


Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2024, 15, 1204Received 12th October 2023
Accepted 13th December 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3sc05400d

rsc.li/chemical-science

Transformations of carbohydrate derivatives enabled by photocatalysis and visible light photochemistry

Daniel J. Gorelik,[†] Shrey P. Desai,[†] Sofia Jdanova,[†] Julia A. Turner[†]
and Mark S. Taylor ^{*}

This review article highlights the diverse ways in which recent developments in the areas of photocatalysis and visible light photochemistry are impacting synthetic carbohydrate chemistry. The major topics covered are photocatalytic glycosylations, generation of radicals at the anomeric position, transformations involving radical formation at non-anomeric positions, additions to glycals, processes initiated by photocatalytic hydrogen atom transfer from sugars, and functional group interconversions at OH and SH groups. Factors influencing stereo- and site-selectivity in these processes, along with mechanistic aspects, are discussed.

1. Introduction

Carbohydrates have their origins in photochemistry: the fixation of CO₂ by photoautotrophs drives life on our planet and yields sugars as the most abundant renewable chemical feedstocks. Making use of energy from light to enable transformations that are kinetically and/or thermodynamically unfavorable offers significant potential to advance the synthetic chemistry of carbohydrates; indeed, photochemical transformations of sugars have been explored for decades.¹ However,

because carbohydrates typically do not absorb light in the visible region, such methods required either the use of high-energy ultraviolet (UV) light or the covalent installation of photoactive groups.

Photoredox catalysis provides a general approach for harnessing the energy of incident photons for transformations of substrates that do not possess a chromophore suitable for direct excitation.² The development and applications of this transformative synthetic technology have been detailed in review articles.³ In brief, absorption of light by a photocatalyst results in an excited state that is capable of acting as either an oxidant or a reductant by participating in a single electron transfer (SET) event with a suitable substrate. Intermediates generated by the redox process (usually radical species) can be

Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, 80 St. George St., Toronto, ON M5S 3H6, Canada. E-mail: mtaylor@chem.utoronto.ca

[†] These authors contributed equally.



Daniel J. Gorelik

Daniel Gorelik received his BSc and PhD degrees from the University of Toronto. His doctoral research with Prof. Mark S. Taylor was focused on the development of methods for site-selective functionalization of carbohydrates. He is a post-doctoral researcher at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Department of Chemistry in the group of Prof. Alison Wendlandt.



Shrey P. Desai

Shrey P. Desai received a BSc in Biochemistry from York University where he conducted his Honours Thesis work with Professor Arturo Orellana on pyridine functionalization. He started his PhD studies with Professor Mark S. Taylor in 2019 at the University of Toronto. His doctoral research is focused on applications of organoboron catalysis and visible light photocatalysis for a variety of transformations, including epoxide ring-openings, conjugate additions, glycosylations, and cycloadditions.



exploited in diverse ways to enable new reactivity. A subsequent SET step with an intermediate or reagent takes place to close the photoredox cycle. An alternative mode of activation is energy transfer photocatalysis, wherein an interaction between the substrate and the excited-state photocatalyst results in indirect excitation of the former.⁴ For the transformations of carbohydrate derivatives described in this review, it is the photoredox catalysis manifold, rather than energy transfer photocatalysis, that generally predominates.

Photocatalysts employed in the transformations described in this review are depicted in Fig. 1, along with the available excited-state redox potentials,^{5–8} which often serve as important reference data for researchers in the area. For example, catalysts with high excited-state reduction potentials $E_{1/2}^*(\text{red})$ (e.g., **Ir-2**, **Acr-1⁺**, **Acr-2⁺**) serve as potent photooxidants, while those with high negative excited-state oxidation potentials $E_{1/2}^*(\text{ox})$ (e.g., **fac-Ir(ppy)₃**) are strong photoreductants.

Advances in visible light photocatalysis have sparked interest in transformations that proceed *via* direct excitation of substrate-derived species (e.g., electron donor–acceptor (EDA) or charge-transfer complexes⁹) or transition metal complexes¹⁰ by visible light, in the absence of a photosensitizer; applications




Fig. 1 Representative photocatalysts. Reported excited state redox potentials (versus the standard calomel electrode, SCE) are listed.



Sofia Jdanova

Sofia Jdanova received her BSc from the University of Toronto in 2019. She is currently pursuing her PhD at the University of Toronto under the supervision of Prof. Mark Taylor. Her research focuses on the development and mechanistic study of transition metal-catalyzed, site-selective functionalizations of carbohydrate derivatives.



Julia A. Turner

Julia A. Turner received a BSc in Biochemistry and Chemistry from Western University in 2019, where she completed a thesis with Prof. Robert H. E. Hudson synthesizing fluorescent nucleobase analogs. She started her PhD at the University of Toronto in 2019 under the supervision of Prof. Mark S. Taylor. Her work is focused on methodology development and computational study of site-selective radical formation on carbohydrates via photoredox and hydrogen atom transfer co-catalysis.

carbohydrates via photoredox and hydrogen atom transfer co-catalysis.



Mark S. Taylor

Mark S. Taylor earned his BSc degree from the University of Toronto in 2000, followed by a PhD in Chemistry at Harvard University in 2005, where he conducted research on enantioselective catalysis under the guidance of Prof. Eric Jacobsen. After postdoctoral research with Prof. Timothy Swager at MIT, he returned to the University of Toronto in 2007 to take a faculty position in the Department of Chemistry. Prof. Taylor has

mentored more than 45 graduate students conducting research in the areas of catalysis, carbohydrate chemistry and physical organic chemistry.




overview of the applications of visible light photocatalysis and photochemistry to carbohydrate-derived substrates. The major topics covered are photocatalytic glycosylations, generation of radicals at the anomeric position, transformations involving radical formation at non-anomeric positions, additions to glycols, processes initiated by photocatalytic hydrogen atom transfer from sugars, and functional group interconversions at OH and SH groups. We anticipate that this relatively broad coverage will provide a distinct type of perspective from more specialized reviews discussing photochemical glycosylations,¹¹ the chemistry of anomeric radicals,¹² biomimetic radical reactions of sugars¹³ and photocatalytic C–H and C–C bond activation of carbohydrate derivatives.¹⁴ While a representative carbohydrate derivative may be included among a panel of structurally diverse substrates to explore the scope and limitations of a method, the focus here is on studies that have examined the reactivity of carbohydrate-derived substrates in depth.

2. Activation of glycosyl donors

Glycosylation, the formation of a covalent linkage to the anomeric position of a sugar by nucleophilic substitution, is the key step in the synthesis of oligosaccharides, steroidal glycosides, glycoconjugates and carbohydrate analogs. Synthetic methodology development for glycosylation centers around the identification of leaving groups that can be displaced from the anomeric carbon under appropriate activation conditions. Considerations include stereoselectivity (α - versus β -glycoside formation), ease of preparation and handling of the glycosyl donor (the precursor to the anomeric electrophile), and tuning of its reactivity to enable sequential or one-pot glycosylations (e.g., through ‘arming’ or ‘disarming’ *via* protective group variation).¹⁵

Photoredox catalysis and visible light photochemistry have been employed to generate reactive electrophiles through oxidation of a functional group at the anomeric position of a glycosyl donor. Because this topic has been reviewed in depth elsewhere,¹¹ representative examples have been selected to illustrate the approach. Photoacid generation, which takes advantage of the activity of Brønsted acids as catalysts for glycosyl donor activation,¹⁶ is also described in the above-mentioned reviews and will not be covered here.

Reactions of water or alcohols with aryl glycosides¹⁷ or thioglycosides¹⁸ under UV irradiation in the presence of dicyanoarenes (1,4-dicyanobenzene with phenanthroline as photosensitizer, or 1,4-dicyanonaphthalene), were reported more than three decades ago. The proposed mechanisms — photoinduced electron transfer from the aromatic aglycon to generate a radical cation, nucleophilic displacement of a (thio) phenoxy radical, and reduction of the latter by the dicyanoarene radical anion — incorporate elements of what would now be termed a photoredox catalysis process. These studies established a conceptual foundation for activation of glycosyl donors through light-promoted oxidation of an electron-rich anomeric substituent, but were not adopted as preparative methods, likely due to the requirement for UV irradiation and an excess of




Scheme 1 O-Glycosylations *via* photoredox catalysis reported by: (a) Crich and co-workers;²⁰ (b) Bowers and co-workers;²¹ Ragains and co-workers;²² (d) Ye and co-workers.²³ PMP denotes 4-methoxyphenyl; Ir-1 denotes [Ir(dF(CF₃))₂(dtbbpy)]PF₆.

the glycosyl acceptor (generally water or methanol). Analogous reactivity of aryl selenoglycosides upon UV irradiation was reported by the groups of Furuta and Iwamura,¹⁹ and Crich (Scheme 1a),²⁰ using pyrylium and quinolinium photocatalysts, respectively. These contributions included examples of disaccharide synthesis using protected glycoside acceptors.

Applications of modern visible light photocatalysis to glycosylation were reported by the groups of Bowers and Ragains in 2013. Bowers and co-workers found that upon irradiation with a blue light-emitting diode (LED) in the presence of photocatalyst **Ir-1**, substoichiometric BrCX₃ (X = Cl or Br) and hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP, 10 equiv.) in acetonitrile, *para*-methoxyphenyl (PMP) thioglycosides underwent glycosidation reactions with various primary, secondary and tertiary alcohols (Scheme 1b).²¹ A proposed photocatalytic cycle is depicted in Scheme 2. SET from excited-state **Ir-1** to BrCX₃ results in a strongly oxidizing Ir(IV) species that accepts an electron from the aryl thioglycoside. Expulsion of thiyl radical forms an oxacarbenium ion or related electrophilic species,²⁴ which reacts with the glycosyl acceptor. Because the released thiyl radical can serve as an oxidative quencher for **Ir-1** in subsequent turnovers of the photoredox cycle, a stoichiometric quantity of BrCX₃ was





Scheme 2 Proposed mechanism for the *O*-glycosylation shown in Scheme 1b.

not needed. β -Configured PMP thioglycosides derived from benzyl or methyl ether-protected glucopyranose, as well as a 2,6-dideoxypyranose, were employed as donors. The authors proposed that epimerization under the acidic conditions of the reaction was responsible for the formation of mixtures of anomers.


The Ragains group's approach to glycosylation *via* photoredox catalysis employed phenyl selenoglycoside donors, along with $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3(\text{PF}_6)_2$ as the photocatalyst, tetrabromomethane and 2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4-methylpyridine (DTBMP, Scheme 1c).²² A per-*O*-benzylated β -glucopyranoside donor was employed, forming α -glycosides as the major products. The mechanism likely involves oxidation of the excited-state photocatalyst by CBr_4 , followed by SET from the selenoglycoside. Nucleophilic substitution at the anomeric center *via* loss of the phenylseleno radical results in glycosidic bond formation. A variant of the protocol was developed using $(\text{PhSe})_2$ in place of the $\text{Ru}(\text{II})$ photocatalyst (seven examples of *gluco* or *galacto* configuration, 33–72% yield).

Ye and co-workers have also explored the combination of a photoredox catalyst, electron-accepting reagent and thioglycoside for *O*-glycosylation of alcohols.²³ The optimized protocol employed catalytic $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3(\text{PF}_6)_2$, Umemoto's reagent (1) and copper(II) triflate under visible light irradiation (Scheme 1d). Glycosylations using sialyl donors,²⁵ as well as couplings of aryl glycosides rather than thioglycosides, have been achieved by Ye's group using related protocols.²⁶ In the latter study, syntheses of a variety of *O*- and *N*-glycosides were demonstrated, using *gluco*-, *galacto*- and *manno*-configured donors. 1,2-*trans*-Configured glycosides were obtained selectively with 'disarmed' per-*O*-acylated donors, and tolerance of protective groups that are employed frequently in carbohydrate chemistry (esters, benzyl ethers, acetals and ketals) was demonstrated. The proposed mechanism follows along the general lines of those discussed in the preceding paragraphs – namely, quenching of the excited-state photocatalyst by Umemoto's reagent, followed by oxidation of the anomeric substituent (thioaryl or aryloxy) by the resulting $\text{Ru}(\text{III})$ complex, and finally displacement of the thiyl or aryloxy radical to generate the glycoside. Control experiments suggested that the $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2$ additive served to

suppress a competing reaction of the trifluoromethyl radical with dibenzothiophene.


Ragains and co-workers found that 4-PMP-substituted 3-butenylthioglycosides were activated as glycosyl donors upon irradiation with blue LEDs in the presence of Umemoto's reagent in dichloromethane (Scheme 3).²⁷ Based on spectroscopic evidence and computational modelling, the authors proposed that the thioglycoside and Umemoto's reagent interacted to form an EDA complex capable of being excited by visible light. After SET, cyclization of the radical cation and recombination with trifluoromethyl radical resulted in the formation of a reactive glycosyl donor under conditions that did not cause activation of unfunctionalized thioglycosides. The method was applied to per-*O*-benzylated glucopyranosyl donors, as well as a tri-*O*-benzylated derivative having an acetate group at the 2-position. The former resulted in mixtures of anomers (roughly 1 : 1 α : β), while couplings of the latter were β -selective.

Visible light excitation of an EDA complex underlies a protocol for activation of methallyl glycosyl sulfones reported by Niu, Houk and co-workers in 2022.²⁸ The optimized conditions involve irradiation of the glycosyl donor in the presence of 1-iodoperfluorobutane ($\text{C}_4\text{F}_9\text{I}$), ammonium hydrogenphosphate and catalytic triphenylphosphine oxide (Scheme 4). The consistent 1,2-*cis*-stereoselectivity arising from couplings of 'armed' per-*O*-benzylated pyranosyl and furanosyl donors is a noteworthy aspect of this method. The initial stages of the proposed mechanism are reminiscent of that proposed by Ragains and co-workers for the transformation shown in Scheme 3,²⁷ with excitation of the halogen-bonded complex resulting in the formation of a perfluoroalkyl radical that adds to the alkene. Fragmentation of the β -sulfinyl radical, followed by loss of SO_2 , results in an anomeric radical (see the next section for a discussion of the reactivity of such species). Reaction of the latter with iodine atom generates a glycosyl iodide. The authors proposed that the 1,2-*cis*-stereoselectivity



Scheme 3 *O*-Glycosylation *via* excitation of the EDA complex of a thioglycoside with Umemoto's reagent (1). PMP denotes 4-methoxyphenyl.






Scheme 4 *O*-Glycosylation via excitation of the halogen-bonded complex between a glycosyl sulfone and 1-iodoperfluorobutane. Ar denotes 4-chlorophenyl.

arises from an associative substitution reaction of the β -configured glycosyl iodide, which is the more reactive of the pair of rapidly interconverting anomers. Computational modelling pointed towards a hydrogen bond between the acceptor OH group and the ether oxygen at C-2 as a stabilizing interaction in the transition state leading to the 1,2-*cis*-glycoside.

Wen and Crich employed *O*-glycosyl 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinoxides (Tempol glycosides, synthesized from the corresponding glycosyl fluorides) as donors in photocatalytic glycosylations using complex Ir-2 under irradiation by blue LEDs (Scheme 5a).²⁹ Unlike the blue light-mediated processes shown in Scheme 1b–d, this protocol did not require an electrophilic/reducing reagent, since the nitroxyl radical released in the glycosylation event was able to serve as an oxidant to close the photoredox cycle. Armed donors of *gluco*,




Scheme 5 Photocatalytic *O*-glycosylations reported by the groups of Crich²⁹ (a) and Opatz (b).³⁰ Ir-2 denotes [Ir(dF(CF₃)ppy)₂(d(CF₃)bpy)]PF₆.

manno or *galacto* configuration were employed. While the stereoselectivity at the anomeric position was generally modest, a dependence of the α : β ratio on the configuration of the starting Tempol glycoside 2 was observed, consistent with an associative pathway for the displacement of the radical leaving group after oxidation.


