoltaic performance metrics, were systematically delineated. DSSCs fabricated utilizing the $Ti_3C_2T_x$ -PTh as the CE, have demonstrated an PCE to 5.82%, equivalently efficacious to that of conventional Pt CE.

2. Experimental methods

2.1 Synthesis of $Ti_3C_2T_x$ nanosheets

In a meticulously controlled experimental procedure, approximately 0.5 grams of the MAX phase material, Ti_3AlC_2 , was

subjected to an etching regimen utilizing a 30 ml of 40% hydrofluoric acid solution over a span of two days. This etching treatment was succeeded by multiple centrifugation cycles, interspersed with thorough washes using deionized water, to facilitate the isolation of $ml\text{-}Ti_3C_2T_x$. Subsequently, the resultant mixture underwent vacuum filtration, followed by a drying phase at 60 °C for an extended period.

2.2 Synthesis of $Ti_3C_2T_x$ -PTh CE

As shown in Fig. 1 the fabrication of the $Ti_3C_2T_x$ -PTh hybrid was developed *via* a liquid-liquid interfacial polymerization method. The process of liquid-liquid interface polymerization was initiated by first preparing an aqueous solution containing a predetermined quantity of 0.3 g of ferric chloride hexahydrate, and 0.05 g of $Ti_3C_2T_x$, ultrasonically disseminated within 15 ml of distilled water. Following this, the monomeric solution was prepared by thoroughly mixing 0.5 ml of thiophene into 15 ml of chloroform to form the organic solvent phase, an essential step in the process. The aqueous solution was then meticulously added to the organic solution in a dropwise manner, ensuring minimal disturbance to the resultant mixture, which was then left to stand undisturbed for a duration of 24 hours. During this quiescent period, a notable phenomenon was observed wherein a black film gradually emerged at the interface between the aqueous and organic phases, indicating the successful formation of a polymerized film. This observation underscores the critical nature of the interface in facilitating the polymerization process. The culmination of this synthesis process involved the purification of the resultant polymeric material through sequential ethanol washes and subsequent drying at 60 °C for 24 hours. For comparative analysis, PTh was synthesized employing the same methodology, albeit in the absence of the $Ti_3C_2T_x$.

2.3 DSSC construction and assembly using various CEs

The cleaning regimen for fluorine-doped tin oxide (FTO) glass substrates incorporated an initial sonication in a detergent solution, followed by successive washings with deionized water, acetone, and isopropanol, ensuring a pristine surface for subsequent processing. The fabrication of the TiO_2 photoanode commenced with the preparation of a viscous paste, constituted of 230 mg TiO_2 (P25) nanoparticles, 230 μ l deionized water (DI), 10 μ l of conc. nitric acid (HNO_3), and 10 μ l Triton-X. This paste was meticulously applied onto a pre-cleaned FTO surface through the application of the doctor blade method, ensuring a uniform layer over a defined area of 4 mm by 4 mm. Subsequent thermal treatment involved sintering the coated substrate at 450 °C for a period of 30 minutes to foster enhanced adhesion properties, followed by a natural cooling process to reach ambient temperature conditions. In the subsequent stage, a dye solution was formulated by dissolving 0.3 mM of the N719 dye in ethanol. The previously prepared TiO_2 layer was immersed in this solution for an extended duration, specifically overnight, to ensure comprehensive adsorption of the dye molecules. To remove any non-adsorbed dye, the electrode was rinsed with ethanol. CEs, including variants such as $Ti_3C_2T_x$,






Fig. 1 A schematic representation of the synthesis of $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -Pth.

PTh, and a $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh hybrid, were fabricated by creating a thick slurry of the respective CE materials dispersed in an *N*-methyl-2-pyrrolidone medium. This paste was then evenly applied to a precleaned FTO surface using the doctor blade method, targeting an area specification of 4 mm by 4 mm. A sintering process at 80 °C for one hour was then employed, allowing the substrates to gradually return to room temperature. A Pt CE was also prepared by dispersing 10 mM hexachloroplatinic acid in isopropyl alcohol and applying this dispersion to a similar-sized area on the FTO, which was subsequently sintered at 450 °C for a period of 30 minutes before being allowed to cool to ambient temperature. The electrolyte solution for the DSSC was prepared by combining 0.05 M iodine (I_2), 0.5 M lithium iodide (LiI), and 0.1 M 4-*tert*-butylpyridine in acetonitrile. Assembly of DSSCs entailed positioning the dye-loaded TiO_2 as the photoanode and the various prepared CEs, with the entire setup secured together. The final step in the assembly process involved the careful injection of the iodide/triiodide electrolyte between the electrodes, completing the DSSC construction.

