



Cite this: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2022, 24, 21786

Operando PEPICO unveils the catalytic fast pyrolysis mechanism of the three methoxyphenol isomers†

Zeyou Pan, ^{ab} Andras Bodí, ^a Jeroen A. van Bokhoven ^{ab} and Patrick Hemberger ^{*a}

The development of lignin valorization processes such as catalytic fast pyrolysis (CFP) to produce fine chemicals and fuels leads to a more sustainable future. The implementation of CFP is enabled by understanding the chemistry of lignin constituents, which, however, requires thorough mechanistic investigations by detecting reactive species. In this contribution, we investigate the CFP of the three methoxyphenol (**MP**) isomers over H-ZSM-5 utilizing vacuum ultraviolet synchrotron radiation and *operando* photoelectron photoion coincidence (PEPICO) spectroscopy. All isomers demethylate at first to yield benzenediols, from which dehydroxylation reactions proceed to produce phenol and benzene. Additional pathways to form benzene proceed over cyclopentadiene, methylcyclopentadiene, and fulvene intermediates. The detection of trace amounts of methanol in the product stream suggests a demethoxylation reaction to yield phenol. Guaiacol (**2**- or *ortho*-**MP**) exhibits slightly higher reactivity compared to **3**-**MP** and **4**-**MP**, due to the formation of the fulvenone ketene, which opens additional routes to benzene and phenol. When compared to benzenediol catalytic pyrolysis, the additional methyl group in **MP** leads to high conversion at lower reactor temperatures, which is mostly owed to the lower H₃C–O vs. H–O bond energy and the possibility to demethoxylate to produce phenol.

Received 16th June 2022,
Accepted 15th August 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2cp02741k

rsc.li/pccp

Introduction

Lignin can be converted into fine chemicals and fuels *via* catalytic fast pyrolysis (CFP).^{1–3} Because lignin is a complex, three-dimensional, diversely functionalized, and amorphous macromolecule, its CFP is often poorly controllable and high selectivity towards targeted products is rarely achieved. To improve the selectivity targetedly and to reduce costs, it is critical to unveil the CFP reaction mechanism. In the bottom-up approach, common lignin model compounds, such as guaiacol, eugenol, and guaiacylglycerol-*b*-guaiacyl ether (GGGE), are investigated to understand the reaction mechanism in increasing order of complexity,^{5–9} which provides insights into the phenomena and trends reported in top-down experiments.^{10,11} Our group has studied the reaction mechanism of guaiacol over H-USY by photoelectron photoion coincidence (PEPICO) spectroscopy and observed the fulvenone ketene as a

reactive intermediate, responsible for the branching of the reaction pathways into phenol and cyclopentadiene.⁵ Liu *et al.* compared GGE pyrolysis with and without a catalyst by *in situ* atmospheric pressure photoionization high-resolution mass spectrometry. A phenolic pool on H-ZSM-5 catalyst was discovered.⁷ Recently, Liu *et al.* explored the pyrolysis chemistry of guaiacol and its derivatives 4-methylguaiacol, 4-vinylguaiacol, and vanillin using synchrotron photoionization mass spectrometry (PIMS) and proposed systematic reaction pathways.⁹

An advanced understanding of the reaction mechanism of lignin model compounds can help us model and, eventually, control CFP. In general, the underlying chemistry of different isomers calls for advanced spectroscopic methods to disentangle the reaction mechanisms by isomer-selective detection of reactive species. For instance, in the catalytic pyrolysis of the three benzenediol isomers, catechol, resorcinol and hydroquinone,⁴ it was found that vicinal hydroxyl groups in the *ortho* isomer (catechol), can dehydrate at low temperature to form the fulvenone ketene, leading to a high conversion of *o*-benzenediol, while the more remote hydroxyl groups in *m*- and *p*-benzenediol will react individually and only at higher temperature, but still lead to a similar product distribution. This indicates, on the one hand, that the distance between the two hydroxyl groups has a strong effect on the reactivity and

^a Paul Scherrer Institute, 5232 Villigen, Switzerland.

