

Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2023,
4, 3380Received 15th October 2022,
Accepted 5th March 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d2ma00984f

rsc.li/materials-advances

Silicon quantum dots: surface matter, what next?

Deski Beri 

Silicon quantum dots (SiQDs) are of great interest because they are believed to be harmless to living organisms, mainly due to their low toxicity. They have great potential for various applications such as in optoelectronics, photonics, and photodynamic therapy. As the Si core is susceptible to oxidation, efforts are needed to protect the core or to passivate it. This passivation/functionalization is aimed at both the protection of the core and the enhancement of the light emission. The importance of surface modification of SiQDs concerning the effect of quantum confinement and ligand type on their photoluminescence quantum yield is discussed in this review. Different functionalization reactions are described along with the precursors used. Among these are hydrosilylation reactions between the silicon core and the carbon of alkyl ligands and chromophoric ligands. Alkyl ligands protect the silicon core and provide chemical and light stability to the silicon. Ligands containing chromophoric groups also play a role in increasing the absorption of light, which in turn can increase the emission of PL light. These chromophoric ligands can also be used for optoelectronic, photonic, energy conversion, and biological purposes to enhance photophysical properties through energy transfer mechanisms. The energy transfer between the SiQDs and the ligands allows the triplet–triplet annihilation to enhance the emission and the generation of singlet oxygen. Finally, a brief description is given of the opportunities that SiQDs can offer and the progress made in recent years in the potential applications of SiQDs. They can be used as materials for light-harvesting antennas, bioimaging, luminescent solar concentrators, LEDs, and photosensors by exploiting triplet–triplet annihilation and singlet oxygen.

Chemistry Department, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Universitas Negeri Padang, Jl. Hamka, Air Tawar, Padang, 25132, Indonesia.
E-mail: deski.beri@fmipa.unp.ac.id

**Deski Beri**

Deski Beri studied chemistry at the Universitas Negeri Padang (UNP) and the Institut Teknologi Bandung (ITB) in Indonesia. He left Indonesia to continue his PhD at the Faculty of Chemistry and Biosciences at the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) in Germany, where he graduated in 2020. His research focused on quantum dot synthesis for photonic applications, which was conducted at the Institute of Microstructure Technology at the Helmholtz Research Centre, Karlsruhe. Upon his return to Indonesia, he was promoted to Assistant Professor at the Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Negeri Padang. He has published several papers in international journals, especially in the field of quantum dot synthesis and its applications.

A. Introduction

The functionalization of silicon quantum dots (SiQDs) significantly improves their resulting physical and chemical properties.^{1–5} The synthesis of SiQDs begins with the formation of hydrogen-terminated SiQDs (H-SiQDs), which is a metastable state before passivation with more inert species such as alkyl, amine, carboxyl, et cetera. It is well known that H-SiQDs are highly susceptible to oxidation that destroys their ability to emit light, as the band gap of silicon dioxide (SiO₂) is much more extensive than SiQDs functionalized with, e.g., alkyl groups.^{6,7} When H-SiQDs undergo oxidation, the emission properties of SiQDs will slowly blue shift along with the formation of a SiO₂ layer – as a QDs envelope – continues to expand inward until the SiQDs lose their emission intensity, and the emission ultimately vanishes.⁸ Finally, the SiQDs experience instability as the reaction with oxygen makes the core size smaller due to the formation of the SiO₂ layer on the surface. It is eventually followed by a significant reduction of the core size, resulting in particles losing their ability to emit light – due to the formation of a trap state at the boundary of the Si-core and SiO₂ layers. Experimental data shows that the light intensity generated fades over time until it disappears thoroughly after a few hours or days of storage.⁹






Fig. 1 (a) FT-IR spectra of prolonged exposure of hydrogen functionalized SiQDs in the air. Adapted with permission from ref. 10. Copyright (2023) American Physical Society. (b) PL-Emission of long-time exposure H-SiQDs in the air, in the inset: PL-shift. Adapted with permission from ref. 12. Copyright (2014) John Wiley and Sons. (c) PLQY vs. PL-spectra maximum fresh and an aged sample of allyl phenyl sulphide-SiNCs. Adapted with permission from ref. 12. Copyright (2014) John Wiley and Sons, and (d) cartoon representation of SiO₂ shell formation after oxidation, Adapted with permission from ref. 10. Copyright (2023) American Physical Society.

The FT-IR data in Fig. 1a shows that the vibrational bands at $\bar{\nu}$: 950–1250 cm⁻¹ and 2250 cm⁻¹ – the characteristic vibrational peaks for Si–O stretching – gradually increased when H-SiQDs were kept under room/air atmosphere. Simultaneously the enhancement in these vibrational peaks is followed by the fading of the SiH_x vibration peaks at $\bar{\nu}$: 2100 cm⁻¹. So it is reasonable to suggest that the formation of Si–OH, Si–O–Si, and Si=O occurs to replace hydrogen in Si–H_x (at the surface of SiQDs). Fig. 1b depicts the emission quenching due to oxidation. Freshly hydrogen-terminated SiQDs perform high photoluminescence (PL) and gradually fade due to oxidation. The insert in Fig. 1b shows the normalized PL spectrum blue shift due to oxidation.^{10,11}

In Fig. 1c, the Photoluminescence Quantum Yields (PLQY) of the six samples in the allylsulfide-SiQDs ensembles show emission quenching after prolonged storage in an ambient atmosphere.¹² PLQY measurements for each ensemble were measured at maximum PL. After aging, each ensemble shows a similar trend, *i.e.*, blue-shift and quenching. This tendency is well described in the cartoon representations in Fig. 1d. Hydrogen-terminated SiQDs react with oxygen and water vapor in the air to form Si–O bonds (*e.g.*, SiO₂).

Furthermore, long-term oxidation makes the core of SiQDs stiffen due to the formation of a SiO₂ shell on the outer layer of

the quantum dot. The formation reaction (oxidation) at the surface results in SiQDs shrinking and the emission blue shift. The construction of the SiO₂ shell could explain the gradual fading of the PL, *i.e.*, the formation of the trapping site.

The SiQDs produce two types of emission depending on the origin of the emission. The first type is called fast band (F-band) emission. It is a typical emission that spans around λ 250–600 nm. Some authors have reported resulting synthesis SiQDs that emit blue ($\lambda_{em} \sim 450$ nm), green ($\lambda_{em} \sim 530$ nm), and yellow/orange ($\lambda_{em} \sim 580$ nm). The resulting emission does not correspond to quantum confinement theory but the ligand attached to the synthesized SiQD core. Among these emission characteristics is that the PL-decay (τ_{PL}) is so fast, on the order of nanoseconds instead of micro – to milliseconds as typical alkyl-SiQD.^{13,14}

Fujimoto *et al.* (2022)¹⁵ elaborated on the difference between the F-band and S-band. The authors synthesized three types of SiQDs based on their emission.¹⁵ In short, the emission produced is in the RGB colors of red (λ_{em} 670 nm), green (λ_{em} 530 nm), and blue (λ_{em} 400 nm) for the manufacture of light-emitting displays (LEDs). Alkyl-functionalized SiQDs (R-SiQDs) perform the red emission (λ_{em} 670 nm)—the green emission (λ_{em} 530 nm) served by carbazole-functionalized



SiQDs (Ca-SiQDs). At the same time, the blue emission (λ_{em} 400 nm) was performed by siloxane-functionalized SiQDs (Si-SiQDs). The conventional knowledge in quantum confinement dictates that the emission produced by carbazole-SiQDs and siloxane-SiQDs should not be green and blue since the particle sizes are ϕ 6.8 and ϕ 3.0 nm, respectively. However, due to the influence of the ligand, the emission produced is green (λ_{em} 530 nm) and blue (λ_{em} 430 nm). PL-decay measurements show that carbazole and siloxane functionalized SiQDs give a value of 5 ns independent of particle size as opposed to alkyl-SiQDs which are highly dependent on particle size. It should be underlined here that this F-band or fast decay hardly obeys the quantum confinement but is solely influenced by the nature of the ligand that binds to the SiQD core.¹⁵

In contrast, the second emission type is called the slow band (S-band). S-Band is typical emission that spans from orange/red to near-infrared electromagnetic radiation (590–1200 nm). The name slow is attributed to the PL-decay of the materials. Instead of the nanoseconds, the PL-emission of the materials is 10^3 magnitudes slower than the F-band. In short, materials belonging to the S-band have PL-decay in the order of micro – to

the millisecond and are highly dependent on the quantum dot particle size. Generally, the larger the particle size, the slower the PL-decay. This S-band emission behavior fully complies with the rules of quantum confinement theory.

Fig. 2a shows the relationship between the PLQY measurement value of alkyl functionalized SiQDs (R-SiQDs) and the resulting particle size. PLQY measurements were performed on particle sizes from $\phi \sim 1$ –10 nm.^{16–18} The alkyls used by the authors essentially have carbon chains length ranging from six (C6), eight (C8), ten (C10), twelve (C12), fourteen (C14), and sixteen (16). There is no separation for each alkyl based on chain length in the graph. The synthesis methods used in the charting are also diverse, such as plasma synthesis,^{7,18–26} Tilley method with silicon-rich precursors,^{27,28} disproportionation reactions of silicon-rich precursors (*e.g.*, SiO, HSQ, and TES)^{4,6,11,12,15,17,29–70} followed by chemical etching, electrochemical synthesis, electrodeposition, chemical vapor deposition, and physical vapor deposition. Hydrosilylation reactions may vary, *e.g.*, by thermal reactions in microwave reactors,^{53–55,68,69} organometallic catalysts, and radical initiator reactions.^{29,31,48,51} It is worth noting that there are no differentiated R-SiQDs based on



Fig. 2 (a) The relationship between PLQY and diameter for alkyl-functionalised SiQDs reported by various authors in recent years. It can be seen that most papers report PLQY for particles between 1–10 nm with distribution patterns mostly concentrated between 2.5–6 nm. The imaginary distribution curve in the centre of the figure provides insight that most authors are working within this range. (b) The relationship between PL decay and the diameter of SiQDs reported by the authors in graph (a). It can be seen that the PL decay of alkyl-functionalised SiQDs is size dependence (10^{-9} to 10^{-6} s). (c) The relationship between PLQY and ligand for non-alkyl functionalized SiQDs reported by various authors in recent years. As in (a), the particle size is in the same range but the PLQY value can be very much different depending on the type of ligand connected to the SiQDs core. Finally (d) is a graph of the relationship between PL decay and diameter as supporting (c). The PL decay reported by these authors is in the nano second range regardless of particle size, however there are also reports that it depends on particle size.



alkyl chain length, precursors, and reaction methods to design Fig. 2.

The relationship between PLQY and the diameter of alkyl functionalized SiQDs is shown in Fig. 2a. It can be seen that the PLQY tends to increase as the particle size increases from ϕ 1.0 nm to ϕ 3.0–6.0 nm. It then decreases when the particle size exceeds $\phi \sim 6.0$ nm. In other words, it resembles a bell curve. The maximum is distributed between ϕ 3.0–6.0 nm. This phenomenon has been reported before and is in line with the quantum confinement theory that has been the subject of many authors.^{65,71} As reported by many authors,^{18,21,44,72,73} the maximum height of PLQY so far is in the 70% range for alkyl-functionalized SiQDs. For example, recently Sefannaser *et al.* (2021)⁷² reported PLQY is $\sim 70\%$ for dodecane (C12)-SiQDs colloids dispersion. It was worth noting that although the colloidal dispersion was encapsulated in the OSTE polymer, the PLQY of the SiQDs could be maintained.⁷² Previously, Pringle *et al.* (2020)¹⁸ also reported PLQY $\sim 70\%$ for C12-SiQDs for $\phi \sim 5$ nm particle size.¹⁸ Previous reports have also shown the measured PLQY with a range of $>40\%$ for R-SiQDs having a particle size of $\phi \sim 3$ –6 nm.^{7,19–21,24,26,38,44,73,74} This PLQY is very size dependent, as when the particle size is less than ϕ 2 nm, the measurement value tends to be small due to strong light absorption at the particle size $\phi \leq 2$ nm. As a result, the fraction of light emitted divided by the light absorbed is also tiny (hence, PLQY becomes small).⁷⁰ On the other hand, when the particle size is larger than $\phi \sim 6$ nm, the fraction of light emitted is small, so the PLQY also gets smaller.^{63,70}

Fig. 2b shows the relationship between PL-decay time and particle diameter reported by previous authors. Generally, there is a strong trend between the PL-decay behavior of R-SiQDs and their core size. For particles $\phi \sim 1$ to 2 nm in size, the PL-decay is in the order of nanoseconds (ns). The smaller the particle, the faster the PL-decays (*i.e.*, only in a few ns). When the particle size is $\phi \sim 3$ to 4 nm, the PL-decay is tens to several hundred microseconds (μ s). Then the curve becomes sloping for particles of $\phi \sim 4$ to 10 nm, even for particles $\phi \geq 7$ nm, data shows that the PL-decay rate is in milliseconds (ms). PL-Decay data from R-SiQDs is fully in agreement with the quantum confinement theory. For instance, Pringle *et al.* (2020) synthesized different diameter sizes of C12-SiQDs (*i.e.*, $\phi \sim 3$ to ~ 8 nm), and PL-decay measurements gave values from $\tau_{\text{PL}} \sim 45$ to 200 μ s.¹⁸ Similarly, Sefannaser *et al.* (2021) also synthesized different sizes of C12-SiQDs (*i.e.*, $\phi \sim 2.8$ to 7.0 nm), and the resulting PL-decay measurements were $\tau_{\text{PL}} \sim 10$ to 120 μ s.⁷² Of the dozens of articles on R-SiQDs that the authors analyzed, their PL-decay is in the order of (μ s to ms), and it is worth noting that almost all of them the quantum confinement theory.^{7,19–21,24,26,38,44,73–75}

Fig. 2c shows the relationship between the PLQYs as a function of the type of ligand attached to the SiQDs. Contrary to our previous discussion, it turns out that the PLQY measurements deviate from quantum confinement theory for the ligands that are not derived from alkyl groups.^{37,76–78} Reports from various authors^{9,27,28,53–55,62,74,79–81} indicate that the

attachment of more electronegative ligands causes a shift in the emission of SiQDs.⁸² For example, SiQDs attached to carbazole (Ca) shows a blue emission shift from λ_{max} 780 nm for an average ϕ 3.0 nm diameter of R-SiQDs to λ_{max} 530 nm.^{15,83} It is noteworthy that this green emission is also very characteristic, with a PLQY of $\sim 80\%$, as Li *et al.* (2013)⁸⁴ previously reported.

