



Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, 59, 8115

Received 5th April 2023,  
Accepted 1st June 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3cc01685d

rsc.li/chemcomm

## Modulated self-assembly of three flexible Cr(III) PCPs for SO<sub>2</sub> adsorption and detection†

Valeria B. López-Cervantes,<sup>ib</sup> ‡<sup>a</sup> Dominic Bara,<sup>ib</sup> ‡<sup>b</sup> Ana Yañez-Aulestia,<sup>ib</sup> ‡<sup>a</sup> Eva Martínez-Ahumada,<sup>a</sup> Alfredo López-Olvera,<sup>a</sup> Yoarhy A. Amador-Sánchez,<sup>ib</sup> ‡<sup>a</sup> Diego Solís-Ibarra,<sup>ib</sup> \*<sup>a</sup> Eli Sánchez-González,<sup>a</sup> Ilich A. Ibarra<sup>ib</sup> \*<sup>a</sup> and Ross S. Forgan<sup>ib</sup> \*<sup>b</sup>

**Modulated self-assembly protocols are used to develop facile, HF-free syntheses of the archetypal flexible PCP, MIL-53(Cr), and novel isorecticular analogues MIL-53(Cr)-Br and MIL-53(Cr)-NO<sub>2</sub>. All three PCPs show good SO<sub>2</sub> uptake (298 K, 1 bar) and high chemical stabilities against dry and wet SO<sub>2</sub>. Solid-state photoluminescence spectroscopy indicates all three PCPs exhibit turn-off sensing of SO<sub>2</sub>, in particular MIL-53(Cr)-Br, which shows a 2.7-fold decrease in emission on exposure to SO<sub>2</sub> at room temperature, indicating potential sensing applications.**

Porous coordination polymers (PCPs), a class of hybrid materials comprised of extended metal–ligand coordination networks, have attracted attention due to their high porosity and tuneable and adaptable structures.<sup>1</sup> Many “flexible” PCPs undergo reversible crystal-to-crystal structural transformations upon guest inclusion and removal,<sup>2</sup> combining a highly ordered network with structural transformability<sup>2a</sup> and/or local molecular motion, often with relatively large movements of > 5–10 Å.<sup>3</sup> Phase changes can occur in response to external stimuli, *e.g.*, light, temperature, pressure, electric and/or magnetic fields and guest adsorption/desorption.<sup>4</sup> The most common dynamic mode is “breathing”,<sup>2b,4c</sup> often characterised by a phase transition between two or more distinct states causing a unit cell volume change.<sup>3</sup> “Gate-opening”,<sup>4c</sup> generally results in the pore opening in the presence of adsorbate molecules, offering the attractive possibility of pressure-swing processes for selective gas adsorption and separation.<sup>5</sup>

The MIL-53 isorecticular series comprises one of the most investigated families of flexible PCPs.<sup>6</sup> One-dimensional chains of octahedral CrO<sub>4</sub>(OH)<sub>2</sub> units containing axially bridging

μ<sub>2</sub>-OH moieties and four equatorial carboxylate oxygen atoms from four benzene-1,4-dicarboxylate (BDC) ligands are connected into a diamondoid net with *sra* topology. First isolated as the Cr congener,<sup>6a,6b</sup> examples with Sc, V, Fe, Al, In, and Ga, as well as derivatives with functionalised BDC ligands, have since been synthesised.<sup>6c</sup> Introduction of –Br and –NO<sub>2</sub> substituents to MIL-53(Al) and MIL-53(In) tuned their flexibilities and gas uptakes; locating functional groups within the diamondoid channel stiffens the pore due to steric hindrance.<sup>7</sup> There are relatively few reports, however, of functionalised MIL-53(Cr) derivatives.<sup>8</sup>

The functional diversity and structural adjustability of PCPs mean they can achieve efficient and specific host–guest recognition, while the presence of many π and n electrons can induce fluorescence, making PCPs attractive candidates as sensors.<sup>9</sup> It has been suggested that flexible PCPs could be more suited to luminescent detection compared to rigid materials,<sup>10</sup> for example, [Zn<sub>2</sub>(BDC)<sub>2</sub>(dpNDI)] has a doubly-interpenetrated dynamic structure and exhibits strong fluorescence only if there is movement between its two nets; if it remains rigid, no luminescence is observed.<sup>11</sup> However, research on flexible PCPs that can be used as luminescent sensors is limited. Herein we report the modulated self-assembly of three PCPs of the flexible MIL-53(Cr) family, avoiding the use of HF in their syntheses, and detail the SO<sub>2</sub> uptake and detection behaviours of these PCPs.