E-mail: patrick.hemberger@psi.ch

^b Institute for Chemical and Bioengineering, Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences, ETH Zurich, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2cp02741k>



mechanisms without significantly affecting the product pool. On the other hand, Gerlach *et al.* pointed out that differences between the decomposition mechanism of the benzenediol isomers in non-catalytic pyrolysis also affect the product distribution.¹² In *m*-xylene, it was found that the fuel radical, *m*-xylyl, cannot decompose by direct hydrogen loss, as this would result in the high-energy biradical *m*-xylylene species. Instead, the *m*-xylyl radical rearranges to the *ortho* and *para* isomers before a hydrogen is lost, as shown in pyrolytic micro-reactors^{13–15} and in flame sampling¹⁶ using vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) synchrotron radiation and photoelectron photoion coincidence detection (PEPICO). This technique permits us to measure photoion mass-selected threshold photoelectron spectra (ms-TPES), which are used in catalysis, combustion and atmospheric chemistry investigations to detect reactive species isomer-selectively and elucidate reaction mechanisms and kinetics.^{17–27} These examples emphasize the different reactivities and dynamics based on the substitution pattern. To get a comprehensive understanding of lignin CFP, it is important to refine the conversion mechanism of model compounds down to the individual isomers to align with top-down results,^{10,11} where lignin samples are directly investigated.

This study aims to understand the chemistry of catalytic pyrolysis of methoxyphenol (**MP**) isomers using H-ZSM-5 as catalyst. The pyrolysis-PEPICO technique allows us to detect elusive intermediates, such as radicals, and identify isomers, thereby revealing reaction pathways. In this contribution, we reveal how the position of the methoxy group influences the initial decomposition step, the conversion, and the product distribution of **MP** isomers. This work lays the groundwork for the study of more complicated hydroxyl/methoxy-containing derivatives and may guide the optimization of the process towards high selectivity to desired products.

Experimental

The PEPICO experiments have been performed utilizing the double imaging photoelectron photoion coincidence CRF-PEPICO endstation at the vacuum ultraviolet (VUV) beamline of the Swiss Light Source (SLS) at Paul Scherrer Institute, Switzerland.^{28–30} The three methoxyphenol **MP** isomers (Sigma-Aldrich, >97%) were placed in an in-vacuum sample container, packed within a quartz wool bed. The methoxyphenol sample temperature and thus the vapor pressure in the sample container was adjusted by a water thermostat (Huber Minichiller). The vaporized methoxyphenols were mixed with argon (PANGAS, 4.8) in the sample container and directed into a tubular quartz microreactor (2 mm inner diameter) with a 26 mm long catalyst bed (9–11 mg H-ZSM-5) embedded and fixed in position with quartz wool at a 20 sccm mass flow rate. The reactor assembly was resistively heated utilizing a thermocoax® wire wrapped around a cylindrical manifold over a length of 26 mm and an adjustable DC power supply (Volcraft).⁴ The outer surface temperature was monitored by a type K thermocouple attached at the midpoint of the reactor and calibrated to obtain the


catalyst temperature. The gas mixture containing the reactant, intermediates and products leaves the 1 mm microreactor outlet and forms an effusive molecular beam upon expansion into high vacuum ($1\text{--}5 \times 10^{-5}$ mbar). The beam is skimmed by a 2 mm skimmer, and the species along the centerline of the expansion enter the ionization chamber (10^{−6} mbar). There, the gas jet is intersected with monochromatic VUV synchrotron light. To obtain the ionizing radiation, bending magnet radiation was collimated, dispersed by a monochromator with a 150 mm^{−1} grating at an energy resolution of $E/\Delta E \approx 1500$, and focused onto the exit slit in the differentially pumped rare gas filter filled with 10 mbar of a mixture of Ar, Ne, and Kr to absorb higher order radiation.²⁸ The VUV light then enters the ionization chamber, where it is absorbed by the gaseous sample to generate photoelectrons and photoions. These are accelerated by a constant, 218 V cm^{−1} electric field and detected in delayed coincidence by fast delay-line anode detectors in velocity map imaging (VMI) conditions.³¹ To identify the species, photoion mass-selected threshold photoelectron spectra (ms-TPES) were recorded by integrating only the electrons in the center of the detector, close to zero kinetic energy spot. The hot electron contribution was subtracted utilizing the method of Sztaray and Baer.³² The species were identified by comparing the ms-TPES with reference spectra and Franck–Condon (FC) simulations in conjunction with calculated ionization energies.

Quantum chemical calculations were performed utilizing the Gaussian (16 rev. A.03) suite.³³ Adiabatic ionization energies were calculated using the G4 composite method. Franck–Condon simulations of the photoelectron spectra were carried out using the optimized geometries and vibrational frequencies at the B3LYP/6-311++G(d,p) level. The stick spectra were convolved with a Gaussian function of 20–50 meV full width at half maximum.

Results

(1) Mass spectra

The non-catalytic unimolecular thermal decomposition of methoxyphenols from Custodis *et al.*³⁴ and Scheer *et al.*³⁵ is summarized in R1 and is initiated by methyl radical loss at temperatures above 600 °C. The resulting hydroxy phenoxy radical is prone to decarbonylation to yield the hydroxycyclopentadienyl radical.



