



Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, 55, 3931

Received 1st February 2019,
Accepted 8th March 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9cc00945k

rsc.li/chemcomm

First total synthesis of *ent*-asperparaline C and assignment of the absolute configuration of asperparaline C†

Irena Dokli, , Radek Pohl, Blanka Klepetářová and Ullrich Jahn *

The first asymmetric total synthesis of a member of the asperparaline family was accomplished and the unknown absolute configuration of asperparaline C has been determined to be all-(S). The key steps of the synthesis are an oxidative radical cyclization, a selective reduction of one of the tertiary amides, a singlet oxygen Diels–Alder reaction and a reductive spirocyclization.

The asperparalines A–C and 16-oxoaspergillimide (**1–4**) are a small subfamily of fungal metabolites possessing a bridged diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octanone core, which are a privileged part of the large family of alkaloids with a central diketopiperazine unit (Fig. 1).¹ The asperparalines are special, since they contain a unique 3-spirosuccinimide motif instead of the common indole or spirooxindole unit, which is biosynthetically presumably formed by oxidative degradation of an initially present indole ring.² Asperparalines A–C were isolated by Hayashi and co-workers from *Aspergillus japonicus* JV-23.^{3,4} Asperparaline A (aspergillimide) and 16-oxoaspergillimide were independently isolated by Everett and co-workers from *Aspergillus* sp. IMI 337664.⁵

Asperparalines A–C have strong paralytic effects on silkworms whereas asperparaline A and 16-oxoaspergillimide show anthelmintic activity against *Trichostrongylus colubriformis*. A recent study to determine their mode of action revealed that asperparaline A strongly and selectively blocks insect nicotinic acetylcholine receptors.^{6,7}

The three-dimensional structure and relative configuration of asperparaline A (**1**) was determined by X-ray crystallography, and the structure of **2–4** was deduced on this basis.⁴ However, their absolute configurations are still unassigned. That relates to their proposed biosynthesis, which is related to the paraherquamides,² in which both amino acid-derived stereogenic centers are planarized to an azadiene unit, which subsequently undergoes an intramolecular Diels–Alder reaction with a reverse prenyl group located at the indole unit of tryptophan forming the diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane core. Therefore, total synthesis remains the only strategy to determine their absolute configuration, but so far, all members of the asperparaline family withstood multiple attempts toward their total synthesis.^{8–11} This can be traced to their challenging structural elements, such as the three quaternary carbon atoms at the spiro and annulated cyclopentane ring bearing three stereogenic centers, the unusual spiro-succinimide unit, the *syn* configuration at the bridging bicycle, and the partially reduced 2,5-diketopiperazine scaffold at the more hindered position of the tetracyclic core.

The (di)ketopiperazine motif as a privileged scaffold in biology or medicinal chemistry¹² attracted our attention not only from the viewpoint of total synthesis of diketopiperazine alkaloids.¹³ We recently developed a unified approach to diverse DKP frameworks exploiting the persistent radical effect (PRE). This atom-economic process was shown to work efficiently for a formal total synthesis of bicyclomycin,¹⁴ several stephacidin intermediates,¹⁵ and a synthetic approach to asperparaline C, in which we failed to reduce the bridged diketopiperazine core to the crucial diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octanone unit.¹¹ This necessitated a revision of the synthetic approach and we hypothesized that the crucial selective monoreduction at the more hindered position of the diazabicyclooctane unit had to be performed





Fig. 1 Asperparalines A–C and 16-oxoaspergillimide (**1–4**).

Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Flemingovo náměstí 2, 16610 Prague 6, Czech Republic.

E-mail: ullrich.jahn@uochb.cas.cz

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, analytical data, copies of ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra and crystallographic data. CCDC 1875571. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c9cc00945k




Scheme 1 Retrosynthetic analysis of asperparaline C.

before assembly of the strongly rigidifying cyclopentane ring. Except for Tanimori's model studies,⁹ no approach considered the diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octanone skeleton instead of the more common DKP core, thus the different and increased reactivity of a tertiary amine function has to be taken into account, which is much more sensitive in oxidative transformations and may thwart transition metal-mediated reaction steps.

