[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/d4cc04571h) [View Journal](https://pubs.rsc.org/en/journals/journal/CC)

ChemComm

Chemical Communications

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: O. J. Harris, R. Larder, B. Jordan, I. Prior, R. El-Khoury, K. O. Sebakhy and F. Hatton*, Chem. Commun.*, 2024, DOI: 10.1039/D4CC04571H.

This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors.](http://www.rsc.org/Publishing/Journals/guidelines/AuthorGuidelines/JournalPolicy/accepted_manuscripts.asp)

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](http://www.rsc.org/help/termsconditions.asp) and the [Ethical guidelines s](http://www.rsc.org/publishing/journals/guidelines/)till apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

rsc.li/chemcomm

ChemComm

RAFT solution polymerisation of bio-based γ-methyl-α-methyleneγ-butyrolactone monomer in DMSO and Cyrene

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Ollie Harris,^a Ryan Larder,^a Beth Jordan,^a Imogen Prior,^a Rita El-Khoury,^a Khaled O. Sebakhy ^b and Fiona L. Hatton*^a

Reversible addition fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) solution polymerisation of the bio-based lactone monomer γ-methyl-αmethylene-γ-butyrolactone (γMeMBL) has been demonstrated in DMSO and Cyrene. RAFT control was evidenced by control over molecular weights, low disperisites, and kinetic evaluation. Purified P(γMeMBL) homopolymers exhibited high glass transition temperatures (206-221 °C) and excellent thermal stabilities. This work demonstrates the first RAFT solution polymerisation of γMeMBL and the first example of RAFT polymerisation in Cyrene.

Concerns around sustainability of polymers have driven an increase in research into the preparation of new polymers derived from biomass.¹ Recently, interest in a family of fivemembered α-methylene-γ-butyrolactone monomers, bearing an exocyclic double bond, has grown as these monomers are analogous to methyl methacrylate (MMA) and can be derived from nature, see Figure 1.^{2,3} For instance, α-methylene-γbutyrolactone (MBL), also known as Tulipalin A, is found in Tulips. MBL and other analogues, β-methyl-α-methylene-γbutyrolactone (βMeMBL)⁴ and α-methylene-γ,γ-dimethyl-γbutyrolactone (Me₂MBL)⁵ have been synthesised from itaconic acid, an important renewable feedstock converted from glucose.⁶ While γ-methyl-α-methylene-γ-butyrolactone (γMeMBL), also known as α-methylene-γ-valerolactone, has been prepared via a γ-valerolactone intermediate from levulinic acid, another biomass derived starting material.⁷ Others have COMMUNICATION

COMMUNICATION

COMMUNICATION
 RAFT solution polymerisation of bio-based y-methyl-e-methylene-

Y-butyrolatione monomer in DMSO and Cyrene

Y-butyrolatione monomer in DMSO and Cyrene

Sometime and the solu

Figure 1. Chemical structures of MMA and the family of 5-membered α-methylene-γ-butyrolactone monomers; MBL, *γ*MeMBL, *β*MeMBL and Me2MBL.

also demonstrated the transformation of γ-valerolactone to γMeMBL in batch⁸ and flow reactors.⁹

The versatility of these monomers has been demonstrated through successful anionic, group transfer, free radical, reversible deactivation radical, and ring opening polymerisations.3,10–¹³ Ring opening polymerisation results in a polyester containing double bonds,¹⁴ while other polymerisation methods (anionic, radical, group transfer) proceed through the exocyclic double bond, retaining the fivemembered ring structure within the polymer.

