RSC Advances

PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 2997

Received 19th November 2018 Accepted 15th January 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c8ra09511f

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Ascorbic acid (AA), dopamine (DA), and uric acid (UA) are crucial biomolecules that usually coexist in human physiological fluids.¹⁻³ Abnormal DA level in the central nervous system may lead to several neurological diseases, e.g., schizophrenia and Parkinson's disease.^{4,5} Similarly, AA associates to atherosclerosis,⁶ and abnormality of UA level in the human metabolism causes diseases like hyperuricemia and gout.⁷ Therefore, sensitive and rapid detection of AA, DA, and UA in biological systems is vital for healthcare, routine analysis and clinical diagnostics. Several analytical techniques including chromatography,⁸ surface plasmon resonance spectroscopy,⁹ chemiluminescence,¹⁰ fluorescence,¹¹ and electrochemical analysis,¹² have been conducted for detecting biomolecules. Among them, electrochemical techniques have been receiving considerable

Electrochemical sensor based on a three dimensional nanostructured MoS₂ nanosphere-PANI/reduced graphene oxide composite for simultaneous detection of ascorbic acid, dopamine, and uric acid†

Shuaihui Li,^a Ya[she](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5888-4107)n Ma,^b Yongkang [Liu](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1082-3433),^b Gu Xin,^{*c} Minghua Wang,^b Zhihong Zhang \mathbf{D}^{*b} and Zhongyi Liu \mathbf{D}^{*a}

A three dimensional (3D) nanostructured composite based on the self-assembly of MoS₂ nanospheres and polyaniline (PANI) loaded on reduced graphene oxide (denoted by 3D MoS₂-PANI/rGO) was prepared via a feasible one-pot hydrothermal process. The 3D $MOS₂-PANI/rGO$ nanocomposite not only exhibits good functionality and bioaffinity but also displays high electrochemical catalytic activity. As such, the developed 3D MoS2-PANI/rGO nanocomposite can be employed as the sensing platform for simultaneously detecting small biomolecules, i.e., ascorbic acid (AA), dopamine (DA), and uric acid (UA). The peak currents obtained from the differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) measurements depended linearly on the concentrations in the wide range from 50 μ M to 8.0 mM, 5.0 to 500 μ M, and 1.0 to 500 μ M, giving low detection limits of 22.20, 0.70, and 0.36 μ M for AA, DA, and UA, respectively. Furthermore, the 3D MoS₂-PANI/rGO-based electrochemical sensor also exhibited high selectivity, good reproducibility and stability toward small molecule detection. The present sensing strategy based on 3D MoS₂-PANI/rGO suggests a good reliability in the trace determination of electroactive biomolecules. PAPER
 (A) Check for updates
 Electrochemical sensor based on a three
 CHERNITY CONDUCT CONDUCT CONDUCT AND A

CHERNITY CAV. 2009 9 2999

Service the memberical sensor based on a three
 Electrochemical nanostructur

interest because of the low cost, fast response, easy operation, and inexpensive instrumentation required.^{13,14} Notably, as electroactive molecules, AA, DA, and UA can be detected simultaneously by using electrochemical technique.¹⁵ However, the electro-catalytic oxidation of these biomolecules at bare electrodes occur at very similar potentials, leading to poor selectivity.¹⁶

Many efforts have been made to develop effective electrochemically active and large surface area sensors with highly selective and sensitive electrochemical current responses. To address these issues, various sensing materials, e.g., metal nanoparticles, semiconductors, polymers and carbon materials, have been employed.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ With high electron transfer rate, electrochemical active surface area, and chemical functionality, reduce graphene oxide (rGO) has been investigated widely in electrochemical sensing.^{20,21} Chen et al. reported that cobalt manganese oxides increased the amount of graphene captured in the composites and improved sensing activities. $22,23$ Moreover, as a two-dimensional (2D) layered nanomaterial, molybdenum disulfide $(MoS₂)$ has been focused on the fields like energy conversion and storage, electronic devices, catalysts, and sensors,²⁴⁻²⁸ due to its highly optical transmittance, unique electrochemical properties, and large electrochemical active surface area. $MoS₂$ -based nanocomposites have been used as matrix for simultaneously detecting of AA, DA, and UA. For

[&]quot;School of Chemical Engineering and Energy, Zhengzhou University, No. 100 Science Avenue, Zhengzhou 450001, Henan, China. E-mail: liuzhongyi@zzu.edu.cn; 2006025@zzuli.edu.cn; Tel: +86-37186609676

b Henan Provincial Key Laboratory of Surface & Interface Science, Zhengzhou University of Light Industry, Zhengzhou 450002, China

c College of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, 450001, China. E-mail: guxin@zzu.edu.cn

