


 Cite this: *Nanoscale*, 2024, **16**, 20556

Superstructures of copper nanoclusters as NIR TADF emitters: solvent-dependent optical and morphological modulation†

 Sameeksha Agrawal,^a Debangana Shil,^a Aakash Gupta^b and Saptarshi Mukherjee  *^a

Herein, we report 2-mercaptopyridine-templated copper nanoclusters (CuNCs) which display near infrared (NIR) emission, both in the solid and colloidal states. Interestingly, the NIR emission can be modulated to orange emission by preparing the CuNCs in a mixed solvent system of chloroform and methanol instead of water. The drastic change in the photo-physical properties of the CuNCs when prepared in two different solvent systems is accompanied by a unique morphological tuning. Further studies reveal that the strong NIR emission is the result of thermally activated delayed fluorescence (TADF) which is confirmed by the long excited state lifetime ($\sim 4 \mu\text{s}$ at room temperature), time resolved emission spectroscopy (TRES) measurements, temperature-dependent photoluminescence studies, temperature-dependent lifetime studies, and excitation-transmittance dependent TRES intensity measurements. The CuNCs exhibit an exceptionally small singlet–triplet energy gap of 58.2 meV, indicating a highly efficient TADF in the system. Moreover, the solvent-dependent morphological tuning of the nanocluster superstructures rendering a drastic change in the photo-physical signatures is the consequence of different $\Delta E_{(S_1-T_1)}$ values for the CuNCs in different solvent environments. Further findings corroborate that the electronic structure of the surface ligands can also help us to tune the $\Delta E_{(S_1-T_1)}$ energy gap for these nanoclusters.

 Received 25th July 2024,
Accepted 5th October 2024
DOI: 10.1039/d4nr03074e

rsc.li/nanoscale

Introduction

Luminescent materials that emit in the near-infrared (NIR) wavelength region, *i.e.*, 700 to 2500 nm, have garnered tremendous attention from researchers in the fields of chemistry, biomedical science, and materials science due to several advantages over conventional fluorophores.^{1–4} NIR emitters have the advantage of deep penetration due to less interference, reduced absorption, and less scattering.^{1–4} Moreover, the energy emitted by the excited state during the decay of NIR materials is much less, which suppresses the side reactions which in turn increases the stability of NIR-based materials and devices. Several attempts have been made to utilize the concept of thermally activated delayed fluorescence (TADF) of these NIR-based luminescent nanomaterials for fabricating

light-emitting diodes for optoelectronics. Cadmium-containing quantum dots (QDs), for example, CdSe QDs, have found significant success in fabricating devices for optoelectronics.⁵ However, these heavy metal atom-containing QDs are not only highly toxic but also lose their photoluminescence properties on transferring these colloidal QDs into the solid state.⁶ Therefore, designing novel and environment-friendly photoluminescent materials is always challenging and has been a long-standing quest. To mitigate these challenges, coinage metal nanoclusters as NIR TADF emitters, characterized by low toxicity and high quantum yields, are considered promising candidates for manufacturing efficient organic light-emitting diodes (OLEDs). These luminescent and versatile nanomaterials have diverse applications in the fields of bioimaging,⁷ catalysis,^{8,9} drug delivery,¹⁰ antibiotics,¹¹ enzymatic activity,¹² and sensing.¹³ In practice, atomically precise gold nanoclusters (AuNCs) with NIR emission are reported to exhibit TADF under specific conditions.^{14,15} In general, these AuNCs require to be embedded inside an inorganic salt matrix¹⁴ or a solid polymer matrix¹⁵ to prevent the quenching of the emission from their triplet state by non-radiative energy transfer to molecular oxygen. Moreover, due to the absence of heavy atoms in AuNCs, the spin-forbidden transitions (triplet

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Bhopal, Bhopal Bypass Road, Bhauri, Bhopal 462 066, Madhya Pradesh, India. E-mail: saptarshi@iiserb.ac.in; Tel: +91-755-269-1301

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai 400 076, Maharashtra, India


† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4nr03074e>

to singlet) are highly suppressed. Thus, all the other radiationless transitions, including thermal dissipation, need to be suppressed to ensure good quantum efficiencies from TADF, which is ascertained by the use of a solid-state matrix.^{14,15} Hence, preparing coinage metal nanoclusters (MNCs) that provide solid-state emission with high quantum efficiencies by harvesting the emission from the singlet state as well as the triplet state, and that too without the use of any solid-state matrix is quite a challenging issue. To stabilize the TADF emitters in the solid state, a rigid environment is needed to be introduced around the MNCs which not only suppresses the radiationless transitions from thermal dissipation but also protects the non-radiative energy transfer from the triplet state to molecular oxygen. This can be achieved with the help of the formation of superstructures using MNCs.^{16–19} The formation of superstructures by establishing various kinds of interactions, *e.g.*, $\pi\cdots\pi$ and C–H $\cdots\pi$ intermolecular interactions, H-bonding interactions, dipolar interactions, and hydrophobic interactions, between the MNCs is a useful tactic to suppress the emission of the MNCs through non-radiative decay pathways.^{16–19} Superstructures of MNCs have recently garnered immense attention due to their intriguing optical behavior, leading to unprecedented photo-physical signatures including very large Stokes shifts (>200 nm), long excited state lifetimes (in the μs range), and structure–property relationships.^{16–19} The design and synthesis of functional nanomaterials through careful engineering of the interactions among the nanoclusters involved in the formation of these superstructures have emerged as a frontier area of research to create materials with unique properties.^{20–23} Recently, the use of aromatic ligands as a template for preparing MNCs has been found to be promising because such aromatic ligands can result in the formation of highly stable NCs with unique spectroscopic properties.²⁴ Moreover, aromatic ligands including aromatic thiols, phos-

phines, *etc.* are more prone to $\pi\cdots\pi$ and C–H $\cdots\pi$ intermolecular interactions, thereby rendering them reliable candidates for the formation of supramolecular architectures.^{16–20}

Over the past few decades, noteworthy developments in synthetic and analytical chemistry led to substantial achievements in the production and characterization of CuNCs.^{25–27} CuNCs are very susceptible to oxidation (primarily because of their small size and lower oxidation potential of 0.34 V), and hence to prevent rapid degradation and maintain their unique properties, the use of bulky ligands is a rational approach for achieving core stability of the MNCs.^{26,28} CuNCs exhibiting NIR emission (greater than 700 nm) are scarce in the literature.²⁹ Fenske and co-workers have reported high-nuclearity chalcogenide (selenide) clusters of copper and silver from silylated chalcogenide sources, which tend to show NIR emission.³⁰ Mak and co-workers have reported that the thermal compression of Cu(i) \cdots Cu(i) bonds which are shorter than twice the van der Waals radius of the copper atom ($2 \times 1.4 \text{ \AA}$) could lead to NIR emission of the CuNCs.³¹ In another study, Mak and co-workers presented the first report of alkynyl templated coinage metal nanoclusters which tend to show NIR TADF.³² Recently, Kumar and co-workers reported chiral copper nanoclusters which gave enhanced CPL guided by TADF, thus exhibiting the CP-TADF effect.³³

