

Cite this: *Sustainable Energy Fuels*,  
2023, 7, 4486

# Solventless hydrodeoxygenation of isoeugenol and dihydroeugenol in batch and continuous modes over a zeolite-supported FeNi catalyst†

Zuzana Vajglová,<sup>a</sup> Olha Yevdokimova,<sup>a</sup> Ananias Medina,<sup>b</sup> Kari Eränen,<sup>a</sup> Teija Tirri,<sup>a</sup> Jarl Hemming,<sup>a</sup> Johan Lindén,<sup>b</sup> Ilari Angervo,<sup>c</sup> Pia Damlin,<sup>d</sup> Dmitry E. Doronkin,<sup>e</sup> Päivi Mäki-Arvela<sup>a</sup> and Dmitry Yu. Murzin<sup>a\*</sup>

A low-cost bimetallic bifunctional 5–5 wt% FeNi/H-Beta-300 catalyst was investigated in solventless hydrodeoxygenation of lignin-derived model compounds isoeugenol or dihydroeugenol in batch and continuous modes. The catalyst was characterized in detail by laser diffraction, scanning electron microscopy–energy-dispersive X-ray microanalysis, inductively coupled plasma–optical emission spectrometry, transmission electron microscopy, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy with pyridine, X-ray diffraction, Mössbauer spectroscopy, X-ray absorption spectroscopy, hydrogen temperature programmed reduction, nitrogen physisorption, thermogravimetric analysis, oxygen temperature-programmed oxidation, organic elemental analysis, soluble coke extraction with dichloromethane, and Raman spectroscopy. The composition of the reaction mixture was analysed by GC-FID, GC-MS, SEC and Karl-Fischer titration, while microGC-TCD was used for the analysis of the gas phase. Selectivity of 80% to the desired oxygen-free compounds was obtained at ca. 80% of the initial dihydroeugenol conversion with 0.3 g of catalyst at 300 °C and 30 bar of hydrogen with a residence time of 12 min. Catalyst deactivation occurred *via* aliphatic coke formation which resulted not only in a decrease in conversion but also significant selectivity changes with increasing time-on-stream. The apparent activation energy of dihydroeugenol hydrodeoxygenation in solventless isoeugenol hydrodeoxygenation was calculated to be 6.3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> ascribed to both external mass transfer limitations of hydrogen dissolved in dihydroeugenol and by rapid catalyst deactivation in the initial isoeugenol hydrogenation. The spent catalyst was successfully regenerated by coke oxidation and subsequently reused.

Received 20th March 2023  
Accepted 8th August 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3se00371j

rsc.li/sustainable-energy

## 1 Introduction

Substitution of petroleum with fuels obtained from renewable sources, such as bio-oil is an important step to prevent many environmental problems, including global warming. Because bio-oils have high acidity and oxygen content as well as low stability, they are not suitable to be used directly as fuels. Hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) is a cheap way to improve the

quality of fuels from bio-oil by removing oxygen from the oxygen-containing compounds. HDO is the most commonly used method to upgrade bio-oil to deoxygenated hydrocarbon fuels, which have higher stability and energy density.<sup>1</sup> Bio-oil consists of an aqueous and organic phase with the latter containing various acids, aldehydes, ketones and phenolic components, reflecting the complex nature of lignocellulosic biomass. Due to this complexity typically model compounds are used to study the reaction mechanism of HDO and its kinetics. Isoeugenol (IE) and its hydrogenated product, dihydroeugenol (DHE) are used in this work as model compounds, because isoeugenol containing hydroxyl, methoxy and allyl groups, also found in bio-oils derived from lignin, can be considered as a representative lignin model compound.<sup>1,2</sup>

Batch-wise HDO of lignin-derived compounds was already performed with noble metals,<sup>1,3,4</sup> noble metal-containing bimetallic catalysts<sup>5,6</sup> and non-noble metal-based catalysts.<sup>2,3,7</sup> As there is an increased interest in industry to use cheap non-noble metal materials as catalysts, abundant Fe and Ni were selected as active metals. It has been already reported that HDO of triolein was successfully performed over a bifunctional Ni–

<sup>a</sup>Åbo Akademi University, Johan Gadolin Process Chemistry Centre, Henriksgatan 2, 20500, Turku/Åbo, Finland. E-mail: dmurzin@abo.fi

<sup>b</sup>Faculty of Science and Engineering/Physics, Åbo Akademi University, Henriksgatan 2, 20520, Turku/Åbo, Finland

<sup>c</sup>Wihuri Physical Laboratory, University of Turku, 20014, Turku, Finland

<sup>d</sup>Department of Material Chemistry, University of Turku, 20014, Turku, Finland

<sup>e</sup>Institute of Chemical Technology and Polymer Chemistry and Institute of Catalysis Research and Technology, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Kaiserstrasse 12, 76131, Karlsruhe, Germany

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Definitions, catalyst characterization results: SEM, BSE, EDX, ICP-OES, TEM, EXAS, O<sub>2</sub>-TPO, GC-MS analysis of the extracted soluble coke species, Raman, and catalytic results. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3se00371j>



Fe/ZSM-5/SAPO-11 catalyst, which displayed higher catalytic activity than monometallic ones.<sup>7</sup> Fe is oxophilic metal, which promotes oxygen adsorption and subsequently activity and selectivity in HDO of oxygen-containing compounds.<sup>8</sup> Despite a few attempts reported in the literature, there is not much information about the application of non-noble bimetallic catalysts for HDO of lignin-derived compounds. Furthermore, it is known that acidity, structure and morphology of a support can influence catalytic activity,<sup>9</sup> with strong acidity eventually resulting in cracking and catalyst deactivation. Subsequently in the current work, mildly acidic Beta-300 was chosen as a support.

The aim of the current work was to compare the performance of a bimetallic bifunctional 5–5 wt% FeNi/H-Beta-300 in batch and continuous reactors. According to our knowledge, continuous HDO of similar components has been previously made in the gas-phase,<sup>10</sup> and the open literature is almost devoid of studies performed in the liquid-phase when IE and DHE are used as a feedstock under solvent-less conditions. A comprehensive product analysis was performed including the liquid, solid and gas phase analysis. One of the aims of the current research was to elucidate catalyst stability in the absence of any solvent, as the latter ones, especially long-chain hydrocarbons, are not inert under the reaction conditions.<sup>11</sup> Furthermore, several physico-chemical methods were applied to characterize the fresh and spent catalysts, such as laser diffraction, scanning electron microscopy–energy-dispersive X-ray microanalysis, inductively coupled plasma – optical emission spectrometry, transmission electron microscopy, Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy with pyridine, X-ray diffraction, Mössbauer spectroscopy, X-ray absorption spectroscopy, hydrogen temperature programmed reduction, nitrogen physisorption, thermogravimetric analysis, oxygen temperature-programmed oxidation, organic elemental analysis, soluble coke extraction with dichloromethane, and Raman spectroscopy.

## 2 Experimental

### 2.1 Preparation of the catalyst

In the current work, a low-cost bimetallic bifunctional 5–5 wt% FeNi/H-Beta-300 catalyst was synthesised by the subsequent incipient wetness impregnation method with two impregnation steps for each metal. The metal composition was selected based on the results of the previous work dealing with Fe–Ni metal ratios in the catalyst for co-processing of *n*-hexadecane with lignin-derived isoeugenol, resulting in complete deoxygenation over 5 wt% Fe–5 wt% Ni/H-Y-5.1.<sup>11</sup> First, a commercial H-Beta-300 zeolitic support (CP811C-300, Zeolyst International) was pretreated in a muffle oven with a step calcination procedure: temperature ramp at 4 °C min<sup>-1</sup> rate to 250 °C (held for 50 min), increased at 2 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to 500 °C (held for 4 h). First iron and then nickel were subsequently introduced using a 1.6 M aqueous solution of Fe(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·9H<sub>2</sub>O (Sigma-Aldrich) and a 1.5 M Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (CJSC Souzchimprom) precursors, respectively. After each impregnation step, the sample was dried at 100 °C overnight, and the final sample with 10 wt% of the total metal nominal loading was calcined at 450 °C for 6 h under static air.

Before the catalytic experiment, the catalyst was reduced in a flow of hydrogen, 40 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, with the heating rate of 2 °C min<sup>-1</sup> to 250 °C (held for 2 h) and subsequently to 500 °C (held for 2 h).

### 2.2 Characterization of the catalyst

Fresh and spent FeNi/H-Beta-300 catalysts were characterized by a range of physico-chemical methods. The fresh catalyst for characterization was pre-reduced *ex situ* with the same reduction program as used before the catalytic experiments. Most of the details of characterization methods and the corresponding equipment are given in the previous publications<sup>11,12</sup> with the pertinent details presented below.

**2.2.1 Catalyst particle size distribution.** The catalyst particle size distribution was determined by using a Malvern Mastersizer 3000 laser diffractometer. The catalyst was dispersed in distilled water using a Hydro EV wet sample dispersion unit.

**2.2.2 Surface morphology.** The surface morphology and the shape of the sieved catalyst particles were analysed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Zeiss Leo Gemini 1530) with a SE (secondary electron), a BSE (back-scattered electron), and an in-lens detector.

**2.2.3 Elemental analysis.** The chemical composition of the catalyst bulk was studied by energy-dispersive X-ray microanalysis (EDX, Zeiss Leo Gemini 1530). The concentration of metals in the bulk of the catalyst was analyzed by inductively coupled plasma–optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES, PerkinElmer Optima 5300 DV instrument).

**2.2.4 Metal particle sizes.** The metal particle sizes were analysed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Jeol JEM-1400Plus) and measured using Fiji ImageJ software.<sup>13</sup>

**2.2.5 Brønsted and Lewis acid sites.** The catalyst acidity was measured by Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (ATI Mattson FTIR) using pyridine (≥99.5%, a.r.) as a probe molecule for determination of the amount and strength of both Brønsted and Lewis acid sites.

**2.2.6 Phase identification and the metal valence state composition.** X-ray diffraction (XRD) characterisation was performed to investigate the phase purity and crystal phase identification using a PANalytical Empyrean diffractometer with five-axis goniometers.

The Fe valence state composition in the bulk was investigated by Mössbauer spectroscopy. The <sup>57</sup>Fe Mössbauer spectra were acquired at 295 K using an 18-month-old <sup>57</sup>Co:Rh source (Ritverc Co. 50 mCi June 2020) with a maximum Doppler velocity of 11.0 mm s<sup>-1</sup>.

X-ray absorption spectroscopy, in terms of X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS), was used to study the bulk-averaged element-specific local structure around Fe and Ni atoms. Measurements were performed on the as-received (calcined) and reduced (*in situ*) fresh catalyst and also on the as-received (stored in air) and reduced (quasi *in situ*) spent catalyst, used in isoeugenol HDO in the batch reactor. For reduction, the catalyst samples were placed in a quartz capillary, 1.5 mm o.d., 0.02 mm wall



thickness and sample bed length of 3 mm. Pure H<sub>2</sub> was flowing through the samples at 20 mL min<sup>-1</sup> flow rate which was heated by a hot air blower from 0 to 250 °C with a dwell time of 2 h and subsequently from 250 to 500 °C (2 h dwell time) with the temperature ramp of 2 °C min<sup>-1</sup> (same temperature program as before the catalytic tests). After that, the fresh sample was directly measured (*in situ*) while the spent sample holder was sealed by means of two-way valves (Swagelok), packed in polyethylene bags using a vacuum food sealer, and transported for the measurements taking place approx. 48 hours later (quasi *in situ*). XAS spectra at Fe and Ni K absorption edges were recorded at the P65 beamline of the PETRA III synchrotron radiation source (DESY, Hamburg) in the transmission mode. Higher harmonics were rejected by a pair of Si plane mirrors installed in front of the monochromator. The energy of the X-ray photons was selected by a Si (111) double-crystal monochromator and the beam size was set by means of slits to 0.3 (vertical) × 1.5 (horizontal) mm<sup>2</sup>. X-ray absorption near edge spectra (XANES) were normalized and the extended X-ray absorption fine structure spectra (EXAFS) background was subtracted using the Athena program from the IFEFFIT software package.<sup>14</sup> The *k*<sup>2</sup>-weighted EXAFS functions were Fourier transformed (FT) in the *k* range of 2–14 Å<sup>-1</sup> and multiplied by a Hanning window with a sill size of 1 Å<sup>-1</sup>. The displayed FT EXAFS spectra were not corrected for the phase shift. Amplitude reduction factors *S*<sub>0</sub><sup>2</sup> 0.65 (Fe) and 0.81 (Ni) were obtained by fitting the Fe and Ni foil reference spectra. The fits of the EXAFS data were performed using Artemis software<sup>14</sup> by the least squares method in *R*-space between 1.0 and 3.0 Å. Coordination numbers (CN), interatomic distances (*r*), energy shift ( $\delta E_0$ ) and the mean square deviation of interatomic distances ( $\sigma^2$ ) were refined during fitting. The absolute misfit between the theory and the experiment was expressed by  $\rho$ .