Interestingly, by pairing different ligands, the emission can be shifted without changing the diameter of the QDs particles. Ideally, simply swapping the ligands could produce a full emission spectrum at the visible range. For example, to fabricate LEDs capable of emitting red, green, and blue light, Fujimoto *et al.* (2022)¹⁵ performed ligand tuning of $\phi \sim 3$ to 6 nm diameter SiQDs. As a result, red emission (λ_{max} 780 nm) was produced by R-SiQDs, green emission (λ_{max} 530 nm) by Ca-SiQDs, and blue emission (λ_{max} 400 nm) by siloxane SiQDs. The PL-emission is quite stable with a relatively high PLQY and does not lose much of its PLQY when encapsulated in a polymer matrix. As it produces emissions in a wide range of wavelengths, the resulting PLQY values are pretty variable. Without considering the position of the PL-emission, the author's analysis shows that the resulting PLQY varies from 1% to close to 90%,⁴⁴ depending on the ligand, PL-emission, and λ -excitation. It should be noted that the attachment of more electronegative ligands to SiQDs causes energy transfer and electron transfer to and from SiQDs. This transfer process can have positive and negative effects on the PLQY, depending on the direction in which the transfer occurs. There are still very open possibilities for further research into the photophysical properties of ligand tuning on SiQDs.^{37,44}

Fig. 2d shows the relationship between the PL-emission decay time as a function of SiQD diameter. A more detailed analysis of the relationship between PLQY results as a function of diameter can be seen from the PL-emission decay time measurement. For the results reported in Fig. 2c, the PL-emission decay time might be in μ s and can fulfill the quantum confinement rule as written by Romano *et al.* (2020),⁷⁶ Mazzaro *et al.* (2019),⁸² and Beri *et al.* (2020).⁵⁵ In their research, the authors report the PL-decay in the order of μ s and rely heavily on the quantum confinement rule. It is worth noting that the ligands attached to SiQDs are alkyl ligands alternating with electronegative ligands (dyes). It might explain why the PL-decay is at μ s.

In contrast, for SiQDs attached to electronegative ligands (*i.e.*, carbazole and siloxane), one might study the research conducted by Fujimoto *et al.* (2022).¹⁵ The measurement results of PL-decay were in the order of ns (*i.e.*, τ_{PL} 5 ns). The authors measured for two different particle sizes (ϕ 3.0 and 6.0 nm) and ligands (carbazole and siloxane) and concluded that the PL-decay of SiQDs binding to carbazole and siloxane ligands is on the order of ns and does not follow the quantum confinement rules.

To get a more comprehensive study on how the ligands play an essential role in the photophysical properties of SiQDs, Beri *et al.* (2018)⁵³ conducted a systematic study of the effect of carbon length in ligands on the photophysical properties of





Fig. 3 (a) PLQY trends as a function of alkyl chain length. (b) Photophysical stability of alkyl functionalized SiQDs as a function of storage. Adapted with permission from ref. 53. Copyright (2018) Royal Society of Chemistry.

SiQDs and found that the size of the alkyl chain length affects the PL-emission properties of SiQDs.⁵³ The findings show a negative trend in the PLQY value against the alkyl chain length. In short, the longer the alkyl chain, the lower the PLQY value (Fig. 3a). The authors suspect that the surface coverage area significantly affects light emission. The conjecture is that SiQDs with less coverage tend to absorb more water vapor and oxygen from the environment, so they will be oxidized, resulting in these SiQDs emitting less intensity than SiQDs with more coverage. According to the authors,⁵³ longer alkyl chains will find it challenging to find reaction points on the surface of the QDs due to the head's low flexibility and the tail's curling, which significantly reduces the effectiveness of the reaction. Thus, according to the authors, instead of bonding in a 1:1 ratio (one alkene head to one Si atom on the surface), the curled tail will reduce the chance of the alkene head on the long ligand reacting with the SiQDs core. As a result, this surface is not covered and will be oxidized. Lifetime measurements and PLQY measurements during storage support this claim.⁵³ In addition to improving photoluminescent properties, ligand passivation has another function to maintain environmental and photostability. The second term is closely related to oxygen, water (hydrolytic), thermal, and photochemical reactions.^{53,85} Fig. 3b depicts the long-term stability of difference alkyl-SiQDs in ambient.

Research conducted by Sieval *et al.* (2000)⁸⁶ demonstrated that monolayer alkyl functionalized SiQDs protect against oxidation for four months.⁸⁶ X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements were performed to investigate the formation of SiO₂ on the surface of alkyl-SiQDs. The results show that under ambient atmospheric storage conditions, proper alkyl-functionalized SiQDs showed almost no sign of SiO₂ formation. Bhairamadgi *et al.* (2014)⁸⁷ conducted a systematic study on hydrolytic ability (pH resistance) and thermal stability for monolayer functionalization of alkyl chain ligands with 6 (six) different sets of functional groups, namely -alkene, -alkynes, -chloro-silane, -thiol, -amine, and -phosphine (=C-R, C-R, -SiCl₃, -SO₃, -NH₃, and PO²⁻) on Si(111) and Si(100) surfaces.⁸⁷ Hydrolytic stability was monitored using a static contact angle and XPS measurement. Silicon functionalized with an alkene and alkyne demonstrated good stability against acid (pH = 3.0) and base

(pH = 11) for at least 30 days. In addition, thermal stability was conducted with XPS at elevated temperatures from room temperature (25 °C) up to 600 °C. The result shows that covalent bonding of alkene and alkyne functionalized Si demonstrated good stability from room temperature until Si-C bonding was degraded at 260 °C.⁸⁷

Finally, photostability studies of SiQDs after being functionalized with alkyl(dodecyl) were performed by Wu *et al.* (2015).⁷ The results showed that PLQY SiQDs degraded by ~20% (from the initial ~60%) after four hours of illumination using UV light with a wavelength of 365 nm (power density similar to AM 1.5G of the Sun). It is suspected that the breaking of Si-H dangling bonds causes the degradation of PLQY due to illumination. The formation of dangling bonds creates electron traps, resulting in reduced emission. To prove this hypothesis about photostability, the author re-functionalized the degraded SiQDs, and the result was that the photo-degraded PLQY SiQDs could be fully recovered, which showed that his hypothesis was proven.⁷

B. Hydrogen terminated SiQD synthesis

There are many methods have been developed to produce hydrogen-terminated SiQDs. It is worth to mentions: silane gas plasma synthesis (SiH₄),^{22,23,25,88,89} electrochemical etching,⁹⁰⁻⁹² halosilane reduction reaction,^{93,94} oxide-rich silicon thermal synthesis,⁵³⁻⁵⁵ hydrogen silsesquioxane thermal synthesis,^{4,6,11,17,29-37,39-52,95} tetraethoxysilane (TES) thermal synthesis,^{96,97} pulsed laser ablation, and recently also the green synthesis of rice husk thermal pyrolysis.⁸⁵ In general, the photoluminescence of the resulting material depends on the type of synthesis carried out. Because the photoluminescence properties of the synthesized SiQDs are strongly influenced by the particle size (that represents the quantum confinement effect) and the chemical characteristics of the species that cover its surface. As an illustration for the quantum confinement effect, SiQD particles with decyl functionalization (C10) with a particle size of $\phi \sim 3.0$ nm will provide PL-max at around λ_{max} 600 nm, while SiQD particles with the same functionalization



and size of $\phi \sim 5.0$ nm will provide PL-max at about λ_{\max} 800 nm. So it is very noticeable when we tune the particle size from $\phi \sim 2.5$ nm to $\phi \sim 15$ nm; PL-max is redshift gradually from λ_{\max} 590 nm to λ_{\max} 1100 nm.^{4,6,11,12,17,29-37,39-52,56-71,77,95,98-107}

Sychugov's group (2021)⁹⁶ researched the synthesis of silicon quantum dots from three kinds of commonly used precursors, namely: silicon monoxide (SiO), silicon silsesquioxane (HSQ), and tetraethoxysilane (TES). In terms of cost, HSQ is ranked the highest because it is produced in limited quantities at a high price, so commercialization of this material in the future is quite limited. On the other hand, TES and SiO have competitive prices, so both precursors will have economic value in the future. The three precursors technically have almost the same synthesis treatment, namely heated in an Ar/H₂; 95%/5% atmosphere at a relatively high temperature of 600–1200 °C and then chemically etched with concentrated hydrogen fluoride (48–49% v/v) to deliberate H-SiQD from SiO₂. The synthesis results show that among the three precursors, SiO shows the worst performance in terms of the solidity of the results. The particle size interval of SiQD spans from $\phi \sim 3$ –6 nm, with a broad distribution, as seen in the TEM results. The PL-max is λ_{\max} 835 nm, with the PLQY value of the resulting SiQD also the lowest ($\sim 15\%$ in toluene) for the same treatment. The non-uniformity of the Si/SiO_x material present in the material and the tendency to oxidize, as well as the instability of the material itself, makes the resulting SiQD tend to produce non-emissive materials.⁹⁶

In comparison, HSQ gives more brilliant results because of the almost monodisperse particles (ϕ 4.0–5.0 nm) with a relatively narrow distribution. Its PLQY performance is also very good at 35% in toluene and $\sim 50\%$ when embedded in the polymer (OSTE) with a PL-max of λ_{\max} 810 nm. In the meantime, the TES precursor gave the best performance, as the synthesis results showed that alkyl functionalized SiQDs derived from this TES precursor produced quantum dot particles that were nearly monodisperse, with a standard distribution spanned from ϕ 3.5–6.0 nm. The PL-max is λ_{\max} 810 nm with PLQY values of 40% in toluene and 55% when embedded in the polymer. The performance shown by TES is remarkable because, with a retail price of only $\sim 10\%$ of the retail price of HSQ, it offered an awe-inspiring result, so in the future, TES is a precursor worth developing.^{96,97}

C. Hydrosilylation reaction

Surface modification of nanocrystals or QDs has been a key factor in the development of nanotechnology. Surface modification has become possible by covalently attaching functionalized monolayers to silicon surfaces.⁸⁶ The hydrosilylation reaction could be tailored to the creation of a functionalized monolayer. Hydrosilylation is an addition reaction of the unsaturated chemical group to hydrosilane. The reaction could be carried out by thermal induction or by the use of photochemistry. Thermally-induced hydrosilylation is carried out at elevated temperatures under a controlled atmosphere, while photochemical hydrosilylation is carried out at low



Fig. 4 Proposed mechanism of functionalization reaction of SiQDs under thermal and radical hydrosilylations. Successful hydrosilylation marked by substitution of hydrogen-terminated SiQDs demonstrated with alkyl-terminated SiQDs. Adapted with permission from ref. 111. Copyright (1993) American Chemical Society.

temperatures using high-energy photons.¹⁰⁸ Thermally-induced hydrosilylation requires relatively more energy and time than photochemical hydrosilylation. It also requires advanced chemistry and experienced scientists to carry out the experiments. To overcome these limitations, a different approach has been developed. The catalytic hydrosilylation using the metal complex catalyst and the radical-initiated hydrosilylation using radical initiators have been developed to reduce energy consumption.¹⁰⁹ Another approach is by using microwave-assisted hydrosilylation. The microwave-assisted hydrosilylation reaction could be performed at a higher temperature in the bench-top reactor for less experienced chemists.^{2,53,107,110} Fig. 4 depicts the proposed hydrosilylation reaction of SiQDs and alkene.

