



Cite this: *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.*, 2024, **10**, 2906

## A comprehensive study on the physicochemical characteristics of faecal sludge from septic tank and single pit latrine facilities in a typical semi-urban Indian town: a case study of Rajasthan, India

Harishvar Jothinathan and Ajit Pratap Singh \*

Faecal sludge (FS) generated from onsite sanitation (OSS) systems has become a significant pollutant that negatively impacts the environment. Environmental contamination results from the disposal of untreated FS. In semi-urban areas where numerous toilets are linked to OSS systems, such as septic tanks and single pits, faecal sludge management (FSM) becomes crucial to ensure a safe sanitation service chain. Integral to the faecal sludge management framework, treating FS is imperative, ensuring safe disposal and resource recovery. FS characterization plays a significant role in designing FS treatment plants. This case study characterized FS samples of OSS collected from Pilani, Rajasthan, India. The pH, temperature, electrical conductivity, total solids, chemical oxygen demand, faecal coliforms, total nitrogen, total phosphorus, and capillary suction time varied from 4.64 to 7.93, 20.6 to 27.5 °C, 1.857 to 6.315 mS cm<sup>-1</sup>, 3430 to 95 393.33 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, 4406 to 160 000 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, 10<sup>3</sup> to 10<sup>9</sup> CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>, 81.7 to 709.2 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, 285 to 4471 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, and 149 to 1256.8 seconds, respectively. The significant factors influencing the key FS characteristic parameter COD are found to be the FS age ( $p < 0.001$ ) and type of OSS ( $p = 0.044$ ), and for total solids, the factors affecting are identified as the FS age ( $p < 0.001$ ), type of OSS ( $p = 0.002$ ) and greywater dilution ( $p = 0.011$ ). This case study can assist FSM stakeholders in designing FS treatment plants in Indian semi-urban towns and other developing nations with infrastructure, geographical and demographic factors, sanitation types, and FSM models similar to those in Pilani.

Received 17th February 2024,  
Accepted 9th September 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ew00127c

rsc.li/es-water

### Water impact

The Swachh Bharat Mission (SBM) in India, launched in 2014, built 110 million toilets (including public and individual household toilets) to eradicate open defecation. In India, 90% of the population relies on onsite sanitation (OSS). In addition to that, due to the SBM, there was a rapid increase in the usage of OSS. Consequently, if untreated, the rise in faecal sludge (FS) from OSS poses a significant pollution risk to surface and groundwater. This case study characterized FS to aid sanitation stakeholders in designing treatment systems in Indian towns and comparable nations globally, ensuring efficient treatment and resource recovery and protecting water quality, directly contributing to achieving SDG6.

## 1. Introduction

On-site sanitation (OSS) involves collecting, storing, or treating excreta and wastewater at the exact location where they are produced. Currently, 2.8 billion people in the urban centers of low and middle-income countries rely on OSS. A significant portion of excreta generated from this OSS in low- and middle-income countries is not treated and safely

managed. By 2030, the number of people using OSS is expected to increase to 5 billion,<sup>1</sup> highlighting the urgent need for effective treatment and safe management of excreta to prevent the looming sanitation crisis. The storage of excreta and blackwater mixtures, with or without greywater, in OSS containment systems produces faecal sludge (FS), which is raw or partially digested, a slurry or semisolid.<sup>2–4</sup> FS contains many faecal coliforms, organic matter, and total solid content, due to which septic tank overflows and the irresponsible release of FS into open spaces harm groundwater quality, water bodies, irrigation fields, open drains, and areas outside villages. The FS leachate leaches

Civil Engineering Department, Birla Institute of Technology and Science, Pilani-333031, India. E-mail: p20220018@pilani.bits-pilani.ac.in, aps@pilani.bits-pilani.ac.in



into the soil surface and reaches the groundwater table, which affects the groundwater quality. Disposal of untreated FS into open fields makes the soil infertile and unfit for agriculture. If FS is discharged in or near waterbodies, it will affect the surface water quality, negatively impacting public health and the environment by spreading excreta-related diseases. Excreta-related diseases are becoming more common through direct contact due to improper disposal techniques and the use of untreated FS. As a primary cause of illness and mortality for children under five in low- and middle-income countries, diarrhoea results from inadequate sanitation, a severe public health concern.<sup>5</sup> The existing faecal sludge management (FSM) model is struggling to safeguard public health in many developing and middle-income countries due to the lack of proper FS collection, treatment, disposal, and resource recovery. Thus, robust localized FSM is needed for an hour to achieve a sustainable sanitation service chain and meet SDG6 goals. Even though more individuals have access to sanitation systems globally, appropriate FSM is still a significant issue in low- and middle-income countries. These regions often lack infrastructure and adequate and systematic FSM service chains, *i.e.*, FS collection, FS treatment, and resource recovery.

Wastewater is water generated from domestic, commercial, and industrial sources containing chemicals and heavy metals. FS is entirely different from usual wastewater since FS is a sludge that accumulates in OSS systems, such as septic tanks, pit latrines, and composting toilets. Thus, the characteristics of wastewater and FS differ widely, even if they are produced in the same geographical location. The overall concentrations of total solids, organic content, ammonia, total nitrogen, and helminth eggs are 10–100 times more in FS than they are in wastewater sludge.<sup>2,3,6</sup> Thus, FS treatment is an essential aspect of FSM for its safe disposal and resource recovery from FS. Co-treatment with sewer-based wastewater treatment technology is one option for treating FS. However, most wastewater treatment plants in low-income nations have failed because of improper loading rates and greater FS strength compared to municipal wastewater.<sup>7</sup> Thus, faecal sludge treatment plants (FSTPs) are essential for treating FS from OSS for safe disposal, especially in low-income countries. FS characterization plays a vital role in choosing/designing FS treatment technologies. However, FS raw data are highly location-specific and less uniform compared to wastewater data.<sup>8</sup>

FS is higher in chemical oxygen demand (COD), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD), total solids (TS), total nitrogen (TN), nutrients, and pathogen content. The large variability in FS and various OSS in use, such as pit latrines, septic tanks, and dry toilets, makes it difficult to assess the FS generation rate and the average FS characteristics.<sup>9</sup> For example, a study in Bangangte, Cameroon<sup>10</sup> found that the TS value of FS was higher in pit latrines (15.90 g l<sup>-1</sup>) compared to septic tank FS (1.92 g l<sup>-1</sup>). Similarly, another study in Vadgaon Maval, Maharashtra<sup>11</sup> analysed septic tank

FS samples by age and found that the TS and COD in FS increase with age. A study in Chennai, India<sup>12</sup> found that the total solid content of FS is 1.6 times more in the winter than in the summer. The cleaning frequency of OSS is influenced by demographic factors like population density, household size, socioeconomic status, and urbanization levels, which affect the volume of FS generated and the size of the OSS system. Inputs like excreta, blackwater, greywater, and additives, factors like the type of containment (septic tanks, single pits, cesspool, dry toilets, *etc.*), demographic factors (urban, rural, cleaning frequency), and environmental factors (climate, topography) affect the FS characterization.<sup>13</sup>

As of 2023, India remains the most populous country, and many Indians face serious health issues due to contaminated soil and water resulting from inadequate sanitation practices.<sup>14</sup> The Sustainable Development Goals (SDG) of UN 2015 include SDG 6, which aims to provide everyone with clean water and safely managed sanitation systems. The Swachh Bharat Mission (SBM) is a country-wide campaign by the Government of India to eradicate open defecation and make open-defecation-free towns and villages. Under the SBM, 110 million toilets (including public and individual household toilets) have been built in towns and villages nationwide to combat open defecation.<sup>15</sup> The stages in constructing 110 million toilets nationwide under the SBM are shown in Fig. 1.

Policy impact leads to increased OSS nationwide and an increase in the FS generation rate. According to estimates from the consulting firm Energy Alternatives India, India produces approximately 0.12 million tonnes of FS daily,<sup>16</sup> which will be further increased due to increased OSS usage. But most of the emptied FS from OSS is dumped without treatment, posing environmental pollution through groundwater degradation and spreading faecal pathogenic diseases. For example, according to a report by the Centre for Science and Environment (CSE) in 2019, 94% of the FS in 66 cities of Uttar Pradesh, India's most populous state, was left untreated.<sup>17</sup> India initiated the establishment of FSTPs to treat the generated FS in different towns and urban areas of India. Since treatment technologies for FS depend upon its characteristics, an in-depth characterization study and data are necessary in Indian towns. This case study aims to analyse the FS characteristics in a semi-urban, tier-III (population range of 20 000 to 49 999) Indian town and identify the factors affecting them in a localized context. The insights of this study can be used to analyse FS characteristics and design FS treatment systems in similar tier-III Indian towns for safe FSM. A case study defines the situation through data collecting and empirical facts, allowing researchers to highlight the particular issues the victims experience. Therefore, empirical data were gathered for this case study through questionnaire interviews with FSM stakeholders and laboratory characterization in Pilani to learn about the factors impacting the FS characteristics. The novelty of this study lies in its comprehensive approach, which combines laboratory characterization with






Fig. 1 Stages of the SBM in providing toilets to households.

questionnaire methodology, specifically in the Indian context. While previous research has focused on the characterization of raw FS, our study expands upon this by examining FS under various conditions such as age, the type of on-site sanitation system (OSS), inflow and outflow dynamics, household behavioural changes, and the role of OSS emptiers as discussed in the subsequent paragraphs. Furthermore, the existing literature predominantly explores septage rather than FS, and studies are often confined to metropolitan areas. In contrast, this study addresses the gap by encompassing semi-urban and rural environments. Also, this paper suggests a treatment system for FS based on its characterization.

### 1.1. Septic tank and single-pit latrine

In the Indian context, septic tanks and single-pit latrines are the major OSS systems. Septic tanks are underground concrete chambers through which excreta, blackwater, greywater, and other domestic wastewater flow for anaerobic digestion. Solids settle at the bottom and form an accumulation of FS which is an anaerobic sludge also called septic, whereas the scum layer floats at the top. These septic tanks are lined at the bottom with a concrete layer to prevent the contamination of surrounding soil and groundwater. A septic tank should have at least two chambers. When waste enters the first chamber, the thick solid particles settle at the first chamber, whereas the scum oil layer floats in the liquid part and moves to the second chamber. A single pit latrine, as the name indicates, is a pit system that collects excreta, urine, and blackwater. As the single pit latrine fills, three processes control the rate of accumulation of FS and the process of digestion, which are leaching, consolidation, and degradation. Unlike septic tanks, pit latrines have no multi-

chamber system and lining at the bottom, allowing water and urine parts to leach into soil layers. Indian sanitation systems should follow a code for installing septic tanks, IS 2470- (1985). However, most Indian households' septic tanks do not follow the code, where the soak pit and secondary chamber are not connected to another treatment. But most septic tanks have a lining and chamber. In Indian systems, a pit latrine system is a collection of excreta and wastewater in a pit without lining, whereas concrete structure tanks with lining layers and chambers are septic tanks.

