



Cite this: *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 3952

Direct synthesis of metastable phases of 2D transition metal dichalcogenides

Maria S. Sokolikova  and Cecilia Mattevi *

The different polymorphic phases of transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) have attracted enormous interest in the last decade. The metastable metallic and small band gap phases of group VI TMDs displayed leading performance for electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution, high volumetric capacitance and some of them exhibit large gap quantum spin Hall (QSH) insulating behaviour. Metastable 1T(1T') phases require higher formation energy, as compared to the thermodynamically stable 2H phase, thus in standard chemical vapour deposition and vapour transport processes the materials normally grow in the 2H phases. Only destabilization of their 2H phase *via* external means, such as charge transfer or high electric field, allows the conversion of the crystal structure into the 1T(1T') phase. Bottom-up synthesis of materials in the 1T(1T') phases in measurable quantities would broaden their prospective applications and practical utilization. There is an emerging evidence that some of these 1T(1T') phases can be directly synthesized *via* bottom-up vapour- and liquid-phase methods. This review will provide an overview of the synthesis strategies which have been designed to achieve the crystal phase control in TMDs, and the chemical mechanisms that can drive the synthesis of metastable phases. We will provide a critical comparison between growth pathways in vapour- and liquid-phase synthesis techniques. Morphological and chemical characteristics of synthesized materials will be described along with their ability to act as electrocatalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction from water. Phase stability and reversibility will be discussed and new potential applications will be introduced. This review aims at providing insights into the fundamental understanding of the favourable synthetic conditions for the stabilization of metastable TMD crystals and at stimulating future advancements in the field of large-scale synthesis of materials with crystal phase control.

Received 19th February 2020

DOI: 10.1039/d0cs00143k

rsc.li/chem-soc-rev

Department of Materials, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK. E-mail: c.mattevi@imperial.ac.uk



Maria S. Sokolikova

research focuses on colloidal growth of 2D materials for electrocatalysis.

Maria Sokolikova received her MSc degree in Chemistry from Lomonosov Moscow State University in 2013. She then joined Dr Mattevi research group at Imperial College London as a PhD student holding the President's Scholarship. Maria completed her PhD in Materials Science from Imperial College London in 2020. Presently, Maria is a postdoctoral researcher in the Department of Materials at Imperial College London and her



Cecilia Mattevi

2012. Cecilia is a Fellow of the Royal Society of Chemistry and her research interest includes the liquid phase synthesis of 2D materials with tailored characteristics and the investigation of their properties for energy applications.

Dr Cecilia Mattevi received her Laurea degree in Materials Science from the University of Padua and a PhD in Materials Science from the University of Padua in 2008. After a post-doctoral appointment at Rutgers University, Dr Mattevi joined the Materials Department at Imperial College London, becoming a Junior Research Fellow in 2010 and then a Lecturer and Royal Society University Research Fellow in



Introduction

The isolation of graphene has sparked widespread interest in layered materials. A large family of those materials is represented by the transition metal dichalcogenides which comprise about 40 compounds with a chemical formula of ME_2 (M, transition metal; E, chalcogen).¹ While they have been studied for over half a century in their bulk form^{2,3} for their photoelectrocatalytic activity,^{4–6} lubricity⁷ to superconductivity,^{8,9} it was not until recently that they have been isolated in their elementary building blocks, which are triatomic layers, and several of their properties started to emerge and their potential identified. These emerging 2D materials offer new opportunities for the realization of novel technologies and for the exploration of novel condensed matter phenomena.

Interestingly, compounds of group IV, V, VI, VII and X are nearly isostructural with three possible crystallographic orders in the monolayer form,¹⁰ and they present several analogies. Group VI TMDs have been studied more extensively than others and in particular the Se and S compounds (MoS_2 , $MoSe_2$, WS_2 and WSe_2) owing to the richness and the uniqueness of the properties concerning each of their polymorphs. Additionally, the relative abundance of transition metal compounds, the good chemical stability in air compared to the dichalcogenides of other groups metals, make them more accessible. Group VI TMDs started to attract large interest a decade ago owing to the ability to display an indirect-to-direct bandgap crossover with bright photoluminescence (PL) in the monolayer form at room temperature¹¹ and for the valley degree of freedom associated to the non-centrosymmetric semiconducting phase.¹² Additional unique properties to this class of materials include mechanical flexibility, electrostatic coupling, large carrier mobility, gate-tunability, piezoelectricity in monolayers and odd number of layers, as discussed in recent reviews.^{13–16}

It is now emerging that there is a variety of other properties associated to different polymorphs of group VI TMDs; some of those arising from the different crystallographic phases that they can acquire. In addition to the thermodynamically stable 2H polymorph with the trigonal-prismatic coordination of transition metal atoms, there are various polymorphs that are broadly defined as 1T phases (perfect 1T and distorted 1T', 1T'' and 1T''') and where the metal atoms present an octahedral (or distorted octahedral) coordination. These phases are generally characterized by a metal- (1T) or semimetal-like (1T') behaviour.

Indeed, the electronic band structure is heavily affected by the coordination of transition metal atoms which leads to a different splitting of the d-orbitals. The key opportunity arising from the TMD polymorphism is to influence the electronic structure of a given material and so to achieve a high density of electronic states at the Fermi level, which can enable efficient electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution from water. This ability was initially attributed to the localized metallic states on molybdenum-terminated edges of 2H MoS_2 clusters.¹⁷ Soon after, it became clear that the basal planes of the 1T phase MoS_2 or WS_2 could enable accessibility to a large number of electrocatalytically active sites thus approaching Pt performance.¹⁸

Several 1T' polymorphs have been demonstrated since. The 1T' phases of single layer WSe_2 and WTe_2 have been reported to be large gap quantum spin Hall (QSH) insulators suitable for application in spintronic devices and in the case of WSe_2 also operable at ambient temperature.^{19–21} Their chemical stability makes them more advantageous of the currently known large gap QSH insulators such as stanene²² and two-dimensional In–Sb compounds which can be used in an inert atmosphere only.²³ On the other hand, it is predicted that a spontaneous symmetry breaking in the undistorted metallic 1T phase would open up a band gap and lead to the emergence of robust ferroelectricity in the novel 1T''' phase.²⁴

Despite the rapid progress in investigating the properties of 1T(1T') phases of group VI TMDs over a small scale (about hundreds of nanometres over the basal plane), it is still quite arduous to obtain those phases as continuous over large areas. The 1T(1T') phases are metastable, thus their direct synthesis is very challenging because the formation energy of the 1T' phase is higher than that of the 2H phase;²⁵ therefore, the 2H ME_2 is the preferable phase obtained.

The most common way, and historically the first one to be used, for achieving those 1T(1T') phases is *via* conversion of the 2H phase. This is generally achieved by increasing the electron density *via* exposure to alkali metals.^{26,27} While the design of direct synthesis methods for the 1T(1T') phases is still at the early stages of investigation. Here, we provide a comprehensive overview of topochemical methods and direct synthesis methods designed so far to obtain the 1T(1T') phases of group VI TMDs. We will discuss the chemical prerequisites for the formation of the 1T(1T') phases in vapour phase and liquid phase. We will present the possible reaction pathways that can take place in liquid phase which lead to the nucleation and growth of crystalline metastable phases.

We will then review the intrinsic properties of 1T(1T') phases and we highlight the differences in the materials morphology and properties between 1T(1T') phases obtained *via* destabilization of 2H phase *versus* direct synthetic approaches. Finally, we will introduce newly emerging properties which are unique to the 1T(1T') phases as they arise from the lower symmetry. We will conclude the review with an outlook for the future of the different synthetic approaches to metastable phases in view of their applications and possible large-scale production of these materials.

1. Structural polymorphs of group VI TMDs

TMDs exhibit a variety of structural polymorphs. The polymorphs are determined by the very different chalcogen coordination geometry that transition metal atoms can accommodate, while the polytypes are determined by the stacking order of two or more individual triatomic layers with the same symmetry.

1.1. 1H-type phases of group VI TMDs. The first polymorph of group VI TMDs to be considered is characterised by a trigonal-prismatic coordination of transition metal atoms (point group D_{3h}). In a single layer form, it is denoted as the 1H phase and its crystallographic projections along both the *b* (side view) and *c* (top view) axes are shown in Fig. 1. Depending on





Fig. 1 Bulk polymorphs of group VI TMDs. In the schematics, the arrows indicate the pathways for possible structural transformation (i) from the 1H to the 1H' phase, (ii) from the 1H to the 1T phase, and (iii) from the 1T to the 1T' phase *via* either (i) metal plane gliding, (ii) chalcogen plane gliding, or (iii) spontaneous distortion in the metal sublattice. The crystallographic projections of the 1H, 1T, and 1T' monolayers along the *b* (side view) and *c* (top view) axes are shown. The known polytypes (stacking polymorphs) of the 1H, 1T, and 1T' structures are presented in the corresponding fields. Bottom left panel illustrates two additional clustering patterns – tetramerization (1T'') and trimerization (1T''') – proposed in the distorted 1T TMDs.

the stacking sequence of individual 1H triatomic layers, the 2H_a, 2H_c and 3R polytypes are distinguished, where H or R stands for the hexagonal or rhombohedral lattice system and the integer denotes the number of triatomic layers in a unit cell. The *Aba BaB* and *AcA BcB* stacking sequences result in the 2H_a and 2H_c polytypes, respectively, while the 3R phase displays the *AbA BcB CaC* sequence of staggered 1H layers sharing the same crystallographic orientation.^{1,28} These structural polytypes are presented in Fig. 1. Under ambient conditions, the thermodynamically stable polymorph of the majority of group VI TMDs is the semiconducting 2H_c phase,^{25,29–31} whereas the 2H_a phase is common in group V TMDs, such as NbSe₂, and is metastable for group VI TMDs.^{32,33} It has been predicted that the 2H_c-to-2H_a phase transition can be induced in bulk MoS₂ under pressure (13 GPa); however, such a transition is not expected in MoSe₂ and MoTe₂ since in these two cases the energy barrier of the structural transformation increases with pressure.³³ The experiments have indeed demonstrated that the 2H_c-to-2H_a phase transition in MoS₂ occurs under applied pressure of 19–40

GPa and is accompanied by a collapse in the *c* parameter leading to an overlap of the valence and conduction bands and to a metallic character of the 2H_a phase.^{34,35} In the 2H structure, the second layer is rotated by 60° around the *c* axis with respect to the first layer, whereas in the 3R structure all layers have the same orientation but are shifted with respect to one another, and this might be the reason why the 2H-to-3R transitions were not reported so far. Similar to the 1H single layers of group VI TMDs demonstrating a robust spin-valley coupled physics,^{12,36–38} the semiconducting 3R polymorph lacks the inversion symmetry and therefore provides an ideal platform for realisation of spin- and valleytronic devices as well as of ultrathin nonlinear optical devices.³⁹ Direct synthesis of the phase-pure 3R polymorph is challenging and has only been reported *via* chemical vapour transport (CVT) approach.^{39–41}

Two types of structural transitions in the 1H-type TMD monolayers associated with the atomic planes gliding within one triatomic layer are assumed to give rise to two distinct polymorphs: 1H' and 1T'⁴² (Fig. 1, paths i and ii). The transversal



displacement of the transition metal plane could produce the distorted 2H phase, which is referred to as the 2H' phase and is considered as a 60° rotational phase of the 2H structure⁴² (Fig. 1, path i). Experimentally, the formation of a new distorted 2H phase, 2H_d, was observed in the few-layered 2H MoTe₂ and W_xMo_{1-x}Te₂ when an external electric field was applied.⁴³ The phase was found to be unstable and is considered to be a transitional structural state between the semiconducting 2H_c and the semimetallic 1T' (or Td) phases discussed below. The structure of the mentioned 2H_d state was not inferred at this stage.

1.2. 1T-type phases of group VI TMDs. On the other hand, intralayer gliding of one of the chalcogen planes, as shown in Fig. 1, path ii, produces the 1T structure which can be visualised as a 1H three-atom thick E–M–E slab distorted along the [210] crystallographic direction. As a result of this, the metal centres adopt a perfect octahedral coordination (point group *O_h*) shown in Fig. 1. In contrast to the rich polytypism of the H phases, only one trigonal 1T polymorph (space group *P3̄m1*), with the metal centres of two adjacent layers located above each other, is reported in literature.⁴⁴

The perfect octahedral 1T structure of group VI disulphides and diselenides is typically higher in energy by 700–900 meV per formula unit than the trigonal-prismatic 1H structure,⁴⁵ which renders this phase metastable.^{25,46} It is prone to spontaneous structural distortions, caused by charge density waves (CDW) which lead to clustering of transition metal atoms and buckling of chalcogen planes to accommodate these metal atom displacements^{47,48} (Fig. 1, path iii). Different distorted 1T structures of chemically exfoliated MoS₂ and WS₂ have been proposed in literature back in 1990s.⁴⁷ The most common superstructures include the zigzag chain ($2a \times a$) formation, tetramerization ($2a \times 2a$), and trimerization ($a\sqrt{3} \times a\sqrt{3}$) of transition metal atoms in the *ab* plane as shown in Fig. 1. The former one is widely referred to as the 1T' polymorph and was established by scanning tunnelling microscopy (STM) studies of chemically exfoliated MoS₂ and WS₂ single layers^{47,49–51} (Fig. 1). The three-dimensional arrangement of the 1T' single layers can lead to the formation of two polytypes: the orthorhombic Td phase and the monoclinic 1T' phase (Fig. 1). Additionally, tetramerization and trimerization within the transition metal sublattice would result in the 1T'' and 1T''' phases, respectively.⁵² According to first-principles calculations, the semimetallic 1T' phase of group VI TMDs monolayers generally possesses the lowest formation energy among the competing distorted octahedral phases.^{45,53} However, a spontaneous trimerization of transition metal atoms, involving only a slight displacement from the equilibrium positions, is found to be favourable in 1T MoS₂ monolayers, leading to the formation of the semiconducting 1T''' phase.^{45,54} Such distinct distortion patterns in 1T group VI TMD monolayers are in good agreement with the early predictions that weak distortions (trimerization) are to be expected in the systems with short M–E bonds; whereas, strong distortions (zigzag chain formation) are characteristic to the systems with long M–E bonds.⁵⁵ It has also been predicted that the spontaneous symmetry breaking in

1T group VI TMD monolayers would lead to the emergence of robust ferroelectricity in the 1T''' phase, with the electrical dipoles perpendicular to the *ab* plane.^{24,54} Recently, the preparation of 1T''' MoS₂ single crystals (space group *P3̄1m*) by deintercalation of KMoS₂ crystals has been reported.⁵⁶ Unlike the metallic 1T and semimetallic 1T' phases, bulk 1T''' MoS₂ was reported to be a semiconductor with an indirect band gap of 0.65 eV. Although the 1T''' phase was predicted to be formed only in MoS₂ monolayers, the small energy difference between the 1T' and 1T''' monolayers of WS₂ and MoSe₂ (36.5 and 57.8 meV per formula unit, respectively) suggests that these metastable phases might be obtained under favourable synthesis conditions.⁵⁴

It is important to note that for group VI disulphides and diselenides, the 1T' polymorph is metastable under ambient conditions and can only be obtained under specific experimental conditions. On the contrary, bulk WTe₂ forms the orthorhombic Td polymorph (space group *Pnm2₁*).⁵⁷ Bulk MoTe₂ is normally obtained in the 2H polymorph (α -phase); however, the monoclinic 1T' MoTe₂ polymorph (space group *P2₁/m*) exists at temperatures above 900 °C (β -phase).^{57,58} Due to a very small energy difference between the 2H and 1T' polymorphs of MoTe₂ (40 meV per formula unit²⁵), both polymorphs are reported almost equally probable depending on the synthesis conditions.^{59,60} The orthorhombic Td polymorph (γ -phase) of MoTe₂ can be obtained by cooling the monoclinic 1T' (β -) phase down to cryogenic temperatures^{61–64} or it can be stabilized at room temperature in the presence of substitutional doping with tungsten.⁶⁵ On the other hand, the Td polymorph of WTe₂ can be transformed into the lower symmetry 1T' phase at pressure over 15.5 GPa.^{66,67} Due to a large energy difference between the 2H and 1T' phases, the metastable group VI diselenides and disulphides are challenging to obtain in a pure form and the reports on their successful synthesis are limited.^{21,68–71} The crystal structure of the monoclinic 1T' phase of group VI diselenides and disulphides is still debated with the possible space groups being either *P2₁/m* or *C2/m*; the latter has only been reported recently and is referred to as the 2M phase, where M stands for the monoclinic lattice system.⁷² Unlike the semiconducting 2H counterparts, the corresponding 1T' and Td phases of group VI TMDs are semimetallic.⁶¹ Furthermore, the non-centrosymmetric Td polymorph exhibits topologically nontrivial surface electronic states,^{73,74} and bulk Td phases of WTe₂ and MoTe₂ have been extensively investigated as potential candidates for the observation of 3D Weyl fermions.^{64,75–77} Recently, single layers of group VI TMDs with the 1T' symmetry have been predicted to host topologically protected edge states,^{46,78} and large QSH gaps have been verified experimentally in monolayer 1T' WTe₂,¹⁹ 1T' WSe₂,^{20,21} and 1T' MoS₂.⁷⁹

1.3. Distinguishing the metastable group VI TMD polymorphs. Reliably distinguishing the metastable polymorphs of group VI TMDs is of paramount importance for the experimental investigation of electronic properties of the respective phases. In recent literature, the difference between 1T and 1T' structural polymorphs is often neglected and the 1T' phase is frequently reported as 1T^{80–83} or is more generally referred to






Fig. 2 Distinguishing the 1H, 1T, and 1T' polymorphs. (a) STEM image of chemically exfoliated MoS₂ displaying co-existing 1H, 1T, and 1T' phases; the corresponding areas are enclosed by red, yellow, and blue curves, respectively. (b–d) Representative HAADF STEM images of the 1T', 1H, and 1T MoS₂ lattice, respectively. (e and f) Simulated HAADF STEM images of the ideal 1H and 1T MoS₂ monolayers, respectively. (g) Raman spectra of chemically exfoliated 1T' (top) and annealed 2H (bottom) MoS₂ flakes, illustrating the characteristic vibrational modes of these two phases. (h) XPS spectra of the Mo 3d, S 2s and S 2p core level electrons of mixed-phase 1T/2H MoS₂ nanosheets, demonstrating the gradual recovery of the 2H phase during thermal annealing. The 1T and 2H phase contributions are given in green and red, respectively. Separate panels are reproduced with permission: (a and c–f) from ref. 85, copyright 2012, American Chemical Society; (b) from ref. 51, copyright 2016, American Chemical Society; (g) from ref. 263, copyright 2019, American Chemical Society; and (h) from ref. 264, copyright 2011, American Chemical Society.