The latter dehydrogenates to produce cyclopentadienone and will finally yield C₅H₄ isomers. In the presence of a H-ZSM-5 catalyst, pyrolysis starts at the much lower temperature of *ca.* 440 °C for all three methoxyphenol isomers, as depicted in Fig. 1. Besides the methoxyphenol starting material (*m/z* 124), we observe mostly *m/z* 110, 108, 78 and also minor peaks at *m/z* 82 and 66 at lower temperatures. Upon increasing the temperature to 489 °C, guaiacol (**2-MP**) shows already high






Fig. 1 Mass spectra during the catalytic fast pyrolysis of 2-, 3- and 4-methoxyphenol as function of reactor temperature. Catalytic pyrolysis starts at around 440 °C. Conditions: $h\nu = 10.5$ eV; ~0.01% sample in Ar; H-ZSM-5 (Si/Al = 25). The small peak at m/z 58 is assigned to acetone, an impurity in the detection chamber.

conversion to secondary and tertiary products. These are also observed in **3-MP** and **4-MP** but only at higher temperatures. Heavier species than **MP** are also found during CFP at, *e.g.*, m/z 128, 138, and 142, while lighter compounds are observed as the reactor temperature is further increased. Blank experiments (Fig. S1, ESI†), where the catalyst was replaced by a quartz wool bed, show products at m/z 110, 80, 108 only above 580 °C, emphasizing the important role of the zeolite catalyst in this process.

(2) Species identification

In order to assign the mass peaks in Fig. 1, photoion mass-selected threshold photoelectron spectroscopy (ms-TPES) was utilized as an isomer-selective tool. Fig. 1 depicts ms-TPES of the stable reaction products appearing during the CFP of the three **MP** isomers. The spectra are colour coded for **2-MP** (red), **3-MP** (blue) and **4-MP** (green). The ms-TPES of m/z 66 and 80 was assigned to cyclopentadiene and methyl-cyclopentadienes, based on the excellent agreement with the reference and FC simulated spectra.^{4,36} When reference spectra of reactive molecules are unavailable, the FC approach to model the vibrational transitions from the neutral into the ground electronic state of the cation has been proven to be an excellent alternative to assign the spectral carriers.^{24,27} The data for the m/z 78 mass channel shows rich features around 8.4 eV and 9.2 eV, which was identified as the two C_6H_6 isomers, fulvene ($c-C_5H_4=CH_2$) and benzene. Fulvene ionizes at 8.398 eV into the cation ground state ($\tilde{X}^+ 2A_2 \leftarrow \tilde{X}^+ 1A_1$), while it is also responsible for the features at 9.55 eV, which results from transitions into the excited state ($\tilde{X}^+ 2B_1 \leftarrow \tilde{X}^+ 1A_1$).³⁷ The sharp peak at 9.241 eV is assigned to the doubly degenerate $\tilde{X}^+ 2E_1g$ cation ground electronic state of benzene.^{37,38} The experimental spectrum of m/z 78 agrees well with the reference spectra of fulvene and benzene and a very similar composition is found in all three methoxyphenol isomers.

The ms-TPE spectra of m/z 94 shows an excellent match with the phenol reference spectrum.⁴ The spectrum of m/z 108 can be associated with the three methyl phenol isomers (cresols). However, if **4-MP** is used as precursor (Fig. 2, m/z 108, green




Fig. 2 Photoion mass-selected threshold photoelectron spectra of the most abundant stable reaction products of the catalytic fast pyrolysis of the three methoxyphenol isomers (**2-MP** (red), **3-MP** (blue), and **4-MP** (green)). Reference spectra and FC simulations yield unambiguous assignments for cyclopentadiene (m/z 66), fulvene and benzene (m/z 78), phenol (m/z 94), *ortho*-, *meta*-, and *para*-methylphenols, as well as *p*-benzoquinone (m/z 108), indene (m/z 116), and, finally, for naphthalene (m/z 128).

trace), we find a sharp origin transition at *ca.* 10 eV and a small vibrational feature at *ca.* 10.2 eV, which agrees well with the reference spectrum of *p*-benzoquinone. At higher mass-to-charge ratios, we identify m/z 116 and 128 as indene and naphthalene, respectively, based on their reference spectra and well-defined ionization energies between 8.1 and 8.2 eV.^{39–41}






Fig. 3 Photoion mass-selected threshold photoelectron spectra (ms-TPES) of reactive species in the catalytic pyrolysis of three methoxyphenol isomers **2-MP** (ortho, red), **3-MP** (meta, blue), and **4-MP** (para, green). Methyl radicals (m/z 15) are evidently found in the *meta* and *para* isomer, while methylcyclopentadienes and traces of cyclopentadienone are assigned to m/z 80. While in the **3-MP** (blue) and **4-MP** (green) isomer, we find toluene and xylenes and traces of ethylbenzene in the ms-TPES of m/z 92 and 106, respectively, the **2-MP** isomer shows strong contributions of fulvenone and *ortho*-quinone methide.