## 2. Materials and methodology

### 2.1. Study area information

Pilani (latitude: 28.36137°N, longitude: 75.60037°E), a tier-III (population range of 20 000 to 49 999) semi-urban town (population range of 10 000 to 99 999) in Rajasthan, India, is selected for the study, shown in Fig. 2. The population of Pilani was 29 741 in the 2011 census, and it has since grown to 40 000, according to municipality data, with approximately 5000 families. According to the 2011 census, Pilani is a moderately densely populated town with a population density of 1983 km<sup>-2</sup> with a total area of 15 km<sup>2</sup>. The climate of Pilani is semi-arid, which experiences a hot and dry summer from March to July, followed by monsoon months of August, September, and October; from post-October to February, Pilani has temperature-drop winters with dry conditions. Regarding FSM, Pilani does not have any FSTPs for treating FS, so the collected FS from Pilani's OSS is dumped in the dumpsite without treatment.

### 2.2. Sample collection

The sampling process was conducted in July 2023 and continued till November 2023, covering summer, monsoon,






Fig. 2 Study area, Pilani located in the state of Rajasthan, India.

and winter. During sample collection, OSS/septic tank emptying and transporting operators provided the required assistance. The sampling objective is to characterize the FS that would be delivered for treatment. Thus, sampling was conducted when the emptying operators were cleaning the OSS. FS samples were collected immediately after emptying events by vacuum trucks at locations within a 5 km radius of Pilani, including its rural surroundings. The heterogeneous matrices that exhibit properties that change over time and space can be sampled representatively using composite samples.<sup>18</sup> Typically, the composite sample is obtained by obtaining one sample at the start of the discharge, two in the middle, and one at the end.<sup>19</sup> Thus, composite FS samples were collected while emptying the vacuum truck, *i.e.*, by collecting samples during the discharge of FS into a dumpsite at different discharge timings. The samples were collected in polypropylene bottles, kept in an icebox, and transported to the laboratory for testing. The sample size ( $n$ ) is calculated using the Cochran W. G. formula,<sup>20</sup> as shown in eqn (1).

$$n = \frac{Z^2 p(1-p)}{MOE^2} \quad (1)$$

Here,  $Z$  is a score based on the confidence interval, whereas  $p$  is the population proportion, a portion of a population with a specific characteristic that can be represented as a percentage, fraction, or decimal of the entire population. MOE is the margin of error, which reflects the degree of uncertainty present and is influenced by the sample size, degree of confidence, and data variability. Within a selected confidence interval, the confidence level expresses the degree of certainty on how well a sample represents the population under study. In this study, a % confidence level of 95% is used, and the corresponding  $Z$  score is 1.96 from the standard normal distribution table. The population proportion  $p$  is taken to be 90.53% since 90.53% of the

Indian population uses the non-sewer sanitation facility type (*i.e.*, OSS) as per the joint monitoring programme of the World Health Organization and UNICEF.<sup>21</sup> MOE is the margin of error, which is taken to be 0.1(10%). Thus, by substituting the values,  $Z = 1.96$ ,  $p = 90.53\%$ , and  $MOE = 10\%$  in eqn (1), 33 samples ( $n$ ) are required from the households to analyse the characterization of FS in the Pilani town. 33 composite samples were collected from the OSS system in different regions of the town. Due to social constraints (people's disinterest in cooperation and OSS was inaccessible for sample collection), choosing the sites for sample collection is problematic. Thus, in this study, septic tank emptying and transportation operators were identified, and help was asked for with sample collection. These emptying and transportation operators contacted the authors when a household required emptying services and allowed the authors to participate in the emptying event for sampling. Thus, sampling was done when households cleaned the OSS and FS samples were collected immediately after emptying events by vacuum trucks at different locations in Pilani. Samples of 33 OSS systems were collected from 30 different household locations, and 3 samples were collected directly from the disposal site, as shown in Fig. 3.

### 2.3. Questionnaire

The questions were asked to households, shops, and property owners of the site where FS samples were collected. As mentioned earlier, due to social constraints (households' disinterest in revealing personal information), the head of the family mostly answered the questions, which was a man in most cases, since they only knew the details about the OSS type, cleaning frequency, dimensions, and water pipelines connecting OSS. A few women (3 cases) also answered the questions regarding OSS. With the few available responses, the interviewees' ages range from 32 to 54. Since the






Fig. 3 Sample collection locations in the study area.

sampling events were based on cleaning operations, the interviewees were interviewed using a random sampling method; this will also ensure a representative cross-section of the population. The questionnaire was designed to gather information for analysis of FS characterization. The questionnaire included the following questions for households: what is the source of FS, *i.e.*, the type of building, whether it is a residential house, cluster of blocks, or commercial building like shops, hotels, or complexes, was noted. Then, the type of OSS containment, whether a septic tank, a single pit, or any other OSS, was recorded. Components of OSS, like chamber counts in septic tanks, lined pits, or unlined pits, were also noted. This was followed by questions about the dimensions of the OSS and its shape, such as the pit shape and depth. The previous OSS cleaning year was enquired to know the age of FS in the containment so that the present age of FS can be calculated from that. The type of input to containment other than FS was also noted (*i.e.*, mixing of greywater, kitchen wastewater, and any other wastewater into OSS was recorded). Additionally, it was inquired whether any water or chemicals were added during emptying the OSS containment.

#### 2.4. Sample preservation

The FS sample is a biohazard that changes its composition over time. Thus, proper preservation is required to ensure that there is no change in characterization before testing. Samples were tested as much as possible immediately after sampling. Whenever storage was required, samples were stored in a refrigerator at 4 °C. No preservatives were added during storage since they affect the properties of FS. All laboratory tests were initiated on the day of sampling, and analysis was completed within three days of collection (some analyses, like total solids and BOD, can't be completed in a single day) with samples stored in the

refrigerator merely as a backup. The sampling bottles were washed with deionized water initially, followed by detergent washing. Then, the bottles were soaked in bleach, a disinfectant. Lastly, the bottles were sterilized at 120 °C for 10 min.

#### 2.5. Sample preparation

The main composite samples were homogenized, and from these, the sub-samples were prepared and further homogenized using orbital shakers to ensure consistency. Due to the higher COD and TS concentration, FS samples require dilution so that their concentration is within the measurable quantification range. Since the sample collection and analysis were conducted on the same day, the process followed was consistent throughout the study. This included these steps in the order of sample collection, dilution, and analysis initiation, ensuring uniformity and reliability in the results. It is important to avoid adding small FS volumes into larger volumes for dilution. If the difference between the two volumes is more than two orders of magnitude, a series of dilutions should be utilized instead.<sup>22</sup> Thus, for 1:1000 dilution, 1 mL was added into 9 mL of Millipore water (deionized pure water) for 10 mL diluted sample volume, which was repeated three times to make a 1:1000 diluted FS sample. Due to the high variability of FS characterization, because of an effluent zone and a sludge zone, three subsets of the FS sample were prepared from the single composite sample. For faecal coliform analysis, the main composite sample was used. Since it is expensive and difficult to measure all the pathogens, a common practice of measuring faecal coliform indicators to know the pathogen content level has been employed. Common faecal coliform indicators such as *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, and *Serotype enteritidis* have been measured.



## 2.6. FS characterization

Physical-chemical parameters such as temperature, pH, total suspended solids (TSS), total dissolved solids (TDS), TS, COD, BOD, total phosphorus, total nitrogen (TN), and faecal coliform count were analysed for the FS samples. Physical examination of the FS colour was performed to assess the degree of decomposition of organic matter in FS due to anaerobic decomposition. All the parameters were tested following the 23rd edition of Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater, which is a joint publication by the American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, and Water Environment Federation (APHA-AWWA-WEF).<sup>23</sup> Important indicator faecal coliforms, including *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Serotype enteritidis*, and *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*), are measured in this study using coliform count plates that include agar and tryptose culture media and are incubated at 44 °C for 24 hours to calculate the colony forming unit per milliliter (CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>). Each composite sample was subdivided into three sub-composite samples and tested in triplicate for accuracy and understanding of the variations in the sub-samples. The characterization results of a total of FS samples from 33 independent OSS systems, which were subdivided into 86 sub-samples, are presented in this article. The testing method and the standardization methods adopted are mentioned in Table 1. The methodology of the study is presented in Fig. 4. The red-coloured section of the methodology diagram outlines and describes the laboratory analysis process, including sampling, dilution, and testing. The yellow-coloured part in the methodology picture highlights the responses regarding the OSS system. The blue-coloured section shows the analysis of characterization results, considering the questionnaire response, and includes suggestions for safe FSM. This is the methodology followed for the case study and will also serve as the article's structure explaining the questionnaire

responses, FS characterization analysis, suggestions, and FS treatment in the subsequent sections.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Questionnaire results

Investigation of 30 sampling locations on the OSS type gave the following results in Table 2. The questionnaire responses indicate that the OSS types prevalent in the study area include two-chamber septic tanks, single-chamber septic tanks, and single-pit latrines. 16 samples were collected from two-chamber septic tanks, 14 FS samples from single-pit latrines, and 3 from single-chamber septic tanks. Samples of FS emptied from OSS of residential houses and commercial establishments, such as shops, hotels, and bakeries, were collected for characterization analysis. The age of the FS was determined by inquiring about the number of years since the last cleaning of the OSS systems, which varied from 1 to 16 years in this study. Households were surveyed to investigate how the presence or absence of lining in OSS systems impacts the FS characteristics. Findings from the survey indicate that except for a few, most single pit latrines in this study were found to be without lining. It was explored to analyse the external water content entering on-site sanitation systems, apart from FS and blackwater (comprising urine and anal cleansing water). The investigation revealed that in residential dwellings, the inflow consisted of FS and blackwater. However, in commercial complexes such as hotels and shops, greywater (kitchen wastewater) was observed to intermingle with FS and blackwater. A sample of FS was collected from a vacuum truck, encompassing a blend of FS samples from two distinct OSS systems. The Pilani municipality depends on OSS systems to manage excreta. This aligns with the observations from the government of Rajasthan report,<sup>24</sup> indicating that 70% of the Rajasthan state relies on the OSS system for managing generated FS.

