



Cite this: *Biomater. Sci.*, 2025, **13**, 1114

Biomaterial-based strategies for primary human corneal endothelial cells for therapeutic applications: from cell expansion to transplantable carrier

Myagmarsend Enkhbat,^a Jodhbir S. Mehta,^{*b,c,d} Gary S. L. Peh^{*b,d} and Evelyn K. F. Yim^{ib} ^{*a,e,f}

The treatment of corneal blindness due to corneal diseases and injuries often requires the transplantation of healthy cadaveric corneal endothelial graft tissue to restore corneal clarity and visual function. However, the limited availability of donor corneas poses a significant challenge in meeting the demand for corneal transplantation. As a result, there is a growing interest in developing strategies alleviate this unmet need, and one of the postulated approaches is to isolate and expand primary human corneal endothelial cells (HCECs) *in vitro* for use in cell therapy. This review summarizes the recent advancements in the expansion of HCECs using biomaterials. Two principal biomaterial-based approaches, including extracellular matrix (ECM) coating and functionalized synthetic polymers, have been investigated to create an optimal microenvironment for the expansion and maintenance of corneal endothelial cells (CECs). This review highlights the challenges and opportunities in expanding primary HCECs using biomaterials. It emphasizes the importance of optimizing biomaterial properties, cell culture conditions, and the roles of biophysical cues to achieve efficient expansion and functional maintenance of CECs. Biomaterial-based strategies hold significant promise for expanding primary HCECs and improving the outcomes of CEC transplantation. The integration of biomaterials as cell culture substrates and transplantable carriers offers a comprehensive approach to address the limitations associated with current corneal tissue engineering techniques.

Received 17th July 2024,
Accepted 12th January 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d4bm00941j

rs.c.li/biomaterials-science

Introduction

The human corneal endothelium (CE) is essential for the regulation of corneal hydration, maintaining the appropriate thickness of the cornea, and keeping it transparent. Substantial loss of the human corneal endothelial cell (HCECs) will affect corneal deturgescence, resulting in increased stromal thickness, loss of visual acuity, and when left untreated, will lead to corneal blindness. Currently, corneal transplantation using a

cadaveric donor cornea is the gold standard treatment for corneal blindness.¹ Within the human eye, HCECs are principally non-proliferative, mitotically inactive and arrested at the G1 phase of cell cycle,^{2,3} but retain the capacity to proliferate *in vitro*.^{4,5} In the field of corneal transplantation, the shortage of suitable donor corneas poses a significant challenge on a global scale. Particularly, considering that corneal transplantation is a one-to-one surgery where one donor cornea is required for each recipient.⁶ The demand for corneal transplants far exceeds the availability of donor corneas, leading to long waiting lists and delayed treatment for patients with corneal blindness. Various factors contribute to this shortage, including limited donor pool, logistical constraints in corneal procurement and transportation, and the need for meticulous screening to ensure the safety and suitability of donor corneas. As a result, alternative approaches to address this unmet need have gained increasing attention. One such promising avenue is the utilization of HCECs as an alternative source for cell therapy.^{7–9}

By harnessing the proliferative potential of these cells, researchers are exploring innovative strategies to expand and transplant HCECs, offering a potential solution to alleviate the global shortage of donor corneas since it provides a high

^aDepartment of Chemical Engineering, University of Waterloo, 200 University Avenue West, Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1, Canada. E-mail: eyim@uwaterloo.ca

^bTissue Engineering and Cell Therapy Group, Singapore Eye Research Institute, Singapore 169856, Singapore. E-mail: gary.peh.s.l@seri.com.sg, jodhbir.s.mehta@singhealth.com.sg

^cCorneal & External Eye Disease Department, Singapore National Eye Centre, Singapore 168751, Singapore

^dSinghealth Duke-NUS Ophthalmology & Visual Sciences Academic Clinical Programme, Duke-NUS Graduate Medical School, Singapore 169857, Singapore

^eWaterloo Institute for Nanotechnology, University of Waterloo, 200 University Avenue West, Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1, Canada

^fCenter for Biotechnology and Bioengineering, University of Waterloo, 200 University Avenue West, Waterloo, ON N2L 3G1, Canada



number of CECs from a single donor. Several approaches have been developed in the last few decades, but effective approaches remain limited for HCECs expansion with less variation and high yields. Two principal substrates with defined expansion medium have been recognized for *in vitro* proliferation of the primary HCECs. Tissue culture polystyrene (TCPS) dishes coated with extracellular matrix (ECM), by simple physical adsorption,^{10,11} represent one approach to create an optimal microenvironment for the expansion and maintenance of primary HCECs. The ECM coating on the dish provides a biomimetic substrate that mimics the natural ECM, promoting cell adhesion, and its proliferation. Functionalized polymeric substrates^{12,13} offer an alternative strategy for supporting the growth and expansion of primary HCECs. These synthetic materials provide a customizable platform that can be tailored to specific cell culture requirements, allowing for fine-tuning of cell behavior, and optimizing cell expansion protocols. Moreover, these surface functionalization strategies can also be combined with micro- and nanostructured patterns, allowing for the integration of tailored surface features that further enhance the functionality and behavior of primary HCECs.^{14–16} In this review, we first discuss the basic anatomy and physiology of the human cornea and the development of CE, and following with some of the corneal diseases that require donor cornea transplantation. This is followed by the current advancement of substrate materials including ECM proteins used for coating, and functionalization of polymeric materials for primary HCECs expansion *in vitro* (Fig. 1).

Structure and function of cornea

The cornea is a transparent and avascular tissue located at the most outer layer of the eye. It consists of five dis-

tinct layers including (I) epithelium; (II) Bowman's layer; (III) stroma; (IV) Descemet's membrane (DM); (V) endothelium (Fig. 2).¹⁷ Thickness of the human cornea in the central region is approximately 500 μm thick in healthy adults.¹⁸ In the cornea, the nonkeratinized and stratified epithelium layer constitutes approximately 10% of tissue which has 4 to 6 cell layers 40–50 μm thick. The function of corneal epithelial cells is to ensure the integrity of the tear film-cornea interface by creating a barrier to the outside environment. It is vital to the refractive power of the eye and the prevention of toxins and microbes from entering the inner layers of the cornea. Bowman's layer lies just under the epithelium and this smooth layer is approximately 15 μm thick and assists the cornea to sustain its shape.¹⁹ The bulk of the cornea is the corneal stroma, that roughly comprises 80–85% of the corneal and is approximately 450 μm thick. Keratocytes are the main cell type of the stroma, and it maintains the ECM environment of stroma and corneal transparency. The DM is located at the posterior boundary of the stroma, and secretion from the CECs after birth can contribute up to an additional 10 μm in thickness with age.²⁰ The corneal endothelium (CE), located at the posterior of the cornea, forms a monolayer of hexagonal cells. This layer serves as the key regulator of corneal deturgescence and acts as a barrier facilitated by gap junctions and tight junctions throughout the monolayer. These CECs are known to be highly metabolically active, that function continuously to actively transport fluid from the stroma to the anterior chamber of the eye.^{21,22} This continuous fluid movement, coupled with the dynamic balance between a “leaky” barrier and active pumping, plays a crucial role in the regulation of corneal hydration and the maintenance of visual transparency.^{23,24}



Myagmartsend Enkhbat

Mr Myagmartsend Enkhbat is currently pursuing a Ph.D. in Biomedical Engineering at Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China. He earned a Bachelor of Science in Biomedicine from the Mongolian National University of Medical Sciences. Subsequently, he completed a Master's degree in Biomedical Engineering at Taipei Medical University and a second Master's degree in Chemical Engineering at the University of Waterloo.

His research interests include in vitro disease modeling, cell apoptosis, biomaterials, stem cells, and organoid technology.



Jodhbir S. Mehta

Prof Jodhbir Mehta is Executive Director of the Singapore Eye Research Institute (SERI), Distinguished Professor, Corneal & External Eye Disease and Refractive Department at Singapore National Eye Centre (SNEC). He has won 74 prestigious awards nationally and internationally for his clinical and research work. His research work has generated 18 patents, 5 of which have been commercialized and licensed to companies.

He has published >520 peer-reviewed publications and 24 book chapters, with a H-index 76. He has been invited to speak at >300 international conferences and given 25 named/keynote lectures. His work has been cited >19 250 times. He has trained 28 international fellows and 8 PhD students, 5 Masters students.



Human CECs development and stem-like cell population

The development of the human cornea is a multifaceted process influenced by various signaling pathways and growth factors. The corneal endothelium (CE) begins to form around the fifth to sixth weeks of human gestation,²⁶ deriving primarily from the neural crest during embryonic development.²⁷ Neural crest cells contribute significantly to the development of the periocular mesenchyme, which serves as a crucial source for multiple mature cell lineages essential for normal ocular development. These include the corneal endothelium, stroma, trabecular meshwork (TM), ciliary body muscles, iris stroma, extraocular muscles, and ocular blood vessels (Fig. 3).

The human corneal endothelium consists of cells of mostly hexagonal morphology that form a uniform monolayer structure. An average of 3000 cells per mm² in adults, of which 75% of the cells are hexagonal.²⁹ The density and topography of the CECs continuously change throughout age. Cell density decreases every year by 0.6%³ and down to about 2600 cells per mm² in the eight decades.³⁰ Since CECs are non-proliferative, adjacent normal CECs undergo cellular migration and expansion resulting in polymegathism (cell enlargement) and pleomorphism (loss of cell hexagonality)³¹ to compensate for damaged or non-functional cells. This process helps maintain the overall functional integrity of the corneal endothelium, ensuring proper regulation of corneal hydration. However, in cases of disease or acute loss of corneal endothelial cells, leading to a significant impact on their regulatory function, it may result in corneal blindness. In those cases, corneal transplantation will be required to restore vision and an optimal corneal health.

Corneal endothelial cells express phenotypical and function-associated markers such as Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase pumps, focal

tight junctions (zonula occludens-1), *N*-cadherin and CD166.³² However, Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase pumps and focal tight junctions (ZO-1) could be found in many other non-corneal cells. Additional markers such as sodium bicarbonate transporter-like protein 11 (SLC4A11), cadherin-2/*N*-cadherin (CDH2), COL8A2 and sPRDX-6 have been identified as healthy corneal endothelial cell marker in recent studies.^{33–37} These markers are crucial for identifying and studying HCECs and can provide insights into their functional properties in health and disease.

