



Cite this: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*,
2024, 26, 5262

Boron-rich hybrid BCN nanoribbons for highly ambient uptake of H₂S, HF, NH₃, CO, CO₂ toxic gases†

Fatemeh Momen,^a Farzaneh Shayeganfar ^{*a} and Ali Ramazani^{*b}

Nanomaterials-based gas sensors are widely applied for the monitoring and fast detection of hazardous gases owing to their sensitivity and selectivity. Hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), hydrogen fluoride (HF), ammonia (NH₃), and carbon monoxide/dioxide (CO/CO₂) produced from petroleum fields, sewage, mines, and gasoline are harmful for both human life and environment. With an increase in the emission of these toxic compounds, their real-time monitoring and efficient adsorbent application and storage are very necessary. To this end, we investigated the adsorption characteristic and sensitivity factor of these five toxic gases on armchair and zigzag hybrid boron-carbon-nitride (BCN) nanoribbons with/without boron-rich (B-rich) defects using first principle calculation, where 25%, 33%, and 50% carbon concentration were considered. Our findings reveal that B-rich nanoribbons have strong adsorption energy, charge transfer, and structural deformation owing to the double acceptor of B-rich defects. Moreover, the zigzag and armchair forms of these hybrid BCN nanoribbons show physical adsorption, altering their band gap and phase transition after adsorbing these toxic gases, where B-rich nanoribbons possess high sensitivity to NH₃ and CO among other gases. Furthermore, B-rich hybrid nanoribbons have higher CO₂ adsorption energy than the standard free energy of CO₂ at room temperature. This study suggests that hybrid BCN nanoribbons and B-rich defected structures can be good candidates for the uptake and storage of toxic gases, helping experimental groups to design efficient ambient gas sensors.

Received 1st October 2023,
Accepted 5th January 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d3cp04767a

rsc.li/pccp

1. Introduction

The detection and uptake of toxic gases have become a major problem because of their emission by thermal power plants, chemical production plants, automobiles, and diesel engines.^{1–8} Gas sensors have been developed for the environmental monitoring of industrial emissions; combustibles; polluted air containing odorless and colorless CO; greenhouse gases such as CO₂; hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) and fluorane (HF), the most toxic gases with adverse effects on human lives (injuries or fatality); as well as ammonia (NH₃).^{9–13} The key and core parameter for efficient gas sensors is sensing materials with a high level of stability, sensitivity, and fast response speed.^{3,4,7,8,14} Hence, the cornerstone of revolutionary advancements in energy storage, environmental, and sustainability

engineering relies on nanostructured gas adsorbent materials (GAMs).

Owing to the importance of adsorbing these toxic gases, various nanostructures have been investigated both experimentally and theoretically to detect them. One-dimensional (1-D) ZnO nanostructures have been experimentally synthesized to detect CO and H₂S gases.¹⁵ SnO₂ nanowires and ZnO-functionalized SnO₂ nanowires are designed to detect CO and H₂S gases.¹⁶ Also, other nanostructures such as CuO nanowires,¹⁷ Mg-doped In₂O₃ nanowires,¹⁸ ZnO-doped In₂O₃ nanowires,¹⁹ and NiO/TiO₂ nanofibers²⁰ have been used to detect CO gas. In₂O₃ nanowires²¹ and 1-D ZnO/ZnSO₃ nanoarrays²² are among the synthesized nanostructures for H₂S gas detection. To detect NH₃ gas, hexagonal molybdenum oxide (h-MoO₃),²³ graphene nanoribbon (GNR),²⁴ and Ag nanocrystal-functionalized multiwalled carbon nanotubes (Ag NC–MWCNTs)²⁵ have been synthesized. TiO₂ gas sensors are used to detect CO, H₂S, and NH₃ gases,²⁶ while single layer SnS₂ nanosheets²⁷ and porous silicon¹⁴ are used to detect CO and HF gases, respectively.

Moreover, blue phosphorene as a common allotrope of black phosphorene has been successfully synthesized through the

^a Department of Physics and Energy Engineering, Amirkabir University of Technology, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: fshayeganfar@aut.ac.ir

^b Department of Mechanical Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA. E-mail: ramazani@mit.edu

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3cp04767a>

molecular-beam epitaxial growth method. The sp^3 hybridization between P atoms causes high chemical activity in interaction with gas molecules. Safari *et al.*²⁸ reported that pristine blue phosphorene is not sensitive to CO_2 , CO, NO, NO_2 , and NH_3 , which was significantly altered by applying electric field and doping nonmetal atom. Studies by Wang *et al.*²⁹ showed that metal doping in MOFs serves as an efficient approach to increase CO_2 adsorption capacity.

Boron nitride nanosheet (BNNS) is an isoelectronic analog of graphene that exhibits high thermal conductivity and excellent mechanical properties. However, the pristine BNNS with strong sp^2 – sp^2 bonding between B and N atoms cannot efficiently adsorb and activate gas molecules. Doping atoms on BNNS improves their reactive activities and electronic properties and, which makes BNNS as a good candidate for nanostructured gas-adsorbent materials (GAM). For instance, Qu *et al.*³⁰ found that pristine BNNS could not efficiently activate CO_2 , while boron-rich BNNS could efficiently convert CO_2 to CH_4 . Moreover, Zhang *et al.*³¹ showed that the adsorption of CO_2 , H_2O , CO, NO, O_2 , and H_2 on the Mg/Ca-doped BNC system have higher adsorption energies than BN and BNC sheets.

Moreover, the surface reactivity of h-BN can be significantly improved through heteroatom chemical doping as reported by previous experimental^{32–34} and theoretical^{35–38} studies. Several reports have well stated that hybrid structures are better candidates for adsorbing toxic gases; for example, Darvishi Gilan *et al.*³⁹ showed that BN–C hybrid nanoribbons are better structures than pristine BNNRs due to their tunable band gaps for adsorbing CO and NH_3 toxic gases. There are several examples in this regard, C-doped h-BN nanosheets show superior catalytic activity for CO oxidation,⁴⁰ N_2O reduction,⁴¹ oxygen reduction,⁴² and SO_2 oxidation;⁴³ in fact, C doping leads to the activation of h-BN toward SO_2 oxidation, which is mostly due to the localization of high spin density on the C atom.

Tables 1 and 2 represent some theoretical and experimental studies for the adsorption of different molecules on different

Table 2 Comparison of adsorption capacities of different molecules on various structures via experimental calculations

Material	Detected gases	Adsorption capacity	Temperature	Ref.
Mg-MOF-74	CO_2	8.6 ($mmol\ g^{-1}$)	298 (K)	29
Co-MOF-74	CO_2	7.5 ($mmol\ g^{-1}$)		29
Ni-MOF-74	CO_2	7.1 ($mmol\ g^{-1}$)		29
MOF-177	CO_2	0.8 ($mmol\ g^{-1}$)		29
Activated carbon fibers	H_2S	800 ($mg\ g^{-1}$)	293 (K)	50
Potassium hydroxide AC	H_2S	65 ($mg\ g^{-1}$)	303 (K)	50
AC	H_2S	2.7 ($mg\ g^{-1}$)	30 ($^{\circ}C$)	51
IAC	H_2S	9.4 ($mg\ g^{-1}$)		51
SSZ-13 zeolite	CO_2	4.2 ($mmol\ g^{-1}$)	294 (K)	52
SAPO-34 zeolite	CO_2	3.3 ($mmol\ g^{-1}$)		52
SAPO-34/graphite zeolite	CO_2	4.9 ($mmol\ g^{-1}$)	273 (K)	53

structures, some of which are defective, hybrid, or decorated, along with their adsorption energy.^{28,29,44–53} Cao *et al.*⁵⁴ showed that metal doping in metal organic frameworks (MOFs) serves as an efficient approach to increase the CO_2 adsorption capacity. Xiao *et al.*⁵¹ realized that H_2S adsorption on activated carbon (AC) and impregnated activated carbon (IAC) in fixed bed demonstrates that impregnation with Na_2CO_3 increases the adsorption capacity under anaerobic conditions. Small-pore zeolites (SAPO-34, SSZ-13) have potential for removing CO_2 from natural gas.⁵² The comparison of adsorption capacities when CO_2 is adsorbed on SAPO-34 zeolite and SAPO-34/graphite zeolite shows that the adsorption capacity of CO_2 on the hybrid SAPO-34/graphite zeolite increases.^{52,53} The comparison of these adsorption energies and adsorption capacities shows that in general, defective, hybrid, and decorated structures have higher adsorption.^{28,44,45}

In this work, by employing first principles calculation, we carry out studies on the adsorption behaviors of 5 toxic gases, including CO, CO_2 , H_2S , HF, and NH_3 on 8 types of hybrid BCN nanoribbons, where four types of which are pristine and in the other four types, we substituted a boron atom for the carbon

Table 1 Comparison of adsorption energies of different molecules on various structures using theoretical calculations

Material	Detected gases	E_{ads} (eV)	Type of adsorption	Ref.
Blue phosphorene	H_2S	−0.116	Physisorption	28
Li-decorated blue phosphorene	H_2S	−0.60	Chemisorption	28
Ni-decorated blue phosphorene	H_2S	−0.90	Chemisorption	28
Pt-decorated blue phosphorene	H_2S	−0.99	Chemisorption	28
BNNS	CO_2	−0.10	Physisorption	45
BNNT	CO_2	−0.09	Physisorption	45
B-rich BNNS	CO_2	−1.09	Chemisorption	45
B-rich BNNT	CO_2	−1.17	Chemisorption	45
Mg-doped BNC	CO_2	−0.209	Physisorption	44
	CO	−0.202	Physisorption	44
Ca-doped BNC	CO_2	−0.374	Chemisorption	44
	CO	−0.283	Physisorption	44
BN sheet	CO_2	−0.103	Physisorption	44 and 47
	CO	−0.097	Physisorption	44 and 47
	NH_3	−0.53	Chemisorption	44 and 47
O/BN surface (oxygen-modified BN surface)	CO_2	−1.36	Chemisorption	46
CaO monolayer	CO_2	−1.95	Chemisorption	48
Borophene/ MoS_2	CO	−1.15	Chemisorption	49
	CO_2	−0.64	Physisorption	49
	NH_3	−1.52	Chemisorption	49

atom, which actually made them rich in boron. The atomic configurations and adsorption energy of these molecules on hybrid BCN nanoribbons with/without B-rich were evaluated and compared. Our results reveal that the electronic properties and charge distribution of these nanoribbons are affected after different gases adsorption, appropriate for gas sensor and uptake. This study suggests the experimental utilization of hybrid BCN nanoribbons as a good gas-sensing material.