MIL-53(Cr) and its novel –Br and –NO<sub>2</sub> substituted analogues were obtained by a modification of the original literature protocol (ESI,† Section S2),<sup>6a</sup> where we applied the principles of modulated self-assembly<sup>12</sup> that were previously successful for the synthesis of MIL-53(Fe).<sup>13</sup> Equimolar amounts of the linker and CrCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, with concentrated HCl as modulator, were reacted hydrothermally at 220 °C in a Teflon-lined autoclave for 72 h to yield as-synthesised (as) PCPs after washing. Samples were heated in DMF for 16 h at 220 °C to isolate the PCPs as DMF solvates (DMF),<sup>14</sup> removing any residual bound linker, and then refluxed in MeOH for 16 h before drying under vacuum (MeOH). Samples were activated (act) for adsorption experiments by degassing on a turbomolecular vacuum pump at 150 °C for

<sup>a</sup> Laboratorio de Fisicoquímica y Reactividad de Superficies (LaFREs), Instituto de Investigaciones en Materiales, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior s/n, CU, Del. Coyoacán, 04510, Ciudad de México, México. E-mail: argel@unam.mx; Fax: +52-55-5622-4595

<sup>b</sup> WestCHEM School of Chemistry, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, UK. E-mail: ross.forgan@glasgow.ac.uk

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3cc01685d>

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.





**Fig. 1** Stacked powder X-ray diffractograms of (a) MIL-53(Cr), (b) MIL-53(Cr)-Br, and (c) MIL-53(Cr)-NO<sub>2</sub> across their respective synthesis and activation procedures (as = as-synthesised, act = activated). (d) CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption isotherms (273 K) of the three MOFs.

20 h. Comparison of powder X-ray diffractograms (Fig. 1 and ESI,<sup>†</sup> Section S3) showed all three DMF solvates were structurally similar to the MIL-53(Fe) DMF solvate reported previously,<sup>13</sup> while washing with MeOH allowed the samples to close. MIL-53(Cr) was isolated as its hydrated narrow pore form, MIL-53<sub>It</sub> (Fig. 1a).<sup>6a,b</sup> Comparison with the predicted diffractograms from the crystal structures of the In analogues<sup>7b</sup> confirmed the formation of MIL-53(Cr)-Br (Fig. 1b) and MIL-53(Cr)-NO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 1c). However, upon drying, they were isolated in a more open form than that of both the Al and In analogues,<sup>7</sup> indicating that the nature of the metal ion influences the behaviour of these two new PCPs.

Only MIL-53(Cr) adsorbed N<sub>2</sub> at 77 K (BET area = 1411 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>) while MIL-53(Cr)-Br and MIL-53(Cr)-NO<sub>2</sub> again behaved differently from the Al and In analogues in adsorbing negligible amounts of N<sub>2</sub>. In contrast, all three Cr MOFs showed good CO<sub>2</sub> uptake at 273 K (Fig. 1d) and 298 K (Fig. S20, ESI<sup>†</sup>) confirming their porosity, with MIL-53(Cr) exhibiting a characteristic stepped adsorption isotherm consistent with a structural transition to an open pore phase.<sup>15</sup> The CO<sub>2</sub> uptakes of the functionalised MOFs are comparable to the Al analogues,<sup>7a</sup> as are their isosteric enthalpies of CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption (~20–40 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, Fig. S22, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Using HCl as a modulator in hydrothermal syntheses avoids the highly toxic HF, which has only been achieved previously through mechanochemical approaches,<sup>16</sup> and is clearly applicable to producing a range of MIL-53(Cr) derivatives.