**Table 1** Methods/instruments for FS characterization analysis

| S. no | Parameters                   | Analysis methods/instruments                      | Standardization methods                                                                                                                                         |
|-------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1     | Temperature, pH & EC         | pH meter and electrical conductivity meter        | Calibration standard solutions                                                                                                                                  |
| 2     | Total dissolved solids       | Benchtop meter                                    | Calibration standard solutions                                                                                                                                  |
| 3     | Total solids                 | Volumetric and gravimetric methods by oven drying | Analysis protocol: the oven was maintained at 105 to 110 °C. The crucible was preheated and dried before testing                                                |
| 4     | Total suspended solids       | Oven drying method/digital meter                  | Potassium hydrogen phthalate (KHP) stock solution with a theoretical COD value of 400 mg l <sup>-1</sup>                                                        |
| 5     | Chemical oxygen demand       | Closed reflux titrimetric method                  | Titration of sodium thiosulfate with standard potassium iodate and Millipore water solution results in consistent and reproducible results of less than 0.05 ml |
| 6     | Biochemical oxygen demand    | Winkler's method/5-day method                     | Standard calibration curve                                                                                                                                      |
| 7     | Total nitrogen               | Total nitrogen analysers                          | Standard phosphorus stock solutions                                                                                                                             |
| 8     | Total phosphorus             | Vanadomolybdate yellow color method               | —                                                                                                                                                               |
| 9     | Faecal coliform              | Sample ready culture medium-coliform count plates | —                                                                                                                                                               |
| 10    | Capillary suction time (CST) | Capillary suction timer                           | Calibrated by the manufacturer                                                                                                                                  |






Fig. 4 Methodology of the case study.

Three private companies operate in the town to empty the OSS system. In the study area, there are no FSTPs; instead, all the collected FS is disposed of in dumpsites, which may cause adverse environmental effects. The authors' direct participation in OSS emptying events verified the questionnaire responses. Physical participation in OSS emptying events enables the verification of the responses. The OSS type and design have been verified by checking the layout of the building plans where they are available. In some cases, the last OSS cleaning date has been written on the top of septic tanks, which can be useful for cross-verification.

### 3.2. Physical examination of faecal sludge samples

Physical examination of the FS colour was performed to assess the degree of decomposition of organic matter in FS due to anaerobic decomposition. The colour of FS, ranging from brown to black, indicates varying degrees of decomposition, with darker colours suggesting more advanced degradation. This qualitative analysis helps infer the biochemical status of FS, providing essential information on its stabilization level. Additionally, this observation forms

a preliminary examination of FS. The faecal sludge colour can vary during different phases of digestion and can reveal information about the stages of the FS digestion process. FS with an age range of a year or less than two years exhibits a yellow colour, as shown in Fig. 5(a), representing a relatively natural state before the start of organic matter digestion. During the intermediate stages of digestion of FS in OSS containment, the colour transforms from yellow to a greenish-brown or dark brown shade, as shown in Fig. 5(b), indicating the breaking down of organic matter of FS, as noted in FS samples aged between five and eight years. Following a residence time of 10 years in the on-site OSS, FS samples were discovered to have a fully dark brown or black colour, as shown in Fig. 5(c), signifying the comprehensive breakdown of FS organic matter and the mineralization of FS.

### 3.3. Temperature, pH, and electrical conductivity

The effectiveness of the anaerobic digestion process in a septic tank heavily depends on both temperature and pH levels. Septic tanks are classified as mesophilic environments because they work best in a moderate temperature range,



**Table 2** Details of collected FS samples

| FS samples | Sample set number | Type of OSS             | Type of building | Dimensions of OSS                       | Age of FS sample | Type of sample                | No. of people in the household | Remarks                              |
|------------|-------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1          | 1                 | Single pit              | House            | 0.9 m × 0.9 m × 8 m                     | >1 year          | Yellowish liquid              | 7                              | Lined pit                            |
|            | 2                 |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                | FS + blackwater                      |
|            | 3                 |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
| 2          | 4                 | Single pit              | House            | 4 m depth with 0.6 m diameter           | 1.5 years        | Yellowish liquid to slurry    | 6                              | Lined pit                            |
|            | 5                 |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                | FS + blackwater                      |
| 3          | 6                 | Two-chamber septic tank | House            | 1 m × 1.4 m × 1.8 m                     | 2 years          | Greenish-black liquid         | 5                              | FS + blackwater                      |
|            | 7                 |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
|            | 8                 |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
| 4          | 9                 | Single pit              | House            | 4 m depth with 0.7 m diameter           | 2 years          | Yellowish-black liquid        | 6                              | Lined pit                            |
|            | 10                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                | FS + blackwater                      |
|            | 11                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
| 5          | 12                | Square                  | House            | 3.5 m depth with 3 m × 3 m surface area | 2 years          | Brownish-yellow thick slurry  | 7                              | Lined pit                            |
|            | 13                | Single pit              |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                | FS + blackwater                      |
|            | 14                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
| 6          | 15                | Two-chamber septic tank | House            | 2 m × 1.7 m × 1.6 m                     | 2 years          | Black liquid                  | 5                              | FS + blackwater                      |
|            | 16                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
|            | 17                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
| 7          | 18                | Single pit              | House            | 4 m depth with 1 m diameter             | 2.5 years        | Greenish black slurry         | 2                              | FS + blackwater                      |
|            | 19                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
|            | 20                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
| 8          | 21                | Two-chamber septic tank | Hotel            | 2 m × 2.7 m × 2.5 m                     | 3 years          | Dark black liquid             | 15 workers + moving population | FS + blackwater + greywater          |
|            | 22                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
|            | 23                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
| 9          | 24                | Two-chamber septic tank | Bakery           | 1.5 m × 2.5 m × 2.1 m                   | 3 years          | Light yellow liquid           | 5                              | FS + bakery wastewater               |
|            | 25                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
|            | 26                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
| 10         | 27                | Septic tank             | House            | 2 m × 3.1 m × 1.5 m                     | 3 years          | Yellow liquid                 | 3                              | FS + blackwater                      |
|            | 28                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
|            | 29                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
| 11         | 30                | Two-chamber septic tank | Sweet shop       | 2 m × 1 m × 1.8 m                       | 3.5 years        | Yellowish-black liquid sample | 5 workers                      | FS + blackwater + greywater          |
|            | 31                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
| 12         | 32                | Two-chamber septic tank | House            | 1.8 m × 1.6 m × 2 m                     | 3.5 years        | Yellowish black liquid        | 8                              | FS + blackwater                      |
|            | 33                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
|            | 34                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
| 13         | 35                | Two-chamber septic tank | Hotel            | 2.1 m × 3.1 m × 1.5 m                   | 4 years          | Light yellow liquid           | 10 workers + moving population | FS + blackwater + kitchen wastewater |
|            | 36                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
|            | 37                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
| 14         | 38                | Single pit              | House            | 5 m depth with 1 m diameter             | 4 years          | Dark green slurry             | 4                              | Unlined pit                          |
|            | 39                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                | FS + blackwater                      |
|            | 40                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
| 15         | 41                | Single pit              | House            | 7 m depth with 0.6 m diameter           | 5 years          | Dark yellowish-brown slurry   | 6                              | Unlined pit                          |
|            | 42                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                | FS + blackwater                      |
|            | 43                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |
| 16         | 44                | Two-chamber septic tank | Complex shops    | 2.2 m × 3.1 m × 2 m                     | 6 years          | Dark brown slurry             | 5                              | FS + blackwater                      |
|            | 45                |                         |                  |                                         |                  |                               |                                |                                      |



Table 2 (continued)

| FS samples | Sample set number | Type of OSS                | Type of building | Dimensions of OSS                | Age of FS sample                          | Type of sample                     | No. of people in the household | Remarks                         |
|------------|-------------------|----------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 17         | 46<br>47          | Two-chamber septic tank    | Shop             | 2.1 m × 1.8 m × 1.9 m            | 6 years                                   | Yellowish black slurry             | —                              | FS + blackwater + greywater     |
| 18         | 48<br>49<br>50    | Single pit                 | House            | 4.5 m depth with 0.8 m diameter  | 6 years                                   | Greenish slurry                    | 4                              | FS + blackwater                 |
| 19         | 51<br>52<br>53    | Single pit                 | House            | 7 m depth with 0.8 m diameter    | 7 years                                   | Yellowish brown slurry             | 5                              | Unlined pit<br>FS + blackwater  |
| 20         | 54<br>55<br>56    | Composite sample           | —                | —                                | Composite sample of 7 years and 1 year    | Greenish yellow slurry             | —                              | FS + blackwater + greywater     |
| 21         | 57<br>58<br>59    | Single chamber septic tank | House            | 1.5 m × 1.5 m × 1 m              | 8 years                                   | Dark green slurry                  | 6                              | Unlined tank<br>FS + blackwater |
| 22         | 60<br>61<br>62    | Single chamber septic tank | House            | 1.8 m × 1.5 m × 1.2 m            | 8 years                                   | Greenish black slurry              | 8                              | FS + blackwater                 |
| 23         | 63<br>64<br>65    | Composite sample           | —                | —                                | Composite samples of 9 years and 1 year   | Greenish-yellow slurry             | —                              | FS + blackwater                 |
| 24         | 66<br>67<br>68    | Single pit                 | House            | 5 m depth with 0.9 m diameter    | 9 years                                   | Dark blackish slurry               | 7                              | FS + blackwater                 |
| 25         | 69<br>70<br>71    | Single pit                 | House            | 10 m depth with 0.8 m diameter   | 10 years                                  | Greenish-yellow slurry             | 4                              | Unlined pit<br>FS + blackwater  |
| 26         | 72<br>73<br>74    | Single pit                 | House            | 6 m depth with 1 m diameter      | 10 years                                  | Dark greenish colour, thick slurry | 9                              | Unlined pit<br>FS + blackwater  |
| 27         | 75<br>76<br>77    | Composite sample           | —                | —                                | Composite samples of 11 years and 8 years | Greenish-black slurry              | —                              | FS + blackwater + greywater     |
| 28         | 78<br>79<br>80    | Two-chamber septic tank    | House            | 2.2 m × 1.8 m × 1.5 m            | 12 years                                  | Brownish black liquid              | 10                             | FS + blackwater                 |
| 29         | 81<br>82<br>83    | Two-chamber septic tank    | House            | 2.6 m × 2.6 m × 2 m              | 13 years                                  | Yellowish-brown slurry             | 3                              | FS + blackwater                 |
| 30         | 84<br>85<br>86    | Single pit                 | House            | 12.1 m depth with 0.7 m diameter | 16 years                                  | Dark black slurry                  | 4                              | Unlined pit<br>FS + blackwater  |

usually between 30 and 35 °C.<sup>25</sup> The temperature of the FS samples in this particular case study varied between 20.6 and 27.5 °C, as shown in Fig. 6(a). Because of the lower

atmospheric temperatures in the study area in August and November, the OSS system in this case study does not meet the mesophilic temperature requirements. The pH range for






Fig. 5 Stages of FS decomposition (by physical examination interpretation).




