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Secondary structures in synthetic polypeptides from N-carboxyanhydrides: design, modulation, association, and material applications

Ziyuan Song, a† Hailin Fu, b† Ruibo Wang, a Lazaro A. Pacheco, a Xu Wang, ac Yao Lin, b Jianjun Cheng a

Synthetic polypeptides derived from the ring-opening polymerization of N-carboxyanhydrides can spontaneously fold into stable secondary structures under specific environmental conditions. These secondary structures and their dynamic transitions play an important role in regulating the properties of polypeptides in self-assembly, catalysis, polymerization, and biomedical application. Here, we review the current strategies to modulate the secondary structures, and highlight the conformation-specific dynamic properties of synthetic polypeptides and corresponding materials. A number of mechanistic studies elucidating the role of secondary structures are discussed, aiming to provide insights into the new designs and applications of synthetic polypeptides. We aim for this article to bring to people’s attention synthetic polymers with ordered conformations, which may exhibit association behaviors and material properties that are otherwise not found in polymers without stable secondary structures.

1. Introduction

As one of the most important macromolecules for life, proteins have fascinated scientists for centuries. Throughout Nature, proteins have been constructed for numerous biological functions including catalysis, cell support, and signal transduction.1 Since the discovery of α-helices and β-sheets,2, 3 people realized that these secondary structures are the fundamental building blocks of nearly all proteins, regardless of their sequence and side chain structures. The formation of secondary structures and their three-dimensional arrangement in space (i.e., tertiary structures) play a critical role in controlling properties of proteins. In addition, conformational changes in response to changes in the environment is directly associated with the functioning of proteins.4 For instance, calmodulin, a ubiquitous Ca2+-dependent messenger protein, plays a tremendous role in regulating numerous intercellular processes.5 Upon Ca2+ binding, calmodulin changes its structure from a “closed” conformation to an “open” conformation, leading to the exposure of its hydrophobic surfaces that bind to and regulate target proteins.6

Inspired by these proteins, researchers have developed various peptidomimetic oligomers or polymers, aiming to not only reconstruct these secondary structures through chemical synthesis, but more importantly, to control material properties by the manipulation of their secondary structures. These peptidomimetic materials, with α-peptide, β-peptide,7, 8 and peptoid backbone structures,9-11 can adopt stable secondary structures when their side chains and sequences are properly designed. For instance, studies on peptidomimetic foldamers, which are beyond the scope of this review article, have shown us how scientists are able to modulate the conformation of a molecule through the variation of backbone building blocks, side-chain interactions, and the surrounding environment.12-15

Among various peptidomimetic materials, oligo(α-peptide)s and poly(α-peptide) (usually written as oligopeptides and polypeptides) are most studied since they have the same backbone structure as natural proteins (i.e., peptide bonds). These peptide-mimetic materials with ordered secondary structures, including α-helices and β-sheets, exhibit completely different self-assembly behaviors, biomedical performance, and catalytic activities compared with their random-coiled analogues. While material properties of conventional synthetic polymers which exhibit no stable secondary structures are mainly regulated through the tuning of their degree of polymerization (DP), side-chain structures, and chemical compositions, synthetic polypeptides add an
extra parameter to modulate their functions and behaviors by controlling the conformation of the polymers. Currently, there are mainly three methods to prepare oligopeptide and polypeptide materials, namely solid phase peptide synthesis (SPPS), microbial synthesis, and ring-opening polymerization (ROP) of N-carboxyanhydrides (NCAs) (Figure 1). While the former two methods are able to produce monodisperse peptide materials with controlled sequences, microbial synthesis is typically used to the preparation of peptides bearing only natural amino acid residues, and solid phase peptide synthesis is limited to short peptides (< 50 residues) and requires tedious procedures while suffering from low yields. On the other hand, although NCA polymerization approach generates polydisperse polypeptides without precise sequence control, it offers considerable chemical diversity beyond the twenty one natural amino acids and enables large scale synthesis of high molecular weight (MW) polypeptides with various architectures. With the recent development of living NCA polymerization and functionalized NCA monomers, researchers can easily vary the polypeptide chain lengths and introduce versatile side-chain structures, producing well-defined polypeptide materials with controlled secondary structures.

In this article, we focus on discussing the design, modulation, and application of secondary structures of synthetic polypeptides prepared from NCA polymerization. A recently published paper on a similar topic by Bonduelle highlights the fundamental principles of polypeptide polymer structuring, and briefly reviews the application of polypeptides adopting stable secondary structures. Structural details and the characterization of secondary structures were already summarized in said review, and thus will not be covered in this article. Herein, we aim to provide a comprehensive summary on the critical roles that secondary structures play in manipulating the performance of synthetic polypeptides. In addition, mechanistic studies elucidating the conformation-specific properties of synthetic polypeptides are highlighted, aiming to provide insights into the new design and application of polypeptide materials. We aim for this review article to serve as a complement to the existing library of review papers on synthetic polypeptides which mainly focus on NCA chemistry, side-chain structure design, self-assembly behaviors, stimuli-responsive properties, polypeptide-brush on surface, and biomedical applications.

2 Modulation of Secondary Structures

In an attempt to elucidate the protein folding problem, the secondary structures, especially α-helices, of several proteins and their peptide segments were extensively studied in late twentieth century. The results suggested that the formation and stabilization of secondary structures are controlled not only by the intrinsic α-helix/β-sheet-propensities of each amino acid residue, but also through specific interactions between the side chains of the peptides. In addition, the impact of side-chain interactions on helix formation depends on the position of the amino acid residues in the peptide sequence. These studies provided valuable insights in the modulation of secondary structures in synthetic polypeptides from the ROP of NCAs.

2.1 Impact of side-chain interactions on the conformations of synthetic polypeptides

Synthetic polypeptides, either made from SPPS, microbial synthesis or NCA polymerization, are composed of both natural and non-natural amino acids derived from the functionalization of natural amino acids (e.g., Glu, Lys, Ser, and Cys). The α-helix/β-sheet-propensities of these natural amino acids are therefore inadequate in predicting the secondary structures of these synthetic polypeptides. In addition, polypeptides derived from NCA polymerization are typically composed of fewer than four types of amino acid residues. Thus, several important side-chain interactions contributing to the stability of secondary structures in natural peptides, such as the Glu-Lys salt bridge and hydrogen bonding (H-bonding) interactions of N-capping residues, are not common in synthetic polypeptides. To date, the formation and stabilization of secondary structures in most developed synthetic polypeptide systems are controlled by the interactions of one or two types of side chains, including Coulombic interactions, hydrophobic interactions, and H-bonding interactions.

2.1.1 Side-chain Coulombic interactions. In peptides, Coulombic attraction can either stabilize or destabilize the helical conformation depending on the spacing of the charged residues. However, such attractive interactions are seldom observed in synthetic polypeptides with helical conformations due to the difficulty of accurately placing ion pairs at desired positions in a polymer chain. The major Coulombic interactions in synthetic homopolypeptides are repulsive interactions, which make the α-helical conformation unstable, as observed in polyl-L-glutamic acid)s (PLGs) at neutral or basic pH. Once the charged groups of these polypeptides are shielded by protonation/deprotonation, the α-helical conformation is recovered. Similarly, charge-induced β-sheet-coil transition have also been reported if the peptide residue has an intrinsic propensity to form β-sheets (e.g., polyl-S-carboxymethyl-L-cysteine)s). In random copolypeptides bearing both positive and negative side chains, attractive Coulombic interactions commonly serve as destabilizing forces of the helical conformation, as the spacing of opposite charges are random. On the other hand, the mixing of two polypeptide chains bearing opposite charges, such as PLG and polyl-lysine) (PLL), results in the formation of polion complexes (PIC) with a β-sheet conformation. The formation of β-sheet structures requires both polypeptide chains to possess the same chirality, as the
use of achiral polypeptides only gives rise to the formation of random-coiled PIC.  

2.1.2 Side-chain hydrophobic interactions. Side-chain hydrophobic interactions favor the formation of ordered α-helical or β-sheet conformation of synthetic polypeptides, in a similar manner with their peptide analogues. Depending on their side-chain structures, hydrophobic homopolypeptides adopt either α-helical or β-sheet conformations. For instance, poly(-leucine) (PLLεu) adopts a stable α-helical conformation while poly(-valine) (PLVal) prefers a β-sheet structure. In 1966, Berger and co-workers developed a series of hydrophobic sidechain hydrogen bonding interactions between backbone amides and side-chain hydrophobic interactions on the α-helical  

formation of the secondary structures of synthetic polypeptides. Recently, Cheng, Yin, Ferguson, and co-workers reported that the donor-acceptor pattern of side-chain hydrophobic interactions has a profound impact on the α-helical or β-sheet conformations. For instance, poly(-leucine) (PLLεu) adopts a stable α-helical conformation while poly(-valine) (PLVal) prefers a β-sheet structure. In 1966, Berger and co-workers developed a series of hydrophobic sidechain hydrogen bonding interactions between backbone amides and side-chain hydrophobic interactions on the α-helical 

2.1.3 Side-chain hydrogen bonding interactions. Although side-chain H-bonding interactions were reported to stabilize α-helices in natural peptides, these interactions have not been well studied in synthetic polypeptides. Recently, Cheng, Yin, Ferguson, and co-workers reported that the donor-acceptor pattern of side-chain H-bonding ligands have a profound impact on the formation of the secondary structures of synthetic polypeptides. H-bonding ligands bearing both hydrogen bond (H-bond) donors and acceptors (referred to as "binary H-bonding pattern", BHB), such as amides, disrupt the α-helical conformation when incorporated on the side chains of polypeptides. On the contrary, H-bonding ligands with only H-bond donors or acceptors (referred to as "unitary H-bonding pattern", UHB) do not show obvious disruptive effects. Although the molecular mechanism was not clear, the authors suggested that the H-bonding interactions between backbone amides and side-chain BHB ligands play a critical role in disrupting the α-helical structures. 