Reactive intermediates, also identified using ms-TPE spectra, are depicted in Fig. 3. The sharp origin transition of the methyl radical at a photon energy of 9.84 eV proves its presence in the CFP of 3- and 4-MP, while the signal is likely lost in the noise in 2-MP. The mass spectra in Fig. 1 do not display methyl radicals, likely because of the insufficient signal-to-noise ratio due to the false coincidence background, but the dynamic range of the experiment can be improved by plotting the ms-TPE close to the ionization onset, where the methyl radical has resonant transitions, to identify this reactive species in the complex reaction mixture.

The ms-TPES of m/z 80, as shown in Fig. 3, can be reproduced well using 1-, 2-, and 5-methyl cyclopentadiene Franck–Condon simulations, responsible for the features in the 8.2–8.7 eV range, while minor contributions of cyclopentadienone ($\text{C}_5\text{H}_4=\text{O}$) are also identified based on the ionization energy at 9.41 eV and its reference spectrum.⁴² While the ms-TPE spectra of m/z 92 in the 3-MP and 4-MP CFP are identical and can be assigned to toluene based on the FC-simulation, the 2-MP (red trace) isomer sticks out and shows signal already at 8.25 eV. These features are associated with the fulvenone ketene ($\text{C}_5\text{H}_4=\text{C}=\text{O}$) and compare well with the literature spectrum.⁴³ The m/z 106 signal is very low in 3- and 4-MP CFP and can tentatively be assigned to *ortho*-, *meta*- and *para*-xylene. Here again, 2-MP shows a different behavior and strong features at 8.75, 8.95, 9.3 and 9.5 eV appear in the ms-TPE spectrum. It was found that the *o*-quinone methide, also a highly reactive species, is responsible for these features.⁴⁴ The ms-TPES of the remaining species at m/z 40, 42, 52, 54, 82, 110, 122, and 124 are shown in the ESI† (Fig. S2) and are associated with propyne, ketene &

propene, vinylacetylene, 1,3-butadiene, and cyclopentenone, respectively.

(3) Temperature dependence & comparison to benzenediol reactivity

Hydrocarbons, such as cyclopentadiene (m/z 66), benzene (m/z 78), indene (m/z 116), and naphthalene (m/z 128) are produced and desorbed from the catalyst surface in larger quantities at high reactor temperatures (see Fig. 4). Phenols (m/z 94) and methylphenols (m/z 108, cresols or anisole) are probably consumed in secondary reactions as indicated by their decreasing




Fig. 4 Temperature dependence of MP CFP. A similar pattern is observed across the isomers, with a decreasing signal intensity at m/z 110 (benzenediols), and m/z 94 (phenol) while the C_6H_6 (m/z 78, benzene and fulvene), indene (m/z 116) and naphthalene (m/z 128) signals steadily increase with increasing temperature.







Fig. 5 Catalytic pyrolysis mass spectra of resorcinol⁴ and **3-MP** over H-ZSM-5 at ca. 500 °C. The higher **3-MP** conversion as compared to resorcinol is associated with the lower H₃C–O bond energy (see text).

signal as the temperature increases. A similar trend is also observed for methylcyclopentadienes at *m/z* 80, cyclopentenone (*m/z* 82) and species at *m/z* 92, such as fulvenone (in the case of **2-MP**) and toluene. Small amounts of methanol (*m/z* 32) are detected in all three isomers at lower reactor temperatures, but its signal vanishes at higher temperatures (Fig. 4).


In general, the O–H bond energy is around 100 kJ mol^{−1} higher than that of the O–CH₃ bond, which should lead to an increase in the **MP** reactivity with respect to benzenediols. Indeed, by comparing the resorcinol reactivity⁴ with **3-MP** at ca. 500 °C reactor temperature using H-ZSM-5, it was found that the latter shows nearly 50% selectivity to resorcinol and a much higher conversion (Fig. 5). Thus, methylation leads to an increase in reactivity. Considering the temperature dependent behavior as well as the comparison of the **3-MP** CFP results with those on resorcinol, we can elucidate the pyrolysis mechanism below.

Discussion

The catalytic fast pyrolysis of the **2**, **3** and **4-MP** isomers over H-ZSM-5 is initiated by a demethylation reaction, yielding surface bound hydroxy-phenoxy and methyl species. This initial reaction step is similar to the flash vacuum pyrolysis as described in R1, except that demethylation of the methoxyphenols, followed by hydrogen addition, takes place on the zeolite surface according to reaction R2. This pathway is proven by the unequivocal detection of the desorbed methyl radicals at high reactor temperatures (see *m/z* 15 ms-TPES in Fig. 2).