Fig. 6 Temperature, pH, and EC of FS samples collected from Pilani, Rajasthan.

ideal anaerobic digestion was identified to fall between 6.5 and 7.5.<sup>26</sup> The pH levels observed in the study area for FS

samples varied between 4.64 and 7.93, as shown in Fig. 6(b). All FS samples fall within the pH range appropriate for






Fig. 7 TS, TSS, and TDS of FS samples collected from Pilani, Rajasthan.

anaerobic digestion, with few exceptions. FS samples from bakeries and hotels that include kitchen wastewater have an acidic pH, possibly because of the organic acids produced from food residues. The ions dissolved in the FS are measured by electrical conductivity (EC). In this study, the EC of FS samples varied from 1.857 to 6.315  $\text{mS cm}^{-1}$ , as shown in Fig. 6(c). Similar EC values are observed for almost all samples with minimal variations. Due to increased solid content, samples from single-pit latrines demonstrate a slightly elevated electrical conductivity compared to septic tank FS samples.

### 3.4. Total solids

TS analysis data are valuable for designing, operating, and monitoring FS treatment plants. TS measurement determines the total amount of suspended (TSS) and dissolved (TDS) particles in FS. This study measures TS by drying an FS sample in a heated oven at 103 to 105  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 24 hours.<sup>9</sup> The TS in this study area varied from 2033.33 to 95 393.33  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ , as shown in Fig. 7(a). The TS value was directly proportional to age, probably due to increased organic matter accumulation. However, there are exceptions to this trend because of the OSS system type and water content of FS. FS samples from unlined single pit latrines exhibit higher TS values than FS samples from septic tanks because of urine and blackwater leaching, resulting in thicker and more concentrated FS. FS samples with greywater possess lower TS values than other FS samples of the same age because of the dilution effect of greywater, which comes from kitchen wash water. TS was further divided into TSS and TDS; this will provide more information about the composition of solids in FS samples. TSS contributes to a significant portion of TS and varies from 1098 to 90 400.33  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ , as shown in Fig. 7(b), indicating that all the organic matter is in the form

of solids. TDS shows a strong correlation with EC with an  $R$  square of 0.92393 by linear regression analysis, as shown in Fig. 8, confirming the significant impact of organic materials and dissolved ions on the FS's electrical conductivity.

### 3.5. Chemical oxygen demand (COD) and biochemical oxygen demand (BOD)

Understanding the COD and BOD concentrations in FS is essential for designing FS treatment technologies. A concentration of organic pollutants is indicated by COD values, which also provide information about the degree of contamination and possible effects on the environment. COD is measured by oxidizing FS samples with a strong oxidizing agent, potassium dichromate ( $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$ ). The COD of FS in this study area ranged from 4406 to 160 000  $\text{mg l}^{-1}$ , as shown




Fig. 8 EC–TDS correlation of FS samples collected from Pilani, Rajasthan.






Fig. 9 COD, COD & TS correlation and BOD/COD ratio of FS samples from Pilani, Rajasthan.

in Fig. 9(a). As the FS in the OSS system ages, due to microbial decomposition, microorganisms break the complex organic matter into simpler forms and produce inorganic compounds, *i.e.*, mineralization, which may increase COD. Similar to the case of TS, COD was also in higher concentration in single-pit latrines than in septic tanks. This was verified by performing a linear regression analysis and plotting COD and TS in the X and Y axes, respectively. The model revealed a strong correlation between COD and TS with an  $R$  square of 0.90078, as shown in Fig. 10. One of the most crucial parametric indicators in the characterization of FS is the BOD to COD ratio since it establishes whether the FS can be biologically treated.<sup>27</sup> The average BOD/COD ratio of 86 FS samples in this study area is 0.1896, ranging from 0.095 to 0.4375. As the FS ages, the BOD/COD ratio tends to

decrease, as shown in Fig. 9(b). This indicates that FS with more residence time in the OSS system has less biodegradable organic matter due to mineralization, making the treatment of FS more complex. COD measures the oxygen needed to chemically oxidize organic matter in FS, while BOD measures the oxygen microorganisms require to decompose the organic matter in FS biologically. Thus, COD also measures the oxygen requirement of microorganisms, which can decompose the organic matter. BOD represents a biodegradable portion of COD, indicating that BOD is the subset of COD. Due to this, COD and BOD show a strong correlation, as verified by linear regression analysis, plotting COD and BOD in the X and Y axes, respectively. The model revealed a strong correlation between COD and BOD with an  $R$  square of 0.87531, as shown in Fig. 11.




Fig. 10 COD & TS correlation of FS samples from Pilani, Rajasthan.




Fig. 11 COD & BOD correlation of FS samples from Pilani, Rajasthan.



### 3.6. Faecal coliform

The presence of faecal coliforms in FS is a key indicator which indicates faecal contamination.<sup>9,28</sup> The concentration of faecal coliforms in FS is a key indicator of potential contamination by waterborne disease-causing organisms. In this present study, important faecal coliforms such as *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Serotype enteritidis*, and *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) are determined in colony forming unit per ml (CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>) using coliform count plates with agar and tryptose culture media, incubated at 44 °C for 24 hours. *E. coli* varied from 10<sup>5</sup> to 10<sup>9</sup> CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>, the *Klebsiella pneumoniae* count ranged from 10<sup>4</sup> to 10<sup>8</sup> CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>, and *Serotype enteritidis* varied from 10<sup>3</sup> to 10<sup>9</sup> CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>, as shown in Fig. 12(a). The faecal coliform count was almost stable in all ages of FS with minimal variations, probably because of the continued input of fresh FS into the OSS system.

### 3.7. Total nitrogen

Exceeding TN discharge standards may lead to diverse environmental consequences, including eutrophication, the proliferation of algal blooms, groundwater contamination, and drinking water contamination. TN in FS exists in various forms, including ammonia, nitrate, and nitrite. In this study, the TN concentration varied from 81.7 to 709.2 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, as shown in Fig. 12(b). The decrease in TN concentration observed with an extended retention time of FS in the OSS system can be due to multiple factors, including microbial decomposition, volatilization of ammonia, denitrification (nitrate to N<sub>2</sub> gas), and the leaching of liquid effluent.

### 3.8. Total phosphorus

Human waste contains phosphorus, an important mineral found in FS. Though human faeces and urine produced in a




Fig. 12 Faecal coliform count, TN concentration, and TP concentration in FS samples from Pilani, Rajasthan.



year by a person contain the nutrient requirement (nitrogen and phosphorus) required for producing 250 kg of cereals,<sup>29</sup> their release into the environment could harm surface and groundwater resources if FS is untreated. Thus, it's critical to evaluate phosphorus for safe and efficient reuse in compost for agriculture. The TP content in this study ranged from 285 to 4471 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, as shown in Fig. 12(c). Since the phosphorus content in FS depends upon the dietary habits of households and geographical and cultural factors, no significant trends were observed. However, dilution of FS with other water inputs shows lower TP values in some cases than others.

### 3.9. Capillary suction time (CST)

Dewatering FS, *i.e.*, liquid–solid separation, is an important aspect of FS treatment. The term “dewaterability” refers to effectively removing liquid from sludge. Capillary suction time (CST) measures the rate at which water is drawn from FS. CST is a valuable tool in designing drying beds for sludge because it provides insights into the dewatering characteristics of the FS. A lower CST value indicates better dewaterability or the FS's increased ability to release water.<sup>30</sup> The CST value also determines the speed at which FS can be filtered, the moisture level of the FS cake, and the degree of water bonding to the FS solids.<sup>22</sup> In this study, the dewaterability rate was determined using the capillary suction time (CST) test, using a CST instrument, which has an acrylic filtration unit with electrodes and a timer, as shown in Fig. 13(a). According to manufacturer instructions, a 5 ml raw FS sample was used for testing, and CST values were recorded in seconds. The CST value varied from 149 to 1256.8 seconds, as shown in Fig. 13(b), for the FS samples in this study. The CST value increased with an increase in the age of FS, indicating that more time is required for dewatering, which may be because of FS's mineralization over

time, making FS thicker and less porous. The CST of FS is also affected by its temperature; for example, at higher temperatures, the viscosity of the water in the FS will be reduced, allowing it to move more easily through the sludge matrix. This typically results in shorter CST, as water moves out easily from FS at higher temperatures. In this study, the temperature of the FS samples didn't vary much since the standard deviation is 1.916; however, samples with a high temperature showed shorter CST than very low-temperature FS samples. Also, a single pit latrine produces thicker FS than septic tank FS, exhibiting high CST values and indicating low dewaterability due to the solid FS composition (high TS).

## 4. FS treatment options

In this case study, FS is found to be a high COD waste, ranging from 4406 to 160 000 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, correlated with total solids by an *R* square of 0.90078. Thus, it is essential to trap total solids from FS, which will also remove COD. Removing a liquid particle from any sludge waste is called dewatering. After dewatering, FS should be treated for separated solid particles and sludge leachate parts. The process of FS treatment methodology is given in Fig. 14. FS should undergo dewatering followed by sludge stabilization and leachate treatment. The site-specific FS treatment system is discussed in this section.

### 4.1. Site-specific FS treatment system

**4.1.1. FS dewatering methods.** Dewatering treatment methods include drying beds, settling tanks, Imhoff tanks, and mechanical dewatering technologies. Drying beds can be either unplanted or planted in which macrophytes are planted. Drying beds are sand- and gravel-filled pits or tanks connected to a gutter for leachate collection. For dewatering purposes, FS is poured on the top layer.<sup>31</sup> The sludge loading




Fig. 13 CST apparatus and CST values measured for FS samples from Pilani, Rajasthan.






Fig. 14 FS treatment methodology.

rate, expressed in  $\text{kg TS m}^{-2}$  per year, is the mass of FS dried in a drying bed in a year, varying from 50 to  $300 \text{ kg TS m}^{-2}$  per year.<sup>32</sup> The primary mechanism behind drying beds is the natural evaporation and absorption of organic matter by media (sand and gravel). Along with TS, drying beds are also capable of removing COD and BOD due to the correlation with TS, which is in accordance with case studies of Ouagadougou (Burkina Faso), Nègrepelisse (France), and Yaoundé (Cameroon).<sup>33–35</sup> The leachate collected and the solid parts dried on the top of drying beds can be processed for next-level treatments.

Settling and Imhoff tanks are other types of dewatering techniques in which FS treatment starts by separating solid FS and liquid parts using settling and thickening tanks. In Imhoff tanks, the mechanism involved is anaerobic digestion and settling; these principles combine to treat FS.<sup>31</sup> Mechanical dewatering consists of a belt filter press, screw press, and centrifuge. This equipment removes water from sludge and produces a thick, dried sludge cake. The removal efficiencies and loading rates of various dewatering techniques available from the literature are given in Table 3.

