

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2023, 14, 2159

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

## The compact integration of a cascaded HCR circuit for highly reliable cancer cell discrimination†

Pei Dong,<sup>‡a</sup> Ruomeng Li,<sup>‡a</sup> Shizhen He,<sup>‡a</sup> Qingqing Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Jinhua Shang,<sup>a</sup> Yuqian Jiang,<sup>a</sup> Xiaoqing Liu<sup>id</sup> <sup>ab</sup> and Fuan Wang<sup>id</sup> <sup>\*ab</sup>

The accurate identification of multiple biomarkers involved in disease plays a vital role in effectively distinguishing cancer cells from normal cells, facilitating reliable cancer diagnosis. Motivated by this knowledge, we have engineered a compact and clamped cascaded DNA circuit for specifically discriminating cancer cells from normal cells *via* the amplified multi-microRNA imaging strategy. The proposed DNA circuit combines the traditional cascaded DNA circuit with multiply localized responsive character through the elaboration of two super-hairpin reactants, thus concurrently streamlining the circuit components and realizing localization-intensified cascaded signal amplification. In parallel, the multiple microRNA-stimulated sequential activations of the compact circuit, combined with a handy logic operation, significantly elevated the cell-discriminating reliability. Applications of the present DNA circuit *in vitro* and in cellular imaging experiments were executed with expected results, therefore illustrating that our DNA circuit is useful for precise cell discrimination and further clinical diagnosis.

Received 7th October 2022

Accepted 16th January 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d2sc05568f

rsc.li/chemical-science

### Introduction

Live-cell imaging techniques play a significant role in biomedical research, such as cytology, hematology, histopathology and immunology.<sup>1–3</sup> It can provide critical information on the basic properties of different cellular functions, and has been developed as a powerful tool for monitoring biomarkers of various key diseases.<sup>4–7</sup> Numerous approaches have thus been developed for the intracellular imaging of biomarkers,<sup>8–12</sup> including tools involving DNA, which are helpful for clinical diagnosis and therapeutics.<sup>13,14</sup> Among these DNA-based methods, DNA circuits have attracted much attention due to their unique features, such as high programmability, high biocompatibility and inherent molecular recognition features.<sup>15–19</sup> DNA circuits, based on the toehold-mediated strand-displacement reaction (SDR), have been widely used for signal amplification,<sup>20</sup> logic operation<sup>21</sup> and drug delivery applications.<sup>22</sup> As one of the classic amplification circuits, the hybridization chain reaction (HCR) involves the analyte-driven isothermal cross-opening of two DNA hairpin substrates into long double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) nanowires.<sup>23–27</sup> However, the intrinsic low reaction

efficiency of the HCR prevents their extensive application in detecting biomarkers with low abundance,<sup>28,29</sup> and needs to be urgently solved before their extensive utilization can be achieved.

To address this issue, effort has been devoted to improve the sensing performance of the HCR circuit, including localized HCR,<sup>30–33</sup> cascaded HCR<sup>34–39</sup> and so on. By confining HCR substrates on DNA scaffolds (*e.g.* DNA origami) or inorganic nanoparticles, the local concentration of HCR reactants could be dramatically increased (10-fold) and the speed of the HCR assembly process could be increased to achieve sensitive microRNA (miRNA) imaging in live cells. This faster reaction kinetics of localized HCR are attributed to the non-diffusion-controlled DNA assembly process which is known to be the main reaction-limiting parameter of conventional HCR. However, most of the localized HCR systems are based on a non-responsive scaffold that might harm the specificity of the HCR-sensing system. Apart from localized HCR systems, various cascaded HCR methods have also been developed to accelerate the overall reaction rate with improved signal transduction. In a cascaded HCR circuit, the product of the upstream circuit system acts as a versatile mediator for triggering the downstream HCR procedure. Compared with the single-stage HCR circuit, such cascade circuits could achieve an improved sensing performance. However, they introduce new reliability concerns originating from the poor uniformity of cell delivery of the multiple circuitry components and the complex reaction procedures that might introduce undesired crosstalk between the multiple components. Additionally, the cell-specificity of the HCR process is still unsolved considering that some cancer

<sup>a</sup>College of Chemistry and Molecular Sciences, Wuhan University, 430072 Wuhan, P. R. China

<sup>b</sup>Research Institute of Shenzhen, Wuhan University, Shenzhen, 518057, P. R. China. E-mail: fuanwang@whu.edu.cn

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, DNA sequences, fluorescence data, cell imaging, flow cytometric analysis, and additional figures, schemes and tables. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2sc05568f>

‡ P. Dong, R. Li and S. He contributed equally.




cells are integrated with the same biomarkers, and, even worse, conventional single-stimuli-based sensing procedures could not distinguish cancer cells from normal cells. Thus, it is highly desirable to construct a compact and cascaded HCR circuit with multiply localized responsive features that could achieve the cell-specific amplified imaging of multiple biomarkers involved in disease.