Herein, we present a comprehensive study of the self-assembly of 2-mercaptopyridine (2-MPy)-protected CuNCs with explicit photo-physical properties. The CuNCs attain a distinct morphology and lead to the formation of self-assembled superstructures (Scheme 1). Interestingly, in DMSO, where these remain in the colloidal state, these CuNCs (referred to as R-CuNCs) exhibit NIR emission. On the other hand, in the solution state (when a mixture of chloroform, CHCl_3 , and methanol, MeOH, was used instead), these CuNCs (referred to as O-CuNCs) exhibited an orange emission characterized by a



Scheme 1 Schematic representation of the morphological variation of the superstructures of the CuNCs in two different solvent environments and their respective properties.

different morphology of the superstructures from what was seen when DMSO was used as the solvent. This variation in morphology and spectroscopic signatures of the MNCs in the nanoscale regime by altering the solvent system is a fascinating aspect of these nanomaterials.³⁴ Altering the solvent results in environments leading to different intermolecular interactions, thereby resulting in the exclusive morphology and optical properties of the CuNCs, which enables us to study a new aspect of their structure–property relationship. Furthermore, both the CuNCs tend to display TADF behavior, with distinct $\Delta E_{(S_1-T_1)}$ values, which could be the plausible reason for their distinct photo-physical signatures. To the best of our knowledge, this is the very first report of thiol-protected CuNCs displaying NIR TADF with stable emission in the solid state. The modulations of their spectroscopic properties accompanied by a remarkable change in the morphology of the CuNC superstructures (driven by solvent-mediated $\Delta E_{(S_1-T_1)}$ values) open up new avenues to tailor the photo-physical signatures of MNCs. Moreover, this study also delineates the effect of the electron-withdrawing tendency of the surface ligands in modulating the $\Delta E_{(S_1-T_1)}$ values, which may further guide us to fabricate highly efficient MNC-based NIR optical materials.

Experimental section

Materials

Ultrapure MilliQ water was used throughout the experiments. Copper(II) nitrate hemipentahydrate ($\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 2.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$), 2-mercaptopyridine (2-MPy), 5-chloro-2-mercaptopyridine (MPyCl), 5-bromo-2-mercaptopyridine (MPyBr), methyl viologen dichloride hydrate (MV^{2+}), and sodium hydroxide (NaOH) were all purchased from Sigma-Aldrich USA and used as received. All the solvents of UV spectroscopy grade, *i.e.*, methanol (MeOH), chloroform (CHCl_3), and dimethylsulphoxide (DMSO), were purchased from Spectrochem.

Methods and instrumentation

Steady-state absorption and fluorescence measurements. Absorbance data were collected using a Shimadzu UV-2600i UV-Vis spectrophotometer by scanning in the range of 200–800 nm with a corrected baseline. Steady-state photoluminescence data were recorded using a Horiba Jobin Yvon Fluorolog 3-111 spectrofluorimeter. All the photoluminescence measurements were performed using a quartz cuvette of 10 mm path length.

Fluorescence lifetime measurements. For time-resolved measurements, a Delta Flex-01-DD/HORIBA time-correlated single photon counting (TCSPC) setup was used. A 355 nm spectra laser was used as the excitation source. The fitting of excited state lifetime decay was done using the following multi-exponential equation:

$$I(t) = \sum_i \alpha_i e^{-t/\tau_i} \quad (\text{i})$$

where α_i is the amplitude of the i^{th} component having lifetime τ_i . The average lifetime $\langle \tau \rangle$ is given by:³⁵

$$\langle \tau \rangle = \frac{\sum_i \tau_i \alpha_i}{\sum_i \alpha_i} \quad (\text{ii})$$

All the spectra were recorded by taking 500 μL of the sample in a quartz cuvette of path length 10 mm.

Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry measurements. To determine the most probable composition of the CuNCs, a Bruker micro TOF QII high-resolution mass spectrometer was used.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) measurements. An FEI Talos 200S field emission electron microscope operating at 200 kV was used to collect the TEM images. We used carbon-coated copper grids for sample preparation. On a copper grid, a 10 μL sample was drop-cast and dried in a vacuum. ImageJ software was used for processing the images.

Field emission scanning electron microscopy measurements. A Carl Zeiss (UltraPlus) field emission scanning electron microscope was used to investigate the morphologies of the CuNCs. The sample was prepared by drop-casting 10 μL of CuNC solution on mica foil and dried overnight in a vacuum.

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy measurements. A PerkinElmer Two instrument was used to perform the Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy.

Preparation of 2-mercaptopyridine (2-MPy)-templated CuNCs

Water-mediated preparation of R-CuNCs. For the preparation of 2-MPy-capped CuNCs, 6 mL of ultrapure MilliQ water was kept in a round-bottom flask, and in that flask, 2 mL of 20 mM aqueous solution of $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 2.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (final concentration 4 mM) was added. The light blue solution was stirred continuously at 1400 rpm at 25 °C. Then 2 mL of 20 mM 2-MPy (final concentration 4 mM) was added dropwise to that solution. After the dropwise addition of the ligand, the light bluish solution turned into a yellow colloidal solution and the CuNCs were collected after 30 minutes. The reaction conditions were further optimized by performing the reaction at different pH values, temperatures, and metal-to-ligand concentrations and the corresponding photoluminescence (PL) spectra of the resulting solutions were recorded. For further studies, the optimized reaction mixture was centrifuged and the solid was re-dissolved in DMSO. However, the temperature-dependent measurements were performed in water to have a greater range, as DMSO freezes below 19 °C and all the optical properties remain unchanged in DMSO.

Mixed solvent-mediated preparation of O-CuNCs. A mixture of 2 mL of methanol (MeOH) and 6 mL of chloroform (CHCl_3) was taken in a round-bottom flask. Then 1 mL methanolic solution of 9.30 mg of $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 2.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (final concentration 4 mM) was added to the flask. The bluish solution was stirred continuously at 1400 rpm at 45 °C. Then 1 mL methanolic solution of 4.44 mg of 2-MPy (final concentration 4 mM) was added dropwise to that solution. The final mixture trans-

formed into a clear yellow solution and the CuNCs were collected after 30 minutes.

Preparation of 5-Cl-2-mercaptopyridine (MPyCl)-templated CuNCs. MPyCl-capped CuNCs were prepared by adding 9.3 mg of $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 2.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ to 8 mL DMSO (final concentration 4 mM). The solution was kept on continuous stirring for 15–20 minutes until the copper salt dissolved completely. Thereafter, 5.824 mg of 5-Cl-2-mercaptopyridine (MPyCl) dissolved in 2 mL of DMSO was added to the copper solution (final concentration 4 mM). The dropwise addition of MPyCl solution results in a colloidal yellow-colored solution of CuNCs.

Preparation of 5-Br-2-mercaptopyridine (MPyBr)-templated CuNCs. MPyBr-capped CuNCs were prepared by adding 9.3 mg of $\text{Cu}(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 2.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ to 8 mL of DMSO (final concentration 4 mM). The solution was kept on continuous stirring for 15–20 minutes until the copper salt dissolved completely. Thereafter, 7.6 mg of 5-Br-2-mercaptopyridine (MPyBr) dissolved in 2 mL of DMSO was added to the copper solution (final concentration 4 mM). The dropwise addition of MPyBr solution results in a colloidal yellow-colored solution of CuNCs.

