Dalton Transactions

PAPER

Check for updates

Tipping the balance between *twist* and *chair* ligand conformers in multinuclear ethylzinc cyclophosphazenates†‡

Ramamoorthy Boomishankar, [iD] [a,b] Philip I. Richards[a] and Alexander Steiner [iD] *[a]

Hexaanionic cyclophosphazenate ligands $[(RN)_6P_3N_3]^{6-}$ provide versatile platforms for the assembly of multinuclear metal arrays due to their multiple coordination sites and highly flexible ligand core structure. This work investigates the impact of incrementally increasing the steric demand of the ligand periphery on the coordination behavior of ethylzinc arrays. It shows that the increased congestion around the ligand sites is alleviated by progressive condensation with the elimination of diethylzinc. The condensation is accompanied by a switch in ligand conformation: the phosphazene ring of the methyl derivative adopts the *chair* conformer in the dimeric complex $(EtZn)_{12}[(RN)_6P_3N_3]_2$, while the more sterically demanding isobutyl derivative exhibits *twist* conformers in both the monomeric complex $(EtZn)_6[(iBuN)_6P_3N_3]$ and the condensed dimer $(EtZn)_8Zn_2[(iBuN)_6P_3N_3]_2$. Ethyl and propyl derivatives mark a tipping point: the dimeric complex $(EtZn)_{12}[(RN)_6P_3N_3]_2$ exhibits the *chair* conformation and is observed in solution and within the stabilising environment of a co-crystal. However, when crystallising in pure form, it transforms with the loss of one equivalent of Et_2Zn into the collapsed dimer $(EtZn)_{10}Zn[(RN)_6P_3N_3]_2$ which features the *twist* conformer.

Introduction

Cyclotriphosphazenes are prominent inorganic N-heterocycles featuring the extremely robust P_3N_3 ring system.[1,2] A great variety of functionalised substituents have been attached to the phosphazene ring, resulting in a multitude of systems that offer a wide range of applications, for example in drug delivery,[3] gas sorption,[4,5] liquid crystals,[6] sensors,[7] phase transfer catalysis,[8] ferroelectrics[9] and chiral separation.[10,11] When equipped with additional donor sites, they can serve as polydentate ligands that exhibit a rich coordination chemistry which has been studied extensively by Chandrasekhar and others.[12–14]

Polyanionic cyclophosphazenates $[(RN)_x(RNH)_{6-x}P_3N_3]^{x-}$ provide highly flexible ligand platforms with multiple coordination sites that facilitate the accommodation of multinuclear metal arrays.[15–23] They are obtained *via* the deprotonation of hexa(organoamino) cyclotriphosphazenes, $(RNH)_6P_3N_3$.

Progressive metalation leads to a greater distortion of the P_3N_9 core, which can be attributed to the increasing negative charge on deprotonated N(exo) atoms and the participation of N(ring) atoms in metal coordination.[16] Various ring conformations have been encountered demonstrating the flexibility but also the robustness of the ligand core. The two principal ring conformers of the hexaanionic ligand $[(RN)_6P_3N_3]^{6-}$ are the *chair* featuring a P_3N_9 core of C_{3v} symmetry and the *twist* characterised by a C_2 axis running through opposite phosphorus and nitrogen atoms in the phosphazene ring. The *chair* is found predominantly in lithium complexes,[16,17] while the *twist* occurs in the presence of more acidic metal centres, such as aluminium[18] and titanium[19] (Fig. 1).