Herein, we constructed a compact and clamped HCR (CHA-control-HCR, CCH) circuit for the more reliable intracellular imaging of miRNAs. As shown in Fig. 1A and B, to decrease the complexity of the traditional cascade circuitry system, the two circuit constituents (CHA and HCR) are integrated and simplified into only two hairpin reactants. Additionally, benefiting from the multiple miRNA-stimulated logic design (Fig. 1C), the current CCH circuit can substantially improve the reliability and specificity of live cell imaging. Here the CHA (catalytic hairpin assembly) represents another efficient amplification circuit that mediates the target-catalyzed assembly of numerous dsDNA products.<sup>40–43</sup> In upstream CHA, the reaction of these two compact hairpins was catalyzed by microRNA-155 (miR-155, input 1) to generate a high number of dsDNA intermediates. The toehold region of downstream HCR was exposed and activated by microRNA-21 (miR-21, input 2) to generate numerous co-polymeric dsDNA nanowires with a remarkably enhanced fluorescence signal (Fig. 1D). The compact CCH circuit was capable of accurately discriminating targets from their mutants,

which is ascribed to the high selectivity of the multiple target-recognition and the sequential activation of this cascaded circuit. Our CCH circuit achieved highly selective and sensitive detection for miRNAs, and realized the discrimination of different cells by monitoring the variation of miRNA expression in living cells. Our compact CCH circuit provides a sensitive and reliable approach towards the intracellular imaging of miRNA and therefore holds great potential for clinical diagnosis.

## Results and discussion

The compact CCH circuit has two integrated signal amplification circuits (Fig. 1B), the upstream CHA circuit and the downstream HCR circuit, in which all the reactants are integrated and simplified into two super-hairpin reactants ( $H_1$  and  $H_2$ ). In contrast to the traditional hairpin reactants of a single DNA circuit, the coexistence of two DNA circuits could allow elaborate encoding within the super-hairpins, whereby the clamped upstream CHA hairpins ( $C_1$  and  $C_2$ ) could thus control the downstream HCR hairpins ( $R_1$  and  $R_2$ ). To monitor the reaction procedure and the amplification performance of the CCH circuit, a set of fluorophores was introduced with a variable fluorescence signal readout.  $H_1$  was labeled with a Black Hole Quencher (BHQ2) at the 5'-end, a carboxy-tetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA) fluorophore at the 3'-end, and a carboxy-X-Rhodamine (ROX) fluorophore in the stem (domain



**Fig. 1** Scheme showing the compact CHA-control-HCR (CCH) circuit. (A) Comparison of the activation procedures of a traditional circuit and our CCH circuit. In the CHA-to-HCR circuit, the exposed toehold of the downstream HCR hairpins might hybridize with the unopened "mediator", resulting in the generation of "interference". (B) Construction of the super-hairpin reactants for the CCH circuit. Four hairpins of a traditional circuit are split and grafted into two super-hairpins of our CCH circuit. (C) Logic diagram of the CCH circuit in this work. "Output 1 ON" and "Output 2 ON" indicate the fluorescence recovery of TAMRA and ROX, respectively. (D) Detailed reaction process of the compact CCH circuit for the amplified detection of DNA targets. With input 1 (initiator  $I$ ), the upstream CHA circuit is activated to generate the CHA product with the unfolded and CHA-localized HCR reactants. After the additional introduction of input 2 (target  $T$ ), the downstream HCR circuit is triggered to generate the ultimate CCH product with the various amplified fluorescence readouts.



$g^*$ ). In the initial state of  $H_1$ , the fluorescence of both the TAMRA and ROX fluorophores is simultaneously quenched by BHQ2, relying on the close proximity-based Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET), thus effectively restraining the background leakage signal. As shown in Fig. 1D and S1,† when input 1 (initiator  $I$ ) is introduced, part of  $H_1$  ( $C_1$ ) is opened *via* a toehold-mediated strand-displacement reaction, accompanied by the generation of an intermediate  $I\cdot H_1$  with the fluorescence recovery of TAMRA and a newly exposed toehold ( $b-c^*$ ). Then, the toehold  $b-c^*$  hybridizes with  $H_2$ , and  $C_2$  is opened, forming the intermediate  $I\cdot H_1\cdot H_2$  and further generating the CHA product  $H_1\cdot H_2$  with the concomitant release of  $I$  for further participation in the upstream CHA circuit. Following the generation of  $H_1\cdot H_2$ , the toehold  $e$  of  $R_1$  is released with the unfolded downstream HCR reactants. In the presence of input 2 (target  $T$ ), the unfolded  $R_1$  is opened and hybridizes with  $T$  to form an intermediate  $T\cdot H_1\cdot H_2$  (Fig. S2†). Concurrently, the exposed domain  $g-f^*$  of  $R_1$  opens  $R_2$  *via* CHA-localized molecular hybridization, generating the monomer CHA-HCR product  $T\cdot H_1\cdot H_2$  with the second fluorescence recovery of ROX. The accompanying liberated toehold  $f^*-e^*$  contains the same  $T$  sequence that triggers the cyclic cross-opening of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  from the upstream unfolded  $H_1\cdot H_2$ , consequently generating HCR-assembled dsDNA nanowires decorated with CHA product  $[T\cdot(H_1\cdot H_2)]_N$ . Meanwhile, the varying fluorescence response of the integrated upstream-to-downstream circuit is instantly captured, originating from the stepwise fluorescence recovery of the two fluorophore pairs.