Results and discussion

Characterization of R-CuNCs

The protocol for preparing the R-CuNCs was optimized by varying the pH, temperature, and metal-to-ligand concentration (Fig. S1†). The CuNCs prepared at pH ~ 3 , at 25 °C, and at a metal to ligand ratio of 4:4 exhibited the maximum PL intensity (Fig. S1†). The colloidal aqueous solution was subjected to high-speed centrifugation, and then filtered and re-dissolved in DMSO to obtain the colloidal solution back for further studies. In the absorption spectrum of the optimized R-CuNCs (re-dissolved in DMSO), the surface plasmon resonance (SPR) band (~ 550 – 650 nm) that is typically a characteristic feature of larger size metal nanoparticles was conspicuously absent. This observation substantiated the conclusive evidence of the presence of remarkably low dimensional nanoclusters^{27,36} (Fig. 1a). Upon excitation at 410 nm, the R-CuNCs display an emission peak prominently centered at ~ 745 nm (Fig. 1a). The emission spectra of the R-CuNCs demonstrate that the as-prepared CuNCs exhibit strong emission in the NIR region, characterized by a large Stokes shift of ~ 375 nm (the excitation peak being at 370 nm, Fig. 1a). This



Fig. 1 Spectroscopic characterization of the R-CuNCs in DMSO. (a) Absorption, excitation, and emission spectra of the R-CuNCs, the inset shows the images of the R-CuNCs under (i) visible light and (ii) UV light; (b) solid-state emission spectra (in red) of the R-CuNCs overlaying exactly with their emission spectra in solution (in black), the inset shows the photograph of the R-CuNCs when coated on a glass slide which was used to record the spectra in the solid state; (c) lifetime decay profile of the R-CuNCs; and (d) ESI-mass spectra of the R-CuNCs in positive ion mode, the right panels show the overlay between the experimental and simulated patterns corresponding to the peaks at m/z values of 1279.50 and 1625.30.

large Stokes shift observed is an indication that the emission does not have much contribution from the intra-band transmission (sp–sp) or inter-band transition (sp–d) within the core. Instead, the emission observed herein from the CuNCs originates from the ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) or ligand-to-metal-to-metal charge transfer (LMMCT) from the sulphur atom of the ligand to the Cu atoms and the radiative relaxation likely occurs through a metal-centered triplet state.^{37–40} The as-prepared CuNCs have a well-defined HOMO–LUMO gap as evident from their excitation-dependent emission spectra, which do not display any shift in the emission maxima (Fig. S2a†). This also infers that the CuNCs were substantially homogeneous in terms of their size distribution (as discussed later). In general, the small molecule-templated MNCs are subject to several limitations, particularly concerning their photostability. However, in our case, the CuNCs exhibited exceptional photostability as evident from Fig. S2b.† Moreover, the as-prepared CuNCs show strong PL in the solid state without any change in the emission spectra; the maximum being centered at ~745 nm (Fig. 1b). To comprehend the excited state of the CuNCs, we carried out time-resolved measurements and estimated the excited state lifetime using a spectral LED source of 355 nm. Interestingly, the R-CuNCs exhibited a very long excited state lifetime of around ~4 μs (Fig. 1c and Table S1†). The exceptionally large lifetime is indicative of the stabilization of the excited state of the CuNCs, which is generally a consequence of the assembly of the nanoclusters forming a superstructure.^{19,41,42} The lifetime results are also in coherence with the large Stokes shift obtained for the system, corroborating the fact that the PL of the CuNCs is the result of LMCT and/or LMMCT.^{43–45} Furthermore, to prove the involvement of LMCT/LMMCT in the emission mechanism of the as-prepared CuNCs, we performed the photoluminescence quenching experiment using methyl viologen dichloride hydrate (MV²⁺) as a fluorophore. MV²⁺ is a well-known electron scavenger, which induces photo-induced electron transfer (PET) from MNCs.^{46,47} Upon the addition of MV²⁺ to the CuNC solution, the PL intensity of the CuNCs is quenched (Fig. S3†). The addition of MV²⁺ to the solution of CuNCs hinders the charge transfer from the surface ligands to the metal core of the MNCs, which was otherwise happening before the addition of MV²⁺. This is reflected in the quenching of the PL intensity of the CuNCs and happens only in the presence of the added MV²⁺.^{46,47} Therefore, this quenching depicts the prominent involvement of LMCT/LMMCT, resulting in the emission of the CuNCs as observed in this present investigation.

To have an estimate of the composition of the cluster core comprising the CuNCs, we carried out ESI-mass spectrometry analysis. The ESI-MS spectrum of the R-CuNCs carried out in positive ion mode is shown in Fig. 1d. The two substantially intense peaks centered at *m/z* values of 1279.50 and 1625.30 show a peak separation equal to 1 in their respective isotopic patterns, indicating the overall charge of +1 on the detected entity.^{19,48} The isotopic patterns of the peaks centered at *m/z* values of 1279.50 and 1625.30 match well with the simulated

isotopic mass pattern corresponding to the compositions [Cu₁₁(2-MPy)₅ + Na + 2H]⁺ and [Cu₇(2-MPy)₁₀ + 3Na]⁺, respectively (right panel in Fig. 1d). The FT-IR spectrum of only the ligand (2-MPy) shows a broad peak in the range of 3160–2770 cm⁻¹ due to the strong H-bonding between the hydrogen of the N–H group and the sulphur atom of the ligand (Fig. S4†). The disappearance of this broadband in the FT-IR spectrum indicates the formation of CuNCs due to the bonding between the ligand and the copper core (Fig. S4†).

The TEM studies reveal a fascinating morphology of the as-prepared CuNCs. The R-CuNCs were assembled into ordered supramolecular hierarchical superstructures in the form of three-dimensional cuboids (Fig. 2a–c). At a higher magnification, discrete CuNCs getting self-assembled in the form of cuboid-like superstructures could be clearly seen (Fig. 2d), with the CuNCs having an average diameter of ~2.2 ± 0.63 nm as represented by their size-distribution plot (Fig. 2e). The formation of these superstructures explains the exceptional photo-physical properties including very large Stokes shifts, excited state lifetimes in the range of microseconds, and the NIR emission even after having such a small cluster core composition.⁴¹ Due to the formation of the self-assemblies by the CuNCs, the intramolecular rotation and the vibration of the surface ligands get restricted which suppresses the non-radiative pathways and enhances the radiative pathways which leads to the highly intense PL, as mentioned before.⁴⁸ Moreover, the PL in the assembled nanoclusters is the result of metallophilic interactions along with LMCT and LMMCT. Therefore, Cu...Cu distances play a major role in deciding the emission energy and other photo-physical properties of the nanocluster assemblies. Due to the formation of closely assembled ordered superstructures, inter-cluster and intra-cluster Cu...Cu distances shorten, resulting in the NIR emission of the nanoclusters.⁴⁹ The three-dimensional cuboid-like morphology of the superstructures as revealed by the TEM images is also in agreement with the SEM analysis (Fig. 2f and g). The superstructures are discrete and three-dimensional as evident from the SEM images (Fig. 2f and g). Moreover, the SEM-EDX analysis of the as-prepared R-CuNC superstructures revealed the presence of Cu, N, and S which confirms the fact that these superstructures are the result of self-assembling of the CuNCs and eliminates all the other possibilities (Fig. S5†). The most possible reason behind the formation of such distinct and ordered superstructures is the non-covalent interactions such as π...π stacking and C–H...π interactions between the surface ligands (2-MPy) of the CuNCs. The π...π and C–H...π inter-cluster interactions are well known to assemble the nanoclusters into discrete superstructures, which in turn enhances the PL, and produces unique photo-physical features including NIR emission.^{17,20,50}

