# Chemical Science



### **COMMENTARY**

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2025, 16, 1487

d All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

DOI: 10.1039/d4sc90251c

rsc.li/chemical-science

# A focus on phosphinophosphination of apolar bonds by a structurally constrained P-P bonded system

Tyler J. Hannah\* and Saurabh S. Chitnis 10 \*

In this article, we highlight the recent report of Greb *et al.* on the use of a structurally constrained P–P bonded system for phosphinophosphination of alkenes, alkynes, and carbonyls with high regio- and stereoselectivity (https://doi.org/10.1039/D4SC06581F).

Functionalization of organic substrates by main-group systems is a rapidly advancing field with the potential to complement or exceed established transition metal chemistry for valuable transformations.¹ An emergent strategy in this pursuit is the use of multi-dentate ligands with p-block elements. Detailed studies involving pnictogen complexes have shown that such ligands can distort molecules to expose vacant orbitals for coordination and template the ensuing activation steps by enforcing the proximity of reactive centres.²-7

Cation 1, reported by Greb et al.,8 is in similar wavs to known some intramolecularly-stablized phospheniums, whose high electrophilicity and geometrically-constrained nature allow activation of various E-H (E = N, C, H, O) bonds via a stepwise mechanism.9-14 But due to their very polar P-X (X = O, N, C)bonds, these stabilized phospheniums are not well-suited to activate weaklypolar or non-polar  $\pi$ -systems. In contrast, 1 features a homoatomic P-P bond that readily adds to non-activated alkynes, alkenes, and carbonyls. This diphosphination reaction was shown to proceed through a concerted mechanism, which enabled high regio- and diastereoselectivity (Scheme 1). Furthermore, this system demonstrates the first

proceed through a concerted mechanism, which enabled high regio- and diastereoselectivity (Scheme 1). Furthermore, this system demonstrates the first Department of Chemistry, Dalhousie University, 6243 Alumni Crescent, Halifax, Nova Scotia, B3H 4R2, Canada. E-mail: tyler.hannah@dal.ca; saurabh.

Canada. E-mail: chitnis@dal.ca

diphosphination of an unactivated C=C double bond. Interestingly, 1 exhibits low reactivity towards very polar alkenes, setting the stage for selective transwhen multiple reactive formations groups are present. The products of phosphinophosphination, 2 and 3, are 7membered phosphaheterocycles that are in most cases obtained with a high preference for one set of racemic diastereomers. These compounds are of interest for their photophysical properties, which were demonstrated by absorption in the visible region for a derivative of 2. Additionally, a derivative of 3 was able to coordinate to a rhodium complex, demonstrating an approach to access unusual chiral phosphine ligands.

The unprecedented reactivity and selectivity of 1 can be understood via a closer look at the P-P bond in this cation relative to other phosphino-phosphoniums. Scheme 2 shows the calculated partial charges on phosphorus in 1 and other P-P bonded systems that have been utilized for diphosphination. Compound A features a very polarized P-P bond despite being neutral. This is due to strong resonance stabilization of the phosphenium ion resulting from P-P heterolysis and the attachment to two electronegative nitrogen atoms at phosphorus. The very polar bond in this species is able to react with polar substrates including nitriles and alkenes containing one electron withdrawing group.15 Compound B, with a slightly less

polar P-P bond, is able to react with activated terminal alkynes through a frustrated Lewis pair mechanism, but only under forcing conditions.16 The phosphino-phosphointramolecular nium C features a less polar P-P bond than B, but due to the strained threemembered ring, it can nevertheless diphosphinate some polar substrates such as nitriles, while no addition across less polar unsaturated groups was reported. 17,18 Although phosphino-phosphoniums, as in B and C, have been shown to have similar barriers for both homolytic and heterolytic bond cleavage, their reactivity is dominated by polar, stepwise addition due to the considerable bond polarization.19,20

Compared to A-C, compound 1 features a minimally polarized P-P bond: the high charge at its donor phosphorus is comparable to the values for B and C. and the high charge at its acceptor phosphorus arises from attachment to σwithdrawing,  $\pi$ -donating groups (as in the case of A). The  $\pi$ -donor groups on the acceptor phosphorus also result in a very long P-P bond (even longer than that of A). This unique combination of a long and low-polarity P-P bond is well-suited for weak or non-polar  $\pi$ -bond activation via a concerted pathway that is not available to polar (A) or strongly-bonded (B, C) analogues. As a bonus, the lack of epimerizable intermediates in a concerted mechanism results in the stereoselectivity observed

Scheme 1 Diphosphination of alkenes and carbonyls by compound 1. Asterisks denote newly formed chiral centres. Counterions have been omitted for simplicity.

P-P Bond Polarity

P-P Bond Polarity

RN PNR 1.05 
$$\delta^+$$
 Ph Ph 1.30  $\delta^+$   $\delta^+$ 

Scheme 2 P-P bonded systems utilized for diphosphination and a comparison of their P-P bond polarities and lengths with those of  $1.^{21.22}$  Calculated partial charges are denoted in italics. These values have been taken from the relevant literature. For C, only the bond length involving the phosphino-phosphonium unit is given.

transformations starting from 1. The thermodynamic driving force is provided by replacement of a weak P-P bond and a strong C=C or C=O bond with two strong P-C (or P-O) bonds.