**2.2.7 Reducibility.** Microtrac Belcat II equipment was used to perform temperature-programmed reduction (TPR) measurements. The heating rate for TPR was 5 °C min<sup>-1</sup> (up to 800 °C) under 5 vol% hydrogen in argon.

**2.2.8 Textural properties.** The nitrogen physisorption (Micromeritics 3Flex-3500) was used to determine the textural properties. The surface area and pore size distribution were calculated by Dubinin–Radushkevich (D–R) and the non-local density functional (DFT) methods, respectively.

**2.2.9 Coke analysis.** Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA, SDT650 instrument) was used to detect the mass of heavy compounds adsorbed on the catalyst (coke) after the reaction. The heating rate was 10 °C min<sup>-1</sup> (up to 800 °C for 1 min) under an air atmosphere.

Microtrac Belcat II equipment was used to perform temperature-programmed oxidation (O<sub>2</sub>-TPO) measurements. Two different heating rates for TPO were used: 5 °C min<sup>-1</sup> (up to 900 °C for 10 min) and 2 °C min<sup>-1</sup> (up to 400 °C for 20 min) under 5 vol% oxygen in argon.

CHNS analysis was performed at 950 °C using A Thermo Fisher Scientific Flash 2000 organic elemental analyzer equipped with A TC detector. Cystine, 2,5-bis(5-*tert*-butyl-benzoxazol-2-yl)thiophene, sulphanilamide and methionine were used as standards.

The soluble coke in the spent catalyst was identified by extraction with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. Extracts (organic phase) were analyzed by GC-MS (Agilent GC/MS 6890N/5973) using the same column and the same temperature program (held for 10 extra minutes) as for the reaction product analysis.

Raman spectroscopy was carried out using a Renishaw inVia Qontor confocal Raman microscope system with Raman mapping and a focus track capability. The Raman microscope was equipped with four laser lines, ranging from near-IR (785 nm) to visible (633 and 532 nm) and near-UV (355 nm). In the current work, an external laser with wavelengths of 514 nm and 1064 nm was also employed.

### 2.3 Chemicals

The following chemicals were used in the current work: isoeugenol (mixture of *cis* and *trans*, 99% FG, As ≤ 3 ppm, Hg ≤ 1 ppm, Cd ≤ 1 ppm, Pb ≤ 10 ppm, Sigma-Aldrich), dihydroeugenol (≥99% FG, As ≤ 3 ppm, Hg ≤ 1 ppm, Cd ≤ 1 ppm, Pb ≤ 10 ppm, Sigma-Aldrich), hydrogen (N50, 99.999%, Woikoski Oy), argon (N50, 99.999%, Woikoski Oy), helium (N46, 99.996%, Woikoski Oy), oxygen in argon (5 vol%, Woikoski Oy), propylcyclohexane (99%, Sigma-Aldrich), hexane (≥99%, Fluka), cyclohexane (≥99.9%, Alfa Aesar), 2,5-dimethylhexane (99%, Sigma-Aldrich), ethylbenzene (≥99.5%, Merck), *N,O*-bis(trimethylsilyl)-trifluoroacetamide (BSTFA, ≥99%, Sigma-Aldrich), tetrahydrofuran (anhydrous, ≥99.9%, Merck), mixture of alkanes (Woikoski Oy): ethane (0.9985 mol%), methane (0.9965 mol%), isobutene (1.0053 mol%), *n*-butane (1.0036 mol%) in helium (96.00 mol%), mixture of alkenes (Woikoski Oy): 1-butene (1.0060 mol%), ethylene (0.9974 mol%), propylene (1.0035 mol%), isobutane (1.0060 mol%) in helium (95.99 mol%), and mixture of gases (Woikoski Oy): carbon monoxide (1.05 mol%), carbon dioxide (1.04 mol%), hydrogen (1.07 mol%) in helium (96.84 mol%).

### 2.4 Catalytic tests

Solventless experiments of hydrodeoxygenation were performed with the lignin-derived model compound isoeugenol or dihydroeugenol over 5–5 wt% FeNi/H-Beta-300. The catalyst was sieved into a fraction of 150–180 μm and reduced in a flow of hydrogen (40 mL min<sup>-1</sup>), according to the TPR profile, in two steps: 25–250 °C (hold 2 h) and 250–500 °C (hold 2 h) with the temperature ramp of 2 °C min<sup>-1</sup>.

Catalytic experiments in a semi-batch mode were performed in an autoclave reactor with a mechanical stirrer (300 mL, Parr Instruments, Fig. 1a and S1a†) under a continuous flow of hydrogen, 20 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. These experiments were carried out with and without injection of the reactant. In the former case, the following procedure was used: the pre-reduced catalyst (150–180 μm) was loaded into the reactor, the reactor was heated up to the reaction temperature at *ca.* half of the reaction pressure, and then the pre-heated reactant (100 °C) was injected; the reactor was pressurized and stirring was switched on. In the case of an experiment without injection of the reactant, the pre-reduced catalyst was loaded into the reactor together with the reactant and heated up to the reaction temperature with the






Fig. 1 The scheme of the experimental setup: (a) batch and (b) continuous modes.

heating ramp of  $10\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$ . All batch experiments were carried out at  $200\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  (for 1 h) –  $250\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  (for 1 h) –  $300\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  (for 1 h), 30 bar, 1000 rpm with the weight ratio of the reactant-to-catalyst of 25 (*i.e.* 55 g of the reactant and 2.2 g of the catalyst). The liquid samples were taken directly from the reactor at specific time intervals (1, 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, and 180 min). At the outlet line, a separator operating at  $0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  was placed to separate the liquid and gas phases.

Catalytic experiments in a continuous mode were performed in a stainless-steel tubular reactor (ID 4.3 mm, L 50 cm, Fig. 1b and S1b†) operating in a co-current down-flow regime. The catalyst (150–180  $\mu\text{m}$ ) particles were mixed in a one-to-one volume ratio with inert quartz of the size 250–350  $\mu\text{m}$ , loaded into a reactor and reduced *in situ*. The catalytic bed was placed in the slightly lower part of the reactor to ensure that the upper layer (1–1.5 cm) was at the same level as the thermocouple measuring the reactor temperature. The empty space of the reactor upstream and downstream of the catalytic bed, separated by quartz wool, was filled with inert quartz of the size 250–350  $\mu\text{m}$ . The liquid reactant was fed to the reactor by using a Fusion 6000-X high-pressure syringe pump (20 mL, 0.0001–51.22  $\text{mL min}^{-1}$ , up to 108 bar). All continuous experiments were carried out with  $0.04\text{ mL min}^{-1}$  liquid flow rate of reactant, 15 molar excess of hydrogen, at 30 bar of total pressure. The temperature was 250, 270, and  $300\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and the weight of the catalyst was 0.1, 0.2, and 0.3 g, respectively; the liquid residence time was 2, 5.5, and 11.9 min with the weight hour space velocity (WHSV) of 24.4, 12.4, 8.4  $\text{g}_{\text{reactant}}/\text{g}_{\text{catalyst}}/\text{h}$ . At the outlet line, a cooler operating at  $1\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  was placed to separate the liquid and gas phases. The liquid samples were taken from the separator at specific time intervals of time-on-stream (TOS 1, 15, 45, 60, 90, 120, 180, and 300 min, *i.e.* the first sample (TOS 1 min) was taken *ca.* one hour after the start of the liquid flow). A trickling flow regime in the tubular reactor was confirmed by analysis of the flow map considering low gas and liquid flow rates.<sup>15,16</sup>

The liquid samples were analysed using a gas chromatograph (GC) with an FID detector (Agilent 6890N) using a DB-1 column (30 m  $\times$  250  $\mu\text{m}$   $\times$  0.5  $\mu\text{m}$ ). The temperature programme consisted of 4 steps:  $60\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  (5 min) –  $138\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  ( $3\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$ ) –  $160\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  ( $1.5\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$ ) –  $300\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  ( $15\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$ , 1 min). The temperature of the detector was  $280\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The following chemicals were used for the calibration of GC analysis: isoeugenol, dihydroeugenol, propylcyclohexane, cyclohexane, hexane, 2,5-dimethylhexane, and ethylbenzene. Other products were confirmed with an Agilent GC/MS 6890N/5973 using a DB-1 column and the same temperature program. Water content in the samples was analysed by Karl-Fischer titration (736 GP Titrino, Metrohm; Hydranal Composite 2, Fluka). A wide-bore short column GC-FID (PerkinElmer Clarus 500, Shelton, CT, USA) was used to analyze the phenolic dimers. The samples were silylated using *N,O*-bis(trimethylsilyl)-trifluoroacetamide (BSTFA) as a silylation agent at  $60\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 1 h. The column parameters were: Agilent HP-1/SIMDIST,  $\sim 6\text{ m}$  (length)  $\times$  0.530 mm (inner diameter), film thickness 0.15  $\mu\text{m}$ . The flow rate of hydrogen serving as a carrier gas was  $7\text{ mL min}^{-1}$ . The following temperature program was used:  $100\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  (after 0.5 min hold) at a rate of  $12\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$  to  $340\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  (5 min hold), and with the following injector program:  $80\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  (0.1 min hold) at a rate of  $50\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$  to  $110\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ , and at a rate of  $15\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C min}^{-1}$  to  $330\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  (7 min hold), while the temperature of the detector was maintained at  $340\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The liquid phase samples were also analyzed by the size-exclusion chromatography–high-performance liquid chromatography (SEC – HPLC) technique to detect oligomeric compounds using tetrahydrofuran containing 1% acetic acid as eluent. The diluted samples were analyzed by high-performance size exclusion chromatography with an evaporative light scattering detector (HPSEC-ELSD, Shimadzu DGU-405 series modular HPLC, Shimadzu Corporation (Shimadzu, Japan)). The SEC–HPLC system was equipped with three different columns (2  $\times$  Jordi Gel DVB 500A (300 mm  $\times$  7.8 mm), guard column 50  $\times$  7.8 mm). The flow rate of  $0.8\text{ mL min}^{-1}$  was used with the





column oven temperature of 40 °C. The components were detected with an ELSD detector (Sedex-100 LT-ELSD) having the following parameters: 40 °C, air pressure of 3.5 bar and gain of 1. The SEC – HPLC system contained the following parts: in-line degasser (Shimadzu DGU-405 degassing unit), the HPLC gradient pump (Shimadzu LG-40D solvent delivery module), an autosampler (Shimadzu SIL-20A HT), the column oven (Shimadzu CTO-10ACvp) and the system controller (Shimadzu CBM-40).

The gas samples were analysed online every 15 min by using a micro gas chromatograph with TCD detectors (Agilent 6890N) using 4 parallel channels: (1) and (2) 100 °C, MS 5A column (10 m × 320 μm × 30 μm) with Plot U pre-column (3 m × 320 μm × 30 μm); (3) 60 °C, Plot Q column (8 m × 320 μm × 10 μm); (4) 90 °C, OV-1 column (14 m × 150 μm × 2 μm). The injector temperature was the same for all channels, 100 °C. The following chemicals were used to calibrate the microGC: hydrogen, ethane, methane, isobutane, *n*-butane, 1-butene, ethylene, propylene, isobutene, carbon monoxide, and carbon dioxide.