RDRP techniques such as reversible addition fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerisation, atom transfer radical polymerisation (ATRP) and nitroxide mediated polymerisation (NMP) have become popular techniques to synthesise welldefined polymers, including various architectures with control over molecular weights. 15,16 The use of these techniques to synthesise new bio-based polymers using monomers derived from renewable resources has grown in recent years.^{17,18} However, RDRP investigations of this class of α-methylene-γbutyrolactone monomers is limited to a few examples. MBL was polymerised by ATRP¹⁹–²¹ and NMP²² to generate well-defined homo- and block copolymers and has also been demonstrated in oxygen tolerant photochemically induced ATRP.²³ RAFT polymerisations of these monomers have been investigated in the bulk, solution and miniemulsion polymerisations. Qi *et al.* reported RAFT bulk and miniemulsion polymerisations of γMeMBL in 2008, using cumyl dithiobenzoate as the chain transfer agent (CTA).²⁴ While this CTA controlled the bulk polymerisations reasonably well, aggregation of latex was

a.Department of Material, Loughborough University, Loughborough, LE11 3TU, UK. b.Department of Materials, Textiles and Chemical Engineering, Centre for Polymer

and Material Technologies (CPMT), Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium. Supplementary Information available: experimental details, RAFT polymerisation

screening data, ¹H NMR analyses of P(γMeMBL)_x and γMeMBL monomer, GPC chromatograms for P(γMeMBL)_x synthesised in DMSO, M_n by GPC vs. Theoretical M_n plot, overlaid RI and UV detector GPC chromatograms, conversions, molecular weight data and GPC chromatograms from chain extension experiment, glass transition temperatures, onset of thermal degradation and TGA profiles for purified P(γMeMBL)^x synthesised in Cyrene. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Table 1. Conversions, DP_{th}, DP_{NMR}, CTA efficiency, theoretical *M_n*, *M_n*, *M_n*, *M_w* and *Ð* values for P(γMeMBL) synthesised by RAFT solution polymerisation in DMSO or Cyrene at 70 °C (145714) 24 h, using CPDB as the chain transfer agent.

^a Determined by ¹H NMR Spectroscopy. ^b CTA efficiency = (DP_{th}/DP_{NMR}) x 100. *c* Theoretical M_n ($M_{n\text{ th}}$) calculated as follows: $M_{n\text{ th}}$ = ((Mw mon x target DP) x (Conv/100)) + Mw CTA. ^d Determined by CHCl₃ GPC analyses.

observed in miniemulsion. To overcome difficulties with the polymerisation of γMeMBL, styrene was employed as a comonomer. In 2013, Luo and coworkers reported the RAFT ab initio emulsion copolymerisation of γMeMBL and styrene, using a poly(acrylic acid)-*b*-(styrene) macromolecular chain transfer agent, reporting latex with up to 60 wt% γMeMBL.²⁵ Trotta *et al.* have recently demonstrated the RAFT polymerisation of MBL and Me2MBL in benzene, using 2-cyano-2-propyl benzodithioate (CPDB) as the chain transfer agent.⁵ Recently, RAFT polymerisation of MBL using CPDB was reported in supercritical CO₂ at 300 bar and 80 °C, reporting relatively high conversions (85%) after 24 h, compared with using DMF as the reaction solvent (~65%).²⁶ Thus, the RDRP of these monomers is limited and warrants further investigation.

While it is important to use renewable and bio-based materials, it is also imperative to focus on other reaction conditions to improve sustainability by applying Green Chemistry principles.²⁷ For example, more sustainable solvents reported for RDRP polymerisations include 2 methyltetrahydrofuran,28,29 ethyl lactate,³⁰–³² cyclopentyl methyl ether^{29,33} and ionic liquids.³⁴ Cyrene (dihydrolevoclucosenone) is a solvent of interest as it has been reported as an alternative to polar solvents (DMSO, DMF, NMP), can be produced from biomass, is biodegradable, nonmutagenic and non-toxic.³⁵ Recently, Cyrene has been reported as a suitable solvent for Cu catalysed RDRP polymerisations of (meth)acrylates36,37 and ring opening methathesis polymerisation of levoglucosenyl alkyl ethers.³⁸ However, it is still unexplored as a solvent for RAFT polymerisation.

Herein, we report RAFT solution polymerisation of the biobased lactone γMeMBL in DMSO and Cyrene. Initial RAFT polymerisation conditions were screened, including solvent, CTA, radical initiator, and CTA/In ratio. Once suitable reaction conditions were identified, $P(MeMBL)_x$ homopolymers were synthesised with varying degrees of polymerisation, kinetic

Scheme 1. Reaction scheme for the RAFT solution polymerisation of γMeMBL with either DMSO or Cyrene as the solvent at 70 °C.

experiments were conducted, and resulting polymers were fully characterised to reveal impressive thermal properties.