Ethylzinc complexes show a more ambivalent behaviour. The monomeric complex $(EtZn)_6[(CyN)_6P_3N_3]$ (Cy = cyclohexyl) features the *twist* conformer,[22] while dimeric complexes of the trianionic ligand $[(RNH)_3(RN)_3P_3N_3]^{3-}$ exhibit the *chair* conformer.[23] This work investigates the steric impact of the alkyl substituents on the coordination of ethylzinc arrays with the aim of exploring the boundary between the two regimes. Diethylzinc was employed as the metalating agent. It is an important reagent in organic synthesis,[24,25] for example, in the enantio-selective alkylation of carbonyls[26] and imines[27] and in cyclopropanation reactions.[28] Furthermore, it is used as a volatile precursor in metalorganic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) for the preparation of wide band gap II–VI semiconducting films and nanostructures.[29]

*[a]Department of Chemistry, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZD, UK.
E-mail: a.steiner@liverpool.ac.uk*
[b]Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Pune, Dr Homi Bhabha Road, Pune-411008, India

Fig. 1 Principal conformers of phosphazenate ligands alongside depictions of the core metal–ligand structures of representative complexes: thf$_4$Li$_{12}$[(CyN)$_6$P$_3$N$_3$]$_2$ (*chair*)[21] and (Me$_2$Al)$_6$[(iBuN)$_6$P$_3$N$_3$] (*twist*).[18]

Results and discussion

The hexaprotic precursors (RNH)$_6$P$_3$N$_3$ used in this study included methyl (**1**), ethyl (**2**), propyl (**3**) and isobutyl (**4**) derivatives.[30] They were treated with 6.1 equivalents of diethylzinc to generate complexes of the fully deprotonated ligands [(RN)$_6$P$_3$N$_3$]$^{6-}$. Reactions were monitored with ^{31}P NMR and products were analysed by single crystal X-ray diffraction. Scheme 1 lists the coordination modes that were observed in the crystal structures. Scheme 2 displays the reactions that led to the formation and subsequent condensation of ethylzinc complexes discussed here.

The reaction of the methyl derivative **1** with Et$_2$Zn was conducted in thf/hexane due to its poor solubility in pure hydrocarbon solvents. A clear solution was obtained after a short reflux (15 min). The ^{31}P NMR taken from the reaction solution indicates three phosphorus environments at δ 44.9, 37.7 and 26.8. Crystals suitable for X-ray structure analysis were obtained from a concentrated solution in hexane. The crystal structure consists of a dimeric complex of composition (EtZn)$_{12}$[(MeN)$_6$P$_3$N$_3$]$_2$ (Fig. 2). Its molecular symmetry is characterised by a local C_2 axis that runs between the two ligands. The ligands exhibit *chair* conformation and are arranged in a similar way to that in the corresponding lithium complexes.[16,17] They are connected *via* six EtZn groups, each forming a bridge between an N(ring) and an equatorial N(exo) site. The remaining EtZn groups are bonded to the axial N(exo) sites: for each ligand, there is one EtZn group that caps the three axial N(exo) sites in tridentate type **VIII** (Zn4, Zn10), one that binds to an axial N(exo) site in monodentate type **I** (Zn6, Zn12) and one that is chelated in a bidentate fashion to two axial N(exo) sites with an additional long interaction with an N(ring) site (type **IVa**) (Zn5, Zn11).

Reactions of ethyl (**2**) and propyl derivatives (**3**) with six equivalents of Et$_2$Zn were carried out in hexane. Clear solu-

Scheme 1 Coordination modes observed in crystal structures. Dashed lines indicate long Zn–N interactions (>2.3 Å).

Scheme 2 Formation and subsequent condensation reactions of ethylzinc phosphazenates.

tions were obtained after a short reflux (15 min). The ^{31}P NMR spectra of the reaction mixtures show a singlet (δ 39.9 for the reaction of **2**, δ 40.4 for **3**), indicating the chemical equivalence of the three P-atoms in the phosphazene ring. The X-ray structure analyses of the crystals grown from the reaction solutions reveal crystal structures of composition (EtZn)$_{10}$Zn[(RN)$_6$P$_3$N$_3$]$_2$ (R = Et (**6**), Pr (**7**)) (Fig. 3). The complexes can be described as 'collapsed' dimers that contain ten EtZn groups and one Zn centre (Zn1) that does not bear an ethyl group. The two

Fig. 2 Crystal structure of the dimeric complex **5**. Zn, red; N, blue; P, purple; C, grey. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.