3. Results and discussion

The elucidation of structural modifications in $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ through powder XRD analysis highlights significant findings, particularly concerning the crystalline structure alterations post HF etching as shown in Fig. 2(a). Notably, the observed reduction in the peak intensity at 38.9°, which is associated with the (104) plane of Ti_3AlC_2 , signifies the transition to $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$. This transformation is evidenced by the pronounced decrease in peak intensity, suggesting the removal of the Al layer and thus, confirming the conversion from the MAX phase to MXene, as supported by existing literature.^{33,34} Additionally, the alteration in the positions of the (002) peak from 9.5° to 9.2° is indicative of an increase in interlayer spacing, further validating the successful etching process.^{35,36} For the analysis of pristine PTh, its XRD pattern presents a broad peak around 22°, reflecting its amorphous nature. When considering the $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh hybrid, the XRD analysis reveals both the broad peak corresponding to PTh and a distinct peak indicative of $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$. The shift of the $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ peak from 9.2° to 8.9° in the composite suggests an effective exfoliation of $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ within the PTh matrix, leading to




Fig. 2 (a) XRD patterns and (b) FT-IR spectra of $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$, Pth, and $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -Pth.






Fig. 3 SEM images of (a) pristine $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$, (b) pristine Pth, and (c) $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -Pth.

an increased d -spacing.^{33,37} This observation underscores the successful integration of $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ into the Pth matrix through interfacial polymerization, marking a notable structural adaptation and highlighting the intricate interfacial interactions within the composite material. The identification of functional groups within the CE materials was examined *via* Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), further enriched by a meticulous analysis of their compositional and structural

aspects through the discernment of specific functional group peaks. FT-IR spectral analysis delineated in Fig. 2(b) for $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$, Pth, and the $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -Pth composite revealed distinctive spectral phenomena. For Pth, the spectral analysis identified peaks at 692 cm^{-1} , and 830 cm^{-1} , which are attributable to C-S-C bending vibrations, and C-S stretching, respectively.³⁸⁻⁴⁰ These observed peaks corroborate the existence of thiophene rings, thereby confirming the efficacious synthesis of Pth.⁴⁰ In the




Fig. 4 (a) XPS survey spectrum of $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -Pth, and XPS patterns of (b) Ti 2p, (c) C 1s, and (d) S 1s.






Fig. 5 Cyclic voltammograms of (a) Pt, Ti₃C₂T_x, Pth, Ti₃C₂T_x-Pth at 50 mV s⁻¹ scan rate (b-d) comparison of cyclic voltammograms Pt and Ti₃C₂T_x-Pth CEs, Ti₃C₂T_x and Pth CEs, and overall E_{pp} comparison of Pt, Ti₃C₂T_x, Pth, Ti₃C₂T_x-Pth CEs.

Ti₃C₂T_x spectrum, an eminent peak at 3440 cm⁻¹ was indicative of -OH stretching vibrations, denoting a significant presence of hydroxyl (-OH) groups on the Ti₃C₂T_x nanosheets. Peaks observed at 570 cm⁻¹ and 1621 cm⁻¹ were correlated with Ti-O and C-F bonds, respectively, accentuating the presence of these functional groups within the Ti₃C₂T_x framework.⁴⁰ In the spectrum for the Ti₃C₂T_x-Pth hybrid, absorption peaks characteristic of both constituent materials was identified, demonstrating an extensive integration of Pth into the Ti₃C₂T_x matrix. Notably, the composite material's spectrum exhibited subtle shifts in peak positions and a diminution in peak intensities in comparison to the pristine Pth spectrum.³² These modifications suggest an effective intercalation of Ti₃C₂T_x into the polymeric matrix, indicative of alterations in the composite's structural and compositional characteristics and elucidating the intricate interfacial interactions between MXene and Pth within the composite.

