# RSC Advances



## PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2023, 13, 3773

Received 29th November 2022 Accepted 13th January 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d2ra07605e

rsc.li/rsc-advances

### 1 Introduction

Coffee is currently the second most popular beverage globally, after water.<sup>1,2</sup> During the preparation of coffee, coffee beans are first roasted and then ground. After this, the coffee is extracted via a thermal water extraction technique at 100 and 180 °C.<sup>3</sup> The resulting water-soluble solids are evaporated and dried either by spray drying or freeze-drying to obtain instant coffee.<sup>3</sup> The waste, which is the insoluble material, referred to as spent coffee ground (SCG), is then discarded.<sup>3</sup> Approximately 6 megatons of coffee beans are produced annually across the globe, with a ton of beans usually resulting in 650 kilograms of SCG waste during coffee processing.<sup>4</sup>

Among the SCG components, galactomannan is the major polysaccharide in SCG that accounts for 20–30% dry matter, which is responsible for the high viscosity of the coffee extract.<sup>5,6</sup> Galactomannan is made up of linear chains of  $\beta$ -1,4linked mannopyranoside residues substituted by

## Bioconversion of spent coffee grounds to prebiotic mannooligosaccharides – an example of biocatalysis in biorefinery

Mihle Magengelele[,](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2947-6219)  $\mathbb{D}^a$  Samkelo Malgas  $\mathbb{D}^b$  and Brett I. Pletschke  $\mathbb{D}^{*a}$ 

Spent coffee ground (SCG), an agro-industrial waste, have a high content of polysaccharides such as mannan, making it ideal for utilisation for the production of nutraceutical oligosaccharides. Recently, there has been growing interest in the production of mannooligosaccharides (MOS) for health promotion in humans and animals. MOS are reported to exhibit various bioactive properties, including prebiotic and antioxidant activity. In this study, SCG was Vivinal pretreated using NaOH, characterized and hydrolysed using a Bacillus sp. derived endo-β-1,4-mannanase, Man26A, for MOS production. Structural analyses using Fouriertransform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR) and thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) were conducted to assess the efficacy of the pretreatment. Lignin removal by the pretreatment from SCG was clearly shown by TGA. FT-IR, on the other hand, showed the presence of α-linked D-galactopyranoside (812 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and β-linked Dmannopyranoside residues (817 cm<sup>-1</sup>) in both SCG samples, signifying the presence of mannan. Hydrolysis of pretreated SCG by Man26A produced mannobiose and mannotriose as the main MOS products. The effect of simulated gastric conditions on the MOS was investigated and showed this product to be suitable for oral administration. Finally, the prebiotic effect of the MOS on the growth of selected beneficial bacteria was investigated in vitro; showing that it enhanced Lactobacillus bulgaricus, Bacillus subtilis and Streptococcus thermophilus growth. These findings suggest that SCG is a viable source for the production of MOS which can be orally administered as prebiotics for effecting luxuriant growth of probiotic bacteria in the host's digestive tract, leading to a good health status. **PAPER**<br> **(a)** Cheek for updates<br> **EVENT CONSECTED SUBSECT AND CONSECT ACTIVE SUBSECT AND CONSECT AND ASSOCIATE CHANNEL CONSECT AND A SUBSECT AND A SUB** 

galactopyranoside units at C-6 via  $\alpha$ -1,6-linkages.<sup>5</sup> Galactomannans are used in foods as thickening and binding agents, stabilizers in products such as ice cream, dietary fibre and as precursors for the production of nutraceutical mannooligosaccharides (MOS).<sup>1,7</sup>

It is reported that prebiotic oligosaccharides can be found naturally in foods or, alternatively, they can be produced by enzymatic or chemical synthesis from disaccharides or other substrates, as well as by hydrolysis of polysaccharides.<sup>8</sup> Hydrolysis of polysaccharides is normally the most reliable choice for oligosaccharide production on a large scale, due to its reproducibility and high yield.  $Endo-β-1,4-mannanases$  (Enzyme Commission number 3.2.1.78) randomly hydrolyse internal  $\beta$ -1,4-glycosidic bonds in the  $\beta$ -mannan backbone, resulting in the production of  $MOS.<sup>1,4,9</sup>$  *Endo-* $\beta$ -1,4-mannanases are currently allotted in glycoside hydrolase (GH) families 5, 26, 45, 113 and 134 in the Carbohydrate-Active enZyme (CAZy) database (<https://www.cazy.org>) based on sequence similarities.