Initial screening was performed to identify suitable RAFT polymerisation conditions (Table S1). In all experiments the targeted degree of polymerisation (DP) was 100, while the CTA, solvent and radical initiator were varied. In all cases the monomer conversion, as determined by $1H NMR$ (Figure S1), was relatively high (>85%). Average molecular weights (M_n , M_w) and molecular weight distributions (*Ð*) were determined by CHCl₃ GPC analyses. Initially, reaction solvents investigated included DMSO, MeOH and *t*-butanol. DMSO proved to be an effective solvent and has been reported for the free radical polymerisation of γMeMBL previously.³⁹ Three different CTAs were investigated, CPDB, 4-cyanopentanoic acid dithiobenzoate (CPADB), and 2-cyano-2-propyl dodecyl trithiocarbonate (CPDT). Under identical reaction conditions, CPDB gave a $P(\gamma M eMBL)_{100}$ homopolymer with the lowest dispersity, *Ð*, of 1.26, compared with 1.32 for CPABD and 1.41 for CPDT. Therefore, as CPDB appeared to facilitate the RAFT polymerisation of γMeMBL and gave P(γMeMBL) with a relatively low dispersity (*Ð* <1.3) compared with CPADB and CPDT, it was used for all subsequent RAFT polymerisations. Previous reports of the RAFT polymerisation of MBL and Me2MBL also used CPDB, albeit in a more hazardous, solvent (benzene).⁵ Using 4,4′-azobis(4-cyanovaleric acid) (ACVA) as the radical initiator resulted in slightly higher dispersity of 1.37 compared with 1.26 with azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN), hence AIBN was used for the rest of the study. The selected polymerisation conditions in DMSO are detailed in Scheme 1. The Convertice $A_1a_1a_2a_3...A_1a_1a_2a_3...A_1a_1a_2a_3...A_1a_1a_2a_3...A_1a_1a_2a_3...A_1a_1a_2a_3...A_1a_1a_2a_3...A_1a_1a_2a_3...A_1a_1a_2a_3...A_1a_1a_2a_3...A_1a_1a_2a_3...A_1a_1a_2a_3...A_1a_1a_2a_3...A_1a_1a_2a_3...A_1a_1a_2a_3...A_1a_1a_2a$

To investigate the RAFT solution polymerisation of γMeMBL further, varying degrees of polymerisation (DP = 50-400) were targeted, see Table 1. The CPDB/AIBN ratio was maintained at 5, and the monomer concentration was 40% w/w. High conversions were obtained when targeting DPs between 50-200 (>92%), with a moderate reduction in conversion observed at the highest target DP of 400 (73%), likely caused by the reduced initiator concentration. The resulting P(νMeMBL)_y homopolymers exhibited reasonably low dispersities (*Ð* = 1.26- 1.31), see Figure S2. Moreover, a linear increase in *M*ⁿ by GPC was observed versus theoretical *M*ⁿ (Figure S3).

Following the RAFT polymerisation of γMeMBL in DMSO, we investigated the feasibility of performing these syntheses in the more sustainable solvent Cyrene. RAFT polymerisations were conducted in Cyrene using identical reaction conditions to those

used in DMSO (see Scheme 1) targeting the same DP range of 50-400, see Table 1. Generally, slightly lower conversions were achieved compared with the equivalent polymerisations conducted in DMSO (65-95%). Control over the molecular weights was observed by GPC analyses (Figure 2). As observed for the polymerisations in DMSO, the *M*ⁿ by GPC for P(γMeMBL)x synthesised in Cyrene increased linearly with theoretical *M*ⁿ (Figure S3) and dispersities, *Ð*, were relatively low ($b \le 1.32$).