A growing body of evidence suggests the existence of endothelial progenitor cells with stem-like characteristics beyond the peripheral endothelium (PE), within the transition zone beyond the periphery of the CE.^{38,39} These cells express markers such as Sox2, Lgr5, CD34, Pitx2, and telomerase, indicating their potential for proliferation–induction approaches.³⁸ These stem-like progenitor cells are believed to be sequestered in the niche at the transitional zone where the corneal endothelial cells meet the TM.⁴⁰

Furthermore, studies have identified cells with stem cell markers such as nestin, alkaline phosphatase, and telomerase in the transition region between the peripheral endothelium and the TM.³⁹ After corneal injury, Oct 3/4, Pax6, Sox2, and Wnt-1 expression in the corneal endothelium suggests the presence of stem cells in the posterior limbus, initiating endothelial regeneration.³⁹ Additionally, TM stem cells expressing neural crest markers (*N*-cadherin, ABCG2, myc, nestin, p75NTR) have been found beneath the Schwalbe's line, capable of differentiating into corneal endothelial-like cells.⁴¹ These findings collectively demonstrate the presence of stem-like progenitor cells in the transitional zone between the peripheral endothelium and the TM fibers. Future studies on the development of these cells should include a robust approach to isolate and propagate these unique stem/progenitor cells.



Gary S. L. Peh

Assistant Professor Gary Peh is Junior Principal Investigator at the Singapore Eye Research Institute (SERI). He earned his Ph.D. from Monash University, Australia, where his work using small molecules. After returning to Singapore, he transitioned his research focus towards ophthalmology, specifically in the field of corneal regenerative cell therapies. His current work centers on the process development and clinical translation of using

primary corneal endothelial cells for the treatment of corneal endothelial dysfunction. His academic contributions include over 75 peer-reviewed publications, with a H-index 31.



Evelyn K. F. Yim

Professor Evelyn Yim is Professor and University Research Chair in the Department of Chemical Engineering at the University of Waterloo in Canada. Professor Yim and her group are interested to study cell-biomaterial interactions, and apply the knowledge to direct stem cell growth and tissue engineering for vascular and corneal regeneration applications. She received her PhD at the Johns Hopkins University.

She started her academic career at the National University of Singapore, and she joined University of Waterloo in 2016. She has published >100 peer-reviewed publications, and she has trained 13 PhD students, 17 master students and 12 postdoctoral fellows.






Fig. 1 Schematic overview of biomaterial-based approaches for the expansion and transplantation of primary HCECs. ECM coatings and functionalized synthetic polymers as strategies to create an optimal microenvironment incorporating with current medium-dependent approach, that enhance HCEC proliferation and functionality for therapeutic applications. Created with BioRender.com.

Corneal endothelial diseases and current treatments

Corneal diseases are the third leading cause of blindness.⁴² The causes of corneal blindness include congenital or acquired through injury, trauma, infection, or surgical operation in the eye, resulting in the loss of transparency or visual dysfunctions.^{43,44} The inability for the HCECs to replace




Fig. 2 The human corneal anatomy. (A) *In vivo* cross-section of the cornea and anterior eye by anterior segment optical coherence tomography. The bright areas indicate light scatter while the dark areas are transparent. The bright area in the central cornea is an artifact. (B) Histologic view of the human cornea with toluidine blue staining, indicating the five principal layers of the normal cornea. Adapted from Neil Lagali *et al.*²⁵ under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 License (CC BY 3.0).




Fig. 3 Formation of the human cornea. The cornea begins to develop when the surface ectoderm closes after the formation of the lens vesicle and its detachment from the surface ectoderm. Mesenchymal cells (neural crest cells) invade the cornea and form the corneal stroma after condensation. Adapted with permission.²⁸ Copyright 2010, Elsevier.

damaged or lost cells *in vivo* is a significant factor in the development of human corneal endothelial diseases.⁴⁵ Fuchs endothelial corneal dystrophy (FECD) is one of the most frequent cause of primary corneal endothelial dysfunction, and is characterized by the progressive decrease in endothelial cell density and morphological alterations of CECs due to abnormal secretion of ECM forming guttate.^{46,47} Some of the other causes of corneal endothelial disorder includes: posterior polymorphous corneal dystrophy (PPCD), congenital hereditary endothelial dystrophy (CHED), iridocorneal endothelial (ICE) syndrome, viral infections, posttraumatic fibrous downgrowth, glaucoma and diabetes mellitus.⁴⁸

Currently, there are several non-surgical treatment options including topical administration of antibiotics, steroids, non-



steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs to relieve symptoms,^{49–53} but only a corneal transplantation is the definitive treatment to reverse corneal blindness for corneal endothelium diseases such as end-stage FECD. Full-thickness corneal transplantation or penetrating keratoplasty (PK) where the whole corneal button is transplanted is being gradually replaced with endothelial keratoplasty (EK), which has gained widespread acceptance as the established standard treatment approach.^{54,55} With the global shortage of suitable donor graft material, alternative therapeutic approaches such as cell injection therapy and tissue-engineered (TE) corneal endothelial grafts derived from propagated HCECs are emerging as promising solutions for the treatment of corneal endothelial dystrophy.^{56–60}

Corneal endothelial cell replacement therapy has been shown to produce outcomes similar to those of traditional endothelial keratoplasty.⁶¹ Specifically, the injection of expanded CECs for treating corneal endothelial dysfunction has been reported in a first-in-man study, shows significant potential.⁶² Delivery of the expanded CECs on TE scaffold has also been shown to reverse blindness in a pre-clinical rabbit model of bullous keratopathy, but it should be noted that in comparison, CEC injection therapy potentially offers a faster functional recovery profile, as it eliminates the need to remove DM.⁶³ More importantly, it is clear that the maintenance of a Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP)-compliant cell production process within a regulatory-compliant environment remains to be a significant challenge, which is required to produce consistent yield of high-quality primary CECs with their preserved phenotypical and functional characteristics.⁶⁴ Currently, we stand at a pivotal juncture marked by promising advancements in medical technology, poised to reshape the management of corneal endothelial diseases in the coming decades.

Current approaches of *in vitro* HCECs expansion

The expansion of HCECs *in vitro* heavily relies on the use of serums, soluble factors, and growth factors with the basal medium. Various culture media have been established from different basal media and containing growth factors for HCECs proliferation, as shown in Table 1.²² However, some medium formulations, such as SHEM, was not as successful as others in terms of its capacity to support cellular proliferation rate of CECs, with Opti-MEM I or F99 being more effective. To facilitate better attachment of HCECs and its formation of a continuous monolayer on TCPS, a combination of growth factors and ECM coating is commonly used. Lastly, co-culture with other cell types,⁶⁵ induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs),^{66,67} and gene editing technologies (*e.g.*, CRISPR-Cas9)⁶⁸ have also been developed to enhance the proliferation and functions of HCECs, as well as to introduce genetic modifications for therapeutic purposes.

In vitro HCECs expansion

Expansion of HCECs *in vitro* is very challenging as it requires a conducive condition for (1) cellular propagation to increase cell numbers; and (2) to retain its functional capacity. Without the appropriate culture conditions, expansion of HCECs may result in cells that do not proliferate, or cells that undergo endothelial-mesenchymal-transition (EndMT).^{22,32} EndMT is a process wherein an endothelial cell undergoes a sequence of molecular events,⁷⁹ leading to a transformation of its phenotype into that of a mesenchymal cell, accompanied by the loss of HCEC monolayer integrity and functionality.⁸⁰ Furthermore, a monolayer of cultivated corneal endothelium is fragile and hard to handle it. Hence, developing suitable substrates that not only provide mechanical support but also mimic the native microenvironment of the corneal endothelium could greatly enhance the expansion and transplantation of engineered human corneal endothelial sheets.

In recent years, scientists have been actively exploring a variety of strategies to optimize the expansion of HCECs *in vitro*, achieving to identify the best conditions that not only promote cell proliferation but also preserve endothelial functionality.⁸¹ Research efforts focus on fine-tuning culture conditions, such as growth factors, media compositions, and ECM components, in order to better mimic the *in vivo* environment of the corneal endothelium.⁸² This includes the identification of optimal substrates and biomaterials that can enhance cell attachment, maintain monolayer integrity, and prevent undesirable transitions like EndMT. By overcoming these challenges, researchers hope to establish more reliable protocols for expanding HCECs, which could significantly advance the development of cell-based therapies for corneal diseases.

To further explore the use of biomaterials for HCECs culture, the following section discuss specific examples of ECM protein for physical adsorption and functionalization strategy of synthetic polymers commonly used for HCECs expansion *in vitro* (Table 2).

ECM proteins for HCECs expansion

The extracellular microenvironment plays a vital role in cell proliferation and cell behavior by modifying cell contractility and the cytoskeleton.⁸³ Initial cell attachment and functional markers could be harnessed in adherent cells with cell-substrate and integrin-matrix interactions.^{14,84} In particular, ECM components are crucial to promoting cell attachment to the TCPS.⁸⁵ For instance, commercially available collagen and fibronectin mixture (FNC Coating Mix®) coated TCPS could improve the HCECs attachment and expansion rate when used with an appropriate media composition.²² Naturally derived ECM proteins are always preferred due to their biocompatibility. Collagen,^{10,11,86,87} fibronectin,^{69,88} laminin,^{89–91} and gelatin^{92–94} are well-established ECM proteins for primary HCECs *in vitro* culture. These ECM proteins can be used to coat cell culture dishes to provide a favorable microenvironment, and mechanical support, and to promote initial cell adhesion.