Before enzymatic hydrolysis, lignocellulosic biomass needs to be pretreated to remove lignin which hampers hydrolysis.<sup>10</sup> The availability of lignin in SCG prevents CAZymes from accessing and degrading the carbohydrate fraction for the production of free sugars.<sup>11</sup> This, therefore, necessitates pretreatment of agro-industrial wastes to lower their

a Enzyme Science Programme (ESP), Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology, Rhodes University, Makhanda (Grahamstown) 6140, Eastern Cape, South Africa. E-mail: b.pletschke@ru.ac.za

b Department of Biochemistry, Genetics and Microbiology, University of Pretoria, Hatfield 0002, Gauteng, South Africa

recalcitrance against enzymatic digestion. Among pretreatment procedures, alkaline pretreatment has low severity and results in more reducing sugars compared to other methods, such as acid pretreatment.11,12 Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) pretreatment is reported to result in pores on the surface of SCG, allowing enzymes access for its effective degradation.<sup>11</sup>

MOS have also been reported to have anti-oxidant activity, anti-inflammatory and anti-cancer effects.<sup>9,13</sup> Chiyanzu et  $al$ .<sup>14</sup> reported that MOS can be produced enzymatically using a mannanase and cellulase cocktail from steam pretreated SCG. Nguyen et al.<sup>2</sup> also demonstrated that enzymatic hydrolysis of delignified and defatted SCG resulted in mannobiose (M2) and mannohexaose (M6) as the predominant sugars produced. Wongsiridetchai et al.<sup>11,15</sup> demonstrated that enzymatic hydrolysis of pretreated SCG using a Bacillus subtilis GA2 (1) derived mannanase resulted in the production of M2 and mannotriose (M3) as predominant sugars. The MOS produced from both studies enhanced the growth of beneficial bacteria, such as Lactobacillus acidophilus, L. casei and L. plantarum.

This paper investigated the prebiotic properties of MOS produced enzymatically from NaOH pretreated SCG using an endo-1,4-β-mannanase from a Bacillus sp., including the ability of the MOS to tolerate in vitro gastrointestinal conditions and thermal tolerance, which has not been assessed to date. This study showed that the SCG derived MOS indeed exhibited prebiotic effects, including probiotic growth enhancement, their biofilm formation and auto-aggregation improvement, and short chain fatty acid production, which are required by the probiotic for competing with pathogens in the gastrointestinal tract.

### 2 Results and discussion

#### 2.1. Pretreatment of SCG

SCG was pretreated using NaOH to make it easier for the endo-1,4-b-mannanase to hydrolyse its mannan, by creating pores and increasing the surface area of the biomass.<sup>11</sup> There was a significant weight reduction of SCG after pretreatment, from 50 g to 30.3 g; while the untreated SCG mass only marginally decreased from 50 g to 47.14 g during incubation in hot water. This mass decrease in the pretreated SCG (39.41% (w/w)) is likely due to the removal of lipids and polyphenolics as reported in a previous study on the saponification of SCG.<sup>11</sup> Tsai et al.<sup>16</sup> reported that SCG contains 39.4% total lignin, while Ballesteros et  $al$ .<sup>17</sup> reported that 23.90% lignin is contained in SCG. We suspect that the alkaline pretreatment was responsible for removal of the lignin from the SCG in the current study. On the other hand, McNutt & He<sup>18</sup> reported that polysaccharides make up 50% of dry mass of SCG. Furthermore, the SCG polysaccharides are fractionated to 12.40% cellulose and 39.10% hemicellulose, respectively.<sup>17</sup> The slight mass decrease in untreated SCG  $(5.72\% (w/w))$  is most likely due to loss of extractives.

#### 2.2. Structural analysis of SCG

2.2.1. FT-IR analysis. FT-IR analysis was conducted to analyse the functional group differences in the untreated and

NaOH pretreated SCG (Fig. 1). As shown in Fig. 1, the broad peak at 3364  $cm^{-1}$  is attributed to the O–H vibration in pretreated SCG, which is usually found in all carbohydrate containing samples and has also been reported at 3277 and  $3276$  cm<sup>-1</sup> in coffee waste before oil extraction and after extraction, respectively.<sup>19</sup> Pandey et al.<sup>20</sup> associated the band at 3426 cm<sup>-1</sup> with primary (-CH-OH) and secondary (-CH<sub>2</sub>-OH) stretching of hydroxyl vibrations. The peaks at 2914 and 2849 cm<sup>-1</sup> are associated with CH and CH<sub>2</sub> stretching vibrations, and this peak is similar to the peak observed by Pandey et al.<sup>20</sup> at 2927 cm<sup>-1</sup> for locust bean gum. They described the peak observed at 1383 cm<sup>-1</sup> as the peak formed as a result of deformation of the CH–OH group, and a similar peak was observed at 1416  $cm^{-1}$  in pretreated SCG. There is a peak observed at 1080  $cm^{-1}$  in untreated SCG and a scissor peak at  $1071$  cm<sup>-1</sup> in pretreated SCG, these peaks are associated with the C–O (carbon–oxygen stretch) vibration in C–O–H bonds (glycosidic bonds). These bands are associated with the galactomannan in SCG.<sup>17,19,20</sup> Pandey et al.<sup>20</sup> reported that the peaks at 812 and 817 cm<sup>-1</sup> show the presence of  $\alpha$ -linked D-galactopyranoside units and  $\beta$ -linked  $\nu$ -mannopyranoside units, respectively. The peaks were present in both SCG samples in this study, indicating that galactomannan was not lost during the pretreatment of SCG. The intensity of a peak (1080  $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ), which was attributed to the glycosidic bond (–C–O–H), was more pronounced in pretreated SCG. These findings suggested that hemicellulose was retained and more exposed in pretreated SCG. RSC Advances<br>
recelule methods are expressed on a secure yield results and precise are the methods are the me