2. Computational methods

Our theoretical calculations were performed using density functional theory (DFT), as implemented in the SIESTA code. The Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) generalized gradient approximation (GGA) was employed to calculate the exchange–correlation energy.⁵⁵ For each type of functional, a specific set of pseudopotentials was generated and tested by starting from the parameters for the GGA–PBE functional.⁵⁶ We also introduced van der Waals type corrections using a functional that includes van der Waals interactions (VDW-DF). Armchair nanoribbons under our investigation have 48 atoms and their width is 6 atoms, and zigzag nanoribbons have 50 atoms and their width is 8 atoms, with a vacuum space of 30 Å along the conventional (100) and (010) directions to avoid the unwanted interaction between the periodically repeating units. To get B-rich BCN nanoribbons, we have substituted B at the C site of the BCN nanoribbons, because according to previous studies on BCN systems, the presence of holes (p-type) is energetically more favorable than the presence of electrons (n-type); so, when C atoms are replaced with B atoms in BCN systems, the structure is more stable.⁵⁷ For all the studied structures, Brillouin zone integration was performed using $1 \times 1 \times 12$ Monkhorst–pack k -point sampling after the optimization of several k -points. The convergence criteria that we used in the system includes Harris energy tolerance, Hamiltonian tolerance, and (free) energy tolerance, which are 0.000100 eV, 0.001000 eV, and 0.000100 eV, respectively. Other convergence criteria that we used in the system include Pulay mixing (Pulay mixing generally accelerates convergence quite significantly), mixing weight of the density matrix, and tolerance of density matrix, which were set at 7, 0.02, and 10^{-4} , respectively. To calculate the charge transfer between the gas molecules and the nanoribbons, we have used the Voronoi atomic population analysis. The basis sets applied in the studied systems were double-zeta-polarized (DZP). DZP basis set provides accurate results and a relatively fast computational approach;⁵⁶ therefore, the smallest basis set 7 is of DZP quality,⁵⁸ and the optimized mesh cutoff energy for all nanoribbons is set at 280 Ry (see Fig. S14 in the ESI†). The adsorption energy is defined as^{59–61}

$$E_{\text{ads}} = E_{\text{sensing material+gas}} - (E_{\text{sensing material}} + E_{\text{gas}}) \quad (1)$$

where $E_{\text{sensing material+gas}}$ demonstrates the total energy of the sensing material after the adsorption of the gas molecule, and $E_{\text{sensing material}}$ and E_{gas} imply the total energy of the sensing

Table 3 The formation energy (E_{form}) of 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C, 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C, 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C, 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C, B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C, B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C, B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C, and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C nanoribbons

Nanoribbon	E_{form} (eV per atom)
6-ABCNNR with 50%-C	−6.209
8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C	−6.752
6-ABCNNR with 33%-C	−7.982
8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C	−9.636
B-Rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C	−6.808
B-Rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C	−7.324
B-Rich 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C	−8.587
B-Rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C	−10.237

material before the adsorption of the gas molecule and the total energy of the gas molecule, respectively.⁶² The calculation of the stability of various nanoribbons was performed based on the prior determination of convenient chemical potentials^{63–65} and the formation energies of the BCN nanoribbons (E_{form}) is obtained according to the following equation⁶⁴

$$E_{\text{form}} = \frac{(E_{\text{tot}} - n_{\text{B}}\mu_{\text{B}} - n_{\text{C}}\mu_{\text{C}} - n_{\text{N}}\mu_{\text{N}} - n_{\text{HB}}\mu_{\text{HB}} - n_{\text{HC}}\mu_{\text{HC}} - n_{\text{HN}}\mu_{\text{HN}})}{n_{\text{t}}} \quad (2)$$

where E_{tot} is the total energy of each BCN nanoribbon, n_{B} , n_{C} , n_{N} , n_{HB} , n_{HC} , and n_{HN} are the number of C, B, N atoms, and H–B, H–C and H–N bonds, respectively, μ_{B} , μ_{C} , μ_{N} , μ_{HB} , μ_{HC} , and μ_{HN} are the respective chemical potentials, and n_{t} is the total number of atoms. According to eqn (2), we obtained the formation energy of our studied nanoribbons, which are presented in Table 3. A lower (more negative) E_{form} means a more stable structure.^{57,66} Therefore, according to Table 3, among pristine nanoribbons, 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C ($E_{\text{form}} = -9.636$ eV per atom) is the most stable and among all nanoribbons, B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C ($E_{\text{form}} = -10.237$ eV per atom, Table 3) is the most stable. One fundamental parameter for gas sensor is their selectivity rate,⁶² which is expressed by sensitivity factor (SF).⁶⁷

$$\text{SF} = \frac{E_{\text{gi}} - E_{\text{gf}}}{E_{\text{gi}}} \times 100 \quad (3)$$

where E_{gi} is the initial band gap before interaction and E_{gf} is the final band gap after the interaction. To investigate the effect of temperature and pressure on the adsorption energy of gases on the substrate, we carried out *ab initio* molecular dynamics (AIMD), as implemented in SIESTA.

3. Results and discussion

As mentioned, following up previous theoretical and experimental studies, which show that hybrid and defective nanostructures have large adsorption capacity than pristine structures, we create defective hybrid nanoribbons by extra boron atom, which sits at the carbon site surrounded by two carbon atoms and one nitrogen atom in hybrid BCN

nanoribbons. Since nanoribbons with zigzag and armchair edges have different electronic characteristics, therefore, we study both nanoribbons. We investigate the adsorption of toxic gases on pristine nanoribbons including armchair nanoribbons with a width of 6 atoms and 50% carbon (6-ABCNNR with 50%-C), zigzag nanoribbons with a width of 8 atoms and 50% carbon (8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C), armchair nanoribbons with a width of 6 atoms and 33% carbon (6-ABCNNR with 33%-C), zigzag nanoribbons with a width of 8 atoms and 25% carbon (8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C), and defective hybrid nanoribbons including boron-rich armchair nanoribbons with a width of 6 atoms and 50% carbon (B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C), boron-rich zigzag nanoribbons with a width of 8 atoms and 50% carbon (B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C), boron-rich armchair nanoribbons with a width of 6 atoms and 33% carbon (B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C), and boron-rich zigzag nanoribbons with a width of 8 atoms and 25% carbon (B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C). To check the band structure, DOS, PDOS, and adsorption of toxic gases were studied on 6-ABCNNR

with 33%-C, 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C, B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C, and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C; refer to the (ESI†).

3.1. Analysis of the nanoribbons before the adsorption of gas molecules

The supercells and optimized structures of pristine 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 1(a) and (b)) and 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 1(c) and (d)) are shown in Fig. 1. Also, the optimized boron-rich nanoribbons, *i.e.*, B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 2(a) and (b)) and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 2(c) and (d)), can be seen in Fig. 2. In the boron-rich nanoribbons with 50% carbon, we substituted half the number of boron and nitrogen atoms of each hexagon with carbon atoms in the boron nitride nanoribbon and, in this way, we simulated boron-carbon-nitride nanoribbons with 50% carbon. The successful synthesis of boron-carbon-nitride nanoribbons has been reported previously.⁵⁵ In the case of 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C, the length of C-C, B-N, C-B, and C-N bonds is 1.41 Å, 1.45 Å, 1.48 Å, and 1.39 Å, respectively. Also, in the




Fig. 1 Top view and side view of the geometric configurations of 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (a) and (b) and 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (c) and (d), band structure and DOS of 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (e) and 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (f), PDOS of 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (g), and 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (h). The Fermi level is indicated by the red dashed line.




Fig. 2 Top view and side view of the geometric configurations of B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (a) and (b) and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (c) and (d), band structure and DOS of B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (e) and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (f), PDOS of B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (g) and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (h). The Fermi level is indicated by the red dashed line.

8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C, the length of C–C, B–N, C–B, and C–N bonds were calculated to be 1.41 Å, 1.43 Å, 1.55 Å, and 1.43 Å, respectively. But when 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C becomes boron-rich, the length of bonds changes compared to when it is pristine, *i.e.*, in B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C, the C–C bond length becomes 1.40 Å, which means that it has decreased compared to 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C, but other bond lengths do not change. The comparison of B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C and 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C also shows us that in B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C, the C–B bond length is 1.54 Å, *i.e.*, it has decreased compared to 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C, but the C–N bond length is 1.44 Å and has increased compared to 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C, and the other bond lengths do not change. The length of C–B, C–N, and B–N bonds in 6-

ABCNNR with 33%-C, 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C, B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C, and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C is given in Table S1 in the ESI,[†] and in B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C, there is also a B–B bond due to the addition of boron atom.