## 2.5 Catalyst regeneration

The spent catalyst was regenerated directly in the reactor. After the experiment, the catalyst was kept inside and the reactor was flushed with Ar, 40 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, and cooled down overnight to 100 °C. The *in situ* regeneration was performed with a step-by-step procedure from 100 °C to 400 °C at the heating ramp 2 °C min<sup>-1</sup>, at 5 vol% O<sub>2</sub> in an Ar atmosphere, 40 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The outlet stream was analysed by microGC-TCD removing water upstream of the separator. After the regeneration procedure, the reactor was flushed with Ar, 40 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, overnight and then re-activated according to the reduction procedure described above.

# 3 Results and discussion

## 3.1 Characterization results of the fresh and spent FeNi/H-Beta-300 catalysts

Fresh and spent FeNi/H-Beta-300 catalysts were characterized by a range of physico-chemical methods.

**3.1.1 Catalyst particle size distribution.** The fresh Fe–Ni/H-Beta-300 catalyst, after impregnation and calcination, was sieved to a fraction of 150–180 μm (Fig. S2†). This fraction was used for both batch and continuous experiments. The particle size distribution of the sieved fraction for the fresh and spent catalysts, from the batch and continuous mode experiments with isoeugenol as a reactant was determined by laser diffraction. The results showed that 50% of the fresh catalyst particles have a size of 177 μm or smaller, while for the spent catalysts it was slightly larger,  $D_{v50}$  of 195 μm (Fig. S2b,† Table 4). This could be related to the agglomeration of the small particles due to the adsorption of heavy compounds on the catalyst (coke).

**3.1.2 Surface morphology.** The surface morphology of the fresh FeNi/H-Beta-300 catalyst is shown in the scanning electron microscope (SEM) and back-scattered electron (BSE) images, and energy dispersive X-ray (EDX) mapping analysis in

Fig. S3.† The presence of large spherical agglomerated particles of a bimetallic catalyst with an average size of 300 nm is in line with the morphology results and the average particle size of the pristine H-Beta-300 zeolite.<sup>17</sup> SEM in the back-scattered electron mode revealed large particles in the range of 80–950 nm with a similar average of 330 nm. According to EDX spot and EDX mapping analysis (Fig. S3b†), the composition of the highlighted particles comprised both Fe and Ni in different ratios. This result indicates that the catalyst contains metal particles with very different compositions, indicating its very heterogeneous character.

**3.1.3 Elemental analysis.** Elemental analysis of the fresh FeNi/H-Beta-300 catalyst (12 mm<sup>2</sup>) revealed the *ca.* half value of the SiO<sub>2</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> molar ratio, *i.e.* 159, compared to the nominal one indicated by the manufacturer (*i.e.* the last number in the zeolite name). This is in line with the literature<sup>17</sup> reporting data for pristine zeolites. The metal concentrations, determined by either EDX and ICP-OES, were close to the nominal loading with the molar metal ratio of 1 (Table S1†). After the batch experiment with isoeugenol, the leaching of metal was *ca.* 17% from the spent catalyst determined by ICP-OES, while the molar metal ratio remained the same (Table S1†).

**3.1.4 Metal particle sizes.** The metal particle sizes were determined by TEM (Table 1, Fig. S4†). However, it should be noted that in TEM analysis, the contrast arises from differences in electron scattering between the sample and the surrounding medium. Both iron and nickel have similar atomic numbers, resulting in a very limited elemental contrast between them. This lack of contrast, and the fact that iron and nickel have also similar crystal structures and atomic radii, further hampers the ability to distinguish between Fe and Ni nanoparticles. In addition, bimetallic catalysts often involve the formation of alloys and clusters containing Ni and Fe with different compositions (as was presented above by EDX). Therefore, Fe and Ni in the catalysts could not be separated from each other in the current work and the median of all metal particles is expressed as  $d_{\text{NiFe}}$  (Table 1, Fig. S4†).

Worth noting is that, for most catalysts, the histogram of the FeNi particle size clearly revealed two maxima. For this reason, the fitting of kernel smooth distribution curves by the Gauss function was also used (Fig. 2). Acknowledging all limitations of the applied approach, the results were compared with Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (ref. 18) and the monometallic Fe (5–5.5 nm) and monometallic Ni (18.1–19.2 nm) catalysts on another zeolitic support (H-Y-5.1) used in the previous work.<sup>12</sup> Both ranges are in line with the maxima for fresh and spent bimetallic FeNi/H-Beta-300 catalysts used in the current work. To be specific, the first maximum with the median particle size of 5–7 nm could hypothetically correspond to Fe and particles rich in Fe ( $d_{\text{Fe}}^{\text{f}}$ ) assuming the same size of iron clusters formed after deposition on beta and Y zeolites, and the second maximum with a median particle size of 15–22 nm reflects subsequently Ni and particles rich in Ni ( $d_{\text{Ni}}^{\text{f}}$ ).

Based on the comparison of the median particle size of both fresh and spent catalysts no sintering of the metal particles in hydrodeoxygenation of isoeugenol or dihydroeugenol in either batch (3 h) or continuous reactor (5 h) at 250–300 °C and 30 bar



**Table 1** Median metal particle sizes and metal dispersion determined by TEM analysis of the fresh and spent catalysts. Legend: DHE – dihydroeugenol, IE – isoeugenol, B – batch, C – continuous mode, d – median particle size,  $f$  – metals dispersion based on particle size determined by TEM and molar metal ratio of the fresh catalyst determined by ICP-OES.  $D^f$  – metal dispersion based on particle size fitting and molar metal ratio of the fresh catalyst determined by ICP-OES

Entry	Catalyst	DHE/IE	B/C	Conditions	$d_{\text{FeNi}}$	$D_{\text{FeNi}}$	$d_{\text{Fe}}^f$	$d_{\text{Ni}}^f$	$D_{\text{Fe}}^f$	$D_{\text{Ni}}^f$	$D_{\text{FeNi}}^f$
					nm	%	nm	nm	%	%	%
0a	Fresh, calcined	—	—	—	7	16	5	16	24	6	15
0b	Fresh, reduced	—	—	—	8	14	6	20	20	5	13
1	Spent	IE	B	250–300 °C, 2.2 g	8	14	7	—	18	—	—
2	Spent	DHE	B	250–300 °C, 2.2 g	15	7	6	20	18	5	12
3	Spent	DHE	B <sup>a</sup>	250–300 °C, 2.2 g	11	10	7	22	18	5	11
4	Spent	IE	C	300 °C, 0.2g	9	12	5	21	22	5	13
5	Spent	IE	C	300 °C, 0.3 g	8	14	6	—	18	—	—
6	Spent	IE	C	275 °C, 0.3 g	6	18	5	18	26	5	16
7	Spent	IE	C	250 °C, 0.3 g	8	14	5	—	23	—	—
8	Spent	DHE <sup>b</sup>	C	300 °C, 0.1 g	7	16	6	15	20	7	13
9	Spent	DHE <sup>b</sup>	C	300 °C, 0.3 g	15	7	6	18	20	6	13

<sup>a</sup> With injection of the reactant on the preheated reduced catalyst. <sup>b</sup> Two runs,  $f$  – fitting of kernel smooth distribution curves by the Gauss function in Origin.

of total pressure could be confirmed. Moreover, neither a decrease in the particle size was observed (Table 1, entry 1), although slight leaching was detected by ICP-OES (Table S1†).

Metal dispersion in the fresh and spent catalysts calculated from the non-fitted and fitted median particle sizes of FeNi was relatively close to each other,  $D_{\text{FeNi}}$  of 7–18% and  $D_{\text{FeNi}}^f$  of 11–16%, respectively (Table 1, Fig. S4†). It should be also mentioned that the differences in metal particle size of catalysts could be related to the alloy formation. Metal dispersion was calculated according to:


$$D_{\text{FeNi}} = (x_{\text{Fe}} \cdot 116 + x_{\text{Ni}} \cdot 101) / d_{\text{FeNi}} \quad (1)$$

$$D_{\text{FeNi}}^f = x_{\text{Fe}} \cdot D_{\text{Fe}}^f + x_{\text{Ni}} \cdot D_{\text{Ni}}^f \quad (2)$$

where  $x_{\text{Fe}}$ ,  $x_{\text{Ni}}$  is the metal molar fraction of the fresh catalyst determined from ICP-OES,  $D_{\text{Fe}}^f$ ,  $D_{\text{Ni}}^f$  is dispersion of single metals calculated using the formula described by Sholten *et al.*<sup>19</sup> assuming spherical shapes of metals, giving  $D_{\text{Fe}} = 116/d_{\text{Fe}}^f$ ,  $D_{\text{Ni}} = 101/d_{\text{Ni}}^f$ ,<sup>19–21</sup> and  $d_{\text{Fe}}^f$ ,  $d_{\text{Ni}}^f$  is the median particle size of single metals obtained from TEM (in nm) by fitting of kernel smooth distribution curves using the Gauss function.

**3.1.5 Brønsted and Lewis acid sites, and the metal-to-acid site ratio.** Brønsted and Lewis acidity (Table 2) was determined by FTIR with pyridine as a probe molecule. The total acidity of the catalyst remained moderate, 104  $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ , while the ratio of the Brønsted and Lewis acid sites (B/L) significantly decreased from 6 to 0.8, after impregnation of metals. In line with the literature,<sup>12,22,23</sup> introduction of Fe led to a decrease of strong Brønsted acid sites which was explained by the interaction of iron species with the acidic sites on the zeolite surface, involving the formation of metal clusters that can occupy or block the active sites, the coordination of iron species with oxygen atoms or hydroxyl groups, and modification of the electronic structure of the zeolite surface. Introduction of both unreduced Fe and Ni elevated weak and medium Lewis acid sites.

Introduction of both unreduced Fe and Ni elevated weak and medium Lewis acid sites.



**Fig. 2** (a) Histogram of the FeNi particle size and (b) fitting curves of kernel smooth distribution for the spent catalyst after dihydrogenol hydrodeoxygenation in the batch reactor (Table 1, entry 2).




**Table 2** Brønsted and Lewis acid sites of the support, fresh and spent FeNi/H-Beta-300 catalysts and their metal-to-acid site ratio ( $n_{\text{FeNi}}/n_{\text{AS}}$ ). Legend: IE – isoeugenol, BAS – Brønsted acid sites, LAS – Lewis acid sites, TAS – total acid sites, B/L – ratio of the Brønsted and Lewis acid sites, s (strong acid sites, data at 450 °C), m (medium acid sites, data at 350 °C minus data at 450 °C), and w (weak acid sites, data at 250 °C minus data at 350 °C)

Catalyst	TAS	BAS	LAS	BAS			LAS			B/L	$n_{\text{FeNi}}/n_{\text{AS}}$
	$\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$			w	m	s	w	m	s	—	$\text{mol mol}^{-1}$
H-Beta-300	77	66	11	16	28	23	5	2	3	6.0	—
5–5 wt% Fe–Ni/H-Beta-300	104	47	57	24	23	0	34	23	0	0.8	15.5
Spent catalyst (batch, IE)	77	46	31	18	28	0	16	15	0	1.5	17.3

After isoeugenol hydrodeoxygenation in the batch reactor, a slight decrease of weak and medium Lewis acid sites from 57 to 31  $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$  was noticed which led to higher B/L. This decrease could be related to Fe and/or Ni leaching (Table S1†) as well as coke formation during the reaction (Table 4) which can adsorb onto the surface of the catalyst, potentially masking or blocking the Lewis acid sites. The metal-to-acid site ratio slightly increased after isoeugenol hydrodeoxygenation in the batch reactor from 15.5 to 17.3 (Table 2). While Fe and/or Ni leaching might cause a reduction in the total metal content on the catalyst surface, it does not necessarily imply a direct correlation with the decrease in Lewis acid sites. In fact, the increase in the metal-to-acid site ratio indicates that the metal content, relative to the remaining acid sites, has increased. This could be due to a preferential loss of acid sites or a redistribution of the metal species on the catalyst surface. FTIR-pyridine spectra are provided in the ESI in Fig. S5.†

**3.1.6 Phase identification and the metal valence.** The XRD pattern of the fresh catalyst is displayed in Fig. 3 together with unfitted crystal models for Beta polymorph A, Fe and Ni, showing that the zeolite structure remained the same after metal impregnation. All the phases can be identified from the data. However, the Ni phase seems to be under a considerable uniform strain since the lattice parameters deviate considerably from the literature values. Identification is therefore based on the assumption that no additional phases are present in the sample. The Ni ( $Fm\bar{3}m$ ) lattice system is a common crystal structure for various metals (e.g., Cu, Co), which can lead to misinterpretation of the data.