The CCH circuit was verified by monitoring the stepwise fluorescence variation *via* fluorescence assay, in which the fluorescence changes of TAMRA and ROX were used to trace the upstream CHA circuit and downstream HCR circuit, respectively. As shown in Fig. S3A and B,† a negligible fluorescence change of TAMRA was observed without initiator  $I$  and target  $T$  (curve  $a$ ) or with just  $T$  (curve  $c$ ). Once  $I$  was introduced, a remarkable fluorescence enhancement of TAMRA was observed (curves  $b$  and  $d$ , Fig. S3A and B†), demonstrating that the  $I$ -stimulated activation of the upstream CHA circuit is indispensable for the fluorescence recovery of TAMRA. In parallel, a slight fluorescence variation of TAMRA was observed with the introduction of  $T$ , which might be due to the possible fluorescence interference between TAMRA and ROX. Next, the fluorescence change of ROX was recorded to visualize the downstream HCR circuit (Fig. 2A and B). In the presence of  $I$  and  $T$ , significantly enhanced fluorescence of ROX was observed with increasing duration, and the fluorescence intensity reached a plateau after 2.5 h (group  $d$ , Fig. 2A and B). However, no obvious fluorescence change was observed with no input (group  $a$ , Fig. 2A and B), just  $I$  (group  $b$ , Fig. 2A and B), or just  $T$  (group  $c$ , Fig. 2A and B). Notably, in contrast to the fluorescence of TAMRA, simultaneous activations of the CHA and HCR circuits were required for the fluorescence recovery of ROX by pulling ROX away from BHQ2. These results indicated that the integrated CCH circuit could be executed by the full exposure of these two compact DNA hairpins. The upstream CHA circuit was initiated by  $I$  to liberate the downstream HCR circuit and generate the CCH product  $[T\cdot(H_1\cdot H_2)]_N$  *via* the  $T$  trigger.




Fig. 2 Feasibility validation of the compact CCH circuit for DNA target detection. (A) ROX-based fluorescence monitoring of the CCH circuit under different conditions: (a) no  $I$  and  $T$ , (b) 50 nM  $I$ , (c) 50 nM  $T$  and (d) 50 nM  $I$  and 50 nM  $T$ . (B) The corresponding statistical histogram of the fluorescence intensity changes of ROX from 2A.  $\Delta F$  represents the absolute fluorescence change. (C) Native PAGE image of the CCH circuit: (i)  $H_1$ , (ii)  $H_2$ , (iii)  $H_1 + I$ , (iv)  $H_2 + T$ , (v)  $H_1 + H_2$ , (vi)  $H_1 + H_2 + I$ , (vii)  $H_1 + H_2 + T$ , and (viii)  $H_1 + H_2 + I + T$ . (D) AFM image and cross-section analysis of the CCH-generated DNA products. The error bars represent one standard deviation for three independent experiments.

Accordingly, based on the TAMRA-to-ROX fluorescence verification, the feasibility of our CCH circuit was confirmed, and the fluorescence change of ROX was considered as a versatile readout signal for monitoring CCH assembly.

From the working principle of the compact CCH circuit, it is clear that the super-hairpin-simplified reactant integration could reduce the number of reactants and thus increase the local concentration of HCR reactants, aiding their stimulated close proximity, *via* upstream CHA. Thus, a multiply localization-accelerated reaction format was realized to facilitate the amplified detection of the analyte. Here a non-localization-based CHA-HCR circuit, consisting of three DNA hairpins ( $H_1'$ ,  $H_2'$ , and  $H_3'$ ), was introduced to comprehensively verify the multiply localized CCH circuit. As shown in Fig. S4,†  $H_2'$  retains the same sequence as  $H_2$  of the CCH circuit, and  $H_1$  of the CCH circuit is split into  $H_1'$  and  $H_3'$ . A fluorophore/quencher pair (ROX/BHQ2) is labeled in  $H_3'$  to monitor the formation of the product  $T\cdot(H_1'\cdot H_2'\cdot H_3')_N$ . In the presence of  $I$ , the CHA circuit was activated, and  $H_1'$  was opened to generate  $I\cdot H_1'$  with an exposed toehold  $b-c^*$ . Then, the exposed toehold  $b-c^*$  hybridized with  $C_2'$  of  $H_2'$  and  $I$  was released to participate again in the CHA circuit. Following the generation of the CHA product  $H_1'\cdot H_2'$ ,  $R_1'$  was uncovered with a newly exposed toehold  $e$ . With the additional introduction of  $T$ ,  $R_1'$  was opened to cross-hybridize with  $H_3'$ , thus generating the ultimate product  $T\cdot(H_1'\cdot H_2'\cdot H_3')_N$  with the fluorescence recovery of ROX. Then, the feasibility of the three-hairpin CHA-HCR circuit was evaluated (Fig. S5†). A low fluorescence recovery was observed



with  $I$  and  $T$  (group d, Fig. S5A and B†). Note that a remarkable background fluorescence was observed with just  $T$  (group c, Fig. S5A and B†), compared to the groups without inputs and with  $I$  (groups a and b, respectively, Fig. S5A and B†), which was due to signal leakage of the always-exposed toehold  $g^*$  in the free  $H_3'$ . Accordingly, the localization-based CCH circuit can provide an enhanced amplification ability for target detection while removing the signal leakage *via* CHA-controlled HCR responsive exposure.