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c8ra09511f

instance, the gold nanoparticle-decorated $MoS₂$ nanocomposite modified electrode exhibited good electro-catalytic oxidation of AA, DA, and UA, giving detection limits of 100, 0.05, and 10 μ M, respectively.²⁹ Interestingly, MoS₂/graphene hierarchical frameworks have been reported where Mo^{6+} cations were found to induce the self-assembly of graphene oxide, and the aerogel structures depend strongly on different MoS₂ loadings.³⁰ What's more, most of the reported $MoS₂$ used as the sensing materials for biomolecules detection were still based on 2D planar structures. Considering that the effective electrochemically active surface areas of $MoS₂$ for adsorption and electro-catalytic oxidation of biomolecules may be closely associated with their morphology, the structure modulation needs to be further studied.31,32 Diverse shapes of nanoparticles distributed and anchored on graphene sheets can be achieved by varying synthesis conditions.^{33,34} Additionally, conductive polymers such as polyaniline (PANI) have also been used to functionalize M_0S_2 to improve the electro conductivity of the materials for the detection of dopamine.³⁵ MoS₂/PANI/rGO aerogel nanocomposite exhibited excellent long-term cycling stability and a high capacity.³⁶ RGO/MoS₂/PANI@AuNPs nanocompositebased electrochemical aptasensor was also reported for detection of aflatoxin B1.³⁷ However, the nanocomposites were synthesized via multiple steps. BSC Advances Worwide controll MoS, nanocomposite **Experimental**

modification controll on 2019. Downloaded on 23 particle is likely and controll on 2019. Downloaded in the common controll on 26:16. This common access Arti

This work aims to enhance the electrochemical activity of the MoS2/graphene and improve the bioaffinity between the small biomolecules and the sensing layer. 3D nanostructured composite based on the self-assembly of $MoS₂$ nanospheres and PANI loaded on rGO (3D MoS₂-PANI/rGO) was synthesized by a one-pot hydrothermal reaction, following by the application as electrochemical catalyst toward the trace determination of biomolecules in blood and urine samples (Scheme 1). As expected, the synergistic effects of the effective electro-catalytic performance of MoS₂, electrochemically activity of PANI, and the excellent charge transfer of graphene impart superior electro-catalytic activity of 3D nanostructured MoS_2-PANI/FGO composite for the oxidation reactions of AA, DA, and UA.

Scheme 1 Schematic diagram of MoS₂-PANI/rGO-based electrochemical biosensor for simultaneous detection of AA, DA, and UA.

Experimental

Materials and chemicals

Graphite powders, potassium permanganate $(KMnO₄)$, sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4) , 30% H_2O_2 solution, sodium molybdate (Na₂- $MO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$, thiourea (H_2NCSNH_2) , polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP), aniline, ammonium persulfate, HClO₄, AA, DA, and UA were supplied by Aladdin reagent (Shanghai, China) and used as received. Milli-Q water (\geq 18.2 M Ω cm) was used throughout the experiments. The 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution (PBS, pH 7.0) was prepared by mixing stock solutions of $Na₂HPO₄$ and $KH_2PO_4.$

Preparation of 3D $MoS₂-PANI/rGO$ nanocomposite

The preparation of GO and PANI are described in detail in the ESI (S1).[†] MoS₂-PANI/rGO nanocomposite was synthesized by a one-pot hydrothermal approach. Briefly, $0.40 \text{ g } Na₂MoO₄$ - $2H₂$ O, 0.66 g thiourea, 0.20 g PANI and 0.25 g PVP were added in 40 mL of GO aqueous dispersion $(1.25 \text{ mg} \text{ mL}^{-1})$ to form a homogeneous solution under stirring. Then the solution was transferred into a 50 mL Teflon-lined stainless steel autoclave and heated at 180 $^{\circ}$ C for 24 h. After cooling to ambient temperature, the precipitate was collected by centrifugation and washed thoroughly using ultrapure water and ethanol. Finally, the solid was dried in a vacuum oven at 60 \degree C for 12 h and the 3D $MoS₂-PANI/rGO$ nanocomposite was then obtained. $MoS₂/$ rGO composite was prepared in a similar manner in the absence of PANI.