2.2.2. TGA analysis. TGA analysis was performed on both the pretreated and untreated SCG. Both pretreated and untreated SCG slightly lost weight from approximately 50 °C and this was due to dehydration of the sample. Water and volatile substances are lost at this stage of TGA analysis.<sup>21</sup> These findings are similar to the findings of Atabani et al.<sup>19</sup> and Ballesteros et al.,<sup>17</sup> who reported that SCG (untreated), SCG before oil extraction and SCG after extraction first lose weight at approximately 60, 60.38 and 77.03 °C, respectively. The biomasses further underwent a massive weight loss between 200 °C and 400 °C. This is the second stage of TGA, where decomposition and depolymerisation of biomass components occur. Ballesteros et al.<sup>17</sup> also showed that SCG undergoes a massive weight loss at approximately 300 °



Fig. 1 FT-IR spectra of untreated and NaOH pretreated SCG.

C. Between 200 °C and 400 °C, there are two and three peaks observed in the DTA curves of pretreated and untreated SCG, respectively. There is a hemicellulose peak in both pretreated and untreated SCG at approximately 250 °C, with that of pretreated SCG being slightly increased. DTA also showed a cellulose peak at 300 °C in pretreated SCG, but there was no peak in untreated SCG. DTA then showed a major peak on untreated SCG, between 310 and 400 °C, and this is a lignin peak. The presence of a major lignin peak in the untreated SCG and its absence in the pretreated SCG shows that the removal of lignin via NaOH pretreatment was successful. These results are similar to those findings of Carrier  $et$   $al$ .<sup>21</sup> regarding DTA analysis of fern components, with decomposition of biomass occurring at 200–300 °C for hemicellulose, followed by decomposition of cellulose at 250–350 °C and lignin at 300–500 °C. Based on the DTA results, pretreated SCG (exothermic peaks around 300 °C) contains less lignin than the untreated SCG (exothermic peaks between 300 °C and 400 °C) (Fig. 2).

### 2.3. Analysis of SCG hydrolysis products by HPLC

From the hydrolysis of 40 mg mL<sup> $-1$ </sup> of SCG by the *Bacillus* sp. derived Man26A over 48 hours, approximately 1.8 mg  $mL^{-1}$  of reducing sugars was detected using the DNS assay and 2.47 mg  $mL^{-1}$  of MOS could be quantified by HPLC (Table 1). The hydrolysis products of Man26A action against SCG were qualitatively and quantitatively analysed using HPLC. The hydrolysis



Fig. 2 TGA (A) and DTA (B) curves obtained for pretreated and untreated SCG.

of this agro-industrial waste using the Bacillus sp. Man26A released M2 and M3 as the predominant MOS species (Table 1). This agreed with the findings of Chauhan et al.,<sup>22</sup> who also reported that M2 and M3 were the predominant MOS produced from coffee extract using a Bacillus nealsonii PN-11  $\beta$ -mannanase. Wongsiridetchai et  $al$ <sup>11,15</sup> also reported that SCG hydrolysis, using a *Bacillus* sp. GA2 (1) mannanase, results in M2 and M3 as the predominant products. It is worth mentioning that the MOS yield from SCG hydrolysis by Man26A in this study is an underestimate, as there were two major peaks at 23.8 minutes and 30.6 min, respectively, which were unidentified (Fig. 3). We suspect these two products to be galactosyl substituted MOS, such as galactosyl-mannose and galactosyl-mannobiose. Our previous work has reported on the ability of GH26 endo-β-1,4-mannanases to generate galactosyl substituted MOS during the hydrolysis of galactomannans.<sup>9</sup> The various sizes of oligosaccharides produced from SCG show that the *Bacillus* sp. derived *endo*- $\beta$ -1,4-mannanase is suitable for MOS production from the inexpensive and abundant SCG. Paper<br>
C. Between 200 °C inter access Article is more than the published on 26 January 18:12. Article is the presentation of the state of the s

#### 2.4. Gastrointestinal tolerance of MOS

Prebiotics must also have several other important characteristics, such as tolerance to acids, bile salts and digestive enzymes that may be present in the gastrointestinal tract of the host, in order to reach the bacteria which, they ought to influence in the small intestines. The effects of these chemicals and digestive enzymes on MOS were investigated in vitro (Fig. 4).

The SCG derived MOS showed resistance to decomposition by these gastrointestinal products. The results agreed with the findings by Asano et al.,<sup>23</sup> who showed that thermally produced MOS were resistant to human salivary amylase, artificial gastric juice, porcine pancreatic enzymes and rat intestinal mucous protein. The ability of MOS to tolerate the simulated gastrointestinal conditions shows that they are likely indigestible in vivo and would reach the large intestine without losing their structural integrity and, hence, maintain their prebiotic bioactivity.