Table 1 Summary of HCECs expansion medium complement

Basal medium	Serum	Growth factors and supplements	Substrate	Cell morphology	Ref.
MEM	10%	5 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ insulin	FN or BCE-derived ECM-coated tissue culture plastic	Hexagonal	69
MEM	15%	5 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ transferrin 5 ng mL^{-1} sodium selenite 150 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ECGS 50 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ gentamicin 100 U mL^{-1} penicillin 100 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ streptomycin 0.25 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ amphotericin B 200 mM glutamine 2% essential amino acids 1% nonessential amino acids 10 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ gentamicin 1.2 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ amphotericin B	Tissue culture plastic	Polygonal	70
DMEM/F12	20%	120 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ penicillin	FN coated Tissue culture plastic	Polygonal/hexagonal	71
DMEM	15%	220 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ penicillin 30 mg L^{-1} L-glutamine	BCE-derived ECM coated tissue culture plastic	Varying	72 and 73
M199	20%	2.5 mg L^{-1} fungizone 2.5 mg L^{-1} doxycycline 2 ng mL^{-1} bFGF 4 mM glutamine	Fibronectin- or gelatin-coated tissue culture plastic	Polygonal	74
Opti-MEM-I	8%	200 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ECGS 100 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ penicillin 100 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ streptomycin 20 ng mL^{-1} NGF	FNC Coating Mix® coated tissue culture plastic	Polygonal	5
F99 Ham's F12 and M199 (1 : 1 ratio)	2–5%	5 ng mL^{-1} EGF 20 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ascorbic acid 200 mg L^{-1} calcium chloride 100 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ bovine pituitary extract 50 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ gentamicin 1× antibiotic/antimycotic 0.08% chondroitin sulfate 20 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ascorbic acid	BCE-derived ECM coated tissue culture plastic	Cobblestone-like	75 and 76
SHEM Ham's F12 and DMEM (1 : 1 ratio)	5%	20 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ bovine insulin 2.5 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ transferrin 0.6 ng mL^{-1} sodium selenite 10 ng mL^{-1} bFGF 0.5% DMSO 2 ng mL^{-1} EGF 5 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ insulin 5 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ transferrin 5 ng mL^{-1} selenium 0.5 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ hydrocortisone 1 nM cholera toxin 50 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ gentamicin 1.25 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ amphotericin B 2 ng mL^{-1} bFGF	Tissue culture plastic	Hexagonal	77
DMEM	10%	50 U mL^{-1} penicillin 50 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ streptomycin VEGF	Collagen IV-coated tissue culture plastic	Polygonal	78
EGM-2 endothelial growth medium	10%	EGF bFGF IGF Ascorbic acid Hydrocortisone Gentamicin Amphotericin B	FN and collagen IV-coated tissue culture plastic	Typical CECs (hexagonal or polygonal)	10

MEM: minimum essential medium; DMEM: Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium; SHEM: supplemented hormonal epithelial medium. ECGS, endothelial cell growth supplement; bFGF, basic fibroblast growth factor; NGF, nerve growth factor; EGF, epidermal growth factor; DMSO, dimethyl sulfoxide; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; IGF, insulin-like growth factor.



Table 2 Summary of substrate materials for HCECs expansion and tissue engineering

Materials	Advantages	Limitations	Functional markers expression	Applications	Ref.
ECM proteins					
Collagens	Replicate main components of the DM, enhance cell attachment and cell growth, and maintain cell phenotype	Xenogeneic and not well defined	Na ⁺ /K ⁺ -ATPase; ZO-1	Tissue engineering, cell expansion	11 and 99
Fibronectin	Simple and reproducible method, easily manipulated, enhance cell adhesion and compact cellular morphology	Batch variability, risks of xeno-contamination	Na ⁺ /K ⁺ -ATPase; ZO-1	Cell expansion	5, 10 and 11
Laminin	Enhances cell adhesion and proliferation, potentially xeno-free	Challenges in the production of recombinant laminin poses	Na ⁺ /K ⁺ -ATPase; ZO-1	Tissue engineering	90 and 91
Gelatin	Natural biomaterial with suitable biodegradability, transparent, ability to maintain permeability and to support cell attachment and pumping function	Xenogeneic, temperature sensitivity, low mechanical strength	Na ⁺ /K ⁺ -ATPase; ZO-1; N-cadherin	Tissue engineering	102 and 103
Functionalization of polymeric materials					
Thermal responsive polymers	Easy detachment of confluent HCEC sheets without the need for proteolytic enzymes or other contaminants	Detached cell monolayers fragility, requires cell-carrier for transplantation	Na ⁺ /K ⁺ -ATPase; ZO-1	Cell expansion	12, 104 and 105
Hydrogel polymers	Promote cell attachment and growth, adjustable thickness, transparency, porosity and robustness and allow fluids and nutrients penetration	Biocompatibility of hydrogels, poor mechanical strength and stiffness	Na ⁺ /K ⁺ -ATPase; ZO-1	Tissue engineering	106 and 107
Topographical modification	Support cell attachment, enhance cell proliferation, ability to replicate surface topography onto wide range of polymers, topographic memory in cell phenotype maintenance	Complex fabrication processes, long-term stability of topographical features, materials durability after topographical modification	Na ⁺ /K ⁺ -ATPase; ZO-1	Tissue engineering, cell expansion	16 and 107

Collagen. Collagen, particularly collagen types IV and VIII, constitutes a significant portion of the ECM present in various tissues.⁹⁵ Its primary function is to facilitate cell adhesion and promote growth on the substrate.⁷⁷ In DM, collagen types IV and VIII are the predominant ECM proteins, establishing a foundation for crucial cellular interactions.^{96,97} Due to its enhanced ability to promote cell adhesion and proliferation, collagen type IV emerges as the most promising ECM constituent for attachment and proliferation of HCECs, compared to other ECM components such as collagen type I, fibronectins, and laminins.^{11,98}

Collagen type I is another main protein that has been used as a coating or even as a free-standing substrate for HCECs expansion.^{11,99} Previous studies have shown promising results with free-standing collagen type I sheets, as they were found to promote the formation of confluent monolayers and enhance the expression of ZO-1 and Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase in primate CECs.¹⁰⁰ Furthermore, the TE corneal endothelial graft remained transparent and maintained high cell density (1992–2475 cells per mm²) with hexagonal morphology after six months when transplanted into monkeys. This study was conducted using monkey CECs and not human CECs; however, it still provided valuable preliminary data for HCECs expansion on collagen. Mimura *et al.*, grew HCECs on cross-linked sheets of type I col-

lagen and reported that the cultivated HCECs were able to maintain 76%–95% of pump function of human donor corneas when assessed with a Ussing chamber and ouabain, a Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase inhibitor.⁹⁹ However, achieving reproducibility in Ussing chamber experiments and ouabain assays can be challenging due to the intricate experimental design, requiring careful validation. Plastic compressed collagen called RAFT was developed as a novel carrier for cultured human corneal endothelial cells for transplantation.¹⁰¹ After being cultured on collagen constructs, both a human corneal endothelial cell line and primary HCECs maintained their distinctive cobblestone morphology and continued to express tight junction protein ZO-1 and pump protein Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase α 1. Also, its ease of handling is crucial when considering that these sheets may undergo transplantation and need to be handled by surgeons. These studies demonstrated the potential of collagen-based materials for corneal tissue engineering, with xeno-free polymers being particularly advantageous for use as substrate, thereby facilitating the clinical application of expanded HCECs.

Fibronectin. Another ECM protein that is used for HCECs expansion is fibronectin (FN) due to its ability to promote cell proliferation, aid the maintenance of cellular phenotype, as well as retaining the expression of function-associated



markers.^{10,11} FN is an adhesive glycoprotein that plays a pivotal role during embryogenesis, and also important in tissue regeneration, regulating HCECs attachment and proliferation.¹⁰⁸ Choi *et al.* expanded primary HCECs on both FN and collagen IV coated dish before testing on human decellularized stroma.¹⁰ The growth rate of the HCECs on each ECM substrate was similar and HCECs grown on both substrates show the same level of functional marker expressions with typical compact cellular morphology. Their findings revealed the importance of the FN for the growth of HCECs. Thus, using chemical grade, which has been purified and processed to remove contaminants and impurities, FN could be advantageous as it simplifies the process and reduces the possibility of an immune response to the cells. Moreover, FN also has the potential to improve *in vitro* HCECs proliferation, resulting in higher cell density with compact cellular morphology when compared to the uncoated dish.¹¹ The $\alpha 3 \beta 1$ integrin receptor for laminin-5 and fibronectin is expressed by HCECs, and the use of recombinant laminin-5 and human FN has been shown to promote HCECs adhesion, migration, and moderate proliferation in culture.⁸⁸

The FNC Coating Mix®, consisting of fibronectin, collagen, and albumin, is commonly employed as an ECM coating to improve the attachment of adherent cells. This mixture is widely utilized for promoting primary HCECs attachment and proliferation. Since the initial cell attachment is the key for further cell proliferation and final expansion yields. Zhu *et al.* demonstrated that HCECs attachment could be improved by coating tissue culture plates with FNC Coating Mix®.⁵ Consequently, HCECs from both young and older donors could proliferate *in vitro* in response to growth factors after cell attachment. Moreover, FNC also could use to coat plastic compressed collagen as a supporting material for transfer of cells into recipients.¹⁰¹ Primary HCECs maintained their distinctive cobblestone morphology and exhibited expression of tight junction protein ZO-1 and pump protein Na^+/K^+ -ATPase $\alpha 1$ even after being cultured on collagen constructs for a duration of 14 days. This finding suggests that FN may play a crucial role in HCECs culture and could be an important factor in the development of cell therapy for clinical use.

Laminin. Laminins play a pivotal role as the primary constituents of the basement membrane, influencing various cellular processes, including migration, survival, proliferation, and differentiation.^{109,110} There have been reports of the presence of laminin-411 and -511 expression within the Descemet's membrane, which constitutes the basement membrane of the corneal endothelium.^{111,112} Nonetheless, a complete comprehension of the laminin composition and its biological significance remains unclear.¹¹³

Okumura *et al.* demonstrated the effectiveness of utilizing laminins (recombinant human laminin-511 or human laminin-521) as coatings, revealing superior results in terms of HCEC attachment.⁹¹ When HCECs were cultured on laminin-511 or -521, they reached a cell density ranging from 2200 to 2400 cells per mm^2 , whereas the cell density on an uncoated control was only 1100 cells per mm^2 . In addition, recombinant

laminin E8 fragments (E8s) supported HCEC growth with a similar yield to that achieved with laminin. Moreover, Peh *et al.* affirmed that both human laminin-511 and laminin-521 yielded superior results in terms of HCEC attachment.⁹⁰ However, for their subsequent study, they opted for human collagen IV due to its cost-effectiveness. Hence, a plausible explanation could be that the improvement in HCEC adhesion facilitated by laminin-511 and -521 sustained their capacity for proliferation, subsequently resulting in a greater saturation density. Finally, incorporating laminin E8s might create an optimal xeno-free and well-defined surface for cultivating CECs in clinical settings.