Adsorption-desorption SO<sub>2</sub> isotherms (298 K, 0–1 bar) were collected on samples that had been further activated at 473 K under vacuum for 2 h (Fig. 2). The SO<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherm for MIL-53(Cr) again shows a stepwise profile, indicative of guest-induced structural changes in the material. After a sharp



**Fig. 2** Experimental SO<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherms for fully activated MIL-53(Cr) (green), MIL-53(Cr)-Br (yellow), and MIL-53(Cr)-NO<sub>2</sub> (red) samples at 298 K and up to 1 bar. Filled circles = adsorption; open circles = desorption.

initial SO<sub>2</sub> uptake at low pressure (*ca.* 0.66 mol mol<sup>-1</sup>), gate-opening is observed at around 0.4 bar, with SO<sub>2</sub> uptake reaching 1.86 mol mol<sup>-1</sup> at 0.6 bar and gradually increasing to reach the total SO<sub>2</sub> capture value of 1.87 mol mol<sup>-1</sup> at 1 bar. Significant hysteresis is observed on the desorption branch, with the onset of desorption and concomitant gate-closing at less than 0.4 bar.

MIL-53(Cr)-Br shows a similar stepwise profile, with a sharp increase in SO<sub>2</sub> adsorption at low pressure reaching 0.97 mol mol<sup>-1</sup> at 0.72 bar, where gate-opening occurs, with a second increase in SO<sub>2</sub> capture reaching a total uptake of 1.72 mol mol<sup>-1</sup> at 1 bar. Hysteresis in the desorption branch is again present, with the onset of gate-closing occurring around 0.6 bar. MIL-53(Cr)-Br requires a higher SO<sub>2</sub> pressure to achieve the gate opening effect than the unsubstituted MOF, likely due to the steric bulk of the Br functional group modifying the inclination of the phenyl ring, making ligand rotation more difficult.<sup>7,17</sup> It is also notable that the initial SO<sub>2</sub> uptake for MIL-53(Cr)-Br is higher than MIL-53(Cr), likely due to it being held in a more open pore state because of the Br group, whilst at 1 bar SO<sub>2</sub> uptake is slightly lower than MIL-53(Cr), as would be expected given the latter would have a larger pore volume.

In contrast, MIL-53(Cr)-NO<sub>2</sub> shows a typical type-I adsorption-desorption isotherm, reaching a total SO<sub>2</sub> uptake of 0.99 mol mol<sup>-1</sup> at 1 bar. The NO<sub>2</sub> groups are larger than the Br groups, making ligand rotation even more difficult due to the higher steric hindrance, thus the gating phenomenon presumably occurs at higher pressure.<sup>17a</sup> The flexibilities of functionalised MIL-53(Al) derivatives also depend on the chemical nature of the functional groups,<sup>17b</sup> in particular hydrogen bonding between the functional groups and the OH ligands; strong interactions between the NO<sub>2</sub> group and the  $\mu_2$ -OH may hinder the easy opening of the pore.

Powder X-ray diffractograms of the three materials collected after SO<sub>2</sub> adsorption and desorption (ESI,<sup>†</sup> Section S7) revealed



that they were stable to SO<sub>2</sub> exposure, and the three PCPs returned to their pre-activated structures. Similarly, infrared spectra of the PCPs showed no changes after SO<sub>2</sub> exposure.

In our previous examination of SO<sub>2</sub> adsorption by MIL-53(Al), Monte Carlo simulations showed that strong interactions exist between SO<sub>2</sub> molecules *via* their O-atoms, and the H-atom of the  $\mu_2$ -OH group in the inorganic secondary building unit; there are also interactions between SO<sub>2</sub> and the organic linker.<sup>18</sup> Thus, we propose a similar SO<sub>2</sub> adsorption mechanism for the MIL-53(Cr) material in this work. In addition to this binding motif, electronegative substituents on PCPs, such as fluoro units, have been observed to enhance SO<sub>2</sub> uptake through electrostatic attraction between S<sup>δ+</sup> and F<sup>δ-</sup> units in DFT calculations.<sup>19</sup> Thus, we propose a similar SO<sub>2</sub> adsorption mechanism for the substituted PCPs, in which the main adsorption interaction is between the S<sup>δ+</sup> of SO<sub>2</sub> and Br<sup>δ-</sup> for MIL-53(Cr)-Br, and O<sup>δ-</sup> atoms of the highly polar -NO<sub>2</sub> groups<sup>20</sup> of MIL-53(Cr)-NO<sub>2</sub>, respectively.

