



Cite this: *Mater. Horiz.*, 2025, 12, 3429

Received 24th September 2024,
Accepted 31st January 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d4mh01327a

rsc.li/materials-horizons

Coordination bonds as a tool for tuning photoconductance in nanostructured hybrid materials made of molecular antennas and metal nanoparticles†

Nataliia Marchenko,^a Deborah Martin,^a Adeline Pham,^a Seifallah Abid,^b Eva Cretal,^a Alfonso Ibarra,^{id} ^c Delphine Lagarde,^a Marine Tassé,^d Jacques Bonvoisin,^{id} ^b Gwénaél Rapenne,^{id} ^{be} Jérémie Grisolia,^{id} ^a Claire Kammerer^{id} *^b and Simon Tricard^{id} *^a

The synthesis of robust, versatile materials in which electrical conduction is enhanced by light irradiation is of prime importance for fields as varied as photodetectors, photodiodes, solar cells and light sensors. Hybrid materials offer the advantage of combining the robustness of an inorganic building block with the adaptability of a molecular subunit. Herein, we demonstrate the importance of properly investigating the nature of the chemical interactions between the constituent elements in order to optimize photoconductance within hybrid materials. To this end, platinum nanoparticle self-assemblies are synthesized in solution, including a series of zinc-porphyrins differentially functionalized with pyridine moieties in the *meso* position. The presence of coordinating groups on the molecular entities drastically reinforced both the structural cohesion of the system and its photoconductive properties.

Photoconductance refers to the increase in electrical conductivity of a material when exposed to light. It is commonly utilized in various electronic devices and applications, such as photodetectors, photodiodes, solar cells, and light sensors. Among the large variety of photoconductive materials, hybrid materials show the advantage of combining the conductivity and robustness of their inorganic building blocks with the versatility and tunability of their molecular building blocks.^{1–3} For example, synergetic interactions between conjugated organic moieties and ZnO nanosheets led to very sensitive functional photoconductor

New concepts

Even though hybrid materials have been developed for decades, the attention that has been paid to the chemical interactions between the constituent elements was mostly focused either on weak forces or on covalent bonds, for which fine-tuning is limited. The new concept here is that coordination bonds between molecular entities and the surface of inorganic nanoparticles can be an efficient tool to tune both the structural morphology and the functional properties of the materials. In this study, we showed that the molecular response to light irradiation was synergistically reflected on the Coulomb blockade of the inorganic nanoparticles. However, the concept of structuring hybrid materials through coordination bonds goes far beyond the example of photoconductance, and can be effective in other fields of research linked to electronics, such as memory elaboration, nanoelectronics, or neuromorphic electronics.

devices,⁴ molecular junctions formed in gold nanoparticle arrays led to resonant photoconductance,⁵ or photoresponsive copper phthalocyanine derivatives coupled with PbS quantum dots led to light effect transistors.⁶ When their constituent components are suitably chosen, hybrid materials then offer phototransport properties that far exceed those of the independent building groups.⁷ More recently, photoresponsive materials have gained interest for application in neuromorphic analogy, *i.e.* for functional behaviors inspired by the operation of the nervous system. Some photoinduced switching properties indeed mimic artificial synaptic features. For instance, controlled polarity switching has been achieved *via* harnessing defects in doped PdSe₂,⁸ or *via* inducing ferroelectric polarization in van der Waals heterostructures.⁹ Furthermore, optoelectronic synaptic devices elaborated from nanostructures have been used for designing functional setups.¹⁰ As recent examples, a silicon carbide nanowire photoelectric device was used for artificial vision systems,¹¹ oxide heterojunctions for computing applications,¹² or metal halide perovskites for optical memory.¹³ In this context, combining inorganic nanoparticles and photoresponsive molecules can thus lead to nanostructured hybrid materials where the molecules act as antennas to harvest light and

^a Laboratoire de Physique et Chimie des Nano-Objets, INSA, CNRS, Université de Toulouse, Toulouse, France. E-mail: tricard@insa-toulouse.fr

^b CEMES, CNRS, Université de Toulouse, Toulouse, France.
E-mail: kammerer@cemes.fr

^c Instituto de Nanociencia de Aragón, Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza, Spain

^d Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination, CNRS, Université de Toulouse, Toulouse, France

^e Division of Materials Science, Nara Institute of Science and Technology, Ikoma, Nara, Japan