Consequently, the three benzenediols (catechol, resorcinol, and hydroquinone) are formed on the zeolite surface followed by desorption into the gas phase. Alternatively, the benzenediols may further decompose following diffusion to another active site (see below). If we compare the temperature dependence of the CFP of the benzenediols⁴ with that of the **MPs**, a higher conversion can be achieved at lower reactor temperatures in the latter case (see Fig. 5). Due to the lower bond energy of O–CH₃ vs. O–H, the methyl group increases the reactivity, which significantly lowers the activation energy to initiate the first decomposition reaction on the zeolite surface. Despite the early-onset formation of the three benzenediols, high phenol signals are found, which suggest that it, too, belongs to the primary reaction products. Starting from the activated methoxyphenol complex on the surface, a demethoxylation reaction to produce phenol is likely. This is rationalized by the detection of traces of methanol (*m/z* 32) formed at intermediate reactor temperatures (R3). Due to the high reactivity of methanol as methylation agent, it vanishes at higher reactor temperatures. Furthermore, methanol may also participate in the classical methanol-to-olefins (MTO) cycle^{45–48} which explains the formation of ethylene, propylene and the ethenone ketene (see Fig. S2, ESI[†]).



The dominance of the benzenediol primary products means that we can employ and extend the catechol, resorcinol and hydroquinone CFP surface catalysed reaction mechanisms⁴ to account for the methoxyphenol processes as shown in Fig. 6. Isomer **1** is in equilibrium with tautomer **2**, which readily decarbonylates to hydroxycyclopentadiene **3**. Hydroxycyclopentadiene is desorbed from the catalyst surface and observed as cyclopentenone **4**. In our previous studies, we found **4** to be an excellent precursor of cyclopentadiene **6** following dehydroxylation over H-ZSM-5.⁴

Due to the large abundance of methyl species on the H-ZSM-5 surface, **6** is preferentially methylated to **7** and dehydrogenates to yield fulvene **8**. This rationalizes the temperature-dependent behaviour seen in Fig. 4. At these conditions, fulvene **8** also rearranges to benzene **9**,³⁷ which is methylated to yield toluene **10** (Fig. 6). The minor formation of cyclopentadienone **11** is in contrast to the non-catalytic pyrolysis of the methoxyphenols (R1), which yields this reactive species in large quantities.^{34,35}

Based on the benzenediol **1** catalytic fast pyrolysis mechanism, a second deoxygenation channel is identified.⁴ Catechol, resorcinol and hydroquinone **1** are dehydroxylated over H-ZSM-5 to form phenol **12**. Phenol tautomerizes to intermediately form **13**, which decarbonylates to cyclopentadiene **6**, a reaction also observed in non-catalytic thermal decomposition (Fig. 6).⁴⁹ Alternatively,






Fig. 6 Overview of the reaction mechanism of the catalytic fast pyrolysis of 2-4-methoxyphenol (*n*-MP, *n* = 2–4) over H-ZSM-5 catalyst, based on the benzenediol CFP mechanism.⁴

phenol **12** dehydroxylates to form benzene **9**, which marks a second pathway besides the **7** → **8** → **9** methylation and rearrangement reaction, proven by ¹³C-labeling of the methoxy group in the catalytic pyrolysis of guaiacol.⁵

We also investigated the mechanism of the cyclopentadiene dimerization **6** → **14** over H-ZSM-5 recently, which explains the initiation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) formation in the methoxyphenol catalytic pyrolysis.⁴ Here, dicyclopentadiene **14** rearranges to yield dihydronaphthalenes **15** at *m/z* 132, which subsequently lose hydrogens to finally yield naphthalene **16**. Indeed, species at *m/z* 130 and 132 are observed at lower temperatures, which decrease with increasing temperature, evidencing this pathway (see Fig. 1). Since surface methyl species are readily available, methylation of naphthalene can be trivially explained to form **17**. Indene **19**, on the other hand, was only observed in smaller amounts during the CFP of the three benzenediols, while appreciable concentrations can be seen from the mass spectra in Fig. 1. This suggests that the abundant methyl species on the H-ZSM-5 surface may be responsible for the formation of indene. One pathway may proceed *via* a subsequent grow of the C₃ chain at the benzene and ring closure. However, the small xylene signal at *m/z* 106, in comparison to benzene (*m/z* 78), does not justify this stepwise CH₃ addition pathway. We speculate that a feasible alternative is the methylation of the dicyclopentadiene **14**, to yield **18**, which subsequently loses hydrogen and ethylene to produce **19**.