We report here an asymmetric total synthesis of *ent*-asperparaline C (*ent*-4) based on L-proline (8). The retrosynthesis is based on a late and challenging disconnection between two quaternary centers at the cyclopentane ring for the assembly of the spirosuccinimide–cyclopentane unit by an iron-mediated reductive radical cyclization (Scheme 1). This leads to a 1,6-diene embedded in the diazabicyclooctanone and butenolide units of intermediate 5. Further disconnection calls for selective monoreduction and oxidative furan modification of tricyclic derivative 6, which will be approached by using a PRE-based radical cyclization of furylmethyl diketopiperazine 7. This can be traced to natural L-proline (8) as the starting material. The PRE-based radical cyclization is distinct from Simpkins' radical^{10,16} and carbocation-based¹⁷ approaches using the same disconnection since it avoids radical translocation or overall reductive conditions, which prevented elaboration of the properly substituted cyclopentane ring.^{10,16}


L-Proline (8) was transformed *via* the trichloromethyl analog of Seebach's oxazolidinone 9 by deprotonation and alkylation with prenyl bromide to prenyloxazolidinone 10 (Scheme 2).¹⁸ Oxazolidinone 10 was opened with NH₃ in MeOH to give a mixture of ester 11 and amide 12 in a 4 : 1 ratio together with traces of formylated amide 13, which was not separated but directly transformed with bromoacetyl bromide to bromoacetamides 14 and 15. These compounds were also not isolated but converged to diketopiperazine 16 upon treatment with ammonia in methanol in 76% yield based on bicyclic oxazolidinone 10. At this point, temporary protection of the remaining free amide function was necessary to promote the subsequent alkylation efficiently. Acyl groups were not applicable because of competing Chan-type rearrangements^{15,19} and extensive experimentation with the PMB protecting group failed (see the ESI†),



Scheme 2 Synthesis of furylmethyl DKP 7 starting from L-proline.

which is in line with results by Williams and Simpkins in their campaigns toward DKP alkaloids.^{20–22} The most suitable protecting group proved to be the MOM group;²³ thus deprotonation by sodium hydride and reaction with MOMCl provided fully protected DKP derivative 17. Alkylation of 17 using *n*BuLi and 3-(bromomethyl)furan in THF at –78 °C proceeded smoothly furnishing furyl DKP 7 as a single *trans* diastereomer in 82% yield.


The efficient access to bicyclic DKP 7 set the stage for the crucial oxidative radical cyclization to approach the tricyclic diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane skeleton (Scheme 3). Deprotonation by *n*BuLi at –78 °C,²⁴ followed by oxygenation with ferrocenium hexafluorophosphate and TEMPO at –40 °C generated quaternary alkoxyamine 18 *in situ*, which could not be isolated, but had to be directly subjected to heating at 100 °C to promote the radical cyclization. Under these conditions tricyclic isopropenyldiazabicyclo[2.2.2]octandione 6 was obtained in 87% yield as a single *syn* diastereoisomer at the bridge stereocenter, whose structure and absolute configuration were unambiguously confirmed to be (*R*) at all three stereogenic centers by X-ray analysis.²⁵ This result is in line with very recent computational results revealing that the formation of quaternary alkoxyamines, such as 18, can be endergonic and that homolysis may occur below room temperature, however heating is mandatory to overcome the considerable cyclization barrier.¹³ The high diastereoselectivity



Scheme 3 Oxidative radical cyclization with mechanistic rationalization and ORTEP view of **6** (displacement ellipsoids shown at 50% probability level).

of the cyclization of radical **A** is remarkable, given that the MOM group is sterically not very demanding, but still directs the prenyl group very efficiently to the *syn* orientation in the transition state of the radical 6-*exo* cyclization. The cyclization conditions enabled at the same time effective introduction of the alkene unit, which is formed either (a) by direct β -hydrogen abstraction of the cyclized radical **B** by TEMPO or (b) by coupling of the cyclized radical with TEMPO and subsequent concerted elimination from intermediate **C** (Scheme 3).