as the metallic phase.⁸⁴ The 1T and 1T' domains can be unambiguously distinguished in high resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR TEM) and scanning transmission electron microscopy (HR STEM) images of atomically-thin nanosheets where the 1T' phase exhibits characteristic zigzag chains of transition metal atoms^{85,86} (Fig. 2a and b). However, the 1T and 2H phases, displaying a three-fold symmetry in the *ab* plane, are virtually undistinguishable in HR TEM images. It has recently been established that the 1T and 2H domains in group VI TMD monolayers can be distinguished in high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF STEM) images by the intensity of chalcogen columns as shown in Fig. 2c and d.⁸⁵ The discernible variations in signal intensity arise since in the trigonal-prismatic 2H structure, two chalcogen atoms overlapping in the direction of the incident beam make the contrast almost comparable to that of a metal site producing a honeycomb lattice in STEM images (Fig. 2e), whereas in the octahedral 1T structure, a strong contrast between transition metal and chalcogen sites results in a hexagonal lattice (Fig. 2f). Moreover, the stacking sequence of 1H monolayers in bi- and trilayer nanosheets can be deduced. However, extreme caution must be taken when interpreting the microscopy data and especially Fourier filtered images since residual aberrations may give rise to quasi atomic columns.²⁸ Additionally, it is suggested that the

2H and 3R polytypes are possible to distinguish by the intensity profiles of selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns taken along the [001] zone axis.⁴¹ The {100} and {110} diffraction spots display nearly equal intensities in the 2H phase, while in the 3R phase, their intensities differ by almost three times.⁴¹

Spectroscopic techniques, such as Raman spectroscopy and X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS), offer a relatively accurate way of detecting co-existing polymorphs in TMD nanostructures over micron-sized areas. In the original work by J. Sandoval *et al.*, it has been reported that the octahedral 1T phase of chemically exfoliated MoS₂ displayed two Raman-active modes, E_g and A_{1g}, at 287 and 408 cm⁻¹, respectively, while the E_{2g}¹ mode of the 2H phase (at 383 cm⁻¹) was absent.⁸⁷ In addition to those, three previously unassigned modes, J₁, J₂, and J₃, at 156, 226, and 333 cm⁻¹, respectively, were found (Fig. 2g). These modes were attributed to the 2a × a superstructure in the octahedral phase. The wider set of Raman-active modes of the 1T' and Td phases is indicative of their lower symmetry as compared to the 2H phase and is usually reported for WTe₂ and MoTe₂.^{62,88–90} The 1T' signature J₁, J₂, and J₃, modes were also observed in the 1T' MoS₂, WS₂, MoSe₂, and WSe₂ single crystals^{68,91} and few-layered nanosheets.^{71,86,92,93} It should be noted that the J₁ mode consistently exhibits the highest intensity in Raman spectra of nearly phase-pure 1T' group






Fig. 3 Accessing the metastable 1T(1T') phase via destabilisation of the thermodynamically stable (2H) phase. The approaches to induce the 2H-to-1T' transition in group VI TMDs are divided in two categories: charge-mediated, as chemical treatment (panels a–c) and contact with an electrode (panel d); and strain-mediated, such as tensile strain-induced transition between the 2H and 1T'(1T) polymorphs (panels h–j). Panels e–g represent the phase transitions caused by the combination of charge and strain as in the case of chalcogen vacancies (panels e and f) or due to the interaction with the substrate (panel g). Separate panels are adapted with permission: (a) from ref. 100, copyright 2017, American Chemical Society; (b) from ref. 114, copyright 2018, American Chemical Society; (c) from ref. 108, copyright 2011, John Wiley & Sons; (d) from ref. 123, copyright 2017, American Chemical Society; (e) from ref. 155, copyright 2015, American Association for the Advancement of Science; (f) from ref. 138, copyright 2017, American Chemical Society; (g) from ref. 128, copyright 2017, Springer Nature; (h) from ref. 130, copyright 2016, American Chemical Society; (i) from ref. 25, copyright 2014, Springer Nature; and (j) from ref. 134, copyright 2017, John Wiley & Sons.

VI disulphides.^{86,92,93} Further, the overall Raman signal intensity is significantly lower in the 1T(1T') phase TMDs than in the 2H samples of equivalent thickness as has been experimentally demonstrated in the case of MoS₂^{94–96} and WSe₂ nanosheets.^{71,97}

In XPS spectra, both the 1T and 1T' phases are reported to present peaks systematically shifted to lower binding energies as compared to the 2H phase, however, XPS is not sensitive to the differences between the 1T and 1T' phases⁹⁸ (Fig. 2h). In chemically exfoliated TMDs, the shift to lower binding energies is typically ascribed to partial reduction of transition metal centres during alkali metal intercalation.⁹¹

2. Access to the metastable crystal phases of group VI TMDs

The metastable crystal phases can be either accessed through destabilisation of the thermodynamically stable polymorphs, for instance, due to electron transfer, or synthesised directly, when the growth conditions are optimised in such a way that the metastable product is kinetically preferred.

2.1. 2H-to-1T(1T') phase transformation

2.1.1. Chemical treatment. The first reports on the metallic 1T(1T') group VI TMD polymorphs date back to the pioneering works by R. Frindt *et al.* on chemical exfoliation of these materials^{27,50} (Fig. 3a). Chemical exfoliation of layered materials typically proceeds in two steps.^{47,50,99} At first, bulk TMD powders

are intercalated with alkali metals. The most commonly used intercalants include *n*-BuLi,^{83,100,101} *t*-BuLi,¹⁰² and LiBH₄.^{50,103} The subsequent hydrolysis of the intercalated alkali metal cations leads to the generation of hydrogen gas bubbles that split the individual layers apart. The exfoliation can be facilitated by gentle shaking or sonication. It has been demonstrated that upon intercalation of alkali metal species between the layers of bulk crystals of group VI TMDs, the crystal phase would change from the 2H to 1T. It has been suggested that the mechanism of this structural transition should be imputed to the electron transfer from the intercalated alkali metal species leading to an increased electron count on the transition metal d-orbitals.⁴⁷ Specifically, for the case of MoS₂ monolayers, it has been shown that the injection of 4 electrons per unit cell allows lowering the 2H-to-1T transition barrier from 1.59 to only 0.27 eV.⁴⁸ Thus, upon this electron transfer (chemical reduction), the octahedral coordination of transition metal becomes favoured over the trigonal-prismatic and thus the 2H-to-1T structural phase transition occurs.¹⁰⁴ In the absence of intercalated species, the 2H-to-1T phase transition due to accumulation of negative charges was also observed in Re doped MoS₂ monolayers under continuous electron beam scanning during STEM imaging.⁴² The transition occurs through the initial formation of numerous non-parallel molybdenum zigzag chains which is followed by chalcogen plane






Fig. 4 Comparison of various experimental approaches to produce the metastable 1T(1T') phases of group VI TMDs. The approaches are grouped into the following categories: molecular beam epitaxy (MBE); chemical vapour transport (CVT) growth; chemical vapour deposition (CVD); chemical (CE) or liquid-phase (LPE) exfoliation of bulk crystals; and direct synthesis approaches, such as colloidal and hydrothermal growth. The experimental data are taken from the following articles: ref. 21, 56, 58, 68–71, 79–81, 83, 93, 101, 103, 112, 144, 147, 148, 153, 162 and 164. Separate panels are adapted with permission: (a) from ref. 70, copyright 2019, American Chemical Society; (b) from ref. 112, copyright 2018, John Wiley & Sons; (c) from ref. 103, copyright 2013, American Chemical Society; (d) from ref. 71, copyright 2019, Springer Nature; (e) from ref. 69, copyright 2019, John Wiley & Sons; (f) from ref. 153, copyright 2015, Springer Nature; and (g) from ref. 164, copyright 2018, American Chemical Society.

gliding, reducing mechanical strain and forming a domain of the trigonal 1T phase.⁴²

Generally, the 1T(1T') phases produced *via* chemical exfoliation approach are attained as small crystalline (within the range 1–10 nm, Fig. 4) domains often embedded into the 2H matrix⁸⁵ (Fig. 2a and 4c). Patches of both 1T and 1T' phases are found in chemically exfoliated MoS₂ nanosheets.^{49,85,101} Whereas a characteristic distortion in the *ab* plane of chemically exfoliated WS₂ indicates the crystal phase to be 1T',^{47,51} although the resulting crystal phase is commonly denoted as 1T.⁸³ The ratio between the converted 1T(1T') and pristine 2H phases strongly depends on the type and concentration of reducing agent (alkali metal) and the TMD material.¹⁰² The maximum amount of 1T(1T') phases achievable *via* chemical exfoliation approach is reported to be ~70–80% of the overall material^{92,101} (typically, estimated from by XPS data, Fig. 4). The metastable 1T(1T') phases obtained by chemical exfoliation are reported to gradually decay into the 2H polymorph over time; this process is considerably promoted in the restacked films once the stabilising ions have been removed during drying.^{49,87,105} A full conversion to the 2H phase occurs if the material is subjected to high temperatures, such as ~100 °C for MoS₂²⁷ and ~200 °C for WS₂.⁵⁰

Recently, it has been demonstrated that the lifetime of the 1T(1T') phases in intercalated few-layered MoS₂ flakes can be

considerably extended *via* the hydrogenation of intercalated lithium species.⁸⁶ Results of DFT calculations suggest that LiH is a good electron donor that stabilises the 1T' phase in multi-layered flakes for over 3 months. Although LiH, encapsulated between the TMD layers, is stable, the surface bound lithium hydride can easily convert into Li₂CO₃ and Li₃N, what makes this approach unsuitable for stabilisation of the 1T' phase in chemically exfoliated single layers.

A morphological aspect should be also taken into consideration as the non-uniform distribution of intercalated lithium hinders the control over the dimensions and distribution of the converted 1T(1T') patches. The intercalation kinetics affects the conversion efficiency and the lateral dimensions of the patches of metastable phase.^{106,107} A uniform lithiation has been reported by an electrochemical intercalation process where Li foil serves as an anode and bulk TMD material as a cathode¹⁰⁸ (Fig. 3c). After lithium insertion, lithiated powders are exfoliated by mild sonication in water producing predominantly monolayered nanosheets with lateral dimensions of up to 1 μm. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that the lithium migration within the van der Waals (vdW) gaps of lithiated few-layered material can be controlled by an external electric field so that a reversible 2H-to-1T' phase transformation can be uniformly extended over micron-sized areas.¹⁰⁹ Such controllable phase switching has been demonstrated in lithiated MoS₂ thin films,



which have been used as neuromorphic memory devices,¹⁰⁹ and in ultra-thin ($\sim 2\text{--}5$ nm) MoS₂ nanosheets upon a reversible electrochemical lithiation.¹¹⁰ Another method to achieve a uniform intercalation in group VI TMDs has been recently reported by J. Zheng *et al.*¹¹¹ Performed prior to intercalation, the expansion of TMD powders by reacting with hydrazine under hydrothermal conditions has facilitated the alkali metal naphthalenide insertion. This allowed producing single layer (80%) MoS₂ sheets of up to 400 μm^2 in size; the metastable 1T(1T') phase content, however, was not specified.¹¹¹

It is interesting to note that combined with ball-milling of 2H-phase bulk crystals, chemical (organolithium) treatment and exfoliation approach has recently been shown to produce MoS₂, MoSe₂, WS₂, Mo_{0.5}W_{0.5}S₂ and MoSSe nanodots with lateral sizes of 2–5 nm and a high (70%) content of the metastable 1T phase¹¹² (Fig. 4b).

Phase conversion can also be achieved *via* a post-growth treatment of CVD grown 2H TMD few-layered flakes and films with solutions of *n*-BuLi in nonpolar solvents^{113,114} (Fig. 3b). Considering that CVD grown TMD flakes present much larger (tens of microns) lateral sizes compared to the ones of chemically exfoliated flakes (submicron for monolayers), this could allow for the production of larger continuous domains of the metastable 1T(1T') phases. The *n*-BuLi treatment of polycrystalline films of 2H–MoS₂ and 2H–MoSe₂ grown on Si/SiO₂ wafers led to a partial conversion into the metallic 1T phase, with an overall conversion efficiency of $\sim 50\%$.¹¹³ Further, the lithium-induced structural transformations in the CVD grown 2H–MoS₂ flakes appear to be thickness-dependent.¹¹⁴ The increased energy barrier of the 2H-to-1T phase transition in MoS₂ monolayers implies much longer treatment time in order to achieve the phase conversion compared to the multi-layered counterparts.¹¹⁴ This, therefore, paves the way to the 2D metal–semiconductor junctions in CVD grown TMD devices by controlling the exposure to lithiating agent (*n*-BuLi). In the case of 2H-WSe₂ monolayers, a complete conversion into the metallic 1T phase has been reported and the deposition of a PMMA mask has allowed for the patterning of 2H/1T heterojunctions.⁹⁷ While a complete conversion to the 1T(1T') phases *via* lithium intercalation of bulk MoSe₂ and WSe₂ powders have not been achieved.^{102,115} Finally, the 2H-to-1T phase conversion has been demonstrated to be induced also by exposure to the vapours of electron donating compounds, such as butylamine and trimethylamine, as was shown in the cases of MoS₂ and MoSe₂.¹¹⁶ It has been proposed that this approach can be potentially employed to fabricate new passive sensing devices based on detecting the abrupt changes in material conductivity in the presence of strong donor analytes, such as nerve gas.

2.1.2. Electrostatic gating. The dynamical control of the structural phase transitions in TMD monolayers by an applied electric field can be potentially interesting for application in light-weight flexible electronic devices, including non-volatile memory devices.¹¹⁷ Bias-voltage driven T-to-H crystal phase transformations have been previously demonstrated in TaS₂ crystals.¹¹⁸ Y. Li *et al.* have proposed that the semiconductor-to-

semimetal phase transition can be achieved in some group VI TMDs using an electrostatic gating.¹¹⁹ It has been found that the transition between the 2H and 1T' phases can be driven by excess electric charge in the monolayer. Thus, the excess charge densities less than $-0.04e$ or greater than $0.09e$ per formula unit would suffice to induce the 2H-to-1T' transformation in an undoped MoTe₂ monolayer; however, to achieve this transition in lighter TMD monolayers, such as MoS₂ and WS₂, much greater excess charge densities are needed. Alternatively, a constant voltage of -1.6 or 4.4 V is required to induce the semiconductor-to-semimetal transition in monolayer MoTe₂ on HfO₂ in the electrostatic gating device configuration.¹¹⁹ A reversible 2H-to-1T' phase transition was achieved in MoTe₂ by ionic liquid gating in a field-effect transistor configuration.¹²⁰ The required gate voltages could be substantially reduced by varying the chemical composition, such as by alloying^{119,121} or forming Janus monolayers.¹²²

2.1.3. Electron doping by contacting with an electron reservoir. Long-range crystal lattice modulation can be achieved by contacting the 2H TMD (MoTe₂) with a single crystalline 2D electride as has been demonstrated in ref. 123 (Fig. 3d). Electrides are a class of inorganic compounds in which electrons serve as anions.¹²⁴ Layered electrides containing two-dimensionally confined anionic electrons are generally characterised by exceptionally high charge carrier concentrations ($1.4 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-3}$) and high electron mobilities at room temperature ($160 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$).¹²⁵ Similarly to van der Waals solids, layered electrides can be exfoliated into 2D sheets.¹²⁶ Unlike the chemical treatment discussed earlier, electron transfer from the electride allows for achieving higher levels of doping (about 10^{14} cm^{-2}). Such high doping densities can also be achieved by ionic modulation, however, contacting with electride is effective over larger distances from the contact interface. For instance, forming a vertical heterostructure between $[\text{Ca}_2\text{N}]^+ \cdot e^-$ and few-layered MoTe₂ has led to a uniform 2H-to-1T' phase transformation over a micron sized area even as far away as 100 nm from the electride.¹²³

A reversible 2H-to-1T phase transition has been demonstrated in MoS₂ monolayers by an injection of hot electrons generated by plasmon excitation in Au nanospheres.¹²⁷ Beyond that, electron injection from gold substrate was reported to cause the 1H-to-1T' phase transition in MoS₂ single layers during thermal annealing leading to the formation of co-existing domains of 1H and 1T' phases; this electron-driven transition was facilitated by the interfacial strain¹²⁸ (Fig. 3g). The 1T' phase content reached its maximum (37%) at the annealing temperature about 200–250 °C.

2.1.4. Applying mechanical strain. According to density functional calculations, group VI TMDs are predicted to undergo a transition from the 2H to the 1T' phase under tensile strain.²⁵ It is identified that a 0.3–3% uniaxial strain applied along the *b* (armchair) direction of 2H MoTe₂ monolayers allows for the transition into the 1T' phase at room temperature²⁵ (Fig. 3i). The strain-induced 2H-to-1T' phase transition in MoTe₂ monolayers is presumed to occur due to simultaneous transition



metal and tellurium atoms displacement and does not proceed through an intermediate 1T state.¹²⁹ The process involves a distortion of the transition metal sublattice accompanied by a simultaneous gliding and rippling of chalcogen plane.¹²⁹ Experimentally, a reversible strain-induced 2H-to-1T' phase transition has been demonstrated in 20 nm thick MoTe₂ films by applying a tensile strain using an AFM tip¹³⁰ (Fig. 3h). Notably, such a phase transition was realised even at room temperature if a small tensile strain of 0.2% was applied.

Among the emerging approaches to induce the 2H-to-1T phase transformation in group VI TMDs, we would like also to mention the microwave plasma treatment^{131,132} and the supercritical CO₂ treatment.^{133,134} These less conventional approaches allow for a controllable phase engineering also in the liquid phase. Recently, X. Tong *et al.* have discovered that during the supercritical CO₂ treatment, aqueous suspensions of TMD nanosheets transform into water-in-CO₂ emulsions, causing a strain-induced 2H-to-1T reconstruction in WS₂ and MoS₂ flakes confined at the convex water/CO₂ interface¹³⁴ (Fig. 3j). The metastable 1T phase content achieved by this approach is reported to vary from 70%¹³³ to 90%.¹³⁴ Similar to supercritical CO₂ treatment, mild microwave plasma treatment has been reported to introduce the 1T phase domains in the exfoliated 2H MoS₂ nanosheets.¹³² C. Sharma *et al.* have used forming gas microwave plasma to convert exfoliated 2H MoS₂ flakes into the 1T phase with a 70% efficiency. The phase transition is assumed to proceed due to the momentum transfer from the plasma ions to the MoS₂ lattice, causing the chalcogen plane gliding.¹³¹

2.1.5. The effect of chalcogen vacancies. Another type of electron donors are the chalcogen vacancies formed in TMD nanosheets. The presence of chalcogen vacancies plays a key role in promoting the 2H-to-1T phase transition by a dual mechanism: generation of extra charges and weakening of the metal-chalcogen bonds. Both facilitate the structural transformation.¹³⁵ Specifically, in MoS₂, the difference in the formation energy between the 2H and 1T phase decreases almost to zero when the vacancy concentration reaches 8 at%.¹³⁶ Acting as point defects, the vacancies also serve as nucleation sites of the 1T' phase being formed in the 2H matrix.

