

Hydrophobic hydration of the hydrocarbon adamantane in amorphous ice†

Sukhpreet K. Talewar,^a Luis Carlos Pardo,^{ID b} Thomas F. Headen,^{ID c} Siriney O. Halukeerthi,^a Bharvi Chikani,^a Alexander Rosu-Finsen^a and Christoph G. Salzmann^{ID *a}

Received 16th May 2023, Accepted 19th June 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3fd00102d


Hydrophobic molecules are by definition difficult to hydrate. Previous studies in the area of hydrophobic hydration have therefore often relied on using amphiphilic molecules where the hydrophilic part of a molecule enabled the solubility in liquid water. Here, we show that the hydrophobic adamantane (C₁₀H₁₆) molecule can be fully hydrated through vapour codeposition with water onto a cryogenic substrate at 80 K resulting in the matrix isolation of adamantane in amorphous ice. Using neutron diffraction in combination with the isotopic substitution method and the empirical potential structure refinement technique, we find that the first hydration shell of adamantane is well structured consisting of a hydrogen-bonded cage of 28 water molecules that is also found in cubic structure II clathrate hydrates. The four hexagonal faces of the 5¹²6⁴ cage are situated above the four methine (CH) groups of adamantane whereas the methylene (CH₂) groups are positioned below the edges of two adjoining pentagonal faces. The oxygen atoms of the 28 water molecules can be categorised on the basis of symmetry equivalences as twelve A, twelve B and four C oxygens. The water molecules of the first hydration shell display orientations consistent with those expected for a clathrate-hydrate-type cage, but also unfavourable ones with respect to the hydrogen bonding between the water molecules. Annealing the samples at 140 K, which is just below the crystallisation temperature of the matrix, removes the unfavourable orientations and leads to a slight increase in the structural order of the first hydration shell. The very closest water molecules display a tendency for their dipole moments to point towards the adamantane which is attributed to steric effects. Other than this, no significant polarisation effects are observed which is consistent with weak interactions between adamantane and the amorphous ice matrix. FT-IR spectroscopy shows that the incorporation of adamantane

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University College London, 20 Gordon Street, London WC1H 0AJ, UK. E-mail: c. salzmann@ucl.ac.uk

^bGrup de Caracterització de Materials, Departament de Física, EEBE, Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya, and Barcelona Research Center in Multiscale Science and Engineering, C/Eduard Maristany 10, E-08019 Barcelona, Spain

^cISIS Pulsed Neutron and Muon Source, STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Harwell Campus, OX11 0QX, UK

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3fd00102d>



into amorphous ice leads to a weakening of the hydrogen bonds. In summary, the matrix-isolation of the highly symmetric adamantane in amorphous ice provides an interesting test case for hydrophobic hydration. Studying the structure and spectroscopic properties of water at the interface with hydrophobic hydrocarbons is also relevant for astrophysical environments, such as comets or the interstellar medium, where amorphous ice and hydrocarbons have been shown to coexist in large quantities.

Introduction

The structure and dynamics of water have been studied experimentally at a wide range of hydrophobic surfaces and interfaces^{1–4} as well as in the vicinity of small hydrophobic molecules.^{5–7} A cross-over in the hydration behaviour between these two regimes has been pointed out.^{8,9} Essentially, as the radii of the hydrophobic species increase, the water molecules are at some point expected to not be able to achieve full hydrogen bonding resulting in a reduction in the coordination number at the hydrophobic interface and hence dangling O–H bonds.

Yet, the insolubility of larger hydrophobic molecules in water makes exploring this cross-over difficult and work in the area has mainly relied on larger amphiphilic molecules where the solubility is provided through a hydrophilic headgroup.^{10,11}


In space, hydrophobic carbon species and amorphous ice have been found to coexist in large quantities. The dominant carbon species are polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and fullerenes, yet diamondoids with adamantane ($C_{10}H_{16}$) as the smallest molecular representative have been identified as well.^{12–15} Several studies have explored the spectroscopic and photochemical properties of PAH/amorphous ice mixtures.^{16–21} The aggregation of pyrene due to the crystallization of the amorphous ice matrix has been investigated²² and matrix-isolated 2-naphthol was used as a spectroscopic probe for investigating the mechanism of the glass transition of amorphous ice at around 136 K,²³ which is governed by reorientation dynamics.^{24,25} Recently, we showed that the presence of C_{60} fullerene in amorphous ice influences its crystallisation temperature once the percolation threshold is reached.²⁶ The thermal desorption properties of water are affected above 60 vol% C_{60} .