In addition, native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) experiments were used to validate the proposed CCH reaction mechanism (Fig. 2C; for the detailed DNA structures of the corresponding bands, see Fig. S6 and S7†). In accordance with the above fluorescence results,  $I$  opened  $H_1$  to generate an intermediate product  $I\text{-}H_1$  (lane iii). With the introduction of  $H_2$ ,  $I\text{-}H_1$  hybridized with  $H_2$  to generate the CHA product  $H_1\text{-}H_2$  with the unfolded HCR reactants (lane vi), which were triggered by  $T$  to further generate the higher-molecular-weight CCH product (lane viii). However, neither the clamped  $R_1$  (lane iv) nor the clamped  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  (lane vii), could be triggered by  $T$ . In parallel, the unfolded  $R_1$  of  $H_2$ , as a result of the  $I$ -activated CHA process, was unable to activate the HCR reaction without  $T$  (lane vi). Additionally, the bands of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  were unaffected in the mixture sample of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  (lane v). Overall, these phenomena indicated that the integrated CCH circuit could guarantee highly effective amplification while retaining low signal leakage. Furthermore, the morphology of the produced CCH nanostructures was determined by atomic force microscopy (AFM). Plenty of long dsDNA nanowires are shown in Fig. 2D, and the height of the dsDNA nanowires is about 1 nm, which is consistent with the typical height of dsDNA. Consequently, we can conclude that the compact CCH can be successfully activated *via* the CHA-controlled HCR circuitry mechanism to generate localization-intensified amplification products.

Having verified the feasibility of the compact CCH circuit, the sensing performance was investigated for detecting DNA targets. After optimization of the CCH reaction conditions (for details, see Fig. S8†), the corresponding sensing performances of the DNA targets were interrogated. For the upstream analysis of  $I$  involving CHA, a concentration-dependent fluorescence increase of the CCH circuit was observed at a fixed concentration of  $T$  (100 nM). However, a negligible increase of the  $T$ -absent CCH circuit was observed (Fig. 3A and 3A inset). From Fig. 3B, the detection limit (LOD) of the  $T$ -activated CCH circuit was determined to be 15 pM based on a traditional  $3\text{-}\sigma$  method, which was about 15-fold lower than in the HCR-inactivated CCH circuit (224 pM). Similarly, with a fixed concentration of  $I$  (50 nM), the downstream detection of  $T$  involving HCR was investigated. As shown in Fig. 3C and its inset, the fluorescence of the  $I$ -incubated CCH circuit showed an increasing trend with increasing concentration of  $T$ . However, a smaller increase of the fluorescence was observed for the  $I$ -absent CCH circuit, indicating that the  $I$ -activated CHA circuit is indispensable to the downstream HCR circuit for liberating and localizing the HCR reactants. After calculations using Fig. 3D, the LOD of the  $I$ -activated CCH circuit was

determined to be 80 pM, which is lower than that in the CHA-inactivated CCH circuit (190 pM). When compared with the detection of  $T$ , a better sensing performance was observed for the detection of  $I$ , which was attributed to the upstream-to-downstream cascaded signal amplification. Consequently, the integrated CCH circuit simultaneously enables the assay of  $I$  and  $T$ , relying on the localization-intensified cascaded amplification of the well-coordinated CCH circuit. In addition to the high amplification capacity, a satisfactory selectivity of the compact CCH circuit was required for targets  $I$  and  $T$ . Thus, the CCH circuit was applied to the analysis of three groups of mutant DNAs with different mismatches, including one-, two-, and three-base mismatches in each group (labeled as  $I_a$  and  $T_a$ ,  $I_b$  and  $T_b$ , and  $I_c$  and  $T_c$ , respectively) (Fig. 3E). As expected, a distinctly high fluorescence was observed only when  $I$  and  $T$  were matched, meaning they could be clearly distinguished from any other mismatched group (Fig. 3F). These results proved that the compact CCH circuit possesses high sensitivity and selectivity for concurrently detecting DNA targets.

Motivated by the above successful exploration of the dual-stimuli CCH system, the practical availability of the current system was considered. The CCH sensing system was updated by engineering multiple miRNA-recognizing super-hairpin reactants to detect the miRNAs involved in disease. MiR-155 and miR-21 with synchronous abnormal expressions can induce multiple human cancers, *e.g.* by regulating DNA damage and repair, and are regarded as a vital clue in early diagnosis and clinical treatment.<sup>44–48</sup> Hence miR-155 and miR-21 were chosen as CCH targets to investigate its practicability. As shown in Fig. 4A, the sequences of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  were replaced by  $mH_1$  and  $mH_2$ , respectively. The upstream CHA circuit could be initiated by miR-155, and then the unfolded downstream HCR circuit was triggered by miR-21, further generating the CCH product  $[\text{miR-21-(}mH_1\text{-}mH_2)_N]$  with a high-gain readout signal. Similar to the above DNA-triggered system, the feasibility of the miRNA-targeted CCH system was assessed by monitoring the stepwise fluorescence variation. As expected, the upstream CHA-amplified fluorescence recovery of TAMRA was achieved by the introduction of miR-155 (curves *b* and *d*, Fig. S9A and B†). However, no fluorescence recovery of TAMRA was observed in the miR-155-absent CCH circuits (curves *a* and *c*, Fig. S9A and B†). For the downstream HCR-propelled fluorescence transduction, only the CCH system was fully activated by miR-155 and miR-21, as revealed by the remarkable fluorescence recovery of ROX (curve *d*, Fig. S9C and D†). In the absence of any miRNA, the downstream HCR circuit maintains a passive state without ROX fluorescence recovery (curves *a*, *b*, and *c*, Fig. S9C and D†). Consequently, the construction of a multiple miRNA-stimulated CCH system is viable for exclusively dual-biomarker analysis, and the fluorescence transduction of ROX can facilitate the monitoring of the CCH circuit in a convenient way. Additionally, a tailored three-hairpin CHA-HCR circuit was established to validate the localization-accelerated reaction profiles as mentioned above (for details, see Fig. S10†). The result demonstrated that the localization-based CCH circuit could indeed significantly improve the signal amplification