A distinct reagent combination for photocatalytic glycosylation was disclosed by Opatz and co-workers, who used I₂ (2.5 mol%) to activate aryl thioglycosides upon irradiation with a white household LED bulb in acetonitrile under an oxygen atmosphere (Scheme 5b).³⁰ Armed glycosyl donors gave good yields and modest stereoselectivities, while disarmed donors reacted more sluggishly (<40% yield) but with 1,2-*trans* stereoselectivity. The authors proposed two mechanisms, both of which were supported by experimental observations: an ionic pathway, in which I₂ served as the electrophilic activator for the thioglycoside, with the released iodide being reoxidized by photogenerated singlet oxygen; and a SET pathway, wherein the thioglycoside was oxidized by triplet oxygen (generated by photosensitization with I₂), followed by expulsion of the thiyl radical in the glycosylation step.

Visible light excitation of catalytic copper complexes has been used to achieve the synthesis of 1,2-*cis*-configured *O*-glycosides from glycosyl bromides (Scheme 6).³¹ Gluco- and galactopyranosyl donors bearing benzyl ether groups at the 2-position, as well as a 2-*O*-benzylated ribofuranosyl bromide, underwent stereoselective couplings with primary and secondary alcohols in the presence of catalytic copper(i) iodide, Xantphos and 4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline (BPhen). Experiments with added TEMPO and a 2-*O*-allyl-protected substrate capable of 5-*exo*-trig cyclization did not point to the intermediacy of a free anomeric radical. Subsequent computational studies suggested that a photoexcited Cu(i) complex



Scheme 6 Visible light-induced, copper-catalyzed *O*-glycosidic bond formation from glycosyl halides. BPhen denotes 4,7-diphenyl-1,10-phenanthroline; DTBMP denotes 2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4-methylpyridine.





Scheme 7 Photocatalytic synthesis of glycosyl fluorides and proposed reaction mechanism. PMP denotes 4-methoxyphenyl.

served to reduce a second equivalent of Cu(I) BPhen, forming an active Cu(0)-like species that engaged in a sequence of oxidative addition, ligand exchange, one-electron oxidation and reductive elimination to generate the *O*-glycoside in a stereospecific fashion.³² A simplified version of the calculated cycle is depicted in Scheme 6.


A mechanistically distinct pathway for photocatalytic substitution at the anomeric position was explored by Nagorny and co-workers, who developed a protocol for the synthesis of glycosyl fluorides from the corresponding hemiacetals.³³ The transformation was accomplished using SF₆ gas and Hünig's base, along with 4,4'-dimethoxybenzophenone as photocatalyst under irradiation at 365 nm in 1,2-dichloroethane (Scheme 7). The proposed mechanism involves photocatalytic generation of transient amounts of SF₄, a reagent capable of promoting deoxyfluorination, from SF₆ and iPr₂NEt. Tolerance for ester, ether (Bn and PMB) and benzylidene acetal groups, as well as glycosidic linkages, was demonstrated.

3. Anomeric radicals

Anomeric radicals serve as the basis for alternative approaches for glycosidic bond construction, offering distinct and potentially complementary features in comparison to the reactions of glycosyl electrophiles.³⁴ Foundational contributions from the group of Giese in the 1980s provided insight into the structure, bonding and reactivity of radicals arising from homolytic cleavage of an anomeric C–X bond.³⁵ By enabling access to anomeric radicals from a variety of precursors under mild conditions, photocatalysis has created new opportunities to develop synthetic methods that exploit the reactivity of these versatile intermediates.

3.1. Glycosidations *via* radical substitutions of glycosyl halides

The utility of photocatalysis for glycosyl radical formation was established by Gagné and co-workers in 2010, with the




Scheme 8 Photocatalytic synthesis of *C*-glycosides from glycosyl halides and proposed reaction mechanism.

demonstration of *C*-glycoside formation from glycosyl bromides and electrophilic alkenes upon irradiation with blue LEDs in the presence of [Ru(bpy)₃](BF₄)₂, iPr₂NEt and Hantzsch ester 3 (Scheme 8).³⁶ High α -stereoselectivities were observed for reactions of per-*O*-acylated gluco-, galacto- and mannopyranosyl halides, consistent with previously documented stereochemical outcomes of additions of anomeric radicals to alkenes.³⁵ In comparison to the protocol initially reported by Giese and Dupuis (UV photolysis in the presence of tributyltin hydride),^{35a} the photocatalytic procedure offers several practical advantages, which is notable given the prevalence of *C*-glycosides as natural product substructures and metabolically stable analogs of *O*-glycosides.³⁷ The authors' proposed mechanism involves SET from iPr₂NEt to the excited-state photocatalyst, priming the latter for reduction of the glycosyl halide. After addition of the anomeric radical to the alkene, the *C*-glycoside product is formed by hydrogen atom transfer to the resulting radical from either 3 or a trialkylamine-derived species (denoted R–H in Scheme 8). The Gagné group went on to develop a flow photo-reactor for couplings of glycosyl bromides with acrolein on scales as high as 18 mmol, and employed the products in the synthesis of *C*-linked glycopeptide and glycolipid analogs.³⁸

Photocatalytic activation of glycosyl halides has proved to be a versatile method for the synthesis of diverse types of *C*-glycosides. The use of **Ir-1** along with tris(trimethylsilyl)silane as a silyl radical precursor enabled alkenylations of α -aceto-bromoglucose with 2,2'-diaryl-substituted vinyl sulfones (Scheme 9a).³⁹ By using α -trifluoromethylstyrenes as radical trapping reagents, Hu and co-workers accomplished the photocatalytic synthesis of *gem*-difluoroalkene-substituted *C*-






Scheme 9 Photocatalytic reactions of glycosyl bromides with (a) vinyl sulfones;³⁹ (b) trifluoromethylstyrenes;⁴⁰ (c) nitrogen heterocycles;⁴¹ and (d) *N*-sulfonyl methacrylamides.⁴² Ir-1 denotes $[\text{Ir}(\text{dF}(\text{CF}_3)\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})]\text{PF}_6$; Ir-3 denotes $[\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})]\text{PF}_6$. PMP denotes 4-methoxyphenyl.

glycosides (Scheme 9b).⁴⁰ In addition to α -selective couplings of per-*O*-acetylated pyranosyl bromides, the authors demonstrated 1,2-*trans*-selective *C*-glycosylations of isopropylidene-protected furanosyl bromides. Nitrogen heterocycles have also been used as trapping agents for photocatalytically generated anomeric radicals, enabling the preparation of *C*-nucleoside analogs and other heterocyclic *C*-glycosides (*e.g.*, Scheme 9c).⁴¹ Triethylamine served as reductive quencher for the excited-state photocatalyst (see Scheme 8 for a related mechanism) and as base for rearomatization of the heterocycle after radical addition and single-electron reduction. Ester-protected furanosyl and pyranosyl bromides gave rise to *C*-glycosides with 1,2-*trans*-stereoselectivity, while the stereochemical outcomes of reactions of isopropylidene ketal-protected congeners were variable: ribo- and mannofuranosides gave 1,2-*cis*-selectivity, as shown in Scheme 9c, while lyxofuranosides and mannopyranosides favored the 1,2-*trans*-configured products. Trapping of anomeric radicals with *N*-sulfonyl methacrylamide derivatives results in intramolecular aryl transfer (Truce–Smiles-type rearrangement), followed by desulfonylation, generating functionalized *C*-glycosides (Scheme 9d).⁴² For the mechanism of glycosyl radical formation from $(\text{TMS})_3\text{SiOH}$ and base in the presence of a photoredox catalyst, see Scheme 15 below.

Reductive couplings with haloalkynes enable the synthesis of alkynyl *C*-glycosides from glycosyl halides. The protocol




Scheme 10 Reductive couplings of bromoalkynes with glycosyl bromides.

developed by Yu and co-workers employs the organic photocatalyst Eosin Y along with iPr_2NEt as the reductant, and is tolerant of ester and isopropylidene ketal protective groups (Scheme 10).⁴³ The authors proposed that the glycosyl radical arising from photooxidation and deprotonation of the trialkylamine base. Addition to the alkynyl bromide and expulsion of bromine atom forms the *C*-glycoside product, with photocatalyst turnover being accomplished by reduction of Br^\cdot to Br^- .


Reductive couplings of aryl bromides and glycosyl chlorides have been used to synthesize aryl *C*-glycosides. The protocol developed by Zhang, Niu and co-workers employs a $\text{Ni}(\text{II})$ complex and Ir-1 photocatalyst,⁴⁴ with Hantzsch ester 3 as the stoichiometric reductant (Scheme 11).⁴⁵ Per-*O*-acetylated pyranosyl chlorides were coupled with *para*-bromoanisole, giving access to 1,2-*trans*-configured *C*-aryl glycosides in yields ranging from 34% to 53%. Various bromoarenes and bromoheteroarenes were coupled with the glucopyranosyl chloride partner to furnish the corresponding β -*C*-glycosides. Alkoxy, alkyl and halo (F, Cl) substituents were tolerated at the *meta* and *para* positions, whereas examples bearing strong electron-withdrawing groups or *ortho* substituents were not reported. The proposed mechanism involves SET from the Hantzsch ester-derived radical cation results in the generation of a reductant capable of forming the glycosyl radical from the glycosyl chloride. The $\text{Ni}(\text{II})$ complex arising from oxidative addition to the bromoarene interacts with the glycosyl radical, enabling reductive elimination to form the *C*-glycoside. SET from reduced Ir-1 to the resulting $\text{Ni}(\text{I})$ intermediate closes the coupled catalytic cycles.





Scheme 11 Synergistic nickel/photoredox catalysis for reductive couplings of glycosyl chlorides with aryl bromides. Ir-1 denotes $[\text{Ir}(\text{dF}(\text{CF}_3)\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})]\text{PF}_6$; dtbbpy denotes 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine; TMG denotes 1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine; PMP denotes 4-methoxyphenyl.


Considering the relevance of 2-(α -mannopyranosyl)tryptophan as a naturally occurring *C*-glycosylpeptide moiety, the group of Goddard-Borger aimed to develop a method that would



Scheme 12 Photocatalytic coupling of 2-bromotryptophan derivatives with per-*O*-acetylated mannosyl halides. 4CzIPN denotes 1,2,3,5-tetrakis(carbazol-9-yl)-4,6-dicyanobenzene; dtbbpy denotes 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine; di(MeO)bpy denotes 4,4'-dimethoxy-2,2'-bipyridine.

enable late-stage incorporation of this motif into peptide substrates.⁴⁶ Evaluation of reaction conditions for the reductive coupling of acetobromomannose with a protected 2-bromotryptophan revealed that the transformation could be accomplished in 78% yield upon irradiation with violet LEDs (390 nm) in the presence of a nickel(II) complex, Hantzsch ester, iPr₂NEt and MgCl₂ in acetonitrile at room temperature (Scheme 12). Other aryl and heteroaryl bromides, including 2-bromotryptophan-containing dipeptides, underwent α -*C*-mannosylation under these conditions. The Hantzsch ester likely serves as a photoreductant for the glycosyl bromide, generating an anomeric radical that engages an arylnickel complex prior to C–C bond-forming reductive elimination. An alternative protocol was developed for *C*-mannosylations of more complex, 2-bromotryptophan-containing peptides; the 4CzIPN photocatalyst was employed in place of the Hantzsch ester, and a mannosyl chloride was used as the glycosylating reagent. These conditions were used to incorporate a 2-(α -mannopyranosyl)tryptophan moiety into a protected decapeptide in 30% yield.

Nickel catalysis has been used to synthesize *C*-alkyl glycosides from glycosyl bromides *via* reductive couplings with *N*-hydroxyphthalimide esters or *N*-alkylpyridinium salts (Scheme 13).⁴⁷ The procedure was applied to a range of primary and




Scheme 13 *C*-Glycosylation *via* couplings of glycosyl halides with redox-active electrophiles. bpp denotes 2,6-bis(pyrazol-1-yl)pyridine.



secondary redox-active electrophiles, including amino acid and peptide derivatives. The scope of glycosyl donors employed includes armed furanosyl and pyranosyl bromides or chlorides. The authors proposed that EDA complexes were formed from the redox-active electrophile, Hantzsch ester **3** and a Lewis basic additive (lithium iodide and triethylamine for the NHP esters and *N*-alkylpyridinium salts, respectively). Visible light excitation resulted in electron transfer from **3** to the redox-active electrophile, leading to fragmentation and alkyl radical formation. The radical cation derived from **3** served to reduce Ni(I) to Ni(0), which underwent oxidation to the glycosyl bromide. Reaction of the alkyl radical with the resulting glycosylnickel(II) complex, followed by reductive elimination, generated the alkyl *C*-glycoside product.


Visible light-induced, Pd-catalyzed Heck-type reactions have been employed as an alternative approach for the synthesis of *C*-glycosides.⁴⁸ Using a Pd(Xantphos) complex as catalyst under blue LED irradiation, ester-protected pyranosyl bromides underwent 1,2-*trans*-selective couplings with styrene derivatives to yield *C*-vinyl glycosides (Scheme 14). Isopropylidene-protected mannopyranosyl and mannofuranosyl donors also underwent stereoselective coupling. The authors proposed that oxidative addition of a photoexcited Pd(0) species provides access to the anomeric radical intermediate that engages the alkene to form the carbon-carbon bond.¹⁰

Wang and co-workers used thiosulfonates as trapping agents for anomeric radicals, enabling a photocatalytic synthesis of thioglycosides from glycosyl bromides (Scheme 15).⁴⁹ The authors found that tris(trimethylsilyl)silanol, in combination with K₃PO₄ and the 4ClCzIPN photocatalyst, a potent photo-reductant, resulted in efficient *S*-glycosylation upon blue light irradiation. The hydrodehalogenation product was obtained using other potential reductants such as iPr₂NEt, Hantzsch ester or ascorbic acid. The protocol yielded α -1,2-*cis*-configured thioglycosides from ester-protected gluco- and galactopyranosyl



Scheme 15 Photocatalytic synthesis of thioglycosides from glycosyl bromides. 4ClCzIPN denotes 1,2,3,5-tetrakis(3,6-dichlorocarbazol-9-yl)-4,6-dicyanobenzene.

bromides, whereas *manno*-configured bromides gave 1,2-*trans* stereoselectivity. An exception to this pattern was per-*O*-acetylated xylosyl bromide; the 1,2-*trans*-selectivity obtained for this substrate likely arises from axial attack on an anomeric radical in the ¹C₄ conformation.⁵⁰ Tolerance for benzyl, isopropylidene ketal, silyl ether protective groups and functionalized thiosulfonates, along with applications to the synthesis of *S*-furanosides and selenoglycosides, were demonstrated. The proposed mechanism for radical generation involves reduction of photoexcited 4ClCzIPN by the silanoate, followed by a Brook-type rearrangement to generate the silyl radical that engages in halogen atom transfer with the glycosyl halide. Trapping of the anomeric radical with the thiosulfonate releases a sulfonyl radical that serves as an oxidant to close the photocatalytic cycle.




Scheme 14 Visible light-induced, Pd-catalyzed coupling of glycosyl halides with styrene derivatives.