We also evaluated the SO<sub>2</sub> selectivity over CO<sub>2</sub> for these materials by comparison of single component adsorption isotherms (298 K, 1 bar, Fig. S31, ESI†). For all three samples, the SO<sub>2</sub> adsorbed amount is much higher than the CO<sub>2</sub> over the whole pressure range. The pyIAST python package<sup>21</sup> was used to apply the ideal adsorbed solution theory (IAST) model to the single component adsorption isotherms to calculate the SO<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> selectivities at 1 bar for different molar SO<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> compositions. These results are summarized in Table S1 (ESI†).

The SO<sub>2</sub> uptake of MIL-53(Cr) and its derivatives compare reasonably well to other systems, but are lower than MIL-53(Al) and some larger pore MOFs.<sup>18,19</sup> Thus, we sought to explore the possibility of using these materials as efficient fluorescent SO<sub>2</sub> detectors. Under UV light irradiation at  $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 300$  nm (chosen based on solid-state UV-vis spectroscopy experiments, Fig. S15, ESI†), MIL-53(Cr) displays a broad photoluminescence peak centred at  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 415$  nm (Fig. 3a), whose origin can be attributed to the organic linker.<sup>9</sup> The emission of MIL-53(Cr) is similar to that of the free ligand (Fig. S35 (ESI†),  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 383$  nm) with a slight red shift, indicating metal-to-ligand charge transfer that perturbs the ligand energy levels.<sup>9</sup> After exposure to SO<sub>2</sub> in our

homemade *in situ* adsorption system, the MIL-53(Cr) sample was packed in a quartz holder for solids to measure its photoluminescence properties (ESI†, Section S11), and a shift in emission to  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 420$  nm was observed, with emission intensity decreasing 1.4-fold (quenching). For MIL-53(Cr)-Br ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 360$  nm), the maximum of the photoluminescence for the activated sample is located at  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 450$  nm and changes to  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 436$  nm after the SO<sub>2</sub> exposure (Fig. 3b). Remarkably, the emission intensity is quenched 2.7-fold, suggesting potential application as a turn-off sensor. Finally, MIL-53(Cr)-NO<sub>2</sub> ( $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 350$  nm) exhibits broad photoluminescence centred at  $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 507$  nm and, although small, a 1.2-fold decrease in intensity is also observed upon SO<sub>2</sub> exposure (Fig. 3c). The quenching observed in all three materials can be attributed to the electronic effect that SO<sub>2</sub> exerts on their structures after exposure.<sup>9,22</sup> Further, the fact that the most significant quenching effect is observed for MIL-53(Cr)-Br is consistent with previous observations of halogenated PCPs showing stronger affinities for SO<sub>2</sub>.<sup>19</sup> When these three materials were exposed to H<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. S36, ESI†), or left for some time after activation (Fig. S37, ESI†) no significant changes in the shape or intensity of the emission were observed with respect to the spectrum of the activated samples. These facts support that the change in fluorescence is due to the adsorption of SO<sub>2</sub> and not to other factors, and that there is some selectivity in the response.

In this work, HCl-modulated hydrothermal syntheses of MIL-53(Cr), MIL-53(Cr)-Br and MIL-53(Cr)-NO<sub>2</sub> were developed, which are comparable to existing green mechanochemical routes to MIL-53(Cr)<sup>16</sup> but with enhanced substrate scope offering a new route into this valuable isorecticular series. All three materials exhibit reversible SO<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption with high chemical stability to dry and wet SO<sub>2</sub>. Changes in the emission spectra of the MOFs after the SO<sub>2</sub> adsorption, particularly the large quenching observed for MIL-53(Cr)-Br, suggest this material has potential as a sensor for SO<sub>2</sub>.