#### 2.5. TGA analysis of MOS

TGA and DTA analysis were performed on freeze-dried MOS to establish their thermal stability (Fig. 5). MOS exhibited

Table 1 HPLC results showing the concentration of MOS (mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) produced as a result of Man26A hydrolysis. Values are represented as means  $\pm$  standard deviations,  $n = 3$ . Where "Nd" = not detected and  $''$ +" = detected but not quantified

Mannooligosaccharide (MOS)		
product	Content $(mg \, mL^{-1})$	
Mannose	$0.18 + 0.03$	
Mannobiose	$1.04 + 0.03$	
Mannotriose	$1.20 + 0.04$	
Mannotetraose	Nd	
Mannopentaose	$0.03 + 0.00$	
Mannohexaose	$0.02 + 0.00$	
Galactosyl-MOS	$^{+}$	
Total reducing sugars	1.80	



Fig. 3 A representative chromatogram displaying the simultaneous detection and separation of MOS released by SCG hydrolysis. The hydrolysis products were separated using a CarboSep CHO 411 column at a flow rate of 0.3 min mL<sup>-1</sup>. Distilled water was used as a mobile phase and using a refractive index (RI) detector. MOS: M1 mannose, M2-mannobiose, M3-mannotriose, M4-mannotetraose (not detected), M5-mannopentaose and M6-mannohexanose.



Fig. 4 Effect of bile salts, a-amylase, trypsin and hydrochloric acid (pH 1.5) on SCG MOS. Values are represented as means  $\pm$  standard deviations,  $n=4$ . An equal amount mannose (1.7 mg mL $^{-1}$ ) was treated the same as the MOS to test their recovery post treatment.

a slight loss in weight at approximately 50 °C due to moisture removal, followed by a more drastic weight loss between 150 and 400 °C. Similarly, López-Sanz et al.<sup>24</sup> observed weight loss peaks for Vivinal galacto-oligosaccharides (GOS) at 36, 90 and 135 °C.

#### 2.6. Prebiotic activity of MOS

The MOS were further tested for their effect on the growth of beneficial bacteria (Fig. 6). The MOS promoted the growth of  $L$ . bulgaricus, S. thermophilus and B. subtilis. And the viability of



these beneficial bacteria after incubation with MOS correlates with the optical density, which means that the cells did not die upon MOS consumption.

Cao et  $al^{25}$  and Srivastava et  $al^{26}$  demonstrated similar findings when testing the effect of commercial MOS on  $L$ . plantarum and low DP MOS (M2 and M3) on seven Lactobacillus sp. Pan et al.<sup>27</sup> also reported that MOS enhance the growth of Lactobacilli and Bifidobacteria, while inhibiting Enterococcus and Enterobacteriaceae. Magengelele et al.<sup>9</sup> also reported that MOS derived from ivory nut mannan (INM), locust bean gum (LBG) and guar gum (GG) enhance the growth of B. subtilis and S. thermophilus. Numerous studies have reported that MOS inhibit the proliferation of pathogenic bacteria in the digestive tract of mammals in many ways which include the production of shortchain fatty acids (SCFAs) by beneficial bacteria, which contributes to the decrease in pH and inhibition of pathogens from attaching to the intestinal walls.<sup>28,29</sup>

#### 2.7. Detection of SCFA production as a result of MOS fermentation

SCFAs are well-known for decreasing the pH in the digestive tract, resulting in the competitive exclusion of pathogens as well as triggering a host immune response.<sup>30</sup> We proceeded to assess the fermentability of MOS to SCFAs by the beneficial bacteria; B. subtilis, L. bulgaricus and S. thermophilus (Table 2). S. thermophilus and B. subtilis failed to produce SCFAs in the negative control (carbon source free media). However, L. bulgaricus did produce SCFAs; this was expected as it is a lactic acid-producing



Fig. 6 Effect of MOS on beneficial bacteria. Columns: black – L. bulgaricus, light grey – B. subtilis and dark grey – S. thermophilus. The (A) cell biomass growth (optical density) and (B) viability of beneficial bacteria in the presence and absence of various sugars as carbon sources. Control (−): sugar-free, control (+): glucose present and SCG MOS: MOS obtained from SCG.

bacterium.<sup>27,28</sup> Audisio et al.<sup>31</sup> and Baurhoo et al.<sup>29</sup> reported that Lactobacillus sp. and Bifidobacterium could utilise glucose and produce lactic acid and acetic acid in the gut of birds.

Table 2 Amount of putative SCFAs detected after MOS utilisation by beneficial bacteria. Values are represented as means  $\pm$  standard deviations,  $n = 3$ 

	Putative SCFAs (mM)			
Carbon source	B. subtilis	S. thermophiles	L. bulgaricus	
Glucose No sugar <b>SCG MOS</b>	$0.12 \pm 0.03$ ND $0.42 + 0.03$	$0.06 \pm 0.01$ ND $0.34 + 0.01$	$0.08 \pm 0.01$ $0.04 \pm 0.01$ $0.46 \pm 0.02$	

Production of these acids prevents pathogens from attaching to the host's intestinal walls.<sup>28</sup>