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4mh01327a>



enhance the electrical properties of the nanoparticle assembly. However, although ligand–nanoparticle interaction is attracting a great deal of interest in the colloidal phase,¹⁴ most of the time, little attention is paid to such a structural interaction at the solid state in nanoparticle self-assemblies for functional materials,^{15–17} and particularly how it influences photoconductive properties. So far, methodologies for tuning photoconductance in hybrid materials made of metal nanoparticles have focused on modifying the length, the charge or the conjugation of the ligands.^{5,18} Within the frame of this study, we decided to focus on the strength of coordination between ultra-small (<2 nm) nanoparticles and porphyrins functionalized by different numbers of pyridine moieties. We thus expected some exciton delocalization from the photosensitive molecules to the metal nanoparticles, as already observed between quantum dots and carbene molecules.¹⁹

Our group has developed hybrid materials including platinum ultra-small nanoparticles some years ago, paying close attention to the ligand properties and their influence on the electrical response of the self-assemblies. Using ultra-small nanoparticles has two advantages: (1) structural, as they are in the size range of molecules, thus giving molecules as much importance as nanoparticles in their relative structuring, and (2) functional in charge transport, as they show Coulomb blockade at room temperature. The latter can be tuned by the size of the nanoparticles, by the distance between them and, more importantly within the frame of interactions with molecules, by the polarizability of these molecules.²⁰ For example, we showed that the electrical properties of the hybrid materials could be tuned by exchanging polyoxometalates of different electrical charges,²¹ or within a single material using spin crossover coordination polymer where the polarizability switched with temperature.²² Finally, we observed lamellar structuration using helical peptidic polymers, in hybrid materials where the dielectric relaxation could be tuned by the temperature or by a bias voltage.^{23,24} In the present study, we aim to include a dye molecule, where charge separation under light irradiation will enhance the material's conductive properties.

Porphyrins have been chosen as they are well-known photo-active compounds. On the one hand, these dyes have a planar core structure with a conjugated π -system that facilitates high absorption and extinction coefficients and show high-rate charge-carrier transport in covalent organic frameworks.²⁵ On the other hand, they contain various peripheral functional groups that can interact with the nanoparticles. In particular, chemical modification of porphyrin *meso*-substituents can effectively tune the properties of hybrid systems, when the porphyrins are associated with nanoparticles. For instance, coordination of *meso*-tetrakis(1-methylpyridinium-4-yl)porphyrin chloride to ZnO nanoparticles greatly increased the photoluminescence (PL) of the porphyrin, whereas the replacement of the methylpyridinium substituents by trimethylanilinium led to a PL intensity drop due to energy transfer to the ZnO nanoparticles.²⁶ In other studies, smart design of nanoparticle–porphyrin colloidal systems allowed enhancing the porphyrin optical properties, *e.g.* with gold nanoparticles for targeted photodynamic therapy,²⁷ with quantum dots for reduction of CO₂,²⁸ or with platinum nanoparticles for hydrogen evolution.²⁹ Porphyrins under light irradiation then





Fig. 1 (a) TEM picture and size distribution of the pristine ultra-small (1.4 ± 0.3 nm) Pt NP; (b) chemical structure of the ZTP, ZMPy and ZBPy porphyrins.

transferred energy to the surface of the nanoparticles. Here, we want to develop a chemical tool to tune such an energy transfer and thus control photoconductance in hybrid materials.