2.2 The design of α-helical, water-soluble polypeptides

Water-soluble polypeptides with α-helical conformations are of great importance for biomedical applications. In nature, such polypeptides were constructed by placing ionic groups on one side of the α-helix to maintain water solubility, and hydrophobic groups on the opposite side to stabilize the peptide’s secondary structure. Ionic groups can also help stabilize the α-helical conformation through the formation of salt bridges. This strategy, however, is not suitable for synthetic polypeptides since precise sequence control is difficult to achieve. Common water-soluble polypeptides including PLG and PLL, when in their charged form, adopt random coil conformation in water because of charge repulsion between side chains. In order to solve this charge repulsion problem, scientists have come up with two strategies to prepare α-helical, water-soluble synthetic polypeptides. For the first strategy, non-ionic hydrophilic groups, such as oligo(ethylene glycol) (OEG) and sugar units, were incorporated in the side chains of polypeptides. These groups render the polypeptides water-soluble while limiting repulsive interactions that may potentially destabilize the formation of α-helices. As a result, OEG-containing polypeptides and glycopolypeptides have been demonstrated to adopt stable α-helical structures in aqueous solution (Figure 2A).

In 2011, Cheng, Lin, and co-workers developed the first ionic polypeptides with stable α-helical conformation by extending the spacing of the charged functionality from the polypeptide backbone (Figure 2B). The decreased charge repulsion and the enhanced hydrophobic interactions resulted in good stability of α-helices against changes in pH and temperature, as well as the addition of denaturing reagents. Following this work, several cationic and anionic polypeptides adopting stable α-helical structures were prepared. A similar strategy was also employed to prepare ionogenic polypeptides with stable β-sheet conformations.

2.3 Trigger-responsive helix-coil transition

Synthetic polypeptides with α-helical conformations exhibit several beneficial properties compared with their random coiled analogues. Therefore, it is of great interest to design trigger-responsive, conformationally switchable polypeptides, which can be “activated” (coil-to-helix) or “deactivated” (helix-to-coil) on demand. Here, we discuss three strategies which are used to design synthetic polypeptides with helix-coil transition behaviors. Some other conformationally switchable synthetic polypeptides, such as the polypeptides with α-helix-to-β-sheet or β-sheet-to-coil transitions, will not be discussed in details.

2.3.1 Manipulation of side-chain charges. Learning from the well-known examples of PLG and PLL, the shielding/exposure of side-chain charges is the most commonly used strategy to modulate the secondary structures of synthetic polypeptides. For example, PLG adopts a typical random coil structure when its side chains are charged. Once the charges are eliminated
through protonation,

esterification, metal coordination, or salt screening, recovery of the α-helical conformation is observed.

Considering the facile chemistry to attach various trigger-responsive moieties on the side chains of PLG, PLL, and poly(γ-cysteine) (PLCy), the control of side-chain charges is the most versatile strategy to design synthetic polypeptides with helix-coil transition behaviors. For instance, nitrobenzyl functionality and its derivatives are widely used in the design of UV-responsive polypeptide materials. As shown in Figure 3A, poly(γ-(4,5-dimethoxy-2-nitrobenzyloxy)-L-glutamate) (PDMNBLG) gradually loses its helical structure upon UV irradiation due to the deprotection of PLG groups.

2.3.2 Manipulation of side-chain polarity. Deming and co-workers reported the redox-responsive helix-coil transition of PLCys derivatives, which exhibited a helix-to-coil transition once the side-chain thioethers were oxidized into sulfones. The change in secondary structure was attributed to the increase of side-chain polarity, since the polar sulfone groups were likely to disrupt hydrophobic interactions between side chains through their interaction with water.

Following this work, the authors further developed synthetic polypeptides with reversible helix-coil transition behaviors. When sugar- or OEG-based poly(L-homocysteine) derivatives were used, the controlled oxidation of side-chain thioether groups to sulfoxides led to the change of secondary structure to α-helices. Interestingly, the side-chain sulfoxides can be reduced back into thioether with the addition of thioglycolic acid, reversing the change in secondary structure (Figure 3B).

2.3.3 Manipulation of side-chain H-bonding. The impact of side-chain H-bonding patterns on secondary structure was also applied in the design of polypeptides with helix-coil transition properties. 1,2,3-Triazole was introduced into the side chains of polypeptides as a modulator, whose H-bonding pattern was controlled by aqueous pH. Under acidic conditions, the BHB pattern triazole groups were protonated into a UHB pattern triazolium, resulting in the recovery of α-helices (Figure 3C). The reversibility of the helix-coil transition was conclusively demonstrated with both experimental and simulation-based methods.

2.4 Nonlocal interactions in helix-coil transition of polypeptide-containing macromolecules in solution

The classic statistical mechanical models for helix-coil transition, which were developed by Schellman, Gibbs, Zimm, Lifson, and Nagai, are all based on local interactions and consider neither inter-chain nor intra-chain nonlocal interactions. In most cases, the nonlocal interactions were found to be able to disrupt the persistence of helical rods. In both the bulk state and concentrated solutions, it is found that there are strong inter-chain or intra-chain nonlocal interactions that disrupt α-helices into “broken rods” instead of a single intact rod. In dilute solutions, this could also be the case when local proximity is realized by the grafting of side chains onto the brush polymers or the folding of long, linear polypeptides. Lin, Cheng, and co-workers systematically explored the helix-to-coil transition behavior of poly(y-benzyl-L-glutamate) (PBLG) and polynorbornene-g-PBLG (PN-g-PBLG) in CDCl_3 with different fractions of trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), which was shown that the transition sharpness (i.e., the apparent cooperativity) decreases as the grafting density increases in the randomly grafted brush polymer (Figure 4A), indicating a greater tendency for the α-helical rod to break into shorter segments as the side chains become closer to each other. In nuclear Overhauser enhancement spectroscopy (NOESY) experiments, it was found that the normalized off-diagonal cross peak intensity is negatively correlated to the normalized apparent cooperativity, suggesting that the intensity of nonlocal interactions controls the folding behaviors of the polypeptide chains in the crowding environment. Due to nontrivial, nonlocal interactions, significant deviations from the classic models were reported. Lin, Cheng, and co-workers analyzed the helix-coil transition behavior of linear PZLLs (DP ranges from 35 to 150) in CDCl_3 fairly well, it could not fit the helix-coil transition curves of the brush polymer PN-g-PZLLs. In the analysis of the helix-coil transition behavior of linear PBLG, with the consideration of non-contiguous helical segments, Zimm-Bragg’s model is found to be better than the Schellman’s model at explaining the plateau region of the apparent cooperativity experienced by the DP increases. However, Zimm-Bragg’s model can only explain the helix-to-coil transition behavior of short linear PBLG. As shown in Figure 4B, Zimm-Bragg’s model failed when the chains have a DP of 484 or 1228, which is likely due to the intra-chain interactions between different helical segments.

As the classic models have difficulties in accurately describing the helix-to-coil transitions in the brush polymers and long, linear polypeptides, there arose a need for new models which consider non-local interactions. Ghosh and Dill first developed a model that considers non-local interactions in multi-bundle protein folding. On the basis of Schellman’s model, the authors further considered the cases where there are two or three helices within a single chain, and incorporated the term of nonlocal interactions between the helix bundles by treating the hydrophobic and van der Waals interactions between helices as a binding equilibrium. The model is shown to be able to predict the thermal and urea induced helix-coil transitions correctly for single helix and three-helix-bundled proteins. Following this approach, Lin,
Cheng, and co-workers modified Ghosh-Dill’s model and applied it to the analysis of the helix-coil transition behavior of brush polymer PN-g-PZLL, and obtained good agreement between the theoretical predictions and experimental results.86

3 The role of secondary structures in self-assembly in solution

Proteins are generally composed of 21 natural amino acids, while in polypeptides both natural and non-natural amino acids can be included. This versatility in side chain functionality, along with the flexible design of the molecular topology, enables more diverse folding and self-assembly behaviors, post-modulation methods, and environmental responsiveness in both aqueous and organic solutions. The diverse self-assembled structures, viability for in situ structural control, and biocompatibility make synthetic polypeptides a good candidate for drug delivery,90-96 and applications in food97 and cosmetics.98 The activities of proteins rely on their tertiary structure. Likewise, the application of polypeptides relies on their self-assembled structures which are guided and stabilized by their secondary structures. Desirable structures typically include coacervates,99, 100 vesicles,70, 90-96 gels,44, 101-103 and membranes.93 The control of the macroscopic self-assembly is realized by a delicate balance in the hydrophilicity/hydrophobicity, charge interactions, secondary structure motifs interactions, and other environmental factors. In this section, we will focus on how secondary structure influences and guides the self-assembly of polypeptides.