Kinetic evaluations were conducted during the syntheses of P(γMeMBL)¹⁰⁰ in both DMSO and Cyrene, removing samples periodically to determine monomer conversion and molecular weight evolution during the RAFT solution polymerisation, see Figure 3. First order kinetics were observed along with a linear increase in *Mⁿ* with conversion, suggesting RAFT control of the polymerisation under these conditions.

It is worth noting that unreacted CPDB was observed by UV-GPC analyses for all samples (during the kinetic evaluations and

Figure 3. Kinetic evaluations of the synthesis of P(γMeMBL)₁₀₀ by RAFT solution polymerisation of γMeMBL at 70 °C in DMSO (closed symbols) and Cyrene (open symbols); A) conversion (blue circles) and the rate of polymerisation (red triangles) versus time, and B) *M*ⁿ (purple squares) and *Ð* (orange diamonds) versus conversion. The dashed and dotted lines show the linear increase in $M₀$ in DMSO and Cyrene.

varying DPs), which suggests that the CTA efficie[ncy here was](https://doi.org/10.1039/d4cc04571h) not optimal. Nevertheless, the presence ዕየዊከፅዋጸቦች፣ end groups were confirmed for all P(γMeMBL)_x homopolymers through GPC analyses, evidenced by the direct overlap of the UV absorption peak for the dithiobenzoate group (λ_{max} = 290 nm) with the corresponding refractive index signal (Figure S4). Furthermore, the RAFT end group fidelity of P(γMeMBL)₅₀ was investigated by chain extension with 50 more units of γMeMBL (Table S2). The resulting P(γMeMBL)₁₀₀ was found to have moderate dispersity (*Ð* = 1.45), increased *Mⁿ* and a clear shift in the molecular weight distribution (Figure S5). Deconvolution of the raw RI detector response showed that approximately 20% unreacted P(γMeMBL)₅₀ remained, suggesting a blocking efficiency of 80%.

One important feature of α-methylene-γ-butyrolactonebased polymers is their impressive thermal properties, compared with PMMA, for example. The P(γMeMBL)_x thermal properties were studied using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA), see Table S3. DSC revealed the polymers exhibited glass transitions, *T*g*,* of 206, 210, 218 and 221 °C for P(γMeMBL) with *M*ⁿ of 5.7, 7.1, 12.2 and 18.1 kg mol⁻¹ respectively, with a molecular weight dependence, see Figure 4. The increase in T_g with increasing M_n is observed due to the reduction in free volume with increasing chain length, as per the Fox-Flory relationship. ⁴⁰ Similar *T*^g values (210-225 °C) were reported by Miyake et al., for P(γMeMBL) synthesised by coordination polymerisation, with M_n = 2.64-543 kg mol^{-1.41} This represents a marked increase over a typical high *T*^g methacrylate-based polymer poly(methyl methacrylate) (T_g = 105 °C).⁴² For all P(γMeMBL)_n samples, a slight endothermic process is also observed towards the end of the T_g step transition, indicative of a enthalpy relaxation.⁴³ TGA showed that the thermal decomposition of the polymers was nearly identical for all P(γMeMBL)_x compositions, with an onset of degradation observed in the region of 345-366 °C, see Figure S6. Again, this is appreciably higher than the comparable PMMA (typically in the range of 270-300 °C), ⁴⁴ and agrees with previous work where the onset of degradation of P(γMeMBL), synthesised by coordination polymerisation, was 356 °C.⁴⁵ P(MeMBL)₁₉₉

P(MeMBL)₁₉₉

C-1990 and the stationary and the stati

In summary, we report the successful RAFT solution polymerisation of the bio-based lactone monomer γMeMBL, and RAFT solution polymerisation using Cyrene, a more sustainable solvent. RAFT control was confirmed by kinetic

Figure 4. Offset DSC thermograms for P(γMeMBL)x synthesised by RAFT solution polymerisation of γMeMBL in Cyrene at 70 °C for 24 h, using CPDB.