Fig. 3 Crystal structure of the collapsed dimer **6**. Zn, red; N, blue; P, purple; C, grey. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

ligands show different coordination patterns and ring conformations: one adapts the characteristic *twist* form, while the other sits somewhere between *twist* and *boat* according to its ring puckering parameters (see the ESI‡). Zn1 occupies a central position between the two ligands where it is chelated by the *twist* ligand in bidentate type **III** and by the *twist–boat* ligand in bidentate type **IV**, resulting in a distorted tetrahedral coordination environment. Furthermore, there are two bridging EtZn groups (Zn2, Zn7), each of which is chelated by one ligand in type **III** and coordinates the other in type **I**. In addition, each ligand hosts four non-bridging EtZn groups. The *twist* ligand accommodates two EtZn groups in type **IV** (Zn3, Zn5) and two in type **Va** sites (Zn4, Zn6), while the *twist–boat* ligand exhibits four distinct coordination modes of types **III** (Zn9), **IIIa** (Zn11), **IV** (Zn10) and **V** (Zn8) (see also ESI Fig. S13‡).

To our surprise, the ^{31}P NMR spectra of the redissolved crystals of **6** and **7** are different from those recorded prior to crystallisation. They consist of two sharp signals with an intensity ratio of 1 : 2 (**6**: δ 44.7, 39.5; **7**: δ 45.2, 38.9), which can be attributed to two inequivalent sets of P-nuclei. When the crys-

tals are redissolved and treated with one equivalent of Et$_2$Zn, the ^{31}P NMR spectra revert to the same singlet recorded before crystallisation. This implies that the species that initially formed (here referred to as **6a** and **7a**) must contain twelve EtZn groups but lose one equivalent of Et$_2$Zn during crystallisation.

In our quest of uncovering the identity of species **6a** and **7a**, we were aided by a fortunate accident: a reaction mixture of **3** and excess Et$_2$Zn that must have been contaminated with moisture yielded, amongst an indistinct solid residue, a few crystals that were suitable for X-ray structure analysis. It revealed a co-crystal (**8**) containing the complexes $\{(EtZn)_{12}[(PrN)_6P_3N_3]_2\}$ (**8a**) and $\{(EtZn)_{10}Zn_3O_3[PrNH(PrN)_5P_3N_3]_2\}$ (**8b**), both exhibiting crystallographic C_2 symmetry (Fig. 4). Complex **8b** consists of two moieties $(EtZn)_5[PrNH(PrN)_5P_3N_3]$ that sandwich a planar Zn$_3$O$_3$ ring in a similar way to that in the previously reported complex $(EtZn)_6Zn_3O_3[(PrNH)_3(PrN)_3P_3N_3]_2$.[23] However, more relevant to our study is complex **8a** as it shows the same coordination pattern and the same molecular symmetry as the dimeric complex **5** that hosts twelve EtZn groups.

We conclude that **7a**, the species that exists in solution prior to crystallization, is identical to **8a**. This raises the question of why it appears in the co-crystal of **8** but undergoes condensation when crystallising in pure form. A close inspection of the crystal packing of **8** reveals that **8a** has an ellipsoidal shape, while **8b** is roughly cylindrical with bowl-shaped cavities at either end. In the crystal, **8a** and **8b** are stacked in an alternate fashion forming a kind of ball-and-socket arrangement whereby the tip of the ellipsoid of **8a** rests in the cavity of **8b** (Fig. 4). Evidently, this arrangement must have a stabilis-


Fig. 4 Left: crystal structure of the co-crystal **8** consisting of complexes **8a** (top) and **8b** (bottom). Zn, red; N, blue; P, purple; C, grey; O, green. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Right: superimposition with the space-filling model to illustrate the ball-and-socket packing of **8a** (blue) and **8b** (orange) in the co-crystal.

ing effect on **8a**. In the absence of a suitable socket such as **8b**, it will undergo internal condensation with the loss of one molecule of Et$_2$Zn yielding the collapsed dimer **7** which may pack more efficiently in the crystal than **7a**.