All bacterial cells produced and secreted compounds bearing a carbonyl functional group, which we attributed to be the presence of SCFAs, to the media in the presence of the MOS. SCFAs, such as butyric acid, have been reported to inhibit the activity of pathogens like Salmonella and Clostridium perfringens in mammals. Wu et  $al$ <sup>30</sup> reported that supplementation of mice drinking water with acetate, butyrate and propionate reduces their susceptibility to Klebsiella pneumoniae infections. Our results are also in agreement with the findings of Pan  $et$   $al.$ <sup>32</sup> who reported that MOS intake by Bifidobacteria and Lactobacilli improves the amount of SCFAs (butyrate, propionate and acetate) produced. The presence of SCFAs in the gut leads to a decrease in free fatty acids, reducing the risks of getting type-2 diabetes.<sup>33</sup> Diabetes mellitus is one of the top ten leading causes of death globally. The ability of L. bulgaricus, B. subtilis and S. thermophilus to produce SCFAs after MOS utilisation will increase insulin sensitivity by reducing free fatty acids and ghrelin (the hunger hormone), reducing the risk of developing type-2 diabetes.<sup>33</sup> Further studies are required to determine which type of SCFAs were produced by which bacteria and to quantify each SCFA, because these beneficial bacteria could be producing different SCFAs, which may have different functions in the gut.

#### 2.8. Bacterial biofilm formation and auto-aggregation in the presence of MOS

The effect of MOS obtained from SCG on the formation of biofilms and auto-aggregation of beneficial bacteria was investigated. The results in Table 3 show biofilm growth normalised with total cell growth  $(OD_{540}/OD_{600})$ , and auto-aggregation percentage (%) for each bacterium. In terms of autoaggregation influence, L. bulgaricus, B. subtilis and S. thermophilus grown in SCG derived MOS showed aggregation percentages of 18.21, 20.98 and 17.99%, respectively, and were better than cultures grown in the absence of a carbon source. Cao et al.<sup>25</sup> reported that MOS enhanced the auto-aggregation of L. plantarum with a rate of auto-aggregation of 23.76%, which is slightly higher than that of L. bulgaricus, B. subtilis and S. thermophilus reported in the current study. The variations in the

Table 3 The effect of Bacillus sp.-derived mannanase produced MOS (2% (w/v) reducing sugar basis) on the auto-aggregation and biofilm formation of beneficial bacteria. Values are represented as means  $\pm$ standard deviations,  $n = 3$ 

Carbon source	L. bulgaricus	B. subtilis	S. thermophilus		
Biofilm formation $(OD_{540}/OD_{600})$					
No sugar	$0.79 + 0.01$	$0.63 + 0.03$	$0.62 + 0.02$		
Glucose	$0.94 + 0.40$	$0.55 + 0.06$	$0.66 + 0.01$		
<b>SCG MOS</b>	$2.19 + 0.44$	$1.28 + 0.14$	$1.19 \pm 0.11$		
Auto-aggregation (%)					
No sugar	$1.63 + 0.13$	$1.79 + 0.43$	$4.19 + 0.34$		
Glucose	$3.06 + 0.19$	$2.72 + 0.23$	$4.70 + 0.24$		
<b>SCG MOS</b>	$18.21 + 1.35$	$20.98 + 2.21$	$17.99 + 2.73$		

rate of auto-aggregation could be due to the use of different beneficial bacterial species. The DP of the commercial MOS used by Cao et al.<sup>25</sup> may also be different from the MOS used in this study. These three probiotic bacteria were also able to form biofilms in the presence of MOS. It has been reported that the ability of beneficial bacteria to attach to surfaces, *i.e.*, epithelial cells, provides them with an opportunity to block pathogens from also attaching to these surfaces.<sup>34</sup> Magengelele *et al.*<sup>9</sup> also showed that MOS derived from INM, LBG and GG improved biofilm formation in S. thermophilus and B. subtilis. There is no literature available on the effect of SCG-derived MOS on autoaggregation and biofilm formation of bacteria. However, Cao  $et$   $al.^{25}$  reported that commercial MOS enhanced autoaggregation of L. plantarum ATCC14917. MOS also had a positive effect on biofilm formation of L. plantarum ATCC14917 on mucin (24.65%) and Caco-2 cells  $(14.71\%)$ .<sup>25</sup> SCG-derived MOS enhanced the ability of S. thermophilus, B. subtilis and L. bulgaricus to form microcolonies and produce biofilms. RSC Advances<br>
The distance of anti-sgots and the set of alterna article. We observe a more and the properation on the common<br>
Uniterate specific in the common access Article is license are derived uniterated. (May are the

### 3 Experimental

#### 3.1. Pretreatment of SCG

SCG was supplied by National Brands Limited (Island, South Africa). For the pretreatment of SCG, 12.5 g of NaOH, 1 L of distilled water and 50 g of SCG were added in a 1 L Schott bottle. The slurry was incubated at 70  $\degree$ C with occasional stirring for 4 hours in a 6 Litre water bath (Labnet International. Inc, Woodbridge, USA). After incubation, the slurry was cooled down to room temperature, filtered using a cheesecloth and washed three times with 500 mL of distilled water. The SCG was then dried at 50 °C until a constant weight was obtained. Dried NaOH treated SCG was pulverised using a pestle and mortar, passed through a sieve (mesh size 0.5 mm) and kept at room temperature until use. Untreated SCG (50 g) was also washed, dried and pulverised as the pretreated SCG.