**Fig. 3** XRD pattern of the fresh catalyst.

According to Mössbauer spectroscopy (Fig. 4a), the fresh 5–5 wt% Fe–Ni/H-Beta-300 catalyst at 22 °C is dominated by two sextets related to metallic Fe (red is more typical and blue is broadened and has a smaller field probably due to interactions with nickel). Cyan and brown doublets in Fig. 4a represent a small amount of  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  or possible superparamagnetic Fe and marginal amounts of paramagnetic  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ , respectively. It should be mentioned that significant differences in results of the Fe valence state composition in the bulk and Mössbauer parameters (Table 3) were obtained for 5–5 wt% Fe–Ni/H-Y-5.1 synthesized and reduced by the same method (ref. 12 Fig. 4b) as in the current work. While the metallic form of Fe dominated (93%) for the Fe–Ni catalyst supported on mildly acidic H-Beta-300, in the case of a more acidic H-Y-5.1 with the total acidity of the pristine support of 172  $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$  comprising 154  $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$  Brønsted acid sites,<sup>12</sup> it was predominantly  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  (52%). Such differences could be explained by different metal–metal and metal–support interactions in these catalysts.

XAS was used to investigate the local structure around Fe and Ni atoms in the as-received (calcined) and reduced fresh and spent FeNi/H-Beta-300 (FeNi/ $\beta$ , batch, IE, Table S2†) catalysts. It is noteworthy that the catalysts were reduced directly in *in situ* cells with a plug-flow geometry according to the protocol used for catalytic testing, then cooled down and either directly measured in flowing  $\text{H}_2$  or sealed in the cell under  $\text{H}_2$  and measured later without a need for any passivation or exposure to air. XANES and Fourier transformed (FT) EXAFS spectra of the as-received catalysts (fresh after calcination, spent after exposure to air) are depicted in Fig. 5 alongside spectra of the most relevant oxidized reference compounds. The position and the shape of pre-edge and edge features in the Fe K XAS spectra (Fig. 5a and c) correspond to  $\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$  nanoparticles (nanosizing effect seen from lower scattering intensity on the 2<sup>nd</sup> and further shells compared to the reference spectrum, Fig. 5c). This is in line with the literature<sup>12</sup> attributing the initial presence of Fe(III) to the used  $\text{Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$  precursor. Qualitatively, the iron oxide nanoparticle size decreased after activation, the catalytic test and a subsequent exposure to air. Ni K XAS spectra (Fig. 5b and d) demonstrate the same trend: bulk-like NiO in the fresh calcined sample with a somewhat more dispersed oxide in the spent catalyst (Fig. 5d). This trend was not clearly demonstrated by TEM (Table 1, entry 1).

XAS spectra of the reduced catalysts are shown in Fig. 6. Edge positions in the XANES spectra confirm the reduced state of






Fig. 4 Mössbauer spectra of the fresh catalyst: (a) 5–5 wt% Fe–Ni/H-Beta-300, legend: metallic and magnetic Fe (red, blue),  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  (brown),  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  (cyan), Fe impurity in the detector (black); (b) 5–5 wt% Fe–Ni/H-Y-5.1,<sup>12</sup> legend: metallic and magnetic Fe (red),  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  (beige),  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  (blue), Fe impurity in the detector (black).

both Fe and Ni in all studied bimetallic catalysts (Fig. 6a and b). Bulk Fe and Ni metals crystallize in different structures under ambient conditions: bcc ( $Im\bar{3}m$ ) in the case of Fe and fcc ( $Fm\bar{3}m$ ) structure for Ni. The different crystal structures result in very different XANES spectra for the respective individual metals (Fig. 6a and b). The fcc structure around Ni atoms is preserved for both samples, although the Ni K XANES spectrum of the spent catalyst displays a white line with a higher intensity and shape, possibly due to partial oxidation (Fig. 6b). This partial oxidation may happen due to exposure of the sample to air during transportation to the synchrotron and therefore O-related features will not be used for drawing conclusions. On the other hand, the peaks at 8357 and 8382 eV are due to the metallic structure. These peaks are shifted to lower energies in the case of both bimetallic samples with the shift being less pronounced for the spent catalyst. This shift may indicate alloying with Fe, and subsequently different shifts reflect different degrees of alloying (*i.e.* partial dealloying after catalysis and exposure to air). All Ni K EXAFS spectra (Fig. 6d) unequivocally confirm the fcc Ni structure.

Fe K XAS spectra (Fig. 6a and c) of the reduced fresh and spent catalysts are markedly different from bcc Fe and rather

suggest the fcc structure as in the case of Ni which signifies FeNi alloy formation.

The first shell analysis was performed on Fe K and Ni K EXAFS spectra of the reduced samples to identify structural parameters such as the coordination number and interatomic distances (Table S2, Fig. S6–S8<sup>†</sup>). Due to similar scattering factors of Fe and Ni, EXAFS cannot reliably distinguish between these two types of nearest neighbours. The obtained structural parameters point at fcc structures around both Fe and Ni atoms in both samples. Coordination numbers around 11 in the fresh reduced FeNi sample correspond to rather large, on the order of 3–10 nm (with large error bars due to uncertainty in CN determination and asymptotic behaviour of the model)<sup>24</sup> fcc FeNi nanoparticles. The same CN around Ni and Fe suggests the random distribution of both metals in the alloy. Somewhat longer Fe–M means interatomic distance in the spectrum of the spent and rereduced FeNi/H-Beta-300 (FeNi/ $\beta$ ) may signify a contribution from the bcc Fe structure (*i.e.* partial dealloying), while lower average coordination numbers around both Fe and Ni (*ca.* 9.3 at an average) stem from a smaller mean metal particle size of 1.5–2 nm. Significantly smaller particle sizes determined by EXAFS, compared to TEM, are probably related

**Table 3** The valence state of Fe in the bulk of catalysts determined by Mössbauer spectroscopy, and Mössbauer parameters. Legend:  $\delta$  – isomer shift in  $\text{mm s}^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta\text{EQ}$  – quadrupole splitting in  $\text{mm s}^{-1}$ ,  $B$  – an internal magnetic hyperfine field in T,  $\mu_{\text{Fe}}$  – a magnetic moment of iron, assuming spin-only contribution to the field<sup>a</sup>

Catalyst	$\text{Fe}^0$	$\text{Fe}^{2+}$	$\text{Fe}^{3+a}$	$\delta_{\text{Fe}^0}$	$\delta_{\text{Fe}^{2+}}$	$\delta_{\text{Fe}^{3+a}}$	$\Delta\text{EQ}_{\text{Fe}^{2+}}$	$\Delta\text{EQ}_{\text{Fe}^{3+a}}$	$B_{\text{Fe}^0}$	$\mu_{\text{Fe}}$
5–5 wt% Fe–Ni/H-Beta-300	93	2	5	0.03	1.04	0.28	1.85	0.92	33	4.0
5–5 wt% Fe–Ni/H-Y-5.1 <sup>b</sup>	22	26	52	0.18	1.14	0.35	2.27	0.69	29	3.6

<sup>a</sup> Predominantly  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ . <sup>b</sup> From the literature.<sup>12</sup>








Fig. 5 Normalized XANES (a and b) and Fourier transformed EXAFS (c and d) spectra of the calcined and exposed to air spent catalyst and relevant reference compounds measured at Fe K (a and c) and Ni K (b and d) edges. EXAFS spectra are not corrected for the phase shift.

to the EXAFS sensitivity to single coherently scattering domains and TEM observing their aggregates and agglomerates.

**3.1.7 Reducibility.** The reducibility of FeNi/H-Beta-300 is shown by the TPR profile in Fig. 7a. In comparison, the TPR profile of FeNi/H-Y-5.1 (Fig. 7b, ref.<sup>12</sup>) prepared by the same method with the same nominal metal loading and reduced

under the same conditions is also presented in the same figure. Such comparison clearly shows different interactions in the FeNi catalyst supported on different supports, which was already indicated by the results from Mössbauer spectroscopy reported above. The first temperature maximum in TPR for hydrogen consumption was obtained at a comparable






Fig. 6 Normalized XANES (a and b) and Fourier transformed EXAFS (c and d) spectra of reduced fresh and re-reduced spent catalysts and the respective bulk metals (5  $\mu\text{m}$  thick foils) measured at Fe K (a and c) and Ni K (b and d) edges. EXAFS spectra are not corrected for the phase shift.

temperature of 390–395  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , which corresponds to the reduction of  $\text{Fe}(\text{III})$  to  $\text{Fe}(\text{II})$ .<sup>12,25</sup> This is in line with XANES spectra of the fresh catalyst (Fig. 5a) showing the initial presence of  $\text{Fe}(\text{III})$  in the form of maghemite ( $\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3$ ) originating from the  $\text{Fe}(\text{NO}_3)_3 \cdot 9\text{H}_2\text{O}$  precursor. The second maximum was delayed by 90  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  for the 5–5 wt% Fe–Ni/H-Beta-300 catalyst indicating stronger binding of Ni-species with Beta zeolite compared to H-

Y-5.1.<sup>12,26–28</sup> However, it should be mentioned that the relative peak area was the same for both catalysts between 100 and 800  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , whereas the relative peak area below the reduction temperature, 100–500  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , was slightly higher, 1.3-fold, for 5–5 wt% Fe–Ni/H-Y-5.1.

**3.1.8 Textural properties and coke analysis.** The specific surface area for the fresh catalyst was 516  $\text{m}^2 \text{g}^{-1}$  with 0.26  $\text{cm}^3$






Fig. 7  $H_2$ -TPR profiles of the fresh catalyst: 5–5 wt% Fe–Ni/H–Y–5.1 (data from ref. 12) and 5–5 wt% Fe–Ni/H–Beta–300.

$g^{-1}$  of the total pore volume comprising 81 vol% micropores (<2 nm) (Table 4, entry 0). After the reaction, a significant drop in both the specific surface area and pore volume of the catalyst was observed (Table 4, entries 1–9). From the pore size distribution of the spent catalyst, it can be concluded that both micro and mesopores were clogged relatively equally (Fig. 8). The most dramatic decrease in the textural properties such as the surface area according to the Dubinin–Radushkevich method (*ca.* 99%) was observed for the catalyst used in the continuous mode with isoeugenol as the reactant, especially at an HDO temperature of 300 °C (Table 4, entries 4 and 5).

*In situ* regeneration of the spent catalyst was simulated by an  $O_2$ -TPO-TCD-MS measurement performed under the same

conditions over the catalyst from the batch experiment of isoeugenol hydrodeoxygenation (Table 4, entry 1a). The textural properties of the regenerated catalyst obtained by this procedure clearly demonstrated the success of removing coke at 100–400 °C. The mesoporosity was fully regenerated while the specific surface area and microporosity of the regenerated catalyst achieved 80% of values compared to the fresh catalyst (Fig. 8, Table 4, entry 1b). In the case of the catalyst regeneration by burning coke up to 900 °C ( $O_2$ -TPO-TCD-MS, 100–900 °C, 5 °C  $min^{-1}$ ), the comparable micropore volume was recovered compared to a similar treatment to a much lower temperature ( $O_2$ -TPO-TCD-MS, 100–400 °C, 2 °C  $min^{-1}$ ). The specific surface area and total pore volume were comparable, *i.e.* *ca.* 80% of the original value for the fresh catalyst.

TEM images of the catalysts regenerated at 400 °C and 900 °C are shown in Fig. S9.† The metal particle size after regeneration at 400 °C varies in the range of 10–25 nm indicating that metal particles are not sintered due to strong interactions between the metal and acidic zeolite. However, sintering clearly occurred after catalyst regeneration at 900 °C, when the metal particles of 15–60 nm were observed in the images (Fig. S9c and d†). These results together with surface area measurements demonstrate that it is not possible to fully regenerate the catalyst even at a high temperature, because the surface area could not be fully recovered and metal sintering occurred.