Local 2H-to-1T' transition occurring in mechanically exfoliated few-layered MoTe₂ flakes under laser irradiation is commonly attributed to the formation of Te vacancies due to local heating¹³⁷ (Fig. 3e). This transition is irreversible; however, the 2H phase can be restored by high temperature annealing in tellurium atmosphere.¹³⁷

In the case of MoS₂, it has been shown that the chalcogen vacancies can be introduced into the material by gentle Ar plasma treatment¹³⁸ (Fig. 3f). The phase transformation was demonstrated to occur in the vicinity of single point defects and the lateral sizes of the 1T' MoS₂ domains were typically about a few nm.¹³⁸ Combined with shadow mask technique, lateral 2H/1T' homojunctions, including 1D conducting 1T' channels, were patterned by Ar plasma treatment in MoS₂ monolayers.¹³⁹

A controlled laser beam 2H/1T' patterning has also been performed in few-layered MoS₂ flakes.¹⁴⁰ However, Ar plasma treatment might be preferable for the phase patterning on TMD monolayers since this method is considered less disruptive compared to laser beam irradiation.¹³⁹ Other methods of introducing chalcogen vacancies in MoS₂, as for example harsh electrochemical etching, to cause the 2H-to-1T structural transformation are just emerging.¹³⁵ In their recent work, X. Gan *et al.* have demonstrated that the 1T phase content in multi-layered MoS₂ can be varied by either increasing the etching potential or the reaction time, although the spatial distribution of the metastable phase is not possible to control.¹³⁵

2.2. Direct growth of the metastable 1T' phase of group VI TMDs. Direct growth techniques, such as vapour-phase deposition and solution-phase reactions, are based on chemical reactions between the constituent precursors and commonly enable a good level of control of the synthetic conditions. The methods to obtain the metastable 1T(1T') phase of group VI TMDs presented in literature can be divided into two categories: the first rely on the formation of the metastable crystal phase due to the interaction with charged reaction by-products or with the substrate; while the second rely on optimising the reaction kinetics in such a way that the kinetically-preferred, yet thermodynamically metastable, product is formed.

2.2.1. The role of a substrate in a crystal phase-selective growth. The use of engineered substrates has been found beneficial for growing the metastable phases of materials with large energy difference between the stable and metastable polymorphs (550 and 330 meV per formula unit in MoS₂ and MoSe₂, respectively²⁵). Nanometre-sized 1T' MoSe₂ islands were selectively formed on Au(111) substrates which were treated with selenium prior to the deposition, whereas only the thermodynamically stable 1H polymorph was obtained if the layer of selenium was not pre-deposited⁷⁰ (Fig. 4a). Such a difference in the resulting crystal phase was attributed to the formation of an electron donating Mo layer between the Au surface and the pre-sputtered Se overlayer that facilitate the growth of the 1T' phase. MBE deposition of 1T' MoS₂ nanoclusters (30–50 atoms) on Au(111) substrates has also been reported.⁷⁹ It has been also hypothesized that the gold substrate itself serves as an electron reservoir that stabilised the nucleating 1T' phase.

Thermal expansion coefficients of various substrates might be another important growth parameter to consider when the formation of a metastable phase is targeted. Typically, the formation of metastable polymorphs of MoS₂ does not occur in a direct chemical vapour deposition (CVD) reaction due to the huge energy barrier for the 1H-to-1T phase transition. Recently, Z. Wang *et al.* reported the direct growth of the 1T-1H bi-phase TMD monolayers, in which the formation of the metastable phase is associated with the in-plane thermal strain imposed by the substrate during the cooling step.¹⁴¹

2.2.2. Synthesis from electron donating precursors. High phase-purity mm-sized 1T and 1T' phase MoS₂ single crystals have been demonstrated through a delicate deintercalation of Li or K








Fig. 5 Direct vapour-phase (CVT, CVD) growth of the 1T'(1T') polymorphs from alkali metal containing precursors. Bulk 1T' crystals can be produced by gentle deintercalation of bulk intercalation compounds $A_x(H_2O)_yME_2$ (panels d and e); 1T' monolayers can be obtained by chemical (CE, panel c) or liquid-phase (LPE, panel b) exfoliation of bulk intercalation compounds $A_x(H_2O)_yME_2$ and AME_2 ; high-quality 1T' monolayers can be grown by CVD method from A_2ME_4 precursor (panel a). Separate panels are adapted with permission: (a) from ref. 147, copyright 2018, Springer Nature; (b) from ref. 144, copyright 2017, The Royal Society of Chemistry; (c) from ref. 69, copyright 2019, John Wiley & Sons; (d) from ref. 68, copyright 2018, Springer Nature; and (e) from ref. 56, copyright 2019, American Chemical Society.

ions from $LiMoS_2$ and $KMoS_2$ compounds.^{27,69,142,143} Ternary $KMoS_2$ is typically produced by reacting the corresponding alkali metal molybdate, K_2MoO_4 , with dry H_2S that is then followed by a mild reduction in H_2/N_2 atmosphere^{27,142} (Fig. 5, reactions i and ii). Alternatively, $LiMoS_2$ and $KMoS_2$ can be obtained by fusing MoS_2 with either Li_2S or K_2S under vacuum in the presence of metallic Mo^{56,143,144} (Fig. 5, reaction iii). The deintercalation of these alkali metal thiomolybdate precursors is reported to proceed in two stages. At first, the ternary compounds are exposed to water to hydrate the alkali metal ions intercalated between MoS_2 layers¹⁴³ (Fig. 5, reaction iv):



This leads to the lattice expansion in the c direction. It is assumed that the increased interlayer distance facilitates the alkali metal ions removal owing to the reduced interaction with the chalcogen atoms of basal planes.¹⁴³ The alkali metal is removed by a treatment with a mild oxidiser, such as I_2 ^{27,69} (Fig. 5, reaction v):



Using lithiated compounds might be preferable since smaller ionic radius of lithium leads to its more effective hydration and complete removal from the interlayer gaps, while the deintercalation of larger potassium ions is believed to cause numerous lattice defects.^{142,145} It has been reported that the structural disorder in the intercalation compounds of MoS_2 is externally

stabilised by hydrated ions trapped between the layers.¹⁴⁶ Thus, $K_{0.7}MoS_2$, similarly to Li_xMoS_2 ($x \leq 1$), typically demonstrates the $2a \times 2a$ superstructure,¹⁴⁵ whereas the structure of the hydrated $K_x(H_2O)_yMoS_2$, refined by diffraction methods (XRD, SAED) and STM imaging, is assigned to the monoclinic symmetry, similar to the one reported for WTe_2 ($2a \times a$).^{142,146} Depending on the starting composition of the intercalation compound, different distorted phases of MoS_2 were demonstrated.¹⁴⁶ Gentle deintercalation of $Li_x(H_2O)_yMoS_2$ with iodine solution was shown to result the high-quality 1T MoS_2 single crystals.¹⁴³ Distorted MoS_2 structures produced by oxidation of $K_x(H_2O)_yMoS_2$ strongly depend on the amount of intercalated potassium.¹⁴⁶ An incomplete oxidation of $K_x(H_2O)_yMoS_2$ ($x \approx 0.3$) leads to the formation of MoS_2 crystals with the $2a \times a$ (1T') structure, whereas the final product of a prolonged (complete) oxidation displays the $a\sqrt{3} \times a\sqrt{3}$ (1T'') superstructure in the ab plane, where, according to STM, Mo atoms are slightly displaced from their ideal positions forming triangles (trimerization).^{58,146} A similar $2a \times a$ (1T') structure was obtained by oxidation of $K_x(H_2O)_yMoS_2$ ($x < 0.3$)¹⁴⁶ implying a composition-dependant difference in the symmetry of these intercalation compounds. Additionally, the strength of the oxidiser is also reported to affect the crystal symmetry of deintercalated metastable MoS_2 . By using a mild oxidiser, like I_2 , to treat hydrated $KMoS_2$ crystals, the 1T' phase MoS_2 crystals were synthesised.⁶⁸ While by using strong oxidisers, $K_2Cr_2O_7$ and Br_2 , it was possible to attain the 1T'' polymorph of MoS_2 ^{27,56,146} (Fig. 5e). It is also reported that intercalation compounds



containing other alkali metals, such as sodium and rubidium, can be used as precursors in the synthesis of 1T' MoS₂ single crystals.⁵⁶

Bulk crystals of the 1T' MoSe₂ with lateral size ~100 μm have been demonstrated through a similar deintercalation (with I₂ solution) of a precursor obtained through a chemical reaction between alkali metal containing molybdenum precursors, such as potassium or sodium molybdate, and elemental selenium annealed in an inert atmosphere in an ampoule⁶⁹ or in an open system in a reducing H₂/Ar atmosphere⁶⁸ (Fig. 5d). The role of alkali metal cations (Na, K) is similar to that of lithium in chemical exfoliation process; namely, being electron donors, these cations presumably stabilise the 1T' phase over the 2H phase during the solid-state reaction of the precursor formation. To the best of our knowledge, a direct growth of bulk 1T' WS₂ and 1T' WSe₂ crystals has not been reported so far.

High-purity 1T' monolayers can then be isolated from the parent bulk crystals either mechanically⁶⁸ or *via* chemical exfoliation in solution.⁶⁹ In the case of chemical exfoliation, an additional lithium intercalation stage of bulk 1T' crystals is introduced followed by exfoliation in water. With such approach, the 1T' phase content in the final product reaches 97%⁶⁹ (Fig. 4e and 5c). A successful exfoliation of 1T' MoS₂ monolayers by gentle sonication and even shaking of the hydrated Na_xMoS₂ or LiMoS₂ crystals immersed in water has also been demonstrated^{69,93,144} (Fig. 5b). As shown by C. Guo *et al.*, the alkali metal cations can then be removed from the colloids of MoS₂ monolayers by repeated dialysis.¹⁴⁴ The reported sizes of thus exfoliated 1T' MoS₂ monolayers vary from a few μm¹⁴⁴ to a few tens of μm⁶⁹ in the case of agitation-assisted exfoliation and chemical exfoliation, respectively. Direct exfoliation of bulk 1T' TMD crystals can effectively avoid an incomplete phase transition that is typically manifested as co-existing 2H/1T/1T' phases in chemically exfoliated material.⁸⁵ Moreover, this approach also allows protecting the in-plane framework of the 1T' phase in TMD monolayers from fragmentation due to lithium intercalation thus potentially producing 1T' domains with larger lateral dimensions.

A phase-selective CVD growth of MoS₂ monolayers on mica based on using a similar type of precursor – potassium thiomolybdate, K₂MoS₄ – has been proposed recently¹⁴⁷ (Fig. 5a). The authors found out that the crystallisation of the 1T' phase of MoS₂ becomes favourable in potassium-enriched CVD growth due to the stabilisation of the 1T' phase in the intermediate K_xMoS₂ compound. The crystal phase control was achieved by changing the atmosphere from inert to reducing by adding H₂ into the carrier gas. The MoS₂ flakes produced by decomposition of K₂MoS₄ precursor in an inert (Ar) atmosphere display the 2H phase, whereas high-purity 1T' MoS₂ flakes were produced if H₂ gas was introduced. Thus, 1T' MoS₂ monolayers with over 90% phase purity can be selectively grown on mica in the temperature range 650–750 °C and H₂ concentration of approximately 5–12% in the carrier gas mixture (Fig. 4). The choice of a suitable substrate may also play an important role since mica may assist in trapping potassium cations produced

during the precursor decomposition facilitating the formation of the 1T' phase. Interestingly, 1T'/2H heterobilayers could be selectively produced by simply changing pure Ar to Ar/H₂ mixture during the crystal growth. The authors have also demonstrated that Cs₂MoS₄ and Rb₂MoS₄ could be suitable for a phase-selective synthesis of 1T' MoS₂.¹⁴⁷ Additionally, the growth of 1T' WS₂ under the same conditions was achieved if K₂WS₄ was used as an alkali metal containing precursor.¹⁴⁷

Similarly to vapour deposition approaches, the reported protocols of hydro- or solvothermal syntheses of the metastable 1T(1T') group VI TMD polymorphs utilise a reaction involving ammonium or alkali metal containing precursors. Typically, 1T(1T') WS₂ and MoS₂ nanostructures are obtained by reacting ammonium containing salts, like (NH₄)₂WO₄,¹⁴⁸ (NH₄)₁₀W₁₂O₄₁·H₂O,⁸² and (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄,⁸¹ with the chalcogen precursor, such as thiourea or thioacetamide, or by thermal decomposition of ammonium thiomolybdate¹⁴⁹ in solution phase (Fig. 6a). Thus produced material exhibits the morphology of ultra-thin nanosheets or nanoribbons composed of nm-sized co-existing 2H and 1T(1T') single-crystalline domains. The 1T(1T') phase content in these nanostructures reaches ~61.6%⁸¹ (Fig. 4). The metastable phases are likely to be stabilised by charged precursor residues trapped between the layers of few-layered nanosheets and nanoribbons. Specifically, presence of the intercalated ammonium uniformly distributed across the nanosheets has been repeatedly verified by elemental mapping and XPS analysis.^{81,82,150} Apart from cationic species, it is also assumed that the 1T' phase in MoS₂ produced by a solvothermal reaction from (HN₄)₂MoS₄ can be stabilised by intercalated anionic thiomolybdate moieties.¹⁴⁹ To verify that, the complete removal of salt residues was achieved by prolonged dialysis and the absence of intercalated ammonium in the synthesised material has been demonstrated by XPS analysis. The solvothermal strategy has been further adapted to form arrays of the 1T'-rich (60%) nanosheets (MoS₂) on conductive support (single wall carbon nanotubes) for the application in electrochemical devices.¹⁵⁰

An interesting chemical approach to produce the 1T phase of TMDs that rely on the conversion of non-van der Waals solids, such as MAX and MXene phases, has been reported recently.^{151,152} In this approach, the transition metal atom coordination might be templated by the symmetry of a parent MAX phase. J. Tang *et al.* have demonstrated that high quality TiS₂ sheets can be synthesised by a high temperature chalcogenation of Ti₃C₂T_x in H₂S atmosphere.¹⁵¹ This approach has been further extended to produce group IV and VI disulphides and diselenides, and 1T phases of MoS₂ and WS₂, in particular.¹⁵² Interestingly, the metastable 1T phases have only been obtained in the presence of phosphorus vapour in the chalcogen atmosphere, leading to the formation of P-doped 1T/2H mixed-phase material.¹⁵²

2.2.3. Reaction kinetics-driven direct synthesis of the metastable phase. Phase-selective synthesis through a fine tuning of the growth conditions is achievable in TMDs with a small energy difference between the thermodynamically stable and metastable polymorphs. MoTe₂ displays the difference of only






Fig. 6 Direct solution-phase growth of the 1T' polymorphs. (a) Hydro-/solvothermal reactions between the ammonium containing precursors produce the metastable 1T' phase stabilised by precursors' residues. (b) Colloidal synthesis of the metastable 1T' phase achieved due to the fine control over the reaction kinetics. Figures in panels (a) and (b) are adapted with permission from ref. 82, copyright 2015, John Wiley & Sons, and ref. 80, copyright 2014, American Chemical Society.

about 35–40 meV per formula unit between the 2H and 1T' polymorphs,^{25,153} which is markedly different from the rest of group VI TMDs, and therefore is the most suitable candidate for developing the phase-selective growth strategies. The second candidate could be WTe_2 with the difference between stable 1T' and metastable 2H being only 90 meV per formula unit.²⁵ However, the synthesis of the metastable 2H WTe_2 has not been demonstrated so far.