3.2. Other classes of glycosyl radical precursors

While glycosyl bromides show versatile reactivity as precursors to anomeric radicals, they are prone to hydrolysis and their synthesis often requires harsh reagents. Efforts have been made to identify alternative anomeric substituents that can be activated towards homolytic bond cleavage under photocatalytic conditions. In 2019, Wang, Zhang and co-workers disclosed a photocatalytic, decarboxylative reaction for the synthesis of furanosyl *C*-glycosides (Scheme 16a).⁵¹ In the presence of the organic photocatalyst 4CzIPN, a nickel salt and 2,2'-bipyridine (bpy), per-*O*-benzylated ribofuranose-1-carboxylic acid was coupled with a range of aryl, heteroaryl and vinyl halides. The requisite glycosyl-1-carboxylic acid starting material was synthesized in 30% overall yield from the per-*O*-benzylated glycosyl 1-acetate by *C*-glycosidation with cyanide followed by hydrolysis. The resulting β -*C*-glycoside products are of potential interest as nucleoside analogs. While most of the synthesized compounds were per-*O*-benzylated ribofuranosyl *C*-glycosides, the method was also used to synthesize a 2-deoxyfuranoside and a 'reversed' glycoside (see Section 4.2). The depicted





Scheme 16 Photocatalytic, decarboxylative couplings of glycosyl-1-carboxylates with (a) bromoarenes;⁵¹ (b) alkynes;⁵³ and (c) alkynylidone(III) reagents.⁵⁴ bpy denotes 2,2'-bipyridine; Ir-1 denotes [Ir(dF(CF₃)ppy)₂(dtbbpy)]PF₆; chxn denotes *trans*-1,2-diaminocyclohexane; Ir-2 denotes [Ir(dF(CF₃)ppy)₂(d(CF₃)bpy)]PF₆.

photocatalytic cycle draws on mechanistic proposals for related synergistic nickel/photocatalytic decarboxylative couplings.^{44,52}

C-Alkynyl glycosides have also been synthesized from glycosyl-1-carboxylates *via* decarboxylative couplings with terminal alkynes. Zhu and Messaoudi employed a copper(I) cocatalyst along with Ir-1, while irradiating with blue LEDs under an air atmosphere, to accomplish this transformation (Scheme 16b).⁵³ Similarly to the reaction shown in Scheme 16a, the method was primarily used to access per-*O*-benzylated β-*C*-ribofuranosides, but tolerance of alternative substitution patterns (2,3-*O*-isopropylidene ketal protection, deoxygenation at C2) was demonstrated, along with an example of reversed glycoside synthesis. The proposed mechanism for carbon-carbon bond formation involves reductive elimination from a Cu(III) species generated from the anomeric radical (produced *via* SET from photoexcited Ir-1 and the carboxylate as described in the preceding paragraph) and a Cu(II) acetylide. Oxidation by O₂ was invoked to close the photocatalytic cycle (Ir(II) to Ir(III)) and to generate the reactive copper acetylide (Cu(I) to Cu(II)).

An alternative approach to the synthesis of alkynyl *C*-glycosides from glycosyl-1-carboxylates was disclosed by the group of

Zhang, who used alkynylidone(III) reagents as coupling agents under irradiation with blue LEDs in the presence of Ir-2 (Scheme 16c).⁵⁴ Per-*O*-benzoylated ribofuranosyl-1-carboxylates were coupled with phenylacetylene derivatives to give β-*C*-alkynyl glycoside products. Stereoselective couplings of per-*O*-benzoylated furanosyl and pyranosyl donors were also demonstrated. The authors proposed that after addition of the glycosyl radical to the ethynylbenziodoxolone, the alkyne product was generated by elimination of an iodanyl radical, which underwent reduction with concomitant regeneration of the Ir-2 photocatalyst.

Diao and co-workers have explored dihydropyridine-(DHP)-derived glycosyl esters as precursors to anomeric radicals under photocatalytic conditions. The key preparative advantage is the ability to access the requisite substrates by esterification of readily available glycosyl hemiacetals. The group's initial report involved *C*-aryl glycoside synthesis from aryl halides in the presence of a Ni(II) complex (Scheme 17).⁵⁵ The proposed pathway for formation of the anomeric radical is depicted. Oxidation of the dihydropyridyl group by the excited photocatalyst, followed by deprotonation, results in a radical that can fragment with loss of a substituted pyridine. Further decarboxylative fragmentation results in the anomeric radical, which engages the Ni(II) aryl halide complex as shown previously (Schemes 11 and 16a). The reduced photocatalyst is responsible for reduction of Ni(I) to Ni(0). Manno- and ribofuranosides bearing isopropylidene ketal or ether protective groups at the 2- and 3-positions were coupled effectively to give β-configured products (1,2-*trans*-stereoselectivity). Reactions of pyranoside-




Scheme 17 Photocatalytic *C*-arylations of dihydropyridine-derived glycosyl esters. 4CzIPN denotes 1,2,3,5-tetrakis(carbazol-9-yl)-4,6-dicyanobenzene; bpy denotes 2,2'-bipyridine; Ar denotes 4-(MeO₂C)C₆H₄.



derived glycosyl esters were also conducted, with good yields being obtained for 2,3-*O*-isopropylidene-bearing, *manno*-configured substrates and 2-deoxy congeners, *versus* lower yields (>30%) for other protected gluco-, galacto- and mannopyranosides. Various aryl and heteroaryl halide partners could be employed, with the exception of readily oxidizable heterocycles (*e.g.*, furan and pyrrole derivatives).

A related method for the synthesis of *C*-acyl glycosides was reported by the Diao group in 2021 (Scheme 18a).⁵⁶ In place of the aryl bromide coupling partner, a carboxylic acid was used to acylate the anomeric radical in the presence of diethyl dicarbonate as activating reagent.⁵⁷ 1,2-*Trans* stereoselectivity was obtained with a variety of pyranosyl and furanosyl DHP esters, although strongly disarmed systems gave lower yields (*e.g.*, 23% for a 2,3,4,6-tetra-*O*-acetylated mannopyranoside DHP ester). The scope of carboxylic acid partners was likewise broad, including primary, secondary and tertiary aliphatic acids as well as benzoic acid derivatives.

DHP esters have also been employed as precursors to *C*-glycosyl amino acids and *C*-glycosyl peptides *via* radical additions to dehydroalanine derivatives (Scheme 18b).⁵⁸ Couplings with chiral oxazolidine **4** took place with high levels of diastereoselectivity at the newly formed α -amino acid chirality center. Both furanosyl and pyranosyl DHP esters participated in




Scheme 18 Photocatalytic *C*-glycosidations of dihydropyridine-derived glycosyl esters. (a) *C*-Acylation by coupling with esters. (b) Addition to dehydroalanine derivatives. 4CzIPN denotes 1,2,3,5-tetrakis(carbazol-9-yl)-4,6-dicyanobenzene; (MeO)₂bpy denotes 4,4'-dimethoxy-2,2'-bipyridine; Ir-1 denotes [Ir(dF(CF₃)ppy)₂(dtbbpy)]PF₆.

the reaction, with variable levels of 1,2-*trans* stereoselectivity being obtained depending on the sugar configuration and the choice of protective groups. Consistent with the work of Diao and co-workers,⁵⁵ the transformation was most efficient when employing substrates derived from armed sugars. Mannofuranosylations of protected dehydroalanine moieties in di-, tri- and tetrapeptide substrates were demonstrated, giving rise to roughly 1:1 mixtures of diastereomers at the α -amino acid chirality center.

Activation of alcohols by addition to azolium salts, followed by photocatalytic oxidation, has been employed to achieve deoxygenative arylation reactions in the presence of a Ni(II) co-catalyst (Scheme 19).⁵⁹ *C*-Aryl glycosides were generated from glycosyl hemiacetal substrates, and the protocol was applied to substrates having free OH groups at positions other than the anomeric center (*e.g.*, C2 of a ribofuranoside, C1 of a fructopyranose).

Walczak and co-workers achieved the synthesis of thio-glycosides from glycosyl stannanes in the presence of a copper(I) catalyst with irradiation by blue LEDs (Scheme 20).⁶⁰ The authors proposed that the absorption of light served to promote homolysis of the disulfide reagent to generate thiyl radicals, which were then trapped by a glycosylcopper(I) complex. Equatorially configured gluco- and 2-deoxy pyranosyl stannanes gave rise to axial thioglycosides as the major products, an observation consistent with an outer-sphere mechanism involving an anomeric radical intermediate.

Glycosyl trifluoroborates have emerged as another class of useful precursors to anomeric radicals. The group of Hirai synthesized β -configured 2-deoxy pyranosyl trifluoroborates from the corresponding glycal-derived *N*-methyliminodiacetic acid (MIDA) boronate esters.⁶¹ Congeners bearing silyl ether




Scheme 19 Photocatalytic, deoxygenative arylations of alcohols mediated by an azolium salt. Ir-3 denotes [Ir(ppy)₂(dtbbpy)]PF₆; dtbbpy denotes 4,4'-di-tert-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine.






Scheme 20 Visible light-induced, copper-catalyzed couplings of a glycosyl stannane with a disulfide. dtbbpy denotes 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine; PMP denotes 4-methoxyphenyl.

and cyclic silylene protective groups were prepared by this route. Upon irradiation with blue light in the presence of **Ir-1** and a nickel(II) complex,⁶² the anomeric trifluoroborates underwent couplings with aryl, heteroaryl and vinyl bromides to generate α -configured 2-deoxy-*C*-glycosides (Scheme 21a). An alternative approach to the synthesis of glycosyl trifluoroborates was reported soon after by the group of Walczak, who subjected glycosyl chlorides to a sequence of lithium–halogen exchange, borate quenching and transesterification to the MIDA boronate prior to trifluoroborate formation.⁶³ The method provided access to α -stereoisomers, and was conducted on 2-deoxypyranosyl chlorides as well as derivatives having a free 2-OH group. Tolerance for benzyl and silyl ether groups was demonstrated, and trifluoroborates bearing free OH groups could be further elaborated by glycosylation reactions with trichloroacetimidate donors. *C*-Arylations using **Ir-1** and a nickel co-catalyst resulted in α -configured products from 2-deoxypyranosyl starting materials, and mixtures of anomers ($\sim 2:1$ to $4:1$ $\alpha:\beta$) from *gluco*- and *galacto*-configured variants having free 2-OH groups (Scheme 21b). Trapping of the glycosyl radicals by C–S and C–Se bond formation was also demonstrated. The methods reported by the two groups likely proceed by similar mechanisms, with SET from the trifluoroborate to the excited photocatalyst serving as the key step to generate the anomeric radical. Conformational and stereoelectronic effects in the radical intermediate control the stereoselectivity of *C*-glycoside formation, regardless of the initial configuration of the trifluoroborate starting material.



Scheme 21 *C*-Glycoside formation from glycosyl trifluoroborates via photocatalysis reported by (a) Hirai and co-workers,⁶¹ (b) Walczak and co-workers.⁶³ **Ir-1** denotes $[\text{Ir}(\text{d}(\text{CF}_3)\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})]\text{PF}_6$; dtbbpy denotes 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine.



Scheme 22 Synthesis of *S*-glycosides from methallyl glycosyl sulfones.

Niu and co-workers have pioneered applications of glycosyl sulfones as precursors to anomeric radicals. Couplings of methallyl glycosyl sulfones with disulfides were achieved upon irradiation in the presence of catalytic Eosin Y, generating products with an axially oriented *S*-glycosidic linkage (Scheme 22).⁶⁴ The ability to employ unprotected glycosyl sulfones is




a key advantage of this class of precursors. A first-generation variant of the protocol employed pre-synthesized mixed disulfides as reagents, whereas the second-generation protocol relied on *in situ* disulfide formation using an isothiazolone reagent. Direct conjugations of unprotected carbohydrates to complex peptides, as well as a Cys-containing mutant of an affibody, were demonstrated. Computational modelling supported a proposed mechanism involving addition of a thiyl radical (likely formed by energy transfer from electronically excited Eosin Y) to the methallyl group, followed by fragmentation with loss of SO₂ to form the glycosyl radical. The latter engages disulfide to form the *S*-glycoside with the release of thiyl radical, which can propagate a chain process.

The Niu group developed conditions for couplings of glycosyl methallyl sulfones with iodoarenes to form *C*-aryl glycosides.⁶⁵ Ru(bpy)₃Cl₂·6H₂O was employed as photocatalyst, along with a nickel(II) co-catalyst and sodium toluenesulfinate (Scheme 23). The latter was proposed to undergo oxidation to form a toluenesulfonyl radical, which adds to the methallyl group, ultimately leading to the formation of glycosyl radical in a manner similar to that shown in Scheme 22. Binding of the glycosyl radical to an arylnickel(II) species, followed by reductive elimination, generates the *C*-aryl glycoside. 1,2-*Trans*-selective couplings of *gluco*-, *galacto*- and *manno*-configured pyranosyl sulfones, as well as a ribofuranosyl derivative, were achieved, generally without protection of the sugar OH groups; indeed, a direct comparison between the free glucopyranosyl sulfone


and the corresponding per-*O*-benzylated species showed that the former gave a higher yield (81% versus 68%). In addition to iodoarenes, a variety of iodinated heterocyclic partners were successfully subjected to the protocol, including derivatives of benzothiophene, pyridine, quinoline and indole. Computational modelling suggested that the use of unprotected glycosyl radical precursors accelerated the addition to the arylnickel(II) complex.

The group of Koh has achieved couplings of glycosyl heteroaryl sulfones with unsaturated reaction partners upon irradiation in the presence of CsOAc and Hantzsch ester 3 (Scheme 24).⁶⁶ The authors proposed that a ternary EDA complex derived from the sulfone, 3 and CsOAc underwent photoexcitation and radical formation *via* electron transfer. Loss of the sulfinate anion generates the glycosyl radical, which can be trapped by additions to alkenes or alkynes, or by cross-couplings with haloalkene, heteroaryl sulfonate or disulfide reagents. The protocol was applied to unprotected heteroaryl glycosyl sulfones, as well as derivatives bearing ester, ether, silyl ether and ketal protective groups. α -Selectivity was obtained using a range of pyranosyl radical precursors, including derivatives of glucose, mannose, galactose and *N*-acetylneuraminic acid, as well as 2-deoxy congeners, while 2-deoxyribofuranosyl sulfones gave rise to mixtures of anomers.

Sodium glycosyl sulfonates have been explored as precursors to anomeric radicals by the groups of Niu⁶⁷ and Hirai.⁶⁸ Niu's group generated the sulfinate salts from carboxyethyl sulfone precursors *via* β -elimination, while Hirai and co-workers used a base-mediated cleavage of 2-pyrimidyl sulfones. The protocol




Scheme 23 Photoredox/nickel co-catalysis for synthesis of *C*-aryl glycosides from methallyl glycosyl sulfones. (MeO)₂bpy denotes 4,4'-dimethoxy-2,2'-bipyridine; TMG denotes 1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine; PMP denotes 4-methoxyphenyl.



Scheme 24 Heteroaryl glycosyl sulfones as precursors to anomeric radicals.






Scheme 25 Photocatalytic C-glycosidations of sodium pyranosyl sulfonates developed by (a) Niu and co-workers^{67b} and (b) Hirai and co-workers.⁶⁸ See Fig. 1 for the structure of Acr-2⁺.

initially developed by Niu and co-workers employed trifluoroacetic acid and *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide to activate the sulfinate towards anomeric radical formation in the absence of light, likely by hydrogen atom transfer from the sulfinic acid. The group went on to develop a photocatalytic variant, using Ru(bpy)₃Cl₂·6H₂O in DMSO, to achieve Giese additions to a variety of electron-deficient alkenes (Scheme 25a). The transformation was employed to synthesize DNA-carbohydrate conjugates. The method developed by the group of Hirai employed an acridinium photocatalyst in a 4 : 1 methanol/water mixture (Scheme 25b). In both cases, the formation of the glycosyl radical likely takes place by SET from the sulfinate to the photoexcited catalyst, followed by loss of SO₂. It is noteworthy that the transformations can be accomplished without additional reagents, and are tolerant of polar, protic solvents, including water.