Apparatus

X-ray diffraction (XRD) spectra were performed using a D8 advance X-ray diffraction instrument (Germany) in the 2θ range of 5–80. Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker TENSOR27 instrument from 400 to 4000 cm^{-1} . The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis was measured by a Thermo Fisher ESCALAB 250Xi spectrometer equipped with an Al anode (Al-Ka 1486.6 eV). Surface morphologies of the samples were investigated by fieldemission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM, JSM-6490LV, Japan) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM-2100F, Japan), respectively.

Fabrication of biosensor and electrochemical measurements

The glassy carbon electrodes (GCE, 3.0 mm in diameter) were polished using 0.3 μ m and 0.05 μ m alumina slurry, followed by sonicating in nitric acid, ethanol, and ultrapure water sequentially, and drying at room temperature. Afterward, $10 \mu L$ of the $MoS₂-PANI/rGO$ suspension $(1.0 \text{ mg} \text{ mL}^{-1})$ was dropped onto GCE electrode surface, then dried in the ambient air to develop the sensor $(MoS_2-PANI/rGO/GCE)$. For comparison, the MoS_2 / rGO/GCE and PANI/GCE were made in the same way.

Electrochemical measurements were performed on a CHI660D electrochemical workstation (Chenhua, Shanghai, China) with a conventional three-electrode system. The GCE or modified GCEs, platinum slide, and Ag/AgCl (saturated KCl)

electrode were used as working electrode, counter electrode, and reference electrode, respectively. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was recorded in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.0; containing 0.1 M KCl). The potential range was from -0.2 to 0.8 V and the scan rate was 50 mV s^{-1} . Differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) was collected at from -0.2 V to 0.8 V with pulse amplitude of 50 mV.

Results and discussion

Characterization

The chemical structure and components of nanomaterials were determined by FT-IR (S2†) and XPS. The FT-IR spectra (Fig. S1†) suggested that PANI was present in MOS_2 -PANI/rGO nanocomposite. The chemical composition and valence states of C, N, Mo and S containing in $MoS₂/rGO$ and $MoS₂-PANI/rGO$ (Fig. 1, S2 and Table S1†) nanocomposites were investigated by XPS in detail. From the XPS survey spectrum (Fig. S2a†), C, N, O, Mo and S can be clearly identified in MoS_2-PANI/rGO with atomic% of 74.5, 11.8, 10.8, 0.9, and 2.0, respectively. Fig. 1a shows the C 1s characteristic peak which is caused by the component of rGO and PANI. Three main peaks are centered at 284.6, 285.6, and 287.7 eV, which are assigned with the groups of C-C/C=C/C-H, C-OH/C-N, and C=O, respectively.³⁸ The graphene possess numerous edge planes and defects, which are conductive to the fast electron transfer as well as electrocatalytic activity.39,40 Apparently, the C–N was originated from PANI. The N 1s core-level XPS spectrum (Fig. 1b) was fitted into three components, 398.8, 399.8 and 400.8 eV, which are corresponded to imine $(=N-)$, amine $(-NH)$, and protonated amine units $(-NH^{\dagger}/=N^{\dagger})$ containing in PANI, respectively.⁴¹ The amine and imine groups can form hydrogen bonds with hydroxyl groups present in the small biomolecules and eventually results strong bioaffinity.⁴² Fig. 1c and d depict the highresolution scan XPS spectra of the Mo 3d, S 2s and S 2p electrons. The binding energies of Mo $3d_{3/2}$, Mo $3d_{5/2}$ and S 2s peaks are located at 232.4, 229.2 and 226.5 eV, whereas S $2p_{1/2}$ and S $2p_{3/2}$ peaks are observed at 163.3, and 162.1 eV, respectively, $30,43$ suggesting that Mo^{4+} chemical state existed and the formation Paper

Paper

electricle ester associates Article Common electricle, of Cryl Paper methods Common enter Common electricles.

Solution and the common electric is licensed to the common electricle is licensed to enter and

Fig. 1 High-resolution XPS spectra of (a) C 1s, (b) N 1s, (c) Mo 3d, and (d) S 2p of MoS₂-PANI/rGO nanocomposite.

of crystalline MoS_2 . The combination of the MoS_2 , rGO and PANI may effectively increase the conductivity, bioaffinity and catalytic activity of the nanocomposite.