In vapour phase deposition approaches, the crystal phase tunability has been demonstrated by finely controlling the reaction parameters. For instance, islands of 1T' WSe_2 extended over ~ 100 nm have been selectively synthesised on bilayer graphene on 6H-SiC(0001) substrates by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) by keeping the deposition temperature not higher than 280°C ^{20,21} (Fig. 4). In CVD approach, the crystal phase selectivity is often achieved by controlling the precursor flux. Thus, polycrystalline films of 1T' MoTe_2 with single crystalline domains of ~ 10 nm are commonly reported through tellurisation of Mo films or Mo islands in a tellurium-deficient atmosphere.^{60,154} In this case, the formation of the metastable phase is attributed to tellurium deficiency in the produced MoTe_x material that lowers the free energy of 1T' polymorph.¹⁵⁴ In particular, Te deficiency greater than 2 at% suffices the stabilisation of the 1T' phase over the 2H in MoTe_2 .^{25,155} The lateral dimensions of such phase-uniform polycrystalline 1T' MoTe_2 films are reported to reach a few cm^2 .⁵⁹ Interestingly, prolonging the tellurisation time has facilitated a complete solid-to-solid 1T'-to-2H phase transformation; moreover, the formation of single crystalline 2H MoTe_2 domains as large as 2.34 mm in diameter has been achieved by annealing in a tellurium-enriched atmosphere.¹⁵⁴ Additionally, the formation of the metastable 1T'

phase by tellurisation of Mo films was attributed to a mechanical strain accumulated between the tellurised and pristine Mo regions.⁵⁹ In such a case, during a prolonged tellurisation, gradual relaxation of the strain triggers structural transformation into the 2H phase.⁵⁹ It has also been reported that either Mo or MoO_3 films can be used as a precursor for the synthesis of few-layered 1T' MoTe_2 .¹⁵⁶ Regardless of the precursor choice, the 1T' polymorph of MoTe_2 was obtained if Te supply was insufficient; however, using MoO_3 as precursor led to the formation of morphologically uniform, ~ 3 nm thick films (film roughness ≈ 1 nm), whereas the roughness of the films produced from Mo precursor under the same conditions was ≈ 5 nm.¹⁵⁶ The choice of molybdenum precursor may, however, plays an important role in the CVD synthesis under high tellurium flux. Thus, the same research group have found that MoO_3 reacts more easily with Te vapour forming the 2H phase, while Mo or MoO_{3-x} produce the 1T' phase under the same conditions.¹⁵⁷ Recently, L. Zhou *et al.* have demonstrated that planar 1T'/2H MoTe_2 heterostructures can be produced by a one-step tellurisation of MoO_3 films using a partially overlapped geometry.¹⁵⁸ The formation of 2H phase is attributed to the trapping of Te gas in the gap between the substrate and the cover and therefore to higher concentration of Te residing over the surface of MoO_3 film that led to more complete tellurisation; whereas uniform 1T' phase is obtained in the exposed areas of the substrate. L. Yang *et al.* have demonstrated that the rate of MoO_3 film tellurisation can be controlled by adjusting both the carrier gas flow rate and the reaction temperature; this has allowed the authors to identify the conditions for the selective growth of 1T' and 2H MoTe_2 few-layered films.¹⁵⁹ Alternatively, lateral 1T'/2H homojunctions can be formed in few-layered MoTe_2 films by optimising the precursor



flux in such a way that the incomplete 1T'-to-2H transition is arrested leading to isolated 2H domains being formed in continuous 1T' matrix.^{60,160} However attractive as a lever to direct the crystallisation of MoTe₂ in the metastable crystal phase, tellurium deficiency of the 1T' phase produced *via* metal film tellurisation can significantly hamper its potential use in electronics where high structural perfection is required.¹⁶¹ High-quality 1T' MoTe₂ single crystals can be grown directly from elemental Mo and Te by chemical vapour transport (CVT) reaction.^{58,153,162} In this method, a stoichiometric mixture of elemental precursors is sealed in an evacuated ampoule and then maintained at elevated temperature, typically 800–1000 °C, to produce polycrystalline MoTe₂ product, which is further recrystallised by CVT method using either TeCl₄,^{63,77} TeBr₄,¹⁶² or I₂⁵⁸ as transport agent. The monoclinic 1T' phase of MoTe₂ is thermodynamically stable at the temperatures reported for the CVT processes (900–1100 °C),^{27,153} and can be preserved in the final product if rapidly quenched down to room temperature.^{58,77} The dimensions of thus produced 1T' MoTe₂ single crystals are reported to be as large as 17 × 10 × 2 mm³ (162) (Fig. 4f). Moreover, D. Keum *et al.* have shown that stoichiometric 1T' MoTe₂ single crystals can be grown from Mo and Te powders in a molten salt (NaCl).¹⁵³ The use of salt was recognised essential since this allows dissolving Te and thus prevents its sublimation and formation of Te deficient product. Similar to ref. 58, 1T' MoTe₂ single crystals were attained if the product was quenched, whereas the 2H phase is a product of slow cooling to room temperature. Atomically-thin 1T' MoTe₂ flakes with the lateral size exceeding 10 μm and exhibiting high structural perfection can then be mechanically exfoliated from the bulk crystals onto supports (Si/SiO₂) for further examination.^{58,153}

By optimising the quenching regime, all three, 1T, 1T', and 2H, phases of MoTe₂ can be selectively produced starting from MoO₃ and Te powder precursors.¹⁶³ The fact that all three phases were obtained through the same growth procedure with the only variation being the cooling rate led the authors to conclude that during the direct CVD reaction, MoTe₂ nucleates in the 1T phase. The 1T phase is obtained if after the growth stage the final product is rapidly cooled in the presence of CO₂ and H₂ gases. Trapping of the metastable 1T phase in this case might be caused by the interplay of CO₂ and H₂ adsorption and interaction with the substrate. If the temperature is reduced more gradually then the 1T' phase is found to be dominating in the final product. Finally, natural cooling allows enough time for the crystal lattice to transform into the 2H phase.¹¹⁹

A different approach to obtain the metastable phases on monolayered TMDs *via* CVD has been demonstrated for the synthesis of the 1T polymorph of WS₂¹⁶⁴ and it is based on the use of a catalyst. A mixture of Fe₃O₄ and NaCl, serving as a catalyst and a growth promoter, was added into the CVD-tubular furnace (WO₃ and elemental S as material precursors) leading to the formation of monolayered 1T/2H WS₂ flakes with a butterfly-like shapes, where one of the laterally stitched wings adopted the 1T and the other – the 2H phase. Single crystalline domains in these butterfly-like WS₂ monolayers reached 80 μm (Fig. 4g). It has also been shown that these 1T/2H WS₂ structures

could serve as seeds for the laterally expanded 1T/1T and 2H/2H WS₂/MoS₂ planar heterostructures.¹⁶⁴

Owing to the non-equilibrium nature of liquid-phase crystallisation, the reaction pathway in the systems with diverse polymorphism greatly depends on subtle variations in growth conditions and may often lead to the formation of metastable reaction products.^{165–167} First, the crystal growth conditions may be optimised in such a way that the metastable reaction product is kinetically preferred over the equilibrium product.¹⁶⁸ In fact, it is known that in the systems with numerous polymorphs present, the crystallisation often proceeds through the formation of thermodynamically unstable polymorphs that successively transform into the equilibrium phase; this is known as Ostwald's step rule (Fig. 7b).¹⁶⁹ The formation of the metastable phase can dominate the crystallisation kinetics owing to a lower nucleation barrier than that of the equilibrium phase¹⁷⁰ (Fig. 7a) and so one can assume that the metastable polymorph can be isolated if the crystallisation is arrested before the next, more stable, polymorph nucleates and grows. It is assumed that high supersaturations might be prerequisite for such multi-step crystallisation.^{171,172} Also, the free energy of different polymorphs may be altered due to the interaction of the growing crystal with its environment, such as solvent and, especially in the case of colloidal growth, capping ligands, thus lowering the energy barrier for the preferential formation of metastable polymorph and potentially preventing its transformation into the thermodynamically stable one.⁸⁰ In TMD systems, the effects of nanocrystal nucleation and growth kinetics on the preferential formation of one polymorph over the other are still at very early stages of investigation.

Y. Sun *et al.* have reported that the metastable 1T' polymorph of MoTe₂ is directly accessible in colloidal synthesis.¹⁷³ In their work, flower-like 1T' MoTe₂ nanostructures having diameters of 100–150 nm were grown in solution phase by continuously injecting molybdenum precursor into a hot mixture (300 °C) containing trioctylphosphine telluride (TOP:Te) in




Fig. 7 Homogenous nucleation of the metastable phase in a system with diverse polymorphism. (a) Nucleation barriers of the metastable (purple) and the stable (green) phase in a supersaturated solution. (b) Schematic diagram illustrating the crystallisation pathway of the stable phase proceeding through a series of intermediate metastable phases A, B, and C; ΔG^* denotes the energy barriers of the respective phase transitions. Separate panels are adapted with permission: (a) from ref. 170, copyright 2017, The Royal Society of Chemistry; (b) from ref. [Nature Physics volume 5, pages 68–73 (2009); 10.1038/nphys1148], copyright 2008, Springer Nature.



trioctylphosphine (TOP) and oleylamine (OlAm). Molybdenum precursor was prepared by dissolving MoCl_5 in oleic acid (OlAc). Adding hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) into tellurium precursor prior to the molybdenum complex injection is required for the formation of crystalline, uniform MoTe_2 nanostructures. The authors hypothesised that the interaction with organic ligands can effectively modify the surface energy of MoTe_2 nanocrystals and so further lower the energy barrier for forming the metastable structure. Once formed, the metastable $1\text{T}'$ phase is kinetically trapped due to the pinning at grain boundaries in the petals of MoTe_2 nanoflowers. Following a similar synthesis protocol, Y. Sun *et al.* have obtained high-quality WTe_2 nanoflowers using WCl_6 complex in OlAc as transition metal precursor.¹⁷⁴ Interestingly, colloidal WTe_2 nanoflowers are attained in the orthorhombic Td phase. Additionally, the authors have demonstrated that by using mixtures of MoCl_5 and WCl_6 as transition metal source, nanostructured $\text{W}_x\text{Mo}_{1-x}\text{Te}_2$ solid solutions with composition-dependant Td/ $1\text{T}'$ crystal phase heterogeneity spanning the Td WTe_2 and $1\text{T}'$ MoTe_2 polymorphs can be accessed.¹⁷⁴

On the other hand, colloidal synthesis of the metastable polymorphs of group VI disulphides and diselenides remains majorly unexplored. Generally, the metastable polymorphs of these materials are considerably less accessible due to the greater energy barriers of their formation.⁴⁶ Consequently, the solution-phase growth of group VI disulphides and diselenides, whether by a thermolytic decomposition of a single source precursor, like $[\text{Mo}_2\text{O}_2\text{S}_2(\text{S}_2\text{COEt})_2]$,¹⁷⁵ or by a direct reaction between molecular precursors, such as MoCl_5 and CS_2 ,¹⁷⁶ commonly results in the thermodynamically stable 2H polymorph. To date, the reported protocols include the use of tungsten and molybdenum hexacarbonyls¹⁷⁷ and chlorides,^{178–180} molybdenyl acetylacetonate,¹⁸¹ sodium molybdate^{179,182} and sodium tungstate,¹⁸³ as a source of transition metal. Chalcogen precursors include carbon disulphide,^{176,180} diphenyl diselenide,^{177,180,181} complexes of elemental sulphur¹⁷⁸ or selenium^{179,182,183} in organic solvents, like oleylamine or octadecene. Despite such a variety of precursors available for a direct solution-phase growth of group VI TMDs, only the semiconducting 2H phase was synthesised. However, a slow sulphidation of colloidal $\text{W}_{18}\text{O}_{49}$ nanorods, serving as sacrificial seeds, by *in situ* generated H_2S has led to the formation of irregularly-shaped ultrathin WS_2 disks demonstrating co-existing 2H and $1\text{T}'$ crystal phases.¹⁸⁴

B. Mahler *et al.* have reported on a phase-selective colloidal synthesis of WS_2 nanosheets⁸⁰ (Fig. 6b). Disk-like WS_2 nanostructures with the distorted octahedral $1\text{T}'$ crystal structure were synthesised through a reaction between WCl_6 complex in oleic acid and CS_2 in hot (320 °C) oleylamine, whereas the 2H WS_2 nanosheets were grown from the same molecular precursors in the presence of an additive, hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS). HMDS is an essential chemical in colloidal synthesis of another class of layered materials: narrow-gap $\text{A}^{\text{IV}}\text{B}^{\text{VI}}$ semiconductors, such as GeS and SnS.^{185,186} It has been noted that the growth from the respective transition metal halides in hot oleylamine in the absence of HMDS leads to amorphous

product, whereas in the presence of HMDS, highly-uniform, single crystalline plates of SnS and GeS are being formed.^{185–187} In the case group VI TMDs, Y. Sun *et al.* have reported that the presence of HMDS is required for the formation of highly crystalline MoTe_2 ,¹⁷³ WTe_2 ¹⁷⁴ and $\text{W}_x\text{Mo}_{1-x}\text{Te}_2$ ¹⁷⁴ colloidal nanosheets. M. Kobylinski *et al.* have suggested that in general, the role of HMDS in colloidal synthesis from transition metal chlorides is two-fold: first, HMDS may react with the transition metal source, forming the corresponding (trimethylsilyl)amino complex; second, HMDS may bind chloride ions and remove them from the reaction mixture as (trimethylsilyl) chloride. While B. Mahler *et al.* hypothesised that in the specific case of colloidal synthesis of WS_2 , HMDS may effectively tune the reactivity of tungsten precursor enabling the phase-selective growth.⁸⁰ Specifically, they presume that HMDS may partially substitute the oleate ligands complexing tungsten ions, leading to the formation of a more active form of tungsten precursor. Their alternative hypothesis suggests that HMDS may act as a capping ligand during the nanocrystal growth, stabilising the 2H polymorph over the $1\text{T}'$. In order to verify which of the two possible mechanism is more likely to drive the reaction, the authors replace oleic acid (OlAc) with another strongly binding ligand – dodecanethiol (DDT). The authors suggest that unlike OlAc, DDT does not react with HMDS thus the formation of an activated tungsten (trimethylsilyl)amino complex is not possible in the DDT protocol. Indeed, the material grown from WCl_6 –DDT complex in the presence of HMDS exhibits the $1\text{T}'$ phase. These findings suggest that the formation of the thermodynamically stable 2H phase of WS_2 can be mainly attributed to the activation of tungsten complex by reacting with HMDS, whereas less reactive tungsten oleate may lead to the direct growth of the $1\text{T}'$ phase. It should be noted that a detailed study of the suggested intermediates in the WCl_6 –OlAc and WCl_6 –DDT systems, their activity and possible reactions with HMDS is not provided in the cited work.⁸⁰

In addition to $1\text{T}'$ WS_2 , the direct growth of the metastable $1\text{T}'$ polymorph of WSe_2 has been reported recently^{71,188} (Fig. 4d). It is worth mentioning that the $1\text{T}'$ polymorphs of WSe_2 and MoSe_2 were not previously demonstrated by Li intercalation and were only achieved *via* direct synthesis.^{68,71} Uniform $1\text{T}'$ WSe_2 nanoflowers with an average diameter of 200 nm and composed of highly-crystalline atomically-thin petals were grown through a reaction between $\text{W}(\text{CO})_6$ and trioctylphosphine selenide (TOP:Se) in hot oleic acid (300 °C).⁷¹ Prior to that work, only a few experimental protocols on colloidal synthesis of WSe_2 nanostructures were present, but only the formation of thermodynamically stable 2H polymorph was reported.^{177,180} In order to elucidate the reaction pathway, M. Sokolikova *et al.* have performed a parametric study of growth conditions. The activity of both precursors was systematically varied by replacing $\text{W}(\text{CO})_6$ with WCl_6 , TOP:Se with elemental Se; additionally, the activity of tungsten precursor was modified by complexing with two additives: hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS) and tetradecylphosphonic acid (TDPA). Interestingly, the metastable $1\text{T}'$ crystal phase was consistently grown under these conditions; only negligible changes in the



morphology were reported. Based on these observations, the authors have proposed that under the described experimental conditions, the formation of metastable 1T' polymorph might be attributed to the crystallisation in kinetically-driven regime; however, switching between the supposedly kinetically-driven and thermodynamically-controlled regimes has not been unambiguously demonstrated.

Later, J. Geisenhoff *et al.* have demonstrated a phase-selective synthesis of WSe₂ nanoflowers that was achieved in a biligand system of trioctylphosphine oxide (TOPO) and oleic acid (OAc).¹⁸⁸ The metastable 1T' phase of WSe₂ was obtained when the fraction of OAc in the ligand mixture was high, while keeping the oleic acid fraction to minimum has led to the growth of the thermodynamically stable 2H phase. The authors indicate that by coordinating with oleic acid, a less reactive form of tungsten precursor is formed; this leads to nucleation of fewer seeds and to larger nanocrystals compared to the synthesis in TOPO-rich mixtures. However, the effect of ligand mixture composition on the preferential nucleation of one of the other crystal phases remains unclear. Regardless of the ligand ratio, colloidal WSe₂ was found to nucleate in the metastable 1T' phase; however, in the systems, containing low fraction of OAc, it converts into the 2H phase over time.¹⁸⁸ Whereas in the case of ligand mixtures with high OAc content or of syntheses in OAc, the final product seemingly retains the metastable 1T' phase.¹⁸⁸

Recently, it has been proposed that the energy barrier for the homogeneous nucleation of the metastable 1T phase could be lowered in a magneto-hydrothermal process.¹³⁶ The preferential nucleation of the 1T polymorph in high magnetic fields is facilitated by the higher magnetic susceptibility of the 1T structure, compared to the 2H phase. Using this approach, W. Ding *et al.* have selectively synthesised 1T MoS₂ and WS₂ nanosheets through a hydrothermal reaction between either ammonium heptamolybdate ((NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄·4H₂O) and thiourea or tungsten hexachloride (WCl₆), and thioacetamide under an external magnetic field of ~9 T.¹³⁶ The nucleation of the 2H phase from the same precursors in the absence of magnetic field (control) has also been demonstrated.

Considering the present evidence, we suggest that the understanding of crystallisation pathways leading to the formation of metastable polymorphs of group VI TMDs in colloidal solution is at early stages. A thorough investigation of the nucleation mechanism, including the nature of reaction intermediates formed from molecular precursors, possible prenucleation clusters, energetics of the nanocrystal-solution interface, and surface dynamics of capping ligands on the nanocrystal facets, is needed in order to gain control over the phase-selective growth of various polymorphs of group VI TMDs.

It is interesting to note that unlike other van der Waals solids, such as colloidal SnS¹⁸⁶ and SnSe,¹⁸⁹ GeS and GeSe,¹⁸⁵ InSe,¹⁹⁰ and group IV^{191,192} and V¹⁹² disulphides and diselenides, that are acquired in a form of two-dimensional platelets and disks, group VI TMDs obtained through a solution-phase (hydro-/solvothermal^{81,193} and colloidal^{71,173,174,179,180}) reaction between similar type of precursors typically exhibit branched

morphology of flower-like structures composed of ultra-thin petals. The overall anisotropic morphology of van der Waals compounds is expected since the lateral extension in the *ab* plane is energetically preferred due to surface energy difference between the undercoordinated edge facets and the basal planes, where dangling bonds are absent.^{192,194} In some cases, like layered SnSe¹⁸⁹ and Bi₂Te₃,¹⁹⁵ and non-layered PbS¹⁹⁶ systems, the growth of large regularly-shaped single-crystalline nanostructures does not proceed through the extension of primary nucleated seeds but rather occurs *via* the two-dimensional oriented attachment of the seeds. The self-assembly process is driven by the minimisation of surface energy associated with the unsaturated bonds, for example, in the lateral surface of SnSe seeds, and can be effectively controlled by varying the precursors' concentrations.¹⁸⁹ However, neither of these crystallisation mechanisms can explain the formation of branched flower-like structures of group VI TMDs produced *via* a solution-phase reaction. The formation of 3D flower-like nanostructures composed of individual 2D nanosheets might be caused by a nucleation from a dense amorphous state formed in the supersaturated solution as proposed for TiS₂ nanoflowers.¹⁹⁷ S. Prabakar *et al.* have found out that TiS₂ flower-like structures evolve in colloidal solution starting with the formation of amorphous globules and proceeding through the appearance of randomly oriented crystalline platelets on the surface of amorphous globules to the growth of these petals originating from the central core so that the amorphous core is no longer apparent.¹⁹⁷ Similar formation mechanism was later described by O. Meiron *et al.*¹⁹⁸ and by C. Zhang *et al.*¹⁸² for colloidal MoS_{2-x}Se_{2-2x} and MoSe₂ nanoflowers, respectively. O Meiron *et al.* have identified amorphous blobs that are formed at the very early stages of the reaction; these later serve as material reservoirs for the curled and tangled nanosheets that crystallise inside the amorphous clusters.¹⁹⁸ Over time, amorphous material is fully consumed and uniform nanoflowers are formed. Despite a considerable progress in controlled synthesis of TMDs, we highlight that a deeper understanding of solution-phase nucleation and crystallisation processes of group VI TMDs is required in order to fully employ their potential in practical applications.