Platinum nanoparticles were synthesized by Pt₂(dba)₃ decomposition under 1 bar of carbon monoxide (CO) in tetrahydrofuran (THF) at room temperature with further washing with pentane to remove residual ligands.^{20,30} After washing, the nanoparticles were dispersed in THF. This protocol allows obtaining “naked” ultra-small Pt nanoparticles (Pt NP), without any organics, stabilized by CO and THF, with a mean size of 1.4 nm according to the TEM results (Fig. 1a). As antennas, we selected three porphyrins functionalized at the *meso* position with an increasing number of pyridine moieties (Fig. 1b), which present a lone electron pair that can form a coordination bond with the Pt surface. Namely, we used zinc(II) 5,10,15,20-tetraphenylporphyrin (ZTP), which does not have specific coordination sites and served as reference, zinc(II) 5-pyridyl-10,15,20-tris(3,5-di-*tert*-butylphenyl)porphyrin (ZMPy), which displays one pyridine *meso*-substituent and zinc(II) 5,15-dipyridyl-10,20-di(3,5-di-*tert*-butylphenyl)porphyrin (ZBPy), which carries two pyridine fragments located on opposite *meso* positions. Metalation of the porphyrinic macrocycles with Zn and the presence of *tert*-butyl groups in ZMPy and ZBPy was necessary to facilitate solubility of the molecules at the concentrations required for self-assembly in THF. ZTP was ordered from a commercial supplier. The synthesis of the mono- and dipyridyl porphyrins was adapted from well-known procedures for the ring formation and metalation steps.^{31–33} A statistical approach was employed for the synthesis of the A₃B-porphyrin ZMPy, starting from 3,5-di-*tert*-butylbenzaldehyde, 4-pyridinecarboxaldehyde and pyrrole. In contrast, the *trans*-A₂B₂-porphyrin ZBPy was prepared according to a selective route relying on 5-(4-pyridyl)dipyrromethane as a key precursor. Detailed synthesis protocols and characterization of ZMPy and ZBPy are given in the ESI.† The hybrid materials were prepared by self-assembly, by mixing THF solutions of Pt NP and of ZTP, ZMPy or ZBPy molecules, respectively, and stirring the resulting mixture for two hours. The relative amount of each solution was adjusted to achieve a ligand/Pt ratio of



0.05 equivalents (corresponding to ~ 5 ligands per nanoparticles and to 20 equivalents of Pt atom per porphyrinic ligand), which was the best value for this system: with less ligands, free particles were observed in microscopy; with more ligands, free ligands led to insulating samples in charge transport. The obtained self-assemblies were called **SA-ZTP**, **SA-ZMPy** and **SA-ZBPy**, respectively.

Depending on the porphyrin structure, the shape of the self-assembly differed significantly (Fig. 2). For **ZTP** without specific coordination sites, malformed agglomerates were observed, with typical aggregation sizes between 50 and 100 nm. Some weak van der Waals interactions and π -stacking were present between **Pt NP** and **ZTP** to form such aggregates. In contrast, in the presence of pyridine-substituted porphyrins, thus adding the possibility to create coordination bonds with the Pt surface, bigger and better-defined objects were obtained: a few μm -long rod-shaped assemblies with monopyridyl **ZMPy**, and 100–500 nm-wide spheres with dipyrindyl **ZBPy**. Tomography reconstructions showed that the objects were homogenous, without any specific long-range ordering between the nanoparticles. However, small angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) proved the presence of local order within the assemblies, as broad peaks were observed in the q -range between 0.1 and $0.4 \text{ \AA}^{-1}$ (Fig. S1 in the ESI†). These measurements gave correlation distances of 2.2 nm for **SA-ZTP**, 2.9 nm for **SA-ZMPy** and 2.7 nm for **SA-ZBPy**, which correspond to an edge-to-edge distance of 0.8 nm for **SA-ZTP**, 1.5 nm for **SA-ZMPy** and 1.3 nm for **SA-ZBPy**. As the size of

the functionalized porphyrins is around 1.6 nm from one edge of a phenyl/pyridine to another, we expect that the molecules separated the nanoparticles in an anisotropic way, with “face-on” bonding mode through metal- π interactions, in addition to the coordination between the pyridyl moieties and the Pt surface of other nanoparticles. In addition, Zn(II) can coordinate pyridines in axial positions,²⁹ thus resulting in complex, structurally interlinked systems. However, the interparticle distance within the assemblies was significantly bigger in the presence of pyridine moieties than in the reference with **ZTP**. From a structural point of view, the presence of coordinating pyridines on the molecular porphyrins therefore affected the self-assembly of hybrid materials, both their shape at the mesoscale and their structuration at the nanoscale.

Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy was performed to prove the coordination of the ligand to the surface of the nanoparticles (Fig. 3). Indeed, taking as a reference the band value for the “naked” CO-stabilized **Pt NP** (2041 cm^{-1}), a shift in the vibration band of CO means that the electronic density on the surface changed because of ligand coordination.³⁴ Whereas almost no shift was observed for **SA-ZTP** (2042 cm^{-1}), a significant shift to




Fig. 2 TEM pictures of the self-assemblies of **Pt NP** with porphyrins. (a) and (b) **SA-ZTP**, (c) and (d) **SA-ZMPy** and (e) and (f) **SA-ZBPy** – (a), (c) and (e) low magnification images, and (b), (d) and (f) tomography 3D reconstruction.