Elucidation of the TADF mechanism for R-CuNCs

To establish the reason behind such a long excited state lifetime, we carried out time-resolved emission spectroscopy (TRES) measurements for the R-CuNCs. In time-gated measurements, the normalized TRES spectra at a 4 μs delay



Fig. 2 (a–d) TEM images of the R-CuNC superstructures at different scales; (e) size distribution plot of the R-CuNCs; and (f–g) SEM images of the as-prepared R-CuNCs in DMSO.

time overlapped well with the steady-state emission spectra of the R-CuNCs, which suggested the possibility of TADF in our system^{51,52} (Fig. 3a). The TADF mechanism is operational only when there is a stable triplet state available for the emitter for favorable intersystem crossing (ISC) from the singlet state to the triplet state, and the energy gap between both the states should be sufficiently less to favor the reverse inter-system crossing (RISC), thus generating delayed fluorescence with a long excited state lifetime.^{51,52} To confirm the process of TADF in our system, temperature-dependent PL studies were done. For a TADF emitter, on increasing the temperature, the rate of RISC increases which in turn increases the PL intensity accompanied by a blue shift in the emission maxima.^{32,53} The temperature-dependent emission profile of the as-prepared

R-CuNCs is shown in Fig. 3b. On increasing the temperature from 278.65 K to 348.15 K for the R-CuNCs, a prominent increase in the PL intensity was observed (Fig. 3c), accompanied by a blue shift in the emission maxima of $\sim 30 \text{ nm}$, from 754 nm to 725 nm (Fig. S6†). The blue shift in the emission maxima upon increasing the temperature again insinuated the TADF mechanism.⁵⁴ The three-dimensional emission spectra of the R-CuNCs as a function of temperature depicting the enhancement in the emission intensity upon increasing the temperature along with the blue shift in the emission maxima are shown in Fig. 3d. Furthermore, to confirm the TADF mechanism for the R-CuNCs, temperature-dependent lifetime measurements were conducted using a 355 nm spectral-LED source. A sharp decrease in the emission



Fig. 3 (a) TRES spectra of the R-CuNCs at a time delay of 4 μs (in black) coinciding well with the steady-state emission spectra (in red); (b) temperature-dependent photoluminescence profiles of the R-CuNCs on increasing the temperature from 278.65 K to 348.15 K; (c) maximum PL intensity vs. temperature profile of the R-CuNCs; (d) a 3D plot representing the change in the emission spectra upon increasing the temperature; (e) variation in lifetime decay upon increasing the temperature from 278.15 K to 348.15 K; (f) average lifetime vs. temperature profile of the as-prepared R-CuNCs (error bars were determined based on three independent experiments); (g) TRES intensity as a function of excitation transmittance monitored at a 4 μs time delay; and (h) a plot representing the linear dependence between intensity integral and excitation transmittance (error bar $\pm 5\%$). (i) Schematic representation of various possible electronic transitions during TADF, fluorescence, and phosphorescence in the CuNCs.

decay time was observed upon increasing the temperature, as shown in Fig. 3e and Table S2.† The lifetime data were fitted using the Boltzmann equation derived from the TADF model:^{32,33}

$$\tau = \frac{\left[3 + \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta E(S_1 - T_1)}{K_B T}\right) \right]}{\left[\left(\frac{3}{\tau(T_1)}\right) + \frac{1}{\tau(S_1) \times \exp\left(\frac{\Delta E(S_1 - T_1)}{K_B T}\right)} \right]} \quad (\text{iii})$$

where τ , $\tau(S_1)$, and $\tau(T_1)$ represent the average lifetime, singlet state decay time, and triplet state decay time, respectively; K_B is the Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature in kelvin, and $\Delta E(S_1 - T_1)$ is the energy difference between the excited singlet and triplet state energy levels. For an efficient TADF process, $\Delta E(S_1 - T_1)$ should be less than $3000 \text{ cm}^{-1}/370 \text{ meV}$.^{32,33} On fitting the average lifetime vs. temperature data (Fig. 3f), $\Delta E(S_1 - T_1)$ was found to be equal to 58.2 meV which is much

less than 370 meV, indicating a very efficient TADF process for the R-CuNCs, and the values of $\tau(T_1)$ and $\tau(S_1)$ were found to be 11.6 μs and 177.85 ns, respectively (Table S3†). Therefore, these values substantiate the TADF mechanism which is operational for the system. Furthermore, to determine the nature of the delayed fluorescence, the excitation transmittance-dependent TRES measurements were performed.⁵⁵ The change in the PL intensity with excitation transmittance at an $\sim 4 \mu\text{s}$ delay time is depicted in Fig. 3g. On plotting the intensity integral as a function of excitation transmittance, a nearly linear dependence (slope ~ 1.2) was observed (Fig. 3h), confirming the thermally assisted nature of the delayed fluorescence mechanism over triplet-triplet annihilation in the R-CuNCs.^{55,56} The increase in PL intensity upon increasing the temperature is suggestive of the equilibrium between the singlet and triplet states (Fig. 3i). Upon increasing the temperature, the rate of RISC from the triplet state to the singlet state increases, which in turn increases the delayed fluorescence intensity of the R-CuNCs and it also results in the

blue shift of the emission maxima.³² Therefore, all the above results confirm the presence of a very efficient TADF mechanism in the R-CuNCs. Moreover, we also performed the photoluminescence and lifetime data measurements at 77 K (Fig. S7†). As per our expectations, the emission maximum corresponding to the R-CuNCs gets largely red-shifted from 725 nm at 348.15 K to ~800 nm at 77 K (Fig. S7a†). The significant red shift (of ~75 nm) in the emission maxima upon decreasing the temperature further justifies the possibility of TADF in our system.³² Additionally, we also performed the lifetime decay measurements at 77 K, as shown in Fig. S7b.† The average lifetime corresponding to the R-CuNCs at 77 K was estimated to be 8.53 μ s (Table S4†). The large augmentation in the average lifetime value from 2.96 μ s at 348.15 K to 8.53 μ s at 77 K is very well corroborated with our comprehension of the phenomenon of TADF which is operational in our system.³²