Morphological studies

The surface morphology of as-prepared $MoS₂/rGO$, PANI, and $MoS₂-PANI/rGO$ were investigated by FE-SEM, as shown in Fig. 2 and S3.† The results show that the $MoS₂$ nanospheres were formed with a diameter of 300–400 nm (Fig. S3a†), approximately, whereas the PANI exhibits a rod-like shape (Fig. S3b†). The FE-SEM images of MoS₂/rGO reveal spherical-shaped MoS₂ nanoparticles wrapped by crumpled structure of graphene sheets (Fig. S3c and d†). As for the $MoS₂-PANI/rGO$, the honeycomb-like structure was formed with $MoS₂$ nanospheres interpenetrating in 3D graphene sheets (Fig. 2a and b), which could increase the electrochemical active surface area of the nanocomposite. Further structural insights were obtained in TEM analysis. The graphene appears a curved and crinkled texture (Fig. 2c, d and S4a–c†), which is well anchored by MOS_2 nanospheres. For MoS₂-PANI/rGO, dendritic hierarchical structure with several layers can be found in high-resolution TEM (HR-TEM) image. In Fig. 2e, the layered structures with an interlayer distance of ~ 0.62 nm corresponds to the (002) crystal planes of $MoS₂$. The corresponding selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns of $MoS₂/rGO$ (Fig. S4d \dagger) and $MoS₂-PANI/rGO$ (inset of Fig. 2e) confirm the hexagonal

Fig. 2 (a and b) $FE-SEM$ images of $MoS₂-PANI/rGO$ nanocomposite; (c) TEM and (d and e) HR-TEM images of 3D MoS₂-PANI/rGO nanocomposite (the inset in (e) is the corresponding SAED pattern), and (f) XRD patterns of the MoS₂, MoS₂/rGO and MoS₂-PANI/rGO samples.

structure of $MoS₂$ with different crystallographic orientations and present separated diffraction rings that can be indexed to the XRD patterns (Fig. 2f). The peaks that appeared in the XRD patterns of all the three samples are indexed based on $MoS₂$.

The major diffraction peaks at $2\theta = 14.4^{\circ}$, 32.7°, and 58.3° are in accordance with the (002), (100), and (110) crystal planes of hexagonal $MoS₂$ (JCPDS 37-1492).^{44,45} Nevertheless, the intensity of diffraction peak for MoS2-PANI/rGO decreased, indicating that PANI slightly affected the crystallization performance of $MoS₂$.

Electrochemical performance of the as-synthesized nanomaterials

To evaluate the electrochemical performance of the different materials, CV curves were tested on the bare GCE, $MoS₂/rGO$, PANI, and 3D $MoS₂-PANI/rGO-modified glassy carbon elec$ trodes. The $\mathrm{MoS}_2\text{-PANI/rGO/GCE}$ $(0.125\,$ $\mathrm{cm}^2)$ exhibited larger electrochemical active surface area than $MoS₂/rGO/GCE$ (0.101 $\rm cm^2)$ and PANI/GCE (0.102 $\rm cm^2)$, suggesting it can provide quick mass transport of molecules to the electrocatalyst (Fig. S5†). When the AA, DA and UA biomolecules were added in 0.1 M PBS solution (Fig. 3a), the GCE and PANI/GCE showed broad peak from -0.2 to 0.8 V, while MoS₂/rGO/GCE had two obvious peak responses to DA and UA. In comparison, the $MoS₂-PANI/rGO/$ GCE exhibited the largest anodic peaks in the CV measurements. Three peaks were observed on MoS₂-PANI/rGO/GCE in DPV measurements, indicating a simultaneous electrochemical detection of the three bio-molecules (Fig. 3b). The $MoS₂-PANI/$ rGO/GCE exhibits the highest peak current intensity and three well-resolved peaks at 0.020, 0.196, and 0.320 V (ΔE_{AA-DA} = 176 mV, $\Delta E_{\text{DA-UA}} = 124$ mV, $\Delta E_{\text{AA-UA}} = 300$ mV), implying that synergistic effect existed among the $MoS₂$, rGO and PANI toward the electrochemical catalysis of three small biomolecules. The observed anodic peaks are ascribed to the oxidation of hydroxyl groups to carbonyl groups in AA, cateshol to θ -quinone in DA, and bridging double bond to hydroxyl groups in UA.¹⁶ BSC Advances Stock, which different crystally public orientations are

not present very metric different on 24:47. This article is licensed on 26:52.

The intermediation pusk sai 2019 and 2019. The Victor Paul 2019.

The

Moreover, the effect of pH on electrochemical oxidation of AA, DA, and UA with $MoS_2-PANI/rGO/GCE$ was investigated (Fig. 4). The results show that the anodic peak potential of AA, DA, and UA shifted negatively with increasing of their pH value (pH 4.0–10.0) (Fig. 4a), and oxidation peak potential of AA, DA, and UA were linearly proportional to the pH value (Fig. 4b), demonstrating that the proton took part in the electrochemical

Fig. 3 (a) CV and (b) DPV curves of (i) bare GCE, (ii) MoS₂/rGO/GCE, (iii) PANI/GCE, and (iv) MoS₂-PANI/rGO/GCE in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.0, 0.1 M KCl) containing 2.0 mM AA, 150 µM DA, and 200 µM UA.