Fig. 3 Infrared spectra of the pristine **Pt NP** and of the **SA-ZTP**, **SA-ZMPy** and **SA-ZBPy** self-assemblies. (a) Full spectra and (b) zoomed-in view of the terminal CO region (the baselines are shifted for clarity – the dashed lines are a guide to the eye); peak maxima: **Pt NP**: 2041 cm^{-1} , **SA-ZTP**: 2042 cm^{-1} , **SA-ZMPy**: 2046 cm^{-1} , and **SA-ZBPy**: 2046 cm^{-1} .



higher wavenumbers was found for **SA-ZMPy** (2046 cm^{-1}) and **SA-ZBPpy** (2046 cm^{-1}), corresponding to a depletion of the electronic density of the surface. Indeed, lower electronic density at the surface implies weaker back-donation from the NPs to the anti-bonding orbitals of the CO molecule, and thus the appearance of a vibration band at a higher wavenumber.^{21,22} The presence of the pyridine *meso*-substituent, by creating a coordination bond, thus allowed stronger electronic communication between the porphyrins and the nanoparticles in the materials.

To study further this electronic communication, we followed the porphyrin PL quenching by an increasing amount of nanoparticles, going from 5 to 160 equivalents (eq.), where eq. corresponds to the equivalent number of platinum atoms per porphyrin molecule. We kept the concentration of the porphyrin constant at 0.0245 mM and varied the **Pt NP** concentration from 0.125 to 3.95 mM . We observed neither a change in intensity nor a band shift in the porphyrin absorption spectra upon addition of **Pt NP** (Fig. S2 in the ESI†). Irradiation of the systems was performed at 425 nm , in the Soret band of the porphyrins. Two intense emission bands were found around 600 nm and 650 nm (Fig. 4a–c). We observed that increasing the nanoparticle quantity leads to a decay of the porphyrin fluorescence. There are three main mechanisms of fluorescence decay: static quenching, dynamic quenching, and the inner filter effect.^{35–37} In our study we ignored the latter since we worked at moderate concentrations and used a cuvette with a short path length. Static quenching occurs when in the ground state a quencher forms a non-fluorescent complex with a fluorophore. Dynamic quenching appears when a fluorophore in the excited state transfers part of the energy to an acceptor. The acceptor can further release this

energy either non-radiatively or as a photon of lower energy. There, excited state energy transfer processes will shorten the lifetime of fluorescence. Time-resolved PL (TRPL) measurements showed that the decay time upon addition of increasing amounts of **Pt NP** remained constantly equal to 2.0 ns for the non-modified porphyrin **ZTP**, like in the free molecule in solution (Fig. 4d). There was thus no dynamic quenching in **SA-ZTP**. In contrast, for **SA-ZMPy** and **SA-ZBPpy**, a new shorter decay time became significant starting from 80 eq. of **Pt atoms**, at 1.0 ns for **ZMPy** and 0.7 ns for **ZBPpy**, in addition to the decay time of the free molecules at 2.2 ns (Fig. 4e and f). This observation therefore suggested that the presence of coordination from antennas to nanoparticles was accompanied by dynamic energy transfer at high **Pt** content, in addition to the static quenching inherent in all assemblies. A possible explanation can be as follows: when there was a small amount of **Pt NP**, or when there was no coordinating *meso*-substituent on the porphyrin, only two porphyrin systems existed in a solution: the free porphyrins (luminescent) and porphyrins interacting with the surface of nanoparticles (forming non-luminescent assemblies in a static quenching regime). However, when the amount of **Pt NP** was in large excess and when the porphyrin had the possibility to form coordination bonds, a third system appeared – porphyrins that were in close proximity to **Pt NP** but did not form bonds with them. Short distances between the luminophore and the quencher allow energy transfer to proceed ($1\text{--}10\text{ nm}$ for Förster resonance energy transfer).^{38,39} Therefore, at high **Pt** concentration, dynamic quenching became more pronounced, in addition to static quenching, always present in all assemblies. The Stern–Volmer formalism is a helpful tool to quantify PL quenching.³⁷ If only one type of quenching occurs in a