The investigation of the surface morphologies of Ti₃C₂T_x, Pth, and their hybrid Ti₃C₂T_x-Pth was undertaken through

High Resolution Scanning Electron Microscopy (HRSEM) as given in Fig. 3(a)-(c). This analysis confirmed that Ti₃C₂T_x displays a stratified sheet-like morphology. In contrast, SEM observations of Pth revealed a distinct granular form.³⁸ This specific morphology was also detected atop the Ti₃C₂T_x layers within the composites, indicating a successful adherence and polymerization of Pth onto these sheets. To complement these findings, Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) elemental mappings were employed, showcasing a uniform distribution of the S element across the Ti₃C₂T_x structures as shown in Fig. S1 and S2.[†] This distribution mirrors the SEM results, underscoring an even spread of Pth throughout the composite material. In essence, the combined SEM and EDS investigations elucidate a porous architecture within the Ti₃C₂T_x-Pth composite, facilitating effective ion and electron transport. This structure is attributed to the various functional groups serving as nucleation points during Pth's polymerization on the Ti₃C₂T_x sheets. Therefore, the synthesized composite material is characterized by an expansive surface area and multiple




Fig. 6 (a) Ti₃C₂T_x-PTh at various scan rates (b) and (c) stability test of Ti₃C₂T_x-PTh at 50 mV s⁻¹ for 100 cycles and plotted at every 10 cycles.

pathways for electrolyte ions, positioning it as a promising candidate for utilization in diverse electrochemical systems.

X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) was employed to delve into the bonding states and surface chemical composition of the Ti₃C₂T_x-PTh hybrid, revealing significant insights as observed in Fig. 4(a)–(d). The XPS spectra exhibited notable

peaks for Ti 2p, C 1s, S 2p, O 1s, and F 1s, evidencing the successful amalgamation of Ti₃C₂T_x within the Ti₃C₂T_x-PTh composite. These peaks were indicative of the -O, -OH, and -F functional groups, marking the composite's successful chemical integration. Furthermore, the presence of C 1s and S 2p peaks affirmed the synthesis of the Ti₃C₂T_x-PTh CE. In the S 2p




Fig. 7 (a) Electrochemical impedance spectra of Pt, Ti₃C₂T_x, PTh and Ti₃C₂T_x-PTh CEs made from the symmetrical cell (b) Tafel polarisation of Pt, Ti₃C₂T_x, PTh and Ti₃C₂T_x-PTh CEs.



Table 1 PV and EIS performance of the DSSCs constructed using Pt CE, $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ CE, PTh CE, and $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE tested under 100 mW cm^{-2}

| CEs | R_s (Ω) | R_{ct} (Ω) | V_{oc} (V) | J_{sc} (mA cm^{-2}) | Fill factor | η |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|-------------|--------|
| Pt | 12 | 5.2 | 0.66 | 19.27 | 62.7 | 7.9 |
| $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ | 16.38 | 25.2 | 0.66 | 12.6 | 55.3 | 4.61 |
| PTh | 14.6 | 29.1 | 0.66 | 11.6 | 55.5 | 4.19 |
| $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh | 12.2 | 17.6 | 0.67 | 15.54 | 56.05 | 5.82 |




Fig. 8 J – V photocurrent density–voltage curves of DSSC fabricated with Pt, $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$, PTh, and $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CEs.

XPS spectrum, binding energies at 163.9 eV and 168.2 eV were observed for the S $2p_{3/2}$ state, and at 164.7 eV for the S $2p_{1/2}$ state, alongside a peak at 169 eV indicating S in a positively charged state ($\text{S}^{\delta+}$).^{41,42} This delineates the integration of thiophene units within the polymer chains, suggestive of polariton and dipolariton formation.⁴³ The Ti $2p$ XPS spectrum unveiled six distinct peaks between 455 eV, 456.2 eV, 459.7 eV, 461.4 eV, 462.3 eV, and 465.3 eV, each reflecting different titanium bonding within the composite, indicative of Ti–C, C–Ti–O, $\text{Ti}-\text{O}_{2-x}\text{F}_x$, C–Ti– $\text{F}_{2p_{1/2}}$, C–Ti– $\text{O}_{2p_{1/2}}$ and Ti– F_x .^{44,45} The C 1s spectrum highlighted four peaks, signifying various carbon bonding such as C–Ti, C–C, and C–O, and O=C–O further elucidating the composite's chemical complexity at 281.6 eV, 284.8 eV, 286.2 eV,