Here, we achieve the matrix isolation of adamantane in amorphous ice and study the structure of water in its first hydration shell with neutron diffraction making use of the isotopic substitution method and the empirical structure refinement technique.^{27,28} The high symmetry of the adamantane molecule with its T_d point group and almost spherical structure offers the advantage of simple structural analysis of water in its hydration shell. The possibility of hydrogen isotopic substitution for both the adamantane as well as water means that orientation correlations can be determined with high confidence. In addition to the neutron diffraction experiments, FT-IR spectroscopy is used to probe the effects of adamantane on the hydrogen bonding within the amorphous ice matrix.

Experimental

Materials

Protiated ($C_{10}H_{16}$) and deuterated ($C_{10}D_{16}$) adamantane were used as received from Sigma Aldrich with purities of $\geq 99\%$ and 98 at% D, respectively. Ultrapure



water (H_2O , Milli-Q, Millipore) and heavy water (D_2O , 99.9 at% D) were purchased from Sigma Aldrich.

Sample preparation

The experimental setup for the cryogenic matrix isolation of adamantane in amorphous ice was based on a high-vacuum box chamber purchased from Kurt Lesker as shown schematically in Fig. 1. A similar vacuum chamber was used in previous studies.^{26,29} The new vacuum chamber³⁰ allowed adding liquid nitrogen at the top to cool an 8-inch diameter cryogenic deposition plate (1) directly. Furthermore, a more efficient cold trap was installed before the diffusion pump which could be removed easily for cleaning adamantane residues. The temperatures of the deposition plate (1) and liquid-nitrogen reservoir (2) were recorded with K-type thermocouples (3) using a purpose-made instrument containing an Adafruit Feather 32u4 microcontroller and two Adafruit MAX31856 Universal Thermocouple Amplifiers.

The pressure of the vacuum chamber was monitored with a combination Pirani/cold cathode pressure gauge (PenningVac PTF 90, Oerlikon Leybold





Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of the experimental setup used for the cryogenic co-deposition of adamantane and water vapour. The components inside the chamber are (1) liquid-nitrogen cooled and removable 8-inch diameter copper plate, (2) liquid nitrogen reservoir, (3) K-type thermocouples, (4) metal mesh covering the water vapour inlet tube, (5) Peltier element with copper sample stage and pellet of either $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{16}$ or $\text{C}_{10}\text{D}_{16}$ and (6) quartz crystal microbalance.




Vacuum) and routinely reached 2×10^{-6} mbar. Situated at the bottom of the chamber, a 32 cm long and 2.6 cm diameter stainless-steel tube allowed water vapour to be leaked into the chamber towards the deposition plate which was 15 cm away from the top of the inlet tube. The water-inlet system consists of a needle valve (EV 016 DOS AB, Oerlikon Leybold Vacuum) that allows for adjustment of the amount of water vapor dosed into the chamber and a Pirani pressure sensor (Thermovac TTR91, Oerlikon Leybold Vacuum) used to measure the water-vapour inlet pressure. A round-bottom flask fitted with a Young's tap held the liquid water and was attached to the inlet system. Prior to depositing H₂O, D₂O or a 50% mixture, several freeze–pump–thaw cycles were carried out to degas the water. The absence of dissolved gases and correct isotopic compositions were confirmed with mass spectrometry before each deposition experiment (Hidden HALO 100 with Faraday cup). To baffle the flow of water vapour into the chamber, an iron mesh (4) with 0.5 mm thick wires spaced 1 mm apart was placed directly on top of the water inlet tube.

For each deposition experiment, pellets of either 1 g C₁₀H₁₆ or C₁₀D₁₆ were prepared using a 13 mm-diameter piston-cylinder die and a hydraulic press (Hypress 30 tonne shop press with a powerteam P59B 700 bar hydraulic pump) with maximum pressures of 5 or 8 tonne for C₁₀H₁₆ and C₁₀D₁₆, respectively. For the deposition of adamantane, the vacuum chamber was fitted with a Peltier element (5) from RS Components (37.9 W, 3.9 A, 15.7 V, 30 × 30 mm) attached to an 80 W power supply. One side of the Peltier element was attached to a water-cooled copper finger whereas a 30 × 30 mm copper sample stage was placed on the other side with heat-conducting paste. The pellets were mounted onto the copper stage with heat conducting paste and the temperature of the sample stage was recorded with a K-type thermocouple connected to an IJ-software-based temperature controller.

At the beginning of a deposition experiment, a pellet of either C₁₀H₁₆ or C₁₀D₁₆ was cooled to 240 K to prevent sublimation of the material. The vacuum chamber was then evacuated to a base pressure of 2×10^{-6} mbar and the deposition plate was cooled to a base temperature of 82 K. Water vapour was then leaked into the chamber by adjusting the needle valve to achieve an inlet pressure of 1.00×10^{-1} mbar. At the same time, the voltage of the Peltier element was adjusted to obtain the appropriate temperature for a specific molar ratio of adamantane to water. The deposition time for a typical experiment was 4 hours during which ~3.5 g of water entered the vacuum chamber.