Fig. 4 (a)–(c) Photoluminescence (PL) and (d)–(f) time-resolved PL spectra of (a) and (d) **ZTP**, (b) and (e) **ZMPy** and (c) and (f) **ZBPpy** in the presence of different amounts of **Pt nanoparticles**; eq. corresponds to the equivalent number of platinum atoms per porphyrin molecule. τ : decay time. $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 425\text{ nm}$.



system, the relation of the PL intensities of the luminophore without and with quencher (I_0/I) depends linearly on the concentration of the quencher. The Stern–Volmer plots of the self-assemblies clearly showed that it was not the case, in good agreement with the coexistence of dynamic and static quenching as described above (Fig. S3a in ESI†). However, at a low Pt concentration, (<0.5 mM–20 eq.), as a rough simplification, we assumed the dynamic quenching to be negligible and thus the quenching mechanism to be static, with only two possible states for the porphyrin fluorophore F: either free in solution, or interacting with the nanoparticle quencher Q to form the non-luminescent assembly FQ (eqn (1)). There, we were able to estimate the static association constants K_a by linearly fitting the evolution of I_0/I as a function of Q following eqn (2) (Fig. S3b in ESI†), and found the following results: **SA-ZTP**: $K_a = 0.9 \pm 0.2$ mM $^{-1}$; **SA-ZMPy**: $K_a = 1.8 \pm 0.1$ mM $^{-1}$; and **SA-ZBPpy**: $K_a = 1.9 \pm 0.1$ mM $^{-1}$. Here too, we confirmed that the presence of coordinating pyridine moieties was significant, as they led to stronger association constants. In brief, the PL results indicated that the formation of a coordination bond between **Pt NP** and **ZMPy** or **ZBPpy** resulted in stronger association, enhanced PL quenching, and more efficient energy transfer.



$$\frac{I_0}{I} = 1 + K_a \times Q \quad (2)$$

To study the electrical behavior of the hybrid materials, a drop of the assembly suspension was evaporated on a gold surface and conductive AFM was performed on the deposited assemblies. A large number of I - V curves (~ 50) were measured at different positions of individual objects to ensure statistical significance. They were normalized at 2 V and averaged to compare the characteristics of one sample to another (Fig. 5a). The nonlinear behavior in the I - V characteristics was the signature of the presence of Coulomb blockade. Such a blockade occurs at room temperature in our systems thanks to the use of ultra-small nanoparticles.^{20–22} The presence of porphyrins induced a higher non-linearity of the I - V curves than in **Pt NP**, with a more pronounced effect in **SA-ZMPy** and **SA-ZBPpy** than in **SA-ZTP**. Coulomb blockade comes from the charging energy E_c of a nanoparticle, which behaves as a capacitor at the nanoscale when it is crossed by a conductive electron. The charging energy is defined as $E_c = e^2 / (2\pi\epsilon_r\epsilon_0 d \ln(s/(s-d)))$, where e is the charge of the electron, ϵ_0 is the permittivity of vacuum, ϵ_r is the dielectric constant of the medium surrounding the particles, d is the particle diameter, and s is the center-to-center distance between two particles. The differences in the I - V characteristics of the self-assembly mostly come from the interparticle distance s , as d and ϵ_r are comparable (Table S1 in ESI†). To compare the characteristics, we referred to the phenomenological equation $I \propto V^\xi$, where ξ is a scaling parameter related to the current paths in the system. **SA-ZMPy** and **SA-ZBPpy** showed ξ values of up to 4, comparable to the highest values already reported in the literature.^{40,41} When compared to previous hybrid materials from our group, always with platinum nanoparticle but associated




Fig. 5 Charge transport measurements at the nanoscale, performed by conductive AFM on **Pt NP**, **SA-ZTP**, **SA-ZMPy** and **SA-ZBPpy**, at room temperature. (a) I - V curves; the curves are normalized at 2 V; inset: magnification of the 0–1 V region. (b) Evolution of the current intensity under light irradiation at a voltage of 2 V; light is successively switched on and off.