The first work on thermally induced hydrosilylation was carried out by pyrolysis of diacyl peroxide in the presence of a hydride-terminated Si film. The introduction of alkene in the presence of radical diacyl peroxide results in a monolayer of alkyl-terminated silicon.¹¹¹ The formation of alkyl radicals from the homolytic cleavage of diacyl peroxide initiated the proposed mechanism. The alkyl radical then abstracts H[•] from a surface Si-H group to form a silicon radical. Silyl radicals react rapidly with the olefins and perform a chain reaction on the propagation step. The termination step was performed when carbon-based radicals abstracted a hydrogen atom. The proposed mechanism of the hydrosilylation reaction of alkyl functionalized SiQDs by pyrolysis of diacyl peroxide is illustrated in Fig. 5.

The hydrosilylation reaction could be tailored endothermically by thermal decomposition without a diacyl peroxide initiator at temperatures above 150 °C. At this temperature, the homolytic cleavage of Si-H proceeds independently according to the Si-H \rightarrow Si[•] + H[•] reaction mechanism.¹¹² The silicon radicals reacting with alkene yields correspond to the mechanism shown in Fig. 6. Based on the thermodynamic calculation,



Fig. 5 Proposed mechanism of thermal functionalization reaction of alkyl functionalized SiQDs. Adapted with permission from ref. 112. Copyright (2002) American Chemical Society.





Fig. 6 Schematic of (a). 1-Alkene and 1-alkyne hydrosilylation reaction of hydrogen-terminated SiQDs, (b). Radical-based hydrosilylation reaction, and (c). A fluoride-assisted hydrosilylation reaction mechanism. Adapted with permission from ref. 113. Copyright (2006) American Chemical Society.

the bond formation of Si–H is thermodynamically stable with a bond energy of 80–90 kcal mol⁻¹; therefore, this reaction will be prolonged at a temperature of 150 °C.¹¹³ However, the functionalization reaction at that temperature is much faster in the experiment. So, there must be another mechanism driving the reaction. A study by Coletti *et al.* (2006) proposed a new mechanism that could explain the high rate of reactions.¹¹³ The idea is that fluoride molecules left over from the etching step could act as promoters – in reaction, the F⁻ works to acidify the silicon by forming intermediates. These intermediates help Si to capture alkene or alkyne molecules. The reaction mechanism is similar to nucleophilic substitution, and thermodynamically this reaction can occur at a significant rate at temperatures below 200 °C.¹¹³ The description of the hydrosilylation reaction is shown schematically in Fig. 6.

The other reaction is called photochemical hydrosilylation. High thermal exposure should be avoided in certain cases to maintain the expected results. Photochemical hydrosilylation was developed to minimize the use of thermal energy. High energy UV light has been used to induce homolytic cleavage of the Si–H bond to form a silyl radical and hydrogen radical. Silyl radicals will react with alkene or alkyne to form alkyl-functionalized silicon.¹¹² The brief mechanism of photochemical hydrosilylation is shown schematically in Fig. 7.

In addition to thermal efficiency, photochemical hydrosilylation is also advantageous for forming monolayer surface functionalization.^{29,40,41} The drawbacks of the photochemical reaction are the limited number of reagents that can be used and that the reaction is only effective on SiQDs of ~5 nm or less.²⁸

Catalytic hydrosilylation could be performed using metal-complex catalysts such as platinum (Pt),¹¹⁴ rhodium (Rh),¹¹²

palladium (Pd),¹¹² copper (Cu),¹¹⁵ boron (B),⁴³ phosphorus (P),³⁷ and aluminum (Al).^{31,43,56,116} The main advantage of using metal-complex catalysts is that they significantly reduce the temperature reactions. However, the deposit metal complex on the surface of silicon is the problem so far. The catalyst deposit tends to reduce luminescent properties because it pronounces to absorb oxygen.¹¹²

Organolithium-mediated functionalization reactions are a type of chemical reaction that has been carried out for a long time. Since the pioneering work of Wilhelm Schlenk and Joanna Holtz in 1917, organolithium compounds have made a significant contribution to the development of chemical reactions. Nowadays, the widespread use of organo-alkali metal catalysts makes it possible to carry out functionalization reactions exclusively with organolithium, sodium, potassium, rubidium, and cesium. Recent findings show that organo-Na and organo-K have capabilities that are sometimes even better than organo-Li. The advantage is that Na and K are much more abundant and more evenly distributed on the surface of the earth than Li.¹

An example of an organolithium-mediated functionalization reaction on SiQDs is an attachment of hexyl ligands on the surface of SiQDs cores. Because of its high volatility, hexene (C₆) is very difficult to be functionalized to SiQDs by thermal functionalization. The use of an organolithium-mediated functionalization reaction is a very reasonable choice. Cheong *et al.* (2022) used hexyl-Li precursor in the functionalization reaction to obtain the results, as shown in Fig. 8.⁹⁵ There is another method of functionalizing SiQDs called radical-initiated hydrosilylation. The reaction could be carried out at relatively low temperatures using materials called radical initiators. Moran & Carter (2009)¹¹⁷ conducted a functionalization reaction of



Fig. 7 Proposed mechanism of photochemical hydrosilylation of alkyl functionalized SiQDs. Adapted with permission from ref. 112. Copyright (2002) American Chemical Society.



Fig. 8 Proposed mechanism of organoalkali metals-mediated functionalization reaction to unsaturated substrates. Adapted with permission from ref. 1. Copyright (2020) Royal Society of Chemistry.



hydride-terminated silicon using 2,2'-azobis(2-methylpropionitrile) (AIBN) as a radical precursor. The reactions were completed after 24 h at 60 °C and 30 min at 90 °C. The graft polymer layer formed on the surface of the silicon was investigated using XPS and contact angle measurements. The polymer layer was intended to guarantee surface passivation and coverage.¹¹⁷ Later, Yang *et al.* (2015)⁵¹ conducted a systematic study on the functionalization reaction of hydride-terminated SiQDs using radical AIBN initiators and benzoyl peroxide (BP).⁵¹ The significant results were that the hydrosilylation reaction could be performed at a slightly lower temperature of 60 °C for AIBN and 85 °C for BP. A radical initiator for different functional groups (*i.e.*, alkene, alkyne, carboxylic acid, and ester) could be successfully performed, and the resulting functionalization was monolayer surface passivation.^{17,51,78} Meanwhile, Yu *et al.* (2015)¹⁰⁷ used an undecanoic acid initiator to produce styrene-functionalized SiQDs.¹⁰⁷ The main advantage of using undecanoic acid is that the reaction could be tailored at room temperature with promising results.¹⁰⁷ PL-Emission of (undecanoic acid-promoted hydrosilylation) styrene-functionalized SiQDs was comparable to that of classical decyl-functionalized SiQDs.¹⁰⁷ Fig. 9 depicts the proposed mechanism of a radical-initiated hydrosilylation reaction.

Beri *et al.* (2018) conducted alkyl functionalization using microwave-assisted hydrosilylation. In principle, the reaction is another type of thermally induced hydrosilylation by molecular heating stimulation.⁵³ The reactant is irradiated with microwave radiation to stimulate vibration at the molecular level. Heat is generated by dipolar polarization and ionic conduction, where the first term is attributed to molecules having dipoles gradient (permanent or induced dipoles), and the second term is mainly attributed to charged particles (ions).¹¹⁸ When a microwave frequency is applied, the dipoles of the samples align in the applied field—the oscillation frequency of the microwave radiation forces the molecule to oscillate to compensate for the applied frequency. Molecular re-alignment across the applied field creates friction and dielectric loss, leading to elevated temperature (dielectric heating). The amount of heat generated by this method depends on the ability of the molecule to re-align itself against the frequency of the applied field. If the molecules can re-align faster than the applied field, no heating occurs.¹¹⁸ Similarly, ionic particles oscillate to a microwave frequency. Oscillation causes collisions with neighboring particles and excites a chaotic system to produce heat.¹¹⁹

Boukherroub *et al.* (2003) described the functionalization reaction of hydrogen-terminated porous silicon with 1-alkene



Fig. 9 Proposed mechanism of radical initiated hydrosilylation of alkyl functionalized SiQDs. Adapted with permission from ref. 51. Copyright (2013) American Chemical Society.




Fig. 10 Proposed mechanism of microwave hydrosilylation reaction of alkyl functionalized SiQDs. Adapted with permission from ref. 120. Copyright (2003) American Chemical Society.

under microwave irradiation to give monolayer alkyl functionalized porous silicon.¹²⁰ The reaction rate was increased significantly, and the result was monolayer alkyl functionalized of porous silicon. By using a microwave reactor, there are opportunities to perform functionalization using a wide range of functional group materials. The functionalized product was robust, highly stable, and had higher surface coverage.¹²⁰ The proposed mechanism of the microwave reactor depicts in Fig. 10.

There is a dispute regarding the role of microwave radiation in hydrosilylation. Some people believe that the increase in reaction rate is due to a microwave energy stimulating reaction process, whereas others believe that microwave radiation does not have any role in the reaction process. The second opinion argues that the reaction rate increases because it proceeds at a higher temperature. To study the effect of microwave radiation on a hydrosilylation reaction, Sun *et al.* (2013) conducted a kinetic study to understand the process by comparing the rate and extent of the reaction.⁶⁹ Both reactions were tailored in the same condition except for hydrosilylation methods. One sample was functionalized using conventional thermal hydrosilylation, and the other was functionalized using a microwave reactor. However, the temperature and time were adjusted similarly at 170 °C for 60 minutes. The extent of the reaction was monitored by FT-infrared spectroscopy to see the number of Si-C formations as a function of time. The rate constant for both hydrosilylation methods was deduced from the quantitative measurement of Si-H replacement during the functionalization of decyl-SiQDs as a function of time relative to the original Si-H hydrogen-terminated SiQDs. In the result, both functionalization methods presented relatively similar rate constants: $k = (15 \pm 1) \times 10^{-3} \text{ minutes}^{-1}$ for conventional thermal functionalization, and $k = (12.3 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3} \text{ minutes}^{-1}$ for microwave reactor. Hence, microwave radiation does not influence a hydrosilylation reaction.⁶⁹

The advantage of microwave hydrosilylation is the homogeneity of the internal heat, as the heating caused by molecular resonance towards microwave frequency intramolecularly, the heat efficiency is maximum, and heat loss is minimum. This also results in higher surface coverage of alkyl functionalization because every single bond is affected by vibrations and tends to be involved in the reaction. Because some reactions must be conducted for hours with conventional thermal hydrosilylation, the period could be compressed into minutes in a microwave reactor at higher temperatures. The reaction in a microwave reactor is, therefore, time-efficient.^{118,119} Reactions in the microwave reactor could proceed above the reactants' boiling point under so-called solvothermal conditions, so the reactants' volatility is not an issue. Likewise, the reaction is easy to handle because it is performed in the safety-proof bench-top reactor.



Last but not least, monolayer alkyl functionalized SiQDs can be achieved by strictly controlling the reaction conditions. However, the main drawback of using a microwave reactor so much depends on a relatively expensive instrument. Also, the reaction was conducted at a slightly higher temperature, $\sim 200\text{--}270\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, and the grafted polymer layer is most likely to form outside the surface of SiQDs. Multilayer (corona) guarantees the ligand-to-surface ratio but also enhances the hydrodynamic ratio of individual SiQDs.

Nevertheless, the hydrosilylation reaction is conducted to complete the surface modification. The reaction could be performed using thermally induced hydrosilylation, metal-complex catalysts, and photochemical hydrosilylation. Thermally-induced hydrosilylation could be performed above $150\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. However, the high reaction temperature tends to form multilayer passivation that negatively impacts photophysics. Therefore, some methods have been developed to lower the reaction temperature, including metal-complex catalysts or introducing initiators. Some metal ion complexes have been used as a catalyst and initiators, but the deposit of metal ions on the surface of SiQDs could create another problem. Hence, radical initiators, *e.g.*, AIBN, AIBCN, BP, and undecanoic acid, have been developed as promising candidates for (room-temperature) thermal-initiated hydrosilylation.