Deposition rates were determined with a quartz-crystal microbalance (QCM), consisting of gold-plated AT-cut 6 MHz plano-convex quartz crystals (Inficon) which were placed inside an Allectra 710-SH sensor head firmly attached to the centre of the deposition plate (6). This sensor was connected to a reflection bridge and a 0.5–60 MHz N2PK vector network analyser through coaxial cables. During deposition, the changes in the fundamental frequency were recorded using the myVNA and QTZ softwares.³¹ The deposition rates were then calculated using a linear fit to the frequency *versus* time data.

In the case of H₂O deposition, the inlet pressure of 1.00×10^{-1} mbar gave a deposition rate of $0.655 \pm 0.002 \mu\text{g cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. The corresponding molar deposition rate is $0.0363 \pm 0.0001 \mu\text{mol cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. The molar deposition rates of D₂O and the 50% mixture were the same within error implying that ideal gas behaviour was valid at the inlet.



The deposition rates of $C_{10}H_{16}$ and $C_{10}D_{16}$ were controlled by adjusting the voltage and hence temperature of the Peltier element. To achieve 1 : 100 adamantane to water molar ratios, $C_{10}H_{16}$ and $C_{10}D_{16}$ were held at 255 K and 245 K, respectively, during the depositions. Overall, six 1 : 100 samples were prepared of the following isotopic compositions: $C_{10}H_{16}@H_2O$, $C_{10}H_{16}@HDO$, $C_{10}H_{16}@D_2O$, $C_{10}D_{16}@H_2O$, $C_{10}D_{16}@HDO$ and $C_{10}D_{16}@D_2O$.

Following deposition, the samples were extracted from the chamber under liquid nitrogen and filled into flat-plate TiZr cans.

Neutron diffraction experiments

The internal dimensions of the null-scattering $Ti_{0.68}Zr_{0.32}$ alloy sample cells were $1 \times 38 \times 38$ mm for the H_2O and HDO samples, and $2 \times 38 \times 38$ mm for the D_2O samples. Neutron scattering measurements were carried out at 80 K for the as-made samples and after annealing at 140 K for 150 μA of proton current on the NIMROD diffractometer at the ISIS spallation neutron source at the Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, UK.³² NIMROD detects neutrons scattered by a sample at angles between 0.5 and 40° and a wavevector-transfer range of 0.02–50 $\text{\AA}^{-1}$ is covered.³²


The GudrunN software package³³ was used to correct the raw scattering data for absorption and multiple scattering and to subtract any perturbation effects to the data caused by inelastic collisions. The “Iterate Gudrun” function in GudrunN was used to remove inelasticity features to obtain total structure factors, $F(Q)$, of the samples.³⁴ Datasets collected for the as-made sample at 80 K are shown in Fig. 2(a) and after annealing at 140 K in Fig. S1.†

The Empirical Potential Structure Refinement (EPSR) software^{27,28} was used to fit the experimental data and obtain structural models representing the sample. Briefly, EPSR starts with a standard classical Monte Carlo simulation using standard reference potentials for the inter- and intra-molecular force-fields. The predicted scattering for each isotopologue is then calculated from the simulation, and the difference between the simulated and experimental scattering used to iteratively develop an additional empirical potential. This is added to the reference potentials, driving the simulation towards matching the six datasets. For each simulation, a cubic box with an edge length of 64.673 $\text{\AA}$ was filled with 8000 H_2O molecules and 80 $C_{10}H_{16}$ molecules corresponding to an atomic number density of 0.09641 $\text{\AA}^{-3}$. The average bond angles, lengths of $C_{10}H_{16}$, the 12-6 Lennard-Jones parameters and partial charges were taken from OPLS-AA (Optimized Potentials for Liquid Simulations of all atoms) force field.³⁵ The SPC/E model was used for water.³⁶ After convergence of the fits using empirical potentials, approximately 20 000 model iterations were accumulated for both data sets.

The ANGULA software^{37–40} was used to extract the pair-distribution functions from the centre-of-mass of adamantane to the oxygen atoms of water, $g_{COM-O}(r)$, spatial-density functions (SDFs) and orientation-correlation functions (OCFs).

FTIR spectroscopy

Circular CaF_2 windows with 15 mm diameter and 2 mm thickness from UQG Optics were mounted onto the cryogenic deposition plate in the vacuum chamber. H_2O with 5 wt% D_2O and $C_{10}H_{16}$ were then codeposited at either 100 : 1 or 34 : 1 molar ratio for 2.5 minutes. The optical windows were transferred into a modified







Fig. 2 Fitting of the neutron-diffraction data of the 1 : 100 adamantane to water samples and the isotropic pair-distribution function of the hydration shells of adamantane. (a) Experimental neutron diffraction data and EPSR fits of the as-made samples at 80 K. (b) Example snapshot of the EPSR simulation and the molecular structure of adamantane ($C_{10}H_{16}$). (c) Pair-distribution function from the centre-of-mass (COM) of adamantane to the oxygen atoms of water for the as-made samples (blue) as well as after annealing at 140 K (red). The contributions from 28 water molecules in the first hydration shell are shown as dashed lines.