and 288 eV.⁴⁴ The O 1s XPS spectrum, with peaks at 531.5 eV and 532.8 eV, pointed to diverse oxygen-containing functional groups such as C–Ti–(OH)_x and C=O, while the F 1s spectrum, with peaks at 685 eV and 685.7 eV, confirmed the presence of Ti–F and C–F bonds as observed in Fig. S3(a) and (b).^{†46} These results not only verify the PTh integration within the $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh composite but also shed light on the composite's complex chemical and bonding nature, establishing a foundational understanding for further exploration of its attributes and potential applications.

In the quest to delineate the catalytic capabilities and durability of CEs for DSSCs, a methodical investigation was conducted using cyclic voltammetry (CV) within a three-electrode system. This experimental array comprised a platinum (Pt) wire and an Ag/AgCl electrode, serving as the counter and reference electrodes respectively, immersed in an electrolytic medium of 0.01 M LiI, 1.0 mM I_2 , and 0.1 M LiClO₄. The procedure entailed performing CV sweeps in a defined potential from –0.7 to +1.3 V aimed at uncovering the redox dynamics exhibited by the electrodes. The primary focus was to scrutinize the electrochemical attributes of novel counter electrodes in comparison with conventional Pt-CE, with particular emphasis on the redox transformations involving triiodide to iodide and *vice versa* at a scanning rate of 50 mV s^{–1}. The CV analysis of the $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -Pth revealed two distinct sets of redox peaks, where the first set was indicative of the I_3^-/I^- redox process and the latter represented the I_2/I_3^- process as given in eqn (1) and (2). The anodic peak observed at higher potentials corresponds to the oxidation of I_2 to I_3^- as described in eqn (2). Conversely, the cathodic peak at lower potentials corresponds to the reduction of I_3^- to I^- as outlined in eqn (1). The cathodic peak associated with eqn (1) demonstrates the counter electrode's (CE) catalytic efficiency in reducing triiodide to iodide, represented by the cathodic peak current density. This observation accentuates the $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -Pth CE's adeptness in catalysing the reduction of I_3^- to I^- , a pivotal reaction within DSSCs.⁴⁷



Two distinctive characteristics, such as peak to peak separation (E_{pp}) and peak current density, are used to determine counter electrode catalytic capacity. The peak-to-peak separation can be determined using eqn (3).

Table 2 Comparison of photovoltaic performance of DSSC fabricated with $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -Pth CE and other reported works

| Counter electrodes | J_{sc} (mA cm^{-2}) | V_{oc} (V) | Fill factor | η | References |
|--|----------------------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|------------|
| PTh-IrO ₂ | 10.1 | 0.6 | 50 | 3.01 | 51 |
| Co-SnS ₂ /rGo | 11.85 | 0.77 | 60.1 | 5.54 | 52 |
| MoSe ₂ | 16.28 | 0.72 | 41 | 4.8 | 53 |
| Carbon black/SnSe | 12.4 | 0.66 | 62 | 5.0 | 54 |
| MXene-rGO | 10.9 | 0.64 | 62 | 4.36 | 55 |
| V ₂ C | 8.07 | 0.76 | 53 | 3.39 | 56 |
| MXene-MoS ₂ | 11.4 | 0.64 | 71 | 5.21 | 55 |
| $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -Pth | 15.54 | 0.67 | 56.05 | 5.82 | This work |



$$E_{\text{pp}} = |E_{\text{p}}(\text{anodic}) - E_{\text{p}}(\text{cathodic})| \quad (3)$$

In evaluating the electrochemical parameters of various CEs, the $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE was identified as exhibiting superior peak current density and a diminished peak-to-peak separation potential (E_{pp}) when compared with other alternative CEs. Remarkably, the $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE demonstrated a peak current density of 1.62 mA cm^{-2} and an E_{pp} of 0.33 V , surpassing the electrochemical performance of both pristine $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ (1.08 mA cm^{-2} , 0.60 V) and pristine PTh (1.24 mA cm^{-2} , 0.73 V), while paralleling that of Pt CE (3.4 mA cm^{-2} , 0.42 V) as observed in Fig. 5(a)–(d). These findings illuminate the reversible conversion of I_3^- to I^- , and superior electrocatalytic activity of the $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE. The performance of $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ CE alone, albeit slightly lower in peak current density compared to PTh, but with a reduced E_{pp} suggests a synergistic amplification upon its integration with polythiophene. This synergy substantially uplifts its electrocatalytic potential towards iodide/tri-iodide electrolytes, leading to a significant improvement in PCE for DSSCs equipped with $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CEs.