It was also revealed that the specific surface area of the spent FeNi/H-Beta-300 catalysts, tested under different conditions, linearly decreased with the increasing amounts of coke determined by TGA analysis (6–19 wt%, Fig. 9, Table 4). This is valid for all catalysts including the *in situ* regenerated catalyst. In other words, the formation of one weight percent of coke in the catalyst led to a decrease in the specific surface area of approx. 12.7%; *i.e.* a 50% decrease in the specific surface area of the

Table 4 Textural properties of the fresh and spent catalysts and the mass of heavy compounds adsorbed on the catalyst (coke) after the reaction determined by TGA (100–800 °C), CHNS or TPO (100–900 °C) analysis. Legend: B – batch, C – continuous mode, DHE – dihydroeugenol, IE – isoeugenol,  $T$  – reaction temperature,  $m_{cat}$  – weight of catalyst,  $D_v$  – particle size (decimal fraction),  $S_{D-R}$  – the specific surface area calculated by the Dubinin–Radushkevich method,  $V$  – the total pore volume calculated using the non-local density functional (DFT),  $V_{\mu}$  – the micropore volume (DFT),  $V_m$  – the mesopore volume (DFT),  $R_c$  – the rate of coke formation ( $\mu g$  of coke/mg of catalyst/h),  $m_c$  – weight of coke in the spent catalyst determined by TGA

Entry	Catalyst	B/C	DHE/IE	$T$ °C	$m_{cat}$ g	$S_{D-R}$ $m^2 g^{-1}$	$V$ $cm^3 g^{-1}$	$V_{\mu}$ vol%	$V_{\mu}/V_m$ —	$R_c$ $\mu g mg^{-1} h^{-1}$	$m_{c,TGA}$ wt%	$m_{c,CHNS}$ wt%	$m_{c,TPO}$ wt%
0	Fresh	—	—	—	—	516	0.26	81	4.2	—	0	0	0
1a	Spent	B	IE	250–300	2.2	202	0.12	66	1.9	27.0	8.1	8.2	9.5
1b	Regenerated 1a cat.	(TPO 100–400 °C)				415	0.23	72	2.6	—	3.2	—	—
1c	Regenerated 1a cat.	(TPO 100–900 °C)				413	0.21	77	3.3	—	—	—	—
2	Spent	B	DHE	250–300	2.2	178	0.16	39	0.6	31.0	9.3	—	—
3	Spent	B <sup>a</sup>	DHE	250–300	2.2	204	0.13	66	1.9	25.0	7.5	—	—
4	Spent	C	IE	300	0.2	4	0.01	14	0.2	36.2	18.1	—	—
5	Spent	C	IE	300	0.3	5	0.01	11	0.1	37.0	18.5	—	—
6	Spent	C	IE	275	0.3	19	0.17	1	0.01	26.2	13.1	—	—
7	Spent	C	IE	250	0.3	117	0.11	41	0.7	24.2	12.1	—	—
8	Spent	C	DHE <sup>b</sup>	300	0.1	163	0.09	75	2.9	12.2	6.1	—	—
9	Spent	C	DHE <sup>b</sup>	300	0.3	143	0.09	61	1.6	21.8	10.9	—	9.2

<sup>a</sup> With injection of the reactant on the preheated reduced catalyst. <sup>b</sup> Two runs.






Fig. 8 Pore size distribution of fresh (black), spent catalysts after isoeugenol hydrodeoxygenation in the batch reactor from (blue, Table 4, entry 1a) and in the continuous reactor (red, Table 4, entry 5) and regenerated catalyst (cyan, Table 4, entry 1b).

catalyst corresponds to *ca.* 7.7 wt% coke formation. This is the opposite trend that was obtained over the FeNi/H-Y-5.1 catalyst with different ratios of metals, tested in the co-processing of *n*-hexadecane with lignin-derived isoeugenol.<sup>11</sup> It could be related to the catalyst composition but also to the different feedstock.

According to the heat release, two maxima were obtained in 355–380 °C and 470–480 °C temperature regions for all the spent catalysts used in IE HDO (Fig. S10a†) and DHE HDO (Fig. S10b†). This is in line with filamentous type coke formation (400–550 °C) observed also over Ni-upgraded slug oxides,<sup>29</sup> and FeNi/H-Y-5.1.<sup>12</sup> Sánchez-Sánchez *et al.*<sup>30</sup> reported that the oxidation of filamentous coke coupled with Ni particles occurs in the temperature range between 300 and 530 °C, while the oxidation of carbonaceous deposits with various degrees of graphitization occurs above 530 °C.

Similar results as from TGA were obtained from the TPO analysis (Fig. S11†), *i.e.* two maxima of carbon oxidation at 370 °C and 485 °C for the spent catalyst, used in IE HDO in the batch reactor. The weight ratio of CO-to-CO<sub>2</sub> formation was 18/82 (wt%), *i.e.* 0.21. For the same catalyst (Table 4, entry 1a), 9.5 wt%, 8.1 wt%, and 8.2 wt% coke was determined by TPO (100–900 °C), TGA (100–800 °C), and CHNS (950 °C), respectively. Furthermore, CHNS analysis also revealed the molar H/C ratio of 1.8, pointing to the presence of aliphatic species with a mean value of molar H/C ratio *ca.* 1.7 (1.4 < H/C < 2.0), having higher H/C ratios than aromatic compounds (*ca.* 0.6).<sup>31</sup>

An analogous result was also obtained by identification of the extracted soluble coke species using GC-MS. The extracted soluble coke from the spent catalysts, used in the batch mode experiments without injection of the reactant on the preheated fresh catalyst, showed a broad range of predominantly aliphatic coke (primary compounds: C10–C18 straight-chain alkanes) and a low amount of aromatics (primary component: dimethylethyl benzene) (Fig. S12†). Analogous results were obtained from the co-processing of *n*-hexadecane with lignin-derived isoeugenol performed also in the batch mode.<sup>11</sup> On the other hand, the results from the batch mode with injection (Fig. S12†) and the continuous mode (Fig. S13†), obtained in the current work in IE HDO and DHE HDO, revealed selective coke formation of *n*-C16 with minor amounts of *n*-C12 and *i*-C16 straight-chain alkanes. These results can be attributed to the specific reaction conditions in the applied experimental setups determining the selective formation and composition of the coke species. In the case of the batch experiment without the injection, the catalyst was exposed to the reactant during the heating period without stirring. The absence of stirring may have led to the strong adsorption of the reactant on the catalyst surface. The combination of strong adsorption and a sufficiently long contact time in the batch experiment could have been the reason for the formation of a wide range of coke species.

For the coke species analysis on the surface of the spent catalyst, also Raman spectroscopy with different wavelengths (355 nm, 514 nm, 532 nm, 633 nm, 785 nm and 1064 nm) has been employed. This technique was previously applied for




Fig. 9 Amount of coke determined by TGA as a function of the catalyst-specific surface area. Legend: fresh catalyst (diamond), spent catalyst from the batch experiment (triangle), spent catalyst from the continuous experiments (circle), spent catalyst from IE HDO (open symbols) and spent catalyst from DHE HDO (black symbols), regenerated catalyst (grey symbol, *in situ* regeneration of the spent catalyst simulated by O<sub>2</sub>-TPO). Notation is the same as in Table 4.





metal-free ZSM-5, USY zeolites (UV Raman, ref. 32), Pt-Sn/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (514 nm, ref. 33), Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (785 nm, ref. 34), Pt-Re/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (785 nm, ref. 35), and Au nanoparticles (785 nm, ref. 36). In the current work, the Fe-Ni/H-Beta-300 spent catalyst from the batch experiment of isoeugenol hydrodeoxygenation (*ca.* 8 wt% of coke, Table 4) was analysed in both powder and thin pressed pellet form. However, all measurements performed under different conditions resulted in one big peak (Fig. S14†) attributed to the strong fluorescence interference.<sup>33,37</sup>

### 3.2 Activity and selectivity of the FeNi/H-Beta-300 catalyst in hydrodeoxygenation

A set of solventless experiments over the reference 5–5 wt% Fe-Ni/H-Beta-300 catalyst (sieved fraction 150–180  $\mu$ m) has been performed in batch and continuous modes. Isoeugenol and its hydrogenated intermediate dihydroeugenol were used as feed-stock. It should be also pointed out that in the case of isoeugenol as a reactant, the reaction proceeds *via* rapid hydrogenation to dihydroeugenol, even in the absence of any catalyst, which is in line with the literature.<sup>11</sup> Therefore, catalytic results of IE HDO also comprise the conversion of the consecutive step, *i.e.* conversion of dihydroeugenol.

**3.2.1 Batch experiments.** Solventless experiments with and without injection of the reactant into the preheated reactor with a catalyst were carried out at 200 °C (1 h) – 250 °C (1 h) – 300 °C (1 h), 30 bar with the reactant-to-catalyst weight ratio of 25 in the batch mode. The results from the batch experiments are summarized in Table 5 and displayed in Fig. S15.† The low-cost FeNi/H-Beta-300 catalyst tested in isoeugenol hydrodeoxygenation (IE HDO) in a batch reactor exhibited low dihydroeugenol conversion (Fig. S15a†) with low selectivity to the desired oxygen-free compounds (Fig. S15b†) accompanied by a rapid catalyst deactivation compared to the IE HDO with the solvent over FeNi/H-Y-5.1 catalysts.<sup>11</sup> This could be attributed to the strong adsorption of highly viscous isoeugenol on the surface of the catalyst (Fig. S15c and d†) which is also in line with a lower liquid phase mass balance closure compared to the experiments with the first intermediate, dihydroeugenol, as a raw material (Table 5). The main product of IE HDO was dihydroeugenol with a yield of 77%, while the yield of oxygen-free products was only 3%. For comparison, the IE HDO batch experiment without a catalyst<sup>11</sup> performed under similar conditions, *i.e.* 200 °C and 20 bar, showed a 17% conversion of isoeugenol to dihydroeugenol without any other products. After

4 h, in co-processing of *n*-hexadecane with lignin-derived isoeugenol over the 5–5 wt% FeNi/H-Y-5.1 catalyst performed in the batch at 300 °C and 30 bar, the complete conversion of both isoeugenol and dihydroeugenol was obtained with only desired oxygen-free compounds.<sup>11</sup> As a comparison with IE HDO in the presence of a solvent, typically a high conversion of DHE has also been obtained with the Ni catalyst. For example, the batch experiment of IE HDO, carried out at 250 °C, 30 bar with 10 wt% Ni/ZrO<sub>2</sub> catalyst (63  $\mu$ m) with 0.05 g isoeugenol in 50 mL of dodecane, displayed complete conversion of isoeugenol and 95% conversion of dihydroeugenol; however, the yield of OFC (oxygen-free compounds) was only 16% with an extremely low liquid phase mass balance closure below 20%.<sup>38</sup> A 75% yield of OFC was obtained over a non-acidic Ni-SBA-15 in IE HDO at 300 °C, 30 bar H<sub>2</sub> using dodecane as a solvent.<sup>39</sup> In the same work,<sup>39</sup> Ni-SZ-SBA-15 containing sulphated ZrO<sub>2</sub> gave only very low HDO activity, which was explained by the location of the acid sites both inside and outside SBA-15, whereas nickel particles were partially located inside the SBA-15 structure. In the case of IE HDO in dodecane in the batch reactor over 11 wt% Ni/SiO<sub>2</sub> and 20 wt% Ni/graphite, the yield of OFC was 60% and 44%, respectively, upon complete conversion of both isoeugenol and dihydroeugenol after 4 h at 300 °C, 30 bar H<sub>2</sub> with the liquid phase mass balance closure *ca.* 70%.<sup>40</sup> Furthermore with the noble metal catalyst, the batch experiment of IE HDO, carried out at 300 °C, 30 bar H<sub>2</sub> with 0.1 g 5 wt% Pt catalyst over activated carbon (63  $\mu$ m) with 0.05 g isoeugenol in 50 mL of dodecane, also displayed complete conversion of both isoeugenol and dihydroeugenol. However, the yield of OFC was only 31%.<sup>41</sup> A similar experiment over the bimetallic 4–4 wt% Pt-Re/Sibunit catalyst (63  $\mu$ m) carried out under the same conditions demonstrated full conversion with 84% yield of OFC.<sup>41</sup>