A method for the synthesis of pyridyl C-glycosides from methyl glycosyl sulfoxides was developed by Niu, Zhang and co-workers (Scheme 26).⁶⁹ The mechanism proposed by the authors is a radical chain, initiated by the formation of methoxy radical from a *N*-methoxy-pyridinium salt-derived EDA complex. Radical substitution of the glycosyl sulfoxide by MeO[•] generates a glycosyl radical. Addition of the latter to the *N*-methoxy-pyridinium salt, followed by elimination of methoxy radical, resulted in the α -configured, heterocyclic C-glycoside product while propagating the chain.

3.3. Rearrangements of anomeric radicals

1,2-Acyloxy migrations (Surzur–Tanner rearrangements) of anomeric radicals provide methods for the synthesis of C2-




Scheme 26 C-Glycosides synthesis via photoinitiated couplings of glycosyl methyl sulfoxides with *N*-methoxy-pyridinium salts.


modified derivatives, as exemplified by Giese and co-workers' synthesis of 2-deoxysugars from per-*O*-acylated glycosyl bromides upon treatment with Bu₃SnH and AIBN.⁷⁰ Recent work by the group of Ngai has added a new dimension to this mode of reactivity – an example of a class of transformations known as 'spin-center shifts'⁷¹ – using transition metal complexes to trigger the 1,2-acyloxy migrations and to enable subsequent functionalization of the resulting radicals. The group's initial report described the palladium-catalyzed reactivity of 2-*O*-acylated pyranosyl halides upon irradiation with blue LEDs; conditions were identified to generate the corresponding 2-deoxyglycosyl esters, their 2-deuterated isotopologs, or the 2-iodo-2-deoxyglycosyl esters arising from an isomerization reaction (Scheme 27).⁷² Photoexcitation of the Pd(0) complex provided access to organometallic intermediates having radical character, thus enabling the acyloxy migration to take place. A concerted mechanism was proposed for the latter based on the results of radical clock, crossover and substituent effect experiments. Atom transfer or reductive elimination steps deliver the products of reduction, deuteration or isomerization with regeneration of the Pd(0) catalyst.

Building on this result, the Ngai group explored alternative reagents to trap the C2 radical intermediates, thus allowing access to new product classes. Using silyl enol ethers in combination with a Pd(Xantphos) catalyst, 2-oxoethyl substituents were installed at the 2-position,⁷³ while visible light-induced, migratory Mizoroki–Heck-type reactions with styrene derivatives resulted in 2-alkenylated pyranoside products (Scheme 28).⁷⁴ For both transformations, products having an axially oriented substituent at the 2-position were obtained from *gluco*- and *galacto*-configured glycosyl halides. The former gave higher diastereoselectivities than the latter, likely due to steric shielding of the β -face by the axial group at C4 when using galactopyranosides. A subsequent report from the group showed that C2-arylation could be achieved by nickel catalyzed





Scheme 27 Visible light-induced, Pd-catalyzed reductions and isomerizations of glycosyl halides via 1,2-acyloxy migration.



Scheme 28 Visible light-induced, Pd-catalyzed couplings of acetylated glycosyl bromides with silyl enol ethers and styrene derivatives.

couplings with boronic acids (without the need for visible light excitation).⁷⁵

4. Radicals at non-anomeric positions via C–C, C–O or C–X bond homolysis

4.1. Synthesis of 2-deoxyglycosides via radical substitution at C2

The stereoselective synthesis of 2-deoxyglycosides is challenging; strategies that rely on anchimeric assistance or steric




Scheme 29 Synthesis of an α -2-deoxyglucopyranoside by photocatalytic deiodination.

shielding by a protected OH group at the 2-position are not available in such cases.⁷⁶ Glycosidation of a donor bearing a temporary substituent at the 2-position, followed by reductive removal of the latter, provides a useful and general solution to this problem.⁷⁷ Accordingly, mild and selective methods to achieve reductive cleavage of, for example, carbon–halogen or carbon–sulfur bonds at the 2-position are needed. In recent years, photocatalytic and photochemical methods have been developed to address this problem.

Building on photocatalytic, reductive deiodinations reported by the groups of Stephenson and Lee,⁷⁸ Wan and co-workers developed a procedure for the reduction of axial 2-iodo substituents in α -pyranosides (Scheme 29). The precise role of the arenethiol additive, which was used in place of the Hantzsch ester component from the Stephenson protocol, was not established. The yields of deiodination were generally greater than 90%, and an impressive 87% yield was obtained in the fourfold deiodination of a tetrasaccharide derivative. An evaluation of nine alternative protocols for the preparation of an α -2-deoxyglucopyranoside from the 2-iodo precursor revealed that the photocatalytic procedure provided the highest yield.

A photocatalytic protocol for reductive desulfurization of thiosugars has been developed by Dong and co-workers.⁷⁹ After selective *S*-deacetylation of a thioester precursor, irradiation with blue light in the presence of Ru(bpy)₃Cl₂ and tris(carboxyethyl)phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP·HCl) furnished the corresponding deoxysugar (Scheme 30). In combination with a stereoselective glycosidation of *manno*-configured 2-(thioacetyl)pyranoside donors, the method enabled the selective preparation of α -2-deoxyglycosides. Dethiolations at the anomeric position, as well as C4 and C6, were also demonstrated. In comparison to a method reported earlier by the same group involving direct excitation with UV light from a mercury lamp,⁸⁰ the photocatalytic method displayed lower levels of side reactivity (*e.g.*, for substrates bearing free OH groups or benzyl



Scheme 30 A one-pot procedure for selective *S*-deacetylation and photocatalytic dethiolation.




ether protective groups). The proposed mechanism involves oxidation of the thiol by photoexcited $\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3\text{Cl}_2$, followed by reduction of the radical cation by TCEP.


4.2. Synthesis of 'reversed' glycosides

Methods for radical formation have been developed to enable the synthesis of 'reversed' glycosides by incorporation of a substituent at the 5-position of a pyranoside or the 4-position of a furanoside (that is, the other position adjacent to the ring oxygen). Radical fragmentations feature prominently in this class of transformations. Hari and co-workers devised an approach for the preparation of 5'-carba analogues of nucleoside 5'-phosphates by couplings of 5'-*O*-phthalimidonucleoside derivatives with vinyl phosphonates, using Hantzsch ester **3** as a reductant and *fac*- $\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_3$ as photocatalyst (Scheme 31).⁸¹ Methyl acrylate, acrylonitrile and a vinyl sulfonamide were also employed as coupling partners. The authors proposed that, after oxidation of **3** by photoexcited $\text{Ir}(\text{ppy})_3$, SET from the reduced photocatalyst to the *N*-alkoxyphthalimide group followed by fragmentation of the radical anion led to the formation of an alkoxy radical. β -Fragmentation of the latter with expulsion of formaldehyde led to the carbon-centered radical at the 4'-position, which then added to the alkene in the C-C bond-forming step.

Elimination of formaldehyde from alkoxy radicals, generated directly from alcohols *via* cerium photocatalysis, provides another pathway for the synthesis of reversed glycosides.⁸² Transformations of nucleoside derivatives similar to that shown




Scheme 31 Photocatalytic synthesis of a 5'-carbanucleoside-5'-phosphate by fragmentation of an alkoxy radical.



Scheme 32 Cerium-photocatalyzed dehydroxymethylation-alkylation of a protected ribonucleoside.

in Scheme 31 were accomplished directly from substrates having a free 5'-OH group upon irradiation at 365 nm in the presence of CeBr_3 and $\text{Bu}_4\text{N}^+\text{Br}^-$ (Scheme 32). Isopropylidene-protected ribonucleosides underwent dehydroxymethylation-alkylation with a trisubstituted alkene to give a mixture of diastereomers at the C4' and C5' chirality centers. The authors proposed that the key alkoxy radical was generated by ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) excitation of a $\text{Ce}(\text{IV})$ alkoxide complex generated *in situ*.

The Molander group employed carbohydrate-substituted DHPs as precursors to reversed *C*-acyl and *C*-aryl glycosides through dual photoredox/nickel catalysis.⁸³ The DHP group was constructed *via* condensation from the formyl-substituted carbohydrate precursor, which in turn arose from oxidation of the primary OH group (Scheme 33a). *C*-Acylation was accomplished using dimethyl dicarbonate as promoter, and usually resulted in mixtures of stereoisomers – generally less than 5 : 1 d.r. – at the newly formed chirality center (Scheme 33b).



Scheme 33 Synthesis of 'reversed' *C*-glycosides from dihydropyridyl (DHP) derivatives. (a) Preparation of substrates by condensation reactions. (b) *C*-Acylation with carboxylic acids. (c) *C*-Arylation with bromoarenes. 4CzIPN denotes 1,2,3,5-tetrakis(carbazol-9-yl)-4,6-dicyanobenzene; dtbbpy denotes 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine; $(\text{MeO})_2\text{bpy}$ denotes 4,4'-dimethoxy-2,2'-bipyridine.




Pyranoside and furanoside substrates were employed, including derivatives with isopropylidene ketal, ether, silyl ether, and free OH substituents. Using aryl or heteroaryl bromides as coupling partners, *C*-arylation was achieved (e.g., Scheme 33c). The mechanism for radical formation is reminiscent of that depicted in Scheme 17; after loss of the substituted pyridine, the formyl radical undergoes decarbonylation. Light-induced reactions of DHP derivatives of carbohydrates have also been employed to achieve allylations,⁸⁴ trifluoromethylthiolations⁸⁵ and couplings to form pyridine-derived *C*-glycosides.⁸⁶


Olofsson and co-workers achieved a synthesis of reversed *C*-vinyl glycosides by couplings of DHP-substituted sugar derivatives with vinylbenziodoxolones (e.g., **8**, Scheme 34).⁸⁷ The use of hypervalent iodine reagents obviates the need for a transition metal co-catalyst, and the process is stereospecific with respect to the configuration of the vinyl group. The protocol was applied to derivatives of galactopyranose, mannopyranose, arabinofuranose and ribofuranose, giving varying degrees of diastereoselectivity, along with various styrene-derived di- and trisubstituted vinylbenziodoxolones. The proposed mechanism involves oxidation of the DHP group by the excited photocatalyst, followed by a concerted radical substitution on the vinylbenziodoxolone. The displaced benziodoxolone radical is reduced by the photocatalyst radical anion, closing the photocatalytic cycle and generating iodobenzoate.

Uronic acid-derived *N*-hydroxytetrachlorophthalimide esters have been used as precursors to reversed *C*-glycosyl amino acid derivatives using Hantzsch ester **3** as photoreductant and hydrogen atom donor, and glyoxylate-derived imines as electrophilic radical traps, in the absence of a photocatalyst (Scheme 35).⁸⁸ The anomeric radical intermediate is generated by fragmentation of the *N*-hydroxyphthalimide-derived radical anion with loss of CO₂, while the ammonium salt additive serves to activate the imine by Brønsted acid catalysis. α -Hexopyranoside substrates resulted in 1,5-*trans*-selectivity, while β -pentofuranose derivatives were 1,4-*trans*-selective. All products were formed as mixtures of diastereomers (~1 : 1 d.r.) at the α -amino ester chirality center.

Photodecarboxylative Giese-type reactions of uronic acid-derived *N*-hydroxyphthalimide (NHP) esters have been used to synthesize *L*-configured pyranoside derivatives from *D*-sugar



Scheme 34 Synthesis of reversed vinyl glycosides by couplings of dihydropyridyl (DHP) derivatives with vinylbenziodoxolones.



Scheme 35 Synthesis of reversed *C*-glycosyl amino acids from tetrachlorophthaloyl esters.




Scheme 36 Synthesis of methyl β -*L*-guloside from a mannuronic acid derivative via photocatalytic, decarboxylative Giese-type reaction.

congeners (Scheme 36).⁸⁹ The highest levels of *L:D* stereoselectivity were achieved using bis(ketal)-protected bicyclic substrates. Computational modelling supported the authors' proposal of a ⁴C₁ conformation for the key radical intermediate, which underwent axial-selective alkylation to deliver the *L*-configured product. A sequence of decarboxylative alkenylation, bis(ketal) deprotection and ozonolysis was used to synthesize methyl β -*L*-guloside from an α -configured mannuronic acid starting material.

An *N*-hydroxyphthalimide ester was employed as the precursor to a 2'-*O*,4'-*C*-ethylene-bridged 5-methyluridine derivative via cyclization of a 4'-radical intermediate (Scheme 37).⁹⁰ The bridged nucleotide analog structure can confer increased affinity for nucleic acid binding partners along with reduced rates of degradation by nuclease enzymes, advantageous features in the context of developing oligonucleotide-based therapeutic agents. Irradiation of the 5-methyluridine-derived NHP ester with a 32 W compact fluorescent light (CFL) bulb in the presence of *fac*-Ir(ppy)₃ and Hantzsch ester **3**






Scheme 37 Synthesis of a bridged nucleoside by photocatalytic decarboxylative radical cyclization. BOM denotes benzyloxymethyl.

resulted in the ethylene-bridged analog with the (*S*)-configuration at the 6'-position. Minimization of steric strain between the alkene and the 3'-OBn group was proposed as a rationale for the diastereoselectivity of the radical cyclization.

Diastereoselective couplings of uronic acid-derived NHP esters with *N*-(8-quinolinyl)glycine derivatives have been achieved by irradiation with blue LEDs in the presence of Cu(OTf)₂




Scheme 38 Ligand-controlled, diastereoselective couplings of *N*-(8-quinolinyl)glycine *tert*-butyl ester with uronic acid-derived NHP esters. Q denotes 8-quinolinyl.

and PHANEPHOS, a chiral phosphine ligand (Scheme 38).⁹¹ The stereochemistry at the reversed glycoside chirality center was dependent on the configuration and substitution pattern of the glycoside substrate, whereas the outcome at the α -glycosyl amino acid chirality center was controlled by the chiral phosphine ligand. Armed furanoside and pyranoside substrates bearing benzyl or isopropylidene ketal groups were found to participate effectively. Oligopeptides up to six amino acids in length bearing an *N*-terminal quinolinylglycine moiety were successfully coupled with the carbohydrate-derived NHP esters. The authors proposed that SET from a photoexcited Cu(I) – quinoline complex to the NHP ester served to initiate the formation of the reversed glycosyl radical. After capture of the radical to form an alkylcopper(II) species, LMCT of the latter and deprotonation generate the glycine-derived radical, ultimately leading to C–C bond-forming reductive elimination. In a closely related, contemporaneous study, Liang and co-workers found that Xyl-BINAP also served as an effective chiral ligand for such coupling reactions.⁹²

An alternative approach to the synthesis of reversed *C*-acyl glycosides was reported by the Molander group (Scheme 39).⁹³ In contrast to the transformation shown in Scheme 33b, which employed DHP derivatives as precursors to reversed glycosyl radicals in the presence of the 4CzIPN photocatalyst, the method relied upon radical formation from the non-sugar component, a NHP ester. The formation of a donor–acceptor complex of the latter with Hantzsch ester **3** enables alkyl radical formation without the need for a photocatalyst. Generation of a mixed dicarbonate from the uronic acid and dimethyl dicarbonate activated the sugar component towards oxidative addition to the nickel complex. The sugar partners employed include uronic acid derivatives of galactopyranose, arabinofuranose, ribofuranose and uridine, as well as 2-ketogluconic acid. Primary, secondary and tertiary NHP esters underwent the coupling, with the latter class giving <50% yields.

Reversed glycosyl fluorides have been synthesized by decarboxylative fluorinations of uronic acid derivatives in the presence of an acridinium photocatalyst (Scheme 40).⁹⁴ Li and co-workers found that disarmed (benzoyl-protected) substrates



Scheme 39 Synthesis of reversed *C*-acyl glycosides. Chx denotes cyclohexyl.