Fig. 4 (a) DPVs of $MoS₂-PANI/rGO/GCE$ in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.0, 0.1 M KCl) containing 1.0 mM AA, 75 μ M DA, and 75 μ M UA with various pH value with in the range from 4.0 to 10.0, and the corresponding peak potential (b) and peak current (c) versus the pH values.

oxidation reaction process.^{6,29} The peak current of AA, DA, and UA was also changed with the pH value. The AA, DA, and UA achieved the maximum value at pH 6.0, 7.0, and 7.0, respectively

Fig. 5 (a) DPVs of $MoS_{2}-PANI/rGO/GCE$ and (b) the plot of peak currents vs. concentrations of AA from 50 μ M to 8.0 mM with 75 μ M DA and 75 μ M UA. (c) DPVs of MoS₂-PANI/rGO/GCE and (d) the plot of peak currents vs. concentrations of DA from 5.0 to 500 μ M with 1.0 mM AA and 75 μ M UA. (e) DPVs of MoS₂-PANI/rGO/GCE and (f) the plot of peak currents vs. concentrations of UA from 1.0 μ M to 500 μ M with 1.0 mM AA and 75 μ M DA. The solution was PBS (pH 7.0, 0.1 M) containing 0.1 M KCl in the experiment.

Fig. 6 (a) DPV curves of MoS₂-PANI/rGO/GCE in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.0, 0.1 M KCl) containing AA, DA, and UA with different concentrations. The concentration from bottom to top: 30 μ M to 3.0 mM for AA, 3.0 to 300 µM for DA, and 2.0 to 200 µM for UA, respectively. The plots of the oxidative peak currents versus the concentrations of (b) AA, (c) DA, and (d) UA.

(Fig. 4c). Considering sensitivity and selectivity, the electrolyte solution with pH 7.0 was selected for further measurements of the analytes.

Simultaneous detection of AA, DA and UA

Individually or simultaneously analyze AA, DA, and UA on $MoS₂$ -PANI/rGO/GCE was studied by DPV. It is found that the response current corresponding to the analyte increases with increasing concentration of the ternary mixture. In Fig. 5a–b, the peak currents increased linear with the AA concentrations in the range of 50 μ M to 8.0 mM. The regression equation of $I_{\text{p,AA}}$ $(\mu A) = 11.596 + 0.0034 C_{AA} (\mu M) (R^2 = 0.996)$ was obtained. The limit of detection (LOD) was determined to be $22.20 \mu M$ for AA at a signal-to-noise ratio of 3. Similarly, the peak currents of DA (Fig. 5c and d) and UA (Fig. 5e and f) were proportional to the concentration in the range of $5.0-500 \mu M$ (DA) and $1.0-500 \mu M$ (UA). The calibration equations were $I_{\text{p,DA}}$ (μ A) = 21.866 + 0.086 C_{DA} (μ M) ($R^2 = 0.997$) and $I_{\text{p,UA}}$ (μ A) = 8.363 + 0.052 C_{UA}

 (μM) ($R^2 = 0.993$) with LOD of 0.70 μ M for DA and 0.36 μ M for UA, respectively.

In the simultaneous detection of AA, DA, and UA experiments, three well- resolved anodic peaks at potentials of 0.052, 0.196, and 0.304 mV were presented at $MoS₂-PANI/rGO/GCE$ from DPV plots (Fig. 6). The three peak currents were linearly dependent on the concentrations ranging from $30 \mu M$ to 3.0 mM for AA, 3.0 to 300 μ M for DA, and 2.0 to 200 μ M for UA while the LOD of AA, DA, and UA were 27.49, 0.65, and 0.40 μ M, respectively. The results demonstrate that the 3D MoS_2 -PANI/ rGO-based sensor exhibited wide linear ranges and low detection limits for detection of biomolecules comparative to those of other sensors (Table 1).

Selectivity, reproducibility, and stability of the fabricated biosensor

Several potential interferential species were used to examine the selectivity at $MoS₂$ -PANI/rGO/GCE for simultaneously detecting of AA (1.0 μ M), DA (75 μ M) and UA (75 μ M) (Fig. 7a).