#### 3.2. Structural analysis of SCG

3.2.1. Fourier transform infrared spectrometer (FT-IR) analysis. A Spectrum 100 FT-IR spectrometer system (PerkinElmer, Welles-ley, MA) was used to characterise the NaOH pretreated and untreated SCG. A spring-loaded anvil was used to evenly press the samples against the spotting surface. FT-IR spectra were obtained by averaging sixty-four scans from 4000 to 600 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Spectrum™ One software was used for baseline and ATR corrections for penetration depth and frequency.

3.2.2. Thermogravimetric (TGA) analysis. TGA was performed using a PerkinElmer analyser (PerkinElmer, Shelton, CT, USA). For analysis (pretreated and untreated SCG), about 3 mg of the sample was placed in a platinum pan. A stream of nitrogen at 20 mL min<sup> $-1$ </sup> was run over the incubation chamber during analysis. The sample was heated between 30 °C and 750 °C, with an increase of 30 °C min−<sup>1</sup> under nitrogen gas.

#### 3.3. Enzymatic generation of MOS from SCG

Prior to hydrolysis, the pretreated SCG was pre-wetted in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) for 5 hours. After this,  $4\%$  (w/v) or 40 mg mL−<sup>1</sup> SCG was hydrolysed by 0.25 mg protein per g SCG of Bacillus sp. derived mannanase, Man26A (Megazyme, Bray, Ireland). The reaction mixture also contained 1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> of bovine serum albumin to minimise non-productive binding of the enzyme to the non-carbohydrate fraction of SCG. The reaction mixtures were incubated at 50 °C for 48 hours, with agitation at 70 rpm. After this, the reaction was stopped by incubating the samples at 100 °C for 5 minutes. The samples were then centrifuged at 16 060  $\times$  g for 5 minutes using a Biofuge pico Heraeus centrifuge (Hanau, Germany) and the supernatant subsequently quantified for reducing sugar release using the DNS method as described in literature.<sup>35</sup>

#### 3.4. Analysis of SCG hydrolysis products by highperformance liquid chromatography (HPLC)

The MOS were identified and quantified using a CarboSep CHO 411 column (Concise Separations, San Jose, USA) connected to a Shimadzu HPLC system with a refractive index detector (Shimadzu Corp, Kyoto, Japan) as described in our previous work.<sup>9</sup>

#### 3.5. Gastrointestinal tolerance test of MOS

Bile salts (0.3% w/v),  $\alpha$ -amylase (1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) and trypsin (1 mg mL−<sup>1</sup> ) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, USA) were prepared in 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0). The prepared solutions of bile salts, a-amylase and trypsin were mixed with MOS derived from SCG (1.7 mg mL<sup>-1</sup> reducing sugar basis), in a 1 : 1 ratio. A 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) was used as the negative control. For hydrochloric acid, the phosphate buffer that was used to prepare SCG MOS was removed using a Centrivap vacuum concentrator system (Labconco, Boston, New York), and 1 mL of 0.1 M HCl (pH 1.5) was used to resuspend the MOS. The solutions were all incubated at 37  $\rm{^{\circ}C}$  for 4 h. After incubation, the Eppendorf tubes with a-amylase and trypsin were incubated at 100 °C for 5 minutes to inactivate the enzymes, and the HCl was removed using the Centrivap vacuum concentrator system and replaced with 1 mL of phosphate buffer. The reducing sugars were quantified using the DNS method described before. A known amount of mannose (1.7 mg mL<sup> $-1$ </sup> reducing sugar basis) was used in under the assayed conditions to correct for sugar losses.

#### 3.6. TGA analysis of SCG MOS

TGA was performed on the produced MOS, after lyophilization, using a PerkinElmer analyser as described in Section 3.2.2.

#### 3.7. Prebiotic activity determination of MOS

Bacterial cells (1 mL) stored in Luria broth were harvested by centrifugation at 16 060  $\times$  g using a Biofuge pico Heraeus (Hanau, Germany) desktop centrifuge for 5 minutes and resuspended in saline (0.9% (w/v) NaCl) before measuring their optical density at 600 nm. The in vitro fermentation of probiotics was performed in  $1 \times M9$  minimal media (3.4% (w/v) K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>; 1.5% (w/v) KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>; 0.5% (w/v) NH<sub>4</sub>Cl; 0.25% (w/v) NaCl) with a pH of 7.4. The samples were supplemented with MOS at a final concentration of 0.2% ((w/v), reducing sugar

content). The initial absorbance (600 nm) of the reaction mixture was adjusted to 0.1 for all the samples. This was followed by incubation of the samples at 37 °C for 7 hours, with shaking at 150 rpm. The positive control was supplemented with 0.4% (w/v) glucose, while the negative control was sugarfree. The optical density of the samples was measured. The viability of the cells was subsequently tested by adding 50 µL of 0.02% (w/v) of iodonitrotetrazolium chloride into a 96-well plate containing 200  $\mu$ L of the cells that were grown for 7 hours with the different carbon sources (no carbon source or glucose and/ or MOS). The plate was incubated for 1 hour and the absorbance measured at 490 nm. **Paper** Wave Reader on 26 Macchines (60 nm) of the reaction then normalizing the binding growth with its total edity control the samples control was arrestred. A **Conclusions** Common and the samples control was are presen