The electronic band structure, density of states (DOS), and projected density of states (PDOS) of 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C and 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C are shown in Fig. 1(e)–(h). Fig. 1(e) indicates that there is a band gap of 1.365 eV (Table 4), which confirms that the 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C is a semiconductor. Additionally, Fig. 1(f) exhibits that 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C is a semimetal because its band gap is very small and almost zero (Table 4). To determine the contribution of the different atomic orbitals of the structures, we calculated and plotted the PDOS

Table 4 Fermi energy (E_F), energy band gap (E_g), and electrical conductivity of 6-ABCNNR, 8-ZBCNNR, B-rich 6-ABCNNR, and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C before gas molecule adsorption

Substrate (with 50%-C)	E_F (eV)	E_g (eV)	Electrical conductivity
6-ABCNNR	−3.469	1.365	Semiconductor
8-ZBCNNR	−3.650	~ 0	Semimetal
B-rich 6-ABCNNR	−3.800	1.654	Semiconductor
B-rich 8-ZBCNNR	−3.713	0	Metal

and focused on the contribution of atomic orbitals of valence electrons. According to Fig. 1(g), the 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C behaves as a semiconductor with a forbidden region of electronic states. In addition, Fig. 1(g) shows that in the valence and conduction regions, the contribution of C (2p) states is more than the contribution of B (2p) and N (2p) states. Strong hybridization between 2p orbitals of B, C, and N atoms is observed in the valence and conduction regions. In Fig. 1(h) for 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C, the largest contribution of the density of electron states is related to the C (2p) states, where the contribution of B (2p) and N (2p) states in the conduction region is very small. The band structure, density of states (DOS), and projected density of states (PDOS) of B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C is shown in Fig. 2(e)–(h). When the structures are defective B-rich hybrid structures, their electrical conductivity undergoes changes. According to Fig. 2(e), in the B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C,

the band gap is increased (−3.800 eV, Table 4), resulting in lower electrical conductivity. The existence of flat bands in the band structure of B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 2(e)) indicates the localized electronic states with zero group velocity of electrons.⁶⁸ Furthermore, a phase transition occurs for 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C, when becomes B-rich; from semimetal to metal (Table 4) (Fig. 2(f)). In Fig. 2(g), similar to Fig. 1(g), in the valence and conduction regions, the contribution of C (2p) states is more than the contribution of B (2p) and N (2p) states. Fig. 2(h) also shows that the B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C is metal, where the density of electron states is more dominated by the C (2p) states. 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C and 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C are semiconductors, but by adding extra boron in their configuration, they become metals (see Table S2 and Fig. S1, S2 in the ESI†). The charge density distribution of 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C are shown in Fig. 3(a) and (b). According to the color codes, the charge density is higher in the inner-most region (magenta) and lower in the outer most regions (red). More charge density is observed around nitrogen (Fig. 3(a) and (b)) because the electronegativity of nitrogen atom is more than that of boron and carbon atoms. To investigate the dynamic stability, the phonon spectrum is calculated and plotted in Fig. 4(a) and (b) for 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C and 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C, respectively. The phonon spectrum of these structures reveals no negative frequencies in the diagrams, confirming the dynamic stability of hybrid BCN




Fig. 3 Charge density distribution of 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C (a), B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C (b), CO molecule adsorbed on 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C (physisorption) (c), and CO molecule adsorbed on B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C (chemisorption) (d). Charge density color codes (e) and the color scale is in $e \text{ \AA}^{-3}$.




Fig. 4 Phonon dispersion spectrum of 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (a) and 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (b), time evolution of total energy of 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (c) and 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (d) during the AIMD simulations at a high temperature of 600 K.

nanoribbons. We also investigated the thermodynamic stability. To peruse the thermodynamic stability, we used *ab initio* molecular dynamics (AIMD) simulation in SIESTA and set the desired temperature to 600 K. Our results in Fig. 4(c) and (d) showed that 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C and 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C remain stable up to a temperature above 600 K, and no bonds are broken.

Furthermore, to investigate and analyze the adsorption of toxic gas molecules on the nanoribbons, we consider the molecules at different sites of the nanoribbons, including the hollow-center (center of hexagon), bridge-center (center of C–C bond, center of B–N bond, center of C–B bond, center of C–N bond, and center of B–B bond), B-top, N-top and C-top sites, and finally selected the most stable ones in terms of energy. It should also be mentioned that we place the molecules at a distance of 2 Å above the substrates (nanoribbons) and investigate the adsorption of molecules at this distance. To check the adsorption of CO and NH₃ molecules on 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C at a longer distance (3 Å), see Fig. S13 and Tables S14–S17 in ESI.†

3.2. CO molecule adsorption

The most stable adsorption configuration of CO molecule before and after adsorption is shown in Fig. 6(a)–(p), which is the hollow-center for the 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 6(a)–(d)), B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 6(i)–(l)) and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 6(m)–(p)), and the bridge-center (center of C–C bond) for the 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 6(e)–(h)). The most stable adsorption configuration of CO molecule before and after adsorption on 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C, 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C, B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C and

B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C is shown in Fig. S3 in the ESI.† The changes of bond length (average the length of bonds of the molecule), adsorption distance of nearest-neighbor atoms of adsorption site and charge transfer between CO molecule and substrate (the sign “–” means that the molecule is the acceptor of the charge and the sign “+” means the molecule is the donor of the charge), as well as the adsorption energy after adsorption are summarized in Table 5, where these parameters for nanoribbons with 25% and 33% carbon are given in Table S3 in the ESI.† The adsorption energy of molecules is calculated using eqn (1). Before adsorption, the CO molecule bond length is 1.145 Å and after adsorption on all nanoribbons, its bond length slightly increases, and the largest bond length occurs after CO molecule adsorption on 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (1.152 Å, Table 5). In addition, after CO molecule adsorption on all nanoribbons, the adsorption distance of the nearest-neighbor atom of the adsorption site between CO molecule and substrate increases, where the CO molecule moves away from the substrate. The maximum (from 2.122 Å to 2.848 Å, Table 2) and minimum (from 2.460 Å to 2.813 Å, Table 5) of this distance belong to the CO molecule adsorption on 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C and 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C substrates, respectively. The highest adsorption energy of the CO molecule is on B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (–1.531 eV, Table 5), and the lowest adsorption energy is on 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (–0.453 eV, Table 5). After the interaction between the molecule and the nanoribbon, due to the charge transfer, creation of a bond between the adsorbate and substrate, or the repulsion between them, a structural deformation is revealed. Table 6 shows the structural deformation of the nanoribbons after CO molecule adsorption, where B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (1.395 Å)




Fig. 5 Comparison of the density of electronic states of orbitals after the molecule adsorption at the distance of 2 Å and at a distance where the adsorption energy is almost zero: (a) PDOS of CO molecule adsorbed on 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C at the distance of 2 Å (bottom figure) and at a distance (8 Å) where the adsorption energy is almost zero (top figure), (b) PDOS of CO₂ molecule adsorbed on 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C at the distance of 2 Å (bottom figure) and at a distance (8.5 Å) where the adsorption energy is almost zero (top figure), and (c) PDOS of CO molecule adsorbed on B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C at the distance of 2 Å (bottom figure) and at a distance (9 Å) where the adsorption energy is almost zero (top figure). The Fermi level is indicated by the red dashed line.

shows the highest structural deformation and 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (0.502 Å) exhibits the lowest one. The structural deformation for nanoribbons with 25% and 33% carbon after CO molecule adsorption is listed in Table S4 in the ESI.† Fig. 7(a)–(d) shows the band structure and DOS of nanoribbons after CO molecule adsorption. The band gap of 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C, 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C, and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C after the CO molecule adsorption are not different from before adsorption, but the band gap of B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C decreases (1.584 eV, Table 6), leading to larger electrical conductivity. The opening/closing band gap are related to charge transfer and structural deformation, leading to broken symmetry. A previous study stated that charge transfer between the adsorbate and substrate and orbital mixing due to structural deformation affect the electronic and adsorption energy, which confirm phase transition.^{69–71} Table 5 describes that a small charge transfer occurs between CO molecule and all the nanoribbons; the highest charge transfer is between 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C and CO molecule (−0.031 *e*) and the lowest one is between B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C; among the B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with

50%-C and the CO molecule (−0.024 *e*), the CO molecule behaves as an electron acceptor. After gas molecule adsorption, Fermi energy shift appears due to charge transfer between nanoribbons and gas molecules (Table 3). The comparison of the DOSs of Fig. 1(e), (f), 2(e), (f) and Fig. S1(e) (f) (ESI†) (before CO molecule adsorption) with DOSs of Fig. 6(a)–(d) and Fig. S4(a), (b) (ESI†) (after CO molecule adsorption) shows that insignificant changes occur far from the Fermi level in the conduction and valence regions, and these changes are due to small orbital mixing, confirming the results obtained from the band structure (side figure). But the comparison of the DOS of Fig. S2(e) and (f) (ESI†) (before CO molecule adsorption) with DOSs of Fig. S4(c) and (d) (ESI†) (after CO molecule adsorption) shows that significant changes occur in the DOS near the Fermi level. For instance, in Fig. S4(d) (ESI†), the significant oscillations of peak intensity of the DOS around the Fermi level is because of orbital mixing between the CO molecules and substrate as well as charge transfer (chemisorption), which is very different from Fig. S2(f) (ESI†). Fig. 7(e)–(h) indicates the PDOS of nanoribbons after CO molecule adsorption. Because the charge transfer between CO molecule and the nanoribbons

Geometric Configurations of CO Molecule Adsorption




Fig. 6 Top view and side view of the geometric configurations of CO molecule on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C before (a) and (b) and after (c) and (d) optimization, 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C before (e) and (f) and after (g) and (h) optimization, B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C before (i) and (j) and after (k) and (l) optimization, B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C before (m) and (n) and after (o) and (p) optimization.

is small, the PDOS of the structures before and after CO molecule adsorption only has slight changes. As it is clear from Fig. 7(e)–(h), the density of electronic states of O (2p) is negligible compared to B (2p), N (2p), and C (2p) states around the Fermi energy (the valence and conduction regions), and this also confirms the small amount of charge transfer between the CO molecule and nanoribbons. Comparing the band structures and DOS of the nanoribbons before (Fig. 1(e), (f) and 2(e), (f)) and after (Fig. 7(a)–(d)) the molecule adsorption explains that they are not much different around the Fermi energy, and this indicates the physical adsorption (physisorption) of the CO molecule. The slight changes in the band structures and DOSs after CO molecule adsorption can be attributed to structural deformation. The band structure, DOS, and PDOS of CO molecule adsorbed on nanoribbons with 25% and 33% carbon is shown in Fig. S4 in the ESI.† The charge density distribution of the CO molecule adsorbed on 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C

(physisorption) and CO molecule adsorbed on B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C (chemisorption) are shown in Fig. 3(c) and (d). In CO molecule, by considering the larger electronegativity of O atoms, the larger charge density appears around O atoms than C atoms (Fig. 3(c) and (d)). A strong interaction between the CO molecule and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C can be observed in Fig. 3(d), leading to chemisorption. As shown in Fig. 3(c) and (d), in the region between the CO molecule and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C where the bond between the CO molecule and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C is created, there is a larger charge density than where the CO molecule is physically adsorbed on 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C. Therefore, according to the charge density diagram, it is possible to understand the physical and chemical nature of adsorption. To investigate the contribution of the density of electronic states of the orbitals after CO molecule adsorption on 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C at