Journal Name COMMUNICATION

COMMUNICATION ChemComm

evaluation, observation of RAFT chain end fidelity and control over target molecular weights and dispersities. However, CTA selection could be improved in future work. The resulting P(γMeMBL)^x homopolymers had high glass transition temperatures (>200 °C) and excellent thermal stabilities compared with PMMA. This work demonstrates a move towards both well-defined renewable polymers and more sustainable RAFT polymerisation protocols which are both essential for producing sustainable well-defined polymers for value-added applications. over terms consider weights and distribution in the consideration of the Maximum of th

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the Supplementary Information.

Notes and references

- 1 Y. Zhu, C. Romain and C. K. Williams, *Nature*, 2016, 540, 354–362.
- 2 R. R. A. Kitson, A. Millemaggi and R. J. K. Taylor, *Angewandte Chemie - International Edition*, 2009, **48**, 9426–9451.
- 3 J. Kollár, M. Danko, F. Pippig and J. Mosnáček, *Front Chem*, 2019, **7**, 1–8.
- 4 R. R. Gowda and E. Y. X. Chen, *Organic Chemistry Frontiers*, 2014, **1**, 230–234.
- 5 J. T. Trotta, M. Jin, K. J. Stawiasz, Q. Michaudel, W.-L. Chen and B. P. Fors, *J Polym Sci A Polym Chem*, 2017, **55**, 2730– 2737.
- 6 J. Becker, A. Lange, J. Fabarius and C. Wittmann, *Curr Opin Biotechnol*, 2015, **36**, 168–175.
- 7 L. E. Manzer, *Appl Catal A Gen*, 2004, **272**, 249–256.
- 8 Z. Vobecka, C. Wei, K. Tauer and D. Esposito, *Polymer (Guildf)*, 2015, **74**, 262–271.
- 9 M. Al-Naji, B. Puértolas, B. Kumru, D. Cruz, M. Bäumel, B. V. K. J. Schmidt, N. V. Tarakina and J. Pérez-Ramírez, *ChemSusChem*, 2019, **12**, 2628–2636.
- 10 V. Graur, A. Mukherjee, K. O. Sebakhy and R. K. Bose, *Polymers (Basel)*, 2022, **14**, 3993.
- 11 A. Elshewy, M. El Hariri El Nokab, J. Es Sayed, Y. A. Alassmy, M. M. Abduljawad, D. R. D'hooge, P. H. M. Van Steenberge, M. H. Habib and K. O. Sebakhy, *ACS Appl Polym Mater*, 2024, **6**, 115–125.
- 12 M. K. Akkapeddi, *Macromolecules*, 1979, **12**, 546–551.
- 13 M. Mousa, H. Bergenudd, A. L. Kron and E. Malmström, *Macromolecules*, 2021, **54**, 6127–6134.
- 14 M. Danko, M. Basko, S. Ďurkáčová, A. Duda and J. Mosnáček, *Macromolecules*, 2018, **51**, 3582–3596.
- 15 M. Destarac, *Polym Chem*, 2018, 9, 4947–4967.
- 16 N. Corrigan, K. Jung, G. Moad, C. J. Hawker, K. Matyjaszewski and C. Boyer, *Prog Polym Sci*, 2020, **111**, 101311.
- 17 F. L. Hatton, *Polym Chem*, 2020, **11**, 220–229.
- 18 M. Palà, S. E. Woods, F. L. Hatton and G. Lligadas, Article Online *Macromol Chem Phys, 2022, 2200005. 10.1039/D4CC04571H*
- 19 J. Mosnáček and K. Matyjaszewski, *Macromolecules*, 2008, **41**, 5509–5511.
- 20 J. Shin, Y. Lee, W. B. Tolman and M. A. Hillmyer, *Biomacromolecules*, 2012, **13**, 3833–3840.
- 21 P. Verdugo, G. Lligadas, J. C. Ronda, M. Galià and V. Cádiz, *Eur Polym J*, , DOI:10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2021.110321.