3. Comparison of various techniques to access the metastable 1T(1T') phases

Fig. 4 provides a comprehensive overview of the reported approaches to access the metastable 1T(1T') phases of group VI TMDs. The data points were taken from the references, where the lateral dimensions of continuous domains (not necessarily single crystalline) of the metastable 1T(1T') phase were clearly stated and the metastable phase content was determined from the results of either XPS or Raman spectroscopy. These are listed in Table 1.

The most commonly reported approach to synthesise the metastable phase in measurable quantities is chemical exfoliation from bulk TMD powders. In chemically exfoliated MoS₂ and WS₂, the 1T(1T') phase is found as nm-scale domains embedded in the 2H matrix, although the total 1T(1T') phase content reaches ~70–80%. It should be noted that there is little evidence that this approach is effective in the case of group VI diselenides.




Table 1 Comparison of various synthesis techniques to access the metastable 1T(1T') phases of group VI TMDs

Material	Lateral size	% of 1T(1T')	Ref.
Molecular beam epitaxy			
MoS ₂	4 nm	100	79
MoSe ₂	10 nm	100	70
WSe ₂	100 nm	100	21
Chemical vapour transport			
MoS ₂	100 μm	100	56
	150 μm	100	68
	500 μm	100	69
MoSe ₂	170 μm	100	68
MoTe ₂	10 μm	100	58
	1 cm	100	153
	1.7 cm	100	162
Chemical vapour deposition			
MoS ₂	10 μm	100	147
WS ₂	80 μm	100	164
Chemical exfoliation (both 2H and 1T' crystals)			
MoS ₂	3.5 nm	70	112
WS ₂	3 nm	80	112
	100 nm	80	83
MoS ₂	1 μm	70	101
	700 nm	80	103
	10 μm	97	69
	60 μm	97	69
	500 nm	100	93
	1.5 μm	100	265
	Direct colloidal/hydrothermal synthesis		
MoS ₂	200 nm	61.6	81
WS ₂	100 nm	50	80
	162 nm	66.4	148
WSe ₂	100 nm	60	71

On the other hand, CVT growth, that up until recently was employed to synthesise cm-sized single crystals of 1T' MoTe₂ only, has been extended to the case of molybdenum disulphide and diselenide. The growth of the metastable phase is achieved with the assistance of alkali metal containing precursors and 1T' MoS₂ and 1T' MoSe₂ crystals with lateral dimensions of a few hundreds of μm are produced by gentle deintercalation of the intermediate products. Furthermore, chemical exfoliation from bulk 1T' MoS₂ and 1T MoSe₂ crystals results in unprecedentedly large nanosheets with the metastable phase content of nearly 100%. CVD growth, that typically leads to the formation of the thermodynamically stable polymorph, can be optimised in such a way that the metastable phase is selectively produced. Thus, in the presence of a catalyst or alkali metal containing precursors, the growth of single crystalline domains of 1T WS₂ and 1T' MoS₂ with the sizes of a few tens of μm has been reported. Another deposition approach, molecular beam epitaxy, allows for the growth of islands of 1T' phase with lateral dimensions varying from a few nm as in the case of MoS₂ and MoSe₂ to nearly reaching 100 nm in the case of WSe₂. It should be noted that the selectivity of MBE process is rather low at present as the formation of separate domains of the 2H phase is often reported.

Solution-phase approaches, such as hydrothermal and colloidal reactions, allow for the synthesis of measurable quantities of the 1T' phase containing material, in some cases

reaching a few grams. The lateral size of 1T' domains are reported within the range from a few tens to a few hundreds of nm, and the metastable phase content is about 50–60%.

4. How stable the metastable 1T(1T') phases are?

Although being thermodynamically metastable under ambient conditions, the 1T(1T') polymorph is kinetically stable over the course of a few days to a few months, depending on the material morphology and environment. Thus, chemically exfoliated 1T' and 1T'' MoS₂ monolayers gradually convert back to the 2H phase over 3–5 days in air as demonstrated by Raman⁸⁷ and STM¹⁴⁶ studies. The conversion is attributed to the restacking of exfoliated material in suspension that leads to the van der Waals interactions between the layers being recovered. The transformation into the 2H phase is significantly promoted when the exfoliated 1T' TMDs are deposited to form thin films owing to the removal of water from the interlayer space of exfoliated sheets during drying.⁸⁷ Synthesised through a direct hydrothermal reaction, the 1T-phase MoS₂ was reported to slowly convert into the 2H phase over 90 days in water. This was accompanied by a gradual loss of the catalytic activity.¹⁹⁹

5. Property-related applications of the metastable 1T(1T') phases

The metastable 1T(1T') polymorphs of group VI TMDs are found to be promising for various applications, including electrocatalysis,^{83,103} electrochemical energy storage,^{101,200} sensors,^{201,202} transparent electrodes for nanoscaled optoelectronics¹¹⁰ and photovoltaics,²⁰³ transport layers for solar cells,²⁰⁴ and even microwave absorbers for application in electromagnetic shielding and stealth camouflage.²⁰⁵ The incorporation of domains of the 1T phase in the 2H matrix induces a robust ferromagnetism in the intrinsically non-magnetic semiconducting TMDs, further expanding the range of potential applications to spintronic devices.^{206,207} The difficulty in accessing the input of the metastable phase is commonly associated with the fact that in many cases, the 1T(1T') phase co-exists with the thermodynamically stable 2H phase in these produced materials. Here, we review the state-of-the-art research articles related to the understanding of how the intrinsic properties of the 1T(1T') phases can enable novel applications.

5.1. Basal plane activation for efficient electrocatalysis.

Group VI TMDs have been actively studied as catalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER).^{83,115,208–215} Electrocatalytic hydrogen generation is practically achieved by water electrolysis, typically performed in acidic medium. The initial reduction of solvated protons by electrons from the external circuit leads to the formation of adsorbed neutral hydrogen atom on the catalyst surface; this step is known as the Volmer reaction. Then, the formation of molecular hydrogen proceeds through either the Tafel reaction or the Heyrovsky reaction. The former one involves a reaction between two adsorbed surface hydrogen atoms. In the latter, the adsorbed hydrogen reacts with the solvated proton followed by reduction by electrons from the external circuit.²¹⁶ Depending on the electrocatalyst nature, the rate limiting step of the HER can be either the initial proton reduction or the following formation of molecular hydrogen.





Fig. 8 Applications of the metastable 1T(1T') phases of group VI TMDs in electrochemical energy storage and conversion. (a) SEM image (left) of micron-sized chemically exfoliated 1T' MoS₂ nanosheets and the polarisation curves (right) of 1T' and 2H MoS₂ demonstrating the basal plane activation in the 1T' polymorph. (b) SEM image (left) of 1T' WSe₂ nanosheets grown directly on carbon fibres demonstrating lower HER overpotentials and lower Tafel slopes (right) compared to the corresponding 2H phase. (c) Polarisation curves (left) and the corresponding Tafel slopes (right) of the CVD grown 1T' MoS₂ flakes. (d) SEM image (left) of micron-sized chemically exfoliated MoS₂ nanosheets and CV curves (right) of a symmetric supercapacitor device in an organic electrolyte acquired at various scan rates. (e) A schematic (top) illustrating the domain size reduction during a continuous cycling of lithiated MoS₂ nanosheets and charge-discharge plots (bottom) at different rates for the lithiated MoS₂-based batteries. Separate panels are adapted with permission: (a) from ref. 103, copyright 2013, American Chemical Society; (b) from ref. 71, copyright 2019, Springer Nature; (c) from ref. 147, copyright 2018, Springer Nature; (d) from ref. 101, copyright 2015, Springer Nature; and (e) from ref. 200, copyright 2016, American Chemical Society.

The widely accepted descriptor for the HER rate is the Gibbs free energy ΔG_{H} which characterises the adsorption of hydrogen on the active site.²¹⁷ A good catalyst for the HER binds hydrogen neither weakly nor strongly (ΔG_{H} is close to 0). First-principles calculations have identified MoS₂ as a novel type of catalyst with a potential to replace Pt-group materials in electrocatalytic hydrogen production.²¹⁸ Although bulk MoS₂ is a poor catalyst, the catalytic activity of nanostructured MoS₂ is attributed to the distinct metallic states localised at the Mo-terminated (10–10) edges.¹⁷ These one-dimensional states were first predicted by M. Bollinger *et al.*²¹⁹ and later visualised using scanning tunnelling microscopy²¹⁹ and scanning transmission X-ray microscopy.²²⁰ The thermochemistry predictions were verified by T. Jaramillo *et al.* by measuring the catalytic activity of UHV sputtered MoS₂ nanoislands of various sizes.¹⁷ The observed correlation between the rate of hydrogen evolution and the fraction of edge sites of MoS₂ nanoparticles unambiguously suggests that in the case of semiconducting 2H MoS₂ nanostructures, the electrocatalytic HER predominantly takes place at the edge sites.¹⁷ Among 2H group VI TMDs, MoSe₂ is expected to demonstrate the highest catalytic activity since both (10–10) metal- and (–1010) chalcogen-terminated edges have ΔG_{H} close to thermoneutral

(0.02 and –0.05 eV, respectively).²²¹ MoSe₂ is closely followed by WS₂, both edges of which are potentially active for the catalytic HER (–0.04 and –0.05 eV), whereas only the Se edge of WSe₂ (–0.05 eV) and the Mo edge of MoS₂ (0.06 eV) were identified as catalytically active.²²¹ The basal planes of 2H group VI TMDs are found to be catalytically inert with ΔG_{H} close to 2 eV.²²¹ Theoretically, higher affinity of basal planes of metallic 1T(1T') TMDs to hydrogen can lead to a remarkable improvement of their catalytic performance as compared to the semiconducting 2H counterparts.^{18,221,222} Experimentally, the activation of the basal plane of the metallic 1T phase has been demonstrated by partially oxidising chemically exfoliated MoS₂ nanosheets.¹⁰³ D. Voiry *et al.* have compared the performance of 1T and 2H MoS₂ nanosheets before and after oxidative treatment. They reported that the catalytic activity of edge oxidised 2H MoS₂ nanosheets decreased considerably, while the performance of 1T phase remained unaffected. The activation of basal planes and so the higher density of catalytically active sites in metallic polymorphs is considered as one of the reasons why the 1T(1T') polymorphs outperform their 2H counterparts in catalytic HER.^{83,103,223} This activity enhancement has been demonstrated for both group VI TMD nanosheets¹⁰³ and quantum dots¹¹² (Fig. 8a).



The enhanced catalytic activity of the metastable polymorphs of group VI TMDs is also associated with higher conductivity of the metallic (semimetallic) 1T(1T') phases. Recently, it has been suggested that the characteristics of the interface between active material and functional substrate, as well as the electron transport across active material, affect the catalytic performance even more than the thermodynamics of catalytic reaction. Compared to the semiconducting 2H counterparts, the 1T(1T') phases of WS₂, MoS₂ and MoSe₂ greatly benefit from faster charge transfer in metallic polymorphs.^{102,199,224} Additionally, in the case of metallic polymorphs, the catalyst–substrate interface becomes essentially metal–metal, which leads to more effective electron injection into the active material.²²⁵ It is worth mentioning that the 1T' phase of WSe₂ have shown the enhanced electrocatalytic activity compared to its 2H counterpart (Fig. 8b); however, in this case the material was obtained uniquely *via* direct synthesis.⁷¹

The catalytic activity of metastable 1T and 1T' polymorphs of group VI TMDs cannot be compared directly as both phases are often reported interchangeably.⁸³ Further, L. Wang *et al.* have suggested that during electrochemical cycling, the 1T phase of MoS₂ irreversibly transforms into the more catalytically active 1T' phase.²²⁶ According to first principle calculations, the 1T phase readily transforms into the 1T' phase at room temperature and this transition is considerably promoted by hydrogen adsorption during the H₂ evolution. Moreover, the authors have experimentally proved that the 1T-to-1T' transition does occur in chemically exfoliated MoS₂ and they suggest that such a transition may explain the self-optimising catalytic behaviour reported for the group VI TMD catalysts.²²⁶ Additionally, there is not yet a clear evidence of the effect that a fully extended 1T' phase and large single crystalline domains of 1T' phase can have on the HER performance. For instance, the enhanced catalytic activity in mixed-phase 1T'/2H, as well as in polycrystalline single phase, TMD systems is occasionally attributed to the strained crystalline domain boundaries in the basal plane, providing a higher density of catalytically active sites.^{227,228} Certainly, the bottom-up synthesis approach paves the way to expand the portfolio of 1T' phases and to control the crystallinity, morphology and crystal phase in multi-layered form (Fig. 8c).

5.2. Increased conductivity for application in energy storage and sensors. Due to the increased electrical conductivity of the metastable 1T and 1T' polymorphs compared to the semiconducting 2H counterparts, these materials are being extensively explored for energy storage applications. Thus, combined high electrical conductivity and large accessible surface area of metallic TMD nanosheets have been employed in electrochemical double-layer capacitors.^{101,229} M. Acerce *et al.* have demonstrated that the electrodes formed from restacked chemically exfoliated 1T MoS₂ nanosheets can intercalate ions, such as H⁺, Li⁺, Na⁺, and K⁺, and can achieve volumetric capacitance of up to 650 F cm⁻³ (at 20 mV s⁻¹) in aqueous electrolytes.¹⁰¹ Moreover, thus prepared electrodes are suitable for operation in non-aqueous electrolyte (ENIM BF₄ in MeCN) and demonstrate capacitance as high as 250 F cm⁻³ (at 5 mV s⁻¹) and good stability over 5000 cycles¹⁰¹ (Fig. 8d).

Grown by solution-phase approach material, exhibiting flower-like morphology and containing high fraction of metallic 1T phase, is gaining interest as a potential electrode material due to high specific surface area accessible to the electrolyte. R. Naz *et al.* have reported on highly defective MoS₂ nanosheets grown on reduced graphene oxide framework achieving high gravimetric capacitance of 442 F g⁻¹ (at 1 A g⁻¹) in aqueous electrolyte (1 M H₂SO₄) with capacitance retention of over 84% during 3000 cycles.²³⁰ Furthermore, 1T phase MoS₂ nanosheets grown through a magneto-hydrothermal reaction deliver a gravimetric capacitance of 379 F g⁻¹ (at 1 A g⁻¹) in 1 M Li₂SO₄ and show an exceptionally high stability (nearly 100%) over 10 000 cycles.²³¹

Based on first-principle calculations, 1T MoS₂ monolayers have been identified as a suitable anode material for lithium-ion batteries due to their ability to absorb Li ions at high concentrations without aggregation.²³² T. Xiang *et al.* have realised hybrid 1T MoS₂/graphene electrodes exhibiting a capacity of 666 mA h g⁻¹ at high current density (3500 mA g⁻¹).²³³ It is interesting to note that the arrays of vertically aligned few-layered MoS₂ nanosheets composing the electrodes were grown on graphene *via* a single-step hydrothermal reaction and the interlayer spacing in thus grown MoS₂ reaches 9.8 Å dictated by the graphene lattice; such lattice expansion facilitates a faster Li diffusion essential for a high performance lithium-ion battery. In bulk MoS₂ crystals, the repeating lithiation process is reported to form a mosaic-like nanocrystalline domains, which ensure high rate capability and excellent stability in rechargeable lithium-ion batteries.²⁰⁰ This process is schematically shown in Fig. 8e. Such phase restructuring in pre-lithiated MoS₂ ensures a faster and more stable charge/discharge rate than in either chemically exfoliated or bulk material.²⁰⁰ The 1T phases have seen recent utilization also in composites for batteries electrodes and we refer the reader to the following reviews for more details on this subject.^{234–236} Covering these works is beyond the scope of the current review as here, we aim at discussing the applications that directly arise from the intrinsic characteristics of the metallic 1T phases of group VI TMDs.

The metastable 1T(1T') phases of group VI TMDs have been also proposed to be used in miniaturised biosensors. In particular, chemically exfoliated metallic 1T WS₂ has been employed as a conductive platform for an indirect enzymatic detection of fenitrothion; the biosensor expressed good linearity in the a broad concentration range (1–1000 nM) with the detection limit as low as 2.86 nM of fenitrothion.²⁰¹ On the other hand, the semimetallic 1T' MoTe₂ and WTe₂ polymorphs are reported to be ultra-sensitive surface enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) platforms for molecular detection.²³⁷ In this case, the enhancement of Raman signal is achieved due to the polarisation of analyte molecules (rhodamine 6G) owing to the coupling with the 1T' phase SERS platform. The reported detection limits are as low as 40 and 400 fM of rhodamine 6G on pristine few-layered 1T' WTe₂ and 1T' MoTe₂ flakes, respectively; however, these can be further improved when the sensor is integrated on a Bragg reflector.