3.1 The role of α-helical rods in the formation of vesicles or sheet-like membranes

The use of polypeptide-based vesicles in drug delivery is largely appealing due to their multifunctionality, biomimetic nature, and the ability of polypeptides to adopt different secondary structures. α-Helical forming polypeptides are extremely efficient at anisotropic packing, which tends to favor the formation of vesicles or membranes.90, 91 In an amphiphilic diblock or triblock copolymer,104 different morphologies have been achieved by balancing the packing of hydrophobic, α-helical blocks with Coulombic repulsions from charged blocks91, 101 or hydrophilicity from nonionic blocks90, 105, 106. Generally, the morphologies of the assemblies are controlled by the fractions and relative lengths of the α-helical, hydrophobic, and vesicle-forming polypeptide segments. Typically, block copolypeptides containing a long, hydrophilic, random coil block and a short, hydrophobic, α-helical block favor the formation of micelles107 or gels101 in water, while short, hydrophilic, random coil blocks combined with long, hydrophobic, α-helical blocks favor the formation of vesicles.108 Deming and co-workers have designed a series of amphiphilic diblock copolypeptides, PLL-b-PLLeu (named KbLb in the original paper) (Figure 5A, 5B),91 to systematically test the effects of the fraction of helices on the morphology of the self-assembled structures. Polypeptides with the largest fraction of α-helical block (PLL20-b-PLLeu20) formed membranes in aqueous environments (Figure 5C). As the fraction of α-helical segments decreased, the self-assembled structure converted into fibrils (PLL60-b-PLLeu20, Figure 5D), vesicles (PLL80-b-PLLeu20, Figure 5E), and eventually irregular aggregates (PLL80-b-PLLeu20, Figure 5F). When the chain length is increased while maintaining the same helical fraction (PLL160-b-PLLeu40 compared with PLL80-b-PLLeu20), the formation of gels was observed,101 presumably due to stronger charge repulsions. In addition, a hydrophobic polypeptide segment with DP > 10 is critical for helix-directed self-assemblies, as shorter chains with DP < 10 are not able to form stable α-helices. Kamei, Deming, and co-workers demonstrated that while a vesicular morphology was mainly observed for PLL60-b-PLLeu20 and PLL80-b-PLLeu25, PLL80-b-PLLeu20 formed a mixture of micelles and vesicles, with the former being predominant.109

In addition to the block copolypeptides bearing charged, hydrophilic blocks, Deming and coworkers reported the self-assembly behavior of poly(ε-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)acetyl-L-lysine) (PEG2LLys)-b-PLLeu (named K15L1 in the original paper), which bears a non-ionic, hydrophilic block (Figure 6A, 6B).90 Both the hydrophilic and the hydrophobic segments are able to exhibit the α-helical conformation, resulting in the formation of sub-micrometer assemblies, vesicles (Figure 6C), membrane structures (Figure 6D), or irregular aggregates, depending on the chain lengths and the fraction of the hydrophobic block. The authors have shown that longer chains prefer the formation of membranes over vesicular structures at a fixed fraction of hydrophobic block (e.g., PEG2LLys200-b-PLLeu40) formed membranes while PEG2LLys100-b-PLLeu20 formed vesicles).

3.2 The role of secondary structures in gelation

Protein- and peptide-based hydrogels, which are typically formed by chemical or physical crosslinks,110 are widely used in food, cosmetics, drug delivery, and tissue engineering due to their tunable mechanical and structural properties, desired biodegradability, and good biocompatibility.111, 112 However, due to inconsistencies between materials extracted from natural sources, there is a call for the development of synthetic polypeptides as replacements.101 With their ability to form ordered secondary structures, synthetic polypeptides remain one of the most promising synthetic gel-forming materials. Besides hydrophobic interactions that are often used in random coil polymers as the association forces, synthetic polypeptides are able to make use of their conformation as another tunable handle. Helical rods have a strong preference to align with each other, and the formation of β-sheets is intrinsically accompanied by inter-chain H-
bonding, both of which can be used as inter-chain crosslinks.

By exploiting these association forces as the inter-chain crosslinks and balancing them with charge repulsions, Deming and co-workers developed a series of diblock, triblock, and pentablock copoly peptides, aiming to study the impact of secondary structures on the gelation behavior.\textsuperscript{101} PLL-b-PLLLeu (Figure 7A, polymer 1) and PLL-b-PLVal (Figure 7A, polymer 2) were found to be able to form gels at concentrations as low as 0.25 wt% while maintaining their mechanical strength and a rapid recovery rate after stress at temperatures up to 90 °C. The rheological behaviors of PLL\textsubscript{160}-b-PLLLeu\textsubscript{40}, PLL\textsubscript{160}-b-PLLVal\textsubscript{40}, and PLL\textsubscript{160}-b-poly(DL-leucine)\textsubscript{40} (PDLLeu) (Figure 7A, polymer 3), which adopts the α-helix, β-sheet, and random coil, respectively, were studied. Both α-helical and β-sheet conformations promoted gelation, while the random coil conformation significantly increases the gelation threshold (from 0.25% to 2 wt%) (Figure 7B).

When two oppositely charged polypeptides, poly(lysine) (pK) and poly(glutamic acid) (pE), were racemic, the formation of β-sheets was halted (Figure 8A) and a liquid coacervate complex was formed instead (Figure 8B), indicating that the formation of liquid coacervates is favored when a random coiled conformation is present.

In addition, Tirrell and co-workers reported the formation of liquid coacervates when mixing pE and a positively charged, α-helical polypeptide, poly(γ-3-(4-

ple displaced by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images (Figure 9B, 9C). The general applicability of these self-assembled structures in inducing the formation of anti-parallel β-sheets was also demonstrated with PLG-grafted Au nanoparticles (NPs).\textsuperscript{121, 122} 

3.5 Liquid crystalline structures from α-helical polypeptides

Certain polypeptides which exhibit the α-helical structure have been demonstrated to form cholesteric liquid crystals (LC) in solution. This can be linked to and
explained by Flory’s theories on the necessary criteria for a macromolecular system to nucleate a LC phase: their exhibiting a “rigid, rod-like” structure and being dissolved in a sufficiently high concentration in solution. The first polypeptide-based LC was discovered by Elliot and Ambrose in 1950, where they discovered a birefringent phase present in the chloroform solution of PBLG. However, it was not until a few years later that Robinson utilized the same system to show that these polypeptides organized themselves into LCs of cholesteric nature. Robinson also demonstrated that the transition from a uniform, isotropic phase to a continuous birefringent phase included an intermediate region where there was a coexistence of the both phase. It was further noted that the points in which there was the inception of the birefringent phase, and the complete conversion of isotropic-to-anisotropic phase, was heavily dependent on the MW but not the system solvent; in line with Flory’s theory.

The formation of LCs has been identified for homochiral polypeptides regardless of their handedness (e.g., PBLG and poly(γ-benzyl-ω-glutamate) (PBDG)). The α-helical conformation of the polypeptide chain is what provides it with a “rigid, rod-like” characteristic, which is required for the formation of LCs. Equimolar mixing of PBLG and PBDG has been shown to form nematic LCs instead of cholesteric ones; a phenomenon that has been previously observed for binary mixtures of other cholesteric LCs.

Phase diagrams produced from experimental data have been shown to behave as Flory’s theories predict. For polypeptide LCs, they are most commonly functions of temperature and polypeptide concentration. Interestingly, studies where the nucleation of LC phases were of interest have shown that initial LC phase nucleate as spherulites, similar to a semi-crystalline polymer nucleating its crystalline phase upon reaching its melting temperature (Figure 10A, 10B). Furthermore, it was found that the underlying kinetics behind the nucleation of these LC spherulites very intimately matched those of a regular polymer undergoing classical crystallization; proving that the analogy of the LC phase being ‘nucleated’ is a proper one.

### 4 In situ regulation of self-assembly by controlling secondary structure

In linear polypeptides, their ability to instantly form self-assemblies often implies a poor solubility or dispersity in water. This requires special processing procedures to facilitate an even mixture and a well-ordered self-assembly, which typically include its treatment with organic solvents, sonication, or high temperature processing. In nature, globular proteins, which typically form helical and tubular filaments under mild conditions, overcome this problem by starting in conformations that do not easily assemble into higher ordered structures. Such proteins are typically highly soluble and monodisperse. Upon exposure to a particular triggering factor (e.g., the binding with adenosine triphosphate (ATP) or guanosine-5'-triphosphate (GTP)), the secondary structures of these proteins are altered, which eventually leads to a cooperative self-assembly. On the other hand, disassembly may also be readily achievable with an opposite trigger (e.g., the conversion from ATP bound proteins to adenosine diphosphate (ADP) bound proteins) that induces the changes in the secondary structures.

Inspired by these processes, in situ triggered assembly or disassembly have been realized in synthetic polypeptide systems by controlling the secondary structures via enzymatic or chemically coupled modification of side chains or the changes in environmental factors.

#### 4.1 Regulation by enzyme triggered reactions

Being highly efficient and selective in catalyzing a large variety of substrates under mild conditions, enzymes are often selected as stimuli to elicit highly specific chemical responses in a system. The most popular enzymatic reactions include phosphorylation/dephosphorylation, ester hydrolysis, reverse hydrolysis, and reduction/oxidation reactions. These reactions have been used to change the hydrophilicity/hydrophobicity of the linkage of the precursors, and consequently induce their assembly or disassembly.