**Fig. 3** Performance of the compact CCH circuit for DNA analysis. (A) ROX fluorescence responses of the CCH circuit with a fixed concentration of  $T$  (100 nM) and various concentrations of  $I$ : (a) 0, (b) 0.1, (c) 1, (d) 2, (e) 3, (f) 4, (g) 5, (h) 10, (i) 20, (j) 50, (k) 100 nM. Inset: the correlation curves of ROX fluorescence intensity changes with and without  $T$  from the analysis of various concentrations of  $I$ . (B) Corresponding calibration curves of the CCH circuit with and without  $T$  from the inset of 3A. (C) ROX fluorescence responses of the CCH circuit with a fixed concentration of  $I$  (50 nM) and various concentrations of  $T$ : (a) 0, (b) 0.1, (c) 1, (d) 2, (e) 3, (f) 5, (g) 10, (h) 20, (i) 35, (j) 50, (k) 100, (l) 200 nM. Inset: the correlation curves of ROX fluorescence intensity changes with and without  $I$  from the analysis of different concentrations of  $T$ . (D) Corresponding calibration curves of the CCH circuit with and without  $I$  from the inset of 3C. (E) Demonstration of the various operations of the compact CCH circuit with different inputs. A ROX fluorescence signal was obtained as the output in the presence of input  $I$  and input  $T$ . (F) Heat map (ROX fluorescence signal) of the compact CCH circuit upon detecting diverse mutant analytes (10 nM). The error bars represent one standard deviation for three independent experiments.


efficiency relative to traditional diffusion-controlled DNA assembly (three-hairpin CHA-HCR circuit).

After optimization of the reaction conditions of the CCH circuit (for details, see Fig. S11<sup>†</sup>), the miRNA-sensing performance was explored. For the miR-155 detection involving upstream CHA, gradually increasing fluorescence was observed in the miR-21-incubated CCH circuit, as the concentration of miR-155 increased to 50 nM. However, the miR-21-absent CCH system enabled a weak fluorescence fluctuation (Fig. 4B and 4B inset). From the calibration curves in Fig. 4C, the LOD of the miR-21-incubated CCH circuit was determined to be 21 pM, which was approximately 11-fold lower than in the miR-21-absent CCH circuit (153 pM). Then, the miR-21 detection involving downstream HCR was investigated with a fixed concentration of miR-155 (50 nM). As shown in Fig. 4D and its inset, with increasing concentration of miR-21, a clear distinction between the fluorescence for the miR-155-activated CCH circuit and the miR-155-absent CCH circuit was observed. The LOD of the miR-155-activated CCH circuit was determined to be 56 pM (Fig. 4E), which was 4-fold lower than in the miR-155-absent CCH circuit (279 pM). Therefore, the miRNA-targeting

CCH system can sensitively and simultaneously detect miR-155 and miR-21. In addition, the selectivity of the CCH system for miR-155 and miR-21 was investigated (Fig. 4F). The CCH circuit was used to analyze 16 different combinations of interfering RNAs. As expected, a satisfactory specificity was obtained (Fig. 4G), and a remarkable fluorescence was observed only with miR-155 and miR-21. Additionally, the robustness of the CCH system was verified by monitoring fluorescence fluctuations in different serum samples. No obvious fluorescence changes were observed in 5% and 10% fetal bovine serums (Fig. S12<sup>†</sup>), indicating good stability of the compact CCH circuit that could facilitate in-depth biological applications. A 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) experiment was carried out to evaluate the cytotoxicity of the CCH system. The cell viability remained high even upon treatment with 400 nM of CCH probe for 24 h (Fig. S13<sup>†</sup>), indicating low cytotoxicity of the CCH system that further favours its application in complex intracellular environments.

Based on the above systematic verifications, the compact CCH system was applied for imaging miRNAs in living cells. According to previous reports,<sup>49,50</sup> the representative cells used





**Fig. 4** Performance of the compact CCH circuit for miRNA detection. (A) Summarised logic diagram of the miRNA-targeted CCH circuit. (B) ROX fluorescence responses of the compact CCH circuit upon the addition of miR-155 at different concentrations (in the presence of 50 nM miR-21): (a) 0, (b) 0.1, (c) 1, (d) 3, (e) 4, (f) 5, (g) 10, (h) 20, (i) 50, (j) 100 nM. Inset: the correlation curves of ROX fluorescence intensity changes at different concentrations of miR-155 with and without miR-21. (C) Corresponding calibration curves of the miRNA-targeted CCH circuit with and without miR-21 from the inset of 4B. (D) ROX fluorescence responses of the miRNA-targeted CCH circuit upon the addition of miR-21 with different concentrations (in the presence of 50 nM miR-155): (a) 0, (b) 0.1, (c) 1, (d) 2, (e) 4, (f) 5, (g) 10, (h) 20, (i) 30, (j) 50, (k) 100, (l) 150 nM. Inset: the correlation curve of ROX fluorescence intensity changes at different concentrations of miR-21 with and without miR-155. (E) Corresponding calibration curves of the miRNA-targeted CCH circuit with and without miR-155 from the inset of 4D. (F) Demonstration of the various operations of the miR-155 and miR-21 inputs. A ROX fluorescence signal was obtained as the output in the presence of the miR-155 and miR-21 inputs. (G) Heat map (ROX fluorescence signal) of the miRNA-targeted CCH circuit upon the analysis of different miRNAs (10 nM). The error bars represent one standard deviation for three independent experiments.