Gelatin. Gelatin is a natural material derived *via* collagen hydrolysis and one of the most commonly studied compatible and biodegradable materials for corneal tissue engineering. To use gelatin for corneal engineering application, researchers mainly constructed free-standing membranes by crosslinking the gelatin to support corneal cells. Several studies have investigated gelatin as a substrate for HCECs expansion and as a transplantable carrier.^{102,103,107,114} Primary HCECs seeded on gelatin, expressed normal levels of functional markers, zona occludens-1 (ZO-1), Na^+/K^+ -ATPase and *N*-cadherin with continuous endothelial monolayer.¹⁰³ Researchers have also extensively used gelatin as a base material, for example, Lai *et al.* modified the gelatin with chondroitin sulfate (CS) to improve the cell growth.¹¹⁵ Moreover, the addition of heparin during crosslinking was able to enhance the absorption of bFGF and release kinetics.¹⁰² This scaffold had sufficient flexibility to be folded to facilitate surgical implantation and HCECs were successfully grown on the scaffold, while maintaining morphological and functional integrity.

Functionalization of polymeric materials for HCEC tissue engineering

Polymer substrates have been commonly used for cell culture such as TCPS dishes, or to functionalize materials to module cell-materials interactions. Synthetic polymeric substrates can also be easily functionalized to provide and fine tune various surface and materials properties such as surface energy, stiffness, roughness, and topography; thereby, facilitating the expansion of HCECs *in vitro* and enabling the generation of transplantable cells or grafts.^{16,104,107} Surface energy^{12,105} and topography^{16,107} parameters are crucial for initial cell attachment and growth of HCECs. Polymer substrates are often used in combination with ECM to provide a suitable microenvironment for HCECs proliferation.

Temperature-responsive polymers, hydrogel polymers and topographically modified polymers (*i.e.*, tissue culture polystyrene) have been explored as a substrate of HCECs expansion *in vitro*. These materials offer promising potential in maintaining cell functionality and promoting strong cell attachments. Additionally, some of the functionalization strategies can be extended to generating transplantable carrier film or cell monolayer intended for transplantation, enabling the creation of functional corneal endothelial monolayers that express functional markers. Consequently, these advancements hold



the key to preserving cell functionality and preventing cell detachment, which are paramount considerations that directly impact the quality of subsequent cell–cell and cell-carrier construct transplantations.^{107,116}

Functionalization with thermal responsive polymer. Thermo-sensitive polymeric materials have been extensively studied for the generation of cell sheets for cell transplantation. Temperature-responsive polymer poly(*N*-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAAm) has been utilized as a substratum for HCECs sheet generation^{12,104,105} due to temperature-dependent hydrophobicity and hydrophilicity alteration with a lower critical solution temperature at 32 °C,¹¹⁷ which is essential for detachment of cells sheets. HCEC sheets can generate on PNIPAAm and harvest as intact transplantable sheets by decreasing the culture temperature (Fig. 4A–C).⁹³ For instance,




Fig. 4 HCEC morphology and functional markers expression on poly(*N*-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAAm) and HCEC sheet transplantation in a rabbit model. (A) ZO-1 (green) localization in HCEC monolayers was observed after 3 weeks in culture at 37 °C on the PNIPAAm-grafted surfaces. Scale bar = 50 μ m. (B) PNIPAAm-grafted surfaces after incubation at 20 °C for 45 min. The size of an intact cell sheet is around 0.75 cm². Scale bar = 5 mm. Adapted with permission.⁹⁴ Copyright 2007, Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. (C) Example of harvested HCEC sheets were composed of a continuous, single cell layer with hematoxylin and eosin staining. Scale bar = 20 μ m. Adapted with permission.¹² Copyright 2005, John Wiley & Sons. (D) Following four weeks in culture, HCEC sheets were harvested and underwent immunostaining. The tight junction component ZO-1 (green) was observed to be localized at cell boundaries. Nuclei were co-stained with propidium iodide (red). Scale bar = 20 μ m. Adapted with permission.¹⁰⁵ Copyright 2005, Elsevier. Harvested human corneal endothelial cell sheets were affixed to slide glasses and treated with an anti-Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase antibody (green) for staining. Propidium iodide was utilized to highlight the position of cell nuclei (red). Confocal laser scanning microscopy was employed to capture (E) x–y and (F) x–z projection images of the cell sheet. Scale bar = 20 μ m. Adapted with permission.¹² Copyright 2005, John Wiley & Sons. Clinical observations following transplantation of HCECs sheets in a rabbit model were recorded. Representative slit-lamp biomicroscopic images depict the time-course changes in the surgical corneas of both the wound groups (G) and the HCEC sheet groups (H). Images were captured immediately post-operation (1), and at 1 day (2), 1 week (3), 2 weeks (4), 2 months (5), and 6 months (6) post-surgery. Scale bar = 5 mm. Adapted with permission.⁹⁴ Copyright 2007, Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

Nishida and colleagues obtained a confluent monolayer of primary HCECs with polygonal morphology and sustained makers expression¹⁰⁵ on PNIPAAm-grafted surfaces after four weeks (Fig. 4D). The structure and function of HCECs sheets detached from pure PNIPAAm were able to restore corneal clarity in rabbit models^{12,94} (Fig. 4G and H).

By incorporating glycidylmethacrylate (GMA) through copolymerization with PNIPAAm, *N*-isopropylacrylamide-*co*-glycidylmethacrylate (NGMA), it allows to adjustment of the critical temperature and introduces epoxy groups into the resulting polymer, enabling ECM deposition. When a copolymer of NIPAAm and (diethyleneglycol methacrylate) DEGMA is used, it facilitates quicker detachment of cells, and the critical temperature is closer to the physiological range.^{118,119} Teichmann and colleagues presented the development of a temperature-responsive carrier through the simultaneous electron beam immobilization and cross-linking, it becomes possible to control layer thickness, stiffness, switching amplitude, and functionalization of poly(vinyl methyl ether) (PVME) on polymeric surfaces.¹²⁰ HCEC sustained metabolic activity on the PVME-blend-PVMEMA comparable with that of HCEC grown on the TCPS. This effectiveness of approach with HCEC, which demand precise cell culture conditions and exhibit strong adhesion to the substrate, has been demonstrated. This innovative approach has the potential to open new avenues in corneal endothelium tissue engineering and substantially enhance therapeutic possibilities.

In spite of thermally detached cell monolayers offering numerous benefits, they are typically fragile, and it is crucial to use appropriate delivery methods when manipulating sheet grafts during the surgery. Therefore, different carriers have been studied for delivering created endothelial layer on implantable carriers.

Functionalization with hydrogel polymer. Numerous polymer hydrogels have been applied for the HCECs expansion^{13,121} and corneal bioengineering.^{122,123} However, despite their controllable mechanical and topographical properties, these hydrogel materials typically exhibit poor attachment of corneal cells on their surfaces without appropriate modifications.¹²⁴ To improve cell attachment and growth of rabbit and human primary corneal cells, hydrogels modified with collagen I, phosphate groups, and arginylglycylaspartic acid (RGD).^{125–127} Moreover, peptide hydrogel using poly- ϵ -lysine (p ϵ K) was developed for HCECs and porcine CECs (pCECs) culture and corneal endothelial grafts¹⁰⁶ (Fig. 5A). Poly- ϵ -lysine hydrogel was functionalized with synthetic cell-binding peptide RGD or DGEA, α 2 β 1 integrin recognition sequence resulted in enhanced pCEC adhesion on the hydrogel with the RGD peptide only (Fig. 5B). This study demonstrated the potential of poly- ϵ -lysine hydrogel for CEC attachment and growth.

Another study presented that polyacrylamide (PA) hydrogel can be used as a biomaterial to study the CEC response to *in vivo*-like microenvironment and DM rigidity. PA is an established purely elastic material with a wide range of rigidity within the Young's moduli of physiological tissues from 0.1 kPa to 100 kPa.^{128–130} The study involved modifying the PA






Fig. 5 Hydrogel substrates for CEC culture. (A) Thin and transparent poly-ε-lysine (pεK) hydrogel. (B) Primary porcine CECs expanded on pεK hydrogels functionalized with/without RGD up to 7 days. Scale bar = 100 μm. Quantification of cell density (mm²) on pεK hydrogels at specified time points. Adapted with permission.¹⁰⁶ Copyright 2019, Springer Nature. (C) Fluorescence microscopy images of bovine corneal endothelial cells (BCECs) immuno-stained for Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase 7 days after seeding on PA hydrogels with different patterns. Scale bar = 100 μm. Total Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase levels of these groups as probed by western blot assays and sample bands. (D) Cell densities of these groups as calculated from these images. Adapted with permission.¹²¹ Copyright 2021, John Wiley and Sons. (E) SEM images of rabbit CECs encapsulated hydrogels (cells are represented in pink *, green arrows represent secretion of ECM around cells). (F) Immunofluorescence staining of ZO-1, and Na⁺/K⁺ of encapsulated CECs cultured for 14 days. Scale bar = 100 μm (white) and 50 μm (yellow). (G) mRNA expression of CECs cultured on GM and GM/LAP hydrogels analysed on 3 and 14 days normalized by β-actin. Adapted with permission.¹³⁶ Copyright 2023, Elsevier.

hydrogel with hexagonal microtopography (~2000 hexagons per mm²). This modification has shown to be able to support more densely populated bovine corneal endothelial cells (BCECs) monolayer compared to the flat after one week.¹²¹ The PA hydrogel was designed to mimic native DM by harnessing

the hydrogel stiffness and functionalizing with collagen IV to have mechanical and biochemical support, respectively. Furthermore, essential functional markers such as Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase and N-cadherin were consistently observed in BCECs monolayer on the PA hydrogel (Fig. 5C). Cell density and the

formation of CE monolayers were controlled by positioning hexagons with optimal distances from each other through surface topography (Fig. 5D). These bioinspired patterned hydrogels and innovative concepts of engineered cell monolayers have the potential to advance *in vitro* ocular drug testing and clinical applications. Consequently, the provision of chemical and mechanical cues *in vitro* is crucial for retaining functional cell markers and establishing a continuous CEC monolayer.