Scheme 40 Synthesis of reversed glycosyl fluorides from uronic acid derivatives. See Fig. 1 for the structure of Acr-2⁺.


tended to give lower yields of the reversed glycosyl fluorides than their armed, benzyl-protected counterparts. Nucleoside analogs arising from fluorination at C4' were also accessed using this approach.

4.3. Acyclic products *via* radical fragmentations

β -Fragmentation of sugar-derived alkoxy radicals provides a pathway for accessing functionalized acyclic products from pyranoside or furanoside precursors. Photocatalysis has been employed to generate the alkoxy radicals needed to accomplish such transformations. The Knowles group developed a three-catalyst system consisting of an Ir(III) photocatalyst, a phosphate salt and a thiol hydrogen atom transfer agent to accomplish the endergonic chain-scission/dehomologation of anomeric hemiacetals (Scheme 41).⁹⁵ Optimization of the hydrogen bond-accepting phosphate salt proved to be important; the authors speculated that the rate of proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) to generate the key alkoxy radical was sensitive to structural variation of this component. The protocol was applied effectively to tetra-*O*-benzylated gluco- and galactopyranoses, as well as a tri-*O*-benzylated ribofuranose.




Scheme 41 Photocatalytic chain-scission/dehomologation of an anomeric hemiacetal. Ir-1 denotes $[\text{Ir}(\text{d}(\text{CF}_3)\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})]\text{PF}_6$; TRIP denotes 2,4,6-triisopropylphenyl.



Scheme 42 Photocatalytic alkoxy radical fragmentation/1,5-HAT sequence.

A sequence of alkoxy radical fragmentation, 1,5-HAT, radical oxidation and intramolecular acetal formation has been used as a key step in the synthesis of polyoxamic acid, a trihydroxylated α -amino acid (Scheme 42).⁹⁶ A substrate having a free 6-OH group capable of cyclization onto the benzylic oxocarbenium ion was employed to avoid the formation of product mixtures arising from formyl group migration.

Ring fragmentation has been combined with radical boronation to achieve the synthesis of an aldose-derived organo-boron compound, a transformation reported by Noble, Aggarwal and co-workers (Scheme 43).⁹⁷ A radical chain



Scheme 43 Blue light-initiated synthesis of an aldose-derived boronic ester.




mechanism was proposed, wherein excitation of the *N,N*-dimethylacetamide (DMAc) complex $B_2Cat_2 \cdot DMAc$ or the *N*-alkoxyphthalimide by blue light ultimately leads to the formation of a DMAc-stabilized boryl radical. Addition of the latter to the *N*-alkoxyphthalimide, followed by alkoxy radical formation and ring-opening fragmentation, results in the functionalized alkyl radical that is trapped by B_2Cat_2 to generate the borylated product.

5. Additions to glycols

Glycols, cyclic enol ethers derived from carbohydrates, are versatile reagents that are employed extensively in synthesis.⁹⁸ Additions of radicals to glycols give rise to sugar-derived radicals at either the anomeric position or at C2; these can be trapped in a variety of ways to achieve further functionalizations.⁹⁹ In addition to the photocatalytic methods discussed below, photoinitiated protocols for additions to glycols *via* radical mechanisms have been developed, including thiol-ene reactions that can yield either thioglycosides or 2-thiosugars.¹⁰⁰

The groups of Xiong and Ye developed a photocatalytic C-H trifluoromethylation of glycols using Umemoto's reagent **1** (Scheme 44).¹⁰¹ Compatibility with benzyl, 4-methoxybenzyl (PMB) and methyl ether groups, as well as esters and a primary arenesulfonate group, was demonstrated. The method was also applied to an unsaturated *N*-acetylneuraminic acid derivative. The authors' proposed mechanism involves photoreduction of **1** to generate trifluoromethyl radical, which adds to the 2-position of the glycol. Oxidation of the anomeric radical forms an oxacarbenium ion, which undergoes elimination to form the functionalized glycol product.



Scheme 44 Photocatalytic C-H trifluoromethylation of glycols with Umemoto's reagent.



Scheme 45 Photocatalytic C-H trifluoromethylation of *exo*-glycols.

Exo-glycols, isomers having an exocyclic alkene group,¹⁰² have also been subjected to photocatalytic C-H trifluoromethylations with the 2,8-difluorinated Umemoto reagent **6**.¹⁰³ *Z*-Configured products were obtained selectively from pyranose- and furanose-derived *exo*-glycol substrates bearing benzyl ether, silyl ether and ester protective groups (Scheme 45). Computational modelling suggested that both thermodynamic and kinetic factors favor the *Z*-over the *E*-configured products. The proposed reaction pathway – trifluoromethyl radical addition to the enol ether, oxidation of the anomeric radical and elimination to generate the functionalized alkene – is analogous to that shown in Scheme 44.

Hu and co-workers explored cyclizations of glycol-derived imidate radicals as a way to achieve *C*-glycoside synthesis with concomitant C-N bond construction at the 2-position.¹⁰⁴




Scheme 46 Photocatalytic process for net aminoalkylation of glycols *via* anomeric radicals. Ir-3 denotes $[Ir(ppy)_2(dtbbpy)]PF_6$.




Irradiation of the *N*-phenylhydroxylamine-derived imidate **7** (ref. 105) in the presence of **Ir-3**, iPr_2NEt and alkene partner (either a styrene derivative or an acrylic acid derivative) resulted in a dihydrooxazole-bearing *C*-glycoside product (Scheme 46). In the proposed mechanism, the *N*-centered radical arising from reduction of the imidate engages the glycal in a 5-*exo*-tet cyclization, forming an anomeric radical that is trapped by the alkene. Hydrogen atom transfer to the resulting stabilized radical generates the *C*-glycoside product. 1,2-*Trans* stereoselectivity was observed across the several glycal configurations examined, likely a consequence of steric shielding of the concave face of the fused bicyclic anomeric radical intermediates.

Selective additions of secondary phosphine oxides to the 2-position of glycals has been achieved under blue light irradiation with the 4CzIPN photocatalyst and dilauroyl peroxide (LPO) as an additive (Scheme 47).¹⁰⁶ The reaction gave rise to 1-deoxy- α -pyranoside products, with the stereochemistry of the newly formed C–P bond at C2 being *trans* to the substituent at the 3-position. Given that *C*-alkyl glycosides were not generated in the presence of alkenes as potential trapping agents, and that deuteration at the 1-position resulted when the reaction was conducted with D_2O as an additive, the authors proposed that a glycosyl anion was formed by reduction of the glycosyl radical intermediate. The role of dilauroyl peroxide, which was not essential for reactivity but was found to improve the yield of the transformation, is unclear.

Additions of alcohols to glycals, generating 2-deoxyglycoside products, have been achieved by blue light irradiation in the presence of *fac*-Ir(ppy)₃ and BrCH₂CN (Scheme 48).¹⁰⁷ Glucal




Scheme 47 Photocatalytic addition of secondary phosphine oxides to glycals. 4CzIPN denotes 1,2,3,5-tetrakis(carbazol-9-yl)-4,6-dicyanobenzene. LPO denotes dilauroyl peroxide.



Scheme 48 Photoinitiated additions of alcohols to glycals.

and galactal derivatives resulted in α -2-deoxyglycosides, while glycals having an axially oriented substituent at the 3-position (*allo* stereochemistry) were converted to β -deoxyglycosides. The method was employed in the iterative synthesis of an α -2-deoxygalactopyranoside 20-mer and in the preparation of the cardiac glycoside digoxin. The results of light on/off experiments, along with a quantum yield exceeding 1, were consistent with a chain process initiated by the formation of bromine radical from BrCH₂CN and the excited photocatalyst.

2-Acetoxyglycals have been used as substrates for photocatalytic couplings with iodoperfluoroalkanes to furnish perfluoroalkyl *C*-glycoside products.¹⁰⁸ Unlike the transformation shown in Scheme 44, this reaction does not involve an anomeric radical; rather, the regioselectivity of addition to the acetoxy glycal results in the formation of a radical at the 2-position. Under the optimized conditions of 0.5 mol% **Ir-1**, 4 equivalents of iodoperfluoroalkane, 1 equivalent of Cs₂CO₃, in acetonitrile



Scheme 49 Synthesis of perfluorinated *C*-glycosides by photocatalytic radical addition to 2-acetoxyglycals. **Ir-1** denotes [Ir(dF(CF₃)ppy)₂(dtbbpy)]PF₆.



under blue LED irradiation, peracetylated glucals and galactals reacted to give the α -configured products in 32% to 59% yields (Scheme 49); the mass balance consisted primarily of unreacted glycol starting material. A mixture of α -*lyxo* and β -*xylo*-configured *C*-glycosides was obtained from a pentose-derived acetoxylglycol, consistent with the higher conformational flexibility of the latter in comparison to the hexose derivatives. In the proposed photocatalytic cycle, carbonate acts as a reductant towards **Ir-1**^{*}, generating a reducing species that reacts with the iodoperfluoroalkane to form a perfluoroalkyl radical. After addition to the glycol, the radical at C2 accepts a hydrogen atom – likely from the solvent – to form the glycoside product. Mukherjee and co-workers showed that 2-deoxy-*O*-glycosides can be synthesized from glycols by a related approach involving the addition of an alkoxy radical to the 1-position, followed by hydrogen atom transfer to the C2-centered radical.¹⁰⁹ The transformation was achieved using *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide as the alkoxy radical precursor and Eosin Y as photocatalyst.


6. Hydrogen atom transfer from carbohydrate derivatives

Selective hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) from a C–H bond in a sugar-derived substrate serves as the key step in the mechanism of catalysis for several important classes of enzymes, including the ribonucleotide reductases and *S*-adenosylmethionine (SAM)-dependent enzymes.¹¹⁰ The discovery and development of processes that combine a photocatalyst with an HAT-mediating co-catalyst¹¹¹ have created new opportunities to devise laboratory methods that mimic such enzymatic reactions.¹³ Key precedent was reported by MacMillan and co-workers, who invented a protocol for selective C–H


functionalization at the α -position of alcohols using **Ir-1**, quinuclidine as HAT co-catalyst and tetra-*n*-butylammonium dihydrogenphosphate ($\text{Bu}_4\text{N}^+\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4^-$, TBAP) under blue light irradiation.¹¹² Hydrogen bond donation from the hydroxy (OH) group to the H_2PO_4^- anion accelerates HAT to the quinuclidinium radical cation, an electrophilic reagent that reacts preferentially with hydridic C–H bonds due to polarity matching.¹¹³ For example, bis(isopropylidene)glucopyranose underwent selective *C*-alkylation at the 6-position upon coupling with methyl acrylate (Scheme 50). In the proposed photocatalytic cycle, the quinuclidinium radical cation is generated by SET to the excited-state Ir complex. After HAT and addition to the alkene, reduction of the resulting radical, protonation and cyclization result in the lactone product.

6.1. C-Alkylations of carbohydrate derivatives

Applications of the **Ir-1**/quinuclidine/TBAP co-catalyst system to unprotected pyranosides were investigated in a study led by Witte and Minnaard.¹¹⁴ Methyl α -glucopyranoside underwent site-selective *C*-alkylation at the 3-position using vinyl sulfone, vinyl phosphonate and enone coupling partners (Scheme 51a). Alkylation at C3 was also achieved for 6-*O*-protected derivatives, methyl α -xylopyranoside and methyl α -allopyranoside. Mixtures of products were obtained from methyl α -mannopyranoside, methyl β -xylopyranoside and methyl β -glucopyranoside,



Scheme 50 Selective *C*-alkylation of a protected galactopyranose by photoredox/HAT/hydrogen bonding co-catalysis. **Ir-1** denotes $[\text{Ir}(\text{d}(\text{CF}_3)\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})]\text{PF}_6$.




Scheme 51 Site-selective *C*-alkylations of pyranosides and myo-inositol, and proposed transition state structures for selective HAT. **Ir-1** denotes $[\text{Ir}(\text{d}(\text{CF}_3)\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})]\text{PF}_6$; **4CzIPN** denotes 1,2,3,5-tetrakis(carbazol-9-yl)-4,6-dicyanobenzene.




whereas methyl β -galactopyranoside did not react under the conditions employed. Considering that C3 of an α -glucopyranoside is neither the site of the weakest C–H bond¹¹⁵ nor the most sterically accessible position, the selectivity of the HAT step is noteworthy. A subsequent computational study using density functional theory (DFT) indicates that the transition state for HAT from the 3-position is stabilized by C–H \cdots O hydrogen bonding interactions between the electron-deficient α -hydrogens of the quinuclidinium cation and the nonbonding electrons of the axially oriented anomeric oxygen.^{116,117}

Liu, Wang and co-workers found that 3-quinuclidinone-derived ketal **9**, in combination with 4CzIPN and TBAP, constituted an effective catalyst system for couplings of *myo*-inositol with alkenes, including vinylpyridines, electron-deficient styrene derivatives and phenyl vinyl sulfone (Scheme 51b).¹¹⁸ Products arising from selective HAT from the 4-position, followed by equatorial approach of the alkene to the resulting radical, were obtained. Although a detailed rationale for the observed site-selectivity was not provided, this is another instance of a 1,3-diaxial relationship between the reactive hydrogen and a hydroxy/alkoxy group. It is likely that C–H \cdots O hydrogen bonds of the type described in the preceding paragraph operate to stabilize this transition state.

The Taylor group has shown that diphenylborinic acid (Ph₂BOH) can act as a co-catalyst in place of TBAP to achieve selective, photocatalytic HAT from *cis*-diol-containing substrates (Scheme 52).¹¹⁹ *Galacto*- and *manno*-configured substrates, which did not undergo selective C-alkylation under the prototypical MacMillan-type conditions with co-catalytic TBAP, were coupled with methyl acrylate to yield butyrolactone products. After abstraction of the equatorial hydrogen from the *cis*-1,2-diol group, C–C bond formation took place with retention of configuration. Lower yields, along with altered diastereoselectivity or site-selectivity in some instances, were observed when the organoboron catalyst was omitted. It was



Scheme 52 Selective C-alkylation of *cis*-1,2-diol groups in carbohydrates using diphenylborinic acid (Ph₂BOH) as a co-catalyst. Key steps of the proposed mechanism are depicted. Ir-1 denotes [Ir(dF(CF₃)ppy)₂(dtbbpy)]PF₆.




Scheme 53 Ph₂SnCl₂ as a co-catalyst for selective C-alkylations of diol groups in pyranosides. Ir-1 denotes [Ir(dF(CF₃)ppy)₂(dtbbpy)]PF₆.

proposed that the formation of a tetracoordinate, anionic borinic ester from the *cis*-1,2-diol¹²⁰ accelerated the HAT step through a combination of electrostatic effects (Coulombic attraction to the quinuclidinium radical cation) and polarity matching (stabilization of partial positive charge at carbon in the transition state).¹¹³ DFT modelling indicated that borinic ester formation caused a reduction in bond dissociation enthalpies while enhancing an intrinsic kinetic preference for HAT from the 3-position of an α -rhamnopyranoside. Addition of the alkene to the convex face of the bicyclic, borinic ester-derived radical would account for the observed diastereoselectivity.