exhibited different expressions of miR-155 and miR-21, and included MDA-MB-231 cells (human breast cancer cells) with overexpressed miR-155 and miR-21 profiles, A549 cells (human lung cancer cells) with high miR-155 and negligible miR-21 expression profiles, MCF-7 cells (human breast cancer cells) with overexpressed miR-21 and negligible miR-155 expression profiles, and MCF-10A cells (human normal breast epithelial cells) with negligible miR-155 and miR-21 expression profiles. These cells were used to evaluate the miRNA-imaging competence of our CCH system. The fluorescence of TAMRA and ROX was recorded using confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM). TAMRA fluorescence was employed for imaging miR-155, and ROX fluorescence was employed for simultaneously imaging miR-155 and miR-21. First, the cellular uptake of the compact DNA probes was explored. ROX fluorescence of MDA-MB-231

cells was monitored by flow cytometry, and an increasing fluorescence signal was detected with increasing incubation duration up to 4 h (Fig. S14<sup>†</sup>). Evidently, the robust CCH system can be delivered into living cells for fluorescence transduction. Our cascaded CCH system was compared with the single-staged HCR system to evaluate the imaging performance for biomarkers of low abundance (Fig. S15<sup>†</sup>). Clear fluorescence was observed for CCH-treated MDA-MB-231 cells, yet only faint fluorescence was observed for HCR-treated MDA-MB-231 cells, demonstrating that the cascaded CCH system can achieve more sensitive and accurate intracellular imaging in living cells than the single-staged HCR system. Meanwhile, our homogeneous CCH system was also compared with the heterogeneous three-hairpin CHA-HCR system to further assess the delivery efficiency of the DNA probes (Fig. S16<sup>†</sup>). Remarkably enhanced



fluorescence of the compact CCH system was observed, demonstrating that the high cell-delivering uniformity of the CCH system could eliminate the undesired crosstalk between the heterogeneous multiple-components, and thus could assure a reliable site-specific signal response.

Next, the CCH system was utilized for discriminating different cells with diverse miR-155 and miR-21 expressions (Fig. 5A; for imaging details, see Fig. S17†). As shown in Fig. 5B, for the miR-155-stimulated TAMRA fluorescence, clear red fluorescence was observed in the MDA-MB-231 cells with overexpressed miR-155 (group a, Fig. 5B and C), moderate red fluorescence of TAMRA was observed in A549 cells with high miR-155 expression (group b, Fig. 5B and C), and faint red fluorescence of TAMRA was observed in MCF-7 cells and MCF-10A cells, both with extremely low miR-155 expression (groups c and d, respectively, Fig. 5B and C). Thus, the compact CCH system can distinguish different cells based on their miR-155 expression. In parallel, for ROX fluorescence stimulated by miR-155 and miR-21, only strong green fluorescence was observed for MDA-MB-231 cells with overexpressed miR-155 and miR-21 (group a, Fig. 5D and E), yet negligible green fluorescence was observed for A549 cells with high miR-155 and negligible miR-21 expression, MCF-7 cells with overexpressed miR-21 and negligible miR-155 expression, as well as MCF-10A cells with insignificant miR-155 and miR-21


expression (groups b, c, and d, respectively, Fig. 5D and E). Flow cytometry analysis was executed (Fig. S18 and S19†) and the results were consistent with those of the CLSM imaging. The miRNA expression of different cells, as reflected by fluorescence variations, are consistent with the above reports. Note that MDA-MB-231 cells and A549 cells could not be clearly distinguished by miR-155 (as revealed by the fluorescence of TAMRA), yet could be accurately identified by both miR-155 and miR-21 (as revealed by the fluorescence of ROX). Therefore, the CCH system can accomplish cell-specific amplified imaging of multiple miRNAs without false-positive signals, as a result of the pervasive distribution of the same miRNA in different cells. To further explore the imaging performance of the compact CCH system, MDA-MB-231 cells with regulated expressions of miRNAs were analyzed (Fig. 6A; for details, see Fig. S20†). Compared to the intact cells with a distinct fluorescence signal (group a, Fig. 6B–D), a weak fluorescence signal was discerned for the anti-miR-155-pretreated cells and the anti-miR-21-pretreated cells (groups b and c, Fig. 6B–D), and very low fluorescence was observed in cells that were pretreated with the anti-miR-155 and anti-miR-21 (group d, Fig. 6B–D). These results provided further support for the miRNA activation principle, showing that the CCH circuit can accurately sense multiple miRNAs with diverse expressions in living cells.