However, the missing intermediate signals mean that this pathway remains elusive.

Additionally, we find species particular to the catalytic pyrolysis of **2-MP** and **4-MP**, namely *p*-benzoquinone **20** and fulvenone **21**. These are formed during dehydrogenation from hydroquinone **1p** and dehydration of catechol **10**, respectively.⁴ **20** is a known precursor of cyclopentadienone **11**, which was observed in trace amounts herein.⁵⁰ As known from the benzenediol CFP mechanism, fulvenone **21** is only observed in the *ortho* isomer, which correlates with the higher reactivity at lower reactor temperatures in the **2-MP** case (see Fig. 1). This channel is responsible for the phenol **12** and cyclopentadiene **6** formation over the intermediates **24**.^{4,5} Methylation of phenol **12** explains the appearance of cresols **22** and xylenols **23**, while methylation of fulvenone **21** to methyl fulvenone **25**, may be responsible for the observation of the *o*-quinone methide **26**.

At lower reactor temperatures, secondary and tertiary reactions are only observed in the **2-MP** case (Fig. 1), an effect readily attributed to the unique formation of fulvenone **21** in the *ortho* isomer, which boosts the reactivity by opening up third reaction channel (green pathway Fig. 6). However, this effect is less pronounced in **MPs** than in benzenediols, which is owed to the methyl group at the oxygen, giving rise to demethoxylation reactions to produce phenol (**MP** → **12**, Fig. 6). This proves the higher reactivity of methoxy groups as compared to the bare alcohols in lignin model compounds.

Summary & conclusion

We have investigated the catalytic fast pyrolysis of the *ortho*-, *meta*- and *para*-methoxyphenol (**2-4-MP**) isomers over H-ZSM-5 in the 400 to 600 °C temperature range. At lower reactor temperatures, benzenediols, phenol, cresols, and anisole are detected by *operando* photoelectron photoion coincidence spectroscopy. Mechanistically, the reaction is initiated by demethylation to yield benzenediols and further proceeds *via* stepwise dehydroxylation to phenol and benzene. The highest reactivity of the three **MP** was observed in **2-MP** (guaiacol), which we attribute to the formation of fulvenone ($c\text{-C}_5\text{H}_4=\text{C=O}$), a reactive intermediate that can only be accessed in the *ortho* isomer. Fulvenone ketene is either hydrogenated to form the phenoxy radical and phenol, or it can decarbonylate to yield cyclopentadiene. The primary reaction products will decompose at higher reactor temperatures to yield aromatics (benzene, toluene) and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), such as naphthalene, indene, and their methylated analogues. Furthermore, methylation of all intermediates and products gives rise to alternative reaction pathways to benzene formation, following the cyclopentadiene → methyl-cyclopentadiene → fulvene → benzene sequence. If we compare the CFP of isomeric benzenediols to the analogous **MPs**, we find higher conversion already at lower reaction temperatures in the latter, which indicates that the additional methyl group increases the reactivity, due to the lower $\text{H}_3\text{C}-\text{O}$ bond energy, giving rise to activated surface species. Surface-bound methyl desorbs at high temperatures and is detected and is also responsible for abundant surface catalysed methylation reactions, leading to, *e.g.*, methylcyclopentadiene, methylfulvene and toluene. The weak but unambiguous methanol signal in the gas phase gives insights into demethoxylation pathways, which can additionally boost the reactivity towards phenols, and are also found together with methanol already at lower reactor temperatures. This rationalizes the increased reactivity of the methoxy phenols, as compared to the benzenediols.

In this study, we expanded on previous insights into the methoxyphenols CFP mechanism by investigating the **3**- and **4-MP** isomers in addition to guaiacol, **2-MP**, and connecting the CFP mechanism to that of the well-characterized benzenediol chemistry to understanding the role of isomerism. This is particularly important in the bottom-up approach to obtain a detailed mechanistic knowledge of the chemistry and reactivity of model compounds to identify the driving forces and elementary reactions in lignin CFP. In the case of **MP** isomers, the observed product pool was not isomer-dependent. However, the methylation increases the reactivity compared to the benzenediols and helps to reduce the reaction temperatures. The formation of the fulvenone ketene in the **2-MP** case is still beneficial for the overall reactivity, but demethoxylation in **3**- and **4-MP** can similarly increase the reactivity. Thus, tailoring lignin towards methoxy functionalities may help to reduce reaction temperatures to make the process more controllable and avoid high temperature products such as PAHs, which are the precursors of carbonaceous depots, reducing the activity of the zeolite.