Characterization of O-CuNCs

Furthermore, to establish the structure–property relationship between the morphology of the superstructures and the spectroscopic signatures of the CuNCs, and to have a profound insight into the features of CuNC superstructures, we performed the synthesis in a slightly less polar solvent, *i.e.*, MeOH. Although orange-emitting CuNCs (termed O-CuNCs hereafter) were successfully prepared using MeOH as the solvent, the extremely low stability of the clusters was the primary concern. Interestingly, the introduction of chloroform (CHCl₃) into MeOH had a beneficial impact on the stability of the O-CuNCs. A novel combination of the solvents, in an appropriate ratio (v/v), provided a more favorable environment to circumvent the stability issue of the CuNCs. The CuNCs when prepared at an optimized MeOH:CHCl₃ (v/v) ratio of 4:6 were found to have the highest PL intensity (Fig. S8a†) and more stability than others. The reaction conditions were further optimized by varying the reaction temperature and metal-to-ligand concentration (Fig. S8b and c†). The CuNCs display the highest PL intensity when synthesized at 45 °C and at a metal-to-ligand concentration of 4:4 (Fig. S8b and c†). Moreover, the as-prepared CuNCs were completely soluble in the MeOH-CHCl₃ solvent mixture, forming a transparent yellow-colored solution (rather than being colloidal as observed in the former case of the R-CuNCs).

The distinct characteristic surface-plasmon resonance (SPR) band of larger copper nanoparticles (550–650 nm) was absent in the UV-Vis spectrum which convincingly supports that the O-CuNCs obtained through our synthetic protocol were significantly small in size and the system is free from larger size metal nanoparticles^{27,36} (Fig. 4a). Furthermore, the CuNCs exhibited orange emission with an emission maximum at 630 nm, when excited at 360 nm, resulting in a relatively smaller Stokes shift of around ~270 nm (Fig. 4a) as against 375 nm for the R-CuNCs. The excitation-dependent emission profile of the O-CuNCs also corroborates the homogeneous nature of the CuNCs and that the maximum PL intensity is obtained when excited at 360 nm (Fig. S9a†). Moreover, the

O-CuNCs were highly photostable as they showed an unaltered PL intensity even after subjecting them to the excitation source for ~30 minutes (Fig. S9b†). Control experiments show that the emission at 630 nm is exclusively the result of the formation of O-CuNCs (Fig. S10†). Excited state lifetime measurements were carried out using a 355 nm spectral LED source. The CuNCs again exhibited a long excited state lifetime of around ~490 ns (Fig. 4b and Table S5†), which is 1/10th the average excited state lifetime of the R-CuNCs (Tables S5 and S1†). Such a drastic change in the photo-physical properties upon changing the solvent environment during their preparation triggered us to study this unexplored area.

The ESI-MS analysis of the O-CuNCs (Fig. 4c) represents cluster core composition similar to that of the R-CuNCs. The isotopic pattern for the most intense mass peak centered at an *m/z* value of 1279.50 shows that the O-CuNCs also have the composition as [Cu₁₁(2-MPy)₅ + Na + 2H]⁺, along with that the isotopic pattern of another mass peak at an *m/z* value of 1925.20 matches well with the isotopic pattern corresponding to the composition [Cu₁₁(2-MPy)₁₁ + 3H]⁺ (right panel in Fig. 4c). These attest that although both the R-CuNCs and O-CuNCs have the same compositions, they exhibit different photo-physical signatures which can be ascribed to the different solvent environments. However, it is not necessary that the same chemical compositions of cluster cores always lead to the same geometries; different geometries for the same cluster core composition have also been reported.^{57–60} Consequently, it is highly probable that different cluster core geometries may lead to different emission energies. However, several other factors may lead to distinct emission energies of the R-CuNCs and O-CuNCs other than the different geometries of the cluster cores. The crystal packing and morphology of the assemblies also play a seminal role in determining the emission energies of the nanoclusters.⁶¹

Interestingly, the O-CuNCs also assembled in the form of superstructures but showcased a morphology different from the R-CuNCs as evident from the TEM images of the O-CuNCs (Fig. 5a and b). The O-CuNCs acquire a unique spherical morphology to form their superstructures, as against the cuboid-like geometry observed for the R-CuNCs.

These superstructures are discrete, highly ordered, and homogeneous. The change in the morphology of the superstructures along with the drastic change in the photo-physical features of the CuNCs on changing the solvent used during their preparation may be attributed to the fact that solvent variation causes changes in (a) intra-cluster and inter-cluster interactions and (b) solvent–cluster interactions. Thus, solvent variation can affect the π – π and C–H \cdots π interactions between the ligands, which in turn may affect the process of self-assembly of the MNCs. Previous reports suggest that solvent variation can also lead to different morphologies and crystal packing of the nanocluster due to facile inter-cluster interactions in one system from those of another.⁶¹ Previously, we have also reported that the CuNCs form spherical superstructures in protic solvents supported by the inter-ligand H-bond interactions, which can be completely disassembled in



Fig. 4 (a) Absorption, excitation, and emission spectra of the O-CuNCs, the inset shows the images of the O-CuNCs under (i) visible light and (ii) UV light; (b) lifetime decay profile of the O-CuNCs; and (c) ESI-mass spectra of the O-CuNCs; the right panel represents the overlap between the experimental and simulated patterns corresponding to the peaks at m/z values of 1279.50 and 1925.20.

other aprotic solvents, leading to a drastic change in their photophysical properties.¹⁹ Moreover, solvophobic interactions are also known to modulate the morphology of the aggregates within a few hours due to the enhancement in the π - π stacking interactions in one solvent compared to another.⁶² Additionally, the solvent system may interact with the MNCs and can change the HOMO-LUMO energy levels, resulting in a change of their spectroscopic properties as evident from their emission wavelengths.^{63,64} The spherical morphology of the O-CuNC superstructures was further confirmed by FE-SEM analysis, as displayed in Fig. 5d-f. SEM-EDX analysis shows the presence of copper, nitrogen, and sulphur in the CuNC superstructures, which corroborates the fact that these superstructures are the result of the formation of assemblies by the O-CuNCs and also invalidates all the other possibilities (Fig. 5g).

Excited state dynamics of O-CuNCs

To explore the excited state dynamics of the O-CuNCs, we performed the TRES measurements using a 355 nm spectral LED source. The TRES spectra at a delay time of ~ 500 ns overlapped well with the steady-state emission spectra of the O-CuNCs (Fig. 6a).⁵¹ The overlap between the TRES spectra at a 500 ns delay time, and the steady-state emission spectra again indicated the TADF mechanism for the O-CuNCs. Therefore, to investigate the excited state decay mechanism, we performed temperature-dependent PL studies for the O-CuNCs. Upon increasing the temperature from 273.15 K to 313.15 K, a sequential increment in the PL intensity of the O-CuNCs was observed. However, on further increasing the temperature to 348.15 K, there was a smooth decrease in the PL intensity (Fig. 6b). The bell-shaped curve obtained for the PL intensity



Fig. 5 (a–c) TEM images of the O-CuNC superstructures at different scales; (d–f) SEM images of the as-prepared O-CuNC superstructures at different scales; and (g) SEM-EDX analysis of the as-prepared O-CuNC superstructures representing all the elements present in the system, the inset shows the presence of N, S, and Cu inside the superstructures along with their respective weight and atomic percentages.