**Fig. 5** Intracellular dual-miRNA imaging of the miRNA-targeted CCH system in different cells. (A) Schematic illustration of the miRNA-targeted CCH imaging circuit in different cells. (B) CLSM imaging (TAMRA fluorescence) of miR-155 in (a) MDA-MB-231 cells, (b) A549 cells, (c) MCF-7 cells, and (d) MCF-10A cells treated with the miRNA-targeted CCH system. Scale bar: 20  $\mu$ m. (C) The corresponding statistical histogram of the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) from Fig. 5B. \* $p$  < 0.05, \*\*\*\* $p$  < 0.0001 (one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test). The true/false (1/0) threshold was obtained by calculating the mean value of the maximum background response plus ten times its standard deviation. (D) CLSM imaging (ROX fluorescence) of miR-155 and miR-21 in (a) MDA-MB-231 cells, (b) A549 cells, (c) MCF-7 cells, and (d) MCF-10A cells treated with the compact CCH system. Scale bar: 20  $\mu$ m. (E) The corresponding statistical histogram of the MFI from 5D. \*\*\*\* $p$  < 0.0001 (one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test). The error bars represent one standard deviation for three independent experiments. The true/false (1/0) threshold was obtained by calculating the mean value of the maximum background response plus ten times its standard deviation.







**Fig. 6** Intracellular imaging of the miRNA-targeted CCH system with different expressions of miRNAs in MDA-MB-231 cells. (A) Illustration of the CCH-mediated intracellular dual-miRNA imaging with different expressions of miR-155 and miR-21. (B) CLSM imaging (ROX fluorescence) of the compact CCH systems in MDA-MB-231 cells pre-treated with (a) no anti-miRNA, (b) anti-miR-155, (c) anti-miR-21, and (d) anti-miR-155 and anti-miR-21. Scale bar: 20  $\mu\text{m}$ . (C) The MFI distributions determined from 6B. (D) The corresponding statistical histogram of the MFI of the different cell samples in 6B. The error bars represent one standard deviation for three independent experiments. \*\*\* $p < 0.001$ , \*\*\*\* $p < 0.0001$  (one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test).

## Conclusions

In summary, we have developed a multiple biomarker-stimulated and compact CHA-control-HCR (CCH) circuitry system for precisely distinguishing cancer cells from normal cells. The compact CCH circuitry system simplified the multiple components of a traditional cascaded circuit into only two-hairpin reactants. This guaranteed high cell-delivering uniformity and reaction kinetics determined by the CHA-localized HCR system. Combined with the logic-screened accurate identification of multiple biomarkers, the current CCH circuitry system can be used to implement robust and high-performance cell imaging with varied and amplified signal transductions. By virtue of the multi-targeted cell-selectivity and the localization-intensified cascaded signal amplification, a highly reliable and sensitive discrimination method for different cells has been achieved with simpler circuit constituents (two-hairpin reactants), further promoting the operability and universality of the proposed method. We have verified the feasibility and practicality of the compact CCH circuitry system through systematic *in vitro* demonstrations and cellular imaging experiments. We are encouraged by these satisfactory results, and the compact CCH circuitry system is expected to have considerable potential

for early cancer diagnosis and therapeutic intervention applications.

## Data availability

All relevant data is presented in the paper and ESI.†

## Author contributions

F. W. and X. L. conceived and designed the experiment. P. D., R. L., and S. H. performed the experiment and analysed the data. P. D. and R. L. collected fluorescence spectra. J. S. and Y. J. cultured cells and took CLSM images. R. L., S. H., X. L., and F. W. wrote the manuscript. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22274121, 22274123 and 22074112), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2042022kf1175), and Shenzhen Science and Technology Program (JCYJ20220530140609021).

## Notes and references

- 1 A. Farhadi, G. H. Ho, D. P. Sawyer, R. W. Bourdeau and M. G. Shapiro, *Science*, 2019, **365**, 1469–1475.
- 2 M. A. Miller and R. Weissleder, *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2017, **17**, 399–414.
- 3 M. Yasui, M. Hiroshima, J. Kozuka, Y. Sako and M. Ueda, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 1–11.
- 4 S. H. Shim, *Nature*, 2017, **546**, 39–40.
- 5 K. Zhang, R. Deng, X. Teng, Y. Li, Y. Sun, X. Ren and J. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 11293–11301.
- 6 S. McArdle, Z. Mikulski and K. Ley, *J. Exp. Med.*, 2016, **213**, 1117–1131.
- 7 X. Luo, B. Xue, G. Feng, J. Zhang, B. Lin, P. Zeng, H. Li, H. Yi, X. L. Zhang, H. Zhu and Z. Nie, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 5182–5191.
- 8 C. Wirajaja, D. C. Yeo, K. C. Tham, S. W. T. Chew, X. Lim and C. Xu, *Small*, 2018, **14**, 1703440.
- 9 Q. Wang, K. Tan, H. Wang, J. Shang, Y. Wan, X. Liu, X. Weng and F. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 6895–6904.
- 10 S. Kumar, N. Harrison, R. Richards-Kortum and K. Sokolov, *Nano Lett.*, 2007, **7**, 1338–1343.
- 11 X. Deng, Z. Yin, J. Lu, X. Li, L. Shao, C. Zhao, Y. Yang, Q. Hu, Y. Wu and W. Sheng, *Adv. Sci.*, 2018, **5**, 1700542.
- 12 R. C. Qian, Y. Cao, L. J. Zhao, Z. Gu and Y. T. Long, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **129**, 4880–4883.
- 13 Y. Wu, Z. Li, M. Shi, K. Yuan, H. M. Meng, L. Qu and Z. Li, *Anal. Chem.*, 2021, **93**, 12456–12463.