Author contributions

ZP: investigation, visualization, validation, formal analysis, writing manuscript. AB: discussion of the data, corrections to the manuscript. JAvB: discussion of the data, corrections to the manuscript, thesis supervisor. PH: conceptualization, data analysis, writing manuscript, resources, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition.

Data availability

Data presented in the main figures of the manuscript are publicly available through the repository: <https://doi.psi.ch/detail/10.16907%2F1fd4c4aa-21fb-4d51-8fb-9c606aae830d>.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The measurements were performed at the VUV beamline of the Swiss Light Source located at Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland. P. H. and Z. P. are grateful for the funding by Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF, 200021_178952). We thank Patrick Ascher for technical assistance.

Notes and references

- W. Schutyser, T. Renders, S. Van den Bosch, S. F. Koelewijn, G. T. Beckham and B. F. Sels, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 852–908.
- A. I. Osman, N. Mehta, A. M. Elgarahy, A. Al-Hinai, A. A. H. Al-Muhtaseb and D. W. Rooney, *Environ. Chem. Lett.*, 2021, **19**, 4075–4118.
- J. A. Poveda-Giraldo, J. C. Solarte-Toro and C. A. Cardona Alzate, *Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev.*, 2021, **138**, 110688.
- Z. Pan, A. Puente-Urbina, A. Bodi, J. A. van Bokhoven and P. Hemberger, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 3161–3169.
- P. Hemberger, V. B. F. Custodis, A. Bodi, T. Gerber and J. A. van Bokhoven, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 15946.
- J.-Y. Kim and J. W. Choi, *Fuel*, 2019, **240**, 92–100.
- C. Liu, X. Chen, X. Liu, C. Cui, Z. Zhou, L. Jia and F. Qi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 2643–2647.
- N. Nastasiienko, T. Kulik, B. Palianytsia, J. Laskin, T. Cherniavskaya, M. Kartel and M. Larsson, *Appl. Sci.*, 2021, **11**, 7205.
- P. Liu, J. Huang, K. Yang, H. Zhuang, L. Chen, Y. Pan, J. Yang and L. Jia, *Fuel*, 2022, **312**, 122874.
- A. Dufour, J. Weng, L. Jia, X. Tang, B. Sirjean, R. Fournet, H. L. Gall, N. Brosse, F. Billaud, G. Mauviel and F. Qi, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 4786–4792.
- L. Jia, Y. Le Brech, G. Mauviel, F. Qi, M. Bente-von Frowein, S. Ehlert, R. Zimmermann and A. Dufour, *Energy Fuels*, 2016, **30**, 1555–1563.

12 M. Gerlach, A. Bodi and P. Hemberger, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, **21**, 19480–19487.

13 P. Hemberger, A. J. Trevitt, E. Ross and G. da Silva, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2013, **4**, 2546–2550.

14 P. Hemberger, A. J. Trevitt, T. Gerber, E. Ross and G. da Silva, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2014, **118**, 3593–3604.

15 M. Steglich, G. Knopp and P. Hemberger, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, **21**, 581–588.

16 T. Bierkandt, P. Hemberger, P. Oßwald, M. Köhler and T. Kasper, *Proc. Combust. Inst.*, 2017, **36**, 1223–1232.

17 J. H. D. Eland, *Photoelectron Spectroscopy*, Butterworths, London, 2nd edn, 1984.

18 J. Kruger, G. A. Garcia, D. Felsmann, K. Moshammer, A. Lackner, A. Brockhinke, L. Nahon and K. Kohse-Höinghaus, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 22791–22804.

19 P. Oßwald, P. Hemberger, T. Bierkandt, E. Akyildiz, M. Köhler, A. Bodi, T. Gerber and T. Kasper, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2014, **85**, 025101.

20 D. Felsmann, K. Moshammer, J. Krüger, A. Lackner, A. Brockhinke, T. Kasper, T. Bierkandt, E. Akyildiz, N. Hansen, A. Lucassen, P. Oßwald, M. Köhler, G. A. Garcia, L. Nahon, P. Hemberger, A. Bodi, T. Gerber and K. Kohse-Höinghaus, *Proc. Combust. Inst.*, 2015, **35**, 779–786.

21 D. Schleier, P. Constantinidis, N. Faßheber, I. Fischer, G. Friedrichs, P. Hemberger, E. Reusch, B. Sztáray and K. Voronova, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2018, **20**, 10721–10731.

22 G. Zichittella, M. Scharfe, B. Puertolas, V. Paunović, P. Hemberger, A. Bodi, L. Szentmiklósi, N. López and J. Pérez-Ramírez, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 5877–5881.

23 J. Bourgalais, Z. Gouid, O. Herbinet, G. A. Garcia, P. Arnoux, Z. Wang, L. S. Tran, G. Vanhove, M. Hochlaf, L. Nahon and F. Battin-Leclerc, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2020, **22**, 1222–1241.