In the case of dihydroeugenol (DHE) as a starting material, the initial reaction rate of dihydroeugenol HDO was *ca.* 3-fold lower for the experiment without the injection of the reactant on the preheated catalyst, which could be attributed to the strong adsorption of the reactant on the catalyst during the heating period of the reactor. When comparing the product distribution between DHE and IE HDO in a batch reactor in the current study, *ca.* two-fold yield of the desired oxygen-free compounds (6%) and a slightly higher water content (0.9%) were gained at the same DHE conversion level (19%) as for IE HDO performed under the same conditions. Except soluble coke species composition (Fig. S12†) and the initial reaction rate and turnover frequency (Table 5), the results of DHE HDO from both

**Table 5** Catalytic results (in%) from the batch experiments performed at 250–300 °C, 30 bar with 2.2 g of catalyst, after 3 h. Legend:  $r^0$  – initial reaction rate of the reactant (1 minute, 250 °C) in mol g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, TOF<sup>0</sup> – initial turnover frequency in 1/h,  $X_{IE}$  – isoeugenol conversion,  $X_{DHE}$  – dihydroeugenol conversion, CB<sub>(l)</sub> – the liquid phase carbon balance closure, S – selectivity, Y – yield, OCC – oxygen-containing compounds, OFC – oxygen-free compounds

Entry	Reactant	Notes	$r^0$	TOF <sup>0</sup>	$X_{IE}$	$X_{DHE}$	CB <sub>(l)</sub>	$S_{OCC}/S_{OFC}$	$Y_{OCC}$	$Y_{OFC}$	$Y_{H_2O}$
1	Isoeugenol	No injection	—	—	100	19	83	96 <sup>a</sup> /4	80 <sup>b</sup>	3	0.6
2	Dihydroeugenol	No injection	0.12	77	—	19	97	64/36	11	6	0.9
3		Injection	0.37	231	—	20	95	72/28	12	5	0.8

<sup>a</sup> 77% was dihydroeugenol. <sup>b</sup> 64% was dihydroeugenol.



experiments, *i.e.* with and without an injection on the preheated catalyst, were comparable (Table 5). No heavy compounds were detected by GC-FID, short column analysis, or SEC analysis of the liquid phase. In the gas phase, only hydrogen was detected in all cases of the batch experiments.

For comparison with the literature,<sup>42</sup> DHE conversion of 34% was observed in the batch reactor over a dual catalyst system, 0.1 g Ru/C and 0.2 g Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, at 250 °C and 6 bar of hydrogen in the mixture of DHE (0.2 mL), MeOH (0.8 mL) and distilled water (12 mL) giving 4% yield of the desired oxygen-free compounds and  $S_{\text{OCC}}/S_{\text{OFC}}$  equal to 90/10 in DHE HDO performed. It should also be noted that after 4 h DHE was fully converted giving the  $S_{\text{OCC}}/S_{\text{OFC}}$  ratio equal to 69/31.<sup>42</sup> It can be concluded that more efficient deoxygenation of DHE was obtained in the presence of the solvent, as expected.

**3.2.2 Continuous experiments.** Experiments in the continuous mode were performed at 250–300 °C, 30 bar with 0.1–0.3 g of the catalyst with 0.04 mL min<sup>-1</sup> of the reactant liquid flow, WHSV of 8–25 g<sub>reactant</sub>/g<sub>catalyst</sub>/h, and a 15-fold excess of hydrogen. The results from the continuous experiments are summarized in Table 6 and displayed in Fig. S16† (IE HDO), and Fig. S18† (DHE HDO).

Hydrodeoxygenation of isoeugenol (IE HDO) in the continuous mode revealed rapid catalyst deactivation during the first hour of time-on-stream ( $\Delta X_{\text{DHE}}$  1–1.2% per minute over 0.3 g of catalyst, Fig. 10a). A slightly slower deactivation was observed in hydrodeoxygenation of dihydroeugenol (DHE HDO), the first intermediate of isoeugenol HDO ( $\Delta X_{\text{DHE}}$  0.7% per minute over 0.3 g of catalyst, Fig. 10b). In both cases, the yield of the desired oxygen-free compounds (OFC, Fig. 10c and d) decreased during the first hour of time-on-stream too. The product distribution of the individual oxygen-free and oxygen-containing compounds detected in the liquid phase is presented in Fig. S19 and S20.†

In the gas phase (Fig. S21†), mainly methane, one unknown product, and methanol were detected. While methane and methanol decreased with increasing catalyst deactivation and water formation, the concentration of the unknown product

had the opposite trend. Ethane, propane, butane, isobutene, and carbon oxides were detected in negligible amounts. Overall, the total concentration of the gas-phase products decreased with decreasing DHE conversion in all the cases of continuous experiments.

IE HDO performed at different temperatures demonstrated a higher initial selectivity to OFC at a lower temperature. The apparent activation energy of dihydroeugenol hydrodeoxygenation over the FeNi/H-Beta-300 catalyst (150–180 μm) in solventless isoeugenol HDO was calculated to be 6.3 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. S22†). This value is 2.4-fold lower than that reported in ref. 41, *i.e.* 15 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, for 0.3 g granulated PtRe (1:1)/Sibunit catalyst (1 mm) at 75–200 °C, 30 bar of H<sub>2</sub> and 0.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup> liquid flow of 0.012 M isoeugenol in dodecane. The activation energy in the kinetic study of dihydroeugenol hydrodeoxygenation, without the hydrogenation step of isoeugenol, in the packed-bed microreactor over the sulfided NiO/MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst (75–150 μm) at 200–450 °C and 20.7 bar was calculated to be 34 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>.<sup>43</sup> Note that the lower activation energy of DHE obtained in the current work from IE HDO in practice can depend not only on the catalyst type but also on the catalyst deactivation, commonly modeled as an exponential decay function of active sites with TOS,<sup>44</sup> appearing in the first step of isoeugenol hydrogenation. A low value of apparent activation energy in the current work could also be attributed to the presence of a mass transport limitation regime in a non-diluted reaction system with a significantly lower ratio of reactant-to-catalyst.

The mass transport limitations were estimated for DHE HDO in the continuous mode (Table 6, entry 8a) assuming the spherical catalyst particles and the first-order reaction.<sup>45–47</sup> The Mears criterion of external mass transfer limitation ( $C_{\text{Mears}} < 0.15$ ) for H<sub>2</sub> dissolved in DHE and for DHE was calculated to be 4423 and  $2.3 \times 10^{-4}$ , respectively. Low values of the Damköhler number ( $Da = 7.8 \times 10^{-4}$ ) and the Thiele modulus ( $\phi = 2.8 \times 10^{-5}$ ) giving subsequently the catalyst effectiveness factor equal to one also pointed out the absence of external or internal mass

**Table 6** Catalytic results (in%) from the continuous experiments performed at 250–300 °C, 30 bar with 0.1–0.3 g of catalyst. Legend:  $r^0$  – initial reaction rate of dihydroeugenol HDO (1 min, 300 °C) in mol g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, TOF<sup>0</sup> – initial turnover frequency of dihydroeugenol HDO in 1/h,  $X_{\text{IE}}$  – isoeugenol conversion,  $X_{\text{DHE}}$  – dihydroeugenol conversion, CB – carbon balance closure, (l) – the liquid phase, S – selectivity, Y – yield, OCC – oxygen-containing compounds, OFC – oxygen-free compounds,  $\Delta$  – initial deactivation in 30 min of time-on-stream (TOS) (in% per min)

Entry	DHE/IE	Conditions	in 1 min of time-on-stream						in 5 h of time-on-stream									
			$r_{\text{DHE}}^0$	TOF <sup>0</sup> <sub>DHE</sub>	$X_{\text{IE}}^0$	$X_{\text{DHE}}^0$	$S_{\text{OCC(DHE)}}^0 / S_{\text{OFC}}^0$	$Y_{\text{OCC}}^0$	$Y_{\text{OFC}}^0$	$X_{\text{IE}}$	$X_{\text{DHE}}$	CB(l)	$S_{\text{OCC(DHE)}} / S_{\text{OFC}}$	$Y_{\text{OCC}}$	$Y_{\text{OFC}}$	$Y_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$	$\Delta X_{\text{DHE}}$	$\Delta S_{\text{OFC}}$
4	IE	300 °C, 0.2 g	0.11	70	96	72	61(41)/39	39.5	25.3	100	43	63	98(90)/2	62.2	1.5	1.5	0.53	0.64
5	IE	300 °C, 0.3 g	0.08	49	100	99	24(1)/76	18.2	58.2	100	30	78	96(89)/4	75.3	3.2	1.3	1.09	1.20
6	IE	275 °C, 0.3 g	0.07	46	100	91	19(11)/81	16.1	68.3	100	23	83	94(92)/6	79.2	4.7	0.8	1.01	1.33
7	IE	250 °C, 0.3 g	0.07	43	100	90	14(11)/86	12.0	71.1	100	15	90	94(93)/6	85.5	5.6	1.3	1.24	1.47
8a	DHE <sup>b</sup>	300 °C, 0.1 g	0.06	36	—	47	38/62	9.3	15.1	—	9	95	45/55	2.2	2.7	0.7	0.69	0.07
8b			0.02	12	—	15	66/34	5.3	2.7	—	7 <sup>a</sup>	98 <sup>a</sup>	77/23 <sup>a</sup>	1.3 <sup>a</sup>	4.4 <sup>a</sup>	0.2	0.00	0.03
9a	DHE <sup>b</sup>	300 °C, 0.3 g	0.06	35	—	78	20/80	12.4	50.2	—	23	93	62/38	11.3	6.9	1.8	0.72	0.78
9b			0.03	20	—	45	71/29	11.9	4.7	—	17	96	65/35	8.7	4.7	0.7	0.33	—

<sup>a</sup> 3 h TOS. <sup>b</sup> Two runs.2






Fig. 10 Dihydroeugenol conversion as a function of time-on-stream in (a) isoeugenol hydrodeoxygenation, (b) dihydroeugenol hydrodeoxygenation; yield of the oxygen-free compounds as a function of time-on-stream in (c) isoeugenol hydrodeoxygenation, (d) dihydroeugenol hydrodeoxygenation. Conditions: 250–300 °C, 30 bar, 0.1–0.3 g of catalyst with 0.04 mL min<sup>-1</sup> of liquid flow and a 15-fold excess of hydrogen.

transfer limitations of the liquid compounds. It can be concluded that the low apparent activation energy obtained in the current work for DHE HDO was affected by both external mass transfer limitation of hydrogen dissolved in dihydroeugenol and by the rapid catalyst deactivation in the initial isoeugenol hydrogenation.

To elucidate the catalyst deactivation, the catalyst was consecutively reused in dihydroeugenol hydrodeoxygenation after *in situ* regeneration, which was performed by simply flushing the catalyst with hydrogen flow of 40 mL min<sup>-1</sup> overnight at the reaction temperature (Table 6, entry 8) or by coke oxidation increasing temperature step-by-step from 200 °C to 400 °C, with the heating ramp of 2 °C min<sup>-1</sup> with 40 mL min<sup>-1</sup> of 5 vol% oxygen in argon after flushing with argon (Table 6, entry 9). In the latter case, the outlet gas stream was monitored by microGC-TCD (Fig. S17<sup>†</sup>) and after regeneration, the catalyst was flushed with argon again and reduced by the same procedure as described above.