Optistat DN-V cryostat from Oxford instruments that was precooled with liquid nitrogen. The cryostat was operated at a base pressure of 5×10^{-4} mbar.

FT-IR spectra were recorded in transmission geometry at 80 K using a Bruker Invenio-R spectrometer with a liquid-nitrogen cooled MCT detector and MIR source. A spectral resolution of 1 cm^{-1} was used and all spectra were recorded



with 512 scans. Background spectra were recorded with blank CaF_2 windows in the cryostat.

Results and discussion


The neutron diffraction data of the six as-made samples is shown in Fig. 2(a) together with the converged EPSR fits. The corresponding data and fits of the annealed samples are shown in Fig. S1.† The broad diffraction features illustrate the amorphous nature of the deposits and hence the successful matrix isolation of adamantane in amorphous ice. The diffraction data is well fitted with the EPSR approach which means that the corresponding structural models, such as the one shown in Fig. 2(b), can be used for the analysis of the structure of water in the hydration shell of adamantane.

The pair-distribution function from the centre-of-mass (COM) of adamantane to the oxygen atoms of the water molecules, $g_{\text{COM-O}}(r)$, is shown in Fig. 2(c). A well-defined first hydration shell is observed at ~ 4.7 Å, and second and third hydration shells are visible below 10 Å. The annealing of the amorphous samples at 140 K leads to increases in the structural order of the hydration shells as indicated by sharper features in $g_{\text{COM-O}}(r)$. Overall, it can be concluded that the adamantane is well hydrated within the amorphous ice matrix despite its hydrophobic nature.

The structure of the first hydration shell was investigated in more detail by determining angle-dependent pair-distribution functions. For this, the COM of adamantane was placed on the origin, the z axis aligned with the C–H bond of a methine (CH) group of adamantane and the x axis pointed towards a second CH group as shown in Fig. 3(a). Using the ANGULA software, the $g_{\text{COM-O}}$ pair-distribution function was determined as a function of the polar angle θ , the azimuthal angle ϕ and the radial distance r . Typically, such pair-distributions would be analysed by averaging over the distance range of the first hydration shell. However, ANGULA offers the possibility to visualise the average local structure for increasing numbers of closest molecules. As shown in Fig. S2 and S3,† the oxygen atoms of the closest water molecules are found in what we call A and C type locations. Upon including the five closest water molecules, oxygen atoms are also found in B locations. Overall, the first hydration shell is highly structured with 28 distinct oxygen positions emerging for the five closest water molecules. Fig. 3(b) shows $g_{\text{COM-O}}(\theta, \phi)$ of the 28 closest water molecules for the as-made samples. Overall, there are 12 A, 12 B and 4 C type oxygen positions. Consistent with the sharpening of the features in $g_{\text{COM-O}}(r)$ shown in Fig. 2(c), the ‘dots’ become more defined after annealing as can be seen in Fig. 3(c).

With respect to the hydrated adamantane, the oxygen atoms are located above the centres of triangles constituted by three of the hydrogen atoms of the adamantane. The A type oxygens are placed above triangles defined by the hydrogen atoms of two different CH_2 and one CH group, the B type oxygens above the two hydrogen atoms of the same CH_2 group and one CH group whereas the C type oxygens are located above the hydrogen atoms of three CH groups (see Fig. 3(d)).

The oxygen atoms in the first hydration shell form one type of six-membered and one type of five-membered ring. The four six-membered rings consist of alternating A and B type oxygens and have a CH bond pointing towards their centres. Each six-membered ring is surrounded by six five-membered rings. The twelve five-membered rings are built from B–B–A–C–A oxygen atoms where the







Fig. 3 Structure of the first hydration shell of adamantane. (a) Definition of the spherical coordinates from the centre-of-mass of adamantane to the oxygen atom of water. (b and c) Angle-dependent pair-distribution function of the 28 closest oxygen atoms of the as-made samples and after annealing at 140 K. The three symmetry-distinct positions are labelled as A, B and C in (b). (d) 3D structures of the $5^{12}6^4$ cage and (e) the 'barrel-shaped' $5^{12}6^8$ cage.^{47–49}



midpoint of the B–B edge is located above a CH₂ group of the adamantane. Each five-membered ring is surrounded by three other five-membered and two six-membered rings. Following the nomenclature of the cages of clathrate hydrates, this type of cage can be classified as 5¹²6⁴ and is well known to be found in cubic structure II clathrate hydrates where it coexists with pentagonal dodecahedral cages (5¹²).^{41–43} Like the encapsulated adamantane molecule, the 5¹²6⁴ cage has *T_d* point-group symmetry.