either with alkylthiols, arylthiols or polyoxometalates,^{20,21} we obtained a record Coulomb blockade associated with the highest E_c and ξ with the pyridine functionalized porphyrins (Fig. S4 in ESI†). Thus, choosing large, rigid molecules with specific coordination groups can be an effective strategy for improving Coulomb blockade, as it drives large interparticle distances. Finally, photo-conductance measurements were performed by focalizing a laser on the assemblies, at the interface between the AFM tip and the substrate. On-off photoconduction measurements with a wavelength close to that of the Q-band absorption of the porphyrins (at 532 nm, 10 mW) showed a current increase under irradiation by a factor of two for **Pt NP** and **SA-ZTP**, whereas this increase raised up to nine for **SA-ZMPy** and to eleven for **SA-ZBPpy** (Fig. 5b). Even if the local heating effect or a polarization of the NPs cannot be excluded in all the systems, there was a clear improvement of photoconduction using the functionalized antennas. We expect



this improvement to be due to the presence of the dynamic quenching described above, which triggered energy transfer from molecules to nanoparticles under light irradiation. In addition, a pure molecular effect could also occur in the framework of charge transport limited by Coulomb blockade, with an increase in molecular polarizability under irradiation, since in the excited state, the electronic density redistribution of the porphyrins populates more antibonding orbitals.⁴² Large current fluctuations over time are characteristic of electrical percolation mechanisms in granular materials made up of aggregated nanoparticles. These fluctuations were described as resulting either from electromigration of metal atom filaments,⁴³ or from conformational changes in the ligands.⁴⁴ Here, we cannot exclude that their origin came from the dynamic population of the molecular excited states under irradiation, illustrated by more pronounced variations in **SA-ZMPy** and **SA-ZBPpy** where dynamic quenching was observed.

In summary, our approach lies at the interface between two fields that ultimately have little interaction: hybrid materials and coordination chemistry.³ We synthesized a new series of hybrid materials including ultra-small platinum nanoparticles and porphyrin derivatives. While unfunctionalized porphyrins interacted with the surface by weak interactions, the coordination bonds between the Pt surface and the pyridine moieties yielded self-assemblies of defined shapes and short-range ordering, as well as peculiar photophysical and electric properties. Particularly, energy transfer occurred in **SA-ZMPy** and **SA-ZBPpy** systems and not in **SA-ZTP**. Coulomb blockade was more pronounced in the strongly interacting hybrid systems and conductivity enhancement appeared in **SA-ZMPy** and **SA-ZBPpy** under light irradiation, whereas minor changes were observed with **SA-ZTP**. This work thus shows that the nature of the interactions between molecules and nanoparticles can be crucial to tune the photophysical properties of hybrid materials. Only a small amount of molecules, as low as 0.05 equivalents of ligand per Pt atom, was sufficient to significantly enhance the photoconductance properties of the hybrid materials, on condition that they were well-coordinated to the nanoparticles. In the future, coordination bond engineering should be seriously considered to tune the interactions between building blocks in hybrid materials in order to improve their photoresponse, a property which may be useful in fields as diverse as photoconductors, solar cells, photomemory devices, photocatalysts or neuromorphic electronics. For example, a challenging perspective to this work will be to control the light-induced spiking dynamics and to use it reliably for computations such as Boolean operations or image classification.⁴⁵

Author contributions

SA, JB, GR and CK synthesized and characterized the functionalized porphyrins. NM, DB, AP, EC and ST worked on the Pt nanoparticle synthesis, self-assembly and characterization. AI performed the HR-STEM tomography imaging. DL performed the photoluminescence measurements. MT and JG performed

the electrical measurements. CK and ST supervised the project. NM and ST wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.†

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We thank Sébastien Cher, Angélique Gillet, Francis Chouzenoux, Chloé Lhardy, Gaëlle Muraille and Donia Bouzouita for experimental support, and Thomas Blon for gold evaporation on substrates. Financial support from Agence Nationale de la Recherche (MOSC grant ANR-18-CE09-0007, DINAPO grant ANR-23-CE09-0006-02, and MOMA grant ANR-23-ERCC-0008-01) is acknowledged. This study has been partially supported through the EUR grant NanoX n° ANR-17-EURE-0009 in the framework of the Programme des Investissements d'Avenir. This work was also supported by the University Paul Sabatier (Toulouse) and CNRS. Technical assistance provided by the Institut de Chimie de Toulouse ICT-UAR 2599 (Université de Toulouse, CNRS, Toulouse, France) and by the Centre de Microcaractérisation Raimond Castaing (UAR 3623) is gratefully acknowledged. We acknowledge the use of instrumentation as well as the technical advice provided by the National Facility ELECMI ICTS node "Laboratorio de Microscopías Avanzadas" at the University of Zaragoza.