The reaction of the isobutyl derivative **4** with six equivalents of Et$_2$Zn in hexane produces after a short reflux a singlet signal in the ^{31}P NMR spectrum (δ 42.6). Upon continued refluxing, an additional AX$_2$ signal pattern emerges (δ 43.4(t), 41.4(d)) which becomes the sole species after heating the mixture for two days. We were able to crystallise both compounds and analyse their X-ray structures. The crystal structure obtained from the initial reaction consists of a monomeric complex of composition (EtZn)$_6$[(iBuN)$_6$P$_3$N$_3$] (**9**) (Fig. 5). It has the same core structure as the corresponding cyclohexyl derivative (EtZn)$_6$[(CyN)$_6$P$_3$N$_3$] reported earlier.[22] The complex is approximately C_2 symmetric and adopts the *twist* conformation. Two EtZn groups (Zn1, Zn2) occupy type **III** chelates that share the same N(ring) site, a further two are in type **IV** sites (Zn4, Zn6) and another two in type **Va** sites (Zn3, Zn5).

The crystal structure of the product obtained after prolonged reflux consists of the dimeric complex (EtZn)$_8$Zn$_2$[(iBuN)$_6$P$_3$N$_3$]$_2$ (**10**) (Fig. 5). The presence of two Zn atoms bearing no ethyl groups (Zn1, Zn2) confirms that **10** is the product of the condensation of two complexes of **9** with the elimination of two equivalents of Et$_2$Zn. The molecular

symmetry of **10** is close to the point group D_2; both of its ligands exhibit the *twist* conformation. Zn1 and Zn2 bridge the ligands *via* type **III** chelates. Furthermore, each ligand accommodates four EtZn groups: two in type **IVa** sites (Zn4, Zn6, Zn8, Zn10) and two in tridentate type **VII** coordination sites (Zn3, Zn5, Zn7, Zn9). Both monomeric **9** and dimeric **10** feature the typical coordination pockets of *twist* conformers but show slight variations in the coordination modes (see also Fig. S13 in the ESI‡).

In line with other metal phosphazenates, the metalation leads to a marked distortion of the ligands due to the enhanced negative charge on the deprotonated N(exo) sites and the involvement of N(ring) sites in metal coordination. On average, the P–N(exo) bonds become shorter, the P–N(ring) bonds become longer, the exocyclic N–P–N angles become wider and the ring angles become sharper. Furthermore, bond lengths and angles show a much greater distribution in the metal complexes than in the precursor molecules (see also Fig. S15 and S16 in the ESI‡).

The marked distortion of the ligand core causes the P$_3$N$_3$ rings in the metal complexes to pucker. The two principal ring conformers that were observed are *chair* and *twist*. Both were identified by their characteristic distribution of ring torsion angles and their ring puckering parameters[31] (see Fig. S17 and Table S2 in the ESI‡). The *chair* conformation is adopted in dimers **5** and **8a**, while the *twist* conformation occurs in the collapsed dimers **6** and **7** as well as in the monomeric complex **9** and its condensed dimer **10**. In line with other complexes of hexaanionic phosphazenates, the *chair* conformer is found only in dimers that accommodate twelve metal centres, six connecting the two ligands *via* equatorial N(exo) and N(ring) sites and six coordinating the axial N(exo) sites. The *twist* conformer, on the other hand, appears in monomeric and dimeric complexes hosting fewer than twelve metal centres. It offers two adjacent bidentate type **III** chelates and four coordination pockets of either bidentate type **IV**, tridentate types **VI** and **VII** or some intermediate form. In addition to the *chair* and *twist* conformers, a third conformer was observed which is less symmetrical and sits, according to its ring puckering parameters, somewhere between *twist* and *boat*. It appears in the collapsed dimers **6** and **7** alongside the *twist* conformer. Its existence may be attributed to the presence of only one bridging Zn atom bearing no ethyl group (Zn1 in Fig. 3), which forces the complex into an asymmetric arrangement, thereby preventing one of the ligands from adopting the more common *twist* conformation. Complex **10** demonstrates that indeed two such bridging Zn atoms are required to maintain a symmetrical dimer with two *twist* conformers (see also ESI Fig. S19‡).