D. Synthesis of dye-functionalized SiQDs

Chromophore-assisted photoluminescent SiQDs

One of the simple chromophores functionalized SiQDs is styrene terminated SiQDs, synthesized by Yu & Korgel (2015).¹⁰⁷ The synthesis started from the annealing of silicon-rich oxide, HSQ (Fox-16 from Dow Corning), under an inert atmosphere and then followed by wet chemical etching using hydrogen fluoride and hydrochloric acid to form hydrogen-terminated SiQDs. Functionalization with styrene was performed at room temperature using undecanoic acids as a catalyst. The result was a monolayer styrene passivation with photophysical properties similar to the general alkyl passivation of SiQDs. PL-Spectra demonstrated a typical broadband emission with a maximum at λ_{max} 615 nm under 295 nm λ -excitation. PLQY measurements gave a 12% yield for PL-emissions in the 490–1100 nm range. Compared to typical alkyl functionalized SiQDs (*i.e.*, decyl-SiQDs), the absorption and the emission spectra have similar shapes, but the PL-maximum of styrene-SiQDs underwent a slight blue shift of ~ 20 nm. Indeed, that subtle blue shift is because room temperature hydrosilylation is more efficient in forming a monolayer, whereas thermally induced hydrosilylation tends to embed SiQDs in the polystyrene layer, resulting in particle size enlargement. The PL lifetime measurements of styrene-SiQDs correspond to the PL lifetime of typical decyl or dodecyl-SiQDs. Therefore, the covalent attachment of styrene molecules to the surface of SiQDs does not change the photophysical properties of SiQDs.¹⁰⁷

The author compares his findings with their previous research group on SiQDs capped with polystyrene.^{49,107} The synthesis process was the same but was conducted thermally at $140\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. For the same SiQDs core, the PLQY value is also the same, but the difference is that the PL-emission is redshifted by 20 nm. The authors proposed that due to the formation of multilayers on polystyrene encapsulated SiQDs, causing the dimensions of the QD's size are much more extensive. Redshift data on PL-emission and PL-decay fully support the conclusion. Herein, styrene-functionalized SiQDs have similar characteristics as typical monolayer alkene-functionalized SiQDs, while on the other hand, polystyrene-functionalized SiQDs have features relevant to typical multilayer capped SiQDs. Thermal Gravimetry Analysis (TGA) measurement data fully support the conclusion, where for octene functionalized SiQDs, the number of bound ligands is comparable to styrene functionalized SiQDs. Meanwhile, in terms of oxidation resistance, the authors showed that polystyrene functionalization is much more resistant than styrene functionalization. Since the formation of multilayers greatly helps to prevent oxidation of polystyrene functionalized SiQDs.^{49,107}

Romano *et al.* (2020), Mazzaro *et al.* (2019), and Beri *et al.* (2020) show that organic dyes conjugated with SiQDs can enhance light absorption and PL-emission.^{50,55,105} The intense light absorption of the dye molecules can donate excitons to the SiQD core through energy transfer.^{28,83,121–123} The results show that the additional absorption from the ligand can enhance light absorption. PL-Emission of dye-SiQDs might be increased by excitons transfer. Locritani *et al.* (2014) demonstrated that SiQDs functionalized with pyrene groups can enhance the absorption of light-harvesting devices. The synthesis was carried out using the thermal decomposition of hydrogen silsesquioxane (HSQ) under an inert atmosphere and followed by wet chemical etching using hydrofluoric acid to produce H-SiQDs. The functionalization was carried out thermally at $170\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ utilizing a mixture of 1-(allyl-methoxy) pyrene (py) and 1-dodecane (C12) given to two particle size classes of H-SiQDs (ϕ 2.6 and ϕ 5.0 nm). The resulting materials are ϕ 2.6 nm (C12)-py-SiQDs with a PL-spectra range of 600–900 nm and ϕ 5.0 nm (C12)-py-SiQDs with a PL-spectra range of 700–1200 nm. The absorption spectra show a significant increase at 300–400 nm due to the 3-fold light absorption of the pyrene group. PL-emission shows at λ_{max} 400 nm and λ_{max} 680 nm for a particle size of ϕ 2.6 nm and λ_{max} 970 nm for ϕ 5.0 nm. Meanwhile, (C12)-SiQDs showed PL-emission at λ_{max} 635 nm for a particle size of ϕ 2.6 nm and PL-emission at λ_{max} 970 nm for ϕ 5.0 nm. Thus, the attachment of pyrene molecules is redshifted PL-emission of (C12)-SiQDs by ~ 45 nm for a particle size of ϕ 2.6 nm but none for a particle size of ϕ 5.0 nm. The PLQY of ϕ 2.6 nm (C12)-SiQDs at λ_{max} 635 nm is 11%, compared with the PLQY of ϕ 2.6 nm (C12)-py-SiQDs at λ_{max} 680 nm is 8%. While the PLQY of ϕ 5.0 nm (C12)-SiQDs at λ_{max} 970 nm is 45%, compared with the PLQY of ϕ 5.0 nm (C12)-py-SiQDs λ_{max} 970 nm is 40%. Comparing the PLQY values of pyrene moieties attached and not attached to (C12)-SiQDs, the authors claim $\sim 95\%$ energy transfer from the pyrene moieties



to the ϕ 2.6 nm particle size SiQDs leading to a significant brightness increase of 300% in the visible range and an additional 78% in the near-infrared.¹⁰³

Hence, the experiment demonstrated that pyrene (a chromophore) could enhance the light absorption and PL-emission of SiQDs. The light absorption enhancement was performed by additional strong absorption from the pyrene molecule at λ_{\max} 300–400 nm, whereas PL-emission enhancement was performed by energy transfer from the pyrene molecule to the core of SiQDs. Overall, a factor of 300% enhanced the brightness of conjugate materials due to the contributions of pyrene and (C12)-SiQDs.

Fermi *et al.* (2015) conducted a slightly similar experiment to enhance the absorption of SiQDs at a visible range of electromagnetic radiation.⁹⁹ The chromophore of tetraphenylporphyrin Zn(II) (TPP-Zn) was used because it has a strong absorption at λ_{\max} 426 nm and λ_{\max} 560 nm. The SiQDs were synthesized using thermal decomposition of the hydrogen silsesquioxane (HSQ) and followed by wet chemical etching using hydrofluoric acid to yield two classes of H-SiQDs materials having particle sizes of ϕ 3 nm and ϕ 5 nm. The functionalization reactions were performed under thermal hydrosilylation by adding the given mixture of dodecene (C12) and tetraphenylporphyrin Zn(II) (TPP-Zn) to the dispersion of H-SiQDs at a temperature of 170 °C. The resulting materials of ϕ 3 nm size (C12)-(TPP-Zn)-SiQDs exhibited two sorts of PL-emission maximum; at λ_{\max} 605 nm attributed to TPP-Zn emissions, and λ_{\max} 670 nm attributed to (C12)-SiQDs and some energy transfer from TPP-Zn. Whereas the resulting materials of ϕ 5 nm size (C12)-(TPP-Zn)-SiQDs also exhibited two sorts of PL-emission maximum at λ_{\max} 608 nm attributed to TPP-Zn emissions and PL-emission at λ_{\max} 905 nm attributed to (C12)-SiQDs and some energy transfer from TPP-Zn. PLQY of ϕ 3 nm size (C12)-(TPP-Zn)-SiQDs exhibited 7%, a reduction of 6% from the original 13% from (C12)-SiQDs. Whereas PLQY of ϕ 5 nm size (C12)-(TPP-Zn)-SiQDs exhibited 8%, which was significantly reduced from the original 42% of (C12)-SiQDs. Nanosecond lifetimes of dye molecules were quenched from τ_{PL} 2.3 ns to τ_{PL} 1.2 ns. Likewise, microsecond lifetimes of chromophore TPP-Zn attached to SiQDs were quenched from τ_{PL} 110 μ s to τ_{PL} 70 μ s for ϕ 3 nm particle size and from τ_{PL} 140 μ s to τ_{PL} 100 μ s for ϕ 5 nm particle size. Quenching PLQY and PL-decay demonstrated energy transfer from TPP-Zn to SiQDs. The calculation of efficient energy transfer leads to a 50% light synthesized process from TPP-Zn to SiQDs.⁹⁹ In the experiment, the energy transfer efficiency of 50% was improved by covalently anchoring other chromophores such as perylene, phenanthrene, and other organic chromophores.

Beri *et al.* (2020)⁵⁵ conducted a synthesis on dye-functionalized SiQDs. 3-Ethynyl perylene (p1) and ethylene-*m*-phenyl BODIPY (p2) were used in this experiment. The experiment was started by annealing SiO at a temperature of 900 °C to stimulate a disproportionation reaction. The optimized annealing conditions successfully produce hydrogen-terminated SiQDs (H-SiQDs) particles of $\phi \sim 3.0$ nm. Next, an etching process using 48% v/v HF was performed to eliminate the SiO₂.

The H-SiQDs were then functionalized in a microwave reactor using dyes as ligands. The resulting material showed that a low amount of dyes (2 mg) was used in the reaction to achieve 12-fold absorption enhancement at λ_{\max} 440 nm for the product of the reaction between 3-ethynyl perylene and H-SiQDs (*i.e.*, C6-p1-SiQDs), and 3-fold enhancement at λ_{\max} 515 nm for the product of the reaction between ethylene-*m*-phenyl BODIPY and H-SiQDs (*i.e.*, C6-p2-SiQDs). The efficient direct energy transfer ($\eta_{\text{DET}} \approx 99\%$) was measured from perylene and BODIPY chromophores to SiQDs. Notice PL enhancement of $\sim 270\%$ and $\sim 140\%$ was demonstrated for blue- and green-light excitation of the modified SiQDs (*i.e.*, C6-p1-SiQDs and C6-p2-SiQDs). The absorption and PL-emission enhancement are summarised in Fig. 11.⁵⁵

Nevertheless, it is possible to enhance the light absorption and PL emission of SiQDs by energy transfer from chromophores (dyes) to SiQDs. The synthesis method could be started from H-SiQDs, followed by the functionalization of chromophores *via* hydrosilylations. The synthesis of H-SiQDs could be performed by thermal annealing of silicon-rich oxide followed by wet chemical etching or Tilley's method using precursors SiCl₄ and TOAB reaction with reducing agent LiAlH₄. This was followed by hydrosilylation using thermal hydrosilylation at 170 °C, radical-initiated hydrosilylation, and catalytic hydrosilylation. The resulting materials showed the redshift of PL spectra after functionalization with chromophores, except for one experiment carried out for styrene SiQDs. PLQY after chromophore attachments is generally down except for investigations conducted by Abdelhameed *et al.* (2018) that show up²⁸ or remain constant.¹⁰⁷ Hence, energy transfers from chromophore molecules to the core of SiQDs lead to PL enhancement.

Energy transfer mechanism in dye-functionalized SiQDs

As demonstrated by Mongin *et al.* (2016), the possibility of exciton transfer in semiconductor QDs may open up new opportunities in physical and chemical sciences.¹²⁴ Transient absorption spectroscopy was used to investigate the Dexter-like energy transfer from the QDs to the surface-anchored organic dyes. In addition, there is a possibility of triplet-triplet energy transfer from surface acceptor to dissolved organic dyestuff. There are indications that surface-anchored QDs or covalently anchored QDs could be used as organic sensitizers and that the sensitization process could be the result of a triplet-triplet energy transfer from the surface acceptor to free organic dyes (solutes). The sensitization process could lead to triplet-triplet annihilation upconversion (TTA-UC) and generate singlet oxygen.^{124,125} Singlet oxygen (¹O₂) was indicated by strong PL spectra at λ_{\max} 1270 nm.

Xia *et al.* (2020)¹²⁶ demonstrated that conjugated 9-ethyl anthracene (9EA)-functionalized SiQDs carry out the TTA-UC mechanism when dispersed in 9,10-diphenyl anthracene (DPA). The experiment was conducted under an inert nitrogen atmosphere using (9-EA)-SiQDs as a sensitizer and DPA as an emitter. The efficient Dexter-energy transfer was investigated by femtosecond transient absorption spectroscopy. Photoexciting sensitizer materials drove spin-triplet exciton transfer from





Fig. 11 (a) Absorbance of **C6-SiQDs**; **C6-(p1)-SiQDs**; **C6-(p2)-SiQDs**, (b) PL-emission of **C6-SiQDs**; **C6-(p1)-SiQDs**; **C6-(p2)-SiQDs**, under broadband excitation (λ_{\max} 435–550 nm). (c) Cartoon representation of attributed **C6-SiQDs**; **C6-(p1)-SiQDs**; **C6-(p2)-SiQDs** particles, and (d) PLQY values of **C6-SiQDs**; **C6-(p1)-SiQDs**; **C6-(p2)-SiQDs** measured at different excitation wavelengths. The PLQY values are the result of averaging for five independent synthetic batches. The error bars indicate the maximum and minimum values for five independent synthetic batches. Adapted with permission from ref. 55. Copyright (2020) Royal Society of Chemistry.

silicon to anthracene through Dexter-like energy transfer with nearly 50% efficiency. With an excess of DPA molecules, these particles readily upconverted 488 nm photons to 420 nm photons with TTA-UC quantum yields exceeding $\sim 7\%$. The upconverting process occurred for (9EA)-SiQDs sensitizer only, whereas octadecene (C18)-SiQDs sensitizer with similar treatment did not show any upconverting phenomenon. The plotting of upconversion intensity as a function of excitation rate demonstrated that excitation rate threshold power fell from 0.95 W cm^{-2} for 488 nm excitation to 2 W cm^{-2} for 532 nm excitation. It was because the absorption of low-energy photons was significantly lower than that of high-energy photons. Another significant result was that the upconversion process depended on the particle size of SiQDs. SiQDs upconversion quantum yields increased from ~ 0 to $\sim 7\%$ as the particle size decreased from ϕ 3.6 to 3.1 nm. Authors described it as being due to reducing particle size, the bandgap energy laid above 9EA triplet state ($\sim 1.8 \text{ eV}$), and the energy barrier was reduced for nanocrystals to molecule energy transfer and led to increasing upconversion quantum yields.¹²⁶ One example of a TTA-UC mechanism illustrates in Fig. 12.