As demonstrated in Fig. 6(a), there is a notable increase in peak current density concomitant with rising scan rates, signifying an enhancement in the catalytic efficiency of $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE as the scan rate increases. The observation of a uniform, distortion-free pattern throughout these measurements implies a commendable level of reaction reversibility. Furthermore, as seen in Fig. 6(b), $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE's electrochemical endurance was evaluated by subjecting it to CV for 100 cycles at a scan rate of 50 mV s^{-1} which was replotted at every 10 cycles as given in Fig. 6(c). The remarkable electrochemical stability of the CE was highlighted by the findings, which showed a steady cathodic peak current with no discernible changes in the CV profiles over the test period, suggesting its viability for prolonged use in DSSCs.

Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was utilized to understand the electrocatalytic behavior of the CEs further. The Nyquist plots of the CEs across a frequency range from 0.1 Hz to $100\,000 \text{ Hz}$ are depicted in Fig. 7(a), with the relevant parameters outlined in Table 1. The high-frequency region of the Nyquist plots showcases a semicircle that corresponds to the charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) at the counter electrode's interface, which is crucial in assessing the counter electrode's catalytic efficiency in reducing triiodide ions.⁴⁷ The inclusion of $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ into the PTh CE was observed to decrease the R_{ct} value from 29.1Ω to 17.6Ω , under identical conditions. Additionally, the internal series resistance (R_s), which stems from the combined resistance of the electrolyte and the electrode's sheet resistance, is discernible from the high-frequency real axis intercept in the EIS plots. The R_s for the $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE was recorded at 12.2Ω , showing a reduction from the 14.66Ω observed for the solely PTh-based CE and 16.38Ω for $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ CE. This decline in R_{ct} and R_s suggests an enhanced electrocatalytic performance of the composite electrode over the PTh CE, attributed to the composite electrode's larger electrochemical active surface area enhancing the charge transfer at the $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh counter electrode/electrolyte interface, thereby accelerating the reduction reaction.⁴⁸ In comparison, the Pt CE

exhibited significantly lower R_{ct} (5.2Ω) and R_s (11.9Ω) values than those of the $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE. Given the influence of R_s and R_{ct} on the fill factor (FF) of DSSCs, Pt was shown to achieve a superior FF, as demonstrated in the photovoltaic efficiency tests outlined in Table 1.

The Tafel polarization analysis is utilized as an efficacious method for investigating electrochemical behaviors. Fig. 7(b) delineates the Tafel testing results for varied counter electrodes (CEs), highlighting two pivotal parameters: the exchange current density (J_o) and the maximal diffusion current density (J_{lim}). Elevated values for these parameters are indicative of superior catalytic performance and enhanced electron diffusion rate.^{49,50} As represented in Fig. 7(b), the CE with $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh composition exhibits the most prominent J_o and J_{lim} values in the Tafel and diffusion segments, measured at -2.8 mA cm^{-2} and -1.6 mA cm^{-2} , respectively. These values are higher than those of pristine $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ CE, which have J_o and J_{lim} values of -2.9 mA cm^{-2} and -2.0 mA cm^{-2} , and PTh CE, which have values of -3.0 mA cm^{-2} and -2.2 mA cm^{-2} . Additionally, these values are comparable to those of Pt CE, which has J_o and J_{lim} values of -2.5 mA cm^{-2} and -1.5 mA cm^{-2} . The observed increase in catalytic efficiency can be attributed to the combined effect of the abundant active sites afforded by $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ and PTh and the efficient electron transport channels. Moreover, the relationship for J_o as specified in eqn (4) is identified to be inversely proportional to the charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}), thereby aligning with the observations derived from the Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy (EIS) studies.