References

- 1 S. H. Mir, L. A. Nagahara, T. Thundat, P. Mokarian-Tabari, H. Furukawa and A. Khosla, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2018, **165**, B3137–B3156.
- 2 M. Arya, S. Heera, P. Meenu and K. G. Deepa, *Chem. Phys. Mater.*, 2024, **3**, 252–272.
- 3 P. Gomez-Romero, A. Pokhriyal, D. Rueda-García, L. N. Bengoa and R. M. González-Gil, *Chem. Mater.*, 2024, **36**, 8–27.
- 4 M. Sofos, J. Goldberger, D. A. Stone, J. E. Allen, Q. Ma, D. J. Herman, W.-W. Tsai, L. J. Lauhon and S. I. Stupp, *Nat. Mater.*, 2009, **8**, 68–75.
- 5 M. A. Mangold, M. Calame, M. Mayor and A. W. Holleitner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 12185–12191.
- 6 A. André, C. Theurer, J. Lauth, S. Maiti, M. Hodas, M. S. Khoshkhoo, S. Kinge, A. J. Meixner, F. Schreiber, L. D. A. Siebbeles, K. Braun and M. Scheele, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 1700–1703.
- 7 J.-S. Lee, M. V. Kovalenko, J. Huang, D. S. Chung and D. V. Talapin, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2011, **6**, 348–352.