(Fig. 6c) upon increasing the temperature is also a signature of the TADF mechanism.³² The increase in the PL intensity upon increasing the temperature is due to the increased population of the S_1 state from the T_1 state at higher temperatures. Moreover, at very high temperatures, the thermal depopulation of the excited state of the emitter results in the decrement of the PL intensity.³² The increase in the PL intensity of the O-CuNCs was accompanied by a blue shift in the emission maxima (Fig. S11†). Upon increasing the temperature from 273.15 K to 348.15 K, the emission maxima of the O-CuNCs shifted from 635 nm to 624 nm with a blue shift of ~ 11 nm which was found to be lower than the blue shift for the R-CuNCs (~ 30 nm), indicating the higher efficiency of RISC in the R-CuNCs. Subsequently, to confirm the TADF mechanism for the O-CuNCs and also to investigate the reason behind the

variation in the photo-physical features of the O-CuNCs from the R-CuNCs, temperature-dependent lifetime measurements were performed for the O-CuNCs (Fig. 6d and Table S6†).

An exponential decrement in the average lifetime upon increasing the temperature was observed for the O-CuNCs as well. Upon fitting the average lifetime *vs.* temperature curve with the TADF model, the energy separation for the triplet and singlet states was found to be 199.03 meV with $\tau(T_1)$ and $\tau(S_1)$ values of 1.3 μ s, and 0.10 ns, respectively (Fig. 6e and Table S7†). The singlet–triplet energy gap for the O-CuNCs was estimated to be much higher as compared to the R-CuNCs. Therefore, upon changing the solvent system from a polar system ($H_2O/DMSO$) to a less polar mixed solvent system ($MeOH$ and $CHCl_3$), the extent of stabilization of the excited states for both systems also changes. Consequently, this leads




Fig. 6 (a) TRES spectra of the O-CuNC superstructures at a time delay of 500 ns exactly overlapping with the steady-state emission spectra; (b) temperature-dependent photoluminescence profiles of the O-CuNCs as a function of increasing temperature from 273.15 K to 348.15 K; (c) maximum PL intensity vs. temperature profile of the O-CuNCs; (d) temperature-dependent photoluminescence lifetime decay of the O-CuNCs; and (e) average lifetime vs. temperature plot of the O-CuNCs fitted with the Boltzmann equation (eqn (iii)) (error bars were determined based on three independent experiments).

to a difference in the energy separation between the singlet and triplet states, affecting the TADF mechanism, and hence affecting the photo-physical properties of the CuNCs as well. This study infers that just by changing the solvent environment around the CuNCs, their supramolecular architecture can be changed. Using this concept, the separation between the excited singlet and triplet states can also be modulated which in turn can be utilized in designing and fabricating various optoelectronic devices.

Effect of electronic properties of the ligand on the modulation of the ΔE_{ST} value for CuNCs

To investigate the effect of the electronic properties of the ligands on the $\Delta E(S_1-T_1)$ value of the as-prepared CuNCs, the R-CuNCs were prepared by using two other derivatives of 2-MPy having electron-withdrawing groups at the 5th position, namely 5-Cl-2-mercaptopyridine (MPyCl) and 5-Br-2-mercaptopyridine (MPyBr). The photo-physical features of the CuNCs obtained from the chlorine derivative are shown in Fig. 7.

The UV-Vis spectra of the CuNCs obtained using the chlorine derivative (*i.e.*, Cl-CuNCs) are shown in Fig. S12a.† The featureless absorption spectra without any signature of the surface plasmon resonance band confirm the formation of CuNCs, and the system is free from larger-size nanoparticles (Fig. S12†).^{27,36} The Cl-CuNCs exhibit the emission maxima at 700 nm and the excitation maxima at 370 nm, with a large Stokes shift of ~330 nm (Fig. 7a). The excitation-dependent emission spectra of the Cl-CuNCs are depicted in Fig. S12b,†

highlighting the homogeneous nature of the as-prepared Cl-CuNCs. Moreover, the Cl-CuNCs also display a temperature-dependent photoluminescence behavior, similar to that of the R-CuNCs (Fig. 7b). An increment in the PL intensity upon increasing the temperature from 293.15 K to 333.15 K along with a blue shift was observed, indicating the TADF nature of the photoluminescence (Fig. S13a and b†). The normalized plot for the temperature-dependent PL intensity also represents a blue shift in the emission maxima upon increasing the temperature (Fig. 7c). Furthermore, to quantify the $\Delta E_{S_1-T_1}$ value for the Cl-CuNCs, temperature-dependent lifetime measurements were also performed. As depicted in Fig. 7d and e, upon increasing the temperature from 293.15 K to 338.15 K, a sequential decrement in the average lifetime values was observed (Table S8†). Furthermore, the $\Delta E_{S_1-T_1}$ values were calculated by fitting the average lifetime vs. temperature plot mentioned in eqn (iii).^{32,33} On fitting the average lifetime vs. temperature data, $\Delta E(S_1-T_1)$ was found to be equal to 111.5 meV which is much less than 370 meV, indicating an efficient TADF process for the Cl-CuNCs, and the $\tau(T_1)$ and $\tau(S_1)$ values were found to be equal to 9.46 μ s and 77.86 ns, respectively (Table S9†). Furthermore, to confirm the TADF mechanism for the as-prepared Cl-CuNCs, TRES measurements were performed. The overlap between the TRES plot at an ~6.5 μ s delay time and the steady-state spectra of the Cl-CuNCs confirms the involvement of delayed fluorescence in the photoluminescence mechanism for the system (Fig. 7f).⁵¹



Fig. 7 Photo-physical studies of the CuNCs prepared from the chlorine derivative of the ligand, *i.e.*, using MPyCl as a ligand. (a) Normalized excitation and emission spectra of the CuNCs depicting the excitation maxima at 370 nm and the emission maxima at 700 nm; (b) temperature-dependent PL spectra of the CuNCs; (c) normalized PL spectra of the CuNCs at different temperatures as marked in the figure; (d) lifetime decay profiles of the CuNCs as a function of temperature, depicting a decrement in the average lifetime on increasing the temperature from 293.15 K to 338.15 K; (e) average lifetime vs. temperature plot fitted using the TADF model (error bars were determined based on three independent experiments); and (f) normalized steady-state spectra and TRES plot at an ~ 6.5 μs delay time depicting a significant overlap with each other.

Similarly, all the photophysical studies were performed for the CuNCs prepared using the bromine derivative, *i.e.*, the Br-CuNCs as represented in Fig. S14–S16.† The Br-CuNCs exhibit the excitation maxima at 370 nm and the emission maxima at 700 nm similar to those of the Cl-CuNCs (Fig. S14b†). An increment in the PL intensity along with the blue shift upon increasing the temperature was also observed for the Br-CuNCs (Fig. S15†). The temperature-dependent lifetime measurements for the Br-CuNCs are shown in Fig. S16 and Table S10.† The $\Delta E(S_1-T_1)$ value was found to be equal to 67.4 meV for the Br-CuNCs, and the $\tau(T_1)$ and $\tau(S_1)$ values were found to be equal to 15.03 μs and 246 ns, respectively (Table S11†). A comparative representation of the fitting parameters obtained from the average lifetime vs. temperature plot for the R-CuNCs, Cl-CuNCs, and Br-CuNCs is shown in Table 1.