In principle, the adamantane molecule with its almost spherical shape could be expected to spin within a cage of water molecules. However, this is only observed above 208.6 K for bulk adamantane^{44–46} and is evidently not the case for our samples which were characterised at 80 K.

The orientation correlations of the water molecules in the first hydration shell of adamantane were analysed in a next step. For this, it was found to be useful to define two different angles with respect to the vector spanning from the COM of adamantane to the oxygen atom of a given water molecule as shown in Fig. 4(a). The deflection of the dipole moment away from the COM → O vector is defined as θ_{dp} whereas $\alpha_{\text{O-H}}$ describes the angle between the COM → O vector and an O–H bond of the water molecule. One of the two O–H bonds of a given water molecule is randomly picked in ANGULA. Therefore, depending on the orientation of the water molecule, $\alpha_{\text{O-H}}$ can in principle display two values.

The orientation-correlation functions of the as-made samples are shown in Fig. 4(b–d) for the water molecules with A, B and C-type oxygen atoms. As expected for hydrogen-bonded water molecules in approximately tetrahedral environments, strong orientation correlations are observed. The relevant orientations are shown schematically in Fig. 4(e) and indicated by circled locations in Fig. 4(b–d). Orientations 1 and 2 are those expected for hydrogen-bonded water molecules forming a clathrate-hydrate-type cage around a hydrophobic species. In the case of orientation 1, one of the O–H bonds points away from the centre of the hydrophobe whereas the second O–H bond takes part in the hydrogen-bonded network of the cage. This type of orientation is observed in two locations in Fig. 4(b–d) as two different values are possible for $\alpha_{\text{O-H}}$. Orientation 2 means that both O–H bonds are part of the hydrogen-bonded cage. Consequently, $\alpha_{\text{O-H}}$ displays the same value for both O–H bonds and only one location is observed in Fig. 4(b–d).

Orientations 3 and 4 are incompatible with a hydrogen-bonded cage structure. Here, either the dipole moment of a water molecule or an O–H bond point directly towards the COM of adamantane. Orientation 3 is observed for all three types of water molecules and is particularly pronounced for the water molecules with B type oxygens. Orientation 4 on the other hand is mostly observed for the water molecules with A type oxygens. These two orientations should be regarded as a type of disorder that is expected to interfere or at least strain the hydrogen bonding within the 5¹²6⁴ cage.

Interestingly, after annealing at 140 K, orientations 3 and 4 are greatly reduced if not absent, and orientations 1 and 2 dominate as can be seen in Fig. 4(f–h). This means that during the deposition at 80 K, some of the water molecules end up in orientations that make hydrogen bonding difficult and this type of disorder can be removed by thermal annealing at temperatures above the orientational glass-transition temperature of the matrix.²⁵ As observed earlier, the positional order of the atoms is increased through the thermal annealing (see Fig. 2(c) and 3(b, c)) which can now be understood from the elimination of the unfavourable type 3







Fig. 4 Orientational ordering of the 28 water molecules in the first hydration shell of adamantane of the as-made samples. (a) Illustration of the angles that define the orientation of the dipole moment of a water molecule, θ_{dp} , and the direction of an O–H bond, α_{O-H} , with respect to the vector spanning from the centre-of-mass of adamantane to the oxygen atoms of water. (b–d) Orientation-correlation functions of the water molecules with A, B and C type oxygen atoms for the as-made samples. (e) Orientations of the water molecules corresponding to the locations labelled 1–4 in (b–d) and (f–h). (f–h) Orientation-correlation functions of the annealed samples.

and 4 orientations. The ability to detect the subtle structural changes upon thermal annealing suggests that the EPSR approach for structure reconstruction works very well for the adamantane/ice mixtures.

The arithmetic mean of $\cos \theta_{dp}$ as a function of distance from the COM of adamantane is shown in Fig. 5. This shows that the very closest water molecules display a tendency for their dipole moments to point towards the adamantane. However, starting from distances around the first maximum in $g_{COM-O}(r)$, the mean of $\cos \theta_{dp}$ oscillates closely around zero which means that no net polarisation effect of the water molecules with respect to the adamantane is observed. This is as






Fig. 5 Net polarisation effects of the hydrophobic hydration. Arithmetic mean of $\cos \theta_{dp}$ as a function of distance from the COM of adamantane. The maxima in $g_{COM-O}(r)$ from Fig. 2(c) are shown as grey dashed vertical lines.

expected for a nonpolar solute and it can be speculated that the slightly negative polarisation of the very closest water molecules can be attributed to steric effects.