In the Pilani context, a semi-urban, arid tier-III town, an effective dewatering method can be a drying bed. Mechanical dewatering involves the establishment of high-cost equipment along with power motors to dewater the sludge, which cannot be suitable for the Pilani context because of more initial investments. Operation and maintenance costs will also be high due to the high electricity requirement and skillful labor. Settling and thickening tanks require an initial construction cost and more land, which is unsuitable for dense tier-III towns. Pilani is an arid region where the maximum temperature can reach around  $45\text{--}48^\circ\text{C}$ , so drying beds can be a viable and sustainable option for dewatering in Pilani because more sunny days can increase the efficiency of drying beds. Also, planted/unplanted drying beds involve direct dumping of FS on the top surface, so electricity and motors are not required for the functioning of drying beds, which indicates less operation and maintenance cost.

**4.1.2. Stabilization treatment for dried FS.** After the dewatering of FS, stabilization treatment for dried faecal

sludge (DFS) is required to ensure the complete removal of faecal coliforms. Composting, co-composting, deep row entrenchment, vermicomposting, incineration, and lime treatment are the stabilization methods for DFS.<sup>38</sup> Composting DFS with organic municipal solid waste, agriculture waste, and bulking agents like rice husk, sawdust, and earthworms has good potential for use as compost for agriculture and to increase soil fertility. This compost can increase the growth of plant crops.<sup>39,40</sup> Deep-row entrenchment is dumping DFS in deep trenches and covering them with soil. This may stabilize the DFS, but the drawback is faecal coliforms, which can contaminate groundwater. Incineration is the burning of DFS in an incinerator at  $800$  to  $900^\circ\text{C}$ , which can be used as a fuel for industrial use.<sup>41,42</sup>

In Pilani's local context, composting can be a viable option since it is a cheaper and more efficient method. Agriculture is a significant occupation in the local context of most tier-III Indian towns, so producing manure from FS makes a sustainable FSM model.

**4.1.3. FS leachate treatment.** FS leachate from the dewatering process must be treated for disposal or domestic use since it is a faecal coliform. A study from Tanzania<sup>43</sup> has shown that FS leachate has a mean total coliform count of  $7.7 \times 10^5 \text{ CFU ml}^{-1}$ . A stabilization pond, coagulation, anaerobic baffled reactors, and wetlands are options for treating FS leachate. Hybridization of coagulation with a drying bed can be a viable option in the local context. FS leachate contains high suspended solids and turbidity; the TSS in this case study varied from 1098 to 90400.3, indicating that FS leachate may contain high TSS. Coagulation efficiently removes suspended solids and turbidity.<sup>44,45</sup> Thus, natural coagulants such as plant seeds, chitosan, and other natural coagulants can be used in the local context. Sludge settled can be composted along with DFS.

The treatment system suggested based on the characterization of FS for treating FS in the local context of Pilani and other tier-III towns can be hybridization of a drying bed, composting, and coagulation, as shown in Fig. 15. A zero FS discharge model can be achieved in which

Table 3 Removal efficiencies of various dewatering methods

| Dewatering methodology | Sludge loading rate                                       | Removal efficiency                                |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Belt filter press      | $218\text{--}272 \text{ kg TS h}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-1}$     | 80–90% TS removal <sup>36</sup>                   |
| Unplanted drying beds  | $196 \text{ to } 321 \text{ kg TS m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$ | 80% TS, 69% COD and 76% BOD removal <sup>32</sup> |
| Settling tank          | $0.16 \text{ m}^3 \text{ m}^{-3}$                         | 60–70% of TSS removal <sup>37</sup>               |
| Planted drying bed     | $300 \text{ kg TS m}^{-2} \text{ y}^{-1}$                 | 90% BOD and 77% COD removal <sup>33</sup>         |






Fig. 15 Suggested line of treatment for FS in this case study.

treated FS can be used as manure and treated leachate can be used for domestic water consumption. Zero waste discharge can make the FSM service chain safe and sustainable.

## 5. Summary

The FS characteristics measured in this case study were highly variable and unevenly distributed. A summary of characterization results is presented in Table 4. Temperature and pH showed a small standard deviation, indicating that anaerobic conditions are similar among various OSS systems in the study area. The average TS value in the study area is 42 468.027 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, with a high standard deviation of 27 028.47 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, which indicates that TS has greater variability among the FS characteristic dataset because of different OSS types, water content, and FS age. The lower quartile value of COD is 20 000 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, the 25th percentile, meaning 75% of the FS samples collected in Pilani show a COD value of more than 20 000 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, higher than the safe disposal limit of 250 mg l<sup>-1</sup>. This is in accordance with the findings in Ghana,<sup>32,37,46,47</sup> with COD values of 49 000 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, 67 500 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, 48 000 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, and 201 200 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The findings in Thailand<sup>8,48</sup> also show similar COD values of

49 000 and 39 000 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The mean COD value of FS samples from this case study is 58 440.73 mg l<sup>-1</sup> with a larger standard deviation of 42 283.094 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, which indicates significant dispersion among datasets because of factors like the containment type, FS age and total solid content of FS. The faecal coliform count varies from 10<sup>3</sup> to 10<sup>9</sup> CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>, with a mean of 10<sup>8</sup> CFU ml<sup>-1</sup> for all coliforms measured in this study. The average TP content is 1590.437 mg l<sup>-1</sup> in the FS samples, which suggests phosphorus recovery potential from FS if FS is properly treated. The mean CST is 503.6531 seconds, which varies from 149 to 1256.8 seconds, suggesting that FS has a slower dewatering time, i.e., a slower water-releasing rate from the sludge's solid part.

An interesting observation was noted by comparing the previous characterization results: septage exhibits less COD and total solids than FS. Septage is limited to septic tanks, whereas FS belongs to the wider range of organic waste from OSS, not only from septic tanks but also from pit latrines and other OSS. Numerous types of dangerous organic waste are found in FS, and the factors influencing OSS practices are impacting the concentration levels of these contaminants. These variables include how often the OSS is cleaned, the age of the sludge, the type of sanitation system, the climate, the

Table 4 Summary of characterization results of 86 FS samples collected from 33 OSS systems of Pilani, Rajasthan

| S. no | Parameters                                           | Minimum               | Maximum               | Lower quartile        | Upper quartile        | Median                | Mean                   | Standard deviation     |
|-------|------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 1     | Temperature (°C)                                     | 20.6                  | 27.5                  | 22.425                | 26                    | 24.1                  | 24.15                  | 1.916                  |
| 2     | pH                                                   | 4.64                  | 7.93                  | 7.352                 | 7.737                 | 7.54                  | 7.316                  | 0.702                  |
| 3     | EC (mS cm <sup>-1</sup> )                            | 1.857                 | 6.315                 | 3.696                 | 4.915                 | 4.346                 | 4.305                  | 1.064                  |
| 4     | Total solids (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )                   | 3430                  | 95 393.33             | 18 988.33             | 66 442.49             | 34 836.66             | 42 468.027             | 27 028.47              |
| 5     | TSS (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )                            | 1098                  | 90 400.3              | 16 186.14             | 62 371.7              | 30 273.8              | 38 371.042             | 26 865.758             |
| 6     | TDS (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )                            | 1773                  | 6807                  | 3432.5                | 4767                  | 4100.5                | 4111.25                | 1154.66                |
| 7     | COD (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )                            | 4406                  | 160 000               | 20 000                | 96 000                | 44 000                | 58 440.73              | 42 283.094             |
| 8     | BOD (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )                            | 780                   | 16 200                | 5550                  | 12 600                | 7000                  | 8409.886               | 4132.499               |
| 9     | BOD/COD                                              | 0.0095                | 0.4375                | 0.12857               | 0.225                 | 0.14586               | 0.19136                | 0.0889                 |
| 10    | <i>Escherichia coli</i> (CFU ml <sup>-1</sup> )      | 1.2 × 10 <sup>5</sup> | 1.6 × 10 <sup>9</sup> | 2 × 10 <sup>7</sup>   | 5.5 × 10 <sup>8</sup> | 9.5 × 10 <sup>7</sup> | 3.24 × 10 <sup>8</sup> | 4.75 × 10 <sup>8</sup> |
| 11    | <i>Klebsiella pneumoniae</i> (CFU ml <sup>-1</sup> ) | 4.4 × 10 <sup>4</sup> | 4 × 10 <sup>8</sup>   | 2.3 × 10 <sup>6</sup> | 1.5 × 10 <sup>8</sup> | 10 <sup>7</sup>       | 1.03 × 10 <sup>8</sup> | 1.51 × 10 <sup>8</sup> |
| 12    | <i>Serotype enteritidis</i> (CFU ml <sup>-1</sup> )  | 7 × 10 <sup>3</sup>   | 10 <sup>9</sup>       | 8 × 10 <sup>6</sup>   | 3 × 10 <sup>8</sup>   | 8 × 10 <sup>7</sup>   | 2.38 × 10 <sup>8</sup> | 3.29 × 10 <sup>8</sup> |
| 13    | Total nitrogen (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )                 | 81.7                  | 709.2                 | 192.7                 | 364.9                 | 248.8                 | 297.894                | 148.917                |
| 14    | Total phosphorus (mg l <sup>-1</sup> )               | 285                   | 4471                  | 996.7                 | 1957.281              | 1362.43               | 1590.437               | 840.3370               |
| 15    | CST (s)                                              | 149                   | 1256.8                | 248.4                 | 661.55                | 442.6                 | 503.6531               | 272.0384               |



inflow and outflow to the OSS, chemical additions like toilet cleaners and acids, the FS collection method, user behavior, *etc.* All septage is a faecal sludge but all faecal sludge may not be a septage. A study from Chennai,<sup>12</sup> India analysed septage characteristics and found a COD value of 6656 mg l<sup>-1</sup>. Similarly, another study in the Indian context from Maharashtra analysed FS from septic tanks,<sup>11</sup> *i.e.*, septage, and the COD values varied from 960 to 6080 mg l<sup>-1</sup>. This case study results contradict some Indian septage characterization analysis studies since this study measured raw FS characterization while emptying the OSS. The characterization values of septage and FS from other previous studies are shown in Table 5. This study's results align with the study from Ghana,<sup>47</sup> in which raw FS shows the maximum COD value of 201 200 mg l<sup>-1</sup>. Another study from Ghana<sup>37</sup> has also shown a similar COD value of 49 000 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, where FS was collected from public toilets. Faecal coliforms measured in previous studies are similar in all studies, with 10<sup>5</sup> to 10<sup>9</sup> CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>. Another interesting trend observed in this study is that total nitrogen values decrease with increasing age of FS, which was not observed in any of the studies, which may be due to microbial decomposition of organic matter and denitrification (Fig. 12b). Also, the BOD/COD ratio found to decrease with an increase in age may be due to organic matter mineralization (Fig. 9b); this is also not mentioned in any previous study. CST, which measures the FS dewaterability index, was also found to increase with the increase in age due to the solidification of thick FS by mineralization (Fig. 13b).