Evaluation of a range of organotin co-catalysts revealed that Ph₂SnCl₂ also serves to accelerate HAT from diol groups in carbohydrate-derived substrates (Scheme 53).¹²¹ The Ir-1/quinuclidine/Ph₂SnCl₂ co-catalyst system gave higher yields than Ir-1/quinuclidine/Ph₂BOH for couplings of *cis*-diols with phenyl vinyl sulfone, acrylonitrile and a vinyl phosphonate, reaction partners for which lactonization of the alkylation product (which was apparently needed for efficient turnover when using organoboron catalysts) cannot take place. Activation of the 1,2-*trans* diol group in α -glucopyranosides was also possible using Ph₂SnCl₂, in keeping with established reactivity patterns for organotin-catalyzed O-functionalizations.¹²²

A report from Li and Kubinobu documents examples of switching the site of C-alkylation of ketal-protected pyranosides



Scheme 54 C3- versus C4-selective alkylation of a galactopyranose derivative depending on the photocatalyst employed. TBADT denotes [Bu₄N⁺]₄[W₁₀O₃₂]⁴⁻.




by changing the structure of the hydrogen atom-abstracting photocatalyst (Scheme 54).¹²³ For example, 6-*O*-acetylated bis(isopropylidene)galactopyranose underwent C3-selective alkylation upon excitation with UV light (365 nm) in the presence of anthraquinone as photocatalyst, *versus* C4-selective alkylation in the presence of tetrabutylammonium decatungstate (TBADT). The authors proposed that relative bond dissociation enthalpies play a role in the site-selectivity of HAT to the semiquinone radical, whereas photoexcited decatungstate selectively abstracts the hydrogen atom from the most sterically accessible position.

6.2. Synthesis of ketodeoxysugars by redox isomerization of diol groups

1,2-Radical migrations accompanied by elimination of a leaving group from the position adjacent to the initial radical, also termed 'spin-center shifts',⁷¹ have been implicated in the reaction pathways for several important enzymes, including the ribonucleotide reductases and SAM-dependent radical lyases.¹¹⁰ Laboratory mimicry of such processes, using photoredox/HAT catalysis to generate the initial sugar-derived radical, has been a productive approach for the development of synthetic methods that provide access to building blocks for the preparation of nucleoside analogs and rare sugars.


The Taylor group explored redox isomerizations of furanosides to ketodeoxysugars, taking inspiration from the mode of catalysis of the ribonucleotide reductases. The hypothesis was that an organoboron catalyst could accelerate both the HAT step (see Scheme 52) as well as the subsequent dehydration *via* spin-center shift.¹²⁴ Evaluation of diarylborinic and arylboronic acid co-catalysts revealed that only the latter class was effective in promoting the transformation of protected β -ribofuranoside **10** to 3-deoxy-2-ketosugar **11**, with pentafluorophenylboronic acid ($C_6F_5B(OH)_2$) providing the highest yield (Scheme 55). Furanosides having a *cis*-stereochemical relationship between the 2-OH and 3-OH group (β -*ribo*- or α -*lyxo*-configuration) and an ester protective group at the 5-position were appropriate substrates for this transformation; other protective groups at C5 gave rise to lower levels of regioselectivity (3-deoxy *versus* 2-deoxyketosugar). Stereoselective reduction and *N*-glycosylation reactions were used to synthesize 3-deoxy analogs of uridine from the ketodeoxysugar products.

Photocatalytic HAT followed by spin-center shift serves as the basis for a synthesis of 2-deoxyaldehydes from free aldoses, reported by Murakami and co-workers (Scheme 56).¹²⁵ Irradiation of an aqueous solution of the aldose with 365 nm UV light in the presence of sodium hydroxide and a benzophenone-derived bis-sulfonate (BPSS) resulted in the formation of the redox isomerization product. Cyclization to the 2-deoxyaldonolactones was accomplished by treatment with Me_3SiCl (TMSCl) in water, facilitating product isolation. In the proposed reaction mechanism, deprotonation of the hemiacetal OH group activates the C1 position towards HAT to the ketyl radical resulting from photoexcitation of BPSS. Dehydration/radical migration is followed by SET, which closes the photocatalytic cycle.




Scheme 55 Redox isomerizations of furanosides to 3-deoxy-2-ketosugars. Ir-1 denotes $[Ir(dF(CF_3)ppy)_2(dtbbpy)]PF_6$; Cy denotes cyclohexyl; Ar denotes pentafluorophenyl.

A method for the synthesis of 2-deoxy- and 4-deoxy-2-ketosugars by redox isomerizations of pyranoside derivatives was developed by Wendlandt and co-workers.¹²⁶ The authors



Scheme 56 Synthesis of 2-deoxyaldehydes from aldoses *via* photocatalytic redox isomerization. BPSS denotes benzophenone disodium sulfonate; Ar denotes a sulfonated aryl group (roughly 2 : 1 *meta/para*).





Scheme 57 Redox isomerizations of pyranosides using a photoredox/HAT/Mn(II) co-catalyst system. 4CzIPN denotes 1,2,3,5-tetrakis(carbazol-9-yl)-4,6-dicyanobenzene.

found that manganese(II) acetate accelerated the formation of ketodeoxysugar from the radical generated by C3-selective HAT from the sugar to the quinuclidinium radical cation (Scheme 57). An axially oriented OH group adjacent to the site of radical formation was needed for the formation of the ketodeoxysugar products. Whether the redox isomerization is accompanied by oxidation of Mn(II) to Mn(III) (path A) or proceeds *via* a more conventional spin-center shift, accelerated by Mn(II) (path B) is not clear at this stage. The L-rhamnopyranoside-derived 3-keto-2-deoxysugar was employed to achieve expeditious syntheses of methyl glycosides of the rare L-sugars digotoxose, olivose, risosamine and mycarose.

The Taylor group described an alternative approach to redox isomerizations of pyranosides,¹²⁷ taking advantage of the accelerating effect of *O*-acylation on the rate of the 1,2-migration/elimination step.¹²⁸ By employing monoacylated pyranosides as substrates, deoxygenation *via* cleavage of an equatorial C–O bond could be accomplished (*e.g.*, **12** → **13**, Scheme 58), in contrast to the strict requirement for an axial OH group when using Mn(II) co-catalysis. The site of *O*-acylation dictated the position of deoxygenation, thus enabling the synthesis of **16** and **17** from rhamnopyranoside derivatives **14** and **15**. As a consequence of C3-selective HAT from the α -configured pyranoside substrates to the quinuclidinium radical cation, 3-ketosugar products were accessed using this method.



Scheme 58 Redox isomerizations of pyranoside-derived monoesters. Ir-1 denotes [Ir(dFCF₃)₂(ppy)₂(dtbbpy)]PF₆.




Scheme 59 Site-selective epimerization of glycosides and free sugars. 4CzIPN denotes 1,2,3,5-tetrakis(carbazol-9-yl)-4,6-dicyanobenzene; Ad-SH denotes 1-adamantanethiol.

6.3. Epimerization of carbohydrates

Sequential hydrogen atom transfers provide a pathway for epimerization of carbohydrates, provided that the delivery of the hydrogen atom to the sugar-derived radical results in a net inversion of configuration. Radical SAM epimerases operate by such mechanisms.¹³ Wendlandt and co-workers reported that the combined use of quinuclidine and adamantane-thiol as HAT-mediating co-catalysts, along with 4CzIPN and tetrabutylammonium 4-chlorobenzoate (Bu₄N⁺(4-ClBzO⁻)), enabled the light-mediated epimerization of methyl α -glucopyranoside to its C3 epimer (*allo* stereochemistry, Scheme 59).¹²⁹ Selective epimerization at C3 was achieved for other α -gluco-configured substrates, and with 1,6-anhydroglucose, galactose and mannose, while β -galacto-configured pyranosides underwent epimerization at C2 to give products of *talo* configuration. The method was also applied to unprotected monosaccharides, which present an additional level of complexity due to the potential for mutarotation and pyranose/furanose interconversion. In the proposed catalytic cycle, photogenerated quinuclidinium radical cation abstracts the hydrogen atom from the 3-position of the glucopyranoside, followed by hydrogen atom donation to the less hindered face of the radical by adamantane-thiol. The photocatalytic cycle is closed by reduction and protonation of the thiyl radical.

The preference for quinuclidine radical cation to engage in HAT with C–H bonds having a 1,3-diaxial relationship with an oxygen substituent (see Section 4.1) is evident in the examples shown in Scheme 59. The Wendlandt group demonstrated that a complementary mode of site-selectivity could be achieved by using photoactivated decatungstate in place of quinuclidinium radical cation to achieve the initial HAT step.¹³⁰ Under the optimized conditions depicted in Scheme 60, selective






Scheme 60 Selective equatorial-to-axial epimerizations of OH groups. TBA denotes Bu_4N^+ ; DT denotes $[\text{Bu}_4\text{N}^+]_4[\text{W}_{10}\text{O}_{32}]^{4-}$.

equatorial-to-axial epimerizations of OH groups were achieved for several pyranoside substrates. A related protocol employing sodium decatungstate (NaDT) and an amine-substituted disulfide pre-catalyst with an aqueous co-solvent enabled epimerizations of OH groups in unprotected sugars and cyclitols. Deuterium exchange experiments implicated the difference in rate of HAT from equatorial *versus* axial C–H bonds to photoexcited decatungstate anion, a consequence of steric effects in the transition state, as the determinant of selectivity. Efficient syntheses of rare sugars and cyclitols, including L-quinovose, D-idose and scyllo-inositol, were achieved using this approach.

Taking advantage of selective boronic ester formation to create a thermodynamic preference for *cis*- *versus* *trans*-1,2-diol motifs, Oswood and MacMillan accomplished selective epimerizations of OH groups in various diol-containing substrates, including carbohydrate derivatives (Scheme 61).¹³¹ The optimized protocol involves the use of tetrabutylphosphonium decatungstate (TBPDT) and diphenyl disulfide to accomplish epimerization *via* photocatalytic HAT, along with



Scheme 61 Methylboronic acid-mediated photocatalytic *trans*-to-*cis* diol epimerization of glucopyranosides. DBPDT denotes tetrabutylphosphonium decatungstate, $[\text{Bu}_4\text{P}^+]_4[\text{W}_{10}\text{O}_{32}]^{4-}$.

methylboronic acid as the diol-complexing agent. The methylboronic ester product was converted to the corresponding free diol by treatment with pinanediol. Whereas methyl α -glucopyranoside underwent epimerization at C2 to yield the α -mannopyranoside, a C3-selective epimerization (*gluco* to *allo* stereochemistry) was achieved using the β -anomer. The results are consistent with a sterically controlled H-atom abstraction by the photoexcited decatungstate anion.

6.4. Site-selective oxidations

Site-selective, aerobic oxidations of carbohydrate derivatives to ketosugars have been achieved by subjecting pyranosides to the conditions of photocatalytic HAT.¹³² α -Glucopyranosides underwent oxidation at C3 under an air atmosphere in acetonitrile using an acridinium photocatalyst and quinuclidine as HAT co-catalyst (Scheme 62). The superoxide anion O_2^- , generated by SET from the reduced photocatalyst to O_2 , was proposed to act as the trapping agent for the sugar-derived radical. Molecular sieves (4 Å pore size) were found to have a beneficial effect on yield, likely by preventing the buildup of hydrogen peroxide, which is a byproduct of the aerobic oxidation process. Selective oxidations of *cis*-diol groups were also conducted, using the oxidation-resistant 2-carboxyphenylboronic acid (2-CBPA) as a bond-weakening co-catalyst. The authors proposed that *manno*-configured substrates underwent initial oxidation at the 2-position, in keeping with previous observations on organoboron/HAT co-catalysis (*e.g.*, Scheme 52), followed by a base-mediated isomerization to the more stable 3-ketosugar. The oxidation/isomerization was accompanied by epimerization at the 2-position, giving rise to the α -*gluco*-configured product. Selective, blue-light-mediated aerobic oxidations of α -glucopyranosides at the 3-position were also achieved by Liu, Wang and co-workers, using riboflavin tetraacetate and quinuclidine as the photoredox/HAT co-catalyst system, along with TBAP and MnO_2 as additives.¹³³

Lectka, Dudding and co-workers have investigated the photochemical reactivity of sugar-derived ketals with Selectfluor in the presence of xanthone as a photoinitiator (Scheme 63).¹³⁴ α -Fluoroketals were generated site-selectively from various



Scheme 62 Photocatalytic aerobic oxidations of carbohydrate derivatives. See Fig. 1 for the structure of Acr-1⁺ and Acr-2⁺. 2-CBPA denotes 2-carboxyphenylboronic acid.





Scheme 63 Photocatalytic method for the preparation of carbohydrate-derived α -fluoroketals.

bis(isopropylidene)furanose and pyranose substrates (the transformation is included in this section because the *gem*-oxyfluoride products, which were stable to isolation when bearing the ketal protective group, are at the ketosugar oxidation level). Noting that the 2-position of bis(isopropylidene)galactopyranose is not the site of the weakest C–H bond, the authors used DFT calculations (IEFPCM(_{MeCN})/UB3LYP/6-311++G(2d,2p)//UB3LYP/6-31G(d)) to model the transition state for HAT to the Selectfluor-derived radical dication. As depicted in Scheme 63, the transition state is stabilized by noncovalent interactions, including C–H \cdots O hydrogen bonds between the quinuclidinium α -hydrogens and a ketal oxygen.

6.5. Intramolecular HAT

Intramolecular hydrogen atom transfer provides a strategy for generating radicals at positions that might otherwise be difficult. A pioneering example was achieved by the Rovis group, who showed that an anomeric radical could be generated by intramolecular HAT to a pendant amidyl radical in a 2-deoxy-*C*-glycoside substrate.¹³⁵ Kuninobu and co-workers took advantage of intramolecular HAT to achieve C2-selective functionalizations of a sulfamate-bearing fructopyranoside derivative (Scheme 64).¹³⁶ The *N*-centered radical generated by oxidation and deprotonation of the sulfamate group underwent 1,6-HAT to form the radical at the 2-position. Hydrolysis of the sulfamate to the corresponding alcohol was accomplished treatment with sodium azide.


Blue light-mediated cyclizations of carbohydrate-derived trichloroacetimidates to oxazolines were developed by Shaw and Kumar, with 1,5-HAT to a nitrogen-centered radical serving as a key step in the process (Scheme 65).¹³⁷ *N*-Iodination of the trichloroacetimidate *in situ*, followed by photochemical



Scheme 64 Selective C2-alkylation of a fructopyranose-derived sulfamate by intramolecular HAT. Ir-1 denotes $[\text{Ir}(\text{dF}(\text{CF}_3)\text{ppy})_2(\text{dtbbpy})]\text{PF}_6$.


homolysis of the N–I bond, resulted in the formation of an *N*-centered radical. After 1,5-HAT, the generated carbon-centered radical underwent oxidation and cyclization to form the oxazoline. α -Manno-configured trichloroacetimidates gave rise to *cis*-fused oxazoline products, along with isomeric oxazolines arising from an O-to-N rearrangement of the imidate as side products in some cases. Spiro-fused oxazolines were obtained from gluco- and mannopyranosides having a trichloroacetimidate group at the 6-position.

Pérez-Martín, Suárez and co-workers have devised processes for cyclization and epimerization reactions of sugar derivatives initiated by intramolecular HAT to alkoxy radicals generated from *N*-alkoxyphthalimides.¹³⁸ Both transformations depicted in Scheme 66 involve intramolecular 1,5-HAT to an alkoxy radical; the spiroketal is formed by subsequent 1,2-phosphatoxy migration and cyclization, whereas the *gluco-to-ido*



Scheme 65 Synthesis of fused oxazoline derivatives from sugar-derived trichloroacetimidates.






Scheme 66 Photocatalytic processes involving 1,5-HAT to alkoxy radicals.

epimerization involves a stereoselective hydrogen atom transfer to the radical at the 5-position. In general, the use of photocatalytic conditions gave rise to lower yields of the desired products than were obtained using azobis(isobutyronitrile) (AIBN) as an initiator in the absence of light.