In the first case, when the spent catalyst was flushed out in the hydrogen flow, the initial conversion of dihydroeugenol in the second run was only *ca.* 30% of the first run. After 3 h with

time-on-stream, the conversion level was just by *ca.* 20% lower than with the fresh catalyst, while selectivity to the desired oxygen-free compounds was lower by *ca.* 60% and  $Y_{OCC}/Y_{OFC}$  ratio by *ca.* 70% (Fig. S18<sup>†</sup>). On the other hand, in the second case, when the coke in the spent catalyst was oxidized in 5 vol% of oxygen in argon flow at up to 400 °C, the initial conversion of dihydroeugenol during the second run was two-fold higher than in the case of flushing in hydrogen, *i.e.* *ca.* 60% of the initial value compared to the first run. The initial reaction rate dropped only by 1.7-fold for the second run. After 5 h of time-on-stream, the conversion level was lowered by *ca.* 25% compared to the fresh catalyst, while selectivity to the desired oxygen-free compounds was lower only by *ca.* 8% and  $Y_{OCC}/Y_{OFC}$  ratio by *ca.* 10% (Fig. S18<sup>†</sup>). Overall, it can be concluded that *in situ* regeneration at up to 400 °C in the presence of oxygen was successful resulting in slightly lower activity and similar selectivity of the consecutively reused catalyst compared to the results obtained from the fresh one. A slightly lower reaction rate of DHE, 0.4 mol g<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, was obtained from HDO of DHE over the sulfided NiO/MoO<sub>3</sub>/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst at 300 °C and 14.3 bar with 2.1 mol L<sup>-1</sup> DHE concentration in hexane.<sup>43</sup>






Fig. 11 Formation rate of coke as a function of: (a) dihydroeugenol conversion, (b) yield of water; (c) amount of coke as a function of the liquid phase carbon balance closure. Conditions: solventless hydrodeoxygenation over 0.1–0.3 g of FeNi/H-Beta-300 (150–180  $\mu\text{m}$ ) catalyst at 250–300  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ , 30 bar of  $\text{H}_2$ , 0.04  $\text{mL min}^{-1}$  of liquid flow and a 15-fold excess of hydrogen. Legend: spent catalyst from the batch experiment (triangle), the spent catalyst from the continuous experiments (circle), the spent catalyst from IE HDO (open symbols) and the spent catalyst from DHE HDO (black symbols). Notation is the same as in Table 4.

As mentioned above, catalyst deactivation was directly related to the formation of aliphatic coke on the spent catalyst, which led to a decrease in its specific surface area (Fig. 9). Furthermore, it was observed that the rate of coke formation ( $R_c$ ,  $\mu\text{g}$  of coke/mg of catalyst/h) increased with increasing dihydroeugenol conversion (Fig. 11a) and with increasing yield of water (Fig. 11b) as a side product. This applies to all experiments independently of the reaction system, temperature, or reactant. Simultaneously, the liquid phase carbon balance closure decreased with the increasing amount of coke in line with the strong adsorption of heavy compounds on the catalyst. Analogously, the coke formation was also considered as the reason for catalyst deactivation in bio-oil hydrodeoxygenation on Ni/H-ZSM-5 and Ni-Cu/H-ZSM-5 catalysts.<sup>48</sup> The rate of coke formation of 10  $\mu\text{g}$  of coke/mg of catalyst/h reported over Ni/HZSM-5 catalyst in bio-oil hydrodeoxygenation at 300  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  (ref. 47) was comparable with the lowest rate of coke formation of 12  $\mu\text{g}$  of coke/mg of catalyst/h obtained in the current work at the lowest DHE conversion. A similar range of the rate of coke formation as in the current work (12–37  $\mu\text{g}$  of coke/mg of catalyst/h) was also obtained over the 10 wt% Fe/SiO<sub>2</sub> catalyst in

guaiacol HDO at 400  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  in the presence of  $\text{H}_2$ ,  $\text{CH}_4$  or  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (13–36  $\mu\text{g}$  of coke/mg of catalyst/h).<sup>49</sup> A lower rate of coke formation 8  $\mu\text{g}$  of coke/mg of catalyst/h was observed in HDO of the bio-oil in a continuous-flow two-stage catalytic reactor system that contained a mild hydrogenation zone at 130  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  over Ru/C as the catalyst followed by a more severe HDO zone between 300 and 400  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  over a Pt/ZrP catalyst.<sup>50</sup>

## 4 Conclusions

A low-cost bimetallic bifunctional 5–5 wt% FeNi/H-Beta-300 catalyst synthesized by the subsequent incipient wetness impregnation was investigated in hydrodeoxygenation in the batch and continuous modes. Solventless experiments were performed with lignin-derived model compounds isoeugenol or dihydroeugenol. The liquid phase of the reaction mixture was analysed by GC-FID, GC-MS and Karl-Fischer titration while the gas phase was analysed by microGC-TCD. Characterization of the fresh and spent catalysts was made by several physico-





chemical methods. The spent catalyst was regenerated *in situ* and consecutively reused.

After metal impregnation, the total acidity of the catalyst remained mild,  $104 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ , while the ratio of the Brønsted and Lewis acid sites significantly decreased from 6 to 0.8. The nanoparticle size of Fe and Ni metals of 6 and 20 nm, respectively, was determined by fitting of kernel smooth distribution curves from TEM. The results from Mössbauer spectroscopy, XAS, SEM-EDX, and TPR confirmed metal–metal and metal–support interactions. After the reduction, 93% of iron was in the metallic form. In the FeNi alloy, the distribution of both metals was random. The specific surface area for the fresh catalyst was  $516 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$  comprising 81 vol% micropores. After the reaction, a significant drop in both the specific surface area and pore volume of the catalyst was observed due to the formation of filamentous-type coke. The specific surface area of the spent catalysts, tested under different conditions, linearly decreased with the increasing amounts of coke determined by TGA analysis (6–19 wt%). The extracted soluble coke species were predominantly identified as the aliphatic ones. After *in situ* regeneration by coke oxidation, the mesoporosity was fully regenerated while 80% of the specific surface area and microporosity was restored in the regenerated catalyst compared to the fresh one.

The batch experiments resulted in low activity and poor selectivity to the desired oxygen-free compounds accompanied by rapid catalyst deactivation. The experiments in the continuous mode demonstrated slower catalyst deactivation showing not only a decrease in conversion but also significant selectivity changes with increasing time-on-stream. A slightly slower catalyst deactivation was observed in the hydrodeoxygenation of dihydroeugenol ( $\Delta X_{\text{DHE}} 0.7\%$  per minute) compared to isoeugenol hydrodeoxygenation in the continuous mode. The apparent activation energy of dihydroeugenol hydrodeoxygenation over the FeNi/H-Beta-300 catalyst (150–180  $\mu\text{m}$ ) in solventless isoeugenol hydrodeoxygenation was calculated to be  $6.3 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , which can be ascribed to external mass transfer limitations and the catalyst deactivation in isoeugenol hydrogenation. Conversion of 78% of the initial dihydroeugenol with 80% of selectivity to the desired oxygen-free compounds was obtained over 0.3 g of catalyst at 300 °C and 30 bar of hydrogen with a residence time of 12 min. Oxidative regeneration at up to 400 °C was successfully done resulting in a slightly lower catalyst activity and similar selectivity of the regenerated catalyst compared to the fresh one.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Business Finland for funding through the project: Catalytic Slurry Hydrotreatment. We acknowledge DESY (Hamburg, Germany), a member of the Helmholtz Association HGF, for the provision of experimental facilities. Parts of this research were carried out at the light source PETRA III at DESY, a member of the Helmholtz Association (HGF). We would like to thank Dr Edmund Welter for his

assistance in using the beamline P65. We thank the Electron Microscopy Laboratory, Institute of Biomedicine, University of Turku, and Biocenter Finland. The authors are grateful to Dr Irina Simakova for the discussion regarding catalyst preparation, and Dr Rose-Marie Latonen and MSc. Minette Kvikant for help with Raman spectroscopy.

## References

- 1 A. A. Dwiatmoko, J. Seo, J. W. Choi, D. J. Suh, J. M. Jae and J. M. Ha, Improved activity of a  $\text{CaCO}_3$ -supported Ru catalyst for the hydrodeoxygenation of eugenol as a model lignin-derived phenolic compound, *Catal. Commun.*, 2019, **127**, 45–50, DOI: [10.1016/j.catcom.2019.04.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2019.04.024).
- 2 M. X. Zhao, J. Hu, P. Lu, S. L. Wu, C. Liu and Y. H. Sun, Efficient hydrodeoxygenation of lignin-derived bio-oil to hydrocarbon fuels over bifunctional RuCoWx/NC catalysts, *Fuel*, 2022, **326**, DOI: [10.1016/j.fuel.2022.125020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2022.125020).
- 3 A. Bjelic, M. Grilc and B. Likozar, Bifunctional metallic-acidic mechanisms of hydrodeoxygenation of eugenol as lignin model compound over supported Cu, Ni, Pd, Pt, Rh and Ru catalyst materials, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2020, **394**, 14, DOI: [10.1016/j.cej.2020.124914](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.124914).
- 4 X. K. Yue, S. Zhang, N. Z. Shang, S. T. Gao, Z. Wang and C. Wang, Porous organic polymer supported PdAg bimetallic catalyst for the hydrodeoxygenation of lignin-derived species, *Renewable Energy*, 2020, **149**, 600–608, DOI: [10.1016/j.renene.2019.12.066](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.12.066).
- 5 M. X. Zhao, J. Hu, S. L. Wu, L. Yang, X. An, P. Yuan and P. Lu, Hydrodeoxygenation of lignin-derived phenolics over facile prepared bimetallic RuCoNx/NC, *Fuel*, 2022, **308**, 121979, DOI: [10.1016/j.fuel.2021.121979](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2021.121979).
- 6 T. Liu, Z. Tian, W. Zhang, B. Luo, L. Lei, C. Wang, J. Liu, R. Shu and Y. Chen, Selective hydrodeoxygenation of lignin-derived phenols to alkyl cyclohexanols over highly dispersed RuFe bimetallic catalysts, *Fuel*, 2023, **339**, 12916, DOI: [10.1016/j.fuel.2022.126916](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2022.126916).
- 7 S. Khan, K. M. Qureshi, A. N. K. Lup, M. F. A. Patah and W. Daud, Role of Ni-Fe/ZSM-5/SAPO-11 bifunctional catalyst on hydrodeoxygenation of palm oil and triolein for alternative jet fuel production, *Biomass Bioenergy*, 2022, **164**, 106563, DOI: [10.1016/j.biombioe.2022.106563](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2022.106563).
- 8 J. W. Zhou and W. An, Unravelling the role of oxophilic metal in promoting the deoxygenation of catechol on Ni-based alloy catalysts, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2020, **10**, 6849–6859, DOI: [10.1039/d0cy01361g](https://doi.org/10.1039/d0cy01361g).
- 9 L. Bomont, M. Alda-Onggar, V. Fedorov, A. Aho, J. Peltonen, K. Eranen, M. Peurla, N. Kumar, J. Warna, V. Russo, P. Mäki-Arvela, H. Grénman, M. Lindblad and D. Yu. Murzin, Production of cycloalkanes in hydrodeoxygenation of isoeugenol over Pt- and Ir-modified bifunctional catalysts, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, **24**, 2841–2854, DOI: [10.1002/ejic.201800391](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejic.201800391).
- 10 N. K. G. Silva, R. A. R. Ferreira, R. M. Ribas, R. S. Monteiro, M. A. S. Barrozo and R. R. Soares, Gas-phase hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) of guaiacol over  $\text{Pt/Al}_2\text{O}_3$