The effects of the incorporation of adamantane on the hydrogen bonding of the amorphous ice matrix was investigated with FT-IR spectroscopy. The O–H stretching mode of H_2O is well known to be affected by complicated intra- and intermolecular coupling.⁵⁰ This can be ‘switched off’ by adding small amounts of D_2O to the initial sample solution which results in spatially well-separated O–D oscillators in the amorphous ice.^{24,51} The resulting so-called decoupled O–D





Fig. 6 FT-IR spectra of pure amorphous ice, adamantane matrix-isolated in amorphous ice at 1 : 100 and 1 : 34 molar ratios and pure vapour-deposited adamantane in the spectral regions of (a) the decoupled O–D stretching modes²⁴ and (b) the C–H stretching modes of adamantane.⁵⁴ The spectra were shifted vertically for clarity.



modes, $\mu_{\text{O-D}}$, are not affected by coupling phenomena and hence provide an accurate probe for the local hydrogen-bonding environments.^{52,53}

Fig. 6 shows the FT-IR spectra of as-made pure amorphous ice, adamantane matrix-isolated in amorphous ice with molar ratios of 1 : 100 and 1 : 34 as well as pure vapour-deposited adamantane in the spectral ranges of $\mu_{\text{O-D}}$ and $\nu_{\text{C-H}}$.^{24,54,55} The incorporation of adamantane into amorphous ice leads to an increase in the peak position of $\mu_{\text{O-D}}$ as indicated by the arrow in Fig. 6(a). This highlights a general weakening of the hydrogen bonds. Furthermore, the $\mu_{\text{O-D}}$ modes become increasingly asymmetric on the high-wavenumber side as more adamantane is matrix isolated. Consistent with the structure derived from neutron diffraction, this may indicate the presence of water molecules with strained or even disrupted hydrogen bonding.


The C-H stretching modes of adamantane⁵⁴ shown in Fig. 6(b) are not significantly affected by the incorporation into amorphous ice. This is consistent with weak interactions between the adamantane and the surrounding amorphous ice.

Conclusions

Adamantane has been matrix-isolated in amorphous ice through cryogenic codeposition from the vapour phase. Using neutron diffraction in combination with the isotopic substitution method and the empirical potential structure refinement approach for structure reconstruction we found that the first hydration shell of adamantane is well structured and consists of a $5^{12}6^4$ cage with 28 water molecules. Most of the orientations of the water molecules are as expected for a clathrate-hydrate-type cage. Yet, the as-made sample also displayed unfavourable orientations within the first hydration shell with water molecules where either the dipole moment or one of the O-H bonds of water pointed towards the adamantane. These structural features may indicate the onset of the expected structural cross-over from small hydrophobes towards hydrophobic surfaces.^{8,9} However, even larger hydrophobes than adamantane are probably needed to observe this more clearly. Also, annealing at 140 K led to a removal of the unfavourable orientations. Overall, only the closest water molecules display tendencies for the dipole moment of water to point towards the adamantane which we attribute to steric effects. For hydrated methane, such net polarisation effects were entirely absent.⁵ As observed with FT-IR spectroscopy, the incorporation of adamantane into amorphous ice leads to a weakening of the hydrogen bonds of the amorphous ice matrix. Similar effects were also found for water at the interface with liquid CCl_4 and hexane.¹

The formation of a well-defined cage structure around adamantane suggests that a plethora of other cage types may exist upon hydrating larger hydrophobes. In principle, this could provide a first step for forming new types of clathrate hydrates upon crystallisation of the amorphous matrix. So far, this has only been achieved for small gaseous guest species.⁵⁶

For adamantane, it has been suggested that it forms a structure H clathrate hydrate when methane is used as a help-gas.⁵⁷ Yet, no crystallographic information has yet been obtained. Fig. 3(e) shows the structure of the 'barrel-shaped' $5^{12}6^8$ cage found in hexagonal structure H clathrate hydrates which would be occupied by adamantane. The $5^{12}6^8$ cage is the largest cage observed in clathrates so far.⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹ Our findings raise the question if adamantane actually forms a cubic



structure II clathrate hydrate. Of course, it is also possible that the cage type changes from $5^{12}6^4$ to $5^{12}6^8$ upon the crystallisation of an adamantane clathrate hydrate. In any case, a variety of new types of clathrate hydrates with large cages have been predicted computationally^{58–62} and these may be accessible by crystallising amorphous mixtures of ice and large hydrophobic species. Future work will certainly develop towards hydrating larger hydrophobic molecules with potentially more complex shapes.

The potential formation of clathrate hydrates by heating amorphous mixtures of ice and hydrocarbons, such as adamantane, would have an impact on the physical properties of such mixtures in space including the desorption rates of water.⁶³ As shown in this study, the incorporation of adamantane into amorphous ice leads to a weakening of the hydrogen bonds which in turn could affect the water desorption rates of the amorphous ice in space. The net polarisation effects of water in the hydration shell were found to be quite weak. Yet, it can be speculated that such polarisation effects^{64,65} could influence the surface charges of ice-coated hydrocarbons which in turn may influence the aggregation properties and hence formation of larger objects in space.