#### 3.8. Influence of MOS on short chain fatty acids (SCFAs) synthesis by bacteria

After the prebiotic study, a liquid-liquid extraction was performed to extract SCFAs from the culture broths using diethylether and NaOH as described previously.<sup>36</sup> The SCFAs were quantified by absorbance reading at 210 nm with butyric acid used as a suitable standard.

#### 3.9. Influence of MOS on auto-aggregation of bacteria

The influence of MOS on the auto-aggregation nature of bacteria was studied as described previously.<sup>28</sup> Bacterial cells were harvested by centrifugation at 16 060  $\times$  g for 5 minutes and the pellet was resuspended in  $1 \times M9$  minimal media. The absorbance of the bacteria was adjusted to an optical density reading of  $0.5 \pm 0.05$  in test tubes using 0.4% (w/v) glucose and 0.2% (w/w) MOS derived from SCG hydrolysis. Then, the bacterial suspensions were incubated at room temperature, the absorbance readings were measured at  $t = 0$  and  $t = 1$  h. Autoaggregation percentage is expressed as follows:

Autoaggregation =  $[1 - A_t/A_0] \times 100$ 

where,  $A_t$  represents the absorbance at time  $t = 1$  h and  $A_0$  is the absorbance at  $t = 0$ .

#### 3.10. Biofilm formation influence of MOS on bacteria

The ability of MOS to influence biofilm formation was monitored by using sterile 96-well flat-bottom microtiter plates. Briefly, the plates were prepared for each strain with four replicates of  $1 \times$  M9 minimal media supplemented with different 0.2% (w/v) sugars (buffer alone, glucose, SCG MOS) (final bacterial OD read of 0.5). A plate for each strain was covered and incubated without shaking at 37  $\rm{°C}$  for 24 h. After the incubation period, the wells in each plate were washed three times with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) solution to remove unbound cells. The cells attached to the wall of each well were stained for 20 min with 250  $\mu$ L of 0.1% (w/v) crystal violet in water and washed again three times with the PBS solution to remove unbound crystal violet. Bound cells were quantified by adding 250 µL of acetone/ethanol (20:80 (v/v)), followed by measuring absorbance at 540 nm. For comparison of biofilm formation among strains in each medium, the total growth was monitored first by measuring optical density in each well, and then normalizing the biofilm growth with its total cell growth values.

## 4 Conclusions

MOS were successfully produced from NaOH pretreated SCG using a Bacillus sp. derived endo-1,4- $\beta$ -mannanase, with M2 and M3 as the predominant products. TGA and simulated gastrointestinal tolerance analysis showed that the MOS exhibit good properties for application as functional foods. Finally, the MOS exhibited the ability to enhance the growth of beneficial bacteria (L. bulgaricus, B. subtilis and S. thermophilus), which, in turn, produced SCFAs. During this, the MOS improved the ability of the beneficial bacteria to adhere to surfaces, which could be useful measure in offering protection against environmental stress.

### Author contributions

Mihle Magengelele: methodology, formal analysis, investigation, visualization, writing - original draft. Samkelo Malgas: conceptualization, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, project administration, supervision, validation, writing – review & editing. Brett I. Pletschke: conceptualization, formal analysis, funding acquisition, methodology, project administration, resources, supervision, validation, writing – review & editing.

### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the National Research Foundation of South Africa (NRF) for funding; MM (CPRR Grant UID 113312), as well as Rhodes University and University of Pretoria. Any opinion, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and therefore the NRF does not accept any liability in regard thereto.