Fig. 12 (a) Triplet–triplet annihilation process of Perylene-functionalized SiQDs. (b) Triplet–triplet annihilation upconversion schematics by dye functionalized SiQDs immersed in the dye solvents. Adapted with permission from ref. 153. Copyright (2021) Royal Society of Chemistry.

E. Applications of dye-functionalized SiQDs

As light-harvesting antenna

Research conducted by Locritani *et al.* (2014)¹⁰³ attempted to attach chromophores (*i.e.*, pyrene) to functionalized SiQDs.

The pyrene group is tethered by $(-\text{C}_3\text{H}_6-\text{O}-\text{CH}_2-)$ to guarantee the long distance between SiQDs-core and pyrene groups. The authors investigated efficient energy transfers from chromophore to the SiQDs with two distinct particle sizes, ϕ 2.6 nm and ϕ 5.0 nm. The actual results of this experiment are efficient energy transfer from chromophore. For ϕ 2.6 nm particle size,



it was $\sim 95\%$, leading to 300% brightness enhancement in the visible range, whereas for ϕ 5.0 nm particle size, it was $\sim 65\%$, leading to 78% brightness enhancement in near-infrared spectra.¹⁰³

Nevertheless, this experiment demonstrated that SiQDs could be used as a viable scaffold in light-harvesting antenna because chromophore enables the enhancement of molar absorption of SiQDs and leads to PL-emission or brightness enhancement.^{103,127} Besides pyrene, other chromophores could also be attached to SiQDs to get strong absorption at visible ranges. Ruthenium(II) bipyridine and Zinc(II) tetraphenylporphyrin were synthesized to manipulate SiQDs with strong absorption at visible ranges.^{76,77,128}

In bioimaging

As light-harvesting materials, dye-functionalized SiQDs could be used as probe materials in photo imaging. Due to UV-excitation potentially damaging the biological tissues, other excitation methods must be developed in SiQDs probe-based bioimaging. One attractive method was a near-infrared photo-excitation *via* two-photon excitation (2P). Herein, 2P excitation was done by exciting dye-SiQDs using near-infrared radiation to produce emission at a PL-emission of SiQDs. Ravotto *et al.* (2017)¹⁰⁵ conducted a systematic study on two-photon excitations of dye-SiQDs. SiQDs with particle size $\phi \sim 5.0$ nm were functionalized with 4,7-di(2-thienyl)-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole chromophores (TBT) and dodecyl chains exhibited PL-emission at near-infrared radiation (λ_{max} 900 nm). The authors claimed that PL-emission could be obtained from 2P absorption by 960 nm excitations.¹⁰⁵

Furey *et al.* (2022)¹⁰⁰ conducted two-photon excitation experiments in the near-infra-red (NIR) regions. The result in strong photoluminescence also at NIR gave a strong indication for potential applications in bioimaging. Two-photon excited photoluminescence spectra of dodecyl SiQDs with diameters ϕ 1.8 ± 0.2 nm and ϕ 2.3 ± 0.3 nm and dispersed in toluene. The cross-section is observed to be smaller for the smaller diameter SiQDs. SiQDs for bioimaging efficiency compared with other quantum dots and molecular fluorophores and found comparable.¹⁰⁰ Later, Sarwat *et al.* (2022) conducted experiments to synthesize metal-functionalized SiQDs for bioimaging applications. Sc-SiQDs, Cu-SiQDs, and Zn-SiQDs were successfully synthesized, and their optimal PL and cytotoxicity were investigated. The Cu-SiQDs and Sc-SiQDs showed brighter PL and a lesser reduction in cell viability than the Zn-SiQDs. Notably, The Cu-SiQDs and Zn-SiQDs showed aggregation behavior. Hence, Sc-SiQDs appeared to be a better option for the future *in vivo* bioimaging of tear film.¹²⁹ Although the PL and cytotoxicity of the materials seem promising, the hydrophobicity of the resulting compounds might be challenging.

Last but not least, Wei *et al.* (2022) conducted a synthesis of sulfhydryl-SiQD. The strong PL-emission might indicate that the material was suitable for photoimaging. The resulting emission was the characteristics of that long-lived photoluminescence of SiQDs and was completely different from the excitation wavelength.¹³⁰ This invention might be useful as a



Fig. 13 PL image of zebrafish after sulfhydryl-SiQD injections. Adapted with permission from ref. 130. Copyright (2022) Springer Nature.

probe in photo imaging.¹⁰⁵ PL-Emission from 2P absorption demonstrated that dye-functionalized SiQDs could be used as a bioimaging probe.^{27,131,132}

Fig. 13 shows PL images of zebrafish that have been treated with S-SiQD. The bright illumination of the zebrafish after treatment suggests that SiQDs have the potential for bioimaging. The authors report that these QDs have good photostability and biocompatibility in long-term measurements.¹³⁰

In luminescent solar concentrator

The SiQDs show similar behavior in luminescence properties compared with other direct semiconductors QDs. SiQDs demonstrate some advantages in toxicity and large Stokes shift compared to other semiconductors QDs. The significant Stokes shift makes it possible to design a semi-transparent solar luminescence concentrator (LSC) and aesthetically attractive.¹³³ However, the main drawback is the relatively low absorption of SiQDs in the ultraviolet-A (UVA) (315–400 nm) region. Meinardi *et al.* (2017)²⁶ demonstrated that an LCS device fabricated using alkyl functionalized SiQDs could exhibit power conversion efficiencies as high as 2.85% and 70% transmittance.²⁶ Mazzaro *et al.* (2019) used 9,10-diphenylanthracene (DPA) functionalized SiQDs embedded in methyl methacrylate (MMA) polymer to enhance the absorption in the UVA range. The authors found that LSC's absorption was significantly enhanced due to dye attachment, and optical efficiency was enhanced as high as 4.25%, almost without sacrificing transparency.⁸² This remarkable result shows the possibility of dye-functionalized SiQDs as a lumiphore in LSC.

One recent work was conducted by Ren *et al.* (2021)¹³⁴ on tandem solar cells. Herein, a four-terminal tandem solar cell consisting of a luminescent solar concentrator (LSC) based on silicon quantum dots (SiQDs) in front of a perovskite solar cell (PSC). The LSC was covered with PMMA antireflection coating, which enhanced the transmission by up to 3% from the visible to the near-infrared range. The colloidal SiQDs in the LSC absorb the UV light and re-emit red PL, which propagates to the waveguide edges to generate electricity while allowing the rest of the incident sunlight to be absorbed in the back of the PSC. Notably, at 1.08 mg mL^{-1} , although the tandem solar cell





Fig. 14 Tandem perovskite solar cell-LSC-based SiQD, (a) arrangement, and (b) PCE vs. Irradiation times. Reprinted with permission from ref. 134. Copyright (2021) American Chemical Society.

has about the same PCE as the bare PSC, the front SiQD-LSC absorbs 69% of the solar UV, making the back PSC more stable than the bare PSC.¹³⁴ The arrangement of LSC-based SiQDs and Perovskite tandem solar cells illustrates in Fig. 14.

In biosensors

Terbium-amine functionalized SiQDs was used as a biomarker to detect dipicolinic acid (DPA) as one constituent in *Bacillus anthracis* spores. By utilizing a biomarker made of terbium-amine-functionalized SiQDs, the detection of anthrax disease could be performed much more efficiently compared with polymerase chain reaction, which is a standard detection method today. The detection method compared the PL intensity of terbium-amine functionalized SiQDs in the interaction with DPA and PL intensity without interaction with DPA. The detection limit was about 24 nM, four orders of magnitude lower than the spores' infectious dosage (6×10^{-5} M required).¹³⁵

Zhou *et al.* (2021)¹³⁶ conducted a synthesis on acetic functionalized SiQDs to detect the imbalance of Zn²⁺/Cd²⁺. The imbalance reaction might lead to many severe diseases because antibiotics are deposited in animal products. Developing a functional material for detecting is challenging and attractive. The COOH-functionalized SiQDs were chelated with Eu(NO₃)₃ to form Eu³⁺-SiQDs and used as a PL probe. The emission wavelength of λ_{\max} 450 nm can be used sequentially to detect tetracycline (TCs) and Zn²⁺/Cd²⁺ by the PL resonance energy transfer (FRET) principle. The detection limit of TCs and Zn²⁺/Cd²⁺ are 0.2×10^{-6} M and 3×10^{-6} M, respectively, when the pH of the solution is 7.4. The result in Eu³⁺-SiQDs exhibited good stability (from 94.9% to 103.1%).¹³⁶

Ma *et al.* (2021)¹³⁷ synthesized water-dispersible silicon quantum dots (SiQDs) to quantify ascorbic acids in soda drinks. The synthesis was started with precursor 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES) as a silicon source. MnO₂ nanosheets (NS) are used as the quencher, while SiQDs is PL unit. The PL "switch-on" was attributed to ascorbic acid (AA) determination. MnO₂ NS can



Fig. 15 Illustration of APTES functionalized SiQDs to detect ascorbic acids. Reproduced with permission from ref. 137. Copyright (2021) Elsevier.

effectively quench the PL of SiQDs because of the internal filtration effect. In the presence of AA, MnO₂ is reduced to Mn²⁺, so the PL of SiQDs is partially recovered. The recovered PL intensity was related to the concentration of AA. Under the optimal experimental conditions, the linear response range of the assay to AA is 1–80 μ M, and the detection limit is 0.48 μ M. The method for determining AA has the advantages of simplicity, low cost, good selectivity, and sensitivity. The assay has been successfully applied to quantifying AA in beverage (mizone) samples, proving the assay's practicability.¹³⁷ The illustrative model for SiQDs to detect ascorbic acids depicts in Fig. 15.

Another example of using SiQDs in bioimaging was done by Liu *et al.* (2022).¹³⁸ The authors proposed a dual-signal fluorometric and colorimetric system based on silicon quantum dots (SiQDs) and 4-nitrophenol (4-NP) for pH and urease sensing. The SiQDs with PL-emission of λ_{\max} 460 nm were prepared in an aqueous phase. The absorption band of 4-NP at λ_{\max} 400 nm increased, and a color reaction from colorless to yellow occurred as the pH increased. The absorption of 4-NP overlapped quite well with the PL excitation spectrum of SiQDs, which can effectively quench the PL of SiQDs. The fluorometric and colorimetric pH-sensing systems exhibited a linear response to pH ranging from 6.0 to 7.8 with an interval of 0.2 pH. Urease could specifically hydrolyze urea to generate carbon dioxide and ammonia, causing a noticeable increase in the pH value. Thus, urease could also be detected quantitatively by the above dual-signal pH sensing system. The fluorometric and colorimetric methods' linear ranges for urease detection were 2–40 U L⁻¹. The limits of detection were 1.67 and 1.07 U L⁻¹, respectively.¹³⁸

In light emitting diode

Yamada *et al.* (2020)¹³⁹ aim at enhancing the electroluminescence of silicon quantum dot light emitting diode (SiQD-LED). The authors show that the LED emission can be adjusted from red to yellow by changing the particle size of SiQDs placed on the sandwich layer of the LED device. The SiQD particle sizes placed on the device are ϕ 2.7 nm, ϕ 2.2 nm, ϕ 1.9 nm, ϕ 1.7 nm, and ϕ 1.3 nm, giving a maximum PL-emission of λ_{\max} 755 nm, λ_{\max} 722 nm, λ_{\max} 670 nm, λ_{\max} 635 nm, and λ_{\max} 590 nm, respectively. At the same time, the peak external quantum efficiencies (EQE) for each particle size are 1.15%, 1.18%, 0.89%, and 0.12%, respectively.¹³⁹ A schematic representation of the LED arrangement is depicted in Fig. 16.





Fig. 16 (a) Toluene dispersion of decane: SiQDs synthesized from disproportionation reaction of TES under 365 nm UV light irradiation, (b) PL-emission of toluene dispersion of different size SiQDs, and (c) potential arrangements of SiQD-LED and TEM image of sandwich layers. Adapted with permission from ref. 139. Copyright (2020) American Chemical Society.

The synthesis of SiQDs begins with the disproportionation reaction of $(\text{HSiO}_{1.5})_n$ derived from triethoxysilane (TES) at high temperatures in an inert atmosphere. Separation of SiQDs from the SiO_2 matrix was carried out by chemical etching using concentrated HF to obtain H-SiQDs. Functionalization with 1-decene was carried out through thermal hydrosilylation at 180 °C to obtain polydisperse decane-SiQD. Ensembles of various sample sizes were prepared through sedimentation using centrifuges. The results of sedimentation separation obtained ensemble samples with dimensions in order ϕ 2.7 nm, ϕ 2.2 nm, ϕ 1.9 nm, ϕ 1.7 nm, and ϕ 1.3 nm. PLQY measurements of the samples gave values of 37% for ϕ 2.7 nm, 26% for ϕ 2.2 nm, 23% for ϕ 1.9 nm, 10% for ϕ 1.7 nm, and 5% for ϕ 1.3 nm, respectively. The fabrication of the SiQDs layer on the equipment is done by spin coating with a thickness of ~ 15 nm, which applies equally to each quantum dot size. Interestingly, the authors claimed that the wavelength of the LED produced could be adjusted by varying the size of the SiQD particles contained in the sandwich layer of the device.