### 5.1. Factors influencing the variations in faecal sludge characteristics

The assessment of factors with the greatest influence on the faecal sludge characteristics reveals that three significant explanatory variables were the predominant sources of the variation in the COD, total solid, total nitrogen, and CST values of FS. They are as follows: the age of FS, the type of onsite sanitation system, and inclusion of greywater. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) software is used to analyse the statistical significance of the data attributed to these factors. A one-way ANOVA test is performed with the FS age, type of OSS

(septic tank or single pit latrine), and greywater inclusion (with and without greywater) as independent variables, and FS characteristic parameters depend on the independent variables using a statistical measurement known as the *p*-value. The statistical significance of the observed difference increases with decreasing *p*-value. Generally speaking, anything is statistically significant if the *p*-value is 0.05 or below. The age of FS significantly affects the COD (*p* < 0.001), total solids (*p* < 0.001), total nitrogen (*p* < 0.001), and CST (*p* = 0.005). This is because as the age of FS in OSS increases, microbial breakdown of organic matter occurs, producing an inorganic compound, *i.e.*, mineralization, which will increase COD. Due to a strong correlation with COD, TS also increases as the age of FS increases. The total nitrogen value also tends to be significant with the age of FS (*p* < 0.001) due to microbial decomposition and denitrification. Also, the CST value increases with an increase in FS age (*p* < 0.005), mainly due to the solidification of FS due to mineralization.

From the ANOVA test, it is also observed that COD and total solids also vary based on the OSS type with *p*-values of 0.044 and 0.002, respectively, indicating that the OSS type significantly affects the FS characteristics. The OSS type also affects the BOD and total nitrogen, which can be observed from *p*-values of 0.007 and 0.016, respectively. Surprisingly, the OSS system did not affect pH, possibly due to the same anaerobic conditions observed in Pilani among all OSS. Also, the BOD/COD ratio was not affected by the OSS type, which suggests that, irrespective of the OSS type, as the age of the FS increases, the BOD/COD ratio tends to decrease because of the less biodegradable organic matter due to mineralization. Greywater inclusion into the OSS also affects the FS characteristics, mainly because FS dilution reduces the total solids (*p* = 0.011). It is observed that the pH value was also affected due to the inclusion of greywater because of the mixing of acidic kitchen wastewater with the OSS (*p* < 0.01). In assessing differences in FS characteristic parameters with independent variables, the FS age, OSS type, and greywater content of FS significantly affected at least some of the FS characteristic parameters, as shown in Table 6 of *p*-values from the one-way ANOVA test. The statistically significant *p*-values (*p* < 0.05) are highlighted in bold.

**Table 5** FS key characterization parameters in this study and other studies

| Study description                                   | COD (mg l <sup>-1</sup> ) | BOD (mg l <sup>-1</sup> ) | Total solids (mg l <sup>-1</sup> ) | Faecal coliforms                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| FS characteristics in Ghana <sup>37</sup>           | 49 000                    | 7600                      | 52 500                             | —                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| FS characteristics in Thailand <sup>48</sup>        | 39 000                    | —                         | 8240–123 100                       | —                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| FS characteristics in Ghana <sup>47</sup>           | 201 200                   | 56 836                    | —                                  | 132 × 10 <sup>6</sup> CFU ml <sup>-1</sup>                                                                                                                                                                |
| FS (septage) characteristics in India <sup>11</sup> | 960–6080                  | —                         | 1000–123 000                       | Total coliform of 10 <sup>5</sup> –10 <sup>9</sup> No L <sup>-1</sup>                                                                                                                                     |
| Septage characteristics in India <sup>12</sup>      | 6656                      | 1896                      | 17 467                             | —                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| FS characteristics in Ghana <sup>46</sup>           | 48 000                    | 5280                      | 55 800                             | —                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| FS characteristics in Burkina Faso <sup>19</sup>    | 12 437                    | 2126                      | 13 349                             | —                                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| This present case study of Pilani                   | 4406–160 000              | 780–16 200                | 3430–95 393.33                     | <i>E. coli</i> – 3.24 × 10 <sup>8</sup> CFU ml <sup>-1</sup><br><i>K. pneumoniae</i> – 1.03 × 10 <sup>8</sup> CFU ml <sup>-1</sup><br><i>S. enteritidis</i> – 2.38 × 10 <sup>8</sup> CFU ml <sup>-1</sup> |



**Table 6** *p*-Values of the one-way ANOVA test of faecal sludge parameters with independent factors

| Variables compared                               | pH     | Temperature | TS     | COD    | BOD    | BOD/COD | TN     | CST    | EC     | TP     |
|--------------------------------------------------|--------|-------------|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Age of FS (1–16 years)                           | <0.001 | 0.002       | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001  | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| Type of OSS system (septic tank vs. single-pit)  | 0.458  | 0.001       | 0.002  | 0.044  | 0.007  | 0.844   | 0.016  | 0.624  | 0.699  | 0.963  |
| Grey water inclusion (with or without greywater) | <0.001 | 0.406       | 0.011  | 0.223  | 0.045  | 0.517   | 0.033  | 0.064  | 0.554  | 0.097  |

## 6. Discussions and suggestions

In assessing FS characterization, the OSS emptying frequency (FS age), the type of OSS containment, and water inputs are the major factors affecting the FS characterization. Also, other factors like the addition of water during emptying, OSS dimensions, and the number of households influence the FS characterization.

### 6.1. FS age

In this case study, the residence time of FS in the OSS system, *i.e.*, FS age, plays a crucial role in FS characterization. As the age of FS increases, TS and COD tend to increase, and the BOD/COD ratio decreases due to organic matter accumulation by time and mineralization. Emptying or desludging the OSS system was more challenging when emptying was performed after four years. This is because TS increases rapidly after three years, making the desludging process more difficult due to bottom sludge accumulation, which is in accordance with a study in Maharashtra, India.<sup>11</sup> Thus, it is recommended that the FS be emptied from the OSS system at a regular frequency of three years for safe FSM. This practice facilitates easy cleaning and minimizes the pollutant load, including TS and COD, for subsequent treatment.

### 6.2. Type of OSS containment

Only two OSS systems were identified in the study area: the septic tank and the single-pit latrine. Septic tanks have two chambers; anaerobic digestion occurs in the first chamber, and FS settles and accumulates in the second chamber. The two-chamber treatment process in septic tanks typically results in lower TS and COD levels than single-pit latrines. In unlined single-pit latrines, water content, urine, and greywater leach through soil and thicker FS, increasing COD and TS and making desludging difficult. Thus, adopting the twin toilet system is recommended for safe and effective FSM. A twin pit toilet consists of two pits, with the first pit serving as the initial receptacle for excreta. Once the first pit reaches capacity, the second pit becomes available for excreta storage. This will ensure better decomposition of FS for converting into manure and reduce the load for anaerobic digestion compared to a single pit latrine.

### 6.3. Water input to OSS

OSS containment generally stores excreta and blackwater (anal cleansing water + urine + toilet flush water), and may or

may not include greywater. In this study area, most residential OSS has only FS and blackwater, whereas commercial buildings like hotels include greywater. Containment with a greywater input shows reduced TS and COD than OSS containment without greywater because of the dilution effect of low-solid greywater. Even though polluting parameters are lower, the larger volume creates more handling challenges. Handling larger volumes is practically difficult regarding transportation, treatment, and disposal. Dewatering of FS becomes complex and time-consuming for liquid FS and may require additional treatment for separation. Thus, it is suggested to separate the greywater line from OSS, which can be linked with the public sewer line.

### 6.4. Addition of water during emptying

During the sampling campaign, in some cases, it was observed that water was added during emptying events. Water addition during emptying also plays an important role in FS characteristics. If thick and concentrated solid FS accumulates in the bottom of the OSS, it would be difficult to pump it for the vacuum truck. Thus, to make FS thin and loose, water is added to OSS containment during emptying, making FS dilute and easily pumpable. Due to the dilution effect, FS collected from OSS, where water is added, possesses a lower concentration of TS despite the unknown quantity of water added.

### 6.5. Other factors

It was anticipated that the number of households in the house or building would influence the characteristics of the FS, but no significant impact on its characteristics was observed. This is in accordance with the findings in the study of Sircilla, India,<sup>49</sup> which observed no significant difference in the FS parameters with the number of OSS unit users. The dimensions of the OSS system exhibit a minor influence on characterization, primarily through variations in retention time. The larger the size, the larger the retention time, which allows more time for FS to settle and microbial breakdown. FS with more residence time (age) shows reduced biodegrading capacity (decrease in the BOD/COD ratio) due to mineralization followed by microbial decomposition. This case study highlights that a single-chamber septic tank is unsuitable for heavy FS loads due to its lack of separation, leading to the high concentration of COD and TS. Thus, a two-chamber septic tank must be preferred over a single one.



### 6.6. Socio-economic aspects

Although treated FS compost contains vital nutrients, treated FS leachate is suitable for domestic uses, and there are many barriers to utilizing those resources. The study in Bhubaneswar, India<sup>50</sup> has shown that fear of infection, bad odour, and socio-cultural/religious beliefs are the key factors influencing the negative perceptions of urban households practicing kitchen gardening. Another socio-economic barrier in FSM is the unscientific application of untreated FS in agricultural lands in Indian villages, which leads to the accumulation of faecal coliforms in the food chain.<sup>51</sup> This necessitates the FS treatment, which requires a characterization study of FS. Another study in Vellore,<sup>52</sup> India has revealed that anxiety about getting mocked by others is a problem in using FS fertilizers by farmers. Also, people's willingness to buy crops and vegetables grown using FS fertilizers is very low. All this shows that general awareness of FSM is required among the Indian people. Another socioeconomic barrier to the failure of FSM models is the inhumane handling of FS in hands. Manual OSS emptying in India is based on the caste system, which is practiced by lower socio-economic classes.<sup>53</sup> In this study, the authors also observed that OSS cleaners handled FS with naked hands without any protection. This will lead to widespread infection in the community, nullifying the efforts made for safe FSM. Another study from Karnataka, India<sup>54</sup> found that in rural India, caste hierarchies and customs continue to influence the waste economy; however, policies that support sustainable "business" models for safe reuse fail to recognize this. Caste practices in the waste economy should be openly acknowledged in current efforts to formalize the reuse sector; otherwise, the scale and extent of the caste-based informal sector may continue, which leads to the failure of the FSM resource recovery model.