There were negligible interferences detected in the presence of NaNO3, ZnSO4, glucose, glycine, and citric acid, whose concentrations are 1.0 mM. The peak response changes were less than $\pm 3\%$, revealing a good selectivity of the developed electrochemical sensor.

Five $MoS₂-PANI/rGO$ modified GCEs were prepared independently and their peak currents for AA, DA and UA mixture were investigated (Fig. S6†). The relative standard deviation (RSD) were 4.0% for AA, 4.56% for DA, and 4.24% for UA,

Fig. 7 (a) Peak current ratio of $MOS₂-PANI/rGO/GCE$ to 1.0 mM AA, 75 μ M DA, and 75 μ M UA in the presence of 1 mM of various interfering substances (ZnSO₄, glucose, NaNO₃, citric acid, glycine, and the mixture, respectively). (b) The stability of MoS₂-PANI/rGO/GCE in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.0, 0.1 M KCl) containing 1.0 mM AA, 75 µM DA, and 75 µM UA.

 a LSV is linear sweep voltammetry.

Table 2 Detection and recovery of AA, DA and UA in real samples $(n = 3)^a$

						View Article Online
RSC Advances						Paper
Table 2 Detection and recovery of AA, DA and UA in real samples $(n = 3)^a$						and UA with three distinguished oxidation peaks (ΔE_{AA-DA} =
Sample no.	Species	Spiking (μM)	Detected (μM)	Recovery (%)	RSD (%)	176 mV, $\Delta E_{DA-UA} = 124$ mV, $\Delta E_{AA-UA} = 300$ mV) in DPV measurements. The MoS ₂ -PANI/rGO/GCE showed excellent responses toward AA, DA, and UA in the linear range of 50 µM to
Human	AA	1200	1241.8	103.5	1.71	8.0 mM, 5.0-500 μ M, and 1.0-500 μ M with low detection limit of 22.20, 0.70, and 0.36 μ M (S/N = 3), respectively. The sensor also exhibited good selectivity, reproducibility and stability for
serum ¹	DA	120	119.5	99.6	3.38	
	UA	120	121.5	101.2	1.20	
Human	AA	1800	1810.4	100.6	1.03	simultaneous detection of these biomolecules in human serum and urine samples. Ethical conduct of research The authors state that for investigations involving humar
serum ²	DA	180	183.0	101.7	1.89	
	UA	180	178.3	99.0	2.21	
Human urine ¹	AA	1200	1199.0	99.9	1.34	
	DA	120	121.6	101.3	2.30	
	UA	120	124.3	103.6	2.45	
Human urine ²	AA	1800	1783.7	99.1	1.35	
	DA	180	180.1	100.0	0.76	
	UA	180	173.2	96.2	3.12	subjects, informed consent was obtained from all humar
indicating the good reproducibility of the developed sensor. In successive 15 times DPV measurements, the RSD values of 1.13%, 1.70%, and 2.49% for AA, DA and UA were observed. Furthermore, the stability of the electrode was also determined by DPV measurements for 7 days. The oxidation currents						Conflicts of interest There are no conflicts to declare. Acknowledgements
decreased by less than 5.0% of the initial currents (Fig. 7b), confirming a good stability of the biosensor.					This work was supported by Programs for the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC: Account No. U1604127).	
Real sample analysis						Notes and references
To evaluate the applicability of the MoS ₂ -PANI/rGO-based						
sensor, the simultaneous detection of AA, DA, and UA in human serum and urine samples was investigated by using standard addition method. Human serum (obtained from Bei- jing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) and human urine (supplied by an adult male student in the laboratory) were filtered through 0.22 µm filter, and diluted 100 times with 0.1 M					1 T. D. Thanh, J. Balamurugan, S. H. Lee, N. H. Kim and J. H. Lee, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2016, 81, 259-267.	

Real sample analysis

To evaluate the applicability of the $MoS₂-PANI/rGO-based$ sensor, the simultaneous detection of AA, DA, and UA in human serum and urine samples was investigated by using standard addition method. Human serum (obtained from Beijing Solarbio Science & Technology Co., Ltd.) and human urine (supplied by an adult male student in the laboratory) were filtered through 0.22 μ m filter, and diluted 100 times with 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.0) to prepare the real samples. Subsequently, DPV curves were collected before and after spiking with different concentrations of UA, DA and AA in the real samples (Fig. S7†). As shown in Table 2, the recoveries of the three kinds of small biomolecules varied from 99.0% to 103.5% in human serum, whereas they changed from 96.2 to 103.6% in human urine samples. The RSD values were also accurate and precise, indicating the applicability of the developed sensor for detection of these electroactive molecules in real biological system.