From Table 1, we can conclude that on increasing the electron-withdrawing tendency of the ligand, the $\Delta E(S_1-T_1)$ value for the CuNCs increases. The more electron-donating ligand results in enhanced ligand-to-metal charge transfer and

ligand-to-metal-to-metal charge transfer, which in turn reduces the energy difference between the excited triplet and excited singlet states, thus enhancing the TADF efficiency.

Conclusions

We prepared 2-mercaptopyridine-templated CuNCs in two different solvent systems, the first one in water (termed R-CuNCs) and the other one in a mixed solvent system of MeOH and CHCl_3 (termed O-CuNCs). Both the systems exhibit entirely different photo-physical properties and morphological signatures; the R-CuNCs being NIR emitting with the emission maxima at 745 nm and the O-CuNCs with orange emission having the emission maxima at 630 nm. Along with their solid-state emission, the R-CuNCs when studied in DMSO acquire a cuboid-shaped morphology forming discrete superstructures and retaining their spectroscopic signatures. In contrast, the O-CuNCs acquire a spherical morphology for their superstructures in the mixed solvent system. From the ESI-mass analysis data, it was found that both the CuNCs had the same core compositions but exhibited distinct photo-physical features due to the different solvent environments around them. Furthermore, we established that both the systems exhibit the TADF mechanism, with the difference in their excited state energy separation values being attributed to their varying photo-physical properties. The R-CuNCs exhibit an exceptionally small singlet-triplet energy gap ($\Delta E(S_1-T_1)$) of ~ 58.2 meV, whereas the O-CuNCs were characterized by an

Table 1 Fitting parameters obtained from the plot of average lifetime vs. temperature for the R-CuNCs, Br-CuNCs, and Cl-CuNCs

CuNCs	$\Delta E_{S_1-T_1}$ (meV)	$\tau(T_1)$ (μs)	$\tau(S_1)$ (ns)
R-CuNCs	58.2	11.6	177.8
Br-CuNCs	67.4	15.03	246.5
Cl-CuNCs	111.5	9.5	77.9

$\Delta E(S_1-T_1)$ value of ~ 200 meV. Thereafter, the study reveals that the electronic structure of the ligand can be used to modulate the energy gap between the excited singlet and triplet states ($\Delta E(S_1-T_1)$). The CuNCs formed by using electron-rich ligands were found to have the lowest $\Delta E(S_1-T_1)$ value. Thus, the present investigation reveals a unique methodology to prepare systems with enhanced photophysical properties that can serve as NIR TADF emitters without the use of any solid-state matrices harvesting both the singlet and triplet states.

Data availability

All the supporting data for the manuscript are provided in the ESI,[†] including reaction condition optimization data, spectroscopic characterization of the R-CuNCs and O-CuNCs, FT-IR spectra, SEM-EDX data, lifetime data tables, TADF model fitting parameter tables, control experiments, photo-physical studies and characterization of the Cl-CuNCs and Br-CuNCs.

Author contributions

SA, DS, and SM conceptualized the project. SA, DS, and AG carried out all the experiments and analyzed the data. SA, DS, and SM compiled the data and wrote the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

SA and DS thank IISER Bhopal and AG thanks INSPIRE, Government of India for providing a fellowship. SM thanks SERB-ANRF, Government of India (Project No.: SERB-CRG/2023/000473) for financial assistance. We also thank the FIST facility, Department of Chemistry, IISER Bhopal for TEM measurements. SA and DS thank Mr. Srimanta Gogoi, Department of Chemistry for his help in analyzing some of the TADF data.

References

- C. T. Jackson, S. Jeong, G. F. Dorlhiac and M. P. Landry, *iScience*, 2021, **24**, 102156.
- T. Jia, Z.-J. Guan, C. Zhang, X.-Z. Zhu, Y.-X. Chen, Q. Zhang, Y. Yang and D. Sun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 10355–10363.
- J. Cao, B. Zhu, K. Zheng, S. He, L. Meng, J. Song and H. Yang, *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.*, 2019, **7**, 487.
- J. Mu, M. Xiao, Y. Shi, X. Geng, H. Li, Y. Yin and X. Chen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e20211472.
- A. P. Litvin, I. V. Martynenko, F. Purcell-Milton, A. V. Baranov, A. V. Fedorov and Y. K. Gun'ko, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2017, **5**, 13252–13275.
- X. Li, Y. Liu, X. Song, H. Wang, H. Gu and H. Zeng, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 1759–1764.
- S. Chakraborty, A. Nandy, S. Ghosh, N. K. Das, S. Parveen, S. Datta and S. Mukherjee, *Analyst*, 2021, **146**, 1455–1463.
- X. Du and R. Jin, *ACS Nano*, 2019, **13**, 7383–7387.
- P. Mahato, S. Shekhar, R. Yadav and S. Mukherjee, *Nanoscale*, 2023, **16**, 806–820.
- C. Ding, Y. Xu, Y. Zhao, H. Zhong and X. Luo, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 8947–8954.
- S. Shekhar, S. Shrivastava, A. Kabeer Kurukkan, P. Sagarika, S. Pramanik, C. Sahi and S. Mukherjee, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A*, 2023, **436**, 114403.
- S. Shekhar, R. Sarker, P. Mahato, S. Agrawal and S. Mukherjee, *Nanoscale*, 2023, **15**, 15368–15381.
- H. Lu, H. Hou, Y.-C. Hou, Z. Zheng, Y. Ma, Z. Zhou, X. Guo, Q.-J. Pan, Y. Wang, Y. Qian, J.-Q. Wang and J. Lin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 3449–3457.
- H. T. Lin, K. B. Cai, H. Y. Huang, T. N. Lin, J. L. Shen, C. A. J. Lin and C. T. Yuan, *J. Lumin.*, 2017, **187**, 269–273.
- M. J. A. Talite, H. T. Lin, Z. C. Jiang, T. N. Lin, H. Y. Huang, E. Heredia, A. Flores, Y. C. Chao, J. L. Shen, C. A. J. Lin and C. T. Yuan, *Nanotechnology*, 2016, **27**, 345701.
- J. V. Rival, P. Mymoona, K. M. Lakshmi, Nonappa, T. Pradeep and E. S. Shibu, *Small*, 2021, **17**, 2005718.
- M. Jash, A. Jana, A. K. Poonia, E. Khatun, P. Chakraborty, A. Nagar, T. Ahuja, K. V. Adarsh and T. Pradeep, *Chem. Mater.*, 2023, **35**, 313–326.
- L. Shi, L. Zhu, J. Guo, L. Zhang, Y. Shi, Y. Zhang, K. Hou, Y. Zheng, Y. Zhu, J. Lv, S. Liu and Z. Tang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 15397–15401.
- S. Agrawal, S. Rai, P. Mahato, A. Ali and S. Mukherjee, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2024, **15**, 4880–4889.
- K. S. Sugi, A. P. Sandra, Nonappa, D. Ghosh, J. S. Mohanty, M. Paulthangam Kannan, B. S. Sooraj, P. Srikrishnarka, J. Roy, W. A. Dar and T. Pradeep, *Nanoscale*, 2023, **15**, 11927–11934.
- J. V. Rival, Nonappa and E. S. Shibu, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 14569–14577.
- Q. Li, C. J. Zeman IV, G. C. Schatz and X. W. Gu, *ACS Nano*, 2021, **15**, 16095–16105.
- Q. Yao, Z. Wu, Z. Liu, Y. Lin, X. Yuan and J. Xie, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 99–127.
- P. Mahato, K. Mandal, S. Agrawal, D. Chopra and S. Mukherjee, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2024, **15**, 461–470.
- A. Baghdasaryan and T. Bürgi, *Nanoscale*, 2021, **13**, 6283–6340.
- S. Nematulloev, R. W. Huang, J. Yin, A. Shkurenko, C. Dong, A. Ghosh, B. Alamer, R. Naphade, M. N. Hedhili, P. Maity, M. Eddaoudi, O. F. Mohammed and O. M. Bakr, *Small*, 2021, **17**, e2006839.
- S. Shekhar, P. Mahato, R. Yadav, S. D. Verma and S. Mukherjee, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2022, **10**, 1379–1389.