In contrast, Cheong *et al.* (2022)⁹⁵ recently demonstrated that the electroluminescence of colloidal SiQD particles with narrow emission in the range of ~ 100 –23 nm at visible light wavelengths (yellow, orange, red to infrared) could be tuned without changing the dimensions of the SiQD particles.⁹⁵ Emission narrowing was achieved solely by adjusting the Fabry–Pérot cavities, and the voltage applied. The results show that the visual and spectral stability is remarkable at different voltages. The authors also showed that a wavelength interval in the visible (yellow to near-infrared) region could be achieved for the same SiQDs by adjusting the thickness of the SiO_2 fill in the device array. This emission interval can then also be achieved by adjusting the Ag thickness. This arrangement in the LED configuration is a novelty that may be developed in the future. The SiQDs used in this study is hexyl-SiQDs synthesized by high annealing temperature of hydrogen silsesquioxane (HSQ) in an inert atmosphere to trigger the formation of SiQDs embedded in a silica matrix. Chemical etching using concentrated HF was required to separate the H-SiQDs from their SiO_2 matrix to

obtain SiQDs with a size of ϕ 2.78 \pm 0.37 nm. The functionalization reaction was carried out using a technique called organolithium-mediated functionalization reaction. As a result, hexyl-SiQD was obtained, which gave significant emission with PLQY of 26% and λ_{max} 726 nm. The thickness of the SiO_2 and Ag layers in the device can be adjusted to optimize the emission according to the voltage used.⁹⁵

Photothermal and nanotherapy (theranostics)

There was a report of carboxy-terminated silicon quantum dots (SiQDs) synthesized by Özbilgin *et al.* (2022)¹⁴⁰ that exhibited high water solubility, luminescent light emission with high PLQYs, long-term stability of PL properties for cell monitoring, lower toxicity to cells and high photothermal response due to the high molecular coverage of the surface monolayer. Water-soluble SiQDs were prepared by thermal hydroxylation of 10-undecenoic acid as ligand, which was ensured by thermal disproportionation of TES hydrolyzed at pH 3 and subsequent etching with hydrogen fluoride. Functionalized SiQDs with 10-undecenoic acid (UA-SiQDs) were stable over time in hydrophilic solvents, including ethanol and water (pH 7). Their interaction with living cells was assessed by cell uptake, short-term toxicity, and long-term cytotoxicity for the first time. The results showed that UA-SiQDs are potential candidates for therapeutic products because they have good optical properties, allowing imaging over 18 days and a photothermal response with a photothermal conversion efficiency of 25.1% and direct detection of cell death by laser irradiation. UA-SiQDs have low cytotoxicity with full viability up to 400 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ in the short term and 50% cell viability after 14 days of incubation at 50 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$.¹⁴⁰ The illustrative photodynamic nanotherapy of 10-undecenoic acid functionalized SiQDs depicts in Fig. 17.

Fig. 17a shows a cartoon illustration of photothermal nanotherapy. The UA-SiQDs absorb intense light so that the energy gained by the particles is partly converted into photons and heat. As a result of the irradiation, the temperature inside the cell rises above 41 °C, killing the cell internally. Fig. 17b–d show the PL of UA-SiQDs exposed to light. While Fig. 17e and f show the display of UA-SiQDs in room light and their emission when exposed to the laser.

As photosensitizer.

In triplet–triplet annihilation upconversion (TTA-UC)

Xia *et al.* (2021)¹⁴¹ demonstrated that hexadecane (C18) +9-ethyl anthracene (9EA) or C18 + 9EA-SiQDs are capable of performing triplet–triplet annihilation upconversion (TTA-UC) with upconversion quantum yields (QY_{uc}) $\text{QY}_{\text{uc}} \sim 7.5\%$. This photon upconversion could be sustained for a long time, even reaching 4 days with DPA ligand and methyl oleate solvent. The authors functionalized SiQDs with C18 and 9EA, which acts as a photon absorber (sensitizer), and then dispersed them in DPA emitters, resulting in photon upconversion from λ_{max} 488 nm and λ_{max} 532 nm to λ_{max} 432 nm, with QY_{uc} reaching a maximum of 7.5% when excited with a 1.0 W cm^{-1} CW laser at λ_{max} 488 nm. The upconversion decay (τ_{uc}) has a different range depending on the solvent used. In toluene, mesitylene, and octadecene





Fig. 17 Photothermal nano therapy of 10-undecanoic acid functionalized SiQD. (a) Cartoon representation of Photothermal nanotherapy of SiQDs photoimaging. (b)–(d) fresh and aged SiQDs fluorescences. (e) and (f) Colloidal SiQDs under visible and UV light. Adapted with permission from ref. 140. Copyright (2022) American Chemical Society.

solvents, τ_{uc} is of the order of minutes, while in methyl oleate and oleic acid solvents, the τ_{uc} is of the order of days. The core size of the SiQDs was $\phi \sim 3.0$ nm, then became $\phi \sim 9.0$ nm after functionalization with C18 and 9EA. It is then aggregated into micelles so that the particle size becomes $\phi 370 \pm 70$ nm after polymerization. The triplet–triplet annihilation process occurs when photons are absorbed by the photon absorber (*i.e.*, SiQDs), then transferred to the 9EA triplet state and resonances with the DPA triplet state to undergo triplet annihilation to a higher energy state to be emitted *via* photon upconversion. Thus, the absorbed energy of λ_{max} 488 nm and λ_{max} 532 nm is upconverted to λ_{max} 432 nm.¹⁴¹

Previously, Xia *et al.* (2016)¹⁴² also described the process of spin–triplet exciton transfer between silicon and acceptor molecules for photon upconversion. According to the authors, photoexcitation of chemically functionalized silicon with anthracene ligand will lead to spin–triplet exciton transfer from silicon to anthracene through a single Dexter energy transfer step 15 ns with yields of nearly 50%. When coupled with the 9,10-diphenylanthracene emitter, photon upconversion from λ_{max} 488–640 nm to λ_{max} 425 nm is possible with $\text{QY}_{\text{uc}} \sim 7\%$. QY_{uc} measurements were performed in a nitrogen environment. The authors also showed the relationship between QY_{uc} and the particle size ϕ of SiQDs, where the smaller the particle size, the higher QY_{uc} for the same λ -excitation.¹²⁶

In singlet oxygen generation ($^1\text{O}_2$)

Singlet oxygen ($^1\text{O}_2$) is one of the key ingredients for photodynamic therapy (PDT) to treat oncological diseases. A suitable photosensitizer could be applied to form $^1\text{O}_2$ if the following requirements are fulfilled: (1) having low toxicity in the absence of irradiation, (2) working specifically to the target cancer cells, (3) having relatively highly efficient energy transfer, (4) short

retention time in the body, (5) having stability against coagulation/aggregation, and (6) exhibiting excellent photostability.¹⁴³ Porous silicon has long been known to have the ability to generate singlet oxygen. Some reports from old articles mention singlet oxygen generation from non-passivated SiQDs.^{144–147} Due to the instability of non-passivated SiQDs, it is not very likely to implement the materials for long-term diagnostics. Therefore, it is challenging to generate singlet oxygen from completed functionalized SiQDs. Owing to the excellent behavior of SiQDs as a photosensitizer, it has the potential to be developed for nano-theranostics. Nano-theranostics is a term introduced for the comprehensive effort that integrates diagnostics and therapy in a single platform using nanomaterials. Dye-functionalized SiQDs is one of the potential nano-theranostics agents which could be applied in this platform. Applying dye-functionalized SiQDs as PS *in vivo*, the image of cancer and another disease could be resolved (photo imaging); simultaneously, $^1\text{O}_2$ is released to cure and heal.^{148–150}

Osminkina *et al.* (2011)¹⁵¹ demonstrated that SiQDs with particle sizes $\phi \sim 2$ to 5 nm can act as photosensitizers for singlet oxygen generation. The luminescence detected at λ_{max} 1270 nm is attributed to singlet oxygen emission and is dedicated to the presence of $^1\text{O}_2$. The synthesis of SiQDs was done by grinding silicon wafers followed by electrochemical etching to obtain particles with sizes between ϕ 2–10 nm. PL measurements in the vacuum showed the presence of 1270 nm emission.¹⁵¹

Beri *et al.* (2020)⁵⁴ have synthesized perylene-functionalized SiQDs to study singlet oxygen generation. The synthesis was done by annealing SiO at a relatively high temperature (*i.e.*, 900–1100 $^\circ\text{C}$), then H-SiQDs were deliberated by chemical etching using concentrated HF. The hydrosilylation reaction was carried out in a microwave reactor at 250 $^\circ\text{C}$ by mixing H-SiQDs, *n*-hexene, and 3-peryene to produce C6-p1-SiQDs. The resulting ϕ 3.0–8.0 nm diameter C6-p1-SiQDs were ready for direct singlet-oxygen quantum yield (QY_{SO_2}) measurements. Two excitation lasers were used, *i.e.*, 317.5 nm and 405 nm, to hit the core of the SiQDs. The data show that although the PLQY of hexyl (C6) SiQDs is relatively high in the near-infrared, direct measurements of singlet oxygen emission at λ_{max} 1270 nm show



Fig. 18 Singlet oxygen generation production *via* energy transfer from dye-functionalized SiQDs to Oxygen. Reproduced with permission from ref. 54. Copyright (2020) Frontier in Chemistry.



null emission. However, when the SiQDs surface was decorated with a mixture of hexyl (C6) and perylene, the λ_{\max} 1270 nm emission was detected. The singlet oxygen quantum yield for C6-p1-SiQDs relative to phenalenone is $QY_{\text{SOG}} \sim 27\%$. The process of singlet oxygen generation is shown schematically in Fig. 18. Briefly, light is absorbed by the SiQDs core, a light absorber, and photons are transferred to the triplet state of perylene *via* the Dexter energy transfer mechanism. Photons in this triplet state then exchange energy with oxygen in the air to form singlet oxygen, which then decays to emit energy at λ_{\max} 1270 nm.^{54,152}

F. Conclusions

Reaction with oxygen causes the photophysics of H-SiQDs to lose their uniqueness. Reaction with oxygen causes the SiO₂ layer to envelop the SiQDs surface, causing the SiQDs core to shrink and lose its emission properties due to forming an electron-trapping layer of SiO₂. Functionalization reactions or hydrosilylation with organic ligand groups is one solution to maintain the emission of SiQDs so that they can emit light in the long term. The hydrosilylation reaction can be performed thermally using conventional heat (reflux) or a microwave reactor. The advantage of this method is that it is relatively simple, but the disadvantage is the possibility of forming multilayers on the surface of the SiQDs, which reduces the emission. Another disadvantage is that it is almost impossible to do with highly volatile solvents using conventional thermal reaction methods. In addition to thermal reactions, hydrosilylation reactions can also use metal or organometallic catalysts, but the disadvantage is that the residual metal can interfere with the PL of the resulting SiQDs. Also, photochemical and radical initiation reactions can be carried out at low temperatures so that the ligand layer formed is a monolayer. The hydrosilylation reaction can also be carried out using dyes. Dyes can aid in the absorption of photons, which in turn can increase PL-emission. Because they have a large cross-section, dyes can act as an antenna that emits photons *via* the Dexter energy transfer mechanism from SiQDs to dyes. This energy transfer mechanism reaches the triplet state of the dyes, ready to be transferred to the nearest dye triplet state to perform triplet-triplet annihilation upconversion (TTA-UC) or to the closest oxygen triplet state to generate singlet oxygen (¹O₂). Due to their great potential, dye-functionalized SiQDs can be used as light-harvesting antennas, bioimaging, luminescence solar concentrators, biosensors, light emitting diodes, photothermal monotherapy (theranostics) and as sensitizers in TTA-UC and singlet oxygen generation (¹O₂).

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank his PhD supervisors, Prof. Dr Bryce Richards and Dr Andrey Turshatov, who helped him

to complete his studies at the Faculty of Chemistry and Biosciences, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), especially at the Institute of Microstructure Technology. Of course, there are many bitter and sweet experiences that we went through during the author's education. The author would like to thank Prof. Ganefri, PhD (Rector of UNP), Prof. Yohandri, PhD as Head of the Institute for Research and Public Service (LPPM) and staff, and Dr Kasmita as Head of the UNP Internal Quality Assurance Agency (BPMI) and staff. The author would also like to thank all friends and colleagues at KIT and UNP who have played a role in the author's career. Finally, the author would also like to thank all the staff who have contributed to this success.