Enzymatic reactions were also used in the polypeptide system to trigger reactions which can induce changes in the secondary structures and hence their self-assembly behaviors. Deming and co-workers demonstrated that via an enzyme catalyzed redox reaction, the hydrophilic, disordered polypeptide, poly(ω-methionine sulfoxide) (PLMetO), can be reduced into a hydrophobic, α-helical poly(ω-methionine) (PLMet) (Figure 11A). A diblock amphiphilic copolypeptide with a hydrophilic PLMetO and a hydrophobic, α-helical PLLeu-r-poly(L-phenylalanine) (PLPhe) is able to self-assemble into vesicles in aqueous solution (Figure 11B). When methionine sulfioxide reductase A and B were added, the PLMetO was reduced to PLMet, rendering the diblock polypeptide a completely α-helical, hydrophobic rod (Figure 11A). As a result, the vesicles were disrupted, and irregular sheet-like structures were observed from differential interference contrast (DIC) images (Figure 11C).

#### 4.2 Regulation by chemical modification

Another method for in situ manipulation of the secondary structure is by chemical modification of the side chain structures of polypeptides. For instance, the modifications can be achieved through UV irradiation or the addition of chemical reactants. Cheng, Leal, and co-workers reported the development of a polymersome based on a PEG-b-PDMNBLG which undergoes a helix-to-coil transition under UV irradiation.
triggered cleavage of side-chain ester bonds on the PDMNBLLG block not only led to the loss of the α-helical conformation of the polypeptide block, but also rendered the entire block copolymer hydrophilic, causing a disassembly of the polymersomes.

The manipulation of secondary structures has also been performed through the addition of chemicals and catalysts to induce in situ reactions. Lin, Cheng, and co-workers have shown a coil-to-helix transition by modifying the PLG-grafted brush copolymers with benzylamine in the presence of a coupling catalyst (Figure 12A). The stacking of side-chain benzyl rings enhanced the side-by-side interactions of α-helices through hydrophobic interactions (Figure 12B), resulting in the assembly of brush copolymers into membranes (Figure 12C, 12D).

4.3 Regulation by pH or salts

Polypeptides bearing side-chain amines or carboxylic acids are sensitive to solution pH and ionic strength. Side-chain charge interactions disrupt the formation of α-helices and β-sheets due to intra- or inter-chain repulsions, while salt can be used to partially or completely screen these charge interactions depending on its concentrations.91, 147 Lecommandoux and Rodriguez-Hernandez have demonstrated a “schizophrenic” polypeptide-based polymersome in which the hydrophilic and hydrophobic segments are entirely dependent on the pH of the assembly medium (Figure 13A).148 The diblock copolypeptide, PLG-b-PLL, exhibited a random coil structure between pH 5 and 9 since both blocks were in their charged states. At pHs below 4, the protonation of PLG block led to its coil-to-helix transition, resulting in the assembly of vesicles with helical PLG forming the interlayer and the charged PLL forming the corona (Figure 13B). At elevated pHs, the roles of the blocks were reversed, resulting in polymersomes with a neutral PLL interlayer and a negatively charged PLG surface (Figure 13C).

Reports of salts being utilized to screen intramolecular charge repulsion and stabilize the α-helices have been around for several decades.149 Multiple studies have since been conducted to demonstrate the influence of ionic strength on the secondary structure of polypeptides, leading to the assembly into complex architectures with useful properties. Pochan and co-workers reported a salt-triggered self-assembly of polypeptides into hydrogel based on β-hairpin peptides.150 The increase of the ionic strength weakened the interactions between charged side chains, facilitating the folding of polypeptides into β-sheets. Upon the further increase in salt concentration, continually enhanced mechanical properties of the hydrogel (e.g., storage modulus) was observed.

4.4 Induced secondary structure by metal coordination

The coordination of metal ions with charged polypeptides is another way to neutralize charges and further trigger the self-assembly through the coil-to-helix transition. For instance, Kataoka and co-workers reported the use of a PEG-b-PLG derivative for the preparation of polymersomes via metal coordination.151 The binding between (1,2-diaminocyclohexane) platinum(II) (DACHPt) and the negatively charged PLG block decreases the electrostatic repulsions, leading to the spontaneous formation of metallosomes (Figure 14A). The coil-to-helix transition of PLG block was confirmed by CD spectra of the copolymers before and after the addition of DACHPt (Figure 14B). Interestingly, although the release of DACHPt under physiological conditions caused a gradual decrease of the CD signal, the characteristic CD spectra of α-helix remained even after a prolonged incubation time of 124 h (Figure 14C). The α-helical structures played an important role in maintaining the vesicular morphology of metallosomes, and hence retaining the encapsulated cargos. The vesicular structures were still visible after 48 h incubation of metallosomes under physiological conditions, even with > 40% loss of DACHPt.

5 Formation of amyloid fibrils by synthetic polypeptides

Amyloid fibrils are starch-like proteinaceous fibrils which are characterized by cross-β structure. They have been associated with more than 20 human diseases, including Alzheimer’s disease, Huntington’s disease, and type II diabetes.152, 153 At the same time, amyloid fibrils possess excellent mechanical properties that are comparable to natural building blocks (e.g., microtubule and actin filaments), which makes them promising materials for biomedical applications.154 In this section, we focus on the amyloidogenic behavior of synthetic polypeptides and their potential applications as functional materials.

5.1 Amyloidogenesis is exhibited by widely different polypeptides

The formation of amyloid fibrils used to be associated with specific sequences and compositions of amyloidogenic proteins.155-157 In 2002, Fändrich and Dobson induced the formation of amyloid fibrils at high temperature using various polypeptides bearing different side chains,158 including PLL (Figure 15A), PLG (Figure 15B), and poly(L-threonine) (PLThr). (Figure 15C). They demonstrated that the formation of amyloid fibrils is dictated by main chain interactions, which is a generic property among all polypeptides and requires no specific sequence. The sequence and side chain interactions of polypeptides, including hydrophobic and charge interactions,158-160 influence the morphology of the aggregates and the kinetics and solution conditions of fibril formation. In addition, Huang and co-workers further confirmed the determining role of amide backbones by showing the formation of amyloid fibrils with random copolypeptides160 and poly(ε-lysine) (ε-PL).161
The generality of the amyloidogenic properties of polypeptides comes from the fact that neutral β-sheets are thermodynamically more favorable than α-helices and random coils, even though α-helices are kinetically more favorable than β-sheets (Figure 15D). Thus, the α-helix must first be destabilized in order to achieve the β-sheet structures. For instance, Cieślik-Boczula reported that when low-temperature alkaline solutions or methanol-rich water solutions were used, the formation of α-helical fibrils of PLL with unordered and gauche-rich hydrocarbon side chains was observed. The formation of antiparallel β-sheet-rich fibrils with highly ordered trans-rich hydrocarbon side chains, on the other hand, was only observed with high-temperature alkaline solutions where the α-helices were destabilized.

5.2 The influence of chirality

The chirality of polypeptides plays an important role in influencing the formation of amyloid fibrils. Dzwolak and co-workers found that the chirality influenced the secondary structures and superstructures of polypeptides. They have shown that when enantiomerically pure PLGs or poly(β-glutamic acid)ds (PDGs) were incubated, spirally twisted superstructures were obtained. These spirally twisted superstructures were found to contain β₂ fibrils with amide I band peak at 1595 cm⁻¹, which was attributed to the networks of bifurcated H-bonds coupling C=O and N–H groups of the main chains and side chains. When a mixture of PLGs and PDGs were used to grow fibrils, no ordered structures was detected from scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The aggregates were found to be composed of β₁ fibrils with amide I bands at 1684 and 1612 cm⁻¹. Since β₁ fibrils are thermodynamically less stable than β₂ fibrils, they were converted into β₂ fibrils at high pressures.

5.3 The influence of chain lengths

Chain lengths and polydispersity have been demonstrated to play a critical role in controlling the formation rate and morphology of amyloid fibrils. Dzwolak and co-workers reported that n = 4 is the critical DP for PLG to form amyloid fibrils, and that the morphology of the aggregates varied depending on the chain lengths of PLG (Figure 16A, 16B). Interestingly, despite these differences in morphology, all PLGs were composed of β₂ fibrils and shared a uniform diameter, suggesting a common self-assembly pathway for PLGs with different chain lengths. Additionally, the chain lengths and chain length polydispersity have also been demonstrated to have an important effect on the kinetics of amyloidogenesis. PLGs with longer chains assembled faster than those with shorter chains. Surprisingly, the mixture of PLG₂ and PLG₂₀₀ assembled significantly faster than separate assemblies of the two polypeptides (Figure 16C), which was attributed to misfolding transfers from PLG₂ to PLG₂₀₀.

5.4 Potential applications of polypeptides in amyloid functional materials

With a rich and ordered H-bonding network at their core, amyloid fibrils exhibit high cohesive energy and exceptionally large persistent lengths which are independent of their environment. Amyloid fibrils can be used as structural materials due to their rigid, cohesive nature and their high resistance against degradation by chemical or biological processes. In fact, the elastic modulus of amyloid fibrils are comparable to those of collagen, keratin, and silk. The properties of these fibrils were exploited in biofilms of many bacteria including Escherichia coli, the eggshells of silkworms, and natural adhesives used by algae. Besides these natural findings, amyloid fibrils are also used in applications such as long-term drug release, formation of nanocomposites, gel scaffolds, biosensors, amyloid-mediated synthesis of hybrid organic/inorganic materials, and amyloid-templated optoelectronic materials.