- 28 S. Lee, M. S. Bootharaju, G. Deng, S. Malola, W. Baek, H. Häkkinen, N. Zheng and T. Hyeon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 13974–13981.
- 29 L. L.-M. Zhang and W.-Y. Wong, *Aggregate*, 2023, **4**, e266.
- 30 O. Fuhr, S. Dehnen and D. Fenske, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 1871–1906.
- 31 L. L.-M. Zhang and T. C. W. Mak, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 16228–16232.
- 32 L. L.-M. Zhang, G. Zhou, G. Zhou, H.-K. Lee, N. Zhao, O. V. Prezhdo and T. C. W. Mak, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 10122–10128.
- 33 C. Dutta, S. Maniappan and J. Kumar, *Chem. Sci.*, 2023, **14**, 5593–5601.
- 34 J. Shen, Z. Wang, D. Sun, G. Liu, S. Yuan, M. Kurmoo and X. Xin, *Nanoscale*, 2017, **9**, 19191–19200.
- 35 S. Pramanik, S. Chithra, S. Rai, S. Agrawal, D. Shil and S. Mukherjee, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2023, **127**, 6608–6619.
- 36 P. Mahato, A. S. Thomas, R. Yadav, S. Rai, S. Shekhar and S. Mukherjee, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2023, **18**, e202300442.
- 37 Z. Wu, J. Liu, Y. Gao, H. Liu, T. Li, H. Zou, Z. Wang, K. Zhang, Y. Wang, H. Zhang and B. Yang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 12906–12913.
- 38 E. Akyüz, F. B. Şen, M. Bener, K. S. Başkan and R. Apak, *Talanta*, 2020, **208**, 120425.
- 39 Y. Peng, X. Huang and F. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, **57**, 13012–13015.
- 40 P. Chandrashekar, G. Sardar, T. Sengupta, A. C. Reber, P. K. Mondal, D. Kabra, S. N. Khanna, P. Deria and S. Mandal, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2024, **63**, e202317345.
- 41 Y.-E. Shi, J. Ma, A. Feng, Z. Wang and A. L. Rogach, *Aggregate*, 2021, **2**, e112.
- 42 P. Mahato, S. Shekhar, S. Agrawal, S. Pramanik and S. Mukherjee, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2022, **5**, 7571–7579.
- 43 Y. Liu, D. Yao and H. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 12071–12080.
- 44 K. Basu, S. Paul, R. Jana, A. Datta and A. Banerjee, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2019, **7**, 1998–2007.
- 45 R. Gupta, S. Agrawal, S. Rai, J. Sarkar, S. Kumari, D. Shil and S. Mukherjee, *ACS Appl. Opt. Mater.*, 2024, **2**, 1880–1890.
- 46 A. Chatterjee and P. Purkayastha, *Mater. Adv.*, 2021, **2**, 1343–1350.
- 47 K. G. Stamplecoskie and P. V. Kamat, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 11093–11099.
- 48 T. Chen, Q. Yao, R. R. Nasaruddin and J. Xie, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 11967–11977.
- 49 N. Goswami, Q. Yao, Z. Luo, J. Li, T. Chen and J. Xie, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2016, **7**, 962–975.
- 50 Z. Wu, J. Liu, Y. Gao, H. Liu, T. Li, H. Zou, Z. Wang, K. Zhang, Y. Wang, H. Zhang and B. Yang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 12906–12913.
- 51 S. Kuila, S. Garain, G. Banappanavar, B. C. Garain, D. Kabra, S. K. Pati and S. J. George, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2021, **125**, 4520–4526.
- 52 F. Ni, Z. Zhu, X. Tong, M. Xie, Q. Zhao, C. Zhong, Y. Zou and C. Yang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 6150–6155.
- 53 Z.-R. Yuan, Z. Wang, B.-L. Han, C.-K. Zhang, S.-S. Zhang, Z.-Y. Zhu, J.-H. Yu, T.-D. Li, Y.-Z. Li, C.-H. Tung and D. Sun, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202211628.
- 54 M. J. Leitl, V. A. Krylova, P. I. Djurovich, M. E. Thompson and H. Yersin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 16032–16038.
- 55 X. Li, G. Baryshnikov, C. Deng, X. Bao, B. Wu, Y. Zhou, H. Ågren and L. Zhu, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 731.
- 56 M. Chapran, P. Pander, M. Vasylieva, G. Wiosna-Salyga, J. Ulanski, F. B. Dias and P. Data, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 13460–13471.
- 57 R. Langer, M. Yadav, B. Weinert, D. Fenske and O. Fuhr, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **2013**, 3623–3631.
- 58 P. V. V. N. Kishore, D.-R. Shi, J.-H. Liao, A. K. Gupta and C. W. Liu, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2019, **496**, 119068.
- 59 P.-K. Liao, C.-S. Fang, A. J. Edwards, S. Kahlal, J.-Y. Saillard and C. W. Liu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 6577–6591.
- 60 S. Biswas, A. Pal, M. K. Jena, S. Hossain, J. Sakai, S. Das, B. Sahoo, B. Pathak and Y. Negishi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 20937–20944.
- 61 A. Nag, P. Chakraborty, M. Bodiuzzaman, T. Ahuja, S. Antharjanam and T. Pradeep, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 9851–9855.
- 62 S. Zhou, S. Zhang, H. Li, D. Sun, J. Zhang and X. Xin, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2021, **4**, 10911–10920.
- 63 F. Qu, L. L. Dou, N. B. Li and H. Q. Luo, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2013, **1**, 4008.
- 64 S. Wang, Q. Li, S. Yang, H. Yu, J. Chai and M. Zhu, *Nanoscale*, 2022, **14**, 16647–16654.