Author contributions

Conceptualization (CGS), formal analysis (SKT, TFH, LCP, CGS), investigation (SKT, LCP, TFH), methodology (SKT, CGS, TFH, LCP, SOH, BC, AR), supervision (CGS, LCP, TFH), visualization (SKT, LCP, TFH, CGS), writing – original draft (CGS, SKT) and writing – review & editing (all authors).

Conflicts of interest


There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements


Funding is acknowledged from the European Research Council under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement No 725271). LCP acknowledges financial support from the projects PID2020-112975GB-I00 and 2021SGR-00343. We thank M. Zwijnenburg for comments on the first draft of the manuscript, S. Imberti for helpful discussions, and the ISIS facility for access to the NIMROD instrument. Experimental data is available at: <https://doi.org/10.5286/ISIS.E.RB1910462>.

References

- 1 L. F. Scatena, M. G. Brown and G. L. Richmond, *Science*, 2001, **292**, 908–912.
- 2 D. K. Hore, D. S. Walker and G. L. Richmond, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 1800–1801.
- 3 J. Monroe, M. Barry, A. DeStefano, P. Aydogan Gokturk, S. Jiao, D. Robinson-Brown, T. Webber, E. J. Crumlin, S. Han and M. S. Shell, *Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng.*, 2020, **11**, 523–557.
- 4 S. Pullanchery, S. Kulik and S. Roke, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2022, **126**, 3186–3192.



- 5 C. A. Koh, R. P. Wisbey, X. Wu, R. E. Westacott and A. K. Soper, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2000, **113**, 6390–6397.
- 6 I. U. Goldschleger, G. Kerenskaya, V. Senekerimyan, K. C. Janda and V. A. Apkarian, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **10**, 7226–7232.
- 7 M. Montagna, F. Sterpone and L. Guidoni, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2012, **116**, 11695–11700.
- 8 K. Lum, D. Chandler and J. D. Weeks, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 1999, **103**, 4570–4577.
- 9 D. Chandler, *Nature*, 2002, **417**, 491.
- 10 D. T. Bowron and J. L. Finney, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2007, **111**, 9838–9852.
- 11 D. T. Bowron, A. K. Soper and J. L. Finney, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2001, **114**, 6203–6219.
- 12 A. G. G. M. Tielens, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 2013, **85**, 1021–1081.
- 13 B. H. Foing and P. Ehrenfreund, *Nature*, 1994, **369**, 296–298.
- 14 J. Cami, J. Bernard-Salas, E. Peeters and S. E. Malek, *Science*, 2010, **329**, 1180–1182.
- 15 O. Pirali, M. Vervloet, J. E. Dahl, R. M. K. Carlson, A. G. G. M. Tielens and J. Oomens, *Astrophys. J.*, 2007, **661**, 919.
- 16 Z. Guennoun, C. Aupetit and J. Mascetti, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **13**, 7340–7347.
- 17 Z. Guennoun, C. Aupetit and J. Mascetti, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2011, **115**, 1844–1852.
- 18 J. Bouwman, A. L. Mattioda, H. Linnartz and L. J. Allamandola, *Astron. Astrophys.*, 2011, **525**, A93.
- 19 A. M. Cook, A. Ricca, A. L. Mattioda, J. Bouwman, J. Roser, H. Linnartz, J. Bregman and L. J. Allamandola, *Astrophys. J.*, 2015, **799**, 14.
- 20 A. L. F. de Barros, A. L. Mattioda, A. Ricca, G. A. Cruz-Diaz and L. J. Allamandola, *Astrophys. J.*, 2017, **848**, 112.
- 21 E. Michoulier, C. Toubin, A. Simon, J. Mascetti, C. Aupetit and J. A. Noble, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2020, **124**, 2994–3001.
- 22 A. Lignell and M. S. Gudipati, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2015, **119**, 2607–2613.
- 23 M. Fisher and J. P. Devlin, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1995, **99**, 11584–11590.
- 24 J. J. Shephard, J. S. O. Evans and C. G. Salzmann, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2013, **4**, 3672–3676.
- 25 J. J. Shephard and C. G. Salzmann, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2016, **7**, 2281–2285.
- 26 S. O. Halukeerthi, J. J. Shephard, S. K. Talewar, J. S. O. Evans, A. Rosu-Finsen and C. G. Salzmann, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2020, **124**, 5015–5022.
- 27 A. K. Soper, *Chem. Phys.*, 1996, **202**, 295–306.
- 28 A. K. Soper, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2005, **72**, 104204.
- 29 S. K. Talewar, S. O. Halukeerthi, R. Riedlaicher, J. J. Shephard, A. E. Clout, A. Rosu-Finsen, G. R. Williams, A. Langhoff, D. Johannsmann and C. G. Salzmann, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, **151**, 134505.
- 30 S. K. Talewar, PhD thesis, University College London, 2021.
- 31 D. Johannsmann, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **10**, 4516–4534.
- 32 D. T. Bowron, A. K. Soper, K. Jones, S. Ansell, S. Birch, J. Norris, L. Perrott, D. Riedel, N. J. Rhodes, S. R. Wakefield, A. Botti, M. A. Ricci, F. Grazzi and M. Zoppi, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2010, **81**, 033905.
- 33 A. K. Soper, *Mol. Phys.*, 2009, **107**, 1667–1684.
- 34 A. K. Soper, *ISRN Phys. Chem.*, 2013, **2013**, 279463.
- 35 W. L. Jorgensen, D. S. Maxwell and J. Tirado-Rives, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 11225–11236.