Though amyloid fibrils have found many applications, most of them are still made of proteins or peptides. Considering that all polypeptides have a general propensity to form amyloid fibrils, synthetic polypeptides have great potential in the applications of amyloid-based materials.

6 Secondary structure associated biomedical performance of polypeptides

Synthetic polypeptides provide a promising platform of materials for biomedical applications due to their great biocompatibility and biodegradability. There are numerous reports which utilize polypeptide-based materials in areas such as drug delivery, gene delivery, antimicrobial peptide, and tissue engineering. Many reviews have focused on summarizing progress of polypeptide material in these applications. In this section, we will mainly discuss the conformation-specific performances of synthetic polypeptides.

6.1 Impact of secondary structures on drug delivery applications

Polypeptide materials have been reported to serve as a class of promising polymeric drug carriers for delivery of both therapeutic small molecules and macromolecules to disease sites. Polypeptides adopting different secondary structures exhibit different co-assembly behaviors with encapsulated or conjugated drug molecules, resulting in distinct biomedical performances. Kataoka and co-workers reported the effects of bundled α-helices on the assembly behaviors of cis-dichlorodiamine platinum(II) (cisplatin, CDDP/m). In this work, the authors compared CDDP/m formulated from polypeptides with identical chemical backbone but different chirality. The L- and D-CPP/m, which were assembled from cisplatin with PLG and PDG, respectively, showed a higher micelle yield as compared to D- CDDP/m.
formulated from cisplatin with poly(DL-glutamic acid)s (PDLG). The improved yield was attributed to increased hydrophobicity as the driving force for the assembly, which was provided by the lateral alignment of α-helical polypeptides in the core of the CDDP/m (Figure 17A). The formation of α-helices also significantly affected cisplatin release in physiological condition, in which a slower release profile of cisplatin was achieved in L-CDDP/m and D-CDDP/m as compared to DL-CDDP/m. Based on analysis of release profile of polymer dimers and unimers, the author concluded that the densely packed micelle core of L-CDDP/m and D-CDDP/m prevented the penetration of water molecules and chloride ions, thus displaying a prolonged release profile resulted from an “erosion-like process” of micelle disassembly (Figure 17B). On the contrary, the accelerated release of cisplatin from DL-CDDP/m can be explained by the synergistic effect of disassembly from loss of hydrophobicity and the penetration of water molecules and chloride ions into the micelle core (Figure 17B). These distinctly different drug releasing profiles resulted in different biodistribution of cisplatin in mice organs. L-CDDP/m and D-CDDP/m, with a bundled helix core, exhibited prolonged circulation in plasma (Figure 17C), decreased accumulation in liver and spleen, enhanced tumor accumulation (Figure 17D), and anti-tumor efficacy as compared to DL-CDDP/m. This work demonstrates the significant role of polypeptide secondary structure in drug delivery outcome under complex physiological conditions.

As previously mentioned, drug molecules are commonly chemically conjugated on polypeptide side chains or physically encapsulated in polypeptide-based assembly. In some cases, ordered secondary structure of polypeptides hinders the co-assembly/encapsulation of drugs because of their strong tendency to self-assemble. Semple and co-workers recently reported that the chirality of the polypeptide influenced the drug loading in polypeptide micelles. Micelles formulated with PEG-b-poly(γ-benzyl-DL-glutamate) (PBdLG) amphiphiles showed increased irinotecan loading compared with those formulated with PEG-b-PBLG. The authors attributed the enhanced drug loading efficiency not only to the increase of overall flexibility of random coil structures over their α-helical analogues, but also to the preference of PBdLG block to co-assemble with drug molecules rather than packing into α-helical bundles.

### 6.2 Impact of secondary structures on gene delivery applications.

Cationic polymers are able to form nanocomplexes with DNA or RNA molecules through electrostatic interactions. These nanocomplexes showed different levels of efficiency in the delivery of nucleic acids into mammalian cells and the final gene expression outcome. The secondary structure of polypeptide materials offers an extra parameter to manipulate the performance and efficiency of gene delivery. The nanocomplexes of polypeptides and nucleic acids are typically internalized through endocytosis in mammalian cells. In order to achieve high efficiency of gene delivery, the nucleic acids have to escape from endosomes and enter the cytoplasm or nucleus. Apart from utilizing the proton sponge effects with side-chain amines, the secondary structure of polypeptide materials provides a unique solution to tackle these challenges. Cheng, Wang, and co-workers reported the use of cationic, α-helical polypeptide materials for efficient delivery of model plasmid into mammalian cells. From a polypeptide library with 31 different amine side chains, the best polypeptide, poly(γ-4-{[(2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethyl)aminomethyl]benzyl-γ-l-glutamate} (PPbLG, also named PvBLG-8), displayed the highest transfection efficiency in COS-7 cells as assayed by Luciferase expression, outperforming conventional transfection agents such as polyethylenimine (PEI) and PLL. Unlike PLL, which adopts the random coil conformation under physiological conditions and lack any endosomal escape capability, α-helical PPbLG showed enhanced endosomal escape through endosomal membrane disruption. The membrane disruption capability was significantly reduced when secondary structure was removed from the polypeptide. The racemic analogue, PPABLG, exhibited much lower transfection efficiency as compared to PPbLG, demonstrating the essential role of secondary structures in achieving high gene delivery efficiency. Apart from PPbLG, secondary structure-associated membrane activity was also demonstrated in other synthetic polypeptides with cationic side chains such as primary amines, guanidines, quaternary ammoniums, and quaternary phosphoniums. The membrane activity of these α-helical polypeptides prepared from chiral NCA monomers are significantly higher than their analogs synthesized from racemic NCA monomers with identical chemical structures. Apart from secondary structure and side-chain cationic groups, the chain lengths of polypeptides also plays an important role in gene delivery applications, influencing both membrane activity and nucleic acid condensation. Cheng and co-workers reported helical poly(arginine) mimics with backbone length dependent cell-penetrating properties. Specifically, a helical polypeptide with DP = 72 showed three times higher cell penetration as compared to its shorter analog with only 10 repeating units. Cationic polypeptides with longer chain length also displayed higher pore formation capability. For efficient condensation of negative charged nucleic acids, the length of cationic polypeptides needs to exceed a certain threshold. Multiple reports have shown that short polypeptides such as CPP (10-25 peptide residues) are not able to form stable nanocomplexes with DNA or siRNA.
Moreover, the interactions between cationic, α-helical polypeptides and the anionic lipid membranes have been investigated in detail to elucidate the role of secondary structures. From molecular dynamic simulations of interactions between cationic polypeptides and negatively charged lipid bilayers, it was revealed that the rigid α-helical polypeptide core and quasi-liquid polypeptide surface enabled adaptable “landing”, “anchoring”, and “tunneling” of polypeptide onto lipids (Figure 18A-18D). As a result, the insertion of α-helical polypeptides restructured lipid vesicles into phases rich in negative Gaussian curvature (NGC), as characterized by small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS). The formation of NGC agreed with the experimental observations of pore formation and membrane permeation/destabilization. On the other hand, random-coil polypeptides from racemic monomers were not able to generate NGC and showed significantly lower membrane permeability both from simulation and experimental results.

6.3 Impact of secondary structures on antimicrobial applications.

Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) are well-known as sequence controlled, bacteria-killing materials which are naturally produced as part of an innate immune response. These AMPs mediate physical disruption of bacterial cell membranes, which is a killing mechanism less likely to develop resistances as compared to small molecule antibiotics. At the same time, AMP also suffers from stability and toxicity issue, which hinder the development of AMP as therapeutics. Synthetic antimicrobial polypeptides, as one of the most promising analogue systems of native AMPs, have been developed to address these obstacles.

Recently, Cheng and co-workers developed a series of α-helical, radially amphiphilic polypeptides with high antimicrobial activity against both gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria. In this work, the secondary structures of synthetic polypeptides played an important role in maximizing bacteria-killing ability as well as enhancing selectivity between bacterial and mammalian cells. Radially displayed cationic groups bind efficiently to the negatively charged lipid bilayers, resulting in increased selectivity (defined as the ratio of HC50 to MIC). For example, random coiled PHDGL-Blm only showed a selectivity > 2 against DH5α bacteria, while α-helical PHGL-Blm exhibited a selectivity > 32, suggesting the superior selectivity of α-helical polypeptide materials as synthetic AMP analogues. When incorporated with stimuli-responsive moieties, these radially amphiphilic polypeptides were further used as bacteria-sensitive antimicrobial polypeptides and polypeptides for the treatment of Helicobacter Pylori.

7 Secondary structures in catalysis

One important role of proteins is to catalyze various biochemical reactions in living organisms. In the presence of enzymatic catalysis, many reactions that require harsh conditions to complete become compatible within the mild cellular environment. Inspired by the unique role of enzymes in biological system, polypeptide scientists have devoted their efforts to developing “synthetic enzymes”. The intrinsic chiral environment provided by stable secondary structures makes synthetic polypeptides a good candidate for enantioselective catalysts. Among all the organic asymmetric reactions evaluated, the Juliá-Colonna epoxidation is most studied due to its high chemical and optical yield.