5.3. Patterned 2H/1T' homojunctions for nanoelectronics. Owing to their suitable bandgaps, atomically smooth interfaces,





Fig. 9 Applications of the metastable 1T(1T') phases of group VI TMDs in novel electronics. (a) Optical image (left) of an FET device with patterned 1T'/2H MoTe₂ contacts and the Schottky barrier height (right) in the 2H MoTe₂ only device and in the device with patterned 1T'/2H contacts. (b) Electrical characterisation of a CVD grown 1T' MoS₂ flake (left) demonstrating an anisotropic electrical transport (right). (c) A schematic of a topological FET device based on van der Waals heterostructures of alternating monolayer 1T' group VI TMD and single-/few-layer wide-gap insulators. (d) Electronic characterisation of 1T' WSe₂ monolayer. High-resolution ARPES band dispersion (left) and the corresponding calculated bands (middle) demonstrate the band inversion in monolayer 1T' WSe₂; an indirect band gap in 1T' WSe₂ calculated from two energy dispersion curves (right) is characteristic to 2D TI. Separate panels are adapted with permission: (a) from ref. 160, copyright 2019, American Chemical Society; (b) from ref. 147, copyright 2018, Springer Nature; (c) from ref. 46, copyright 2014, American Association for the Advancement of Science; and (d) from ref. 20, copyright 2018, Springer Nature.

and good thermal stability, semiconducting group VI TMDs, and MoS₂ single layers in particular, are being investigated for the next generation electronics and optoelectronics.^{238–241} Recently, a field-effect transistor (FET) has been experimentally demonstrated with a single mechanically exfoliated MoS₂ flake as a conductive channel.²³⁸ The device demonstrated an on/off ratio of 10⁸ at room temperature and high channel mobilities of at least 200 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ were achieved by dielectric screening with HfO₂ as a gate insulator.²³⁸ The electronics based on atomically thin TMDs is majorly plagued by the large contact resistance between the semiconductor and the source/drain metal electrodes.²⁴² This can be solved by locally inducing the 2H-to-1T' transformation on TMD nanosheets to significantly lower the contact resistance between the monolayer and bulk metal contacts (Fig. 9a). Local phase patterning to realise ohmic contacts has been demonstrated in MoS₂ and MoTe₂ transistors.^{95,155} The 1T phase electrodes were locally patterned on CVD grown 2H MoS₂ nanosheets by exposing the material to *n*-BuLi, while the semiconducting channel was preserved by protecting this area by a PMMA mask.⁹⁵ In 1–3 layered MoS₂ nanosheets, the heterophase 1T'/2H homojunction is characterised by a contact resistance of 200–300 Ω μm at zero gate bias. Thus fabricated MoS₂ FET exhibits the channel mobilities of ~50 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ and on/off ratios exceeding 10⁷.⁹⁵ Similarly, the 1T'/2H homojunctions induced in MoTe₂ by local laser irradiation have increased the channel mobility by a factor of 50, while preserving the high on/off ratios of ~10⁶.¹⁵⁵ Interestingly, in MoTe₂, lateral 1T'/2H homojunctions can be obtained *via* direct CVD synthesis.^{160,243,244} The contact barrier height

at the lateral 1T'/2H interface is reported to be as low as 25–30 meV,^{160,243} and in the coplanar 1T'/2H contact MoTe₂ FET, the channel mobility reached 16.2 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ at room temperature.²⁴³

5.4. Dynamic phase transitions for non-volatile memory devices. Fast and reversible structural transitions between the crystal phases characterised by a large difference in the electrical resistance between them, lay a foundation for non-volatile memory devices and neuromorphic computing.²⁴⁵ F. Zhang *et al.* have recently demonstrated that the dynamic switching between high resistive (2H) and low resistive (1T') states in few-layered MoTe₂ and W_xMo_{1-x}Te₂ can be controlled by a vertical electric field.⁴³ Remarkably, the switching in such resistive random access memory (RRAM) device is achieved within 10 ns and for the Al₂O₃/MoTe₂ stacked configurations, the on/off current ratios are as high as 10⁶.⁴³ In MoS₂ films, a reversible 2H-to-1T' phase switching controlled by the in-plane Li ion migration has also been demonstrated.¹⁰⁹ A reversible local phase transformation caused by the difference in Li ion concentration intercalated between MoS₂ layers was effectively modulated by an applied in-plane electric field. Furthermore, it has been shown that the individual devices can be coupled through the local ionic exchange, illustrating their potential for neuromorphic computing. Finally, the material produced in solution phase, such as colloidal MoS₂ nanosheets, can be assembled *via* spray coating, enabling a wafer-scale fabrication of RRAM arrays on flexible substrates for integration in next generation mobile and wearable electronic devices.¹⁷⁶



5.5. Emerging properties and applications. In the semi-conducting 2H polymorph, superconductivity can be induced by intercalation of alkali metals²⁴⁶ as was demonstrated in the case of MoS₂ with the critical temperatures T_c varying from 1 to 6.5 K.^{8,247} Typically, the fraction x of alkali metal (A = Li, Na, K, Rb) in these intercalation compounds A _{x} MoS₂ was as high as ~ 0.4 .²⁴⁷ Later, the alkali metal intercalation has been shown to cause the transition into superconducting state in both the 1T and 1T' bulk polymorphs of MoS₂ with the T_c of 2.8 and 4.6 K, respectively.²⁴⁸ The presence of alkali metal in the intercalated bulk powders was found crucial for the superconducting phase transition.²⁴⁸ On the other hand, pristine 1T' MoTe₂ is found to undergo a superconducting phase transition at 0.1 K and the transition temperature can be dramatically increased to 8.2 K under applied pressure (11.8 GPa).⁶³ Similarly, pressure-driven dome-shaped superconductivity has been reported in bulk WTe₂ with the highest T_c (7 K) achieved at 16.8 GPa.²⁴⁹ Further, dome-shaped superconducting behaviour was observed in pressurised 2M WSe₂ crystals with the resistance drop onset at 4.2 GPa with the T_c of 4.3 K and the T_c maximum (7.3 K) at 10.7 GPa.⁷² The development of a facile synthesis route to produce bulk 1T(1T') phases of group VI TMDs *via* gentle deintercalation of A _{x} MoS₂ intercalation compounds has presented an opportunity to study the superconducting phase transition in pure 1T TMD polymorph. Thus, 1T MoS₂ is reported to be an intrinsic superconductor at temperatures below 4 K.¹⁴³

Due to the structural anisotropy in the *ab* plane, 1T' single layers have been predicted to exhibit anisotropic transport characteristics. Anisotropic electrical conductivity of the 1T' phase have been recently demonstrated in chemically exfoliated²⁵⁰ and CVD grown 1T' MoS₂ flakes¹⁴⁷ (Fig. 9b). The conductance reaches its maximum along the *a* (zigzag) direction and the anisotropic ratio is as high as 2,¹⁴⁷ that exceeds the reported anisotropic conductance for black phosphorus.²⁵¹ This leads to envision application of the 1T' phases for neuromorphic devices where anisotropic transport is sought in different device components. Black phosphorous, a highly anisotropic 2D material, is being investigated in synaptic devices and higher devices complexities could be enabled by 2D materials with different anisotropic transport.

Bulk nonmagnetic Td WTe₂ demonstrates an extremely large magnetoresistance (XMR); the primary source of which is established to originate from the carrier compensation.²⁵² Thus, at 4.5 K, positive magnetoresistance of 452 700% was observed in Td WTe₂ in a magnetic field of 14.7 T; moreover, the magnetoresistance value reaches 13 000 000% at 0.53 K in a magnetic field of 60 T and no saturation of magnetoresistance is evidenced even in very high magnetic fields.²⁵³ Later, the XMR behaviour was discovered in the Td phase of MoTe₂.^{254,255} Furthermore, the magnetoresistance observed in Td MoTe₂ in high magnetic fields can be tuned by an applied tensile strain (0.5%).²⁵⁶ At low temperatures and in high magnetic fields, the magnetoresistance variation approaches 30% under uniaxial tensile strain perpendicular to the zigzag chain direction, while the strain along the zigzag chain direction effectively suppresses the magnetoresistance.²⁵⁶

Conclusions and future outlook

In summary, in the past few years there have been exciting fast developments in the elucidation of the potential of the metastable phases of TMDs, ranging from electrocatalysis^{83,103} to condensed matter phenomena such as 2D topological insulators.¹⁹ Here, we have reviewed the concomitant significant step towards the production of these phases in measurable quantities and the key applications that these phases can uniquely enable. The most widely used method to synthesise metastable phases is based on the intercalation with alkali metals in organic solvent^{83,114} or in a dry form.^{50,103} This is a versatile route which can be applied to bulk powders, with an associated exfoliation of the flakes, or on individual flakes, often CVD grown, on a substrate. Thus, it provides with the ability to produce highly concentrate suspensions of the 1T(1T') phases in water as well as monolayers extended over large areas. The latter is compatible with the integration in planar devices such as field-effect transistors.^{95,155} The first provides stable colloidal suspensions of the 1T phases in water which can be processed from solution *via* vacuum filtration¹⁰³ or dip-coating,²⁵⁷ to generate uniform thin films for different applications from electrocatalysis¹⁰³ and photoassisted electrocatalysis,²⁵⁷ to energy storage devices.¹⁰¹

In the form of thin films, the 1T phase can be converted into the 2H phase upon annealing.^{27,50} Thus, the accessibility of the 2H phase from the 1T(1T') phase is advantageous as it provides an alternative approach to the commonly used exfoliation of the 2H phase in organic solvent by applying a shear force,²⁵⁸ allowing for the processability of water-based suspensions which are compatible with large-scale production and deposition techniques, such as ink-jet printing,²⁵⁹ 3D printing,^{260,261} and spray coating,²⁶² to name a few.

While chemical treatment has intrinsic advantages and it has been very effective for initial studies of 1T phases, as the field progresses, the limitations are now emerging. The first one is represented by the use of Li-chemistry which is air sensitive, involving the use of a controlled atmosphere. Although the intercalation of MoS₂ and WS₂ bulk powders have demonstrated the 2H-to-1T(1T') phase transformation, the conversion of the corresponding diselenides (MoSe₂ and WSe₂) have not been reported. While the 1T' phases of MoSe₂ and WSe₂ have been obtained *via* direct synthesis, demonstrating that these metastable phases can actually be achieved.^{68,71}

Furthermore, the 1T' phase resulting from the Li intercalation is limited to the 75% of the material and it has a patchy distribution across the basal plane of individual flakes with a polycrystalline nature, where individual domains can be limited to very few nm.⁸⁵ The impact of the polycrystallinity on the electrical, mechanical and electrocatalytic properties is still not known yet. Thus, the search for bottom-up approaches to the synthesis arises from the need of fully controlling the phase morphology, the materials processability and the possibility to establish a wider spectrum of metastable phases and compounds composition.

Progress has been made in the direct synthesis of metastable phases *via* both vapour-based deposition techniques and



in liquid phase from molecular precursors which led to the first demonstrations of the 1T' phase of MoS₂¹⁴⁷ and WSe₂.⁷¹ Most of the reported vapour-phase syntheses are based on chemical vapour transport and have demonstrated the synthesis of bulk crystals of metastable 1T' MoSe₂⁶⁸ and 1T' MoS₂ phases.^{68,69} The achievement of the 1T' phase monolayers *via* CVD approach has been limited to MoTe₂, WTe₂,¹⁶¹ and MoS₂.¹⁴⁷ These bottom-up approaches lead to nearly continuous 1T' phase across large areas (over tens of microns) of over 80% and exhibit a single crystalline nature across hundreds of nanometres. As the atomically thin nature of the flakes is not preserved with CVT synthesis, when bulk crystals are produced, they need to undergo a liquid phase exfoliation step to be used in their 2D form. CVD, which can enable scalability of the synthesis, have the advantage of producing anisotropic monolayers over large areas for application in catalysis, nano-electronics, neuromorphic systems, quantum technologies and advanced spectroscopies; however, altering the reaction energetics to selectively produce the metastable phase is still challenging.

MBE have been used to demonstrate single crystalline phase of 1T' WSe₂^{20,21} on bilayer graphene terminated SiC substrates, and of 1T' MoSe₂⁷⁰ and 1T' MoS₂⁷⁹ on Au substrates. Although the 1T' domains are small (less than 100 nm), these are sufficiently extended to demonstrate new condensed-matter phenomena so far just predicted, thanks to the single crystalline nature and of the 1T' phase.

Direct synthesis in solution is the most recent synthesis method demonstrated and it has the advantage of involving low temperatures (<300 °C), high percentage of the 1T' phase in the final product and single crystalline nature across flakes sizes of 100 nm.^{71,80,173} Synthesised through a direct colloidal reaction, the nanosheets can form stable dispersions in non-polar organic solvents. This synthesis route presents features compatible with a large-scale production and the possibility of translating the chemistry of the synthesis into a hydrothermal process.

Branched flower-like nanostructures with atomically thin petals are generally obtained *via* liquid phase synthesis.^{71,81,173,199} Such morphology is very advantageous for catalysis and supercapacitors as it maximizes the exposure of active sites *versus* a 2D nanosheets geometry where flakes are planarly stacked, losing some of the accessible surface area. These nanoflowers can also be directly grown on the electrode materials where they can be strongly anchored enabling a good electrical contact. The possibility of obtaining individualized planar 2D nanosheets could, however, expand the applications of the 1T' phases produced in liquid phase.

The next key challenge lies in understanding the nucleation stage in solution phase to be able to reliably control the flake morphology (between branched sheets and individual sheets) and the crystal phase. As stated by the Ostwald's step rule, which has been based on empirical observations in zeolite materials, the crystallization from a solution occurs in steps in such a way that often thermodynamically unstable phases occur first, followed by a transformation in the

thermodynamically stable phase.¹⁶⁹ Thus, more theoretical understanding and experimental studies of the early stages of nucleation of TMDs are needed to predict the course of the growth and thus to design the ways of controlling morphology and to lock the crystal phase. The ability to produce individualized flakes in solution with micrometric lateral sizes can expand the potential applications competing with CVD methods. Accessing pure 1T phases of single crystalline nature across large areas of TMDs is necessary to study the intrinsic physical and chemical properties and so far there is a clear indication that only direct synthesis methods enable to approach 100% of metastable phase with large single crystals. Also, to fully understand the crystallographic structure of the most exotic metastable 1T' and 1T'' phases and their related properties is necessary to have high-quality single crystals.

For practical applications, the metastable phases should be stabilized in the absence of charged species, *i.e.* precursor residues or intercalated cations, to produce pristine, kinetically stable materials. To this goal, the Ostwald's step rule could be again useful to predict how to preserve a metastable phase beyond the nucleation point.

The recent evidence that the 1T TMDs can also be obtained *via* chemical transformation of non-van der Waals materials, further expands the potential routes of the synthetic approaches possibly making them numerous.¹⁵² Thus, these new synthesis strategies should pave the way to a new class of atomically thin materials which present new physicochemical features promising in electrocatalytic and energy storage applications as well as new fundamental condensed matter phenomena which can enable new technologies. Recent demonstration of 2D topological insulating properties in the 1T' TMD monolayers could lead to the fabrication of topological field-effect transistors operating at room temperature⁴⁶ (Fig. 9c and d). The anisotropic transport in 1T' phases dictated by the anisotropic crystal structure could see applications in artificial synaptic devices to emulate biological systems.

The investigation on the exotic physical properties related to the most rarely observed or predicted metastable crystal phases of group VI TMDs (1T' and 1T'') is progressing very rapidly and there is an incredible potential to exploit these highly anisotropic but stable phases in future nanoelectronics, optoelectronic systems and quantum technology.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.


Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the award of funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (ERC Consolidator grant agreement no. [819069]) and CM would like to acknowledge the award of a Royal Society University Research Fellowship (UF160539) by the UK Royal Society.



References

- J. A. Wilson and A. D. Yoffe, The transition metal dichalcogenides discussion and interpretation of the observed optical, electrical and structural properties, *Adv. Phys.*, 1969, **18**, 193–335.
- R. B. Murray, R. A. Bromley and A. D. Yoffe, The band structures of some transition metal dichalcogenides. II. Group IVA; octahedral coordination, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.*, 1972, **5**, 746–758.
- R. A. Bromley, R. B. Murray and A. D. Yoffe, The band structures of some transition metal dichalcogenides. III. Group VIA: trigonal prism materials, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.*, 1972, **5**, 759–778.
- H. Tributsch and J. C. Bennett, Electrochemistry and photochemistry of MoS₂ layer crystals, *J. Electroanal. Chem. Interfacial Electrochem.*, 1977, **81**, 97–111.
- H. Tributsch, Photoelectrochemical behaviour of layer-type transition metal dichalcogenides, *Faraday Discuss. Chem. Soc.*, 1980, **70**, 189.
- J. A. Baglio, Characterization of n-type semiconducting tungsten disulfide photoanodes in aqueous and nonaqueous electrolyte solutions, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1982, **129**, 1461.
- J. M. Martin, C. Donnet, T. Le Mogne and T. Epicier, Superlubricity of molybdenum disulfide, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1993, **48**, 10583–10586.
- R. B. Somoano and A. Rembaum, Superconductivity in intercalated molybdenum disulfide, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1971, **27**, 402–404.
- J. A. Woollam and R. B. Somoano, Superconducting critical fields of alkali and alkaline-earth intercalates of MoS₂, *Phys. Rev. B: Solid State*, 1976, **13**, 3843–3853.
- M. Chhowalla, *et al.*, The chemistry of two-dimensional layered transition metal dichalcogenide nanosheets, *Nat. Chem.*, 2013, **5**, 263–275.
- A. Splendiani, *et al.*, Emerging photoluminescence in monolayer MoS₂, *Nano Lett.*, 2010, **10**, 1271–1275.
- H. Zeng, J. Dai, W. Yao, D. Xiao and X. Cui, Valley polarization in MoS₂ monolayers by optical pumping, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2012, **7**, 490–493.
- W. Zhao, R. M. Ribeiro and G. Eda, Electronic structure and optical signatures of semiconducting transition metal dichalcogenide nanosheets, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2015, **48**, 91–99.
- H. Schmidt, F. Giustiniano and G. Eda, Electronic transport properties of transition metal dichalcogenide field-effect devices: surface and interface effects, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 7715–7736.
- D. L. Duong, S. J. Yun and Y. H. Lee, van der Waals layered materials: Opportunities and challenges, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 11803–11830.
- S. Manzeli, D. Ovchinnikov, D. Pasquier, O. V. Yazyev and A. Kis, 2D transition metal dichalcogenides, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2017, **2**, 17033.
- T. F. Jaramillo, *et al.*, Identification of active edge sites for electrochemical H₂ evolution from MoS₂ nanocatalysts, *Science*, 2007, **317**, 100–102.
- Q. Tang and D. Jiang, Mechanism of hydrogen evolution reaction on 1T-MoS₂ from first principles, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 4953–4961.
- S. Tang, *et al.*, Quantum spin Hall state in monolayer 1T'-WTe₂, *Nat. Phys.*, 2017, **13**, 683–687.
- M. M. Ugeda, *et al.*, Observation of topologically protected states at crystalline phase boundaries in single-layer WSe₂, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 3401.
- P. Chen, *et al.*, Large quantum-spin-Hall gap in single-layer 1T' WSe₂, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 2003.
- Y. Xu, *et al.*, Large-gap quantum spin Hall insulators in tin films, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2013, **111**, 136804.
- D. V. Gruznev, *et al.*, Two-dimensional In–Sb compound on silicon as a quantum spin Hall insulator, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 4338–4345.
- S. N. Shirodkar and U. V. Waghmare, Emergence of ferroelectricity at a metal-semiconductor transition in a 1T monolayer of MoS₂, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2014, **112**, 157601.
- K.-A. N. Duerloo, Y. Li and E. J. Reed, Structural phase transitions in two-dimensional Mo- and W-dichalcogenide monolayers, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 1–9.
- P. Joensen, R. F. Frindt and S. R. Morrison, Single-layer MoS₂, *Mater. Res. Bull.*, 1986, **21**, 457–461.
- F. Wypych and R. Schöllhorn, 1T-MoS₂, a new metallic modification of molybdenum disulfide, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1992, 1386–1388.
- X. Zhao, S. Ning, W. Fu, S. J. Pennycook and K. P. Loh, Differentiating polymorphs in molybdenum disulfide *via* electron microscopy, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1802397.
- D. Puotinen and R. E. Newnham, The crystal structure of MoTe₂, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 1961, **14**, 691–692.
- P. B. James and M. T. Lavik, The crystal structure of MoSe₂, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 1963, **16**, 1183.
- R. G. Dickinson and L. Pauling, The crystal structure of molybdenite, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1923, **45**, 1466–1471.
- W. G. Fisher and M. J. Sienko, Stoichiometry, structure, and physical properties of niobium disulfide, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1980, **19**, 39–43.
- X. Fan, D. J. Singh, Q. Jiang and W. T. Zheng, Pressure evolution of the potential barriers of phase transition of MoS₂, MoSe₂ and MoTe₂, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **18**, 12080–12085.
- A. P. Nayak, *et al.*, Pressure-induced semiconducting to metallic transition in multilayered molybdenum disulfide, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 3731.
- Z.-H. Chi, *et al.*, Pressure-induced metallization of molybdenum disulfide, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2014, **113**, 036802.
- D. Xiao, G.-B. Liu, W. Feng, X. Xu and W. Yao, Coupled spin and valley physics in monolayers of MoS₂ and other group-VI dichalcogenides, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2012, **108**, 196802.
- K. F. Mak, K. He, J. Shan and T. F. Heinz, Control of valley polarization in monolayer MoS₂ by optical helicity, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2012, **7**, 494–498.
- A. M. Jones, *et al.*, Optical generation of excitonic valley coherence in monolayer WSe₂, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2013, **8**, 634–638.