Table 5 CO, CO₂, H₂S, HF, and NH₃ molecules adsorbed on 6-ABCNNR, 8-ZBCNNR, B-rich 6-ABCNNR, and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C: the bond length of gas molecule (*L*), adsorption distance of nearest-neighbor atoms between the gas molecule and substrate (*D*), the angle of gas molecule (α), charge transfer between gas molecule and substrate (ΔQ), and adsorption energy (E_{ads})

Molecule	Substrate (with 50%-C)	<i>L</i> (Å)		<i>D</i> (Å)		α (°)		ΔQ (<i>e</i>)	E_{ads} (eV)	Type of adsorption
		Before	After	Before	After	Before	After			
CO	6-ABCNNR	1.145	1.152	2.460	2.813		—	−0.027	−1.278	Physisorption
	8-ZBCNNR	1.145	1.148	2.122	2.848		—	−0.031	−0.453	Physisorption
	B-rich 6-ABCNNR	1.145	1.150	2.440	2.810	—	—	−0.024	−1.531	Physisorption
	B-rich 8-ZBCNNR	1.145	1.150	2.485	2.901		—	−0.024	−0.558	Physisorption
CO ₂	6-ABCNNR	1.178	1.179	2.459	2.960		178.955	+0.005	−1.354	Physisorption
	8-ZBCNNR	1.178	1.179	2.458	2.961		178.626	−0.007	−0.542	Physisorption
	B-rich 6-ABCNNR	1.178	1.179	2.013	2.797	180	178.018	+0.005	−1.58	Physisorption
	B-rich 8-ZBCNNR	1.178	1.179	2.000	3.123		179.380	−0.001	−0.576	Physisorption
H ₂ S	6-ABCNNR	1.371	1.371	3.215	3.042		92.389	−0.011	−1.281	Physisorption
	8-ZBCNNR	1.371	1.370	2.468	3.297		91.462	+0.018	−0.389	Physisorption
	B-rich 6-ABCNNR	1.371	1.372	2.440	3.149	92.033	91.291	+0.025	−1.507	Physisorption
	B-rich 8-ZBCNNR	1.371	1.371	2.460	3.186		91.424	+0.003	−0.500	Physisorption
HF	6-ABCNNR	0.929	0.942	3.278	2.186		—	−0.072	−1.351	Physisorption
	8-ZBCNNR	0.929	0.930	2.468	2.693		—	+0.025	−0.391	Physisorption
	B-rich 6-ABCNNR	0.929	0.947	3.246	2.045	—	—	−0.081	−1.619	Physisorption
	B-rich 8-ZBCNNR	0.929	0.942	3.280	2.119		—	−0.082	−0.648	Physisorption
NH ₃	6-ABCNNR	1.041	1.042	2.486	2.708		106.368	+0.06	−1.366	Physisorption
	8-ZBCNNR	1.041	1.045	2.000	1.770		108.784	+0.384	−0.651	Physisorption
	B-rich 6-ABCNNR	1.041	1.045	2.440	1.753	105.506	109.402	+0.365	−1.676	Physisorption
	B-rich 8-ZBCNNR	1.041	1.044	2.000	1.769		108.384	+0.389	−0.573	Physisorption

Table 6 Fermi energy (E_F), energy band gap (E_g), structural deformation (Δ), and electrical conductivity of 6-ABCNNR, 8-ZBCNNR, B-rich 6-ABCNNR, and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C after CO, CO₂, H₂S, HF, and NH₃ molecules adsorption

Molecule	Substrate (with 50%-C)	E_F (eV)	E_g (eV)	Δ (Å)	Electrical conductivity
CO +	6-ABCNNR	−3.381	1.365	1.069	Semiconductor
	8-ZBCNNR	−3.700	~0	0.502	Semimetal
	B-rich 6-ABCNNR	−3.750	1.584	1.395	Semiconductor
	B-rich 8-ZBCNNR	−3.782	0	0.502	Metal
CO ₂ +	6-ABCNNR	−3.254	1.374	0.956	Semiconductor
	8-ZBCNNR	−3.660	~0	0.386	Semimetal
	B-rich 6-ABCNNR	−3.700	1.576	1.409	Semiconductor
	B-rich 8-ZBCNNR	−3.747	0	0.527	Metal
H ₂ S +	6-ABCNNR	−3.550	1.409	0.499	Semiconductor
	8-ZBCNNR	−3.670	~0	0.352	Semimetal
	B-rich 6-ABCNNR	−3.650	1.558	1.386	Semiconductor
	B-rich 8-ZBCNNR	−3.746	0	0.502	Metal
HF +	6-ABCNNR	−3.700	1.409	1.000	Semiconductor
	8-ZBCNNR	−3.556	~0	0.049	Semimetal
	B-rich 6-ABCNNR	−3.850	1.645	1.467	Semiconductor
	B-rich 8-ZBCNNR	−3.916	0	0.494	Metal
NH ₃ +	6-ABCNNR	−3.500	1.400	0.989	Semiconductor
	8-ZBCNNR	−3.370	0.131	0.521	Semiconductor
	B-rich 6-ABCNNR	−3.450	1.610	1.419	Semiconductor
	B-rich 8-ZBCNNR	−3.379	0	0.574	Metal

a distance of 2 Å and at a distance of 8 Å (for 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C), 9 Å (for B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with (25%-C)) where the adsorption energy is almost zero (there is no adsorption between the molecule and the nanoribbon), we compare their PDOS, as depicted in Fig. 5. We find that by locating the molecule at the distance of 8 Å and 9 Å, there is practically

no adsorption between the molecule and the nanoribbon, and the intensity of the peak corresponding to the density of electronic states of the 2p orbitals of the O atom and C atom are much larger than the adsorption of the molecule at a distance of 2 Å as a conclusion of the strong interaction of the molecule with the nanoribbon. Fig. 5(a) and (c) reveals that

Electronic Properties of CO Molecule Adsorption




Fig. 7 Band structure and DOS of CO molecule adsorbed on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (a), 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (b), B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (c), B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (d). PDOS of CO molecule adsorbed on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (e), 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (f), B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (g), and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (h). The Fermi level is indicated by the red dashed line.


Geometric Configurations of CO₂ Molecule Adsorption

Fig. 8 Top view and side view of the geometric configurations of CO₂ molecule on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C before (a) and (b) and after (c) and (d) optimization, 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C before (e) and (f) and after (g) and (h) optimization, B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C before (i) and (j) and after (k) and (l) optimization, B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C before (m) and (n) and after (o) and (p) optimization.

significant charge transfer happens between the CO molecule and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C. The comparison of Fig. 5(a) and (c) shows that the intensity of the peak related to the CO orbitals after the adsorption on B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C at a distance of 2 Å has decreased much more than of its pristine structure, supporting significant charge transfer, strong interaction, and chemical adsorption of CO molecule on B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C, which is attributed to B-doping and its double-acceptor character.

3.3. CO₂ molecule adsorption

The most stable adsorption configuration of CO₂ molecule before and after adsorption is shown in Fig. 8(a)–(p), which is the bridge-center (center of C–C bond) for the 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 6(a)–(d)) and 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 8(e)–(h)), hollow-center for B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 8(i)–(l)),

and the top of B atom (B atom replacing C atom) for the B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 8(m)–(p)). The most stable adsorption configuration of CO₂ molecule before and after adsorption on 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C, 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C, B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C, and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C is shown in Fig. S5 in the ESI.† The changes in the bond length (average length of bonds of the molecule), adsorption distance of nearest-neighbor atom of adsorption site and CO₂ molecule, charge transfer between CO₂ molecule and substrate, the angle of CO₂ molecule (average angles in the molecule), and adsorption energy after adsorption are summarized in Table 5, and these parameters after adsorption on nanoribbons with 25% and 33% carbon are presented in Table S5 in the ESI.† Before CO₂ molecule adsorption, the average length of bonds is 1.178 Å and after adsorption on all nanoribbons, it slightly increases (1.179 Å, Table 5). Before adsorption, the CO₂ bond

angle is 180° , but after the interaction with all the nanoribbons, this angle decreases and the smallest angle belongs to CO_2 molecule adsorption on B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (178.018° , Table 5). After CO_2 molecule adsorption on all substrates, the adsorption distance of the nearest-neighbor atoms of the adsorption site and CO_2 molecule increases, where the adsorbate moves away from the substrate. The maximum (from $2.0 \text{ \AA}$ to $3.123 \text{ \AA}$, Table 5) and minimum (from $2.459 \text{ \AA}$ to $2.960 \text{ \AA}$, Table 5) of this distance belong to CO_2 molecule adsorption on B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C and 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C substrates, respectively. Similar to CO molecule, the highest adsorption energy of CO_2 molecule is on B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (-1.582 eV , Table 5) and the lowest adsorption energy is on 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (-0.542 eV , Table 5). Table 6 shows the structural deformation of the nanoribbons after CO_2 molecule adsorption, the highest of which is related to B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C ($1.409 \text{ \AA}$) and the lowest is related to 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C ($0.386 \text{ \AA}$). The structural deformation for nanoribbons with 25% and 33% carbon after CO_2 molecule adsorption is listed in Table S6 in the ESI.† Fig. 9(a)–(d) shows us the band structure and DOS of nanoribbons after CO_2 molecule adsorption. The band gap of 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C after CO_2 molecule adsorption are not different from that before CO_2 molecule adsorption and are still metal and semimetal, respectively. But the band gap of B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C decreases (1.576 eV , Table 6) and its electrical conductivity improves, while the band gap of 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C increases (1.374 eV , Table 6), leading to lower electrical conductivity. Table 5 describes that a negligible charge transfer occurs between CO_2 molecule and all the nanoribbons, the highest charge transfer is between 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C and CO_2 molecule ($-0.007 e$), and the lowest charge transfer is between B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C and CO_2 molecule ($-0.001 e$). When the CO_2 molecule is adsorbed on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C and B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C, it behaves as the electron donor, and when it is adsorbed on 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C, it becomes the electron acceptor. The Fermi energy shift happens due to the charge transfer between the nanoribbons and CO_2 molecule (Table 6). The adsorption of CO_2 on all nanoribbons is physical adsorption, so there are no specific changes in the band structure and in the DOS, and we only see a series of very small oscillations in the regions far from the Fermi level in the conduction and valence regions (comparison of Fig. 1(e), (f), 2(e), (f) and Fig. S1(e), (f), S2(e), (f) (ESI†) (before CO_2 molecule adsorption) with Fig. 8(a)–(d) and Fig. S6(a)–(d) (ESI†) (after CO_2 molecule adsorption)). Fig. 9(e)–(h) exhibits the PDOS of nanoribbons after CO_2 molecule adsorption. Because the charge transfer between CO_2 molecule and the nanoribbons is negligible, the PDOS of the structures before and after CO_2 molecule adsorption are not drastically different. Similar to CO molecule, it can be clearly seen from Fig. 9(e)–(h) that the density of electronic states of the O ($2p$) state is negligible compared to B ($2p$), N ($2p$), and C ($2p$) states around the Fermi energy (the valence and conduction regions), and this also