$$J_o = \frac{RT}{nFR_{\text{ct}}} \quad (4)$$

In Fig. 8, the J – V characteristic profiles of DSSCs utilizing different CEs are illustrated, with Table 1 providing a summary of the pertinent metrics. The analysis of J – V curves reveals that DSSCs equipped with a $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE achieved a PCE (η) of 5.8% . This efficiency closely rivals that of cells with a Pt CE, recorded at 7.9% , and markedly outperforms the efficiencies of cells with individual CEs. The $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE demonstrates superior performance over pure PTh CE and pure $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ CE in terms of short-circuit current density (J_{sc} , 15.5 mA cm^{-2}), open-circuit voltage (V_{oc} , 0.67 V), and fill factor (FF, 56%). While the Pt electrode displays a higher V_{oc} (0.66 V) and FF (63%), its J_{sc} (19.3 mA cm^{-2}) is marginally superior to that of the composite electrode. The enhancement in performance metrics with the $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE is ascribed to its augmented surface area and improved electrocatalytic activity, owing to the integration of conductive $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ sheets. This configuration facilitates a more efficient reduction of I_3^- . The PCE of the $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE exhibited superior performance compared to other reported 2D counter electrode materials as given in Table 2. The superior V_{oc} and FF observed with the Pt electrode stems from its exceptional electronic conductivity and diminished charge transfer resistance, which expedite the I_3^- reduction process. The enhancement in photovoltaic performance of DSSCs *via* the utilization of $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE is primarily ascribed to the synergistic catalytic effects emanating from the integration of



$\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ and PTh. This synergism significantly contributes to an elevation in J_{sc} to 15.54 mA cm^{-2} and FF to 56%.

To further elucidate the photovoltaic characteristics of DSSCs, the external quantum efficiency (EQE) spectra of DSSCs employing Pt and $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CEs are presented in Fig. S4.† The utilization of the same dye results in a consistent spectral response range across all DSSCs. The EQE for DSSCs with Pt CE and $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE peaks at 540 nm, attaining values of 41% and 38%, respectively, which are in close proximity to the performance exhibited by Pt CE. This enhancement in photovoltaic performance is attributable to the synergistic interaction of multiple active sites, superior charge transfer dynamics, and enhanced electrocatalytic activity intrinsic to the $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE.

4. Conclusions

The $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh composite was synthesized through a liquid-liquid interfacial polymerization technique, subsequently serving as an alternative CE in DSSCs, aiming to replace the Pt CE. Analyses utilizing XRD, FTIR, and XPS confirmed the effective integration of $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ into the PTh matrix. The morphological analysis revealed a consistent dispersal of $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ within the polymer framework. Compared to the pristine $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ CE and PTh CE, the composite electrode exhibited superior electrocatalytic performance, especially in the reduction of triiodide/iodide (I_3^-/I^-), primarily due to its increased active surface area and enhanced charge transport capabilities. The DSSCs with the $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE demonstrated a higher short-circuit current density (J_{sc}) and fill factor (FF) compared to devices using pure PTh. This improvement is attributed to the inclusion of $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ sheets, which notably enhances electron transport within the PTh matrix by decreasing resistance. Investigation of the photovoltaic performance of the DSSC constructed using $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE revealed a PCE of 5.82%, comparable to devices equipped with Pt CE. Therefore, the $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -PTh CE material emerges as a viable and effective substitute for the costly Pt CE, marking its potential for future generation CE in DSSCs. This facile synthesis approach could significantly contribute to the development of other $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ -based composite materials for use in economical and efficient photoelectronic devices in the foreseeable future.

Data availability

The authors declare that the data supporting the findings are available within the article.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

Author KEA acknowledges Researchers Supporting Project number (RSPD2024R531), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. The authors acknowledge DST – TDT, India for funding

this research work through the project number DST/TDT/AM/2022/75.