- 39 R. Suzuki, *et al.*, Valley-dependent spin polarization in bulk MoS₂ with broken inversion symmetry, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2014, **9**, 611–617.
- 40 J. Shi, *et al.*, 3R MoS₂ with broken inversion symmetry: A promising ultrathin nonlinear optical device, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1701486.
- 41 Z. Zeng, *et al.*, Controlled vapor growth and nonlinear optical applications of large-area 3R phase WS₂ and WSe₂ atomic layers, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2019, **29**, 1806874.
- 42 Y.-C. Lin, D. O. Dumcenco, Y.-S. Huang and K. Suenaga, Atomic mechanism of the semiconducting-to-metallic phase transition in single-layered MoS₂, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2014, **9**, 391–396.
- 43 F. Zhang, *et al.*, Electric-field induced structural transition in vertical MoTe₂- and Mo_{1-x}W_xTe₂-based resistive memories, *Nat. Mater.*, 2019, **18**, 55–61.
- 44 R. B. Murray and A. D. Yoffe, The band structures of some transition metal dichalcogenides: band structures of the titanium dichalcogenides, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.*, 1972, **5**, 3038–3046.
- 45 A. Singh, S. N. Shirodkar and U. V. Waghmare, 1H and 1T polymorphs, structural transitions and anomalous properties of (Mo,W)(S,Se)₂ monolayers: first-principles analysis, *2D Mater.*, 2015, **2**, 035013.
- 46 X. Qian, J. Liu, L. Fu and J. Li, Quantum spin Hall effect in two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenides, *Science*, 2014, **346**, 1344–1347.
- 47 J. Heising and M. G. Kanatzidis, Structure of restacked MoS₂ and WS₂ elucidated by electron crystallography, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 638–643.
- 48 G. Gao, *et al.*, Charge mediated semiconducting-to-metallic phase transition in molybdenum disulfide monolayer and hydrogen evolution reaction in new 1T' phase, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2015, **119**, 13124–13128.
- 49 X. R. Qin, D. Yang, R. F. Frindt and J. C. Irwin, Real-space imaging of single-layer MoS₂ by scanning tunneling microscopy, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1991, **44**, 3490–3493.
- 50 H. L. Tsai, J. Heising, J. L. Schindler, C. R. Kannewurf and M. G. Kanatzidis, Exfoliated-restacked phase of WS₂, *Chem. Mater.*, 1997, **9**, 879–882.
- 51 K. K. Amara, *et al.*, Dynamic structural evolution of metal-metal bonding network in monolayer WS₂, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 2308–2314.
- 52 W. Zhao, *et al.*, Metastable MoS₂: Crystal structure, electronic band structure, synthetic approach and intriguing physical properties, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 15942–15954.
- 53 H. L. Zhuang, M. D. Johannes, A. K. Singh and R. G. Hennig, Doping-controlled phase transitions in single-layer MoS₂, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2017, **96**, 165305.
- 54 E. Bruyer, *et al.*, Possibility of combining ferroelectricity and Rashba-like spin splitting in monolayers of the 1T-type transition-metal dichalcogenides MX₂ (M = Mo, W; X = S, Se, Te), *Phys. Rev. B*, 2016, **94**, 195402.
- 55 C. Rovira and M. H. Whangbo, Factors governing the charge density wave patterns of layered transition-metal compounds of octahedral coordination with d2 and d3 electron counts, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, **32**, 4094–4097.
- 56 Y. Fang, *et al.*, Structural determination and nonlinear optical properties of new 1T'''-type MoS₂ compound, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 790–793.
- 57 B. E. Brown, The crystal structures of WTe₂ and high-temperature MoTe₂, *Acta Crystallogr.*, 1966, **20**, 268–274.
- 58 L. Zhou, *et al.*, Sensitive phonon-based probe for structure identification of 1T' MoTe₂, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 8396–8399.
- 59 J. C. Park, *et al.*, Phase-engineered synthesis of centimeter-scale 1T'- and 2H-molybdenum ditelluride thin films, *ACS Nano*, 2015, **9**, 6548–6554.
- 60 Y. Yoo, Z. P. DeGregorio, Y. Su, S. J. Koester and J. E. Johns, In-plane 2H-1T' MoTe₂ homojunctions synthesized by flux-controlled phase engineering, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1605461.
- 61 W. G. Dawson and D. W. Bullett, Electronic structure and crystallography of MoTe₂ and WTe₂, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.*, 1987, **20**, 6159–6174.
- 62 S. Chen, T. Goldstein, D. Venkataraman, A. Ramasubramaniam and J. Yan, Activation of new Raman modes by inversion symmetry breaking in type II Weyl semimetal candidate T'-MoTe₂, *Nano Lett.*, 2016, **16**, 5852–5860.
- 63 Y. Qi, *et al.*, Superconductivity in Weyl semimetal candidate MoTe₂, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 11038.
- 64 J. Jiang, *et al.*, Signature of type-II Weyl semimetal phase in MoTe₂, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 13973.
- 65 D. Rhodes, *et al.*, Engineering the structural and electronic phases of MoTe₂ through W substitution, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, **17**, 1616–1622.
- 66 Y. Zhou, *et al.*, Pressure-induced Td to 1T' structural phase transition in WTe₂, *AIP Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 075008.
- 67 J. Xia, *et al.*, Pressure-induced phase transition in Weyl semimetallic WTe₂, *Small*, 2017, **13**, 1701887.
- 68 Y. Yu, *et al.*, High phase-purity 1T'-MoS₂- and 1T'-MoSe₂-layered crystals, *Nat. Chem.*, 2018, **10**, 638–643.
- 69 J. Peng, *et al.*, High phase purity of large-sized 1T'-MoS₂ monolayers with 2D superconductivity, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1900568.
- 70 F. Cheng, *et al.*, Interface Engineering of Au(111) for the Growth of 1T'-MoSe₂, *ACS Nano*, 2019, **13**, 2316–2323.
- 71 M. S. Sokolikova, P. C. Sherrell, P. Palczynski, V. L. Bemmer and C. Mattevi, Direct solution-phase synthesis of 1T' WSe₂ nanosheets, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 712.
- 72 Y. Fang, *et al.*, Observation of superconductivity in pressurized 2M WSe₂ crystals, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2019, **7**, 8551–8555.
- 73 Y. Sun, S.-C. Wu, M. N. Ali, C. Felser and B. Yan, Prediction of Weyl semimetal in orthorhombic MoTe₂, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2015, **92**, 161107.
- 74 Z. Wang, *et al.*, MoTe₂: A type-II Weyl topological metal, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2016, **117**, 056805.
- 75 P. Li, *et al.*, Evidence for topological type-II Weyl semimetal WTe₂, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 2150.
- 76 Y. Wu, *et al.*, Observation of Fermi arcs in the type-II Weyl semimetal candidate WTe₂, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2016, **94**, 121113.



- 77 K. Deng, *et al.*, Experimental observation of topological Fermi arcs in type-II Weyl semimetal MoTe₂, *Nat. Phys.*, 2016, **12**, 1105–1110.
- 78 A. Pulkin and O. V. Yazyev, Robustness of the quantum spin Hall insulator phase in monolayer 1T' transition metal dichalcogenides, *J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom.*, 2017, **219**, 72–76.
- 79 H. Xu, *et al.*, Observation of gap opening in 1T' phase MoS₂ nanocrystals, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 5085–5090.
- 80 B. Mahler, V. Hoepfner, K. Liao and G. A. Ozin, Colloidal synthesis of 1T-WS₂ and 2H-WS₂ nanosheets: Applications for photocatalytic hydrogen evolution, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 14121–14127.
- 81 Q. Liu, *et al.*, Gram-scale aqueous synthesis of stable few-layered 1T-MoS₂: Applications for visible-light-driven photocatalytic hydrogen evolution, *Small*, 2015, **11**, 5556–5564.
- 82 Q. Liu, *et al.*, Stable metallic 1T-WS₂ nanoribbons intercalated with ammonia ions: The correlation between structure and electrical/optical properties, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 4837–4844.
- 83 D. Voiry, *et al.*, Enhanced catalytic activity in strained chemically exfoliated WS₂ nanosheets for hydrogen evolution, *Nat. Mater.*, 2013, **12**, 850–855.
- 84 Y. Guo, *et al.*, Probing the dynamics of the metallic-to-semiconducting structural phase transformation in MoS₂ crystals, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 5081–5088.
- 85 G. Eda, *et al.*, Coherent atomic and electronic heterostructures of single-layer MoS₂, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 7311–7317.
- 86 S. J. R. Tan, *et al.*, Chemical stabilization of 1T' phase transition metal dichalcogenides with giant optical Kerr nonlinearity, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 2504–2511.
- 87 S. Jiménez Sandoval, D. Yang, R. F. Frindt and J. C. Irwin, Raman study and lattice dynamics of single molecular layers of MoS₂, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1991, **44**, 3955–3962.
- 88 X. Ma, *et al.*, Raman scattering in the transition-metal dichalcogenides of 1T'-MoTe₂, Td-MoTe₂, and Td-WTe₂, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2016, **94**, 214105.
- 89 S.-Y. Chen, C. H. Naylor, T. Goldstein, A. T. C. Johnson and J. Yan, Intrinsic Phonon Bands in High-Quality Monolayer T' Molybdenum Ditelluride, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 814–820.
- 90 C.-H. Lee, *et al.*, Tungsten ditelluride: a layered semimetal, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 10013.
- 91 S. J. R. Tan, *et al.*, Temperature- and Phase-Dependent Phonon Renormalization in 1T'-MoS₂, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 5051–5058.
- 92 D. Pierucci, *et al.*, Evidence for a narrow band gap phase in 1T' WS₂ nanosheet, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2019, **115**, 032102.
- 93 C. Guo, *et al.*, Observation of superconductivity in 1T'-MoS₂ nanosheets, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **5**, 10855–10860.
- 94 X. Fan, *et al.*, Fast and efficient preparation of exfoliated 2H MoS₂ nanosheets by sonication-assisted lithium intercalation and infrared laser-induced 1T to 2H phase reversal, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 5956–5960.
- 95 A. D. Mohite and M. Chhowalla, Phase-engineered low-resistance contacts for ultrathin MoS₂ transistors, *Nat. Mater.*, 2014, **13**, 1128–1134.
- 96 R. Kappera, *et al.*, Metallic 1T phase source/drain electrodes for field effect transistors from chemical vapor deposited MoS₂ transistors from chemical vapor deposited MoS₂, *APL Mater.*, 2014, **2**, 092516.
- 97 Y. Ma, *et al.*, Reversible semiconducting-to-metallic phase transition in chemical vapor deposition grown monolayer WSe₂ and applications for devices, *ACS Nano*, 2015, **9**, 7383–7391.
- 98 D. Voiry, A. Mohite and M. Chhowalla, Phase engineering of transition metal dichalcogenides, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 2702–2712.
- 99 L. Niu, *et al.*, Production of Two-Dimensional Nanomaterials via Liquid-Based Direct Exfoliation, *Small*, 2016, **12**, 272–293.
- 100 D. M. Sim, *et al.*, Long-term stable 2H-MoS₂ dispersion: Critical role of solvent for simultaneous phase restoration and surface functionalization of liquid-exfoliated MoS₂, *ACS Omega*, 2017, **2**, 4678–4687.
- 101 M. Acerce, D. Voiry and M. Chhowalla, Metallic 1T phase MoS₂ nanosheets as supercapacitor electrode materials, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2015, **10**, 313–318.
- 102 A. Ambrosi, Z. Sofer and M. Pumera, 2H → 1T phase transition and hydrogen evolution activity of MoS₂, MoSe₂, WS₂ and WSe₂ strongly depends on the MX₂ composition, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 8450–8453.
- 103 D. Voiry, *et al.*, Conducting MoS₂ nanosheets as catalysts for hydrogen evolution reaction, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 6222–6227.
- 104 L. Wang, Z. Xu, W. Wang and X. Bai, Atomic mechanism of dynamic electrochemical lithiation processes of MoS₂ nanosheets, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 6693–6697.
- 105 D. Yang, S. J. Sandoval, W. M. R. Divigalpitiya, J. C. Irwin and R. F. Frindt, Structure of single-molecular-layer MoS₂, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1991, **43**, 12053–12056.
- 106 K. K. Bardhan, J. C. Wu, J. S. Culik, S. H. Anderson and D. D. L. Chung, Kinetics of intercalation and desorption in graphite, *Synth. Met.*, 1980, **2**, 57–84.
- 107 M. Azhagurajan, T. Kajita, T. Itoh, Y.-G. Kim and K. Itaya, *In situ* visualization of lithium ion intercalation into MoS₂ single crystals using differential optical microscopy with atomic layer resolution, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 3355–3361.
- 108 Z. Zeng, *et al.*, Single-layer semiconducting nanosheets: High-yield preparation and device fabrication, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 11093–11097.
- 109 X. Zhu, D. Li, X. Liang and W. D. Lu, Ionic modulation and ionic coupling effects in MoS₂ devices for neuromorphic computing, *Nat. Mater.*, 2019, **18**, 141–148.
- 110 F. Xiong, *et al.*, Li intercalation in MoS₂: *In situ* observation of its dynamics and tuning optical and electrical properties, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **15**, 6777–6784.
- 111 J. Zheng, *et al.*, High yield exfoliation of two-dimensional chalcogenides using sodium naphthalenide, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 2995.
- 112 C. Tan, *et al.*, Preparation of high-percentage 1T-phase transition metal dichalcogenide nanodots for electrochemical hydrogen evolution, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1705509.



- 113 M. Naz, *et al.*, A new 2H-2H'/1T cophase in polycrystalline MoS₂ and MoSe₂ thin films, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 31442–31448.
- 114 L. Sun, *et al.*, Layer-dependent chemically induced phase transition of two-dimensional MoS₂, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 3435–3440.
- 115 A. Y. S. Eng, A. Ambrosi, Z. Sofer, P. Šimek and M. Pumera, Electrochemistry of transition metal dichalcogenides: Strong dependence on the metal-to-chalcogen composition and exfoliation method, *ACS Nano*, 2014, **8**, 12185–12198.
- 116 A. L. Friedman, *et al.*, Evidence for chemical vapor induced 2H to 1T phase transition in MoX₂ (X = Se, S) transition metal dichalcogenide films, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, **7**, 3836.
- 117 M. Wuttig and N. Yamada, Phase-change materials for rewriteable data storage, *Nat. Mater.*, 2007, **6**, 824–832.
- 118 J.-J. Kim, *et al.*, Observation of a phase transition from the T phase to the H phase induced by a STM tip in 1T-TaS₂, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1997, **56**, R15573–R15576.
- 119 Y. Li, K.-A. N. Duerloo, K. Wauson and E. J. Reed, Structural semiconductor-to-semimetal phase transition in two-dimensional materials induced by electrostatic gating, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 10671.
- 120 Y. Wang, *et al.*, Structural phase transition in monolayer MoTe₂ driven by electrostatic doping, *Nature*, 2017, **550**, 487–491.
- 121 C. Zhang, *et al.*, Charge mediated reversible metal–insulator transition in monolayer MoTe₂ and W_xMo_{1-x}Te₂ alloy, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 7370–7375.
- 122 Y. Sun, Z. Shuai and D. Wang, Janus monolayer of WSeTe, a new structural phase transition material driven by electrostatic gating, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 21629–21633.
- 123 S. Kim, *et al.*, Long-range lattice engineering of MoTe₂ by a 2D electride, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, **17**, 3363–3368.
- 124 J. L. Dye, Electrons as Anions, *Nature*, 2003, **301**, 607–609.
- 125 K. Lee, S. W. Kim, Y. Toda, S. Matsuishi and H. Hosono, Dicalcium nitride as a two-dimensional electride with an anionic electron layer, *Nature*, 2013, **494**, 336–340.
- 126 D. L. Dru, *et al.*, Experimental demonstration of an electride as a 2D material, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 16089–16094.
- 127 Y. Kang, *et al.*, Plasmonic hot electron induced structural phase transition in a MoS₂ monolayer, *Adv. Mater.*, 2014, **26**, 6467–6471.
- 128 X. Yin, *et al.*, Tunable inverted gap in monolayer quasi-metallic MoS₂ induced by strong charge-lattice coupling, *Nat. Commun.*, 2017, **8**, 486.
- 129 H. H. Huang, *et al.*, Controlling phase transition for single-layer MTe₂ (M = Mo and W): modulation of the potential barrier under strain, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **18**, 4086–4094.
- 130 S. Song, *et al.*, Room temperature semiconductor-metal transition of MoTe₂ thin films engineered by strain, *Nano Lett.*, 2015, **16**, 188–193.
- 131 C. H. Sharma, A. P. Surendran, A. Varghese and M. Thalakkulam, Stable and scalable 1T MoS₂ with low temperature-coefficient of resistance, *Sci. Rep.*, 2018, **8**, 12463.
- 132 S. Reshmi, M. V. Akshaya, B. Satpati, P. K. Basu and K. Bhattacharjee, Structural stability of coplanar 1T-2H superlattice MoS₂ under high energy electron beam, *Nanotechnology*, 2018, **29**, 205604.
- 133 Y. Qi, *et al.*, CO₂-Induced Phase Engineering: Protocol for Enhanced Photoelectrocatalytic Performance of 2D MoS₂ Nanosheets, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 2903–2909.
- 134 X. Tong, Y. Qi, J. Chen, N. Wang and Q. Xu, Supercritical CO₂-Assisted Reverse-Micelle-Induced Solution-Phase Fabrication of Two-Dimensional Metallic 1T-MoS₂ and 1T-WS₂, *ChemNanoMat*, 2017, **3**, 466–471.
- 135 X. Gan, *et al.*, 2H/1T phase transition of multilayer MoS₂ by electrochemical incorporation of S vacancies, *ACS Appl. Energy Mater.*, 2018, **1**, 4754–4765.
- 136 W. Ding, *et al.*, Highly Ambient-Stable 1T-MoS₂ and 1T-WS₂ by Hydrothermal Synthesis under High Magnetic Fields, *ACS Nano*, 2019, **13**, 1694–1702.
- 137 Y. Tan, *et al.*, Controllable 2H-to-1T' phase transition in few-layer MoTe₂, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 19964–19971.
- 138 J. Zhu, *et al.*, Argon plasma induced phase transition in monolayer MoS₂, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 10216–10219.
- 139 Z. Wang, *et al.*, Local engineering of topological phase in monolayer MoS₂, *Sci. Bull.*, 2019, **64**, 1750–1756.
- 140 H. Mine, *et al.*, Laser-beam-patterned topological insulating states on thin semiconducting MoS₂, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2019, **123**, 146803.
- 141 Z. Wang, *et al.*, Synthesizing 1T-1H two-phase Mo_{1-x}W_xS₂ monolayers by chemical vapor deposition, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 1571–1579.
- 142 F. Wypych, T. Weber and R. Prins, Scanning tunneling microscopic investigation of K_x(H₂O)_yMoS₂, *Surf. Sci.*, 1997, **380**, L474–L478.
- 143 Y. Fang, *et al.*, Structure re-determination and superconductivity observation of bulk 1T MoS₂, *Angew. Chem.*, 2018, **130**, 1246–1249.
- 144 C. Guo, *et al.*, High-quality single-layer nanosheets of MS₂ (M = Mo, Nb, Ta, Ti) directly exfoliated from AMS₂ (A = Li, Na, K) Crystals, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **5**, 5977–5983.
- 145 F. Wypych, C. Solenthaler, R. Prins and T. Weber, Electron diffraction study of intercalation compounds derived from 1T-MoS₂, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 1999, **436**, 430–436.
- 146 F. Wypych, T. Weber and R. Prins, Scanning tunneling microscopic investigation of 1T-MoS₂, *Surf. Sci.*, 1998, **380**, L474–L478.
- 147 L. Liu, *et al.*, Phase-selective synthesis of 1T' MoS₂ monolayers and heterophase bilayers, *Nat. Mater.*, 2018, **17**, 1108–1114.
- 148 Z. Liu, *et al.*, Colloidal synthesis of 1T' phase dominated WS₂ towards durable electrocatalysis, *Nano Energy*, 2018, **50**, 176–181.
- 149 J. Ekspong, *et al.*, Stable sulfur-intercalated 1T' MoS₂ on graphitic nanoribbons as hydrogen evolution electrocatalyst, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**, 1802744.