Another example is hydrogel of gellan gum (GG), which is a natural, anionic polysaccharide. GG, derived from the bacterium *Sphingomonas elodea*, consisting of repeating units of two rhamnose, one glucuronic acid, and one glucose residue. GG has several advantages such as non-toxicity, biocompatibility, biodegradability, and viscoelastic properties.¹³¹ These characteristics make it particularly suitable for applications in biomedical fields and tissue engineering.^{132,133} However, its biomaterial application is limited by challenges such as low mechanical strength and a high gelation temperature, for example 50 °C for low acyl gellan.¹³⁴ To address these issues, chemical modifications, adjusting crosslinking methods, and blending with other biomaterials or fillers have been utilized, transforming GG into a semi-synthetic polymer.¹³⁵ The stability of GG hydrogels was enhanced by incorporating methacrylate anhydride (MA) into the GG backbone, resulting in methacrylated gellan gum (GM). This was further photo-crosslinked using lithium phenyl-2,4,6-trimethylbenzoylphosphine (LAP) to create GM/LAP, which had an enhanced mechanical property with the modulus range of 250 ± 6 kPa compared to GM, 90 ± 5 kPa. The GM/LAP was tested as a corneal endothelial cell (CEC) carrier for corneal tissue engineering (Fig. 5E).¹³⁶ Immunofluorescence staining of membrane proteins, including ZO-1 and Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase, demonstrated successful adhesion and morphology of rabbit CECs cultured on GM and GM/LAP substrates (Fig. 5F). Notably, CEC-specific gene expression was observed in both GM and GM/LAP, with enhanced expression on the GM/LAP substrate after 14 days (Fig. 5G). However, this hydrogel has not yet been evaluated with primary HCECs. Further studies could explore the potential of this hydrogel for supporting the growth and functionality of human primary HCECs. Such investigations would help establish their suitability for clinical applications, advancing the development of engineered corneal tissues for therapeutic use.

Functionalization with topographical modification. The use of nano- and micro-topography as a signaling mechanism for regulating cell proliferation and function is a significant factor in modulating the interaction between mammalian cells and their environment. Micro or nanofabricated topography can influence cell morphology, alignment, adhesion, proliferation, and function.^{137,138} Recently our group has developed several micro- and nanostructured surfaces for the expansion of HCECs. We first fabricated and screened several polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) patterns including channels, gratings, concentric circles, pillars, and wells on bovine corneal endothelial cells (BCEC) to modulation of cell behavior.¹⁴ The monolayer




Fig. 6 Impact of biophysical cues on HCEC functional marker expressions and cellular phenotype. (A) Experiment overview depicting the investigation into the impact of altering biophysical cues on ZO-1 expression levels. (B) ZO-1 and Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase expression in HCECs under three conditions: Case 1 – passage from unpatterned TCPS at P3 to unpatterned TCPS at P4; Case 2 – passage from 1 μm TCPS pillars to unpatterned TCPS; Case 3 – passage from 1 μm TCPS pillars to 1 μm TCPS pillars. (C) ZO-1 fluorescence at cell boundaries for all three cases. Notably, HCECs exhibit enhanced ZO-1 expression when cultured on patterned TCPS compared to those on unpatterned TCPS, suggesting the potential retention of cellular memory of prior conditions. (D) Effect of topographic cues on cell area. (E) Coefficient of variation of cell area as influenced by topographic cues. (F) Changes in cell circularity due to variations in topographic cues. Scale bar = 100 μm. Adapted with permission.¹⁶ Copyright 2015, Elsevier. (G) SEM images of rose petal patterns on PDMS surfaces, rose patterned (i) mold and (ii) cell substrate. (H) Actin cytoskeleton (green) and nuclei (blue) staining of BCECs on PDMS substrates (upper panel). Scale bar = 100 μm. Immunofluorescent staining of BCECs for Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase (red) and DAPI (blue) (middle panel). Scale bar = 100 μm. Western blot analysis of BCECs on PDMS-C4, PDMS-C4-R and TCP. Quantification of Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase, N-cadherin and collagen IV markers relative to β-actin (lower panel). R = replica. Adapted with permission.¹³⁹ Copyright 2021, Elsevier.

formation, corneal cell endothelial phenotype and functional markers expression (barrier pump functions) of BCEs were enhanced on both 1 μm and 250 nm pillars. Further, we



extended our study to on HCECs expansion with TCPS with micropatterned pillars and wells.¹⁶ To enhance cell adhesion, TCPS patterns were coated with FNC Coating Mix® and laminin–chondroitin sulfate (LC). FNC Coating Mix® coated 1 μm TCPS pillar showed a nearly three-fold increase in the proliferation rate of HCECs with elevated expression of ZO-1 functional marker, compared with the unpatterned control. However, the underlying mechanism needs to be explored in further study. Moreover, another functional marker, Na^+/K^+ -ATPase, indicative of cell phenotype, was steadily expressed and evenly distributed in the cell cytoplasm. While morphometry of HCECs such as cell area and coefficient of variation (CoV) of cell area varied between the patterns. For example, the cell areas and CoV were lesser on FNC-coated 250 nm TCPS pillars. The CoV was also reduced on LC coated 1 μm TCPS wells; however, the cell area was larger, and cell circularity was similar for all surface topographies. Moreover, we illustrated that the impact of surface topography on the expression of tight junctions and the morphology of cells remained consistent across multiple passages, and the cells were able to retain this effect adequately (Fig. 6A–F). These findings carry two significant implications. First, the platform has the potential to serve as a valuable tool for cell culture and serial passaging,

particularly in the context of HCEC expansion. It can be utilized akin to FNC coating or specialized media, facilitating HCEC growth and maintenance. Secondly, the observed enhancement persists even when the cells are transplanted onto an unpatterned surface. This suggests its potential utility in generating HCECs for cell therapy and transplantation. This development could play a pivotal role in cell-based approaches by enabling the formation of *in situ* endothelial cell monolayers upon delivery. Researchers focused on human corneal endothelial cell cultivation stand to benefit significantly from the advancement of patterned TCPS culture platforms.

Recently, Özgen Öztürk-Öncel *et al.* demonstrated that a biomimetic PDMS substrate featuring rose petals (negative mouldings with array of concave microstructure) enhanced bovine CECs (BCECs) response, resulting in higher cell density monolayers and increased expression of phenotypic markers.¹³⁹ These topographical features closely mimic those of the native corneal endothelium, particularly the hexagonal cell shapes and cell density (~ 2000 cells per mm^2) (Fig. 6G). Additionally, the patterned PDMS substrate was replicated onto a TCPS substrate and functionalized with collagen IV and hyaluronic acid (HA), two essential components of ECM. The replicated TCPS substrate coated with collagen IV significantly




Fig. 7 Effect of GelMA+ topographical cues on HCECs. (A) High aspect ratio pillars and wells on GelMA+ thin films and (B) nano patterns (250 nm pillars). (C) Expression of the tight junctional protein ZO-1 under the influence of GelMA+ topographical cues. (D) Expression of Na^+/K^+ -ATPase pumps in response to the same topographical cues. Scale bar = 50 μm . (E) Quantification of ZO-1 expression across various GelMA+ topographies. (F) Effect of patterned GelMA+ topographical cues on cell density. (G) Changes in cell area resulting from the influence of topographical cues. (H) Coefficient of variation of cell area (CoV) within the HCEC monolayer in the presence of patterned GelMA+ topographical cues. unp = unpatterned; 1 × 1 μm pS = square-array of 1 μm pillars with 1 μm spacing; 1 × 1 μm pH = hexagonal-array of 1 μm pillars with 1 μm spacing; 250 nmp = 250 nm pillars. Adapted with permission.¹⁰⁷ Copyright 2017, Elsevier.

improved BCEC numbers and functional marker expression (Fig. 6H).

Surface morphology plays a critical role in tissue engineering, as it is well established that CECs, like all cells, are sensitive to their microenvironment. The topographical features of the ECM play key role in supporting cell adhesion, arrangement, and organization while preserving their phenotype and function. Taken together, substratum with optimized geometry and proper ECM coating can effectively maintain the HCEC phenotype and promote cell growth.

Surface functionalization with topography can also applied to ECM-derived implantable carrier. Crosslinked gelatin such as gelatin methacrylate (GelMA) has been increasingly used in corneal tissue engineering due to its biocompatibility and tunable physical properties. We have previously developed a sequential hybrid crosslinked GelMA hydrogel called "GelMA+" by incubating prepolymer solution at 4 °C for 1 h before the UV crosslinking.¹⁰⁷ GelMA+ films showed stronger mechanical properties, up to 5 time stronger, compared to GelMA films without the sequential crosslinking. When combined with topographical features such as 1 µm pillars, the micropatterned GelMA films provide relevant cues to generate HCEC monolayers (Fig. 6A and B). In particular, HCECs monolayers formed on GelMA+ with 1 µm pillars of square array presented enhanced ZO-1 expression compared to unpatterned control (Fig. 7C–E). Meanwhile, the monolayers on 1 µm pillar of hexagonal-array GelMA+ showed higher cell density (Fig. 7F) and homogeneous cell size (Fig. 7G and H), which are characteristic of a functionally superior monolayer. *In vivo* biodegradation studies demonstrated that kinetics could be effectively modified by integrating hybrid crosslinking *via* pre-cooling of the gelatin base material prior to UV crosslinking. The capacity to adjust GelMA+ degradation rates hold promise for tissue engineering scaffolds with precisely controlled degradation during tissue regeneration.¹⁴⁰ By enhancing their properties and integrating topographical patterns, GelMA+ thin films can serve as mechanical support while offering pertinent guidance, making them suitable for the development of transplantable monolayers to address the needs of patients with corneal endothelial dysfunction.

Conclusions and future perspectives

Corneal blindness due to corneal endothelial dysfunction are primarily treated with corneal transplants, but the shortage of donor corneas presents a challenge.^{54,141} Cellular therapeutics using stem cells, such as induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) or embryonic stem cells (ESC), are being explored, though research is in the early stages.^{142–146} Limitations exist regarding purity of differentiation and regulatory issues. Expanding primary HCECs *in vitro* while preserving their cellular characteristics is a significant challenge, but it could revolutionize the treatment of corneal endothelial diseases, benefiting more patients through engineered corneal grafts or cell injection therapy.⁶¹ Researchers have explored various media

compositions,^{22,147} and these media approaches combined with ECM coated dishes²² and topographical patterns¹⁶ to enhance primary HCECs' proliferation and behavior. A synergistic approach combining patterned substrates with appropriate biophysical and biochemical cues is crucial for promoting HCECs' growth and maintaining functional markers.¹¹⁶ Understanding the mechanisms behind HCECs' proliferation at the cell–substrate interaction is vital for developing efficient substrates that enable high-yield expansion.¹⁴⁸ This approach not only improves HCECs' growth and sustains marker expression but also has the potential to reduce reliance on costly soluble factors in cell culture media.