In this section, we will focus on discussing the role of secondary structures of polypeptide catalysts in the Juliá-Colonna epoxidation. The detailed experimental setup, variation of substrates and oxidants, and applications in total synthesis have already been summarized in several excellent review papers and will not be covered here.

7.1 Introduction of Juliá-Colonna epoxidation

The synthetic polypeptide catalyzed epoxidation of electron-deficient olefins, such as trans-chalcones (Figure 20A), was first reported by Juliá, Colonna, and co-workers in the 1980s. When PLAla or PLLue was used as the catalyst, optically active oxiranes was obtained with 96% yield and 96% enantiomeric excesses (e.e.). Following the work of Juliá and Colonna, several research groups have reported the improvement of the asymmetrical epoxidation, including the simplification into biphasic and homogeneous conditions, the addition of phase-transfer agents, and the introduction of solid support. These improvements not only extended the library of substrates, enabling the selective oxidation of enones that were poor substrates in the original triphasic system, but also significantly simplified the reaction and purification procedure.

7.2 The role of secondary structures

In the original reports, Juliá, Colonna, and co-workers demonstrate that the secondary structure of polypeptide catalysts is critical to the asymmetric induction. For instance, replacing α-helical PLAla or PLLue with synthetic polypeptides that adopted β-sheet conformation (e.g., PLVal and PLPhe) resulted in not only lower
conversion, but also decreased stereoselectivity. Replacing PLAla with poly(γ-alanine) (PDAla), on the other hand, led to similar degree of asymmetric induction but reversed optical rotation, indicating that the stereoselectivity was associated with the helix sense of the polypeptide catalysts. The reaction in the presence of PDAla showed < 10% conversion, further substantiating the importance of the helical conformation in the catalysis. In addition, reactions using PLAla catalysts with DP > 10 showed much better results compared with those using PLAla with DP < 10, which is not sufficient to form stable α-helices. These results collectively suggested that the α-helical content of the polypeptide catalysts was closely related to the chemical yield and stereoselectivity of the epoxidation. The correlation between the helicity of the polypeptides and the catalytic activity was further confirmed in various helical peptide systems including solid-phase synthesized peptides, PEG-bound peptides, and stapled helical peptides.\textsuperscript{227-230}

### 7.3 Mechanistic studies

Several studies suggested that the N-terminus of polypeptide played a critical role in catalyzing the epoxidation of the substrate.\textsuperscript{223, 229} Polypeptides with the N-terminus attached on the solid support, for instance, exhibited no catalytic activity.\textsuperscript{229} With the experimental supports, it is believed that the polypeptide catalysts formed complex with enone substrate and hydroperoxide anion oxidant through H-bonding (Figure 20B). Therefore, the chirality of the α-helix is crucial to control the geometry of the terminal NH groups on polypeptides, which resulted in the enantioselective oxidation of the substrates.\textsuperscript{229, 231}

Very recently, Voyer and co-workers reported the Juliá-Colonna epoxidation carried out in pure water, where the helical polypeptides acted as both catalysts and solubilizing agent.\textsuperscript{224} Computational results showed that the substrate fit within the hydrophobic, chiral grooves of the polypeptide (Figure 20C). The authors proposed a “groove sliding” mechanism in aqueous environment, where the hydroperoxide anion bound with the N-terminus of the polypeptides, and the substrates slid in the hydrophobic groove of polypeptides to the N-terminus for the reaction. These mechanistic studies highlighted the importance of the chirality of the polypeptide in catalyzing stereoselective reactions, which contributes to the deep understanding on the structure-property relationship of enzymes.

### 8 Secondary structures in polymer synthesis

In nature, the growth of polymeric structures often involves the precise three-dimensional assembly of functional elements which enables a spatial and temporal control of the polymerization. The polymerization of tubulin and actin, for instance, is governed by the nucleators which catalyze the fast growth of these proteins.\textsuperscript{232, 233} These cooperative interactions, however, were seldom used in the preparation of synthetic polymers due to the difficulties in precisely controlling the assembly of macromolecules. Although the polymerization kinetics of NCA have been studied since 1950s,\textsuperscript{234-236} the mechanisms remain unclear. Recently, Cheng, Lin, and co-workers reported that the formation of α-helical structures in polypeptides are able to catalyze their own growth,\textsuperscript{237} highlighting the importance of secondary structures in polymer synthesis. In this section, the observation of proximity-induced rate acceleration and the role of α-helical conformation will be summarized, and the possible mechanism will be discussed.

#### 8.1 Proximity-induced rate acceleration of polypeptide synthesis

When the polymerization of γ-benzyl-L-glutamate NCA (BLG-NCA) was conducted in dichloromethane (DCM), a huge difference in the polymerization rates between linear polymers and brush polymers was observed. In the linear polymerization system with a norbornene-based trimethylsilylamine initiator (NB, Figure 21A, 21B), the NCA monomers were slowly consumed, reaching ~ 30% conversion after 24 h. When NB was pre-polymerized into a macroinitiator (PNB, Figure 21A, 21B), however, all BLG-NCA were fully converted within 1 h. By comparing the chemical structures of NB and PNB, the authors attributed the remarkable differences in the polymerization rate to the proximity between initiating sites in linear and brush systems. The proximity-induced rate acceleration was further confirmed when the PNB macroinitiator was replaced with a random copolymer containing initiating groups (NB) and inert spacers (Ph) (PNB-r-Ph). The density of initiating sites decreased with a decrease of the NB content, leading to slower polymerization rates (Figure 21C). As a comparison, the block copolymer analogues PNB-b-Ph, with the same concentration but closer proximity of initiating groups, exhibited comparable polymerization rates with PNB when used as the macroinitiators (Figure 21D).

#### 8.2 Secondary structure plays a critical role

With a close examination of the polymerization progress, a two-stage kinetics was observed for both the linear and the brush polymerizations, where the rate constant was larger for the second stage. Interestingly, the beginning of the second stage coincided with formation of α-helices, as evidenced by both CD and FTIR (Figure 21E), suggesting the critical role of the α-helical conformation in the rate acceleration. In addition, the polymerization of racemic DL monomers resulted in a one-stage, slower polymerization compared with the L and D monomers under similar conditions, since the propagating PBDLG chains adopted a random coil structure. As a comparison, the polymerization of NCA monomers on an existing α-
helical PBLG initiator proceeded without the slow first stage, further confirming the helix-associated rate acceleration.

The authors suggested the differences in the macrodipole to be the reason for both the two-stage polymerization kinetics and the substantial rate difference between linear and brush polymerizations (Figure 21F). The formation of macrodipole due to coil-to-helix transition at early polymerization stages greatly enhances the electrostatic environment of the propagating terminus, which is responsible for the increased interaction and polymerization rate of NCA monomers. In the case of brush polymers, on the other hand, the packing of α-helices along the PNB backbone further strengthens the electrostatic environment at the polypeptide chain ends, resulting in even faster polymerization.

The macrodipole hypothesis was well supported by the solvent-sensitivity of the brush polymerization. The use of chloroform instead of DCM resulted in even faster polymerization due to the lower dielectric constant of chloroform. However, when N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) was used as the solvent both the two-stage kinetics and the enhanced rates in brush systems disappeared, as DMF is a well-known polar solvent that interacts with dipoles and breaks the packing between α-helices.

8.3 Kinetic models of cooperative polymerization

The key characteristic of the α-helix involved reaction is that the transition from coil to alpha-helix is accompanied by a dramatic rate enhancement, which separates the reaction into two distinct stages. While never described in covalent polymerization previously, the cooperative behavior is well described in the reversible self-assembly of supramolecular molecules. A simple two-stage model can be adapted from the nucleation induced cooperative model with the key feature being irreversible addition of monomers. In the two-stage model, a critical chain length, $s$, marks the conformation transition of the actively growing chain $M^i$ (where $i$ is DP of polypeptides) from coil to helix, which is accompanied with the switch of the growing rate constant from $k_1$ to $k_2$. The cooperativity was defined as $\sigma = k_1/k_2$, with smaller $\sigma$ indicating stronger cooperative effects.

$$M^i + M \rightarrow M^{i+1} \quad 1 \leq i < s \quad \text{(coil state)}$$

$$M^i + M \rightarrow M^{i+1} \quad i \geq s \quad \text{(helix state)}$$

The two-stage model was then applied to analyze the polymerization kinetic data generated from the random copolymer scaffolds whose grafting density is controlled by the percentage of NB. The two-stage model was able to fit the experimental data well (Figure 22A), and the critical chain length $s$ was determined to be $10\pm2$, which agrees well with the previous reported smallest DP to form stable α-helices. As shown in Figure 22B, the extracted $k_1$ stays relatively constant at different grafting densities, indicating that the coupling of amide dipoles within short, coil-like polypeptides has limited impact on the reactions. As a comparison, the density of helices strongly affects $k_2$. When the fraction of NB was raised from 10% to 50%, $k_2$ was increased by ~1000 times, suggesting the strong effect of the proximity of macrodipoles on the cooperative growth of polypeptides.