With tricyclic **6** at hand, the MOM group was removed using *B*-bromocatecholborane giving free amide **19** in 96% yield (Scheme 4). This enabled selective reduction of the tertiary amide group at C-8 in the presence of the secondary. Although implementation required some experimentation the reduction proceeded reproducibly with 3.6–3.8 equivalents of DIBAL-H in toluene at room temperature, furnishing bridged piperazinone **20** in 79% yield.²⁶ With more than four equivalents of DIBAL-H, both groups were reduced, and with less than 3.6 equivalents, some **19** remained. Bridged piperazinone **20** was *N*-methylated and the furan unit in amide **21** was subsequently subjected to oxidative dearomatization *via* a hetero-Diels–Alder reaction with singlet oxygen and subsequent Kornblum–DeLaMare



Scheme 4 Synthesis of acetal **22** from tricyclic **6**.

rearrangement²⁷ in the presence of Hünig's base providing γ -hydroxybutenolide **5** in 85% yield with high regioselectivity as an epimeric mixture at the hemiacetal center. The tertiary amine present in the structure remained intact and no oxidation or cleavage of the core tricycle was observed. Acetalization with methanol using a small excess of (+)-CSA provided acetal **22** as a 1:1 mixture of epimers (Scheme 4). The excess was necessary because the first equivalent protonates the tertiary amine. However, the chiral acid did not induce diastereomeric preference for a particular acetal isomer. The use of a chiral alcohol to provide diastereomerically enriched acetals was not successful (not shown).

Reductive cyclization of isopropenyl butenolide **22** using $\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_3$ and PhSiH_3 as developed by Baran and coworkers²⁸ efficiently provided a partly separable 1:1 mixture of pentacyclic spirobutyrolactones **23a** and **23b** (Scheme 5). The configuration of both isomers was determined by ROESY experiments. The outcome of the cyclization can be rationalized by assuming that the tertiary alkyl radical is steered with full control to the butenolide face, which is opposite to the residing methoxy group. Spirocycle **23a** was reacted with *N*-methylamine solution in methanol to form hemiaminal **24a**, which was not isolated but was directly oxidized with PCC; acidic impurities were subsequently removed by filtration through a pad of Amberlite IRN-78, providing *ent*-asperparaline C (*ent*-**4**) in 66% yield from **23a** (Scheme 5). Diastereomeric spiroimide **23b** was similarly transformed to asperparaline C analogue **25** in 77% yield, differing in the configuration at the spiro center. Synthetic asperparaline C (**4**) displayed identical NMR spectra to that of isolated **4** (see the ESI† for detailed comparison).⁴ However, the optical rotation of synthetic **4** was with $[\alpha]_D^{20} = +26.3$ (*c* 0.316, MeOH) opposite to that of isolated asperparaline C, for which a specific optical rotation of $[\alpha]_D^{20} = -20$ (*c* 0.05, MeOH) was determined.⁴ The spectral and optical rotation data prove that natural asperparaline C has absolute (all-*S*) configuration whereas the synthetic material



Scheme 5 Reductive cyclization of dienes **22** to spirobutyrolactones **23a** and **23b** and completion of the total synthesis of *ent*-asperparaline C (*ent*-**4**) and its diastereoisomer **25**.

proved to have (*R*) configuration at all stereogenic centers and is thus the enantiomer of natural asperparaline C, *ent*-**4**.

In summary, we present the first enantioselective total synthesis of *ent*-asperparaline C starting from L-proline in overall 2.0% yield over 16 linear steps. The key steps were a rare, but highly stereoselective radical cyclization of an *in situ* generated quaternary alkoxyamine to form the central diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octanone core with the required *syn* configuration at the bridge stereogenic center, selective reduction of the tertiary amide at C-8 position, singlet oxygen Diels–Alder reaction and a reductive spirocyclization. This asymmetric total synthesis enabled the assignment of the absolute configuration of asperparaline C to be (*S*) at all four stereogenic centers in the molecule. Based on these results it can be assumed that the absolute configuration of the other family members **1**–**3** is similarly (all-*S*) at the pentacyclic core and also (*S*) at the additional methyl group. The determined absolute configuration of the diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane skeleton supports the biosynthetic relation of the asperparalines to the paraherquamides.² The presented strategy is modular and will be applicable with appropriate modifications to total syntheses of all other members of the asperparaline family and also other alkaloids bearing the diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane skeleton.