### 6.7. Suggestions specific to the study area

The case study reveals that 100% of the FS generated by the town is unsafely managed since it is discharged in open lands without treatment. This is not only the case in Pilani; most tier III towns in India face the same problem. This is mainly due to the lack of awareness among local-level stakeholders, *i.e.*, people, local municipalities, and OSS cleaners. Due to household ignorance about cleaning and emptying the OSS, the FS becomes more challenging to treat (increase in COD and total solids). In this study, it is observed that the COD value increases as the age increases. Thus, awareness should be created among local households in Pilani to clean the OSS at regular intervals of 3 years. Similarly, it is observed that OSS cleaners do not follow any precautionary and safety measures while cleaning the septic tank, which may lead to the community's spread of diseases; this implies that OSS cleaners of Pilani require a workshop to learn about safety measures while cleaning OSS.

Because of low construction costs, households opt for single-pit latrines, which produce FS with more COD and TS

than septic tanks. The maximum COD of FS generated from a single pit is 160 000 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, while the maximum COD of FS generated from a septic tank is 128 000 mg l<sup>-1</sup>. Also, it is observed that the FS of single pits, which were cleaned in one year, exhibits more COD and TS than the FS of septic tanks, which were cleaned in five years, mainly due to the leaching of blackwater. It is suggested that people should go for septic tanks or twin-pit latrines, which produce comparatively lower COD and TS than single-pit latrines. In 3 cases, it was found that a single chamber septic tank was used instead of a two-chamber septic tank; due to shock loading of FS and the absence of a soak pit, the breakdown of organic matter in FS will be slow, producing more COD, so it is recommended that single chamber septic tanks should be avoided, instead double chamber septic tanks should be used. In commercial complexes, it was found that kitchen wash water (greywater) dilutes the COD, as observed in FS with greywater, and produces less COD than FS without greywater. Still, it was noted during cleaning that one vacuum truck was insufficient to empty the OSS with greywater due to the larger volume, which will create handling problems and increase the load to treatment. Thus, commercial shops in Pilani are suggested to separate the greywater from OSS and link it with the public sewer.

### 6.8. Challenges associated with recommendations

While interacting with households of Pilani, it is clear that they lack knowledge about FSM. It is observed that households empty the OSS only when it is full, so to make them clean their OSS regularly, awareness should be made about safe and sustainable FSM. FSM stakeholder roles and responsibilities are unclear at the local stage, making FSM unsuccessful. The emptied OSS contents are dumped into the open field, which causes more groundwater, surface water, and land pollution. Thus even though when the pits and septic tanks are emptied regularly, the FS from the OSS container will be discharged without treatment. In hotels and complexes, the greywater sewer line is attached to OSS for easy maintenance; separating the greywater line from OSS and connecting with public sewers requires an initial cost investment from property owners. Hotels and complexes produce a large amount of greywater daily because of the moving population; linking the greywater line from hotels to public sewers creates a shock loading and more pollution load. A lack of awareness was observed among all stakeholders, such as municipal authorities, OSS cleaners, and households, making FSM success difficult. Construction of twin-pit latrines instead of single-pit latrines requires land and an initial investment from households.

### 6.9. Role of faecal sludge management in achieving SDG6

FSM significantly contributes to achieving SDG6 goals by addressing several key targets within the goal. SDG6 aims to ensure the availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all. FSM ensures safe and sustainable



management of on-site sanitation facilities, including single pits and septic tanks, which are predominantly found in Pilani and other Indian towns. FSM ensures efficient treatment of FS and sustainable resource recovery from FS. This will help address the inequalities in access to sanitation, achieving target 6.2. Resource recovery from FS, followed by treatment of FS, produces valuable resources such as compost from the drying process, and treated leachate can be used for domestic water requirements, achieving target 6.4 of increasing water use efficiency and sustainable water management. Localized FSM involves the participation of local-level key stakeholders such as households, OSS cleaners, and municipality staff in improving sanitation management, achieving target 6. b. Direct disposal of FS into water bodies affects the water ecosystem and increases the pollution load of rivers and lakes. Also, disposal of untreated FS into open lands leads to leaching and contaminates the groundwater ecosystem. Robust FSM involving efficient treatment of FS removes the organic pollution load from FS, making FS fit for disposal and resource recovery. This will help achieve target 6.6, protecting and restoring the water-related ecosystem, and target 6.3, *i.e.*, improving water quality. Also, protecting water resources ensures access to safe drinking water for all, achieving 6.1. A well-structured FSM model can expand international cooperation and capacity building to support sanitation-related activities and programs, achieving 6. a. Thus, well-developed FSM can significantly contribute to the nation's health and well-being by achieving the targets of SDG6. The contribution of FSM to SDG6 is shown in Fig. 16.

## 7. Limitations of the study

The case study emphasized various factors influencing FS characterization. However, due to social reasons, it didn't address how FS characteristics change according to people's lifestyles, particularly their food habits and income levels. Due to inaccessibility, the characterization of leachate from FS percolating through soil in an unlined pit was hindered. This leachate characterization would provide insights into its effect on groundwater quality. The study did not consider the influence of toilet cleansing agents on the characterization of FS. The planned collection of FS samples from public toilets was hindered by challenges related to inaccessibility and social constraints, leading to the unavailability of the intended samples. FS sampling from public toilets will provide a comparative analysis between public toilets and other household sources.

## 8. Conclusion

Faecal sludge management contributes significantly to the country's development and well-being, ensuring public health and a healthier population. FS treatment is an important aspect of FSM as it minimizes the negative impact of FS on the environment and helps resource recovery. The present study characterized FS at the local level for parameters like TS, COD, TN, and faecal coliforms, which range from 3430 to 95 393.33 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, 4406 to 160 000 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, 81.7 to 709.2 mg l<sup>-1</sup>, and 10<sup>3</sup> to 10<sup>9</sup> CFU ml<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The age of FS, the type of containment, water added during emptying, and water




Fig. 16 Contribution of FSM to SDG6: clean water and sanitation.



input (blackwater and greywater) to OSS significantly impact FS characteristics. The age of FS causes mineralization and tends to increase COD and TS. Pit latrines produce thicker FS because of the leaching of water content, resulting in thicker FS, *i.e.*, higher TS concentration than septic tanks. The insights into FS characteristics and analysis discussed in this case study can assist FSM stakeholders in designing effective FS treatment plants in Indian towns or towns with similar contexts.

## Disclosures and declarations

### Ethics approval and consent to participate

All authors of the manuscript certify that this manuscript fully complies with the ethical standards of this journal and that there is no conflict of interest among the authors to publish the manuscript. They are in full agreement with this publication.

### Availability of data and material

All required data supporting the findings are available in the manuscript. If the readers require any additional data, the same will be shared electronically by the authors whenever required.

## Disclosure statement

The authors declare that there is no potential competing interest and the authors do not have any financial and/or business interests.

## Data availability

The authors verify that the manuscript contains all relevant data. However, if readers require any further data related to this study, the same shall be made available on reasonable request.

## Author contributions

Both authors have contributed, as given below, with respect to conceptualization, methodology development, data collection, investigation and modeling, original draft preparation, writing, and editing. The authors certify that there is no conflict of interest. Harishvar Jothinathan: sampling, conceptualization, methodology, investigation, data collection, interpretation, analysis, original draft preparation, writing, and editing. Ajit Pratap Singh: conceptualization, methodology, data collection, interpretation, investigation and analysis, validation, visualization, writing – original draft, review and editing, supervision, correspondence.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that no personal relationships or known competing financial interests could have influenced the work reported in this manuscript.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Advanced Research Laboratory in Environmental Engineering-Faecal Sludge Management (ARLEE-FSM) at the BITS Pilani-Pilani campus, India. The authors are very grateful to the Global Sanitation Graduate School (GSGS), Institute for Water Education, and IHE Delft for the necessary support and encouragement. Authors are thankful to Birla Institute of Technology and Science Pilani, India for providing financial support for gold open access to publish the findings of this research work. The authors would also like to thank the septic tank cleaners of Pilani, India for their assistance during sample collection. The authors sincerely thank the anonymous reviewers and honorable editors for their valuable suggestions and efforts.

## References

- 1 L. Strande, L. Schoebitz, F. Bischoff, D. Ddiba, F. Okello, M. Englund, B. J. Ward and C. B. Niwagaba, Methods to reliably estimate faecal sludge quantities and qualities for the design of treatment technologies and management solutions, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 2018, **223**, 898–907.
- 2 *Faecal sludge management: systems approach for implementation and operation*, ed. L. Strande and D. Brdjanovic, IWA publishing, 2014.
- 3 S. Singh, N. Hariteja, T. R. Prasad, N. J. Raju and C. Ramakrishna, Impact assessment of faecal sludge on groundwater and river water quality in Lucknow environs, Uttar Pradesh India, *Groundw. Sustain. Dev.*, 2020, **11**, 100461.
- 4 K. Velkushanova, D. Brdjanovic, T. Koottatep, L. Strande, C. Buckley and M. Ronteltap, *Methods for faecal sludge analysis*, IWA publishing, 2021.
- 5 World Health Organization, *Guidelines on sanitation and health*, Geneva: World Health Organization (WHO), 2018, Contract No.: Licence: CC BY-NC-SA.3.0 IGO, <https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/274939/9789241514705-eng.pdf?sequence=25>.
- 6 M. Jain, M. Upadhyay, A. K. Gupta and P. S. Ghosal, A review on the treatment of septage and faecal sludge management: a special emphasis on constructed wetlands, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 2022, **315**, 115143.
- 7 C. M. Lopez-Vazquez, B. Dangol, C. M. Hooijmans and D. Brdjanovic, Co-treatment of faecal sludge in municipal wastewater treatment plants, *Faecal Sludge Management—Systems Approach Implementation and Operation*, IWA Publishing, London, UK, 2014, pp. 177–198.
- 8 U. Heinss, S. A. Larmie and M. Strauss, *Characteristics of faecal sludges and their solids-liquid separation*, EAWAG/SANDEC, Duebendorf, Switzerland, 1999.