9 Conclusions and Perspectives

The ability to form ordered secondary structures is a unique feature of synthetic polypeptides compared to conventional polymers. Since the discovery of NCAs in 1906, numerous efforts have been devoted to the preparation, characterization, and the application of synthetic polypeptides. Despite a relatively good understanding on the formation and stabilization of polypeptide conformations, most works have focused on the studies of synthetic polypeptides with random coil structures (e.g., PLG, PLL, and their derivatives). The application of ordered secondary structures, especially α-helices, was limited to water-insoluble polypeptides as the catalysis of stereoselective reactions in twentieth century. In the past two decades, the development of NCA chemistry has not only provided for functional, well-defined polypeptides which are now accessible to researchers, but more importantly, has boosted the discovery of conformation-specific properties of synthetic polypeptides.

This review is aimed at drawing people’s attention to the conformation of synthetic polypeptides. Structural difference between the ordered and random-coiled conformation results in completely different behaviors of synthetic polypeptides. For instance, polypeptides with α-helical structures have different size, polarity, rigidity, and distribution of side chain functionalities compared with their random-coiled analogues. As a result, these α-helical polypeptides exhibit beneficial helix-associated properties and performances in self-assembly, biomedical application, and polymer synthesis. On the other hand, polypeptides which demonstrate stable β-sheet conformations show strong inter-chain interactions, enabling the formation of gels and amyloid fibrils with good mechanical properties.

The recent discoveries of conformation-specific properties of synthetic polypeptides, although exciting and inspiring, are still far from matching the functions of naturally occurring proteins. In nature, the functions of proteins are controlled not only by the modulation of their secondary structures, but more importantly, by the special arrangements of the secondary structures in a three-dimensional space (i.e., tertiary structures and quaternary structures). Thus, the construction of higher ordered structures with synthetic methods, such as the design of complex polymer architectures and the supramolecular assemblies of secondary structures, is a promising direction to further improve the performance of synthetic polypeptides. This requires not only a better synthetic
control over polypeptide structures, including polydispersity and sequence regularity, but also a deeper understanding on the cooperative behaviors of polypeptides in folding and self-assembly. At the same time, the large scale production of polypeptide materials is of great interest considering their beneficial properties in self-assembly, catalysis, and biomedical applications. However, the current synthesis and purification of NCA monomers require the use of phosgenation reagents and moisture-free conditions, which greatly limits the commercialization of synthetic polypeptides. Studies to simplify the existing polymerization methods or to find alternative ways to prepare polypeptides, are therefore critical for the ultimate realization of polypeptide materials.
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Fig. 1 Synthetic route to polypeptides from ring-opening polymerization of N-carboxyanhydrides.

Fig. 2 Design of water-soluble, α-helical polypeptides. (A, B) Chemical structure (A) and CD spectrum (B) of a water-soluble, α-helical polypeptide bearing non-ionic side chains. Reprinted with permission from ref 55. Copyright 1999 American Chemical Society. (C, D) Chemical structure (C) and CD spectra (D) of a water-soluble, α-helical polypeptide bearing elongated hydrophobic side chains with a charged terminus. The concentration-independent CD spectra indicate that the α-helices remain monomeric in aqueous solution. Reprinted with permission from ref 49. Copyright 2011 Springer Nature. In both cases, the double-minimum curves at 208 and 222 nm on CD spectra indicate α-helical conformations.

Fig. 3 Trigger-responsive helix-coil transition of polypeptides. (A) Chemical structure and UV-triggered helix-to-coil transition of PDMNBLG. Upon UV irradiation, the cleavage of side-chain esters leads to the exposure of negative charges, which destabilizes the α-helical structure due to charge repulsion. Reprinted with permission from ref 70. Copyright 2015 Royal Society of Chemistry. (B) Chemical structure and redox-responsive, reversible helix-coil transition of sugar-based poly-L-homocysteine) derivatives. The oxidation of side-chain thioureas to sulfones increases the polarity and disrupts the hydrophobic interaction of side chains, resulting in a helix-to-coil transition. Reprinted with permission from ref 74. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society. (C) Chemical structure and pH-responsive, reversible helix-coil transition of triazole-based polypeptides. The protonation/deprotonation of side-chain triazoles alters their hydrogen bonding pattern, leading to reversible conformation changes of polypeptides. Reprinted with permission from ref 53. Copyright 2017 Springer Nature.

Fig. 4 Nonlocal interactions in helix-coil transitions: (A) Plot of $S_{graffted-PBLG}/S_{random-PBLG}$ as a function of the DP of grafted-PBLG chains. $S_{graffted-PBLG}/S_{random-PBLG}$ represents the normalized apparent cooperativities of grafted-PBLGs, which is strongly dependent on grafting density. Reprinted with permission from ref 88. Copyright 2011 American Chemical Society. (B) Temperature-induced helix-coil transition of PBLG with two different lengths at CDCl$_3$: TFA-d$_3$ at 94.8 (v/v). $\theta$ stands for the average fractional helicity. Experimental results (shown in scattered symbols) deviate from the predictions of Zimm-Bragg model (shown in dashed lines). Reprinted with permission from ref 87. Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.

Fig. 5 The influence of the fraction of hydrophobic helices on the morphology of the self-assembled structure. (A, B) Chemical structure, schematic illustration (A), and proposed packing (B) of the PLL-b-PLLeu diblock polymers. The charged PLL segment adopts a random coil structure, and the hydrophobic PLLeu block folds into an α-helix. (C-F) Differential interference contrast (DIC) images of 1% (w/v) aqueous membranes (C, PLL$_{100}$), fibrils (D, PLL$_{40}$-b-PLLeu$_{20}$), and vesicles (E, PLL$_{40}$-b-PLLeu$_{20}$) to irregular aggregates (F, PLL$_{100}$-b-PLLeu$_{20}$). Reprinted with permission from ref 91. Copyright 2005 American Chemical Society.

Fig. 6 The influence of the chain length on the morphology of the self-assembled structure (A, B) Chemical structure, schematic illustration (A), and proposed packing (B) of the PEG2LLys-b-PLLeu diblock polymers. Both the hydrophobic PLLeu block and the hydrophilic, non-ionic PEG2LLys block adopt α-helical conformations. (C-D) Laser scanning confocal microscope (LSCM) images of PEG2Lys$_{180}$-b-PLLeu$_{20}$ (C) and PEG2Lys$_{180}$-b-PLLeu$_{40}$ (D) visualized with DiOC$_{14}$ dye. Scale bar = 5 µm. With fixed hydrophilic-to-hydrophobic ratio, the chain length dictates the morphology of the self-assembled structures, where shorter chains prefer the formation of vesicles (C) and longer chains prefer the formation of sheet-like membranes (D). Reprinted with permission from ref 90. Copyright 2004 Springer Nature.

Fig. 7 The role of secondary structures in gelation. (A) The chemical structures and the conformation of PLL-b-PLLeu (1), PLL-b-PLVal (2), PLL-b-PDLeu (3), and PLG-b-PLLeu (4). (B) The gelation behavior of the diblock polypeptides in A. Filled circles, PLL$_{100}$-b-PLLeu$_{40}$: open circles, PLL$_{40}$-b-PLLeu$_{20}$; filled down triangles, PLL$_{180}$-b-PLVal$_{40}$: open down triangles, PLL$_{180}$-b-PLVal$_{20}$, filled diamonds, PLL$_{180}$-b-PDLeu$_{40}$: and open up triangles, PLG$_{180}$-b-PLLeu$_{40}$. Compared to those that exhibit α-helices (PLL-b-PLLeu and PLG-b-PLLeu) and β-sheets (PLL-b-PLVal), the diblock copolypeptide in the random coil state (PLL-b-PDLeu) shows a much higher gelation threshold. Reprinted with permission from ref 101. Copyright 2002 Springer Nature. (C) The chemical structure and conformation of three PEG-b-PZLys copolymers with different stereosequences. (D) Time-dependent evolution of the dynamic viscosity of THF solutions of the three PEG-b-PZLys samples with the photographs as the inset. The sample that forms β-sheets has the strongest tendency to form gels. Reprinted with permission from ref 108. Copyright 2011 American Chemical Society.

Fig. 8 The role of secondary structures in coacervates. (A) CD spectra of PEG-pLk + p(D,DL)E (dark green) and PEG-pLk + pLE (light green). The mixing of racemic polymers prevents the formation of β-sheets. (B) Optical micrographs of polyelectrolyte complexes. Scale bar = 25 µm. The liquid coacervates are formed in the presence of at least one racemic polymers, i.e. without the formation of β-sheets. Reprinted with permission from ref 43. Copyright 2015 Springer Nature. (C) CD spectra of PEG-PPLGPG + poly(glutamic acid). When charged polypeptides adopt stable α-helical conformations, the formation of β-sheets are also prevented, resulting in liquid coacervates. Reprinted with permission from ref 100. Copyright 2015 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA.

Fig. 9 Topology induced anti-parallel β-sheets. (A) Schematic illustration of PN-g-PGL forming tubular superstructures. The interactions between PLG chains from brush-like PN-g-PLG polymers results in the formation of anti-parallel β-sheets, which further induces the helical tubular superstructures. (B, C) TEM images of the helical tubular structures from PN$_{11}$-g-PLG$_{101}$. Scale bar = 200 nm. Reprinted with permission from ref 121. Copyright 2011 American Chemical Society.