This project received financial support in part from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 Programme for Research and Innovation (grant agreement no. 677289, SCOTMOF, ERC-2015-STG). I.A.I. thanks PAPIIT UNAM (IN201123), México, for financial support.



Fig. 3 Solid-state emission spectra of activated and SO<sub>2</sub> saturated samples of (a) MIL-53(Cr), (b) MIL-53(Cr)-Br, and (c) MIL-53(Cr)-NO<sub>2</sub>.



We thank Mohammad Reza Alizadeh Kiapi (University of Cambridge) for assistance with BETSI analysis<sup>23</sup> of gas adsorption data, and Matthew Liddle (University of Glasgow) for thermogravimetric analysis. The data which underpin this submission are available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.5525/gla.research.data.1446>.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## References

- (a) J. L. C. Rowsell and O. M. Yaghi, *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.*, 2004, **73**, 3–14; (b) S. Kitagawa, R. Kitaura and S. I. Noro, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 2334–2375.
- (a) S. Horike, S. Shimomura and S. Kitagawa, *Nat. Chem.*, 2009, **1**, 695–704; (b) A. Schneemann, V. Bon, I. Schwedler, I. Senkovska, S. Kaskel and R. A. Fischer, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 6062–6096; (c) S. Krause, N. Hosono and S. Kitagawa, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 15325–15341; (d) S. K. Ghosh, J. P. Zhang and S. Kitagawa, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 7965–7968; (e) A. Hazra, D. P. van Heerden, S. Sanyal, P. Lama, C. Esterhuysen and L. J. Barbour, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 10018–10024.
- P. Zhao, S. C. E. Tsang and D. Fairen-Jimenez, *Cell Rep. Phys. Sci.*, 2021, **2**, 100544.
- (a) A. Clearfield, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 4100–4112; (b) M. Kato, H. Ito, M. Hasegawa and K. Ishii, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2019, **25**, 5105–5112; (c) S. Rahman, A. Arami-Niya, X. Yang, G. Xiao, G. Li and E. F. May, *Commun. Chem.*, 2020, **3**, 186.
- (a) L. Li, R. Krishna, Y. Wang, J. Yang, X. Wang and J. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 751–755; (b) X. Wang, R. Krishna, L. Li, B. Wang, T. He, Y. Z. Zhang, J. R. Li and J. Li, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2018, **346**, 489–496; (c) N. Nijem, H. Wu, P. Canepa, A. Marti, K. J. Balkus, T. Thonhauser, J. Li and Y. J. Chabal, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 15201–15204.
- (a) F. Millange, C. Serre and G. Férey, *Chem. Commun.*, 2002, 822–823; (b) C. Serre, F. Millange, C. Thouvenot, M. Nogués, G. Marsolier, D. Louër and G. Férey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 13519–13526; (c) F. Millange and R. I. Walton, *Isr. J. Chem.*, 2018, **58**, 1019–1035.
- (a) S. Biswas, T. Ahnfeldt and N. Stock, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 9518–9526; (b) L. Wu, G. Chaplais, M. Xue, S. Qiu, J. Patarin, A. Simon-Masseron and H. Chen, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**, 1918–1928.
- P. G. Yot, K. Yang, V. Guillermin, F. Ragon, V. Dmitriev, P. Parisiades, E. Elkaïm, T. Devic, P. Horcajada, C. Serre, N. Stock, J. P. S. Mowat, P. A. Wright, G. Férey and G. Maurin, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, 4424–4429.