### References

- 1 N. Hlalukana, M. Magengelele, S. Malgas and B. I. Pletschke, Foods, 2021, 10(9), 2010.
- 2 Q. A. Nguyen, E. J. Cho, D. S. Lee and H. J. Bae, Bioresour. Technol., 2019, 272, 209–216.
- 3 T. Jooste, M. P. García-Aparicio, M. Brienzo, W. H. Van Zyl and J. F. Görgens, Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol., 2013, 169, 2248–2262.
- 4 Q. A. Nguyen, E. J. Cho, D. S. Lee and H. J. Bae, Bioresour. Technol., 2019, 272, 209–216.
- 5 R. Redgwell and M. Fischer, Braz. J. Plant Physiol., 2006, 18, 165–174.
- 6 A. Sachslehner, G. Foidl, N. Foidl, G. Gübitz and D. Haltrich, J. Biotechnol., 2000, 80, 127–134.
- 7 V. D. Prajapati, G. K. Jani, N. G. Moradiya, N. P. Randeria, B. J. Nagar, N. N. Naikwadi and B. C. Variya, Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 2013, 60, 83–92.
- 8 F. J. Moreno, N. Corzo, A. Montilla, M. Villamiel and A. Olano, Curr. Opin. Food Sci., 2017, 13, 50–55.
- 9 M. Magengelele, N. Hlalukana, S. Malgas, S. H. Rose, W. H. van Zyl and B. I. Pletschke, Enzyme Microb. Technol., 2021, 150, 109893.
- 10 P. Alvira, E. Tomás-Pejó, M. Ballesteros and M. J. Negro, Bioresour. Technol., 2010, 101, 4851–4861.
- 11 C. Wongsiridetchai, W. Chiangkham, N. Khlaihiran, T. Sawangwan, P. Wongwathanarat, T. Charoenrat and S. Chantorn, J. Agric. Nat. Resour., 2018, 52, 222–227.
- 12 C. E. Wyman, V. Balan, B. E. Dale, R. T. Elander, M. Falls, B. Hames, M. T. Holtzapple, M. R. Ladisch, Y. Y. Lee, N. Mosier, V. R. Pallapolu, J. Shi, S. R. Thomas and R. E. Warner, Bioresour. Technol., 2011, 102, 11052–11062. PSC Advances Article Common Access Article is limited on 26 January 1982. Downloaded on 26 January 1982. Downloaded on 26 January 1982. The Petrom Access Articles. A common Access Articles. A common Access Articles. A comm
	- 13 U. K. Jana and N. Kango, Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 2020, 149, 931–940.
	- 14 I. Chiyanzu, M. Brienzo, M. P. García-Aparicio and J. F. Görgens, Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol., 2014, 172, 3538– 3557.
	- 15 C. Wongsiridetchai, V. Jonjaroen, T. Sawangwan, T. Charoenrat and S. Chantorn, LWT-Food Sci. Technol., 2021, 148, 111717.
	- 16 W.-T. Tsai, S.-C. Lui and C.-H. Hsieh, J. Anal. Appl. Pyrolysis, 2012, 93, 63–67.
	- 17 L. F. Ballesteros, M. A. Cerqueira, J. A. Teixeira and S. I. Mussatto, Carbohydr. Polym., 2015, 127, 347–354.
	- 18 J. McNutt and Q. He, J. Ind. Eng. Chem., 2019, 71, 78–88.
	- 19 A. E. Atabani, S. M. Mercimek, S. Arvindnarayan, S. Shobana, G. Kumar, M. Cadir and A. H. Al-Muhatseb, J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc., 2018, 68, 196–214.
	- 20 S. Pandey, J. Y. Do, J. Kim and M. Kang, Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 2020, 143, 60–75.
- 21 M. Carrier, A. Loppinet-Seran, D. Denux, J.-M. Lasnier, F. Ham-Pichavant, F. Cansell and C. Aymonier, Biomass Bioenergy, 2011, 35, 298–307.
- 22 P. S. Chauhan, P. Sharma, N. Puri and N. Gupta, Bioprocess Biosyst. Eng., 2014, 37, 1459–1467.
- 23 I. Asano, M. Umemura, S. Fujii, H. Hoshino and H. Iino, Food Sci. Technol. Res., 2004, 10(1), 93–97.
- 24 S. López-Sanz, R. Moreno, M. J. de La Mata, F. J. Moreno and M. Villamiel, J. Food Qual., 2018, 1–9.
- 25 P. Cao, L. Wu, D. Pan, X. Zeng, Y. Guo and L. Lian, J. Dairy Sci., 2019, 102, 2863–2872.
- 26 P. K. Srivastava, D. Panwar, K. V. H. Prashanth and M. Kapoor, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2017, 65, 2827–2838.
- 27 X. Pan, T. Wu, L. Cai and Z. Song, Lett. Appl. Microbiol., 2009, 48, 362–367.
- 28 M. F. A. Chacher, Z. Kamran, U. Ahsan, S. Ahmad, K. C. Koutoulis, H. G. Qutab Ud DIn and O. Cengiz, Worlds Poult. Sci. J., 2017, 73(4), 831–844.
- 29 B. Baurhoo, A. Letellier, X. Zhao and C. Ruiz-Feria, Poult. Sci., 2007, 86, 2509–2516.
- 30 T. Wu, F. Xu, C. Su, H. Li, N. Lv, Y. Liu, Y. Gao, Y. Lan and J. Li, Front Immunol, 2020, 11(1331), 1–13.
- 31 M. C. Audisio, G. Oliver and M. C. Apella, J Food Prot., 2000, 63(10), 1333–1337.
- 32 X. D. Pan, F. Q. Chen, T. X. Wu, H. G. Tang and Z. Y. Zhao, J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B, 2009, 10, 258–263.
- 33 W. van den Ende, D. Peshev and L. de Gara, Trends Food Sci. Technol., 2011, 22, 689–697.
- 34 A. Balakrishna, Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol., 2013, 56, 793–800.
- 35 G. L. Miller, Anal. Chem., 1959, 31, 426–428.
- 36 S. de Baere, V. Eeckhaut, M. Steppe, C. de Maesschalck, P. de Backer, F. van Immerseel and S. Croubels, J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal., 2013, 80, 107–115.