- 36 H. J. C. Berendsen, J. R. Grigera and T. P. Straatsma, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1987, **91**, 6269–6271.
- 37 L. C. Pardo, *ANGULA Software Download*, <https://gcm.upc.edu/en/members/luis-carlos/angula/ANGULA>, accessed 5th January, 2021.
- 38 L. C. Pardo, A. Henao and A. Vispa, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids*, 2015, **407**, 220–227.
- 39 L. C. Pardo, A. Henao, S. Busch, E. Guàrdia and J. L. Tamarit, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 24479–24483.
- 40 A. J. Johnston, S. Busch, L. C. Pardo, S. K. Callear, P. C. Biggin and S. E. McLain, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **18**, 991–999.
- 41 G. A. Jeffrey, in *Inclusion Compounds*, New York, 1984, vol. 1, pp. 135–190.
- 42 J. A. Ripmeester, C. I. Ratcliffe, D. D. Klug and J. S. Tse, *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, 1994, **715**, 161–176.
- 43 J. S. Loveday and R. J. Nelmes, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **10**, 937–950.
- 44 S.-S. Chang and E. F. Westrum Jr, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1960, **64**, 1547–1551.
- 45 J. P. Amoureux, M. Bee and J. C. Damien, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B: Struct. Crystallogr. Cryst. Chem.*, 1980, **36**, 2633–2636.
- 46 A. B. Bazyleva, A. V. Blokhin, G. J. Kabo, M. B. Charapennikau, V. N. Emel'yanenko, S. P. Verevkin and V. Diky, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2011, **115**, 10064–10072.
- 47 J. A. Ripmeester, J. S. Tse, C. I. Ratcliffe and B. M. Powell, *Nature*, 1987, **325**, 135–136.
- 48 K. A. Udachin, C. I. Ratcliffe, G. D. Enright and J. A. Ripmeester, *Supramol. Chem.*, 1997, **8**, 173–176.
- 49 Y. Jin, M. Kida and J. Nagao, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2015, **119**, 9069–9075.
- 50 E. Whalley, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1977, **55**, 3429–3441.
- 51 W. Hage, A. Hallbrucker, E. Mayer and G. P. Johari, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1994, **100**, 2743–2747.
- 52 B. Minceva-Sukarova, W. F. Sherman and G. R. Wilkinson, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 1985, **41**, 315–318.
- 53 H. J. Bakker and J. L. Skinner, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 1498–1517.
- 54 A. Patzer, M. Schütz, T. Möller and O. Dopfer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 4925–4929.
- 55 M. A. R. George, M. Förstel and O. Dopfer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 12098–12104.
- 56 E. Mayer and A. Hallbrucker, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1989, (12), 749–751.
- 57 J. P. Lederhos, A. P. Mehta, G. B. Nyberg, K. J. Warn and E. D. Sloan, *AIChE J.*, 1992, **38**, 1045–1048.
- 58 J. Vatamanu and P. G. Kusalik, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 15588–15589.
- 59 Y. Huang, C. Zhu, L. Wang, X. Cao, Y. Su, X. Jiang, S. Meng, J. Zhao and X. C. Zeng, *Sci. Adv.*, 2016, **2**, e1501010.
- 60 Y. Huang, C. Zhu, L. Wang, J. Zhao and X. C. Zeng, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2017, **671**, 186–191.
- 61 Y. Liu and L. Ojamäe, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2018, **20**, 8333–8340.
- 62 Y. Liu, W. Zhu, J. Jiang, C. Zhu, C. Liu, B. Slater, L. Ojamäe, J. S. Francisco and X. C. Zeng, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2021, **118**, e2104442118.
- 63 A. H. Delsemme and P. Swings, *Ann. Astrophys.*, 1952, **15**, 1–6.
- 64 A. Rosu-Finsen, J. Lasne, A. Cassidy, M. R. S. McCoustra and D. Field, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **18**, 5159–5171.
- 65 A. Rosu-Finsen, J. Lasne, A. Cassidy, M. R. S. McCoustra and D. Field, *Astrophys. J.*, 2016, **832**, 1.