References

- M. Kokkonen, P. Talebi, J. Zhou, S. Asgari, S. A. Soomro, F. Elsehrawy, J. Halme, S. Ahmad, A. Hagfeldt and S. G. Hashmi, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2021, **9**, 10527–10545.
- A. M. M. Hasan and M. A. B. H. Susan, *RSC Adv.*, 2024, **14**, 7616–7630.
- M. Noman, Z. Khan and S. T. Jan, *RSC Adv.*, 2024, **14**, 5085–5131.
- B. O'regan and M. Grätzel, *Nature*, 1991, **353**, 737–740.
- L. J. V. Gómez, A. L. Iglesias, V. M. Soto, A. O. Sarabia, R. V. Castro, E. A. L. Maldonado, M. T. O. Guzmán, C. A. R. Soto, E. G. L. Medina and J. L. V. Arce, *RSC Adv.*, 2023, **13**, 36023–36034.
- H. V. Flint, H. A. R. Tito, R. D. James, F. Cucinotta, E. Gibson and M. E. Q. Caceda, *RSC Adv.*, 2024, **14**, 9913–9919.
- M. Aftabuzzaman, M. S. Ahmed, K. Matyjaszewski and H. K. Kim, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2022, **446**, 137249.
- Z. Hu, Y. Li, A. Li, H.-H. Wang and X.-F. Wang, *RSC Adv.*, 2023, **13**, 34808–34816.
- C. Wu, R. Li, Y. Wang, S. Lu, J. Lin, Y. Liu and X. Zhang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 10046–10049.
- H.-H. Chen, P.-C. Lin, H.-E. Tsai, W.-Y. Tsao and C.-L. Wang, *J. Electroanal. Chem.*, 2022, **923**, 116836.
- Z. Pang, Y. Zhao, Y. Duan, J. Duan, Q. Tang and L. Yu, *J. Energy Chem.*, 2019, **30**, 49–56.
- M. Mirzaei and M. B. Gholivand, *Sol. Energy*, 2022, **242**, 212–224.
- K. Wu, S. Liu, Y. Wu, B. Ruan, J. Guo and M. Wu, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2022, **236**, 111503.
- C. Gao, Q. Han and M. Wu, *J. Energy Chem.*, 2018, **27**, 703–712.
- M. Kouhnavard, D. Yifan, J. M. D'Arcy, R. Mishra and P. Biswas, *Sol. Energy*, 2020, **211**, 258–264.
- X. Yao, B. He, L. Cui, J. Ti, H. Chen, Y. Duan and Q. Tang, *Catal. Commun.*, 2022, **163**, 106403.
- S. J. Kim, H.-J. Koh, C. E. Ren, O. Kwon, K. Maleski, S.-Y. Cho, B. Anasori, C.-K. Kim, Y.-K. Choi and J. Kim, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 986–993.
- M. Naguib, M. Kurtoglu, V. Presser, J. Lu, J. Niu, M. Heon, L. Hultman, Y. Gogotsi and M. W. Barsoum, in *MXenes*, Jenny Stanford Publishing, 2011, pp. 15–29.
- J. Xu, J. Shim, J. Park and S. Lee, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, **26**, 5328–5334.
- P. Lian, Y. Dong, Z.-S. Wu, S. Zheng, X. Wang, S. Wang, C. Sun, J. Qin, X. Shi and X. Bao, *Nano Energy*, 2017, **40**, 1–8.
- J. Rajendran, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2023, **449**, 130979.
- S. Chakoma, X. Pei, H. Qin, A. Ghandehari, S. NajafiKhoshnou, J. Rajendran and R. Esfandyarpour, *Nano Res.*, 2024, 1–15.
- S. W. Lee, X. Pei, J. Rajendran and R. Esfandyarpour, *IEEE J. Flex. Electron.*, 2022, **101**, 107511.



24 J. Rajendran, A. K. Sundramoorthy, D. Ganapathy, R. Atchudan, M. A. Habila and D. Nallaswamy, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2022, **440**, 129705.

25 Z. Li, P. Wang, C. Ma, F. Igbari, Y. Kang, K.-L. Wang, W. Song, C. Dong, Y. Li and J. Yao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 2593–2600.

26 N. Kaur, D. P. Singh and A. Mahajan, *J. Electron. Mater.*, 2022, **51**, 4188–4206.

27 Z. Li, H. Li, S. Wang, F. Yang and W. Zhou, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2022, **427**, 131830.

28 X. Chen, Y. Zhuang, Q. Shen, X. Cao, W. Yang and P. Yang, *Sol. Energy*, 2021, **226**, 236–244.

29 Y. He, Z. Shen, G. Yue, Y. Gao, J. Huo, C. Dong, Y. Mao and F. Tan, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2022, **922**, 166279.