7. Functional group interconversions at carbohydrate OH and SH groups

7.1. Functionalization of OH groups

A method for the synthesis of *O*-aryl glycosides from anomeric hemiacetals and bromoarenes was reported by the groups of Wang and Xiao (Scheme 67).¹³⁹ Adapting a protocol developed by the MacMillan group,¹⁴⁰ the authors employed the Ir-1 photocatalyst along with a Ni(II)/dtbbpy co-catalyst to achieve the C–O couplings. Benzyl-protected pyranose derivatives underwent *O*-arylation, generally resulting in axially configured glycosides as the major products. Electron-deficient bromoarenes or bromopyridines were the most suitable coupling partners; the anomeric stereoselectivity was found to vary




Scheme 67 Synthesis of aryl glycosides by couplings of anomeric hemiacetals with bromoarenes. Ir-1 denotes [Ir(dF(CF₃)ppy)₂(dtbbpy)]PF₆; dtbbpy denotes 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine; Ar denotes 4-acetylphenyl.


depending on the phenol partner employed. The protocol complements conventional methods for *O*-aryl glycoside synthesis, which generally involve displacements of leaving groups from the anomeric center by phenoxide nucleophiles. According to the mechanistic proposal advanced by the MacMillan group, oxidation of an arylnickel(II) alkoxide to the corresponding Ni(III) species by photoexcited Ir-1 accelerates C–O bond-forming reductive elimination, generating a Ni(I) complex that undergoes SET with the reduced photocatalyst to close both cycles.

7.2. Functionalization of SH groups

Thiol–ene reactions of glycosyl thiols are a powerful tool for the conjugation of sugars to complex partners, as the conditions employed are mild and functional-group tolerant, and the resulting *S*-glycosidic linkages are often resistant to enzyme-mediated hydrolysis.¹⁴¹ Building on a UV-initiated conjugation of glycosyl thiols reported by the Davis group,¹⁴² Wang and co-workers developed a blue light-initiated coupling of thiols, including glycosyl congeners, with alkenes, in the presence of the acridinium photocatalyst Acr-2⁺BF₄[−] (Scheme 68).¹⁴³ Mixed organic/aqueous solvent (1 : 1 H₂O/MeCN by volume) was also a suitable solvent for the transformation.¹⁴⁴ Oxidation of the thiol by photoexcited Acr-2⁺, followed by deprotonation, was



Scheme 68 Blue light-initiated thiol–ene reaction of a glycosyl thiol. See Fig. 1 for the structure of Acr-1⁺.



Scheme 69 Couplings of 1-glycosylthiols with haloarenes and haloalkenes. dtbbpy denotes 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl-2,2'-bipyridine; Ar denotes 4-cyanophenyl; PMP denotes 4-methoxyphenyl.




proposed to generate the reactive thiyl radical, thus initiating the radical chain process for the thiol–ene reaction. The authors proposed that adventitious oxygen is responsible for re-oxidation of [Acr-2][•]. A red-light-induced protocol has been developed for thiol–ene and thiol–yne reactions of per-*O*-acetylated β -glucopyranosyl thiol, using non-metallated tetraphenylporphyrin as photocatalyst.¹⁴⁵

Synergistic nickel/photocatalysis has been employed to achieve functionalizations of the SH group in glycosyl thiols. The group of Messaoudi showed that per-*O*-acetylated 1-thio-sugars could be coupled with aryl, heteroaryl, vinyl and alkynyl iodides or bromides to generate the corresponding β -*S*-glycosides (Scheme 69).¹⁴⁶ Ammonium silicate salt **18** serves as a precursor to an alkyl radical, which generates the reactive thiyl radical *via* HAT.¹⁴⁷


7.3. Protective group manipulations

Protocols for visible light-mediated oxidative deprotection of benzyl ethers in carbohydrate derivatives were reported by Seeberger, Pieber and co-workers (Scheme 70).¹⁴⁸ Irradiation of sugar-derived benzyl ethers with green light (525 nm) in the presence of 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) resulted in the corresponding free alcohols, including examples of substrates bearing functional groups that would be incompatible with conventional conditions for debenzilation (hydrogenolysis or Birch reduction). An alternative protocol employing catalytic DDQ under an air atmosphere, along with *tert*-butyl nitrite as an additive, was also described. The key benzylic oxocarbenium ion leading to C–O bond cleavage could arise *via* a combination of SET and HAT steps involving the triplet excited state of DDQ. The nitrite likely serves as a precursor to nitrous oxide, which acts as a redox mediator for the regeneration of DDQ by aerobic oxidation. A variant of the protocol using 440 nm blue light with relatively short irradiation times (3–10 min) was demonstrated in a flow reactor.

Another approach for visible-light-mediated deprotections of benzyl ethers in carbohydrate derivatives was reported by Xia and co-workers.¹⁴⁹ Using the substituted phenol pre-catalyst DBPP, along with co-catalytic *n*-butanethiol and cesium formate as stoichiometric reductant, debenzylations of




Scheme 70 Green light-mediated, selective deprotection of pyranoside-derived benzyl ethers.



Scheme 71 Visible light-mediated debenzylation using a phenoxide photocatalyst and co-catalytic thiol.

alcohols, esters, amides and anilines were achieved, as well as deprotections of other moieties having benzylic C–X bonds, including PMB, BOM, CBz and benzylidene acetal groups (Scheme 71). In the authors' proposed photocatalytic cycle, single-electron reduction of the substituted aryl group is



Scheme 72 Sequential glycosylations enabled by a visible light-sensitive, BODIPY-based protective group.



accomplished by the photoexcited phenoxide catalyst. Fragmentation of the substrate-derived radical anion generates the alkoxide along with tolyl radical, which is trapped *via* HAT from the thiol co-catalyst. Regeneration of the photocatalyst and thiol is accompanied by oxidation of formate to CO₂.

Carbonates bearing substituted 4-bora-3a,4a-diaza-s-indacene (BODIPY) moieties have been explored as visible light-labile protective groups for OH groups in carbohydrates. Identifying a protective group that was stable to the conditions of thioglycoside activation with *N*-iodosuccinimide and triflic acid, while also undergoing visible light-induced deprotection at a reasonable rate, proved to be a key consideration in the study conducted by the groups of Seeberger and Winter.¹⁵⁰ The F-BODIPY-derivative was found to possess an appropriate balance of these characteristics, enabling the glycosylation-deprotection-glycosylation sequence depicted in Scheme 72. The proposed mechanism for the deprotection step involves photoinduced heterolytic cleavage of the C–O bond, generating an ion pair.

8. Conclusions

We hope that it will be clear from the preceding discussion that the application of photocatalysis and photochemistry to carbohydrate synthesis is a vibrant and active area of research that is evolving rapidly along several fronts. Radical chemistry makes it possible to conduct key bond constructions and functional group manipulations on derivatives having free OH groups, a significant advantage in the context of carbohydrate substrates. A strong argument can be made that photocatalytic protocols already constitute the state-of-the-art methods for addressing important challenges in carbohydrate chemistry, including *C*-glycoside synthesis and the preparation of rare sugar building blocks. The future of this field is full of promise. Given the rapid pace at which light-induced reactions of organic compounds are being discovered and developed, it is a certainty that new transformations of sugars will be identified, providing access to unexplored regions of chemical space. Exciting opportunities exist to build understanding of the factors that influence stereoselectivity and site-selectivity in processes involving sugar-derived radical intermediates, and to develop new selective processes that rely on reagent or catalyst control. Much of the chemistry described in this review has been conducted using monosaccharide derivatives, the simplest sugars. Applications to oligosaccharides, polysaccharides, nucleic acid polymers and other complex glycans present challenges, but could provide new tools to interrogate and perturb the functions of these important classes of biomolecules.

Author contributions

All authors contributed to writing the original draft and reviewing and editing the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NSERC and the Province of Ontario.

Notes and references

- (a) R. W. Binkley, *Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. Biochem.*, 1981, **38**, 105–193; (b) E. R. Binkley and R. W. Binkley, *Carbohydrate Photochemistry*, American Chemical Society, Washington DC, 1998.
- (a) D. A. Nicewicz and D. W. C. MacMillan, *Science*, 2008, **322**, 77–80; (b) M. A. Ischay, M. E. Anzovino, J. Du and T. P. Yoon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 12886–12887.
- (a) T. P. Yoon, M. A. Ischay and J. Du, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, **2**, 527–532; (b) J. M. R. Narayanam and C. R. J. Stephenson, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 102–113; (c) J. Xuan and W.-J. Xiao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 6828–6838; (d) C. K. Prier, D. A. Rankic and D. W. C. MacMillan, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 5322–5363; (e) M. H. Shaw, J. Twilton and D. W. C. MacMillan, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, **81**, 6898–6926; (f) N. A. Romero and D. A. Nicewicz, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 10075–10166; (g) J. Twilton, C. C. Le, P. Zhang, M. H. Shaw, R. W. Evans and D. W. C. MacMillan, *Nat. Rev. Chem.*, 2017, **1**, 0052.
- F. Strieth-Kalthoff, M. J. James, M. Teders, L. Pitzer and F. Glorius, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 7190–7202.
- N. Holmberg-Douglas and D. A. Nicewicz, *Chem. Rev.*, 2022, **122**, 1925–2016.
- G. C. Choi, Q. Zhu, D. C. Miller, C. J. Gu and R. R. Knowles, *Nature*, 2016, **539**, 268–271.
- A. Joshi-Pangu, F. Lévesque, H. G. Roth, S. F. Oliver, L.-C. Campeau, D. Nicewicz and D. A. DiRocco, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, **81**, 7244–7249.
- F. Le Vaillant, M. Garreau, S. Nicolai, G. Gryn'ova, C. Corminboeuf and J. Waser, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 5883–5889.
- G. E. M. Crisenza, D. Mazzarella and P. Melchiorre, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 5461–5476.
- K. P. S. Cheung, S. Sarkar and V. Gevorgyan, *Chem. Rev.*, 2022, **122**, 1543–1625.
- (a) J. R. Ragains, in *Comprehensive Glycoscience*, ed. J. Barchi Jr, Elsevier, Oxford, 2nd edn, 2021, ch. 11, vol. 2, pp. 328–364; (b) Z. Azeem and P. K. Mandal, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2023, **365**, 2818–2849.
- (a) L.-Y. Xu, N.-L. Fan and X.-G. Hu, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2020, **18**, 5095–5109; (b) A. Chen, L. Xu, Z. Zhou, S. Zhao, T. Yang and F. Zhu, *J. Carbohydr. Chem.*, 2022, **40**, 361–400; (c) T. Ghosh and T. Nokami, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 2022, **522**, 108677; (d) W. Shang and D. Niu, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2023, **56**, 2473–2488; (e) Y. Jiang, Y. Zhang, B. C. Lee and M. J. Koh, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e2023051238.
- C. E. Suh, H. M. Carder and A. E. Wendlandt, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2021, **16**, 1814–1828.
- A. Shatskiy, E. V. Stepanova and M. D. Kärkäs, *Nat. Rev. Chem.*, 2022, **6**, 782–805.
- Handbook of Chemical Glycosylation: Advances in Stereoselectivity and Therapeutic Relevance*, ed. A. V. Demchenko, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2008.



- 16 (a) R. Iwata, K. Uda, D. Takahashi and K. Toshima, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 10695–10698; (b) K. Kimura, T. Eto, D. Takahashi and K. Toshima, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 3190–3193; (c) G. Zhao and T. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 6120–6124; (d) J. Liu, S. Yin, H. Wang, H. Li and G. Ni, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 2020, **490**, 107963; (e) J. Li, G. Zhao and T. Wang, *Synlett*, 2020, **31**, 823–828; (f) G. Zhao, J. Li and T. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, **57**, 12659–12662.
- 17 (a) J. D. Timpa, M. G. Legendre, G. W. Griffin and P. K. Das, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1983, **117**, 69–80; (b) J. D. Timpa and G. W. Griffin, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1984, **131**, 185–196; (c) S. Hashimoto, I. Kurimoto, Y. Fujii and R. Noyori, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 1427–1429.
- 18 G. W. Griffin, N. C. Bandara, M. A. Clarke, W.-S. Tsang, P. J. Garegg, S. Oscarson and B. A. Silwanis, *Heterocycles*, 1990, **30**, 939–947.
- 19 T. Furuta, K. Takeuchi and M. Iwamura, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 157–158.
- 20 I. Cumpsey and D. Crich, *J. Carbohydr. Chem.*, 2011, **30**, 469–485.
- 21 W. J. Wever, M. A. Cinelli and A. A. Bowers, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 30–33.
- 22 M. Spell, X. Wang, A. E. Wahba, E. Conner and J. Ragains, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 2013, **369**, 42–47.
- 23 R.-Z. Mao, F. Guo, D.-C. Xiong, Q. Li, J. Duan and X.-S. Ye, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2016, **3**, 737–743.
- 24 P. O. Adero, H. Amarasekara, P. Wen, L. Bohé and D. Crich, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **17**, 8242–8284.
- 25 Y. Yu, D.-C. Xiong, R.-Z. Mao and X.-S. Ye, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, **81**, 7134–7138.
- 26 Y. Cao, M. Zhou, R.-Z. Mao, Y. Zou, F. Xia, D.-K. Liu, J. Liu, Q. Li, D.-C. Xiong and X.-S. Ye, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, 10899–10902.
- 27 M. L. Spell, K. Deveaux, C. G. Bresnahan, B. L. Bernard, W. Sheffield, R. Kumar and J. R. Ragains, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 6515–6519.
- 28 C. Zhang, H. Zuo, G. Y. Lee, Y. Zou, Q.-D. Dang, K. N. Houk and D. Niu, *Nat. Chem.*, 2022, **14**, 686–694.
- 29 P. Wen and D. Crich, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, **19**, 2402–2405.
- 30 M. Krumb, T. Lucas and T. Opatz, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2019, 4517–4521.
- 31 Y. Fu, J. L. Dickson, R. S. Loka, H. Xu, R. N. Scaugaard, H. B. Schlegel, L. Luo and H. M. Nguyen, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 5990–6001.
- 32 R. N. Scaugaard, H. M. Nguyen and H. B. Schlegel, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2021, **60**, 12801–12812.
- 33 S. Kim, Y. Khomutnyk, A. Bannykh and P. Nagorny, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, **23**, 190–194.
- 34 L.-Y. Xu, N.-L. Fan and X.-G. Hu, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2020, **18**, 5095–5109.
- 35 (a) B. Giese and J. Dupuis, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1983, **22**, 622–623; (b) J. Dupuis, B. Giese, D. Rügge, H. Fischer, H.-G. Korth and R. Sustmann, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1984, **23**, 896–898; (c) B. Giese and J. Dupuis, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1984, **25**, 1349–1352.
- 36 R. S. Andrews, J. J. Becker and M. Gagné, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 7274–7276.
- 37 Y. Yang and B. Yu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **117**, 12281–12356.
- 38 R. S. Andrews, J. J. Becker and M. R. Gagné, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 4140–4143.
- 39 Q.-Q. Zhou, S. J. S. Düsel, L.-Q. Lu, B. König and W.-J. Xiao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 107–110.
- 40 C.-Y. Li, Y. Ma, Z.-W. Lei and X.-G. Hu, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, **23**, 8899–8904.
- 41 L. Xia, W. Fan, X.-A. Yuan and S. Yu, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 9397–9406.
- 42 R.-Q. Jiao, Y.-N. Ding, M. Li, W.-Y. Shi, X. Chen, Z. Zhang, W.-X. Wei, X.-S. Li, X.-P. Gong, Y.-Y. Luan, X.-Y. Liu and Y.-M. Liang, *Org. Lett.*, 2023, **25**, 6099–6104.
- 43 L. Xia, M. Jin, Y. Jiao and S. Yu, *Org. Lett.*, 2022, **24**, 364–368.
- 44 A. Y. Chan, I. B. Perry, N. B. Bissonnette, B. F. Buksh, G. A. Edwards, L. I. Frye, O. L. Garry, M. N. Lavagnino, B. X. Li, Y. Liang, E. Mao, A. Millet, J. V. Oakley, N. L. Reed, H. A. Sakai, C. P. Seath and D. W. C. MacMillan, *Chem. Rev.*, 2022, **122**, 1485–1542.
- 45 Z.-D. Mou, J.-X. Wang, X. Zhang and D. Niu, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2021, **363**, 3025–3029.
- 46 R. Mao, S. Xi, S. Shah, M. J. Roy, A. John, J. P. Lingford, G. Gäde, N. E. Scott and E. D. Goddard-Borger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 12699–12707.
- 47 Y. Wei, Q. Wang and M. J. Koh, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202214247.
- 48 M. Li, Y.-F. Qiu, C.-T. Wang, X.-S. Li, W.-X. Wei, Y.-Z. Wang, Q.-F. Bao, Y.-N. Ding, W.-Y. Shi and Y.-M. Liang, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, **22**, 6288–6293.
- 49 P. Ji, Y. Zhang, F. Gao, F. Bi and W. Wang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 13079–13084.
- 50 H. Abe, S. Shuto and A. Matsuda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 11870–11882.
- 51 Y. Ma, S. Liu, Y. Xi, H. Li, K. Yang, Z. Cheng, W. Wang and Y. Zhang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 14657–14660.
- 52 Z. Zuo, D. T. Ahneman, L. Chu, J. A. Terrett, A. G. Doyle and D. W. C. MacMillan, *Science*, 2014, **345**, 437–440.
- 53 M. Zhu and S. Messaoudi, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 6334–6342.
- 54 K. Lu, Y. Ma, S. Liu, S. Guo and Y. Zhang, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2022, **40**, 681–686.
- 55 Y. Wei, B. Ben-zvi and T. Diao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 9433–9438.
- 56 Y. Wei, J. Lam and T. Diao, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 11414–11419.
- 57 C. Zhao, X. Jia, X. Wang and H. Gong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 17645–17651.
- 58 A. Chen, S. Zhao, Y. Han, Z. Zhou, B. Yang, L.-G. Xie, M. A. Walczak and F. Zhu, *Chem. Sci.*, 2023, **14**, 7569–7580.
- 59 Z. Dong and D. W. C. MacMillan, *Nature*, 2021, **598**, 451–456.
- 60 F. Zhu, S.-q. Zhang, Z. Chen, J. Rui, X. Hong and M. A. Walczak, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 11102–11113.
- 61 D. Takeda, M. Yoritake, H. Yasutomi, S. Chiba, T. Moriyama, A. Yokoo, K. Usui and G. Hirai, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, **23**, 1940–1944.
- 62 J. C. Tellis, D. N. Primer and G. A. Molander, *Science*, 2014, **345**, 433–436.