- 9 C. B. Niwagaba, M. Mbéguéré and L. Strande, *Faecal sludge quantification, characterisation and treatment objectives*, IWA publishing, London, 2014.
- 10 C. Wanda, E. S. Kengne, G. V. Wafo, W. A. Nzouebet, P. Nbandah, Y. A. Ngandjui, L. Zapfack and I. M. Noumsi, Quantification and characterisation of faecal sludge from on-site sanitation systems prior the design of a treatment plant in Bangangte, West Region of Cameroon, *Environ. Challenges*, 2021, **5**, 100236.
- 11 N. Chandana and B. Rao, Assessing inter and intra-variation in the characteristics of faecal sludge from Vadgaon Maval, Maharashtra: For better faecal sludge management in India, *J. Environ. Manage.*, 2021, **300**, 113634.
- 12 D. Krithika, A. R. Thomas, G. R. Iyer, M. Kranert and L. Philip, Spatio-temporal variation of septage characteristics of a semi-arid metropolitan city in a developing country, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 2017, **24**, 7060–7076.
- 13 K. Velkushanova and L. Strande, Faecal sludge properties and considerations for characterisation, *Methods for faecal sludge analysis*, 2021, pp. 15–54.
- 14 Government of India, *Faecal sludge and septage management*, Government of India, Ministry of Urban Development National policy, 2017.
- 15 Government of India. Ministry of Jal Shakti, Press release. Government of India, 2023, Available from: [https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=1907510#:~:text=Under%20SBM\(G\)%2C%20so,in%20having%20access%20to%20toilets](https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=1907510#:~:text=Under%20SBM(G)%2C%20so,in%20having%20access%20to%20toilets).
- 16 EAI. Energy Alternatives India Energy, 2011, Available from: [https://www.eai.in/ref/ae/wte/typ/clas/faecal\\_sludge.html](https://www.eai.in/ref/ae/wte/typ/clas/faecal_sludge.html).
- 17 Centre for Science and Environment (CSE). Uttar Pradesh State Policy on Faecal Sludge and Septage Management, CSE, New Delhi, 2019, Available from: [https://cdn.cseindia.org/attachments/0.86741500\\_1562063305\\_Draft-UP-State-FSSM-Policy.pdf](https://cdn.cseindia.org/attachments/0.86741500_1562063305_Draft-UP-State-FSSM-Policy.pdf).
- 18 *Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater*, ed. E. W. Rice, R. B. Baird and A. D. Eaton, American Public Health Association, Washington, DC, 23rd edn, 2017, ISBN: 9780875532875.
- 19 M. Bassan, T. Tchonda, L. Yiougo, H. Zoellig, I. Mahamane, M. Mbéguéré and L. Strande, *Characterization of faecal sludge during dry and rainy seasons in Ouagadougou*, Burkina Faso, 2013.
- 20 W. G. Cochran, *Sampling techniques*, John Wiley & Sons, 1977.
- 21 World Health Organization (WHO), United Nations Children's Emergency Fund (UNICEF). *Joint Monitoring Programme for Water Supply, Sanitation and Hygiene (JMP)*, WHO and UNICEF, Geneva, 2022, Available from: <https://washdata.org/data/household#!/dashboard/new>.
- 22 K. Velkushanova, M. Reddy, T. Zikalala, B. Gumbi, C. Archer, B. J. Ward, N. Andriessen, S. Sam and L. Strande, Laboratory procedures and methods for characterisation of faecal sludge, *Methods for Faecal Sludge, Analysis*, 2021.
- 23 American Public Health Association (APHA), American Water Works Association (AWWA), Water Environment Federation (WEF), *Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater*, APHA, Washington, DC, 23rd edn, 2017.
- 24 Faecal Sludge and Septage Management (FSSM). Rajasthan Urban Infrastructure Development Project. Government of Rajasthan, 2018, Available from: [https://scbp.niua.org/sites/default/files/FSSM\\_Policy\\_Rajasthan\\_.pdf](https://scbp.niua.org/sites/default/files/FSSM_Policy_Rajasthan_.pdf).
- 25 Y. Han, S. Sung and R. R. Dague, Temperature-phased anaerobic digestion of wastewater sludges, *Water Sci. Technol.*, 1997, **36**(6–7), 367–374.
- 26 C. F. Liu, X. Z. Yuan, G. M. Zeng, W. W. Li and J. Li, Prediction of methane yield at optimum pH for anaerobic digestion of organic fraction of municipal solid waste, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2008, **99**(4), 882–888.
- 27 H. Jothinathan and A. P. Singh, Faecal sludge characterization, treatment, and resource recovery options: a state-of-the-art review on faecal sludge management, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 2023, **30**(57), 119549–119567.
- 28 R. G. Feachem, R. G. Feachem, D. J. Bradley, H. Garelick and D. D. Mara, *Sanitation and disease: health aspects of excreta and wastewater management*, Wiley, New York, 1983.
- 29 J. O. Drangert, Urine blindness and the use of nutrients from human excreta in urban agriculture, *GeoJournal*, 1998, **45**, 201–208.
- 30 B. J. Ward, J. Traber, A. Gueye, B. Diop, E. Morgenroth and L. Strande, Evaluation of conceptual model and predictors of faecal sludge dewatering performance in Senegal and Tanzania, *Water Res.*, 2019, **167**, 115101.
- 31 P. H. Dodane and M. Ronteltap, Unplanted drying beds, *Faecal sludge management: systems approach for implementation and operation*, 2014, pp. 141–154.
- 32 O. O. Cofie, S. Agbottah, M. Strauss, H. Esseku, A. Montangero, E. Awuah and D. Kone, Solid-liquid separation of faecal sludge using drying beds in Ghana: Implications for nutrient recycling in urban agriculture, *Water Res.*, 2006, **40**(1), 75–82.
- 33 S. B. Joceline, M. Koné, O. Yacouba and Y. H. Arsène, Planted sludge drying beds in treatment of faecal sludge from Ouagadougou: case of two local plant species, *J. Water Resour. Prot.*, 2016, **8**(07), 697.
- 34 I. M. Kengne, E. S. Kengne, A. Akoa, N. Bemmo, P. H. Dodane and D. Koné, Vertical-flow constructed wetlands as an emerging solution for faecal sludge dewatering in developing countries, *J. Water, Sanit. Hyg. Dev.*, 2011, **1**(1), 13–19.
- 35 B. Kim, T. Bel, P. Bourdoncle, J. Dimare, S. Troesch and P. Molle, Septage unit treatment by sludge treatment reed beds for easy management and reuse: performance and design considerations, *Water Sci. Technol.*, 2018, **77**(2), 279–285.
- 36 J. Nikiema and O. O. Cofie, *Technological options for safe resource recovery from fecal sludge*, Resource Recovery and Reuse Series, 2014.
- 37 D. Koné and M. Strauss, Low-cost options for treating faecal sludges (FS) in developing countries—Challenges and performance, in *9th International IWA Specialist Group Conference on Wetlands Systems for Water Pollution Control and to the 6th International IWA Specialist Group*



- Conference on Waste Stabilisation Ponds*, Avignon, France, 2004, vol. 27.
- 38 S. Singh, R. R. Mohan, S. Rathi and N. J. Raju, Technology options for faecal sludge management in developing countries: Benefits and revenue from reuse, *Environ. Technol. Innovation*, 2017, **7**, 203–218.
  - 39 E. G. Nartey, P. Amoah, G. K. Ofori-Budu, A. Muspratt and S. K. Pradhan, Effects of co-composting of faecal sludge and agricultural wastes on tomato transplant and growth, *Int. J. Recycl. Org. Waste Agric.*, 2017, **6**, 23–36.
  - 40 M. Manga, B. E. Evans, T. M. Ngasala and M. A. Camargo-Valero, Recycling of faecal sludge: nitrogen, carbon and organic matter transformation during co-composting of faecal sludge with different bulking agents, *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 2022, **19**(17), 10592.
  - 41 M. Ronteltap, P. H. Dodane and M. Bassan, Overview of treatment technologies, *Faecal Sludge Management-Systems Approach Implementation and Operation*, IWA Publishing, London, UK, 2014, pp. 97–120.
  - 42 K. Samal, S. Moulick, B. G. Mohapatra, S. Samanta, S. Sasidharan, B. Prakash and S. Sarangi, Design of faecal sludge treatment plant (FSTP) and availability of its treatment technologies, *Energy Nexus*, 2022, **7**, 100091.
  - 43 E. C. Mrimi, F. J. Matwewe, C. C. Kellner and J. M. Thomas, Safe resource recovery from faecal sludge: evidence from an innovative treatment system in rural Tanzania, *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.*, 2020, **6**(6), 1737–1748.
  - 44 Z. Daud, H. Awang, N. Nasir, M. B. Ridzuan and Z. Ahmad, Suspended solid, color, COD and oil and grease removal from biodiesel wastewater by coagulation and flocculation processes, *Procedia Soc. Behav. Sci.*, 2015, **195**, 2407–2411.
  - 45 A. L. Ahmad, S. Sumathi and B. H. Hameed, Coagulation of residue oil and suspended solid in palm oil mill effluent by chitosan, alum and PAC, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2006, **118**(1–2), 99–105.
  - 46 I. Ahmed, D. Ofori-Amanfo, E. Awuah and F. Cobbold, A comprehensive study on the physicochemical characteristics of faecal sludge in greater Accra region and analysis of its potential use as feedstock for green energy, *J. Renewable Energy*, 2019, **2019**(1), 8696058.
  - 47 E. Appiah-Effah, G. A. Duku, B. Dwumfour-Asare, I. Manu and K. B. Nyarko, Toilet chemical additives and their effect on faecal sludge characteristics, *Heliyon*, 2020, **6**(9), e04998.
  - 48 T. Koottatep, N. Surinkul, R. Paochaiyanguyen, W. Suebsao, M. Sherpa, C. Liangwannaphorn and A. Panuwatvanich, *Assessment of faecal sludge rheological properties - Final report*, Environmental Engineering Program, School of Environment, Resources and Development Asian Institute of Technology, Thailand, 2012, [https://www.susana.org/\\_resources/documents/default/2-1661-fs-final-report31-01-12.pdf](https://www.susana.org/_resources/documents/default/2-1661-fs-final-report31-01-12.pdf).
  - 49 P. Prasad, N. Andriessen, A. Moorthy, A. Das, K. Coppens, R. Pradeep and L. Strande, Methods for estimating quantities and qualities (Q&Q) of faecal sludge: field evaluation in Sircilla, India, *J. Water, Sanit. Hyg. Dev.*, 2021, **11**(3), 494–504.
  - 50 S. Singh, M. A. Ibrahim, S. Pawar and D. Brdjanovic, Public perceptions of reuse of faecal sludge co-compost in Bhubaneswar, India, *Sustainability*, 2022, **14**(8), 4489.
  - 51 N. Chandana and B. Rao, Evaluating the physicochemical, nutrient, and pathogenic characteristics of fecal sludge for fertilizer application: case from vadgaon maval, Maharashtra, India, *J. Environ. Eng.*, 2021, **147**(3), 04021003.
  - 52 P. Simha, C. Lalander, B. Vinnerås and M. Ganesapillai, Farmer attitudes and perceptions to the re-use of fertiliser products from resource-oriented sanitation systems-The case of Vellore, South India, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2017, **581**, 885–896.
  - 53 C. S. Prasad and I. Ray, When the pits fill up:(in) visible flows of waste in urban India, *J. Water Sanit. Hyg. Dev.*, 2019, **9**(2), 338–347.
  - 54 Z. Burt, C. S. Sharada Prasad and S. Drechsel, The cultural economy of human waste reuse: perspectives from peri-urban Karnataka, India, *J. Water Sanit. Hyg. Dev.*, 2021, **11**(3), 386–397.