The Zn–N bond lengths span a wide range. The shortest bond lengths measure 1.893(9) and 1.902(9) Å and are found in the two linear Et–Zn–N(exo) moieties of **5** that are coordinated in a monodentate fashion (Zn6 and Zn12 in Fig. 2); their C–Zn–N angles measure 175.3(6)° and 177.2(6)°. Two-coordinated linear Et–Zn–N arrangements are very rare. The only other example that has been reported is stabilised by a bulky amide ligand.[32] In **5**, however, the steric demand seems to be


Fig. 5 Crystal structure of the monomeric complex **9** (top) and the condensed dimer **10** (bottom). Zn, red; N, blue; P, purple; C, grey. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.

imposed by the crowding of EtZn groups around ligand sites. Short Zn–N bonds also appear in the type **III** chelates of **9** and **10** (Zn1 and Zn2 in Fig. 5); their Zn–N(exo) bonds are much shorter (1.920(3)–1.945(4) Å) than their Zn–N(ring) bonds (2.201(4)–2.248(3) Å). The bonds of other bidentate chelates lie somewhere between the two extremes. Longer Zn–N bonds tend to involve N-sites that coordinate two metal atoms. Zinc atoms of EtZn groups residing in bidentate chelates have a planar coordination environment. A deviation from planarity indicates that there is an additional weak interaction with another N-site. Coordination modes with weak interactions are signified by types **IIIa**, **IVa**, and **Va**. These are drawn with dashed lines in Scheme 1 and in the crystal structures. A more evenly balanced tridentate coordination of type **VIII** exists in **5** that involves the three axial N(exo) sites of the *chair* conformer. A tridentate coordination was also observed with a *twist* conformer in the form of type **VII** in **10**.

Bond lengths in EtZn phosphazenates are comparable to those found in zinc complexes of neutral phosphazenes equipped with donor side arms[33–36] and in complexes containing anionic ligands featuring N–P–N[37] or N–P–N–P–N[38] chelates. Zn–C bond lengths, on the other hand, show a much tighter margin, averaging 1.95 Å, which is similar to the bond distance observed in the crystals of diethylzinc.[39]

The wide range of Zn–N distances indicates that the pathways between the coordination modes depicted in Scheme 1 are rather smooth and require only subtle shifts of EtZn groups aided by some conformational readjustment of the ligand. This flexibility facilitates dynamic behaviour and may explain the difference in the chemical equivalences of phosphorus atoms encountered in solution and in the crystal structures. Only in the cases of **5** and **10** does the multiplicity of ^{31}P NMR signals observed in solution reflect the molecular symmetry in the crystal. In all other instances, it indicates a higher symmetry for the solution species. For example, the monomeric complex **9** exhibits only one chemical shift in the ^{31}P NMR spectrum, but its crystal structure indicates molecular C_2 symmetry with two distinct sets of P-atoms.