Fig. 10 Formation of LCs by α-helical polypeptides. Pre-cholesteric band texture (A) and cholesteric fingerprints (B) of collagen as viewed...
by polarized light microscopy between an analyzer (A) and a polarizer (P) which are crossed. Scale bar = 10 µm. Reprinted with permission from ref 129. Copyright 2003 Elsevier.

**Fig. 11** Enzyme-catalyzed disassembly of copolyptide vesicles. (A) Scheme showing the rupture of PLMetO2-b-(PLLeu10-r-PLPhel10) vesicles upon reduction under the catalysis of methionine sulfonate reductase A and B. The reduction of methionine sulfonate to methionine alters the secondary structure of the hydrophilic block, resulting in the disruption of vesicle morphology. (B, C) Differential interference contrast (DIC) images of vesicle suspension (B) and vesicles incubated with DTI and methionine sulfonate reductase A and B at 37 °C for 16 h (C). After the treatment with reductant and enzyme, the spherical vesicular morphology (B) changes to precipitates with irregular sheet-like structures (C). Scale bar = 5 µm. Reprinted with permission from ref 93. Copyright 2013 American Chemical Society.

**Fig. 12** Chemical modification induced self-assembly of polypeptide-based copolymers. (A) Scheme showing the modification of PN-g-PLG by benzylamine and the accompanied secondary structure change from random coils to α-helices. -(4,6-Dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-methyl morpholinium chloride (DMTMM) is used as the coupling agent. (B) CD spectra revealing time progress of the conformational changes in PLGs after PNi-g-PLL122 reacts with benzylamine (0.5 mg/mL polymer, pH = 7, rt). The amidation reaction converts charged PLG residues into neutral residues, leading to coil-to-helix transitions. (C, D) Confocal microscopy (C, stained with Thioflavin T) and AFM amplitude (D) images of thin membranes assembled from benzylamine substituted PNi-g-PLL122 samples. Scale bar = 20 µm for Fig. C and 2 µm for Fig. D. Reprinted with permission from ref 146. Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.

**Fig. 13** pH-Responsive, reversible assembly and disassembly of polypeptides. (A) Scheme showing the pH-responsive self-assembly of PLG-b-PLL into vesicular morphology. At pH < 4, PLG segment forms a neutral α-helical structure and stays in the interlayer, while charged PLL block adopts a random coil conformation and stays in the corona. The interlayer and corona are reversed at pH > 10. (B, C) Auto correlation functions (60°) of PLG-b-PLL10 at pH = 3 (B) and pH = 12 (C). The corresponding RH distributions are shown in the insets. Reprinted with permission from ref 148. Copyright 2005 American Chemical Society.

**Fig. 14** Formation of metallosomes induced by the metal coordination of polypeptide-based copolymers. (A) Scheme illustrating the formation of metallosomes through the metal coordination induced coil-to-helix transition. (B) CD spectra of PEG-b-PLG derivatives in solution and upon the addition of Pt complex. The coordination between Pt complex and PLG residue reduces intra-chain charge repulsions, resulting in the formation of α-helices. (C) CD spectra of metallosomes incubated under physiological conditions for different lengths of time. During the incubation, the gradual release of Pt complex leads to the decrease of helicity in the metallosome. However, the helical conformation is retained even after 124 h incubation. Reprinted with permission from ref 151. Copyright 2012 American Chemical Society.

**Fig. 15** Amyloidogenesis of polypeptides. (A-C) EM images of amyloid fibrils from various polypeptides. (A) PLL (2.5 mg/mL, H2O, pH = 11.2, 65 °C, 4 d), (B) PLG (1 mg/mL, D2O, pD = 4.08, 65 °C, 2 d), and (C) PLThr (10 mg/mL, H2O, 50 mM sodium borate, pH = 9.0, 65 °C, 4 d). Scale bar = 200 nm. (D) The partitioning between folding and amyloid formation of PLL. Case 1: At the neutral state, α-helix (a) is kinetically more favorable than β-sheet (b). Case 2: At the charged state, the random coil conformation (c) has the lowest energy. Case 3: At the neutral state, β-sheet is observed under conditions that destabilizes α-helix (e.g., mild heating). Reprinted with permission from ref 158. Copyright 2002 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA.

**Fig. 16** The influence of chain lengths on the formation of amyloid fibrils. (A, B) AFM tapping-mode images of PLG20 (A) and PLG200 (B). Scale bar = 1 µm. The cross sections of selected fibirillary specimens are shown in the insets. The thickness of the fibril is independent of the chain length of PLG. Both samples are longer than the critical length to form amyloid fibrils. As a result, long, straight, and unbranched fibrils are observed in both images. Reprinted with permission from ref 167. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society. (C) Fibrilization kinetics of PLG20, PLG200, and their mixtures at 40 °C. By mixing the short PLG with the long PLG, the fibrilization rate is greatly enhanced. Reprinted with permission from ref 168. Copyright 2016 American Chemical Society.

**Fig. 17** Structure-property correlation in polypeptide-based micellar drug delivery system. (A) Scheme illustrating the formation of CDDPM/m micelles. The lateral alignment of α-helices facilitates the self-assembly process, resulting in improved yield of micelles. (B) Schematic illustration of drug release mechanisms from 1-CDDP/m and 2-CDDP/m. In the top figure illustrating the drug release from 1-CDDP/m, the close packing of α-helices prevents the penetration of water and Cl⁻, leading to an erosion-like process with delayed drug release. In contrast, a1-CDDP/m, without ordered secondary structures, exhibits accelerated drug release. (C, D) Pharmacokinetics of platinum drug (C) and biodistribution in tumor tissue (D) with 1-CDDP/m, 2-CDDP/m, and a1-CDDP/m. Prolonged circulation in plasma (C) and enhanced tumor accumulation (D) are observed for 1-CDDP/m and 2-CDDP/m with helical polypeptide building blocks. Reprinted with permission from ref 107. Copyright 2014 American Chemical Society.

**Fig. 18** The interaction of helical, cationic polypeptides with a membrane. Sequence of simulation images are presented illustrating the landing (A), initial anchoring (B), initial tunneling (C), and full insertion in a membrane-spanning state (D). The rigid helical core and the mobile side chains play an important role in the insertion process. Polypeptide has 4-bead long side chains, of which 100% have charged terminus. The hydrophobic components of the side chains are colored in cyan, the peptide core is depicted in gray. The remaining beads are color coded based on their charges: red for +1e, white for uncharged, and blue for −1e. Reprinted with permission from ref 208. Copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.

**Fig. 19** Scheme illustration (A) and the chemical structure (B) of radially amphiphilic antimicrobial polypeptides, PHLG-BIm. The radial structure facilitates the interactions with bacterial membrane, while reducing non-specific interactions with eukaryotic cell membranes.
Fig. 20 Julia-Colonna epoxidation and the proposed reaction mechanism. (A) Synthetic scheme showing the epoxidation of enone in the presence of polypeptide catalysts. (B) The structure of the complex with PLLeu and 3-hydroxy-chalcone enolate. The enantioselectivity originates from the hydrogen bonding motif as well as the helical conformation of PLLeu. Reprinted with permission from ref 231. Copyright 2004 Royal Society of Chemistry. (C) Interaction of trans-chalcone with PLLeu. PLLeu are presented in grey cartoon and the PLLeu side chains interacting with trans-chalcone are presented as spheres. The trans-chalcone substrate fits within the hydrophobic, chiral grooves of PLLeu. Reprinted with permission from ref 224. Copyright 2017 Royal Society of Chemistry.

Fig. 21 The role of α-helical conformation in proximity-induced acceleration of polymerization rate. (A) Scheme showing the polymerization from NB initiators (linear) and PNB macroinitiators (brush). (B) Conversion of BLG-NCA over time using NB (red) and PNB100 (blue) initiators. The brush-like initiators exhibit remarkable rate acceleration compared with their linear analogues. (C, D) Conversion of BLG-NCA over time using random copolymer P(NB-r-Ph) (C) or block copolymer PNB-b-PPh (D) as initiators. With decreased density of initiating groups in P(NB-r-Ph), the rate acceleration becomes less significant. On the contrary, PNB-b-PPh with different arrangement of initiating sites exhibit similar polymerization kinetics among all four groups, which is attributed to the similar density of initiators. (E) Comparison between the conversion of BLG-NCA (black), the increase of the signal of α-helix from FTIR at 1655 cm⁻¹ (green), and the change in ellipticity from CD at 227.9 nm (blue). The secondary, fast polymerization stage coincides with the formation of α-helices as observed by FTIR and CD, suggesting the important role of helical conformation in the rate acceleration. (F) Scheme illustrating the difference of macrdipoles in linear and brush system. The close proximity of initiating groups in the brush system strengthens the interaction between macrdipoles, leading to faster polymerization compared with their linear analogues. Reprinted with permission from ref 237. Copyright 2017 Springer Nature.

Fig. 22 Kinetic modeling of the brush polymerization. (A) Kinetic data (circles) obtained from the polymerization of BLG-NCA with PNB-r-PPh macroinitiators is fit with the two-stage kinetic model (solid lines). (B) Extracted rate constants for the primary nucleation stage (k₁) and the second elongation stage (k₂). The density of initiating groups has a greater effect on k₂, which correlates the interaction between macrdipoles with the cooperative growth of polypeptides. Reprinted with permission from ref 237. Copyright 2017 Springer Nature.
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This article highlights the conformation-specific properties and functions of synthetic polypeptides derived from N-carboxyanhydrides.