- 22 A. Métafiot and M. Marić, *Journal of Polymer Science*, 2024, **62**, 3399–3413.
- 23 G. Zain, D. Bondarev, J. Doháňošová and J. Mosnáček, *ChemPhotoChem*, 2019, **3**, 1138–1145.
- 24 G. QI, M. NOLAN, F. J. SCHORK and C. W. JONES, *J Polym Sci A Polym Chem*, 2008, **46**, 5929–5944.
- 25 S. Xu, J. Huang, S. Xu and Y. Luo, *Polymer (Guildf)*, 2013, **54**, 1779–1785.
- 26 F. G. Versteeg, N. C. Hegeman, K. O. Sebakhy and F. Picchioni, *Macromol Rapid Commun*, 2022, **43**, 1–7.
- 27 P. Anastas and N. Eghbali, *Chem Soc Rev*, 2009, **39**, 301– 312.
- 28 G. Englezou, K. Kortsen, A. A. C. Pacheco, R. Cavanagh, J. C. Lentz, E. Krumins, C. Sanders-Velez, S. M. Howdle, A. J. Nedoma and V. Taresco, *Journal of Polymer Science*, 2020, **58**, 1571–1581.
- 29 K. Parkatzidis, S. Boner, H. S. Wang and A. Anastasaki, *ACS Macro Lett*, 2022, **11**, 841–846.
- 30 A. Moreno, D. Garcia, M. Galià, J. C. Ronda, V. Cádiz, G. Lligadas and V. Percec, *Biomacromolecules*, 2017, **18**, 3447–3456.
- 31 A. Moreno, N. Bensabeh, J. Parve, J. C. Ronda, V. Cádiz, M. Galià, L. Vares, G. Lligadas and V. Percec, *Biomacromolecules*, 2019, **20**, 1816–1827.
- 32 N. Bensabeh, A. Moreno, A. Roig, O. R. Monaghan, J. C. Ronda, V. Cádiz, M. Galià, S. M. Howdle, G. Lligadas and V. Percec, *Biomacromolecules*, 2019, **20**, 2135–2147.
- 33 C. M. R. Abreu, P. Maximiano, T. Guliashvili, J. Nicolas, A. C. Serra and J. F. J. Coelho, *RSC Adv*, 2016, **6**, 7495–7503.
- 34 G. Durga, P. Kalra, V. Kumar Verma, K. Wangdi and A. Mishra, *J Mol Liq*, 2021, **335**, 116540.
- 35 N. A. Stini and P. L. Gkizis, 2022, **24**, 6435–6449.
- 36 A. Marathianos, E. Liarou, E. Hancox, J. L. Grace, D. W. Lester and D. M. Haddleton, *Green Chemistry*, 2020, **22**, 5833–5837.
- 37 I. Zaborniak, M. Klamut, C. M. Warne, K. Kisiel, M. Niemiec, P. Błoniarz, A. Pellis, K. Matyjaszewski and P. Chmielarz, *ACS Sustain Chem Eng*, 2024, **12**, 4933–4945.
- 38 T. Debsharma, B. Schmidt and H. Schlaad, 2021, **54**, 2720– 2728.
- 39 M. Van Den Brink, A. M. Van Herk and A. L. German, *J Polym Sci A Polym Chem*, 1999, **37**, 3793–3803.
- 40 T. G. Fox and P. J. Flory, *J Appl Phys*, 1950, **21**, 581–591.
- 41 G. M. Miyake, Y. Zhang and E. Y-X Chen, *Macromolecules*, 2010, **43**, 4902–4908.
- 42 E. A. Grulke, E. H. Immergut and J. Brandrup, *Polymer handbook*, Wiley, 2004.
- 43 M. J. Parker, in *Comprehensive Composite Materials*, Elsevier, 2000, pp. 183–226.
- 44 M. Ferriol, A. Gentilhomme, M. Cochez, N. Oget and J. L. Mieloszynski, *Polym Degrad Stab*, 2003, **79**, 271–281.
- 45 Garret M. Miyake, Stacie E. Newton, Wesley R. Mariott and Eugene Y.-X. Chen, *Dalton Transactions*, 2010, **39**, 6576– 6588.

Data availability statement:

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the Supplementary Information. Details auxiliary statement:

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the Supplementary

Information.

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the Supplementary

The data suppo