- (a) T. Wu, X.-J. Gao, F. Ge and H.-G. Zheng, *CrystEngComm*, 2022, **24**, 7881–7901; (b) R.-B. Lin, S.-Y. Liu, J.-W. Ye, X.-Y. Li, J.-P. Zhang, R.-B. Lin, S.-Y. Liu, J.-W. Ye, X.-Y. Li and J.-P. Zhang, *Adv. Sci.*, 2016, **3**, 1500434; (c) H. Y. Li, S. N. Zhao, S. Q. Zang and J. Li, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 6364–6401; (d) W.-T. Koo, J.-S. Jang and I.-D. Kim, *Chemistry*, 2019, **5**, 1938–1963.
- X. L. Lv, L. H. Xie, B. Wang, M. Zhao, Y. Cui and J. R. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2018, **6**, 10628–10639.
- Y. Takashima, V. M. Martínez, S. Furukawa, M. Kondo, S. Shimomura, H. Uehara, M. Nakahama, K. Sugimoto and S. Kitagawa, *Nat. Commun.*, 2011, **2**, 168.
- R. S. Forgan, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 4546–4562.
- D. Bara, E. G. Meekel, I. Pakamoré, C. Wilson, S. Ling and R. S. Forgan, *Mater. Horiz.*, 2021, **8**, 3377–3386.
- S. Bourelly, B. Moulin, A. Rivera, G. Maurin, S. Devautour-Vinot, C. Serre, T. Devic, P. Horcajada, A. Vimont, G. Clet, M. Daturi, J.-C. Lavalley, S. Loera-Serna, R. Denoyel, P. L. Llewellyn and G. Férey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 9488–9498.
- C. Serre, S. Bourelly, A. Vimont, N. A. Ramsahye, G. Maurin, P. L. Llewellyn, M. Daturi, Y. Filinchuk, O. Leynaud, P. Barnes and G. Férey, *Adv. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 2246–2251.
- (a) L. Han, J. Zhang, Y. Mao, W. Zhou, W. Xu and Y. Sun, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **58**, 15489; (b) W. L. Teo, S. K. B. Ariff, W. Zhou, D. Jana, S. Z. F. Phua and Y. Zhao, *ChemNanoMat*, 2020, **6**, 204–207.
- (a) T. Devic, P. Horcajada, C. Serre, F. Salles, G. Maurin, B. Moulin, D. Heurtaux, G. Clet, A. Vimont, J. M. Grenèche, B. le Ouay, F. Moreau, E. Magnier, Y. Filinchuk, J. Marrot, J. C. Lavalley, M. Daturi and G. Férey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 1127–1136; (b) A. S. Munn, R. S. Pillai, S. Biswas, N. Stock, G. Maurin and R. I. Walton, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 4162–4168.
- A. López-Olvera, J. A. Zárate, E. Martínez-Ahumada, D. Fan, M. L. Díaz-Ramírez, P. A. Sáenz-Cavazos, V. Martis, D. R. Williams, E. Sánchez-González, G. Maurin and I. A. Ibarra, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 39363–39370.
- (a) M. R. Tchalala, P. M. Bhatt, K. N. Chappanda, S. R. Tavares, K. Adil, Y. Belmabkhout, A. Shkurenko, A. Cadiau, N. Heymans, G. de Weireld, G. Maurin, K. N. Salama and M. Eddaoudi, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 1328; (b) X. Cui, Q. Yang, L. Yang, R. Krishna, Z. Zhang, Z. Bao, H. Wu, Q. Ren, W. Zhou, B. Chen and H. Xing, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1606929; (c) E. Martínez-Ahumada, A. López-Olvera, V. Jancik, J. E. Sánchez-Bautista, E. González-Zamora, V. Martis, D. R. Williams and I. A. Ibarra, *Organometallics*, 2020, **39**, 883–915.
- S. S. Iremonger, R. Vaidhyanathan, R. K. Mah and G. K. H. Shimizu, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 4124–4126; (b) D. K. Maity, A. Halder, B. Bhattacharya, A. Das and D. Ghoshal, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2016, **16**, 1162–1167.
- C. M. Simon, B. Smit and M. Haranczyk, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 2016, **200**, 364–380.
- J. X. Wang, J. Yin, O. Shekhah, O. M. Bakr, M. Eddaoudi and O. F. Mohammed, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2022, **14**, 9970–9986.
- J. W. M. Osterrieth, *et al.*, *Adv. Mater.*, 2022, **34**, 2201502.