30 F. Nie, H. Zhao, S. Liu, Y. Li, H. Zhang, M. Wu and K. Wu, *Diamond Relat. Mater.*, 2024, **141**, 110586.

31 G. S. Gund, J. H. Park, R. Harpalsinh, M. Kota, J. H. Shin, T. Kim, Y. Gogotsi and H. S. Park, *Joule*, 2019, **3**, 164–176.

32 C. Bora, C. Sarkar, K. J. Mohan and S. Dolui, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2015, **157**, 225–231.

33 L. Yang, Y. Dall'Agnese, K. Hantanasirisakul, C. E. Shuck, K. Maleski, M. Alhabeb, G. Chen, Y. Gao, Y. Sanehira and A. K. Jena, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 5635–5642.

34 P. Shabeeba, M. S. Thayyil, M. P. Pillai, P. P. Soufeena and C. V Niveditha, *Russ. J. Electrochem.*, 2018, **54**, 302–308.

35 Q. Jiang, Y. Lei, H. Liang, K. Xi, C. Xia and H. N. Alshareef, *Energy Storage Mater.*, 2020, **27**, 78–95.

36 W. Luo, Y. Wei, Z. Zhuang, Z. Lin, X. Li, C. Hou, T. Li and Y. Ma, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2022, **406**, 139871.

37 M. Barakzehi, M. Montazer, F. Sharif, T. Norby and A. Chatzitakis, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2019, **305**, 187–196.

38 C. Bora, R. Pegu, B. J. Saikia and S. K. Dolui, *Polym. Int.*, 2014, **63**, 2061–2067.

39 M. Boota and Y. Gogotsi, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2019, **9**, 1802917.

40 Y. Wang, Y. Ding, X. Guo and G. Yu, *Nano Res.*, 2019, **12**, 1978–1987.

41 H. Liang and X. Li, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2009, **86**, 8–17.

42 C. Dong, D. Li, H. Wang, B. Cai, Y. Xin, H. Peng, Y. Zhao, N. Wang, Z. Cui and G. Wang, *Carbon*, 2023, **215**, 118459.

43 J. Xue, Y. Shi, W. Wang, Y. Yu and C. Tang, *J. Mater. Sci.: Mater. Electron.*, 2022, **33**, 6636–6645.

44 M. Ghidiu, J. Halim, S. Kota, D. Bish, Y. Gogotsi and M. W. Barsoum, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 3507–3514.

45 R. B. Rakhi, B. Ahmed, M. N. Hedhili, D. H. Anjum and H. N. Alshareef, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 5314–5323.

46 R. Kang, Z. Zhang, L. Guo, J. Cui, Y. Chen, X. Hou, B. Wang, C.-T. Lin, N. Jiang and J. Yu, *Sci. Rep.*, 2019, **9**, 1–14.

47 F. Gong, X. Xu, G. Zhou and Z.-S. Wang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2013, **15**, 546–552.

48 C.-Y. Liu, K.-C. Huang, P.-H. Chung, C.-C. Wang, C.-Y. Chen, R. Vittal, C.-G. Wu, W.-Y. Chiu and K.-C. Ho, *J. Power Sources*, 2012, **217**, 152–157.

49 H. Jeong, J.-Y. Kim, B. Koo, H. J. Son, D. Kim and M. J. Ko, *J. Power Sources*, 2016, **330**, 104–110.

50 C. V. V. M. Gopi, M. Venkata-Haritha, S. Ravi, C. V. Thulasi-Varma, S.-K. Kim and H.-J. Kim, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2015, **3**, 12514–12528.

51 A. Asok and K. Haribabu, *Curr. Appl. Phys.*, 2023, **49**, 64–69.

52 J. Raveena, R. R. Chandrapal, G. Bakiyaraj, V. S. Manikandan, S. Athitya, J. Archana and M. Navaneethan, *Mater. Today Commun.*, 2023, **34**, 105204.

53 K. Ahmad and H. Kim, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2023, **296**, 127260.

54 A. Zatirostami, *Thin Solid Films*, 2021, **725**, 138642.

55 S. Gasso and A. Mahajan, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2022, **808**, 140144.

56 C. Xu, X. Zhao, M. Sun, J. Ma and M. Wu, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2021, **392**, 138983.