confirms a small amount of charge transfer between CO_2 molecule and nanoribbons. The comparison of the band structure and DOS of nanoribbons before (Fig. 1(e), (f) and 2(e), (f)) and after (Fig. 9(a)–(d)) CO_2 molecule adsorption shows a very small difference due to the structural deformation, but due to this small difference and negligible charge transfer, the CO_2 molecule adsorption is physical. The band structure, DOS, and PDOS of CO_2 molecule adsorbed on nanoribbons with 25% and 33% carbon is shown in Fig. S6 in the ESI.† Comparing the density of electronic states of orbitals after CO_2 molecule adsorption on 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C at a distance of $2 \text{ \AA}$ and at a distance ($8 \text{ \AA}$), the adsorption energy is almost zero (see Fig. 5(b)). Among native defects such as vacancies and Stone Wales, we focus on extra boron atom, which sits at the carbon site surrounded by two carbon atoms and one nitrogen atom. In fact, the double-acceptor state of the boron-doped hybrid structure can break the $\text{C}=\text{O}$ double bond of a CO_2 molecule, where this type of interaction is similar to the finding of Choi *et al.*⁷² Furthermore, to investigate the effect of B-doping, which leads to an increase in the adsorption energy, we plotted and analyzed the PDOS (Fig. 4) and charge density (Fig. 3), which explains the details of the variation of adsorption energy and orbital contribution.

3.4. H_2S molecule adsorption

The most stable adsorption configuration of H_2S molecule before and after adsorption is shown in Fig. 10(a)–(p), which is the hollow-center for all structures. The most stable adsorption configuration of H_2S molecule before and after adsorption on 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C, 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C, B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C, and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C is shown in Fig. S7 in the ESI.† The changes in the bond length (the average length of bonds of the molecule), adsorption distance of nearest-neighbor atoms between the H_2S molecule and substrate, charge transfer between H_2S molecule and substrate, the angle of H_2S molecule (average angles in the molecule), and adsorption energy after H_2S molecule adsorption are summarized in Table 5, and these parameters after H_2S molecule adsorption on nanoribbons with 25% and 33% carbon are given in Table S7 in the ESI.† Before H_2S molecule adsorption, the average length of bonds is $1.371 \text{ \AA}$ and after H_2S molecule adsorption on 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C, it decreases ($1.370 \text{ \AA}$, Table 5), but after adsorption on B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C, it increases ($1.372 \text{ \AA}$, Table 5). Also, this value does not change when adsorbing on two other nanoribbons. Before the interaction of H_2S molecule with the nanoribbons, its bond angle is 92.033° , but after the interaction with all the nanoribbons except 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C, its bond angle decreases and the smallest bond angle belongs to H_2S molecule adsorption on B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (91.291° , Table 5). After the interaction of H_2S molecule with 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C, its bond angle increases to 92.389° (Table 5). After H_2S molecule adsorption on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C, the adsorption distance of the nearest-neighbor atoms between H_2S molecule and substrate decreases (from $3.215 \text{ \AA}$ to $3.042 \text{ \AA}$, Table 6), which means that the molecule comes close to the substrate, while


Electronic Properties of CO₂ Molecule Adsorption

Fig. 9 Band structure and DOS of CO₂ molecule adsorbed on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (a), 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (b), B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (c), B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (d). PDOS of CO₂ molecule adsorbed on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (e), 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (f), B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (g), B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (h). The Fermi level indicated by the red dashed line.


Geometric Configurations of H₂S Molecule Adsorption

Fig. 10 Top view and side view of the geometric configurations of H₂S molecule on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C before (a) and (b) and after (c) and (d) optimization, 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C before (e) and (f) and after (g) and (h) optimization, B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C before (i) and (j) and after (k) and (l) optimization, B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C before (m) and (n) and after (o) and (p) optimization.

after H₂S molecule adsorption on other nanoribbons, this distance increases, which indicates that due to the interaction of the molecule with the nanoribbons, the molecule moves away from them, where the largest distance belongs to H₂S molecule adsorption on 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (from 2.468 Å to 3.297 Å, Table 5). Similar to CO and CO₂ molecules, the highest adsorption energy of H₂S molecule is on B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (−1.507 eV, Table 5) and the lowest adsorption energy is on 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (−0.389 eV, Table 5). Table 6 shows the structural deformation of the nanoribbons after the interaction of H₂S molecule with the nanoribbons, similar to CO₂ molecule, the highest one is related to B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (1.386 Å), and the lowest one is related to 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (0.352 Å). The structural deformation of nanoribbons with 25% and 33% carbon after H₂S molecule adsorption is presented in Table S8 in the ESI.† Fig. 10(a)–(d) shows the band structure and DOS of nanoribbons after H₂S molecule

adsorption. The band gap of 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C after H₂S molecule adsorption is not different from before H₂S molecule adsorption and are still metal and semimetal, respectively. However, the band gap of 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (1.409 eV, Table 6) and B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C increase (1.558 eV, Table 6), leading to lower electrical conductivity. Table 5 describes that a small charge transfer occurs between the H₂S molecule and all the nanoribbons, the highest charge transfer is between B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C and H₂S molecule (+0.025 *e*), and the lowest charge transfer is between B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C and H₂S molecule (+0.003 *e*). The H₂S molecule behaves as the electron acceptor only when it is adsorbed on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C, and it is the electron donor when it is adsorbed on other nanoribbons. Moreover, the Fermi energy of nanoribbons shifts after H₂S molecule adsorption, supporting charge transfer between the adsorbate and substrate (Table 6). The

adsorption of H₂S is physically similar to CO₂ on all nanoribbons; as a conclusion, we will not expect special changes near the Fermi level. Minor changes can be seen in the regions far from the Fermi level (conduction and valence regions). For example, after the adsorption of H₂S on 6-ABCNNR, 8-ZBCNNR, B-rich 6-ABCNNR, and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C due to the creation of a flat band in the band structure, a large peak is seen in DOS near the energy of -5 eV. Also, due to the creation of these flat bands in the range from -5 eV to -6 eV, the long peaks in DOS are created for 6-ACBNNR with 33%-C, 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C, B-rich 6-ACBNNR with 33%-C, and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C (comparison of Fig. 1(e), (f), 2(e), (f) and Fig. S1(e), (f), S2(e), (f) (ESI[†]) (before H₂S molecule adsorption) with Fig. 10(a)–(d) and Fig. S8(a)–(d) (ESI[†]) (after H₂S molecule adsorption)). Fig. 10(e)–(h) shows the PDOS of nanoribbons after H₂S molecule adsorption. For all the configurations of the H₂S molecule adsorption on the nanoribbons for the regions far from the Fermi energy and valence band, almost strong hybridization between the B (2p), N (2p), and C (2p) states of the nanoribbon with the S (2p) state of the H₂S molecule happen. However, for the regions near the Fermi energy and in the valence and conduction band regions, the contribution of the S (2p) state is negligible, and also the contribution of B (2p), N (2p), and C (2p) states has a very small difference compared to before H₂S molecule adsorption (Fig. 1(g), (h) and 2(g), (h)), which is due to small charge transfer that occurs. Similar to CO and CO₂ molecules, the slight changes observed in the band structure and DOS of nanoribbons after H₂S molecule adsorption (Fig. 10(a)–(d)) indicates the physical adsorption of H₂S molecule on the nanoribbons. The band structure, DOS, and PDOS of H₂S molecule adsorbed on nanoribbons with 50% carbon is shown in Fig. 11, and for 25% and 33% carbon are brought in Fig. S8 in ESI[†].

3.5. HF molecule adsorption

The most stable adsorption configuration of the HF molecule before and after adsorption is shown in Fig. 12(a)–(p), which is the hollow-center for all the structures. The most stable adsorption configuration of the HF molecule before and after adsorption on 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C, 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C, B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C, and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C is shown in Fig. S9 in the ESI[†]. The changes in the bond length (the average length of bonds of the molecule), adsorption distance of nearest-neighbor atoms, and charge transfer between HF adsorbate and substrate, and adsorption energy after HF molecule adsorption are summarized in Table 5, and these parameters after HF molecule adsorption on nanoribbons with 25% and 33% carbon are given in Table S9 in the ESI[†]. Before adsorption, the HF bond length is 0.929 Å and after adsorption on all substrates, the HF molecule bond length increases slightly, where the largest bond length happens after HF molecule adsorption on B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (0.947 Å, Table 5). By calculation of the structural deformation of substrate and adsorption distance of HF molecule and nearest-neighbor atoms of the adsorption site, the HF orientation is determined, where the HF molecule approaches