24 P. Hemberger, J. A. van Bokhoven, J. Pérez-Ramírez and A. Bodi, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2020, **10**, 1975–1990.

25 D. P. Mukhopadhyay, D. Schleier, I. Fischer, J. C. Loison, C. Alcaraz and G. A. Garcia, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2020, **22**, 1027–1034.

26 G. Zichittella, P. Hemberger, F. Holzmeier, A. Bodi and J. Pérez-Ramírez, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2020, **11**, 856–863.

27 P. Hemberger, A. Bodi, T. Bierkandt, M. Köhler, D. Kaczmarek and T. Kasper, *Energy Fuels*, 2021, **35**, 16265–16302.

28 M. Johnson, A. Bodi, L. Schulz and T. Gerber, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A*, 2009, **610**, 597–603.

29 X. Tang, G. A. Garcia, J.-F. Gil and L. Nahon, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2015, **86**, 123108.

30 B. Sztáray, K. Voronova, K. G. Torma, K. J. Covert, A. Bodi, P. Hemberger, T. Gerber and D. L. Osborn, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2017, **147**, 013944.

31 A. Bodi, B. Sztáray, T. Baer, M. Johnson and T. Gerber, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2007, **78**, 084102.

32 B. Sztáray and T. Baer, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 2003, **74**, 3763–3768.

33 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery, Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. J. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, T. A. Keith, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. P. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 16, Revision C.01*, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.

34 V. B. F. Custodis, P. Hemberger, Z. Ma and J. A. van Bokhoven, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2014, **118**, 8524–8531.

35 A. M. Scheer, C. Mukarakate, D. J. Robichaud, M. R. Nimlos and G. B. Ellison, *J. Chem. Phys. A*, 2011, **115**, 13381–13389.

36 P. J. Derrick, L. Åsbrink, O. Edqvist, B. Ö. Jonsson and E. Lindholm, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Phys.*, 1971, **6**, 161–175.

37 J. Savee, B. Sztáray, P. Hemberger, J. Zádor, A. Bodi and D. L. Osborn, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2022, DOI: [10.1039/D2FD00028H](https://doi.org/10.1039/D2FD00028H).

38 P. Hemberger, X. Wu, Z. Pan and A. Bodi, *J. Chem. Phys. A*, 2022, **126**, 2196–2210.

39 D. A. da Silva Filho, R. Friedlein, V. Coropceanu, G. Öhrwall, W. Osikowicz, C. Suess, S. L. Sorensen, S. Svensson, W. R. Salaneck and J.-L. Brédas, *Chem. Commun.*, 2004, 1702–1703, DOI: [10.1039/B403828B](https://doi.org/10.1039/B403828B).

40 P. M. Mayer, V. Blanchet and C. Joblin, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2011, **134**, 244312.

41 B. West, A. Sit, A. Bodi, P. Hemberger and P. M. Mayer, *J. Chem. Phys. A*, 2014, **118**, 11226–11234.

42 T. K. Ormond, P. Hemberger, T. P. Troy, M. Ahmed, J. F. Stanton and G. B. Ellison, *Mol. Phys.*, 2015, **113**, 2350–2358.

43 P. Hemberger, Z. Pan, A. Bodi, J. A. van Bokhoven, T. K. Ormond, G. B. Ellison, N. Genossar and J. H. Baraban, *ChemPhysChem*, 2020, **21**, 2217–2222.

44 M. B. Prendergast, B. B. Kirk, J. D. Savee, D. L. Osborn, C. A. Taatjes, P. Hemberger, S. J. Blanksby, G. da Silva and A. J. Trevitt, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2019, **21**, 17939–17949.

45 W. Song, J. F. Haw, J. B. Nicholas and C. S. Heneghan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 10726–10727.

46 P. Tian, Y. Wei, M. Ye and Z. Liu, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 1922–1938.

47 Z. Shi, M. Neurock and A. Bhan, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 1222–1232.

48 A. Cesarini, S. Mitchell, G. Zichittella, M. Agrachev, S. P. Schmid, G. Jeschke, Z. Pan, A. Bodi, P. Hemberger and J. Pérez-Ramírez, *Nat. Catal.*, 2022, **5**, 605–614.

49 A. M. Scheer, C. Mukarakate, D. J. Robichaud, M. R. Nimlos, H.-H. Carstensen and G. B. Ellison, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, **136**, 044309.

50 D. J. Robichaud, A. M. Scheer, C. Mukarakate, T. K. Ormond, G. T. Buckingham, G. B. Ellison and M. R. Nimlos, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **140**, 234302.