Conclusion

A 3D nanostructured $MoS₂$ -PANI/rGO nanocomposite based on the self-assembly of $MoS₂$ nanospheres and PANI loaded on reduced graphene oxide was synthesized by a one-pot hydrothermal reaction, and employed as the platform for electrochemical oxidation of biomolecules. The result showed that synergistic effect existed among $MoS₂$, rGO and PANI. The ternary composite exhibited good electrochemical activity, high bioaffinity and strong catalytic effect on the oxidation of biomolecules. The 3D MoS₂-PANI/rGO-based sensing platform exhibited high sensitivity for simultaneous detection of AA, DA,

Ethical conduct of research

Conflicts of interest

Acknowledgements

Notes and references

- 1 T. D. Thanh, J. Balamurugan, S. H. Lee, N. H. Kim and J. H. Lee, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2016, 81, 259–267.
- 2 S. Pakapongpan, J. P. Mensing, D. Phokharatkul, T. Lomas and A. Tuantranont, Electrochim. Acta, 2014, 133, 294–301.
- 3 K. Q. Deng, X. F. Li and H. W. Huang, Microchim. Acta, 2016, 183, 2139–2145.
- 4 J. S. Lee, J. Oh, S. G. Kim and J. Jang, Small, 2015, 11, 2399– 2406.
- 5 X. M. Feng, Y. Zhang, Z. Z. Yan, N. N. Chen, Y. W. Ma, X. F. Liu, X. Y. Yang and W. H. Hou, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2013, 1, 9775–9780.
- 6 X. Zhang, Y. C. Zhang and L. X. Ma, Sens. Actuators, B, 2016, 227, 488–496.
- 7 L. P. Mei, J. J. Feng, L. Wu, J. R. Chen, L. G. Shen, Y. L. Xie and A. J. Wang, Microchim. Acta, 2016, 183, 2039–2046.
- 8 P. Uutela, R. Reinila, K. Harju, P. Piepponen, R. A. Ketola and R. Kostiainen, Anal. Chem., 2009, 81, 8417–8425.
- 9 P. Dutta, R. B. Pernites, C. Danda and R. C. Advincula, Macromol. Chem. Phys., 2011, 212, 2439–2451.
- 10 J. H. Yu, S. M. Wang, L. Ge and S. G. Ge, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2011, 26, 3284–3289.
- 11 C. G. Qian, S. Zhu, P. J. Feng, Y. L. Chen, J. C. Yu, X. Tang, Y. Liu and Q. D. Shen, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 18581–18589.
- 12 D. Y. Zhao, G. L. Yu, K. L. Tian and C. X. Xu, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2016, 82, 119–126.
- 13 N. J. Ronkainen, H. B. Halsall and W. R. Heineman, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2010, 39, 1747–1763.
- 14 X. L. Chai, X. G. Zhou, A. W. Zhu, L. M. Zhang, Y. Qin, G. Y. Shi and Y. Tian, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 8129–8133. Open Access Article. Published on 23 januar 2019. Downloaded on 26-09-2024 22:46:15. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/) **[View Article Online](https://doi.org/10.1039/c8ra09511f)**
	- 15 L. W. Zhao, H. J. Li, S. M. Gao, M. J. Li, S. Xu, C. P. Li, W. L. Guo, C. Q. Qu and B. H. Yang, Electrochim. Acta, 2015, 168, 191–198.
	- 16 M. U. A. Prathap and R. Srivastava, Sens. Actuators, B, 2013, 177, 239–250.
	- 17 N. G. Tsierkezos, S. H. Othman, U. Ritter, L. Hafermann, A. Knauer, J. M. Kohler, C. Downing and E. K. McCarthy, Sens. Actuators, B, 2016, 231, 218–229.
	- 18 H. F. Hu, Y. P. Song, M. Feng and H. B. Zhan, Electrochim. Acta, 2016, 190, 40–48.
	- 19 A. K. Yang, Y. Xue, Y. Zhang, X. F. Zhang, H. Zhao, X. J. Li, Y. J. He and Z. B. Yuan, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2013, 1, 1804–1811.
	- 20 A. Ambrosi, C. K. Chua, N. M. Latiff, A. H. Loo, C. H. A. Wong, A. Y. S. Eng, A. Bonanni and M. Pumera, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2016, 45, 2458–2493.