Notes and references

- G. Marsico, P. Scafato, S. Belviso and S. Superchi, *RSC Adv.*, 2020, **10**, 32581–32601.
- J. Wang, Y. Liu, F. Peng, C. Chen, Y. He, H. Ma, L. Cao and S. Sun, *Small*, 2012, **8**, 2430–2435.
- S. Morozova, M. Alikina, A. Vinogradov and M. Pagliaro, *Front. Chem.*, 2020, **8**, 2–8.
- C. J. T. Robidillo and J. G. C. Veinot, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 52251–52270.
- F. Hua, M. T. Swihart and E. Ruckenstein, *Langmuir*, 2005, **21**, 6054–6062.
- H. Yu, A. N. Thiessen, M. A. Hossain, M. J. Kloberg, B. Rieger and J. G. C. Veinot, *Chem. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 4536–4543.
- J. J. Wu and U. R. Kortshagen, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 103822–103828.
- S. Chinnathambi, S. Chen, S. Ganesan and N. Hanagata, *Adv. Healthcare Mater.*, 2014, **3**, 10–29.
- K. Dohnalová, A. N. Poddubny, A. A. Prokofiev, W. D. A. M. de Boer, C. P. Umesh, J. M. J. Paulusse, H. Zuilhof and T. Gregorkiewicz, *Light: Sci. Appl.*, 2013, **2**, e47.
- B. P. Falcão, J. P. Leitão, M. R. Soares, L. Ricardo, H. Águas, R. Martins and R. N. Pereira, *Phys. Rev. Appl.*, 2019, **11**, 024054.
- T. K. Purkait, M. Iqbal, M. A. Islam, M. H. Mobarok, C. M. Gonzalez, L. Hadidi and J. G. C. Veinot, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 7114–7120.
- J. Rinck, D. Schray, C. Kübel, A. K. Powell and G. A. Ozin, *Small*, 2015, **11**, 335–340.
- L. Canham, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2020, **222**, 10–81.
- L. Ondič, K. Kůsová, M. Ziegler, L. Fekete, V. Gärtnerová, V. Cháb, V. Holý, O. Cibulka, K. Herynková, M. Gallart, P. Gilliot, B. Hönerlage and I. Pelant, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 3837–3845.
- K. Fujimoto, T. Hayakawa, Y. Xu, N. Jingu and K.-I. Saitow, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2022, **10**, 14451–14463.
- X. Liu, Y. Zhang, T. Yu, X. Qiao, R. Gresback, X. Pi and D. Yang, *Part. Part. Syst. Character.*, 2016, **33**, 44–52.
- A. Marinins, Z. Yang, H. Chen, J. Linnros, J. G. C. Veinot, S. Popov and I. Sychugov, *ACS Photonics*, 2016, **3**, 1575–1580.



- 18 T. A. Pringle, K. I. Hunter, A. Brumberg, K. J. Anderson, J. A. Fagan, S. A. Thomas, R. J. Petersen, M. Sefannaser, Y. Han, S. L. Brown, D. S. Kilin, R. D. Schaller, U. R. Kortshagen, P. R. Boudjouk and E. K. Hobbie, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 3858–3867.
- 19 R. J. Anthony, D. J. Rowe, M. Stein, J. Yang and U. Kortshagen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2011, **21**, 4042–4046.
- 20 S. L. Brown, J. B. Miller, R. J. Anthony, U. R. Kortshagen, A. Kryjevski and E. K. Hobbie, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 1597–1603.
- 21 S. L. Brown, D. J. Vogel, J. B. Miller, T. M. Inerbaev, R. J. Anthony, U. R. Kortshagen, D. S. Kilin and E. K. Hobbie, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2016, **120**, 18909–18916.
- 22 N. J. Kramer, R. J. Anthony, M. Mamunuru, E. S. Aydil and U. R. Kortshagen, *J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys.*, 2014, **47**, 075202.
- 23 S. J. Lanham, J. Polito, Z. Xiong, U. R. Kortshagen and M. J. Kushner, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2022, **132**, 073301.
- 24 L. Mangolini, D. Jurbergs, E. Rogojina and U. Kortshagen, *J. Lumin.*, 2006, **121**, 327–334.
- 25 L. Mangolini, E. Thimsen and U. Kortshagen, *Nano Lett.*, 2005, **5**, 655–659.
- 26 F. Meinardi, S. Ehrenberg, L. Dharmo, F. Carulli, M. Mauri, F. Bruni, R. Simonutti, U. Kortshagen and S. Brovelli, *Nat. Photonics*, 2017, **11**, 177–185.
- 27 M. Abdelhameed, S. Aly, J. T. Lant, X. Zhang and P. Charpentier, *Sci. Rep.*, 2018, **8**, 17068.
- 28 M. Abdelhameed, D. R. Martir, S. Chen, W. Z. Xu, O. O. Oyeneye, S. Chakrabarti, E. Zysman-Colman and P. A. Charpentier, *Sci. Rep.*, 2018, **8**, 3050.
- 29 R. J. Clark, M. Aghajamali, C. M. Gonzalez, L. Hadidi, M. A. Islam, M. Javadi, M. H. Mobarok, T. K. Purkait, C. J. T. Robidillo, R. Sinelnikov, A. N. Thiessen, J. Washington, H. Yu and J. G. C. Veinot, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 80–89.
- 30 M. Dasog, G. B. De los Reyes, L. V. Titova, F. A. Hegmann and J. G. C. Veinot, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 9636–9648.
- 31 M. Dasog, J. Kehrle, B. Rieger and J. G. C. Veinot, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 2322–2339.
- 32 M. Dasog and J. G. C. Veinot, *Phys. Status Solidi B*, 2014, **251**, 2216–2220.
- 33 M. Dasog, Z. Yang, S. Regli, T. M. Atkins, A. Faramus, M. P. Singh, E. Muthuswamy, S. M. Kauzlarich, R. D. Tilley and J. G. C. Veinot, *ACS Nano*, 2013, **7**, 2676–2685.
- 34 G. B. De los Reyes, M. Dasog, M. Na, L. V. Titova, J. G. C. Veinot and F. A. Hegmann, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **17**, 30125–30133.
- 35 C. M. Gonzalez and J. G. C. Veinot, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2016, **4**, 4836–4846.
- 36 C. M. Hessel, E. J. Henderson and J. G. C. Veinot, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2007, **111**, 6956–6961.
- 37 M. A. Islam, M. H. Mobarok, R. Sinelnikov, T. K. Purkait and J. G. C. Veinot, *Langmuir*, 2017, **33**, 8766–8773.
- 38 M. Jakob, M. Javadi, J. G. C. Veinot, A. Meldrum, A. Kartouzian and U. Heiz, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2019, **25**, 3061–3067.
- 39 J. Kehrle, S. Kaiser, T. K. Purkait, M. Winnacker, T. Helbich, S. Vagin, J. G. C. Veinot and B. Rieger, *Nanoscale*, 2017, **9**, 8489–8495.
- 40 J. A. Kelly, A. M. Shukaliak, M. D. Fleischauer and J. G. C. Veinot, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 9564–9571.
- 41 J. A. Kelly and J. G. C. Veinot, *ACS Nano*, 2010, **4**, 4645–4656.
- 42 A. Marinins, R. Zandi Shafagh, W. van der Wijngaart, T. Haraldsson, J. Linnros, J. G. C. Veinot, S. Popov and I. Sychugov, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 30267–30272.
- 43 T. K. Purkait, M. Iqbal, M. H. Wahl, K. Gottschling, C. M. Gonzalez, M. A. Islam and J. G. C. Veinot, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 17914–17917.
- 44 F. Sangghaleh, I. Sychugov, Z. Yang, J. G. C. Veinot and J. Linnros, *ACS Nano*, 2015, **9**, 7097–7104.
- 45 R. Sinelnikov, M. Dasog, J. Beamish, A. Meldrum and J. G. C. Veinot, *ACS Photonics*, 2017, **4**, 1920–1929.
- 46 I. Sychugov, A. Fucikova, F. Pevere, Z. Yang, J. G. C. Veinot and J. Linnros, *ACS Photonics*, 2014, **1**, 998–1005.
- 47 A. N. Thiessen, L. Zhang, A. O. Oliynyk, H. Yu, K. M. O'Connor, A. Meldrum and J. G. C. Veinot, *Chem. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 6838–6846.
- 48 J. G. Veinot, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 4160–4168, DOI: [10.1039/b607476f](https://doi.org/10.1039/b607476f).
- 49 Z. Yang, M. Dasog, A. R. Dobbie, R. Lockwood, Y. Zhi, A. Meldrum and J. G. C. Veinot, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2014, **24**, 1345–1353.
- 50 Z. Yang, G. B. De los Reyes, L. V. Titova, I. Sychugov, M. Dasog, J. Linnros, F. A. Hegmann and J. G. C. Veinot, *ACS Photonics*, 2015, **2**, 595–605.
- 51 Z. Yang, C. M. Gonzalez, T. K. Purkait, M. Iqbal, A. Meldrum and J. G. C. Veinot, *Langmuir*, 2015, **31**, 10540–10548.
- 52 Z. Yang, M. Iqbal, A. R. Dobbie and J. G. Veinot, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 17595–17601.
- 53 D. Beri, D. Busko, A. Mazilkin, I. A. Howard, B. S. Richards and A. Turshatov, *RSC Adv.*, 2018, **8**, 9979–9984.
- 54 D. Beri, M. Jakoby, D. Busko, B. S. Richards and A. Turshatov, *Front. Chem.*, 2020, **8**, 567.
- 55 D. Beri, M. Jakoby, I. A. Howard, D. Busko, B. S. Richards and A. Turshatov, *Dalton Trans.*, 2020, **49**, 2290–2299.
- 56 D. Chen, W. Sun, C. Qian, L. M. Reyes, A. P. Y. Wong, Y. Dong, J. Jia, K. K. Chen and G. A. Ozin, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, **26**, 5102–5110.
- 57 K. K. Chen, M. L. Mastronardi, C. Kübel and G. A. Ozin, *Part. Part. Syst. Charact.*, 2015, **32**, 301–306.
- 58 D. O. Faulkner, J. J. McDowell, A. J. Price, D. D. Perovic, N. P. Kherani and G. A. Ozin, *Laser Photonics Rev.*, 2012, **6**, 802–806.
- 59 E. J. Henderson, A. J. Shuhendler, P. Prasad, V. Baumann, F. Maier-Flaig, D. O. Faulkner, U. Lemmer, X. Y. Wu and G. A. Ozin, *Small*, 2011, **7**, 2507–2516.
- 60 F. Maier-Flaig, E. J. Henderson, S. Valouch, S. Klinkhammer, C. Kübel, G. A. Ozin and U. Lemmer, *Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **405**, 175–180.
- 61 F. Maier-Flaig, J. Rinck, M. Stephan, T. Bocksrocker, M. Bruns, C. Kübel, A. K. Powell, G. A. Ozin and U. Lemmer, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 475–480.
- 62 M. L. Mastronardi, K. K. Chen, K. Liao, G. Casillas and G. A. Ozin, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **119**, 826–834.