- 14 H. Zhang, X. Huang, J. Liu and B. Liu, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 3812–3819.
- 15 Y. Zhao, F. Chen, Q. Li, L. Wang and C. Fan, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 12491–12545.
- 16 C. Yuan, J. Fang, M. L. de la Chapelle, Y. Zhang, X. Zeng, G. Huang, X. Yang and W. Fu, *Trends Anal. Chem.*, 2021, **143**, 116401.
- 17 H. Zhou, J. Liu, J. J. Xu, S. S. Zhang and H. Y. Chen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 1996–2019.
- 18 C. Zhang, T. Belwal, Z. Luo, B. Su and X. Lin, *Small*, 2022, **18**, 2102711.
- 19 D. Li, T. Zhang, F. Yang, R. Yuan and Y. Xiang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2019, **92**, 2074–2079.
- 20 W. Zhao, M. M. Ali, M. A. Brook and Y. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 6330–6337.
- 21 T. Song, A. Eshra, S. Shah, H. Bui, D. Fu, M. Yang, R. Mokhtar and J. Reif, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2019, **14**, 1075–1081.
- 22 Q. Hu, H. Li, L. Wang, H. Gu and C. Fan, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **119**, 6459–6506.
- 23 R. M. Dirks and N. A. Pierce, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2004, **101**, 15275–15278.
- 24 X. Gong, H. Wang, R. Li, K. Tan, J. Wei, J. Wang, C. Hong, J. Shang, X. Liu, J. Liu and F. Wang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 3953.
- 25 D. J. Huang, Z. M. Huang, H. Y. Xiao, Z. K. Wu, L. J. Tang and J. H. Jiang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 4892–4897.
- 26 D. Ye, M. Li, T. Zhai, P. Song, L. Song, H. Wang, X. Mao, F. Wang, X. Zhang, Z. Ge, J. Shi, L. Wang, C. Fan, Q. Li and X. Zuo, *Nat. Protoc.*, 2020, **15**, 2163–2185.
- 27 H. Chu, J. Zhao, Y. Mi, Y. Zhao and L. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 14877–14881.
- 28 J. Wang, D. X. Wang, J. Y. Ma, Y. X. Wang and D. M. Kong, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 9758–9767.
- 29 S. Bi, S. Yue and S. Zhang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 4281–4298.
- 30 H. Bui, S. Shah, R. Mokhtar, T. Song, S. Garg and J. Reif, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 1146–1155.
- 31 Z. Wu, G. Q. Liu, X. L. Yang and J. H. Jiang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 6829–6836.
- 32 C. Song, J. Zhang, Y. Liu, X. Guo, Y. Guo, X. Jiang and L. Wang, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2020, **325**, 128970.
- 33 Y. Zhang, J. Wang, S. Chen and R. Yuan, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2021, **342**, 130040.
- 34 H. Wang, C. Li, X. Liu, X. Zhou and F. Wang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 5842–5849.
- 35 K. Quan, J. Li, J. Wang, N. Xie, Q. Wei, J. Tang, X. Yang, K. Wang and J. Huang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 1442–1449.
- 36 J. Wei, X. Gong, Q. Wang, M. Pan, X. Liu, J. Liu, F. Xia and F. Wang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 52–61.
- 37 J. Wei, J. Shang, S. He, Y. Ouyang, I. Willner and F. Wang, *CCS Chem.*, 2022, **4**, 3549–3562.
- 38 W. Y. Lv, C. H. Li, Y. F. Li, S. J. Zhen and C. Z. Huang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2021, **93**, 3411–3417.
- 39 S. He, S. Yu, R. Li, Y. Chen, Q. Wang, Y. He, X. Liu and F. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202206529.
- 40 P. Yin, H. M. T. Choi, C. R. Calvert and N. A. Pierce, *Nature*, 2008, **451**, 318–322.
- 41 X. Gong, S. He, R. Li, Y. Chen, K. Tan, Y. Wan, X. Liu and F. Wang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 10428–10436.
- 42 X. Chen, N. Briggs, J. R. McLain and A. D. Ellington, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2013, **110**, 5386–5391.
- 43 H. Wang, Y. He, J. Wei, H. Wang, K. Ma, Y. Zhou, X. Liu, X. Zhou and F. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202115489.
- 44 L. Mulrane, S. F. McGee, W. M. Gallagher and D. P. O'Connor, *Cancer Res.*, 2013, **73**, 6554–6562.
- 45 J. Chen, B. C. Wang and J. H. Tang, *J. Surg. Oncol.*, 2012, **106**, 260–266.
- 46 S. Jiang, H. W. Zhang, M. H. Lu, X. H. He, Y. Li, H. Gu, M. F. Liu and E. D. Wang, *Cancer Res.*, 2010, **70**, 3119–3127.
- 47 L. Y. W. Bourguignon, C. C. Spevak, G. Wong, W. Xia and E. Gilad, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2009, **284**, 26533–26546.
- 48 J. Shen, N. W. Todd, H. Zhang, L. Yu, X. Lingxiao, Y. Mei, M. Guarnera, J. Liao, A. Chou, C. L. Lu, Z. Jiang, H. B. Fang, R. L. Katz and F. Jiang, *Lab. Invest.*, 2011, **91**, 579–587.
- 49 X. Gong, J. Wei, J. Liu, R. Li, X. Liu and F. Wang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 2989–2997.
- 50 S. Hajalirezay Yazdi, M. Paryan and S. Mohammadi-Yeganeh, *Cell. Mol. Biol. Lett.*, 2018, **23**, 1–13.