8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (from 2.468 Å to 2.693 Å), while the HF molecule moves away from other nanoribbons. Similar to CO, CO₂, and H₂S molecules, the highest adsorption energy of HF molecule is on B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (-1.619 eV, Table 5), and the lowest adsorption energy is on 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (-0.391 eV, Table 5). Table 6 presents the structural deformation of the nanoribbons after the interaction of HF molecule with the nanoribbons, similar to CO₂ and H₂S molecules; the highest one is related to B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (1.467 Å) and the lowest one is related to 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (0.049 Å), which is a small value. The structural deformation for nanoribbons with 25% and 33% carbon after HF molecule adsorption is listed in Table S10 in the ESI[†]. Fig. 13(a)–(d) displays the band structure and DOS of nanoribbons after HF molecule adsorption. The band gap of 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C after HF molecule adsorption are not different from that before HF molecule adsorption and are still metal and semimetal, respectively. However, the band gap of 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (1.409 eV, Table 6) increases, leading to lower electrical conductivity, while the band gap of B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C decreases (1.645 eV, Table 6), thus enhancing its electrical conductivity. Table 5 shows the charge transfer between HF molecule and nanoribbons, which is greater than the charge transfer between the other molecules and nanoribbons (except for the charge transfer between CO molecule and 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (-0.031 *e*, Table 5), which is greater than the charge transfer between HF molecule and 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C ($+0.025$ *e*)). The greatest charge transfer is between B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C and HF molecule (-0.082 *e*) and the lowest one is between 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C and HF molecule ($+0.025$ *e*). The HF molecule behaves as the electron acceptor when adsorbed on all nanoribbons except 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C. As mentioned in previous sections, charge transfer between the adsorbate and substrate leads to the Fermi energy shift of nanoribbons (Table 6). Similar to CO₂ and H₂S, the adsorption of HF is physical on all nanoribbons; thus, we do not expect any special changes in the DOS around the Fermi level. In 6-ACBNNR with 33%-C, a new peak is observed at energy of -1.5 eV due to the creation of a new band in the band structure, and in B-rich 6-ACBNNR with 33%-C at energy about -5.5 eV to -6 eV and at energy about -4.3 eV due to the creation of a flat band in the band structure; we see a large peak in the DOS (comparison of Fig. 1(e), (f), 2(e), (f) and Fig. S1(e), (f), S2(e), (f) (ESI[†]) (before HF molecule adsorption) with Fig. 12(a)–(d) and Fig. S10(a)–(d) (ESI[†]) (after HF molecule adsorption)). Fig. 13(e)–(h) shows the PDOS of nanoribbons after HF molecule adsorption. Although charge transfers increase after HF molecule adsorption on the nanoribbons compared to the other molecules, these amounts are still small, and for this reason, we do not see a contribution of the F (2p) state of the HF molecule around the Fermi level and the valence and conduction band regions. In addition, the comparison of DOS and PDOS of the structures before (Fig. 1(e)–(h) and 2(e)–(h)) and after HF molecule adsorption (Fig. 13(a)–(h)) indicates very slight changes, confirming the physical adsorption of the HF


Electronic Properties of H₂S Molecule Adsorption

Fig. 11 Band structure and DOS of H₂S molecule adsorbed on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (a), 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (b), B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (c), B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (d). PDOS of H₂S molecule adsorbed on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (e), 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (f), B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (g), and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (h). The Fermi level is indicated by the red dashed line.

Geometric Configurations of HF Molecule Adsorption




Fig. 12 Top view and side view of the geometric configurations of HF molecule on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C before (a) and (b) and after (c) and (d) optimization, 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C before (e) and (f) and after (g) and (h) optimization, B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C before (i) and (j) and after (k) and (l) optimization, B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C before (m) and (n) and after (o) and (p) optimization.

molecule. The band structure, DOS, and PDOS of HF molecule adsorbed on nanoribbons with 25% and 33% carbon is shown in Fig. S10 in the ESI.†

3.6. NH_3 molecule adsorption

The most stable adsorption configuration of NH_3 molecule before and after adsorption is shown in Fig. 14(a)–(p), which is the hollow-center for 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 14(a)–(d)) and B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 14(i)–(l)), top of B atom for 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 14(e)–(h)), and top of B atom (B atom replacing C atom) for B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 14(m)–(p)). The most stable adsorption configuration of NH_3 molecule before and after adsorption on 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C, 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C, B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C, and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C is shown in Fig. S11 in the ESI.† The changes in the bond length (the average length of

bonds of the molecule), adsorption distance of nearest-neighbor atoms between NH_3 molecule and substrate, charge transfer between NH_3 molecule and substrate, the angle of NH_3 molecule (average angles in the molecule), and adsorption energy after NH_3 molecule adsorption are summarized in Table 5, and these parameters after NH_3 molecule adsorption on nanoribbons with 25% and 33% carbon are given in Table S11 in the ESI.† Before the NH_3 molecule is adsorbed on all the nanoribbons, the average length of bonds is 1.041 Å, and after the adsorption of the NH_3 molecule, this value increases, and the highest values are related to the adsorption on B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C and 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C. Before NH_3 molecule adsorption on all nanoribbons, the average angles in the molecule are equal to 105.506°, and after the adsorption of the NH_3 molecule, this value increases, and the highest value is related to the adsorption on B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C

Electronic Properties of HF Molecule Adsorption




Fig. 13 Band structure and DOS of HF molecule adsorbed on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (a), 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (b), B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (c), B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (d). PDOS of HF molecule adsorbed on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (e), 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (f), B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (g), and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (h). The Fermi level is indicated by the red dashed line.


Geometric Configurations of NH_3 Molecule Adsorption

Fig. 14 Top view and side view of the geometric configurations of NH_3 molecule on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C before (a) and (b) and after (c) and (d) optimization, 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C before (e) and (f) and after (g) and (h) optimization, B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C before (i) and (j) and after (k) and (l) optimization, B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C before (m) and (n) and after (o) and (p) optimization.

(109.402°, Table 5). NH_3 molecule approaches nanoribbons after adsorption except for 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C as the adsorption distance of the nearest-neighbor atoms of the adsorption site and NH_3 molecule decreases. The smallest distance is for NH_3 molecule adsorbed on B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (from 2.440 Å to 1.753 Å, Table 5), while NH_3 adsorbed on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C molecule moves away with increased distance (from 2.486 Å to 2.708 Å, Table 5). The highest (−1.676 eV, Table 5) and lowest (−0.573 eV, Table 5) adsorption energy of NH_3 molecule is when the molecule is adsorbed on B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C, respectively. Table 6 shows the structural

deformation of the nanoribbons after the interaction of NH_3 molecule with the nanoribbons, similar to CO_2 and H_2S molecules, the highest one is related to B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (1.419 Å) and the lowest one is related to 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (0.521 Å). The structural deformation for nanoribbons with 25% and 33% carbon after NH_3 molecule adsorption is listed in Table S12 in the ESI.† Fig. 15(a)–(d) presents the band structure and DOS of nanoribbons after NH_3 molecule adsorption. It is worth to note that the transition of the semimetal to the semiconductor phase occurs after the interaction of 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C with the NH_3 molecule, where a band gap of 0.131 eV (Table 6) is created; hence, its electrical

conductivity decreases. The phase transition is caused by this band gap opening/closing.^{71,73} The electrical conductivity of 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C also decreases because its band gap increases (1.400 eV, Table 6) after NH₃ molecule adsorption. The band gap of B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C decreases (1.610 eV, Table 6); as a result, its electrical conductivity improves. Table 5 displays the charge transfer between NH₃ molecule and nanoribbons. Table 6 data reveals that the charge transfer between NH₃ molecule and nanoribbons is much greater than the other molecules (except the charge transfer between NH₃ molecule and 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C). The highest charge transfer (+0.389 *e*) is between NH₃ molecule and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C, and the lowest charge transfer (+0.06 *e*) is between NH₃ molecule and 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C. In all structures, NH₃ molecule behaves as the electron donor. Fermi energy shift exists for this adsorption system due to charge transfer (Table 6). The adsorption of NH₃ on all nanoribbons except B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C is physical; thus, we do not see many changes in the DOS. But, as we expect, since the adsorption of NH₃ on B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C is chemical, we observe significant changes in the DOS around the Fermi level as a result of orbital mixing and charge transfer between the adsorbate and substrate. Before the adsorption of the molecule in B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C, there was no gap before the Fermi level (valence region), but after the adsorption, a gap can be seen in the DOS. After the adsorption, the gap after the Fermi energy (conduction region) decreases significantly. Also, at -1.3 eV, due to the creation of a band in the band structure, a relatively high peak is created in the density of electronic states. In the B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C, after adsorption at an energy of about -1.2 eV, a significant peak in the DOS is observed due to the creation of a flat band in the band structure. Fig. 15(e)–(h) shows the PDOS of nanoribbons after NH₃ molecule adsorption. Due to the insignificant amount of charge transfer between NH₃ molecule and 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C, there is no special difference in their PDOS before (Fig. 1(g)) and after (Fig. 15(e)) NH₃ molecule adsorption. According to the PDOS of 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C after NH₃ molecule adsorption, its semi-conductivity can be understood because no electronic state can be seen in the Fermi level (Fig. 15(f)). Due to significant charge transfer between NH₃ molecule and B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C, the contribution of the density of states of orbitals in the valence band and conduction band regions varies (Fig. 15(g)), where the contribution of B (2p) states decreases in the region of the valence band compared to before adsorption. Additionally, due to the significant charge transfer that occurs between the NH₃ molecule and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C, there are special changes in the contribution of orbitals, especially in the valence band region (Fig. 15(h)), where the contribution of N (2p) and C (2p) states increases in the valence band region. We do not observe significant changes in the band structure and DOS of 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C before (Fig. 1(e)) and after (Fig. 15(a)) NH₃ molecule adsorption because the charge transfer between NH₃ molecule and 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C is very small.