- 63 M. L. Mastronardi, E. J. Henderson, D. P. Puzzo, Y. Chang, Z. B. Wang, M. G. Helander, J. Jeong, N. P. Kherani, Z. Lu and G. A. Ozin, *Small*, 2012, **8**, 3647–3654.
- 64 M. L. Mastronardi, E. J. Henderson, D. P. Puzzo and G. A. Ozin, *Adv. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 5890–5898.
- 65 M. L. Mastronardi, F. Hennrich, E. J. Henderson, F. Maier-Flaig, C. Blum, J. Reichenbach, U. Lemmer, C. Kubel, D. Wang, M. M. Kappes and G. A. Ozin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 11928–11931.
- 66 C. Qian, W. Sun, L. Wang, C. Chen, K. Liao, W. Wang, J. Jia, B. D. Hatton, G. Casillas, M. Kurylowicz, C. M. Yip, M. L. Mastronardi and G. A. Ozin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 15849–15852.
- 67 W. Sun, C. Qian, K. K. Chen and G. A. Ozin, *ChemNanoMat*, 2016, **2**, 847–855.
- 68 W. Sun, C. Qian, X. S. Cui, L. Wang, M. Wei, G. Casillas, A. S. Helmy and G. A. Ozin, *Nanoscale*, 2016, **8**, 3678–3684.
- 69 W. Sun, C. Qian, M. L. Mastronardi, M. Wei and G. A. Ozin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 11361–11363.
- 70 W. Sun, C. Qian, L. Wang, M. Wei, M. L. Mastronardi, G. Casillas, J. Brey and G. A. Ozin, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 746–749.
- 71 M. L. Mastronardi, F. Maier-Flaig, D. Faulkner, E. J. Henderson, C. Kubel, U. Lemmer and G. A. Ozin, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**, 337–342.
- 72 M. Sefannaser, S. A. Thomas, K. J. Anderson, R. J. Petersen, S. L. Brown, P. R. Boudjouk, T. A. Pringle and E. K. Hobbie, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2021, **125**, 5824–5831.
- 73 F. Pevere, F. Sangghaleh, B. Bruhn, I. Sychugov and J. Linnros, *ACS Photonics*, 2018, **5**, 2990–2996.
- 74 K. Kůsová, T. Popelář, I. Pelant, G. Morselli, S. Angeloni and P. Ceroni, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2021, **125**, 2055–2063.
- 75 R. J. Petersen, S. A. Thomas, K. J. Anderson, T. A. Pringle, S. May and E. K. Hobbie, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2022, **126**, 12935–12943.
- 76 F. Romano, S. Angeloni, G. Morselli, R. Mazzaro, V. Morandi, J. R. Shell, X. Cao, B. W. Pogue and P. Ceroni, *Nanoscale*, 2020, **12**, 7921–7926.
- 77 F. Romano, Y. Yu, B. A. Korgel, G. Bergamini and P. Ceroni, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 2016, **374**, 53.
- 78 G. M. Carroll, R. Limpens and N. R. Neale, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 3118–3124.
- 79 K. Dohnalová, T. Gregorkiewicz and K. Kůsová, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter*, 2014, **26**, 173201.
- 80 K. Dohnalová, P. Hapala, K. Kůsová and I. Infante, *Chem. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 6326–6337.
- 81 K. Kůsová, *Phys. Status Solidi A*, 2018, **215**, 1700718.
- 82 R. Mazzaro, A. Gradone, S. Angeloni, G. Morselli, P. G. Cozzi, F. Romano, A. Vomiero and P. Ceroni, *ACS Photonics*, 2019, **6**, 2303–2311.
- 83 B. Ghosh, M. Takeguchi, J. Nakamura, Y. Nemoto, T. Hamaoka, S. Chandra and N. Shirahata, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 36951.
- 84 Q. Li, Y. He, J. Chang, L. Wang, H. Chen, Y.-W. Tan, H. Wang and Z. Shao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 14924–14927.
- 85 S. Terada, H. Ueda, T. Ono and K.-I. Saitow, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2022, **10**, 1765–1776.
- 86 A. B. Sieval, R. Linke, H. Zuilhof and E. J. R. Sudhölter, *Adv. Mater.*, 2000, **12**, 1457–1460.
- 87 N. S. Bhairamadgi, S. P. Pujari, F. G. Trovela, A. Debrassi, A. A. Khamis, J. M. Alonso, A. A. Al Zahrani, T. Wennekes, H. A. Al-Turaif, C. van Rijn, Y. A. Alhamed and H. Zuilhof, *Langmuir*, 2014, **30**, 5829–5839.
- 88 M. Dworschak, N. Kohlmann, F. Matějka, P. Galář, L. Kienle, J. Schäfer and J. Benedikt, *Plasma Processes Polym.*, 2023, **20**(2), 2200129.
- 89 P. Wollny, J. Menser, L. Engelmann, J. Sellmann, C. Schulz, H. Wiggers, A. Kempf and I. Wlokas, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2023, **453**, 139695.
- 90 N. S. Seroka, R. T. Taziwa and L. Khotseng, *Appl. Sci.*, 2022, **12**, 2310.
- 91 F. K. Başak and E. Kayahan, *Opt. Mater.*, 2022, **124**, 111990.
- 92 Y. Park, J. Yoo, M.-H. Kang, W. Kwon and J. Joo, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2019, **7**, 6271–6292.
- 93 P. Singh, S. Srivastava and S. K. Singh, *ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng.*, 2019, **5**, 4882–4898.
- 94 A. D. Beck, S. Haufe, J. Tillmann and S. R. Waldvogel, *ChemElectroChem*, 2022, **9**, e202101374.
- 95 I. T. Cheong, J. Mock, M. Kallergi, E. Groß, A. Meldrum, B. Rieger, M. Becherer and J. G. C. Veinot, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2022, **11**, 2201834.
- 96 J. Zhou, J. Huang, H. Chen, A. Samanta, J. Linnros, Z. Yang and I. Sychugov, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2021, **12**, 8909–8916.
- 97 S. Terada, Y. Xin and K.-I. Saitow, *Chem. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 8382–8392.
- 98 D. S. English, L. E. Pell, Z. Yu, P. F. Barbara and B. A. Korgel, *Nano Lett.*, 2002, **2**, 681–685.
- 99 A. Fermi, M. Locritani, G. Di Carlo, M. Pizzotti, S. Caramori, Y. Yu, B. A. Korgel, G. Bergamini and P. Ceroni, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2015, **185**, 481–495.
- 100 B. J. Furey, B. J. Stacy, T. Shah, R. M. Barba-Barba, R. Carriles, A. Bernal, B. S. Mendoza, B. A. Korgel and M. C. Downer, *ACS Nano*, 2022, **16**, 6023–6033.
- 101 C. M. Hessel, D. Reid, M. G. Panthani, M. R. Rasch, B. W. Goodfellow, J. W. Wei, H. Fujii, V. Akhavan and B. A. Korgel, *Chem. Mater.*, 2012, **24**, 393–401.
- 102 J. D. Holmes, K. J. Ziegler, R. C. Doty, L. E. Pell, K. P. Johnston and B. A. Korgel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 3743–3748.
- 103 M. Locritani, Y. Yu, G. Bergamini, M. Baroncini, J. K. Molloy, B. A. Korgel and P. Ceroni, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2014, **5**, 3325–3329.
- 104 R. Mazzaro, M. Locritani, J. K. Molloy, M. Montalti, Y. Yu, B. A. Korgel, G. Bergamini, V. Morandi and P. Ceroni, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 4390–4397.
- 105 L. Ravotto, Q. Chen, Y. Ma, S. A. Vinogradov, M. Locritani, G. Bergamini, F. Negri, Y. Yu, B. A. Korgel and P. Ceroni, *Chem*, 2017, **2**, 550–560.
- 106 Y. Yu, G. Fan, A. Fermi, R. Mazzaro, V. Morandi, P. Ceroni, D.-M. Smilgies and B. A. Korgel, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2017, **121**, 23240–23248.



- 107 Y. Yu and B. A. Korgel, *Langmuir*, 2015, **31**, 6532–6537.
- 108 X. Du and Z. Huang, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 1227–1243.
- 109 C. Chatgililoglu, C. Ferreri, Y. Landais and V. I. Timokhin, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 6516–6572.
- 110 B. F. P. McVey and R. D. Tilley, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2014, **47**, 3045–3051.
- 111 M. R. Linfoord and C. E. D. Chidsey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 12631–12632.
- 112 J. M. Buriak, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **102**, 1271–1308.
- 113 C. Coletti, A. Marrone, G. Giorgi, A. Sgamellotti, G. Cerofolini and N. Re, *Langmuir*, 2006, **22**, 9949–9956.
- 114 H. Zai, Y. Zhao, S. Chen, L. Ge, C. Chen, Q. Chen and Y. Li, *Nano Res.*, 2018, **11**, 2544–2552.
- 115 M. L. Kantam, S. Laha, J. Yadav, P. R. Likhar, B. Sreedhar and B. M. Choudary, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2007, **349**, 1797–1802.
- 116 R. J. Hofmann, M. Vlatković and F. Wiesbrock, *Polymers*, 2017, **9**, 534.
- 117 I. W. Moran and K. R. Carter, *Langmuir*, 2009, **25**, 9232–9239.
- 118 C. O. Kappe and D. Dallinger, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2006, **5**, 51–63.
- 119 M. Baghbanzadeh, L. Carbone, P. D. Cozzoli and C. O. Kappe, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 11312–11359.
- 120 R. Boukherroub, A. Petit, A. Loupy, J.-N. Chazalviel and F. Ozanam, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2003, **107**, 13459–13462.
- 121 E. Binetti, M. Striccoli, T. Sibillano, C. Giannini, R. Brescia, A. Falqui, R. Comparelli, M. Corricelli, R. Tommasi, A. Agostiano and M. L. Curri, *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **16**, 055007.
- 122 L. Qi and J. Rongchao, *Nanotechnol. Rev.*, 2017, **6**, 601–612.
- 123 X.-B. Shen, B. Song, B. Fang, X. Yuan, Y.-Y. Li, S.-Y. Wang, S.-J. Ji and Y. He, *Nano Res.*, 2019, **12**, 315–322.
- 124 C. Mongin, S. Garakyaraghi, N. Razgoniaeva, M. Zamkov and F. N. Castellano, *Science*, 2016, **351**, 369–372.
- 125 C. Mongin, P. Moroz, M. Zamkov and F. N. Castellano, *Nat. Chem.*, 2018, **10**, 225–230.
- 126 P. Xia, E. K. Raulerson, D. Coleman, C. S. Gerke, L. Mangolini, M. L. Tang and S. T. Roberts, *Nat. Chem.*, 2020, **12**, 137–144.
- 127 D. Roy, C. Fouzder, A. Mukhuty, S. Pal, M. K. Mondal, R. Kundu and P. Chowdhury, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2019, **30**, 1575–1583.
- 128 D. Soujon, K. Becker, A. L. Rogach, J. Feldmann, H. Weller, D. V. Talapin and J. M. Lupton, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2007, **111**, 11511–11515.
- 129 S. Sarwat, F. J. Stapleton, M. D. P. Willcox, P. B. O'Mara, R. D. Tilley, J. J. Gooding and M. Roy, *Nanomaterials*, 2022, **12**, 1965.
- 130 N. Wei, Y.-C. Sun, X.-F. Guo and H. Wang, *Microchim. Acta*, 2022, **189**, 329.
- 131 G. Morselli, F. Romano and P. Ceroni, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2020, **222**, 108–121.
- 132 Y. Nakahara, K. Machiya, T. Sato, N. T. Nwe, T. Furuike, H. Tamura and K. Kimura, *Chem. Lett.*, 2013, **42**, 498–500.
- 133 R. Mazzaro and A. Vomiero, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2018, **8**, 1801903.
- 134 S. Ren, C. Shou, S. Jin, G. Chen, S. Han, Z. Chen, X. Chen, S. Yang, Y. Guo and C.-C. Tu, *ACS Photonics*, 2021, **8**, 2392–2399.
- 135 L. Xu, Y.-N. Zhang, X.-H. Ji and Z.-K. He, *Chem. Pap.*, 2019, **73**, 1753–1759.
- 136 J. Zhou, R. Zhao, S. Liu, L. Feng, W. Li, F. He, S. Gai and P. Yang, *Small Methods*, 2021, **5**, 2100812.
- 137 F. Ma, J. Luo, X. Li, S. Liu, M. Yang and X. Chen, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2021, **249**, 119343.
- 138 J. Liu, J. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Y. Wang, M. Wang, Z. Li, G. Wang and X. Su, *Talanta*, 2022, **237**, 122956.
- 139 H. Yamada, N. Saitoh, B. Ghosh, Y. Masuda, N. Yoshizawa and N. Shirahata, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2020, **124**, 23333–23342.
- 140 İ. N. G. Özbilgin, T. Yamazaki, J. Watanabe, H.-T. Sun, N. Hanagata and N. Shirahata, *Langmuir*, 2022, **38**, 5188–5196.
- 141 P. Xia, J. Schwan, T. W. Dugger, L. Mangolini and M. L. Tang, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2021, **9**, 2100453.
- 142 H. Xia, J. Hu, J. Tang, K. Xu, X. Hou and P. Wu, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, **6**, 36794.
- 143 R. Bakalova, H. Ohba, Z. Zhelev, T. Nagase, R. Jose, M. Ishikawa and Y. Baba, *Nano Lett.*, 2004, **4**, 1567–1573.
- 144 D. Kovalev, E. Gross, N. Künzner, F. Koch, V. Y. Timoshenko and M. Fujii, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2002, **89**, 137401.
- 145 M. Fujii, S. Minobe, M. Usui, S. Hayashi, E. Gross, J. Diener and D. Kovalev, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2004, **70**, 085311.
- 146 D. Kovalev and M. Fujii, *Adv. Mater.*, 2005, **17**, 2531–2544.
- 147 D. Kovalev, E. Gross, J. Diener, V. Y. Timoshenko and M. Fujii, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2004, **85**, 3590–3592.
- 148 L. Cheng, C. Wang, L. Feng, K. Yang and Z. Liu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 10869–10939.
- 149 L. Wang, D. Xu, J. Gao, X. Chen, Y. Duo and H. Zhang, *Sci. China: Mater.*, 2020, **63**, 1631–1650.
- 150 X. Y. Wong, A. Sena-Torralba, R. Álvarez-Diduk, K. Muthoosamy and A. Merkoçi, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 2585–2627.
- 151 L. A. Osminkina, M. B. Gongalsky, A. V. Motuzuk, V. Y. Timoshenko and A. A. Kudryavtsev, *Appl. Phys. B*, 2011, **105**, 665–668.
- 152 A. Ronchi, P. Brazzo, M. Sassi, L. Beverina, J. Pedrini, F. Meinardi and A. Monguzzi, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, **21**, 12353–12359.
- 153 T. Huang, T. T. Koh, J. Schwan, T. T. Tran, P. Xia, K. Wang, L. Mangolini, M. L. Tang and S. T. Roberts, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 6737–6746.