The focus on enhancing cell delivery methods is a crucial component of ongoing efforts to revolutionize the treatment landscape for corneal endothelial diseases. Two prominent strategies for cell delivery in the treatment of corneal endothelial diseases are cell injection and TE grafts.⁶³ The use of TE grafts represents a translational concept in corneal therapeutics.^{90,149} Cell injection, supported by evidence from animal studies demonstrating its efficacy,^{150–153} is currently ongoing in human trials, and have shown promising outcomes.^{62,154,155} In comparison, TE grafts provide a more controlled method of delivering cells, and ongoing clinical trials are underway to validate their potential.⁹⁰ However, the development of a suitable tissue-engineering material that meets regulatory standards remains a significant challenge.

Author contributions

Myagmartsend Enkhbat: conceptualization, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing; Evelyn K. F. Yim: conceptualization, writing – review & editing; Gary S. L. Peh: writing – review & editing; Jodhbir S. Mehta: writing – review & editing.

Data availability

No primary research results, software or code have been included and no new data were generated or analysed as part of this review.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) Discovery (RGPIN-2021-03200), and NSERC-CREATE Training in Global Biomedical Technology Research and Innovation at the University of Waterloo [CREATE-509950-2018]. M. E. was partially supported by NSERC Discovery (RGPIN-2021-03200) and NSERC CREATE (401207296). This work was also supported in



part by the National Medical Research Council (Singapore) Clinician Scientist-Individual Research Grant (CS-IRG) Award (MOH-001232-00).

References

- 1 P. M. Mathews, K. Lindsley, A. J. Aldave and E. K. Akpek, *Cornea*, 2018, **37**, 1198–1203.
- 2 N. C. Joyce, *Prog. Retinal Eye Res.*, 2003, **22**, 359–389.
- 3 W. M. Bourne, L. R. Nelson and D. O. Hodge, *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.*, 1997, **38**, 779–782.
- 4 K. Engelmann, M. Bohnke and P. Friedl, *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.*, 1988, **29**, 1656–1662.
- 5 C. Zhu and N. C. Joyce, *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.*, 2004, **45**, 1743–1751.
- 6 M. Fuest, G. H. Yam, G. S. Peh and J. S. Mehta, *Regener. Med.*, 2016, **11**, 601–615.
- 7 G. S. Peh, R. W. Beuerman, A. Colman, D. T. Tan and J. S. Mehta, *Transplantation*, 2011, **91**, 811–819.
- 8 K. Kitazawa, C. Sotozono and S. Kinoshita, *Asia-Pac. J. Ophthalmol.*, 2022, **11**, 335–345.
- 9 M. Ueno, M. Toda, K. Numa, H. Tanaka, K. Imai, J. Bush, S. Teramukai, N. Okumura, N. Koizumi, A. Yamamoto, M. Tanaka, C. Sotozono, J. Hamuro and S. Kinoshita, *Am. J. Ophthalmol.*, 2022, **237**, 267–277.
- 10 J. S. Choi, J. K. Williams, M. Greven, K. A. Walter, P. W. Laber, G. Khang and S. Soker, *Biomaterials*, 2010, **31**, 6738–6745.
- 11 J. S. Choi, E. Y. Kim, M. J. Kim, M. Giegengack, F. A. Khan, G. Khang and S. Soker, *Biomed. Mater.*, 2013, **8**, 014108.
- 12 T. Sumide, K. Nishida, M. Yamato, T. Ide, Y. Hayashida, K. Watanabe, J. Yang, C. Kohno, A. Kikuchi, N. Maeda, H. Watanabe, T. Okano and Y. Tano, *FASEB J.*, 2006, **20**, 392–394.
- 13 E. Y. Kim, N. Tripathy, S. A. Cho, D. Lee and G. Khang, *J. Tissue Eng. Regener. Med.*, 2017, **11**, 2471–2478.
- 14 B. K. Teo, K. J. Goh, Z. J. Ng, S. Koo and E. K. Yim, *Acta Biomater.*, 2012, **8**, 2941–2952.
- 15 M. Kruse, P. Walter, B. Bauer, S. Rutten, K. Schaefer, N. Plange, T. Gries, S. Jockenhoevel and M. Fuest, *Curr. Eye Res.*, 2018, **43**, 1–11.
- 16 R. Muhammad, G. S. Peh, K. Adnan, J. B. Law, J. S. Mehta and E. K. Yim, *Acta Biomater.*, 2015, **19**, 138–148.
- 17 D. W. DelMonte and T. Kim, *J. Cataract Refractive Surg.*, 2011, **37**, 588–598.
- 18 M. J. Doughty and M. L. Zaman, *Surv. Ophthalmol.*, 2000, **44**, 367–408.
- 19 I. K. Gipson, S. J. Spurr-Michaud and A. S. Tisdale, *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.*, 1987, **28**, 212–220.
- 20 D. H. Johnson, W. M. Bourne and R. J. Campbell, *Arch. Ophthalmol.*, 1982, **100**, 1942–1947.
- 21 D. M. Maurice, *J. Physiol.*, 1972, **221**, 43–54.
- 22 G. S. Peh, K. P. Toh, F. Y. Wu, D. T. Tan and J. S. Mehta, *PLoS One*, 2011, **6**, e28310.
- 23 W. M. Bourne, *Trans. Am. Ophthalmol. Soc.*, 1998, **96**, 229–239; discussion 239–242.
- 24 M. V. Riley, B. S. Winkler, C. A. Starnes, M. I. Peters and L. Dang, *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.*, 1998, **39**, 2076–2084.
- 25 N. Lagali, B. B. Peebo, J. Germundsson, U. Edén, R. Danyali, M. Rinaldo and P. Fagerholm, *Confocal Laser Microscopy—Principles and Applications in Medicine, Biology, and the Food Sciences*, 2013.
- 26 P. Y. Lwigale, *Prog. Mol. Biol. Transl. Sci.*, 2015, **134**, 43–59.
- 27 P. J. Gage, W. Rhoades, S. K. Prucka and T. Hjalt, *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.*, 2005, **46**, 4200–4208.
- 28 J. Graw, *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.*, 2010, **90**, 343–386.
- 29 C. H. Worner, A. Olguin, J. L. Ruiz-Garcia and N. Garzon-Jimenez, *PLoS One*, 2011, **6**, e19483.
- 30 R. W. Yee, M. Matsuda, R. O. Schultz and H. F. Edelhauser, *Curr. Eye Res.*, 1985, **4**, 671–678.
- 31 G. O. Waring 3rd, W. M. Bourne, H. F. Edelhauser and K. R. Kenyon, *Ophthalmology*, 1982, **89**, 531–590.
- 32 R. F. Frausto, V. S. Swamy, G. S. L. Peh, P. M. Boere, E. M. Hanser, D. D. Chung, B. L. George, M. Morselli, L. Kao, R. Azimov, J. Wu, M. Pellegrini, I. Kurtz, J. S. Mehta and A. J. Aldave, *Sci. Rep.*, 2020, **10**, 7402.
- 33 M. Toda, M. Ueno, A. Hiraga, K. Asada, M. Montoya, C. Sotozono, S. Kinoshita and J. Hamuro, *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.*, 2017, **58**, 2011–2020.
- 34 Z. Chng, G. S. Peh, W. B. Herath, T. Y. Cheng, H. P. Ang, K. P. Toh, P. Robson, J. S. Mehta and A. Colman, *PLoS One*, 2013, **8**, e67546.
- 35 V. Ding, A. Chin, G. Peh, J. S. Mehta and A. Choo, *mAbs*, 2014, **6**, 1439–1452.
- 36 P. Catala, N. Groen, V. L. S. LaPointe and M. M. Dickman, *Sci. Rep.*, 2023, **13**, 9361.
- 37 A. Swarup, R. Phansalkar, M. Morri, A. Agarwal, V. Subramaniam, B. Li and A. Y. Wu, *Stem Cell Rep.*, 2023, **18**, 2482–2497.
- 38 G. H. Yam, X. Seah, N. Yusoff, M. Setiawan, S. Wahlig, H. M. Htoon, G. S. L. Peh, V. Kocaba and J. S. Mehta, *Cells*, 2019, **8**, 1244.
- 39 S. L. McGowan, H. F. Edelhauser, R. R. Pfister and D. R. Whitehart, *Mol. Vision*, 2007, **13**, 1984–2000.
- 40 W. Y. Yu, I. Grierson, C. Sheridan, A. C. Lo and D. S. Wong, *Stem Cells Dev.*, 2015, **24**, 624–639.
- 41 H. Yun, Y. Zhou, A. Wills and Y. Du, *J. Ocul. Pharmacol. Ther.*, 2016, **32**, 253–260.
- 42 J. P. Whitcher, M. Srinivasan and M. P. Upadhyay, *Bull. W. H. O.*, 2001, **79**, 214–221.
- 43 A. Shoham, M. Hadziahmetovic, J. L. Dunaief, M. B. Mydlarski and H. M. Schipper, *Free Radicals Biol. Med.*, 2008, **45**, 1047–1055.
- 44 P. McCluskey and R. J. Powell, *Lancet*, 2004, **364**, 2125–2133.
- 45 A. Bartakova, N. J. Kunzevitzky and J. L. Goldberg, *Curr. Ophthalmol. Rep.*, 2014, **2**, 81–90.
- 46 J. K. Darlington, S. D. Adrean and I. R. Schwab, *Ophthalmology*, 2006, **113**, 2171–2175.