Generous financial support by the Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry, Czech Academy of Sciences (RVO:61388963) and the Gilead Sciences & IOCB Research Center is gratefully

acknowledged. I. D. thanks the Ruđer Bošković Institute, Zagreb (Croatia) for partial financial postdoctoral support.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- 1 J. M. Finefield, J. C. Frisvad, D. H. Sherman and R. M. Williams, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2012, **75**, 812–833.
- 2 (a) C. R. Gray, J. F. Sanz-Cervera, L. A. Silks and R. M. Williams, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 14692–14693; (b) Y. Ding, S. Gruschow, T. J. Greshock, J. Finefield, D. H. Sherman and R. M. Williams, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2008, **71**, 1574–1578.
- 3 H. Hayashi, Y. Nishimoto and H. Nozaki, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, **38**, 5655–5658.
- 4 H. Hayashi, Y. Nishimoto, K. Akiyama and H. Nozaki, *Biosci., Biotechnol., Biochem.*, 2000, **64**, 111–115.
- 5 R. M. Banks, S. E. Blanchflower, J. R. Everett, B. R. Manger and C. Reading, *J. Antibiot.*, 1997, **50**, 840–846.
- 6 K. Hirata, S. Kataoka, S. Furutani, H. Hayashi and K. Matsuda, *PLoS One*, 2011, **6**, e18354.
- 7 K. Hirata, *J. Pestic. Sci.*, 2016, **41**, 87–94.
- 8 L. A. Adams, C. R. Gray and R. M. Williams, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2004, **45**, 4489–4493.
- 9 (a) S. Tanimori, K. Fukubayashi and M. Kiriha, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2001, **42**, 4013–4016; (b) S. Tanimori, T. Sunami, K. Fukubayashi and M. Kiriha, *Tetrahedron*, 2005, **61**, 2481–2492.
- 10 P. J. Crick, N. S. Simpkins and A. Highton, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 6472–6475.
- 11 T. Amatov, R. Pohl, I. Cisařová and U. Jahn, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, **19**, 1152–1155.
- 12 Selected review: A. D. Borthwick, *Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **112**, 3641–3716.
- 13 T. Amatov, H. Jangra, R. Pohl, I. Cisařová, H. Zipse and U. Jahn, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 15336–15345.
- 14 T. Amatov, R. Pohl, I. Cisařová and U. Jahn, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 12153–12157.
- 15 T. Amatov, M. Gebauer, R. Pohl, I. Cisařová and U. Jahn, *Free Radical Res.*, 2016, **50**, S6–S17.
- 16 (a) N. S. Simpkins, I. Pavlakos, M. D. Weller and L. Male, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2013, **11**, 4957–4970; (b) N. Simpkins, I. Pavlakos and L. Male, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 1958–1960.
- 17 F. Frebault, N. S. Simpkins and A. Fenwick, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 4214–4215.
- 18 G. D. Artman, R. J. Rafferty and R. M. Williams, *Org. Synth.*, 2009, **86**, 262–273.
- 19 T. Coursindel, A. Restouin, G. Dewynter, J. Martinez, Y. Collette and I. Parrot, *Bioorg. Chem.*, 2010, **38**, 210–217.
- 20 R. M. Williams, R. W. Armstrong and J.-S. Dung, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 3253–3266.
- 21 R. M. Williams and T. Glinka, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1986, **27**, 3581–3584.
- 22 F. C. Frebault and N. S. Simpkins, *Tetrahedron*, 2010, **66**, 6585–6596.
- 23 P. S. Baran, C. A. Guerrero, N. B. Ambhaikar and B. D. Hafensteiner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 606–609.
- 24 When LiHMDS or LDA (ref. 11 and 13–15) were applied as bases, starting DKP **7** was fully recovered.
- 25 Crystallographic data of compound **6** were deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre under the number CCDC 1875571†.
- 26 Earlier use: E. M. Stocking, J. F. Sanz-Cervera and R. M. Williams, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 1675–1684.
- 27 T. Montagnon, D. Kalaitzakis, M. Triantafyllakis, M. Stratakis and G. Vassilikogiannakis, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 15480–15498.
- 28 (a) J. C. Lo, Y. Yabe and P. S. Baran, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 1304–1307; (b) J. C. Lo, J. Gui, Y. Yabe, C.-M. Pan and P. S. Baran, *Nature*, 2014, **516**, 343–348.