The multidenticity of the ligand is also a key enabling feature of this system. Indeed, the P–P bond in 1 is very unusual, since phosphenium cations with strongly  $\pi$ -donating N-substituents do not generally coordinate to triarylphosphines. They are instead readily isolated as stable two-coordinate species. <sup>24,25</sup> In 1, the pincer ligand reinforces the bonding interaction

between the two phosphorus centres to generate a functional group that may not persist if the interaction were intermolecular. In this manner, the chemistry of 1 is also reminiscent of intramolecular frustrated Lewis pairs. <sup>26</sup> Besides templating the P-P interaction, the ligand constraint is also expected to lower the energy of the disphenoidal transition state ( $TS_{conc}$ ) of the concerted addition pathway, as planarization of three out of four substituents is facilitated by their tethering (Fig. 1).<sup>27</sup> Finally, the use of a weakly-coordinating [Al(OC(CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>]

Fig. 1 Calculated mechanism for the concerted reaction of phenylacetylene to 1. Reproduced from ref. 8.

counterion ensures that poor donors such as non-polar  $\pi$ -systems can outcompete the anion for coordination to the cation, enabling the subsequent diphosphination.

Combining unusual electronics, ligand and weakly-coordinating constraints, anions within a single molecular system is a powerful and creative strategy for challenging bond activation at main-group centres. slew of recent contributions, 11,14,28-30 including the subject of this Focus article, illustrate how bridging the established fields of pincer coordination chemistry and Lewis acid chemistry represents a rich vein for both fundamental and applied discoveries. Although the reactivity of 1 reported so far is stoichiometric, the fundamental principles it illustrated may lead to new catalytic strategies for transforming weakly-polar bonds.

#### Author contributions

TJH and SSC co-wrote the manuscript.

#### Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

TJH acknowledges the Natural Science & Engineering Research Council of Canada

Commentary Chemical Science

(NSERC) and the Walter C. Sumner Foundation for graduate scholarships. SSC acknowledges NSERC, Dalhousie University, and the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation for funding in support of this work.

#### References

- 1 P. P. Power, *Nature*, 2010, **463**, 171–177.
- 2 J. Abbenseth and J. M. Goicoechea, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 9728–9740.
- 3 S. Kundu, *Chem.-Asian J.*, 2020, **15**, 3209–3224.
- 4 T. J. Hannah and S. S. Chitnis, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2024, **53**, 764–792.
- 5 A. Maiti, R. Yadav and L. Greb, in *Advances in Inorganic Chemistry*, ed. K. Meyer and R. van Eldik, Academic Press, 2023, vol. 82, pp. 261–299.
- 6 H. W. Moon and J. Cornella, *ACS Catal.*, 2022, **12**, 1382–1393.
- 7 J. M. Lipshultz, G. Li and A. T. Radosevich, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, 143, 1699–1721.
- 8 L. You, D. Roth and L. Greb, *Chem. Sci.*, 2024, DOI: 10.1039/D4SC06581F.
- K. Chulsky, I. Malahov, D. Bawari and R. Dobrovetsky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, 145, 3786–3794.
- 10 D. Bawari, S. Volodarsky, Y. Ginzburg, K. Jaiswal, P. Joshi and R. Dobrovetsky, *Chem. Commun.*, 2022, 58, 12176–12179.
- 11 D. Bawari, D. Toami, K. Jaiswal and R. Dobrovetsky, *Nat. Chem.*, 2024, **16**, 1261–1266.

- 12 S. Volodarsky, D. Bawari and R. Dobrovetsky, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202208401.
- 13 S. Volodarsky and R. Dobrovetsky, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, 54, 6931–6934.
- 14 D. Roth, A. T. Radosevich and L. Greb, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2023, 145, 24184– 24190.
- 15 S. Burck, D. Gudat and M. Nieger, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 4801– 4804.
- 16 H. Kim, Z.-w. Qu, S. Grimme, N. Al-Zuhaika and D. W. Stephan, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202312587.
- 17 S. S. Chitnis, H. A. Sparkes, V. T. Annibale, N. E. Pridmore, A. M. Oliver and I. Manners, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, 56, 9536–9540.
- 18 S. S. Chitnis, R. A. Musgrave, H. A. Sparkes, N. E. Pridmore, V. T. Annibale and I. Manners, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, 56, 4521–4537.
- 19 K. L. Bamford, S. S. Chitnis, R. L. Stoddard, J. S. McIndoe and N. Burford, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, 7, 2544– 2552.
- 20 S. S. Chitnis, J. M. Whalen and N. Burford, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 12498–12506.
- 21 C. A. Dyker, N. Burford, G. Menard, M. D. Lumsden and A. Decken, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, 46, 4277–4285.
- 22 D. Gudat, in *Encyclopedia of Inorganic* and *Bioinorganic Chemistry*, 2018, pp.

- 1-23, DOI: 10.1002/ 9781119951438.eibc2642.
- 23 K. G. Pearce, A. M. Borys, E. R. Clark and H. J. Shepherd, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, 57, 11530–11536.
- 24 D. Gudat, in *Phosphorus Heterocycles II*, ed. R. K. Bansal, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2010, pp. 63–102, DOI: 10.1007/7081\_2009\_5.
- 25 A. H. Cowley and R. A. Kemp, *Chem. Rev.*, 1985, **85**, 367–382.
- 26 G. Kehr, S. Schwendemann and G. Erker, in *Frustrated Lewis Pairs I: Uncovering and Understanding*, ed. G. Erker and D. W. Stephan, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013, pp. 45–83, DOI: 10.1007/ 128\_2012\_373.
- 27 T. J. Hannah, T. Z. Kirsch and S. S. Chitnis, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2024, 30, e202402851.
- 28 K. Chulsky, I. Malahov, D. Bawari and R. Dobrovetsky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, 145, 3786–3794.
- 29 W. Lv, Y. Dai, R. Guo, Y. Su, D. A. Ruiz, L. L. Liu, C.-H. Tung and L. Kong, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2023, 62, e202308467.
- 30 S. G. Kim, D. Kim, J. Oh, Y. J. Son, S. Jeong, J. Kim and S. J. Hwang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 11440–11449.