- 63 E. M. Miller and M. A. Walczak, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, **23**, 4289–4293.
- 64 L.-Q. Wan, X. Zhang, Y. Zou, R. Shi, J.-G. Cao, S.-Y. Xu, L.-D. Deng, L. Zhou, Y. Gong, X. Shu, G. Y. Lee, H. Ren, L. Dai, S. Qi, K. N. Houk and D. Niu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 11919–11926.
- 65 C. Zhang, S.-Y. Xu, H. Zuo, X. Zhang, Q.-D. Dang and D. Niu, *Nat. Synth.*, 2023, **2**, 251–260.
- 66 Q. Wang, B. C. Lee, T. J. Tan, Y. Jiang, W. H. Ser and M. J. Koh, *Nat. Synth.*, 2022, **1**, 967–974.
- 67 (a) S. Xu, W. Zhang, C. Li, Y. Li, H. Zeng, Y. Wang, Y. Zhang and D. Niu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202218303; (b) H. Zeng, Y. Li, R. Wu, D. Li, Y. Zhang, S. Xu and D. Niu, *Org. Lett.*, 2023, DOI: [10.1021/acs.orglett.3c00833](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.3c00833), in press doi: .
- 68 T. Miura, M. Yoritake and G. Hirai, *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, **59**, 8564–8567.
- 69 D. Xie, Y. Wang, X. Zhang, Z. Fu and D. Niu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202204922.
- 70 B. Giese, K. S. Gröninger, T. Witzel, H.-G. Korth and R. Sustmann, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1987, **26**, 233–234.
- 71 (a) P. Wessig and O. Muehling, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **14**, 2219–2232; (b) B. Matsuo, A. Granados, J. Majhi, M. Sharique, G. Levitre and G. A. Molander, *ACS Org. Inorg. Au*, 2022, **2**, 435–454; (c) F.-L. Zhang, B. Li, K. N. Houk and Y.-F. Wang, *JACS Au*, 2022, **2**, 1032–1042.
- 72 G. Zhao, W. Yao, J. N. Mauro and M.-Y. Ngai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 1728–1734.
- 73 G. Zhao, U. Mukherjee, L. Zhou, Y. Wu, W. Yao, J. N. Mauro, P. Liu and M.-Y. Ngai, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 6276–6282.
- 74 W. Yao, G. Zhao, Y. Wu, L. Zhou, U. Mukherjee, P. Liu and M.-Y. Ngai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 3353–3359.
- 75 G. Zhao, W. Yao, I. Kevlishvili, J. N. Mauro, P. Liu and M.-Y. Ngai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 8590–8596.
- 76 C. S. Bennett and M. C. Galan, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 7931–7985.
- 77 (a) K. C. Nicolaou, T. Ladduwahetty, J. L. Randall and A. Chucholowski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 2466–2467; (b) W. R. Roush and C. E. Bennett, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 3541–3542.
- 78 (a) J. D. Nguyen, E. M. D'Amato, J. M. R. Narayanam and C. R. J. Stephenson, *Nat. Chem.*, 2012, **4**, 854–859; (b) H. Kim and C. Lee, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 12303–12306.
- 79 T. Luo, Y.-F. Guo, T.-T. Xu and H. Dong, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2023, **88**, 8024–8033.
- 80 J.-T. Ge, L. Zhou, T. Luo, J. Lv and H. Dong, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **21**, 5903–5906.
- 81 Y. Ito, A. Kimura, T. Osawa and Y. Hari, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **83**, 10701–10708.
- 82 K. Zhang, L. Chang, Q. An, X. Wang and Z. Zuo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 10556–10564.
- 83 (a) S. O. Badir, A. Dumoulin, J. K. Matsui and G. A. Molander, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 6610–6613; (b) A. Dumoulin, J. K. Matsui, A. Gutiérrez-Bonet and G. A. Molander, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 6614–6618; (c) J. P. Phelan, S. B. Lang, J. Sim, S. Berritt, A. J. Peat, K. Billings, L. Fan and G. A. Molander, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 3723–3732.
- 84 (a) J. K. Matsui, A. Gutiérrez-Bonet, M. Rotella, R. Alam, O. Gutierrez and G. A. Molander, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 15847–15851; (b) Z.-J. Wang, S. Zheng, E. Romero, J. K. Matsui and G. A. Molander, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **21**, 6543–6547.
- 85 A. Lipp, S. O. Badir, R. Dykstra, O. Gutierrez and G. A. Molander, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2021, **363**, 3507–3520.
- 86 I. Kim, S. Park and S. Hong, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, **22**, 8730–8734.
- 87 K. B. Pal, E. M. Di Tomasso, A. K. Inge and B. Olofsson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, e202301368.
- 88 P. Ji, Y. Zhang, Y. Wei, H. Huang, W. Hu, P. A. Mariano and W. Wang, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **21**, 3086–3092.
- 89 I. C. S. Wan, M. D. Witte and A. J. Minnaard, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **21**, 7669–7673.
- 90 Y. Ito, N. Tsutsui, T. Osawa and Y. Hari, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2019, **84**, 9093–9100.
- 91 R. Qi, C. Wang, Z. Ma, H. Wang, Q. Chen, L. Liu, D. Pan, X. Ren, R. Wang and Z. Xu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202200822.
- 92 Y.-N. Ding, N. Li, Y.-C. Huang, Y. An and Y.-M. Liang, *Org. Lett.*, 2022, **24**, 4519–4523.
- 93 M. Escolano, M. J. Cabrera-Afonso, M. Ribagorda, S. O. Badir and G. A. Molander, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2022, **87**, 4981–4990.
- 94 H. Ding, N. Yan, P. Wang, N. Song, Q. Sun, T. Li and M. Li, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2022, **9**, 2808–2814.
- 95 E. Ota, H. Wang, N. L. Frye and R. R. Knowles, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 1457–1462.
- 96 T. Matsuoka, S. Inuki, T. Miyagawa, S. Oishi and H. Ohno, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2020, **85**, 8271–8278.
- 97 C. Su, R. Madhavachary, A. Noble and V. K. Aggarwal, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, **22**, 7213–7218.
- 98 (a) S. J. Danishefsky and M. T. Bilodeau, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1996, **35**, 1380–1419; (b) H. H. Kinf, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2019, **17**, 4153–4182.
- 99 G. Goti, *ChemCatChem*, 2022, **14**, e202200290.
- 100 A. Borbás, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2020, **26**, 6090–6101.
- 101 B. Wang, D.-C. Xiong and X.-S. Ye, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 5698–5701.
- 102 C. Taillefumier and Y. Chapleur, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 263–292.
- 103 C. J.-M. Frédéric, J. Cornil, M. Vandamme, L. Dumitrescu, A. Tikad, R. Robiette and S. P. Vincent, *Org. Lett.*, 2018, **20**, 6769–6773.
- 104 W.-Z. Shi, H. Li, G.-C. Mu, J.-L. Lu, Y.-H. Tu and X.-G. Hu, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, **23**, 2659–2663.
- 105 K. M. Nakafuku, S. C. Fosu and D. A. Nagib, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 11202–11205.
- 106 H. Li, K.-C. Yu, J.-K. Su, W. Ouyang, N.-L. Fan and X.-G. Hu, *Green Chem.*, 2022, **24**, 8280–8291.
- 107 K.-M. Liu, P.-Y. Wang, Z.-Y. Guo, D.-C. Xiong, X.-J. Qin, M. Liu, M. Liu, W.-Y. Xue and X.-S. Ye, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202114726.
- 108 E. W. M. Flores, M. L. Uhrig and A. Postigo, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2020, **18**, 8724–8734.



- 109 F. Rasool, A. H. Bhat, N. Hussain and D. Mukherjee, *ChemistrySelect*, 2016, **1**, 6553–6557.
- 110 (a) J. Stubbe and W. A. van der Donk, *Chem. Rev.*, 1998, **98**(143), 13705–13762; (b) M. W. Ruzsyczky, Y. Ogasawara and H.-W. Liu, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 2012, **1824**, 1231–1244.
- 111 (a) L. Capaldo, D. Ravelli and M. Fagnoni, *Chem. Rev.*, 2022, **122**, 1875–1924; (b) N. Holmberg-Douglas and D. A. Nicewicz, *Chem. Rev.*, 2022, **122**, 1925–2016; (c) J. Zhang and M. Rueping, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2023, **52**, 4099–4120.
- 112 J. A. Jeffrey, J. A. Terrett and D. W. C. MacMillan, *Science*, 2015, **349**, 1532–1536.
- 113 B. P. Roberts, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1999, **28**, 25–35.
- 114 I. C. Wan, M. D. Witte and A. J. Minnaard, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 4926–4929.
- 115 J. A. Turner, T. Adrianov, M. A. Zakaria and M. S. Taylor, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2022, **87**, 1421–1433.
- 116 J. A. Turner, T. Adrianov and M. S. Taylor, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2023, **88**, 5713–5730.
- 117 F. Ghorbani, S. A. Harry, J. N. Capilato, C. R. Pitts, J. Joram, G. N. Peters, J. D. Tovar, I. Smajlagic, M. A. Siegler, T. Dudding and T. Lectka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 14710–14724.
- 118 H. Cao, T. Guo, X. Deng, X. Huo, S. Tang, J. Liu and X. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2022, **58**, 9934–9937.
- 119 V. Dimakos, H. Y. Su, G. E. Garrett and M. S. Taylor, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 5149–5153.
- 120 M. S. Taylor, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **48**, 295–305.
- 121 D. J. Gorelik, J. A. Turner, T. S. Virk, D. A. Foucher and M. S. Taylor, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, **23**, 5180–5185.
- 122 V. Dimakos and M. S. Taylor, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 11457–11517.
- 123 Y. Li and Y. Kuninobu, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2023, **365**, 2577–2587.
- 124 V. Dimakos, D. Gorelik, H. Y. Su, G. E. Garrett, G. Hughes, H. Shibayama and M. S. Taylor, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 1531–1537.
- 125 Y. Masuda, H. Tsuda and M. Murakami, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 2755–2759.
- 126 H. M. Carder, C. E. Suh and A. E. Wendlandt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 13798–13805.
- 127 J. A. Turner, N. Rosano, D. J. Gorelik and M. S. Taylor, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 11171–11179.
- 128 R. Lenz and B. Giese, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 2784–2794.
- 129 Y. Wang, H. M. Carder and A. E. Wendlandt, *Nature*, 2020, **578**, 403–408.
- 130 H. M. Carder, Y. Wang and A. E. Wendlandt, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 11870–11877.
- 131 C. J. Oswood and D. W. C. MacMillan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 93–98.
- 132 D. J. Gorelik, V. Dimakos, T. Adrianov and M. S. Taylor, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, **57**, 12135–12138.
- 133 M. Wu, Q. Jiang, Q. Tian, T. Guo, F. Cai, S. Tang, J. Liu and X. Wang, *CCS Chem.*, 2022, **4**, 3599–3608.
- 134 J. N. Capilato, C. R. Pitts, R. Rowshanpour, T. Dudding and T. Lectka, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2020, **85**, 2855–2864.
- 135 D.-F. Chen, J. C. K. Chu and T. Rovis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 14897–14900.
- 136 Y. Li, S. Miyamoto, T. Torigoe and Y. Kuninobu, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2021, **19**, 3124–3127.
- 137 M. Shaw and A. Kumar, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **21**, 3108–3113.
- 138 (a) E. I. León, A. Martín, A. S. Montes, I. Pérez-Martín, M. del Sol Rodríguez and E. Suárez, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2021, **86**, 14508–14552; (b) A. S. Montes, E. I. León, A. Martín, I. Pérez-Martín and E. Suárez, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2022, e202101391.
- 139 H. Ye, C. Xiao, Q.-Q. Zhou, P. G. Wang and W.-J. Xiao, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **83**, 13325–13334.
- 140 J. A. Terrett, J. D. Cuthbertson, V. W. Shurtleff and D. W. C. MacMillan, *Nature*, 2015, **524**, 330–334.
- 141 L. McSweeney, F. Dénès and E. M. Scanlan, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, 2080–2095.
- 142 N. Floyd, B. Vijaykrishnan, J. R. Koeppel and B. G. Davis, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 7798–7802.
- 143 G. Zhao, S. Kaur and T. Wang, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, **19**, 3291–3294.
- 144 S. Kaur, D. P. Luciano, X. Fan, G. Zhao, S. Messier, M. M. Walker, Q. Zhang and T. Wang, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2021, **86**, 153499.
- 145 K. Rybicka-Jasinska, T. Wdowik, K. Łuczak, A. J. Wierzbna, O. Drapała and D. Gryko, *ACS Org. Inorg. Au*, 2022, **2**, 422–426.
- 146 M. Zhu, G. Dagousset, M. Alami, E. Magnier and S. Messaoudi, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **21**, 5132–5137.
- 147 M. Jouffroy, C. B. Kelly and G. A. Molander, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 876–879.
- 148 C. Cavedon, E. T. Sletten, A. Madani, O. Niemeyer, P. H. Seeberger and B. Pieber, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **23**, 514–518.
- 149 K. Liang, X. Li, D. Wei, C. Jin, C. Liu and C. Xia, *Chem*, 2023, **9**, 511–522.
- 150 S. Lechnitz, K. C. Dissanayake, A. H. Winter and P. H. Seeberger, *Chem. Commun.*, 2022, **58**, 10556–10559.