	- 21 T. Kuila, S. Bose, P. Khanra, A. K. Mishra, N. H. Kim and J. H. Lee, Biosens. Bioelectron., 2011, 26, 4637–4648.
	- 22 C.-C. Kuo, W.-J. Lan and C.-H. Chen, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 334– 341.
	- 23 W.-J. Lan and C.-H. Chen, Electrochim. Acta, 2015, 180, 1014– 1022.
	- 24 C. N. R. Rao, K. Gopalakrishnan and U. Maitra, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 7809–7832.
	- 25 M. Park, Y. J. Park, X. Chen, Y. K. Park, M. S. Kim and J. H. Ahn, Adv. Mater., 2016, 28, 2556–2562.
	- 26 W. Y. Gao, M. Q. Wang, C. X. Ran and L. Li, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 1709–1712.
	- 27 W. S. Zhang, P. P. Zhang, Z. Q. Su and G. Wei, Nanoscale, 2015, 7, 18364–18378.
	- 28 B. J. Guo, K. Yu, H. L. Li, H. L. Song, Y. Y. Zhang, X. Lei, H. Fu, Y. H. Tan and Z. G. Zhu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2016, 8, 5517–5525.
	- 29 H. F. Sun, J. Chao, X. L. Zuo, S. Su, X. F. Liu, L. H. Yuwen, C. H. Fan and L. H. Wang, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 27625–27629.
	- 30 W. J. Zhou, K. Zhou, D. M. Hou, X. J. Liu, G. Q. Li, Y. H. Sang, H. Liu, L. G. Li and S. W. Chen, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2014, 6, 21534–21540.
- 31 Y. H. Tan, K. Yu, T. Yang, Q. F. Zhang, W. T. Cong, H. H. Yin, Z. L. Zhang, Y. W. Chen and Z. Q. Zhu, J. Mater. Chem. C, 2014, 2, 5422–5430.
- 32 Y. Zhao, Y. C. Liu, H. Q. Liu, H. Y. Kang, K. Z. Cao, Q. H. Wang, C. L. Zhang, Y. J. Wang, H. T. Yuan and L. F. Jiao, J. Power Sources, 2015, 300, 358–364.
- 33 W.-Q. Chen, M.-C. Chung, J. A. A. Valinton, D. P. Penaloza, S.-H. Chuang and C.-H. Chen, Chem. Commun., 2018, 54, 7900–7903.
- 34 W.-Y. Kao, W.-Q. Chen, Y.-H. Chiu, Y.-H. Ho and C.-H. Chen, Sci. Rep., 2016, 6, 37174.
- 35 K.-J. Huang, J.-Z. Zhang, Y.-J. Liu and L.-L. Wang, Sens. Actuators, B, 2014, 194, 303–310.
- 36 C. Sha, B. Lu, H. Mao, J. Cheng, X. Pan, J. Lu and Z. Ye, Carbon, 2016, 99, 26–34.
- 37 G. S. Geleta, Z. Zhao and Z. Wang, Analyst, 2018, 143, 1644– 1649.
- 38 O. Akhavan and E. Ghaderi, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2009, 113, 20214–20220.
- 39 X. Huang, Z. Yin, S. Wu, X. Qi, Q. He, Q. Zhang, Q. Yan, F. Boey and H. Zhang, Small, 2011, 7, 1876–1902.
- 40 J. T. Robinson, F. K. Perkins, E. S. Snow, Z. Wei and P. E. Sheehan, Nano Lett., 2008, 8, 3137–3140.
- 41 T. Zhou, C. Li, H. Jin, Y. Lian and W. Han, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 6030–6043.
- 42 B. Mu and A. Wang, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, 3, 281–289.
- 43 W. J. Zhou, Z. Y. Yin, Y. P. Du, X. Huang, Z. Y. Zeng, Z. X. Fan, H. Liu, J. Y. Wang and H. Zhang, Small, 2013, 9, 140–147.
- 44 Y. G. Li, H. L. Wang, L. M. Xie, Y. Y. Liang, G. S. Hong and H. J. Dai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 7296–7299.
- 45 H. L. Li, K. Yu, X. Lei, B. J. Guo, C. Li, H. Fu and Z. Q. Zhu, Dalton Trans., 2015, 44, 10438–10447.
- 46 Y. Bao, J. X. Song, Y. Mao, D. X. Han, F. Yang, L. Niu and A. Ivaska, Electroanalysis, 2011, 23, 878–884.
- 47 C. Q. Wang, J. Du, H. W. Wang, C. E. Zou, F. X. Jiang, P. Yang and Y. K. Du, Sens. Actuators, B, 2014, 204, 302–309.
- 48 Y. L. Xie, J. Yuan, H. L. Ye, P. Song and S. Q. Hu, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2015, 749, 26–30.