- 8 J. Jiang, W. Xu, Z. Sun, L. Fu, S. Zhang, B. Qin, T. Fan, G. Li, S. Chen, S. Yang, W. Ge, B. Shen and N. Tang, *Small*, 2024, **20**, 2306068.
- 9 A. Cao, S. Li, H. Chen, M. Deng, X. Xu, L. Shang, Y. Li, A. Cui and Z. Hu, *Mater. Horiz.*, 2023, **10**, 5099–5109.
- 10 X. Chen, B. Chen, B. Jiang, T. Gao, G. Shang, S.-T. Han, C.-C. Kuo, V. A. L. Roy and Y. Zhou, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2023, **33**, 2208807.
- 11 Z. Feng, S. Yuan, J. Zou, Z. Wu, X. Li, W. Guo, S. Tan, H. Wang, Y. Hao, H. Ruan, Z. Lin, Z. Xu, Y. Zhu, G. Wei and Y. Dai, *Nanoscale Horiz.*, 2024, **9**, 1813–1822.
- 12 T. Zhang, C. Fan, L. Hu, F. Zhuge, X. Pan and Z. Ye, *ACS Nano*, 2024, **18**, 16236–16247.
- 13 G. Vats, B. Hodges, A. J. Ferguson, L. M. Wheeler and J. L. Blackburn, *Adv. Mater.*, 2023, **35**, 2205459.
- 14 J.-M. Nam, J. S. Owen and D. V. Talapin, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2023, **56**, 2265–2266.
- 15 J. Liao, S. Blok, S. J. van der Molen, S. Diefenbach, A. W. Holleitner, C. Schönenberger, A. Vladyka and M. Calame, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 999–1014.
- 16 T. Kister, J. H. M. Maurer, L. González-García and T. Kraus, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 6079–6083.
- 17 Y. Min, H. Nasrallah, D. Poinot, P. Lecante, Y. Tison, H. Martinez, P. Roblin, A. Falqui, R. Poteau, I. del Rosal, I. C. Gerber, J.-C. Hierro, M. R. Axet and P. Serp, *Chem. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 2365–2378.
- 18 H. Nakanishi, K. J. M. Bishop, B. Kowalczyk, A. Nitzan, E. A. Weiss, K. V. Tretyakov, M. M. Apodaca, R. Klajn, J. F. Stoddart and B. A. Grzybowski, *Nature*, 2009, **460**, 371–375.
- 19 D. E. Westmoreland, R. López-Arteaga and E. A. Weiss, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 2690–2696.
- 20 S. Tricard, O. Said-Aizpuru, D. Bouzouita, S. Usmani, A. Gillet, M. Tassé, R. Poteau, G. Viau, P. Demont, J. Carrey and B. Chaudret, *Mater. Horiz.*, 2017, **4**, 487–492.
- 21 A. Gillet, S. Cher, M. Tassé, T. Blon, S. Alves, G. Izzet, B. Chaudret, A. Proust, P. Demont, F. Volatron and S. Tricard, *Nanoscale Horiz.*, 2021, **6**, 271–276.
- 22 S. Usmani, M. Mikolasek, A. Gillet, J. Sanchez Costa, M. Rigoulet, B. Chaudret, A. Bousseksou, B. Lassalle-Kaiser, P. Demont, G. Molnár, L. Salmon, J. Carrey and S. Tricard, *Nanoscale*, 2020, **12**, 8180–8187.
- 23 G. Manai, H. Houimel, M. Rigoulet, A. Gillet, P.-F. Fazzini, A. Ibarra, S. Balor, P. Roblin, J. Esvan, Y. Coppel, B. Chaudret, C. Bonduelle and S. Tricard, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 2051.
- 24 L. Merle, G. Manai, A. Pham, S. Lecommandoux, P. Demont, C. Bonduelle, S. Tricard, A. Mlayah and J. Grisolia, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2021, **125**, 22643–22649.
- 25 X. Feng, L. Liu, Y. Honsho, A. Saeiki, S. Seki, S. Irle, Y. Dong, A. Nagai and D. Jiang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 2618–2622.
- 26 S. M. B. Aly, M. Eita, J. I. Khan, E. Alarousu and O. F. Mohammed, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 12154–12161.
- 27 O. Penon, M. J. Marín, D. A. Russell and L. Pérez-García, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2017, **496**, 100–110.
- 28 S. Lian, M. S. Kodaimati and E. A. Weiss, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 568–575.
- 29 Y. Liu, L. Wang, H. Feng, X. Ren, J. Ji, F. Bai and H. Fan, *Nano Lett.*, 2019, **19**, 2614–2619.
- 30 S. Gomez, L. Erades, K. Philippot, B. Chaudret, V. Collière, O. Balmes and J.-O. Bovin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 1474–1475.
- 31 K. Kurotobi and A. Osuka, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, **7**, 1055–1058.
- 32 H. Wu, F. Yang, X.-L. Lv, B. Wang, Y.-Z. Zhang, M.-J. Zhao and J.-R. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 14525–14529.
- 33 S. Abid, Y. Gisbert, M. Kojima, N. Saffon-Merceron, J. Cuny, C. Kammerer and G. Rapenne, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 4709–4721.
- 34 C. Dablemont, P. Lang, C. Mangeney, J.-Y. Piquemal, V. Petkov, F. Herbst and G. Viau, *Langmuir*, 2008, **24**, 5832–5841.
- 35 M. Asha Jhonsi, A. Kathiravan and R. Renganathan, *J. Lumin.*, 2009, **129**, 854–860.
- 36 G. Muraille, S. Tricard, E. A. Baquero, B. Chekroun, D. Lagarde, X. Marie, B. Chaudret, C. Nayral and F. Delpech, *J. Lumin.*, 2020, **217**, 116778.
- 37 D. Genovese, M. Cingolani, E. Rampazzo, L. Prodi and N. Zeccheroni, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2021, **50**, 8414–8427.
- 38 H. Sahoo, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., C*, 2011, **12**, 20–30.
- 39 M. Taniguchi, J. S. Lindsey, D. F. Bocian and D. Holten, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., C*, 2021, **46**, 100401.
- 40 R. P. Tan, J. Carrey, C. Desvaux, L.-M. Lacroix, P. Renaud, B. Chaudret and M. Respaud, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2009, **79**, 174428.
- 41 P. Yang, I. Arfaoui, T. Cren, N. Goubet and M.-P. Pileni, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2012, **86**, 075409.
- 42 J. Lin and D. Shi, *Appl. Phys. Rev.*, 2021, **8**, 011302.
- 43 M. D. Pike, S. K. Bose, J. B. Mallinson, S. K. Acharya, S. Shirai, E. Galli, S. J. Weddell, P. J. Bones, M. D. Arnold and S. A. Brown, *Nano Lett.*, 2020, **20**, 3935–3942.
- 44 L. V. Govor, G. H. Bauer, T. Lüdtke, R. J. Haug and J. Parisi, *Phys. Lett. A*, 2011, **375**, 4041–4044.
- 45 S. J. Studholme, Z. E. Heywood, J. B. Mallinson, J. K. Steel, P. J. Bones, M. D. Arnold and S. A. Brown, *Nano Lett.*, 2023, **23**, 10594–10599.