Therefore, NH₃ molecule adsorption on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C is physical. Although the charge transfer between NH₃ molecule and B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C is relatively significant, we do not see obvious changes in the band structure and DOS of B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C before (Fig. 2(e)) and after (Fig. 15(c)) NH₃ molecule adsorption; thus, NH₃ molecule adsorption on B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C is also physical. Though the band structure and DOS of 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C after NH₃ molecule adsorption (Fig. 15(b)) has relatively significant changes compared to before adsorption (Fig. 1(f)) due to almost significant charge transfer, no bond is formed between the NH₃ molecule and 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C; therefore, physical adsorption occurs. This also applies to the comparison of B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C before (Fig. 2(g)) and after (Fig. 15(d)) NH₃ molecule adsorption. The band structure, DOS, and PDOS of NH₃ molecule adsorbed on nanoribbons with 25% and 33% carbon is shown in Fig. S12 in the ESI.†

3.7. Comparison of adsorption of CO, CO₂, H₂S, HF, and NH₃ molecules

Fig. 16 shows the adsorption energy of different gas molecules on each of the introduced nanoribbons. When the molecules are adsorbed on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 16(a)), 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 16(c)), B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 16(e)), B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C (Fig. 16(f)), and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C (Fig. 16(h)), the highest adsorption energy belongs to the NH₃ molecule adsorption. But when the molecules are adsorbed on 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C (Fig. 16(b)) and 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C (Fig. 16(d)), the highest adsorption energy is related to the CO₂ molecule adsorption, and when the HF molecule is adsorbed on B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 16(g)), it has the highest adsorption energy. The CO molecule has the lowest adsorption energy when it is adsorbed on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 16(a)), 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C (Fig. 16(b)), and 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C (Fig. 16(d)). H₂S molecule adsorption on 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 16(c)), B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 16(e)), B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 16(g)), and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C (Fig. 16(h)) has the lowest adsorption energy. The adsorption energy of each molecule on different nanoribbons can be seen in Fig. 17 (For CO₂ molecule, we compared its adsorption energies to its standard free energy (SFE = 0.67 eV) at 300 K^{51,52} (Fig. 17(b))). The highest adsorption energy of all molecules is when they are adsorbed on B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C. The lowest adsorption energy of all molecules except NH₃ molecule is when they are adsorbed on 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 17(a)–(d)). The lowest adsorption energy of NH₃ molecule is when it is adsorbed on B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (Fig. 17(e)). In addition, what we can see from Fig. 17 is that when molecules are adsorbed on armchair nanoribbons, they have more adsorption energy than when they are adsorbed on zigzag nanoribbons, except when CO (Fig. 17(a)) and NH₃ (Fig. 17(e)) molecules are adsorbed on B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C; the adsorption energy is higher than when they are adsorbed on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C because the adsorption of these two molecules on B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C is chemical but


Electronic Properties of NH_3 Molecule Adsorption

Fig. 15 Band structure and DOS of the NH_3 molecule adsorbed on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (a), 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (b), B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (c), B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (d). PDOS of NH_3 molecule adsorbed on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (e), 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (f), B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (g), and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (h). The Fermi level is indicated by the red dashed line.




Fig. 16 Adsorption energies of different gas molecules on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (a), 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C (b), 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (c), 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C (d), B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C (e), B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C (f), B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C (g), and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C (h).

on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C is physical. Also, when we check the adsorption energy of the molecule when it is adsorbed on the nanoribbon that is both pristine and boron-rich, we realize that the adsorption energy is enhanced when the molecule is adsorbed on the boron-rich nanoribbons. One plausible explanation takes into account that the boron-rich structure is a double-acceptor⁷² and acts as an activate site.⁴⁵ This does not apply to NH_3 molecule, and the NH_3 molecule adsorption energy when it is adsorbed on 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C is higher than when it is adsorbed on B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C. This exception is attributed to the large structural deformation of NH_3 and mixing of orbitals, which affect donation and

back-donation between the adsorbate and substrate, in good agreement with previous works.^{61,69} In the next step, we calculate the sensitivity factor (SF) of different molecules when adsorbed on semiconductor nanoribbons using eqn 3, which is presented in Table 7 (to see the sensitivity factor related to the adsorption of molecules on other nanoribbons investigated in this work, refer to Table S13 in the ESI†). The highest (15.65%, Table 7) and lowest (0%, Table 7) sensitivity factors are related to CO molecule adsorption on B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C and CO molecule adsorption on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C, respectively. The highest sensitivity factor is when all molecules except CO molecule are adsorbed on 6-ABCNNR with




Fig. 17 Adsorption energy of the CO molecule (a), CO₂ molecule (the green column indicates the standard free energy (SFE) of CO₂ at 300 K) (b), H₂S molecule (c), HF molecule (d), and NH₃ molecule (e) on 6-ACBNNR with 50%-C, 6-ACBNNR with 33%-C, 8-ZCBNNR with 50%-C, 8-ZCBNNR with 25%-C, B-rich 6-ACBNNR with 50%-C, B-rich 6-ACBNNR with 33%-C, B-rich 8-ZCBNNR with 50%-C, and B-rich 8-ZCBNNR with 25%-C.

Table 7 SF (sensitivity factor) for two semiconductor structures, 6-ABCNNR and B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C

System	SF (sensitivity factor)	System	SF (sensitivity factor)
6-ABCNNR + CO	0%	B-rich 6-ABCNNR + CO	15.65%
6-ABCNNR + CO ₂	0.65%	B-rich 6-ABCNNR + CO ₂	4.71%
6-ABCNNR + H ₂ S	3.22%	B-rich 6-ABCNNR + H ₂ S	5.80%
6-ABCNNR + HF	3.22%	B-rich 6-ABCNNR + HF	0.54%
6-ABCNNR + NH ₃	2.56%	B-rich 6-ABCNNR + NH ₃	2.66%

33%-C, but when CO molecule is adsorbed on B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C, it has the highest sensitivity factor.

3.8. Effect of temperature and pressure on molecular adsorption

Taib *et al.*⁷⁴ investigated the performance of carbon-doped boron nitride nanoribbon (BC₂NNR) for hydrogen gas (H₂)

sensing at high temperatures (298 K, 500 K, and 1000 K). In their work, the adsorption energy, energy band gap, and sensitivity were calculated when H₂ was simultaneously attached to carbon, boron, and both boron and nitrogen atoms. They observed marginal differences in adsorption energy at different temperatures. Moreover, they found that the energy band gap openings at all temperatures for the three different

Table 8 Comparison of adsorption energy (E_{ads}), energy band gap (E_{g}), and sensitivity factor (SF) of 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C after CO molecule adsorption at three different temperatures and pressures

Temperature (K)	E_{ads} (eV)	E_{g} (eV)	SF (sensitivity factor)
298	−2.162	1.331	31.13%
500	−2.161	1.304	27.34%
1000	−2.160	1.322	31.28%
Pressure (MPa)			
20	−2.162	1.313	32.09%
30	−2.163	1.315	32.15%
40	−2.165	1.320	32.20%

positions of H_2 gas molecules were similar (approximately 1.83 eV). Also, Kang *et al.*⁷⁵ investigated the effect of pressure and temperature on CO_2 adsorption on kaolinite; they found that the adsorption capacity of CO_2 at different temperatures (283.15 K, 293.15 K, and 313.15 K) increases with increasing pressure. But this increase in adsorption capacity is very small from pressure 10 MPa to 40 MPa ($0.75\text{--}0.8\text{ cm}^3\text{ g}^{-1}$). Herein, we investigated the effect of temperature and pressure when CO molecule is adsorbed on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C and obtained the adsorption energy, energy band gap, and sensitivity at temperatures of 298 K, 500 K, and 1000 K and pressures of 20 MPa, 30 MPa, and 40 MPa, which are presented in Table 8. Our calculations show that the adsorption energy at temperatures of 298 K, 500 K, and 1000 K are not much different. However, the highest adsorption energy is related to the temperature of 298 K (−2.162 eV, Table 8). This also applies to different pressures, and the adsorption energy at pressures of 20 MPa, 30 MPa, and 40 MPa has a slight difference. The highest adsorption energy is related to the pressure of 40 MPa (−2.165 eV, Table 8). The energy band gap, like the adsorption energy, is not particularly different at different temperatures and pressures. It shows that the changes in the lower conduction band and upper valence band are independent of temperature changes.⁷⁴ The highest energy band gap is related to a temperature of 1000 K (1.322 eV, Table 8) and pressure of 40 MPa (1.320 eV, Table 8). The sensitivity of 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C to the adsorption of CO molecule at different temperatures and pressures has little difference. The highest sensitivity factor can be observed at a temperature of 1000 K (31.28%, Table 8) and pressure of 40 MPa (32.20%, Table 8).

4. Conclusions

In summary, DFT calculations were performed to study 5 types of toxic gases (CO , CO_2 , H_2S , HF , and NH_3) on pristine and boron-rich hybrid boron-carbon-nitride nanoribbons. The results show that the adsorption of all molecules on all structures is physical, but the exceptions are when CO and NH_3 molecules are adsorbed on B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C because their adsorption is chemical. We found that the adsorption of all molecules on armchair nanoribbons has higher adsorption energy than adsorption zigzag nanoribbons. Also, the two exceptions that exist are that the adsorption (chemisorption) of CO and NH_3

molecules on B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C has higher adsorption energy than when they are adsorbed (physisorption) on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C. The comparison of adsorption energies showed that when a molecule is adsorbed on a B-rich nanoribbon, it has more adsorption energy than when the nanoribbon is pristine. However, this does not apply to NH_3 molecule adsorption on B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C and 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C. The highest adsorption energy belongs to NH_3 molecule adsorption on 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C, 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C, B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 50%-C, B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C, and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C, CO_2 molecule adsorption on 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C and 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C, and HF molecule adsorption on B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 50%-C. The largest charge transfer occurs between NH_3 molecule and B-rich 8-ZBCNNR with 25%-C. In general, the largest charge transfer is related to the NH_3 molecule adsorption. When the molecules are adsorbed on the nanoribbons, the highest structural deformation is related to B-rich 6-ABCNNR with 33%-C. These new features of hybrid BCN nanoribbons with tunable electronic properties due to the adsorption of gases can be expected to facilitate applications in gas sensor fields.

Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Author contributions

F. S. and A. R. designed and supervised the theoretical aspects of this work and F. M. performed computational models; F. M., F. S. and A. R. analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial or non-financial interests.

Acknowledgements

Authors gratefully acknowledge the support and resources from the Center of High-Performance Computing at the Amirkabir University of Technology.

References

- U. Asghar, S. Rafiq, A. Anwar, T. Iqbal, A. Ahmed, F. Jamil, M. S. Khurram, M. M. Akbar, A. Farooq, N. S. Shah and Y. K. Park, *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, 2021, **9**, 106064.
- W. Tian, X. Liu and Y. Yu, *Appl. Sci.*, 2018, **7**, 1118.
- Y. Zhang, J. Zhang, Y. Jiang, Z. Duan, B. Liu, Q. Zhao, S. Wang, Z. Yuan and H. Tai, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2020, **319**, 128293.