- 47 F. Altamirano, G. Ortiz-Morales, M. A. O'Connor-Cordova, J. P. Sancen-Herrera, J. Zavala and J. E. Valdez-Garcia, *Int. Ophthalmol.*, 2024, **44**, 61.
- 48 L. J. Jeang, C. E. Margo and E. M. Espana, *Exp. Eye Res.*, 2021, **205**, 108495.
- 49 N. Okumura, S. Kinoshita and N. Koizumi, *J. Ophthalmol.*, 2017, **2017**, 2646904.
- 50 M. Srinivasan, J. Mascarenhas, R. Rajaraman, M. Ravindran, P. Lalitha, D. V. Glidden, K. J. Ray, K. C. Hong, C. E. Oldenburg, S. M. Lee, M. E. Zegans, S. D. McLeod, T. M. Lietman, N. R. Acharya and G. Steroids for Corneal Ulcers Trial, *Arch. Ophthalmol.*, 2012, **130**, 143–150.
- 51 M. Camellin, *J. Refractive Surg.*, 2004, **20**, S693–S698.
- 52 S. Futterknecht, E. Chatzimichail, K. Gugleta, G. D. Panos and Z. Gatziofufas, *Drug Des., Dev. Ther.*, 2024, **18**, 97–108.
- 53 H. Fujimoto and J. Kiryu, *J. Ophthalmol. Res.*, 2021, **4**, 231–243.
- 54 D. T. Tan, J. K. Dart, E. J. Holland and S. Kinoshita, *Lancet*, 2012, **379**, 1749–1761.
- 55 Y. Q. Soh, G. S. Peh and J. S. Mehta, *Regener. Med.*, 2018, **13**, 97–115.
- 56 P. Catala, G. Thuret, H. Skottman, J. S. Mehta, M. Parekh, S. Ni Dhubhghaill, R. W. J. Collin, R. Nuijts, S. Ferrari, V. L. S. LaPointe and M. M. Dickman, *Prog. Retinal Eye Res.*, 2022, **87**, 100987.
- 57 M. Chi, B. Yuan, Z. Xie and J. Hong, *Bioengineering*, 2023, **10**, 1284.
- 58 P. A. Faye, F. Poumeaud, P. Chazelas, M. Duchesne, M. Rassat, F. Miressi, A. S. Lia, F. Sturtz, P. Y. Robert, F. Favreau and Y. Benayoun, *Exp. Eye Res.*, 2021, **204**, 108462.
- 59 X. Luo, X. He, H. Zhao, J. Ma, J. Tao, S. Zhao, Y. Yan, Y. Li and S. Zhu, *Nanomaterials*, 2023, **13**, 1976.
- 60 P. Gronroos, A. Moro, P. Puistola, K. Hopia, M. Huuskonen, T. Viheriala, T. Ilmarinen and H. Skottman, *Stem Cell Res. Ther.*, 2024, **15**, 81.
- 61 Y. Q. Soh, S. S. J. Poh, G. S. L. Peh and J. S. Mehta, *Cornea*, 2021, **40**, 1365–1373.
- 62 S. Kinoshita, N. Koizumi, M. Ueno, N. Okumura, K. Imai, H. Tanaka, Y. Yamamoto, T. Nakamura, T. Inatomi, J. Bush, M. Toda, M. Hagiya, I. Yokota, S. Teramukai, C. Sotozono and J. Hamuro, *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 2018, **378**, 995–1003.
- 63 G. S. L. Peh, H. S. Ong, K. Adnan, H. P. Ang, C. N. Lwin, X. Y. Seah, S. J. Lin and J. S. Mehta, *Sci. Rep.*, 2019, **9**, 6087.
- 64 D. S. J. Ting, G. S. L. Peh, K. Adnan and J. S. Mehta, *Tissue Eng., Part B*, 2022, **28**, 52–62.
- 65 A. E. K. Hutcheon, J. D. Zieske and X. Guo, *Exp. Eye Res.*, 2019, **184**, 183–191.
- 66 M. Ali, S. Y. Khan, S. Vasanth, M. R. Ahmed, R. Chen, C. H. Na, J. J. Thomson, C. Qiu, J. D. Gottsch and S. A. Riazuddin, *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.*, 2018, **59**, 2437–2444.
- 67 S. Hatou, T. Sayano, K. Higa, E. Inagaki, Y. Okano, Y. Sato, H. Okano, K. Tsubota and S. Shimmura, *Stem Cell Res.*, 2021, **55**, 102497.
- 68 Y. K. Chang, J. S. Hwang, T. Y. Chung and Y. J. Shin, *Stem Cells*, 2018, **36**, 1851–1862.
- 69 D. A. Blake, H. Yu, D. L. Young and D. R. Caldwell, *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.*, 1997, **38**, 1119–1129.
- 70 B. Y. Yue, J. Sugar, J. E. Gilboy and J. L. Elvart, *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.*, 1989, **30**, 248–253.
- 71 M. A. Mishan, S. Balagholi, T. Chamani, S. Feizi, Z. S. Soheili and M. R. Kanavi, *J. Ophthalmic Vision Res.*, 2021, **16**, 349–356.
- 72 K. Miyata, J. Drake, Y. Osakabe, Y. Hosokawa, D. Hwang, K. Soya, T. Oshika and S. Amano, *Cornea*, 2001, **20**, 59–63.
- 73 S. Amano, *Cornea*, 2003, **22**, S66–S74.
- 74 M. Y. Pistsov, E. Sadovnikova and S. M. Danilov, *Exp. Eye Res.*, 1988, **47**, 403–414.
- 75 K. Engelmann and P. Friedl, *In Vitro Cell. Dev. Biol.*, 1989, **25**, 1065–1072.
- 76 K. Engelmann and P. Friedl, *Cornea*, 1995, **14**, 62–70.
- 77 W. Li, A. L. Sabater, Y. T. Chen, Y. Hayashida, S. Y. Chen, H. He and S. C. Tseng, *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.*, 2007, **48**, 614–620.
- 78 Y. Ishino, Y. Sano, T. Nakamura, C. J. Connon, H. Rigby, N. J. Fullwood and S. Kinoshita, *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.*, 2004, **45**, 800–806.
- 79 J. Xiong, H. Kawagishi, Y. Yan, J. Liu, Q. S. Wells, L. R. Edmunds, M. M. Fergusson, Z. X. Yu, I. I. Rovira, E. L. Brittain, M. J. Wolfgang, M. J. Jurczak, J. P. Fessel and T. Finkel, *Mol. Cell*, 2018, **69**, 689–698.
- 80 U. V. Jurkunas, *Cornea*, 2018, **37**(Suppl 1), S50–S54.
- 81 M. Beena, J. M. Ameer and N. Kasoju, *ACS Omega*, 2022, **7**, 29634–29646.
- 82 C. Petsoglou, L. Wen, M. Hoque, M. Zhu, M. Valtink, G. Sutton and J. You, *Exp. Eye Res.*, 2021, **208**, 108613.
- 83 K. Burridge and M. Chrzanowska-Wodnicka, *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.*, 1996, **12**, 463–518.
- 84 M. Enkhbat, B. Zhong, R. Chang, J. Geng, L. S. Lu, Y. J. Chen and P. Y. Wang, *ACS Appl. Bio Mater.*, 2022, **5**, 322–333.
- 85 Y. Zhang, Y. He, S. Bharadwaj, N. Hammam, K. Carnagey, R. Myers, A. Atala and M. Van Dyke, *Biomaterials*, 2009, **30**, 4021–4028.
- 86 R. Numata, N. Okumura, M. Nakahara, M. Ueno, S. Kinoshita, D. Kanematsu, Y. Kanemura, Y. Sasai and N. Koizumi, *PLoS One*, 2014, **9**, e88169.
- 87 Y. T. Zhu, S. Tighe, S. L. Chen, Y. Zhang, S. Y. Chen, W. W. Y. Kao and S. C. G. Tseng, *Ocul. Surf.*, 2023, **29**, 301–310.
- 88 M. Yamaguchi, N. Ebihara, N. Shima, M. Kimoto, T. Funaki, S. Yokoo, A. Murakami and S. Yamagami, *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.*, 2011, **52**, 679–684.
- 89 C. Zhao, Q. Zhou, H. Duan, X. Wang, Y. Jia, Y. Gong, W. Li, C. Dong, Z. Li and W. Shi, *Tissue Eng., Part A*, 2020, **26**, 1158–1168.
- 90 G. S. L. Peh, H. P. Ang, C. N. Lwin, K. Adnan, B. L. George, X. Y. Seah, S. J. Lin, M. Bhogal, Y. C. Liu, D. T. Tan and J. S. Mehta, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 14149.