To shed some light on the fluxional behaviour, we conducted a variable temperature study of **9** in hexane.§ ^{31}P NMR spectra were recorded at intervals from room temperature down to 178 K (Fig. 6). Upon cooling, the singlet broadens and splits into an AX$_2$ signal pattern (δ 42.7(d), 42.4(t)). This indicates a dynamic process at room temperature that renders the three P-atoms of the ligand equivalent, while at lower temperature, the two inequivalent P-environments mirror the molecular C_2 symmetry of the crystal structure. It would be rather speculative to deliberate an exact mechanism of the dynamic process. Nonetheless, it must involve at least a sufficiently rapid exchange between ligand conformers and a fast enough movement of EtZn groups between N-sites to equalise the three P-atoms on the NMR timescale. A similar case has been

§Variable temperature measurements of **6**, **6a**, **7** and **7a** were hampered by poor solubility at lower temperature.

Fig. 6 Variable temperature ^{31}P NMR of **9** in hexane.

reported where four EtZn groups engage in fluxional behaviour around a tetraanionic amidinate ligand.[40]

The condensation reactions of ethylzinc phosphazenates are somewhat reminiscent of Schlenk equilibria.[41] The increased congestion around sterically more demanding ligands is alleviated through condensation with the elimination of Et$_2$Zn, which reduces the number of metal centres in the complex. The methyl derivative **5** has still sufficient space for hosting twelve EtZn groups in a dimeric complex. Ethyl and propyl derivatives form the corresponding dimers (**6a** and **7a**) only in solution and in the stabilising environment of a co-crystal. However, they condense upon crystallisation with the elimination of one equivalent of Et$_2$Zn to form collapsed dimers **6** and **7**. The isobutyl ligand, on the other hand, is sufficiently bulky to support the monomeric complex **9**. It aggregates into the dimeric complex **10** only after prolonged heating, in which case two equivalents of Et$_2$Zn are eliminated.

Conclusion

This study shows that hexaanionic cyclophosphazenates offer robust but at the same time highly flexible ligand platforms for multinuclear assemblies of ethylzinc. The coordination behaviour of the ligand is controlled by the steric demand of the alkyl substituents. Overcrowding can be mitigated through condensation with the elimination of Et$_2$Zn, which lowers the metal count in the complex. Unlike other metal phosphazenates, EtZn complexes feature both *chair* and *twist* conformers. The methyl derivative displays the *chair* conformer that is characteristic of dimers hosting twelve EtZn groups, while isobutyl complexes contain the *twist* conformer that appears in monomeric complexes and condensed dimers. Complexes of

ligands equipped with ethyl and propyl groups mark the tipping point between the two conformers, exhibiting the *chair* conformer in solution or in the stabilising environment of a co-crystal but the *twist* conformer in the crystals of the pure complex. This work demonstrates that the ligand system can be finely tuned by simple modifications of its periphery to provide tailored platforms for multinuclear metal arrays.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.‡ Crystallographic data have been deposited at the CCDC under 2383654 (**9**), 2383655 (**5**), 2383656 (**8**), 2383657 (**6**), 2383658 (**7**) and 2383659 (**10**).‡