- 4 S. H. Lee, W. Eom, H. Shin, R. B. Ambade, J. H. Bang, H. W. Kim and T. H. Han, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 10434–10442.
- 5 S. Mahajan and S. Jagtap, *Appl. Mater. Today*, 2020, **18**, 100483.
- 6 T. Pham, P. Ramnani, C. C. Villarreal, J. Lopez, P. Das, I. Lee, M. R. Neupane, Y. Rheem and A. Mulchandani, *Carbon*, 2019, **142**, 504–512.
- 7 Y. Zhou, G. Liu, X. Zhu and Y. Guo, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2017, **251**, 280–290.
- 8 Y. Wang, Y. Cui, X. Meng, Z. Zhang and J. Cao, *Surfaces Interfaces*, 2021, **24**, 101110.
- 9 D. W. Kang, S. E. Ju, D. W. Kim, M. Kang, H. Kim and C. S. Hong, *Adv. Sci.*, 2020, **7**, 2002142.
- 10 J. Zhang, X. Liu, G. Neri and N. Pinna, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 795–831.
- 11 J. H. Jeoung, J. Fessler, S. Goetzl and H. Dobbek, *The Metal-Driven Biogeochemistry of Gaseous Compounds in the Environment*, 2014, pp. 37–69.
- 12 S. Sinthika, E. M. Kumar and R. Thapa, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, **2**, 12812–12820.
- 13 M. Sagynbaeva, T. Hussain, P. Panigrahi, B. Johansson and R. Ahuja, *Europhys. Lett.*, 2015, **109**, 57008.
- 14 S. Létant and M. J. Sailor, *Adv. Mater.*, 2000, **12**, 355–359.
- 15 M. M. Arafat, B. Dinan, S. A. Akbar and A. S. M. A. Haseeb, *Sensors*, 2012, **12**, 7207–7258.
- 16 Q. Kuang, C. S. Lao, Z. Li, Y. Z. Liu, Z. X. Xie, L. S. Zheng and Z. L. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 11539–11544.
- 17 L. Liao, Z. Zhang, B. Yan, Z. Zheng, Q. L. Bao, T. Wu, C. M. Li, Z. X. Shen, J. X. Zhang, H. Gong and T. Yu, *Nanotechnology*, 2009, **20**, 085203.
- 18 X. Zou, J. Wang, X. Liu, C. Wang, Y. Jiang, Y. Wang, X. Xiao, J. C. Ho, J. Li, C. Jiang, Y. Fang, W. Liu and L. Liao, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 3287–3292.
- 19 N. Singh, C. Yan and P. S. Lee, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2010, **150**, 19–24.
- 20 L. Wang, R. Chai, Z. Lou and G. Shen, *Nano Res.*, 2018, **11**, 1029–1037.
- 21 H. Gu, Z. Wang and Y. Hu, *Sensors*, 2012, **12**, 5517–5550.
- 22 Y. Wang, P. Gao, L. Sha, Q. Chi, L. Yang, J. Zhang, Y. Chen and M. Zhang, *Nanotechnology*, 2018, **29**, 175501.
- 23 S. Kumar, A. Singh, R. Singh, S. Singh, P. Kumar and R. Kumar, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2020, **325**, 128974.
- 24 P. Seifaddini, R. Ghasempour, M. Ramezannezhad and A. Nikfarjam, *Mater. Res. Express*, 2019, **6**, 045054.
- 25 S. Cui, H. Pu, G. Lu, Z. Wen, E. C. Mattson, C. Hirschmugl, M. Gajdardziska-Josifovska, M. Weinert and J. Chen, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2012, **4**, 4898–4904.
- 26 A. Nikfarjam, S. Hosseini and N. Salehifar, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 15662–15671.
- 27 M. Barzegar and T. Bharati, *Surface Innovations*, 2018, **6**, 205–230.
- 28 G. X. Chen, R. X. Wang, H. X. Li, X. N. Chen, G. An and J. M. Zhang, *Appl. Phys. A*, 2021, **127**, 1–14.
- 29 J. Yu, L. H. Xie, J. R. Li, Y. Ma, J. M. Seminario and P. B. Balbuena, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 9674–9754.
- 30 M. Qu, G. Qin, J. Fan, A. Du and Q. Sun, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2021, **555**, 149652.
- 31 N. C. Zhang, J. Ren and X. J. Peng, *Fullerenes, Nanotubes Carbon Nanostruct.*, 2016, **24**, 298–304.
- 32 X. Wei, M. S. Wang, Y. Bando and D. Golberg, *ACS Nano*, 2011, **5**, 2916–2922.
- 33 W. Lei, H. Zhang, Y. Wu, B. Zhang, D. Liu, S. Qin, Z. Liu, L. Liu, Y. Ma and Y. Chen, *Nano Energy*, 2014, **6**, 219–224.
- 34 L. Ju, J. Velasco Jr, E. Huang, S. Kahn, C. Nosiola, H. Z. Tsai, W. Yang, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, Y. Zhang, G. Zhang, M. Crommie, A. Zettl and F. Wang, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2014, **9**, 348–352.
- 35 S. Lin, X. Ye, R. S. Johnson and H. Guo, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, **117**, 17319–17326.
- 36 Y. J. Liu, B. Gao, D. Xu, H. M. Wang and J. X. Zhao, *Phys. Lett. A*, 2014, **378**, 2989–2994.
- 37 S. Lin, X. Ye and J. Huang, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **17**, 888–895.
- 38 J. Zhao and Z. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 12480–12487.
- 39 M. Darvishi Gilan and R. Chegel, *J. Electron. Mater.*, 2018, **47**, 1009–1021.
- 40 M. D. Esrafil and F. A. Rad, *ChemistrySelect*, 2018, **3**, 7402–7409.
- 41 M. D. Esrafil and N. Saeidi, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2018, **444**, 584–589.
- 42 J. Zhao and Z. Chen, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2015, **119**, 26348–26354.
- 43 M. D. Esrafil, *J. Mol. Graphics Modelling*, 2019, **86**, 209–218.
- 44 N. C. Zhang, J. Ren and X. J. Peng, *Fullerenes, Nanotubes Carbon Nanostruct.*, 2016, **24**, 298–304.
- 45 M. Qu, G. Qin, J. Fan, A. Du and Q. Sun, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2021, **555**, 149652.
- 46 Y. Cao, R. Zhang, T. Zhou, S. Jin, J. Huang, L. Ye, Z. Huang, F. Wang and Y. Zhou, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 9935–9943.
- 47 A. Srivastava, C. Bhat, S. K. Jain, P. K. Mishra and R. Brajpuriya, *J. Mol. Model.*, 2015, **21**, 1–8.
- 48 G. R. Berdiyrov, M. Neek-Amal, I. A. Hussein, M. E. Madjet and F. M. Peeters, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 2110–2114.
- 49 J. Shen, Z. Yang, Y. Wang, L. C. Xu, R. Liu and X. Liu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2020, **504**, 144412.
- 50 F. Marchelli, E. Cordioli, F. Patuzzi, E. Sisani, L. Barelli, M. Baratieri, E. Arato and B. Bosio, *Biomass Bioenergy*, 2019, **126**, 106–116.
- 51 Y. Xiao, S. Wang, D. Wu and Q. Yuan, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2008, **153**, 1193–1200.
- 52 Y. Luo, H. H. Funke, J. L. Falconer and R. D. Noble, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2016, **55**, 9749–9757.
- 53 S. Couck, J. Cousin-Saint-Remi, S. Van der Perre, G. V. Baron, C. Minas, P. Ruch and J. F. Denayer, *Micro-porous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2018, **255**, 185–191.
- 54 J. Lan, D. Cao, W. Wang and B. Smit, *ACS Nano*, 2010, **4**, 4225–4237.
- 55 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, **77**, 3865.

- 56 L. Buimaga-Iarinca and C. Morari, *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, 2014, **133**, 1–11.
- 57 A. C. Bevilacqua, M. H. Köhler, S. Azevedo and R. J. Baierle, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2017, **19**, 5629–5636.
- 58 F. Jensen, *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci.*, 2013, **3**, 273–295.
- 59 M. Bahrami, F. Shayeganfar, K. Mirabbaszadeh and A. Ramezani, *Acta Mater.*, 2022, **239**, 118292.
- 60 F. Shayeganfar, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2014, **26**, 435305.
- 61 F. Shayeganfar, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 27157–27163.
- 62 R. Vargas-Bernal, *Sensors*, 2019, **19**, 1295.
- 63 M. S. C. Mazzoni, R. W. Nunes, S. Azevedo and H. Chacham, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2006, **73**, 073108.
- 64 T. Guerra, L. R. S. Araujo and S. Azevedo, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 2019, **135**, 109085.
- 65 R. D. Gonçalves, S. Azevedo and M. Machado, *Solid State Commun.*, 2013, **175**, 132–138.
- 66 A. C. Bevilacqua, M. H. Köhler, S. Azevedo and R. J. Baierle, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2017, **19**, 5629–5636.
- 67 E. Rezayei, J. Beheshtian, F. Shayeganfar and A. Ramezani, *J. Mol. Model.*, 2022, **28**, 158.
- 68 N. Zettili, *Quantum mechanics: concepts and applications*, 2003.
- 69 F. Shayeganfar and A. Rochefort, *Langmuir*, 2014, **30**, 9707–9716.
- 70 F. Shayeganfar, J. Beheshtian, M. Neek-Amal and R. Shahsavari, *Nanoscale*, 2017, **9**, 4205–4218.
- 71 G. Liu, W. W. Luo, X. Wang, X. L. Lei, B. Xu, C. Y. Ouyang and S. B. Liu, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2018, **6**, 5937–5948.
- 72 H. Choi, Y. C. Park, Y. H. Kim and Y. S. Lee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 2084–2087.
- 73 T. Saha, D. Golež, G. De Nino, J. Mravlje, Y. Murakami, B. Ressel, M. Stupar and P. R. Ribič, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2021, **103**, 144304.
- 74 A. K. Taib, Z. Johari, S. F. A. Rahman, M. F. Mohd Yusoff and A. Hamzah, *PLoS One*, 2023, **18**, e0282370.
- 75 G. Kang, B. Zhang, T. Kang, J. Guo and G. Zhao, *Materials*, 2020, **13**, 2851.