- 91 N. Okumura, K. Kakutani, R. Numata, M. Nakahara, U. Schlotzer-Schrehardt, F. Kruse, S. Kinoshita and N. Koizumi, *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.*, 2015, **56**, 2933–2942.
- 92 S. K. Nayak and P. S. Binder, *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.*, 1984, **25**, 1213–1216.
- 93 G. H. Hsiue, J. Y. Lai, K. H. Chen and W. M. Hsu, *Transplantation*, 2006, **81**, 473–476.
- 94 J. Y. Lai, K. H. Chen and G. H. Hsiue, *Transplantation*, 2007, **84**, 1222–1232.
- 95 G. Abbenante and D. P. Fairlie, *Med. Chem.*, 2005, **1**, 71–104.
- 96 R. C. de Oliveira and S. E. Wilson, *Exp. Eye Res.*, 2020, **197**, 108090.
- 97 Y. Tamura, H. Konomi, H. Sawada, S. Takashima and A. Nakajima, *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.*, 1991, **32**, 2636–2644.
- 98 M. C. Tsai and J. T. Daniels, *Exp. Eye Res.*, 2021, **209**, 108690.
- 99 T. Mimura, S. Yamagami, S. Yokoo, T. Usui, K. Tanaka, S. Hattori, S. Irie, K. Miyata, M. Araie and S. Amano, *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.*, 2004, **45**, 2992–2997.
- 100 N. Koizumi, Y. Sakamoto, N. Okumura, N. Okahara, H. Tsuchiya, R. Torii, L. J. Cooper, Y. Ban, H. Tanioka and S. Kinoshita, *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.*, 2007, **48**, 4519–4526.
- 101 H. J. Levis, G. S. Peh, K. P. Toh, R. Poh, A. J. Shortt, R. A. Drake, J. S. Mehta and J. T. Daniels, *PLoS One*, 2012, **7**, e50993.
- 102 G. Niu, J. S. Choi, Z. Wang, A. Skardal, M. Giegengack and S. Soker, *Biomaterials*, 2014, **35**, 4005–4014.
- 103 R. Watanabe, R. Hayashi, Y. Kimura, Y. Tanaka, T. Kageyama, S. Hara, Y. Tabata and K. Nishida, *Tissue Eng., Part A*, 2011, **17**, 2213–2219.
- 104 J. Y. Lai, K. H. Chen, W. M. Hsu, G. H. Hsiue and Y. H. Lee, *Arch. Ophthalmol.*, 2006, **124**, 1441–1448.
- 105 T. Ide, K. Nishida, M. Yamato, T. Sumide, M. Utsumi, T. Nozaki, A. Kikuchi, T. Okano and Y. Tano, *Biomaterials*, 2006, **27**, 607–614.
- 106 S. Kennedy, R. Lace, C. Carserides, A. G. Gallagher, D. A. Wellings, R. L. Williams and H. J. Levis, *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Med.*, 2019, **30**, 102.
- 107 M. Rizwan, G. S. L. Peh, H. P. Ang, N. C. Lwin, K. Adnan, J. S. Mehta, W. S. Tan and E. K. F. Yim, *Biomaterials*, 2017, **120**, 139–154.
- 108 J. Zhao, M. Tian, Y. Li, W. Su and T. Fan, *Acta Biomater.*, 2022, **147**, 185–197.
- 109 J. H. Miner and P. D. Yurchenco, *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.*, 2004, **20**, 255–284.
- 110 S. Scheele, A. Nystrom, M. Durbeej, J. F. Talts, M. Ekblom and P. Ekblom, *J. Mol. Med.*, 2007, **85**, 825–836.
- 111 A. V. Ljubimov, R. E. Burgeson, R. J. Butkowski, A. F. Michael, T. T. Sun and M. C. Kenney, *Lab. Invest.*, 1995, **72**, 461–473.
- 112 A. Kabosova, D. T. Azar, G. A. Bannikov, K. P. Campbell, M. Durbeej, R. F. Ghohestani, J. C. Jones, M. C. Kenney, M. Koch, Y. Ninomiya, B. L. Patton, M. Paulsson, Y. Sado, E. H. Sage, T. Sasaki, L. M. Sorokin, M. F. Steiner-Champlaud, T. T. Sun, N. Sundarraj, R. Timpl, I. Virtanen and A. V. Ljubimov, *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.*, 2007, **48**, 4989–4999.
- 113 B. Bystrom, I. Virtanen, P. Rousselle, D. Gullberg and F. Pedrosa-Domellof, *Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci.*, 2006, **47**, 777–785.
- 114 J. Y. Lai, D. H. Ma, M. H. Lai, Y. T. Li, R. J. Chang and L. M. Chen, *PLoS One*, 2013, **8**, e54058.
- 115 J. Y. Lai, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2013, **14**, 2036–2055.
- 116 S. Koo, R. Muhammad, G. S. Peh, J. S. Mehta and E. K. Yim, *Acta Biomater.*, 2014, **10**, 1975–1984.
- 117 K. Jain, R. Vedarajan, M. Watanabe, M. Ishikiriya and N. Matsumi, *Polym. Chem.*, 2015, **6**, 6819–6825.
- 118 B. K. Madathil, P. R. Kumar and T. V. Kumary, *BioMed Res. Int.*, 2014, **2014**, 450672.
- 119 M. Nitschke, S. Gramm, T. Gotze, M. Valtink, J. Drichel, B. Voit, K. Engelmann and C. Werner, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res., Part A*, 2007, **80**, 1003–1010.
- 120 J. Teichmann, M. Valtink, S. Gramm, M. Nitschke, C. Werner, R. H. Funk and K. Engelmann, *Acta Biomater.*, 2013, **9**, 5031–5039.
- 121 F. Z. Erkoc-Biradli, A. Ozgun, M. O. Ozturk-Oncel, M. Marcali, C. Elbuken, O. Bulut, R. Rasier and B. Garipcan, *J. Tissue Eng. Regener. Med.*, 2021, **15**, 244–255.
- 122 Q. Zhang, Z. Fang, Y. Cao, H. Du, H. Wu, R. Beuerman, M. B. Chan-Park, H. Duan and R. Xu, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2012, **1**, 876–881.
- 123 L. Hartmann, K. Watanabe, L. L. Zheng, C. Y. Kim, S. E. Beck, P. Huie, J. Noolandi, J. R. Cochran, C. N. Ta and C. W. Frank, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res., Part B*, 2011, **98**, 8–17.
- 124 S. Rimmer, C. Johnson, B. Zhao, J. Collier, L. Gilmore, S. Sabnis, P. Wyman, C. Sammon, N. J. Fullwood and S. MacNeil, *Biomaterials*, 2007, **28**, 5319–5331.
- 125 H. Kobayashi, *Key Eng. Mater.*, 2007, **342–343**, 209–212.
- 126 Zainuddin, Z. Barnard, I. Keen, D. J. Hill, T. V. Chirila and D. G. Harkin, *J. Biomater. Appl.*, 2008, **23**, 147–168.
- 127 B. Yanez-Soto, S. J. Liliensiek, C. J. Murphy and P. F. Nealey, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res., Part A*, 2013, **101**, 1184–1194.
- 128 A. J. Engler, L. Richert, J. Y. Wong, C. Picart and D. E. Discher, *Surf. Sci.*, 2004, **570**, 142–154.
- 129 F. Brandl, F. Sommer and A. Goepferich, *Biomaterials*, 2007, **28**, 134–146.
- 130 H. N. Kim and N. Choi, *BioChip J.*, 2019, **13**, 8–19.
- 131 L. R. Stevens, K. J. Gilmore, G. G. Wallace and M. In Het Panhuis, *Biomater. Sci.*, 2016, **4**, 1276–1290.
- 132 X. Qi, T. Su, M. Zhang, X. Tong, W. Pan, Q. Zeng, Z. Zhou, L. Shen, X. He and J. Shen, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 13256–13264.
- 133 X. Qi, M. Zhang, T. Su, W. Pan, X. Tong, Q. Zeng, W. Xiong, N. Jiang, Y. Qian, Z. Li, X. He, L. Shen, Z. Zhou and J. Shen, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2020, **68**, 3770–3778.



- 134 G. Lorenzo, N. Zaritzky and A. Califano, *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2013, **30**, 672–680.
- 135 Z. Xu, Z. Li, S. Jiang and K. M. Bratlie, *ACS Omega*, 2018, **3**, 6998–7007.
- 136 J. S. Seo, N. E. Tumursukh, J. H. Choi, Y. Song, G. Jeon, N. E. Kim, S. J. Kim, N. Kim, J. E. Song and G. Khang, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2023, **236**, 123878.
- 137 C. J. Bettinger, R. Langer and J. T. Borenstein, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 5406–5415.
- 138 M. Enkhbat, Y. C. Liu, J. Kim, Y. S. Xu, Z. Y. Yin, T. M. Liu, C. X. Deng, C. Zou, X. Xie, X. W. Li and P. Y. Wang, *Adv. Ther.*, 2021, **4**, 2100017.
- 139 M. Ö. Öztürk-Öncel, F. Z. Erkoc-Biradli, R. Rasier, M. Marcali, C. Elbuken and B. Garipcan, *Mater. Sci. Eng., C*, 2021, **126**, 112147.
- 140 H. J. Sung, C. Meredith, C. Johnson and Z. S. Galis, *Biomaterials*, 2004, **25**, 5735–5742.
- 141 P. Gain, R. Jullienne, Z. He, M. Aldossary, S. Acquart, F. Cognasse and G. Thuret, *JAMA Ophthalmol.*, 2016, **134**, 167–173.
- 142 X. Y. Ng, G. S. L. Peh, G. H. Yam, H. G. Tay and J. S. Mehta, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2023, **24**, 12433.
- 143 S. Hatou and S. Shimmura, *Jpn. J. Ophthalmol.*, 2023, **67**, 541–545.
- 144 Q. Zhou, Z. Li and H. Duan, *Handb. Exp. Pharmacol.*, 2023, **281**, 257–276.
- 145 S. So, Y. Park, S. S. Kang, J. Han, J. H. Sunwoo, W. Lee, J. Kim, E. A. Ye, J. Y. Kim, H. Tchah, E. Kang and H. Lee, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2022, **24**, 701.
- 146 D. Zou, T. Wang, W. Li, X. Wang, B. Ma, X. Hu, Q. Zhou, Z. Li, W. Shi and H. Duan, *Exp. Eye Res.*, 2024, **242**, 109883.
- 147 G. S. Peh, Z. Chng, H. P. Ang, T. Y. Cheng, K. Adnan, X. Y. Seah, B. L. George, K. P. Toh, D. T. Tan, G. H. Yam, A. Colman and J. S. Mehta, *Cell Transplant.*, 2015, **24**, 287–304.
- 148 S. M. Thomasy, B. C. Leonard, M. A. Greiner, J. M. Skeie and V. K. Raghunathan, *Prog. Retinal Eye Res.*, 2024, **99**, 101234.
- 149 E. Crouzet, Z. He, O. Ben Moussa, M. Mentek, P. F. Isard, B. Peyret, F. Forest, P. Gain, N. Koizumi, N. Okumura and G. Thuret, *Acta Ophthalmol.*, 2022, **100**, 690–699.
- 150 P. Sun, L. Shen, Y. B. Li, L. Q. Du and X. Y. Wu, *Stem Cell Res. Ther.*, 2022, **13**, 228.
- 151 F. Bandeira, G. T. Grottone, J. L. Covre, P. C. Cristovam, R. R. Loureiro, F. I. Pinheiro, R. P. Casaroli-Marano, W. Donato and J. A. P. Gomes, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2023, **24**, 2982.
- 152 E. N. Wong, V. H. X. Foo, G. S. L. Peh, H. M. Htoon, H. P. Ang, B. Y. L. Tan, H. S. Ong and J. S. Mehta, *Cells*, 2023, **12**, 1167.
- 153 C. Dong, D. Zou, H. Duan, X. Hu, Q. Zhou, W. Shi and Z. Li, *Eye Vis.*, 2023, **10**, 34.
- 154 K. Numa, K. Imai, M. Ueno, K. Kitazawa, H. Tanaka, J. D. Bush, S. Teramukai, N. Okumura, N. Koizumi, J. Hamuro, C. Sotozono and S. Kinoshita, *Ophthalmology*, 2021, **128**, 504–514.
- 155 F. Filev, M. Stein, M. Schultheiss, A. D. E. Fitzek, J. Feuerstake, O. Engel and O. J. C. Hellwinkel, *Graefes Arch. Clin. Exp. Ophthalmol.*, 2023, **261**, 2593–2602.