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

References

1 H. R. Allcock, *Chem. Rev.*, 1972, **72**, 315.
2 V. Chandrasekhar and V. Krishnan, *Adv. Inorg. Chem.*, 2002, **53**, 159.
3 G. Casella, S. Carlotto, F. Lanero, M. Mozzon, P. Sgarbossa and R. Bertani, *Molecules*, 2022, **27**, 3523.
4 H. R. Allcock, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1978, **11**, 81.
5 P. Sozzani, S. Bracco, A. Comotti, L. Ferretti and R. Simonutti, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 1741.
6 J. Barberá, M. Bardají, J. Jiménez, A. Laguna, M. P. Martínez, L. Oriol, J. L. Serrano and I. Zaragozano, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 8994.
7 A. Uslu, S. O. Tümay and S. Yeşilot, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., C*, 2022, **53**, 100553.
8 M. Craven, R. Yahya, E. Kozhevnikova, R. Boomishankar, C. M. Robertson, A. Steiner and I. Kozhevnikov, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 349.
9 S. Deswal, R. Panday, D. R. Naphade, P.-A. Cazade, S. Guerin, A. Steiner, S. Ogale, T. D. Anthopoulos and R. Boomishankar, *Small*, 2023, **19**, 2300792.
10 A. Uslu and S. Yeşilot, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **291**, 28.
11 C. Jose, N. Sradha and R. Boomishankar, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2024, **63**, 18788.
12 V. Chandrasekhar, P. Thilagar and B. M. Pandian, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **251**, 1045.
13 V. Chandrasekhar and S. Nagendran, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2001, **30**, 193.
14 V. Chandrasekhar and B. M. Pandian, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2009, **42**, 1047.
15 A. Steiner, S. Zacchini and P. I. Richards, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **227**, 19.
16 F. Rivals, G. T. Lawson, M. A. Benson, P. I. Richards, S. Zacchini and A. Steiner, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2011, **372**, 304.
17 G. T. Lawson, F. Rivals, M. Tascher, C. Jacob, J. F. Bickley and A. Steiner, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, 341.
18 P. I. Richards, G. T. Lawson, J. F. Bickley, C. M. Robertson, J. A. Iggo and A. Steiner, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2019, **58**, 3355.
19 R. Boomishankar, P. I. Richards, A. K. Gupta and A. Steiner, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 2515.
20 F. Rivals and A. Steiner, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 1426.
21 A. Steiner and D. S. Wright, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1996, **35**, 636.
22 G. T. Lawson, C. Jacob and A. Steiner, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, 1881.
23 R. Boomishankar, P. I. Richards and A. Steiner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 4632.
24 L. Stahl, in *Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry*, ed. R. H. Crabtree and D. M. P. Mingos, Elsevier, 3rd edn, 2006, vol. 2, p. 309.
25 E. Erdik, *Organozinc Reagents in Organic Synthesis*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fl, 1996.
26 L. Pu and H.-B. Yu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **101**, 757.
27 K. Yamada and K. Tomioka, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 2874.
28 H. Lebel, J.-F. Marcoux, C. Molinaro and A. B. Charette, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **102**, 977.
29 J. Wang and M. Isshiki, in *Springer Handbook of Electronic and Photonic materials*, ed. S. Kasab and P. Capper, Springer, New York, 2006, p. 325.
30 J. F. Bickley, R. Bonar-Law, G. T. Lawson, P. I. Richards, F. Rivals, A. Steiner and S. Zacchini, *Dalton Trans.*, 2003, 1235.
31 D. Cremer and J. A. Pople, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1975, **97**, 1354.
32 M. J. C. Dawkins, E. Middleton, C. E. Kefalidis, D. Dange, M. M. Juckel, L. Maron and C. Jones, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 10490.
33 E. W. Ainscough, A. M. Brodie, P. J. B. Edwards, G. B. Jameson, C. A. Otter and S. Kirk, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 10884.
34 Y. Byun, D. Min, J. Do, H. Yun and Y. Do, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 3981.
35 V. Chandrasekhar, B. M. Pandian and R. Azhakar, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **45**, 3510.
36 V. Chandrasekhar, V. Krishnan, A. Steiner and J. F. Bickley, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, **43**, 166.
37 B. Goswami, T. J. Feuerstein, R. Yadav, S. Lebedkin, P. J. Boden, S. T. Steiger, G. Niedner-Schatteburg, M. Gerhards, M. M. Kappes and P. W. Roesky, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2021, **27**, 15110.
38 S. K. Pandey, A. Steiner, H. W. Roesky and D. Stalke, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, **32**, 5444.
39 J. Bacsa, F. Hanke, S. Hindley, R. Odedra, G. R. Darling, A. C. Jones and A. Steiner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 11685.
40 B. Gutschank, S. Schulz, U. Westphal, D. Bläser and R. Boese, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 2093.
41 J. Boersma and J. G. Noltes, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1966, **7**, 1521.