- catalyst promoted by Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, *Fuel*, 2021, **287**, 119509, DOI: [10.1016/j.fuel.2020.119509](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2020.119509).
- 11 Z. Vajglová, B. Gauli, P. Mäki-Arvela, I. L. Simakova, N. Kumar, K. Eränen, T. Tirri, R. Lassfolk, M. Peurla, D. E. Doronkin and D. Yu. Murzin, Co-Processing of fossil feedstock with lignin-derived model compound isoeugenol over Fe-Ni/H-Y-5.1 catalysts, *J. Catal.*, 2023, **421**, 101–116, DOI: [10.1016/j.jcat.2023.03.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2023.03.016).
  - 12 Z. Vajglová, B. Gauli, P. Mäki-Arvela, N. Kumar, K. Eränen, J. Warna, R. Lassfolk, I. L. Simakova, I. Provirin, M. Peurla, J. Lindén, H. Huhtinen, P. Petriina, D. E. Doronkin and D. Yu. Murzin, Interactions between iron and nickel in Fe-Ni nanoparticles on Y zeolite for co-processing of fossil feedstock with lignin-derived isoeugenol, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2023, **6**, 10064–10077, DOI: [10.1021/acsnm.3c00620](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnm.3c00620).
  - 13 *ImageJ program*, <https://imagej.net/Welcome>, accessed March 2023.
  - 14 B. Ravel and M. Newville, Athena, Artemis, Hephaestus: data analysis for X-ray absorption spectroscopy using IFFFIT, *J. Synchrotron Radiat.*, 2005, **12**, 537–541, DOI: [10.1107/s0909049505012719](https://doi.org/10.1107/s0909049505012719).
  - 15 M. I. Urseanu, J. G. Boelhouwer, H. J. M. Bosman, J. C. Schroyen and G. Kwant, Estimation of trickle-to-pulse flow regime transition and pressure drop in high-pressure trickle bed reactors with organic liquids, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2005, **111**, 5–11, DOI: [10.1016/j.cej.2005.04.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2005.04.015).
  - 16 G. Mary, A. Esmaeili and J. Chaouki, Simulation of the selective hydrogenation of C-3-cut in the liquid phase, *Int. J. Chem. React. Eng.*, 2016, **14**, 859–874, DOI: [10.1515/ijcre-2015-0095](https://doi.org/10.1515/ijcre-2015-0095).
  - 17 Z. Vajglová, N. Kumar, M. Peurla, J. Peltonen, I. Heinmaa and D. Yu. Murzin, Synthesis and physicochemical characterization of beta zeolite-bentonite composite materials for shaped catalysts, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2018, **8**, 6150–6162, DOI: [10.1039/c8cy01951g](https://doi.org/10.1039/c8cy01951g).
  - 18 X. Y. Yang, X. W. Cheng, A. A. Elzatahry, J. Y. Chen, A. Alghamdi and Y. H. Deng, Recyclable Fenton-like catalyst based on zeolite Y supported ultrafine, highly-dispersed Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> nanoparticles for removal of organics under mild conditions, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2019, **30**, 324–330, DOI: [10.1016/j.ccllet.2018.06.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccllet.2018.06.026).
  - 19 J. J. F. Scholten, A. P. Pijpers and A. M. L. Hustings, Surface characterization of supported and nonsupported hydrogenation catalysts, *Catal. Rev. Sci. Eng.*, 1985, **27**, 151–206, DOI: [10.1080/01614948509342359](https://doi.org/10.1080/01614948509342359).
  - 20 A. J. Plomp, H. Vuori, A. O. I. Krause, K. P. de Jong and J. H. Bitter, Particle size effects for carbon nanofiber supported platinum and ruthenium catalysts for the selective hydrogenation of cinnamaldehyde, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2008, **351**, 9–15, DOI: [10.1016/j.apcata.2008.08.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2008.08.018).
  - 21 G. Bergeret, and P. Gallezot, Particle size and dispersion measurements, *Handbook of Heterogenous Catalysis*, ed. Ertl, G., Knözinger, H., Schüth, F. and Weitkamp, J., Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 2008.
  - 22 D. Kubička, N. Kumar, T. Venalainen, H. Karhu, I. Kubičková, H. Osterholm and D. Yu. Murzin, Metal-support interactions in zeolite-supported noble metals: influence of metal crystallites on the support acidity, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 4937–4946, DOI: [10.1021/jp055754k](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp055754k).
  - 23 H. Metiu, S. Chretien, Z. P. Hu, B. Li and X. Y. Sun, Chemistry of Lewis acid-base pairs on oxide surfaces, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 10439–10450, DOI: [10.1021/jp301341t](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp301341t).
  - 24 A. Puig-Molina, F. M. Cano and T. V. W. Janssens, The Cu promoter in an iron-chromium-oxide based water-gas shift catalyst under industrial conditions studied by in-situ XAFS, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2010, **114**, 15410–15416, DOI: [10.1021/jp1035103](https://doi.org/10.1021/jp1035103).
  - 25 M. Nagai, O. Uchino, J. Okubo and S. Omi, TPR and XPS studies of iron-exchanged Y zeolites and their activity during dibenzothiophene hydrodesulfurization, *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.*, 1997, **105**, 989–995, DOI: [10.1016/S0167-2991\(97\)80731-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2991(97)80731-8).
  - 26 N. Czuma, K. Zarebska, M. Motak, M. E. Galvez and P. Da Costa, Ni/zeolite X derived from fly ash as catalysts for CO<sub>2</sub> methanation, *Fuel*, 2020, **267**, 117139, DOI: [10.1016/j.fuel.2020.117139](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2020.117139).
  - 27 S. E. Eliana-Misi, A. Ramli and F. H. Rahman, Characterization of the structure feature of bimetallic Fe-Ni catalysts, *J. Appl. Sci.*, 2011, **11**, 1297–1302, DOI: [10.3923/jas.2011.1297.1302](https://doi.org/10.3923/jas.2011.1297.1302).
  - 28 Z. X. Cheng, X. G. Zhao, J. L. Li and Q. M. Zhu, Role of support in CO<sub>2</sub> reforming of CH<sub>4</sub> over a Ni/gamma-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2001, **205**, 31–36, DOI: [10.1016/S0926-860X\(00\)00560-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X(00)00560-3).
  - 29 O. A. Sahraei, A. Desgagnes, F. Larachi and M. C. Iliuta, Ni-Fe catalyst derived from mixed oxides Fe/Mg-bearing metallurgical waste for hydrogen production by steam reforming of biodiesel by-product: investigation of catalyst synthesis parameters and temperature dependency of the reaction network, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2020, **279**, 119330, DOI: [10.1016/j.apcatb.2020.119330](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2020.119330).
  - 30 M. C. Sánchez-Sánchez, R. M. Navarro and J. L. G. Frierro, Ethanol steam reforming over Ni/La-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts: influence of lanthanum loading, *Catal. Today*, 2007, **129**, 336–345, DOI: [10.1016/j.cattod.2006.10.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2006.10.013).
  - 31 J. Cain, A. Laskin, M. R. Kholghy, M. J. Thomson and H. Wang, Molecular characterization of organic content of soot along the centerline of a coflow diffusion flame, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 25862–25875, DOI: [10.1039/c4cp03330b](https://doi.org/10.1039/c4cp03330b).
  - 32 C. Li and P. C. Stair, Coke formation in zeolites studied by a new technique: ultraviolet resonance Raman spectroscopy, *Stud. Surf. Sci. Catal.*, 1997, **105**, 599–606, DOI: [10.1016/S0167-2991\(97\)80606-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-2991(97)80606-4).
  - 33 H. Z. Wang, L. L. Sun, Z. J. Sui, Y. A. Zhu, G. H. Ye, D. Chen, X. G. Zhou and W. K. Yuan, Coke formation on Pt-Sn/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst for propane dehydrogenation, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2018, **57**, 8647–8654, DOI: [10.1021/acs.iecr.8B01313](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.8B01313).
  - 34 C. C. Zhang, S. Hartlaub, I. Petrovic and B. Yilmaz, Raman Spectroscopy characterization of amorphous coke



- generated in industrial processes, *ACS Omega*, 2022, 7, 2565–2570, DOI: [10.1021/acsomega.1c03456](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.1c03456).
- 35 S. R. Bare, F. D. Vila, M. E. Charochak, S. Prabhakar, W. J. Bradley, C. Jaye, D. A. Fischer, S. T. Hayashi, S. A. Bradley and J. J. Rehr, Characterization of coke on a Pt-Re/gamma-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> Re-forming catalyst: experimental and theoretical study, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, 7, 1452–1461, DOI: [10.1021/acscatal.6b02785](https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.6b02785).
- 36 P. J. Wang, D. Zhang, L. S. Zhang and Y. Fang, The SERS study of graphene deposited by gold nanoparticles with 785 nm excitation, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2013, 556, 146–150, DOI: [10.1016/j.cplett.2012.11.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2012.11.018).
- 37 P. D. Green, C. A. Johnson and K. M. Thomas, Applications of laser Raman microprobe spectroscopy to the characterization of coals and cokes, *Fuel*, 1983, 62, 1013–1023, DOI: [10.1016/0016-2361\(83\)90134-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-2361(83)90134-5).
- 38 M. Alda-Onggar, P. Mäki-Arvela, A. Aho, I. L. Simakova and D. Yu. Murzin, Hydrodeoxygenation of phenolic model compounds over zirconia supported Ir and Ni-catalysts, *React. Kinet., Mech. Catal.*, 2019, 126, 737–759, DOI: [10.1007/s11144-018-1502-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11144-018-1502-1).
- 39 S. Tieuli, P. Mäki-Arvela, M. Peurla, K. Eränen, J. Warna, G. Cruciani, F. Menegazzo, D. Yu. Murzin and M. Signoretto, Hydrodeoxygenation of isoeugenol over Ni-SBA-15: Kinetics and modelling, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2019, 580, 1–10, DOI: [10.1016/j.apcata.2019.04.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2019.04.028).
- 40 C. Lindfors, P. Mäki-Arvela, P. Paturi, A. Aho, K. Eränen, J. Hemming, M. Peurla, D. Kubička, I. L. Simakova and D. Yu. Murzin, Hydrodeoxygenation of isoeugenol over Ni- and Co-supported catalysts, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2019, 7, 14545–14560, DOI: [10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b02108](https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.9b02108).
- 41 M. E. Martinez-Klimov, P. Mäki-Arvela, Z. Vajglová, M. Alda-Onggar, I. Angervo, N. Kumar, K. Eränen, M. Peurla, M. H. Calimli, J. Muller, A. Shchukarev, I. L. Simakova and D. Yu. Murzin, Hydrodeoxygenation of isoeugenol over carbon-supported Pt and Pt-Re catalysts for production of renewable jet fuel, *Energy Fuels*, 2021, 35, 17755–17768, DOI: [10.1021/acs.energyfuels.1c02656](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.1c02656).
- 42 S. M. Li, B. Y. Liu, J. Truong, Z. Y. Luo, P. C. Ford and M. M. Abu-Omar, One-pot hydrodeoxygenation (HDO) of lignin monomers to C<sub>9</sub> hydrocarbons co-catalysed by Ru/C and Nb<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, *Green Chem.*, 2020, 22, 7406–7416, DOI: [10.1039/d0gc01692f](https://doi.org/10.1039/d0gc01692f).
- 43 N. Joshi and A. Lawal, Hydrodeoxygenation of 4-Propylguaiaicol (2-Methoxy-4-propylphenol) in a microreactor: performance and kinetic studies, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2013, 52, 4049–4058, DOI: [10.1021/ie400037y](https://doi.org/10.1021/ie400037y).
- 44 I. L. Simakova, Z. Vajglová, P. Mäki-Arvela, K. Eränen, L. Hupa, M. Peurla, E. M. Makila, J. Warna and D. Yu. Murzin, Citral-to-menthol transformations in a continuous reactor over Ni/mesoporous aluminosilicate extrudates containing a sepiolite clay binder, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2022, 26, 387–403, DOI: [10.1021/acs.oprd.1c00435](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.oprd.1c00435).
- 45 G. Ertl, H. Knözinger, F. Schüth and J. Weikamp, *Handbook of Heterogeneous Catalysis*, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 2008, DOI: [10.1002/9783527610044.hetc0001](https://doi.org/10.1002/9783527610044.hetc0001).
- 46 D. Yu. Murzin, *Chemical Reaction Technology*, De Gruyter, 2022.
- 47 S. H. Fogler, *Elements of Chemical Reaction Engineering*, Pearson, 2020.
- 48 Y. Li, C. S. Zhang, Y. G. Liu, S. S. Tang, G. H. Chen, R. Q. Zhang and X. Q. Tang, Coke formation on the surface of Ni/HZSM-5 and Ni-Cu/HZSM-5 catalysts during bio-oil hydrodeoxygenation, *Fuel*, 2017, 189, 23–31, DOI: [10.1016/j.fuel.2016.10.047](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2016.10.047).
- 49 R. Olcese, M. M. Bettahar, B. Malaman, J. Ghanbaja, L. Tibavizco, D. Petitjean and A. Dufour, Gas-phase hydrodeoxygenation of guaiacol over iron-based catalysts. Effect of gases composition, iron load and supports (silica and activated carbon), *Appl. Catal., B*, 2013, 129, 528–538, DOI: [10.1016/j.apcatb.2012.09.043](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2012.09.043).
- 50 K. Routray, K. J. Barnett and G. W. Huber, Hydrodeoxygenation of pyrolysis oils, *Energy Technol.*, 2016, 5, 80–93, DOI: [10.1002/ente.201600084](https://doi.org/10.1002/ente.201600084).