- 150 Q. Liu, *et al.*, Electron-doped 1T-MoS₂ via interface engineering for enhanced electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 4738–4744.
- 151 J. Tang, *et al.*, MXene derived TiS₂ nanosheets for high-rate and long-life sodium-ion capacitors, *Energy Storage Mater.*, 2020, **26**, 550–559.
- 152 Z. Du, *et al.*, Conversion of non-van der Waals solids to 2D transition-metal chalcogenides, *Nature*, 2020, **577**, 492–496.
- 153 D. H. Keum, *et al.*, Bandgap opening in few-layered monoclinic MoTe₂, *Nat. Phys.*, 2015, **11**, 482–486.
- 154 X. Xu, *et al.*, Millimeter-Scale Single-Crystalline Semiconducting MoTe₂ via Solid-to-Solid Phase Transformation, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 2128–2134.
- 155 S. Cho, *et al.*, Phase patterning for ohmic homojunction contact in MoTe₂, *Science*, 2015, **349**, 625–628.
- 156 L. Zhou, *et al.*, Synthesis of high-quality large-area homogeneous 1T' MoTe₂ from chemical vapor deposition, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 9526–9531.
- 157 L. Zhou, *et al.*, Large-area synthesis of high-quality uniform few-layer MoTe₂, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 11892–11895.
- 158 L. Zhou, *et al.*, Role of molecular sieves in the CVD synthesis of large-area 2D MoTe₂, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2017, **27**, 1603491.
- 159 L. Yang, *et al.*, Tellurization velocity-dependent metallic–semiconducting–metallic phase evolution in chemical vapor deposition growth of large-area, few-layer MoTe₂, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 1964–1972.
- 160 R. Ma, *et al.*, MoTe₂ lateral homojunction field-effect transistors fabricated using flux-controlled phase engineering, *ACS Nano*, 2019, **13**, 8035–8046.
- 161 J. Zhou, *et al.*, Large-Area and High-Quality 2D Transition Metal Telluride, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1603471.
- 162 R. Sankar, *et al.*, Polymorphic layered MoTe₂ from semiconductor, topological insulator, to Weyl semimetal, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 699–707.
- 163 T. A. Empante, *et al.*, Chemical vapor deposition growth of few-layer MoTe₂ in the 2H, 1T', and 1T phases: Tunable properties of MoTe₂ films, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 900–905.
- 164 Y.-C. Lin, *et al.*, Stable 1T tungsten disulfide monolayer and its junctions: Growth and atomic structures, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 12080–12088.
- 165 B.-R. Chen, *et al.*, Understanding crystallization pathways leading to manganese oxide polymorph formation, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 2553.
- 166 S. Lee, *et al.*, Multiple pathways of crystal nucleation in an extremely supersaturated aqueous potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KDP) solution droplet, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2016, **113**, 13618–13623.
- 167 H. Zhang and J. F. Banfield, Understanding polymorphic phase transformation behavior during growth of nanocrystalline aggregates: Insights from TiO₂, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2000, **104**, 3481–3487.
- 168 J. D. Dunitz and J. Bernstein, Disappearing polymorphs, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1995, **28**, 193–200.
- 169 R. A. Van Santen, The Ostwald step rule, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1984, **88**, 5768–5769.
- 170 W. Sun and G. Ceder, Induction time of a polymorphic transformation, *CrystEngComm*, 2017, **19**, 4576–4585.
- 171 D. Bonn and N. Shahidzadeh, Multistep crystallization processes: How not to make perfect single crystals, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2016, **113**, 13551–13553.
- 172 D.-K. Bučar, R. W. Lancaster and J. Bernstein, Disappearing polymorphs revisited, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 6972–6993.
- 173 Y. Sun, *et al.*, Low-temperature solution synthesis of few-layer 1T'-MoTe₂ nanostructures exhibiting lattice compression, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 2830–2834.
- 174 Y. Sun, K. Fujisawa, M. Terrones and R. E. Schaak, Solution synthesis of few-layer WTe₂ and Mo_(x)W_(1-x)Te₂ nanostructures, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **5**, 11317–11323.
- 175 N. Savjani, *et al.*, Synthesis of lateral size-controlled monolayer 1H-MoS₂@oleylamine as supercapacitor electrodes, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 657–664.
- 176 D. Son, *et al.*, Colloidal synthesis of uniform-sized molybdenum disulfide nanosheets for wafer-scale flexible nonvolatile memory, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 9326–9332.
- 177 W. Jung, *et al.*, Colloidal synthesis of single-layer MSe₂ (M = Mo, W) nanosheets via anisotropic solution-phase growth approach, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 7266–7269.
- 178 L. Cheng, *et al.*, Ultrathin WS₂ nanoflakes as a high-performance electrocatalyst for the hydrogen evolution reaction, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 7860–7863.
- 179 D. Sun, S. Feng, M. Terrones and R. E. Schaak, Formation and interlayer decoupling of colloidal MoSe₂ nanoflowers, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 3167–3175.
- 180 Y. Sun, *et al.*, Low-temperature solution synthesis of transition metal dichalcogenide alloys with tunable optical properties, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 11096–11105.
- 181 X. Zhou, *et al.*, Fast colloidal synthesis of scalable Mo-rich hierarchical ultrathin MoSe_(2-x) nanosheets for high-performance hydrogen evolution, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 11046–11051.
- 182 C. Zhang, *et al.*, Phosphine-free synthesis and shape evolution of MoSe₂ nanoflowers for electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution reactions, *CrystEngComm*, 2018, **20**, 2491–2498.
- 183 O. E. Meiron, V. Kuraganti, I. Hod, R. Bar-Ziv and M. Bar-Sadan, Improved catalytic activity of Mo_(1-x)W_(x)Se₂ alloy nanoflowers promotes efficient hydrogen evolution reaction in both acidic and alkaline aqueous solutions, *Nanoscale*, 2017, **9**, 13998–14005.
- 184 R. Mastria, *et al.*, In-plane aligned colloidal 2D WS₂ nanoflakes for solution-processable thin films with high planar conductivity, *Sci. Rep.*, 2019, **9**, 9002.
- 185 D. D. Vaughn, R. J. Patel, M. A. Hickner and R. E. Schaak, Single-Crystal Colloidal Nanosheets of GeS and GeSe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 15170–15172.
- 186 M. M. Kobylnski, C. Ruhmlied, A. Kornowski and A. Mews, Hexagonally shaped two-dimensional tin(II) sulfide nanosheets: Growth model and controlled structure formation, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2018, **122**, 5784–5795.
- 187 Z. Deng, *et al.*, Solution synthesis of ultrathin single-crystalline SnS nanoribbons for photodetectors via phase



- transition and surface processing, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 6197–6207.
- 188 J. Q. Geisenhoff, A. K. Tamura and A. M. Schimpf, Using ligands to control reactivity, size and phase in the colloidal synthesis of WSe₂ nanocrystals, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 8856–8859.
- 189 D. D. Vaughn, S. In Il and R. E. Schaak, A precursor-limited nanoparticle coalescence pathway for tuning the thickness of laterally-uniform colloidal nanosheets: The case of SnSe, *ACS Nano*, 2011, **5**, 8852–8860.
- 190 K. H. Park, K. Jang, S. Kim, H. J. Kim and S. U. Son, Phase-controlled one-dimensional shape evolution of InSe nanocrystals, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 14780–14781.
- 191 D. Yoo, M. Kim, S. Jeong, J. Han and J. Cheon, Chemical synthetic strategy for single-layer transition-metal chalcogenides, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 14670–14673.
- 192 S. Jeong, D. Yoo, J. Jang, M. Kim and J. Cheon, Well-defined colloidal 2-D layered transition-metal chalcogenide nanocrystals via generalized synthetic protocols, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 18233–18236.
- 193 W. Li, *et al.*, Flowerlike WSe₂ and WS₂ microspheres – one-pot synthesis, formation mechanism and application in heavy metal ion sequestration, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 4481–4484.
- 194 Y. Xie, *et al.*, Metallic-like stoichiometric copper sulfide nanocrystals: Phase- and shape-selective synthesis, near-infrared surface plasmon resonance properties, and their modeling, *ACS Nano*, 2013, **7**, 7352–7369.
- 195 J. Song, *et al.*, Solvothermal growth of bismuth chalcogenide nanoplatelets by the oriented attachment mechanism – an *in situ* PXRD study, *Chem. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 3471–3482.
- 196 C. Schliehe, *et al.*, Ultrathin PbS sheets by two-dimensional oriented attachment, *Science*, 2010, **329**, 550–553.
- 197 S. Prabakar, C. W. Bumby and R. D. Tilley, Liquid-phase synthesis of flower-like and flake-like titanium disulfide nanostructures, *Chem. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 1725–1730.
- 198 O. E. Meiron, L. Houben and M. Bar-Sadan, Understanding the formation mechanism and the 3D structure of Mo(S_xSe_{1-x})₂ nanoflower, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, **5**, 88108–88114.
- 199 X. Geng, *et al.*, Pure and stable metallic phase molybdenum disulfide nanosheets for hydrogen evolution reaction, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 10672.
- 200 K. Leng, *et al.*, Phase restructuring in transition metal dichalcogenides for highly stable energy storage, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 9208–9215.
- 201 M. Z. M. Nasir, C. C. Mayorga-Martinez, Z. Sofer and M. Pumera, Two-dimensional 1T-phase transition metal dichalcogenides as nanocarriers to enhance and stabilize enzyme activity for electrochemical pesticide detection, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 5774–5784.
- 202 E. Rahmanian, *et al.*, 1T-phase tungsten chalcogenides (WS₂, WSe₂, WTe₂) decorated with TiO₂ nanoplatelets with enhanced electron transfer activity for biosensing applications, *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*, 2018, **1**, 7006–7015.
- 203 W. Wei, K. Sun and Y. H. Hu, An efficient counter electrode material for dye-sensitized solar cells—flower-structured 1T metallic phase MoS₂, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2016, **4**, 12398–12401.
- 204 P. Huang, *et al.*, Water-Soluble 2D Transition Metal Dichalcogenides as the Hole-Transport Layer for Highly Efficient and Stable p–i–n Perovskite Solar Cells, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 25323–25331.
- 205 M. Piao, *et al.*, Crystal phase control synthesis of metallic 1T-WS₂ nanosheets incorporating single walled carbon nanotubes to construct superior microwave absorber, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2020, **815**, 152335.
- 206 L. Cai, *et al.*, Vacancy-induced ferromagnetism of MoS₂ nanosheets, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 2622–2627.
- 207 C. Yang, *et al.*, Phase-driven magneto-electrical characteristics of single-layer MoS₂, *Nanoscale*, 2016, **8**, 5627–5633.
- 208 J. D. Benck, T. R. Hellstern, J. Kibsgaard, P. Chakthranont and T. F. Jaramillo, Catalyzing the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) with molybdenum sulfide nanomaterials, *ACS Catal.*, 2014, **4**, 3957–3971.
- 209 Z. Gholamvand, *et al.*, Comparison of liquid exfoliated transition metal dichalcogenides reveals MoSe₂ to be the most effective hydrogen evolution catalyst, *Nanoscale*, 2016, **8**, 5737–5749.
- 210 H. Li, *et al.*, Activating and optimizing MoS₂ basal planes for hydrogen evolution through the formation of strained sulphur vacancies, *Nat. Mater.*, 2016, **15**, 48–53.
- 211 D. Voiry, *et al.*, The role of electronic coupling between substrate and 2D MoS₂ nanosheets in electrocatalytic production of hydrogen, *Nat. Mater.*, 2016, **15**, 1003–1009.
- 212 Y. Yan, *et al.*, Vertically oriented MoS₂ and WS₂ nanosheets directly grown on carbon cloth as efficient and stable 3-dimensional hydrogen-evolving cathodes, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 131–135.
- 213 M. Zou, *et al.*, WSe₂ and W(Se_xS_{1-x})₂ nanoflakes grown on carbon nanofibers for the electrocatalytic hydrogen evolution reaction, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 18090–18097.
- 214 L. Lin, *et al.*, Engineered 2D Transition Metal Dichalcogenides—A Vision of Viable Hydrogen Evolution Reaction Catalysis, *Adv. Energy Mater.*, 2020, **10**, 1903870.
- 215 Q. Lu, Y. Yu, Q. Ma, B. Chen and H. Zhang, 2D Transition-Metal-Dichalcogenide-Nanosheet-Based Composites for Photocatalytic and Electrocatalytic Hydrogen Evolution Reactions, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 1917–1933.
- 216 T. Shinagawa, A. T. Garcia-Esparza and K. Takanebe, Insight on Tafel slopes from a microkinetic analysis of aqueous electrocatalysis for energy conversion, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 13801.
- 217 J. K. Nørskov, *et al.*, Trends in the exchange current for hydrogen evolution, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 2005, **152**, J23.
- 218 B. Hinnemann, *et al.*, Biomimetic hydrogen evolution: MoS₂ nanoparticles as catalyst for hydrogen evolution, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 5308–5309.
- 219 M. V. Bollinger, *et al.*, One-dimensional metallic edge states in MoS₂, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2001, **87**, 196803.
- 220 A. Parija, *et al.*, Mapping catalytically relevant edge electronic states of MoS₂, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2018, **4**, 493–503.
- 221 C. Tsai, K. Chan, F. Abild-Pedersen and J. K. Nørskov, Active edge sites in MoSe₂ and WSe₂ catalysts for the



- hydrogen evolution reaction: a density functional study, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 13156–13164.
- 222 C. Tsai, K. Chan, J. K. Nørskov and F. Abild-Pedersen, Theoretical insights into the hydrogen evolution activity of layered transition metal dichalcogenides, *Surf. Sci.*, 2015, **640**, 133–140.
- 223 M. A. Lukowski, *et al.*, Enhanced hydrogen evolution catalysis from chemically exfoliated metallic MoS₂ nanosheets, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 10274–10277.
- 224 S. S. Chou, *et al.*, Understanding catalysis in a multiphase two-dimensional transition metal dichalcogenide, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 8311.
- 225 Y. Zhou, *et al.*, Revealing the contribution of individual factors to hydrogen evolution reaction catalytic activity, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1706076.
- 226 L. Wang, *et al.*, Self-optimization of the active site of molybdenum disulfide by an irreversible phase transition during photocatalytic hydrogen evolution, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 7610–7614.
- 227 J. Zhu, *et al.*, Boundary activated hydrogen evolution reaction on monolayer MoS₂, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 1348.
- 228 Y. Ouyang, *et al.*, Activating Inert Basal Planes of MoS₂ for Hydrogen Evolution Reaction through the Formation of Different Intrinsic Defects, *Chem. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 4390–4396.
- 229 Q. Ji, *et al.*, Metallic vanadium disulfide nanosheets as a platform material for multifunctional electrode applications, *Nano Lett.*, 2017, **17**, 4908–4916.
- 230 R. Naz, *et al.*, Highly defective 1T-MoS₂ nanosheets on 3D reduced graphene oxide networks for supercapacitors, *Carbon*, 2019, **152**, 697–703.
- 231 X. Wang, *et al.*, Unveiling highly ambient-stable multilayered 1T-MoS₂ towards all-solid-state flexible supercapacitors, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2019, **7**, 19152–19160.
- 232 B. Xu, *et al.*, Adsorption and diffusion of lithium on 1T-MoS₂ monolayer, *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 2014, **93**, 86–90.
- 233 T. Xiang, *et al.*, Vertical 1T-MoS₂ nanosheets with expanded interlayer spacing edged on a graphene frame for high rate lithium-ion batteries, *Nanoscale*, 2017, **9**, 6975–6983.
- 234 X. Cao, C. Tan, X. Zhang, W. Zhao and H. Zhang, Solution-Processed Two-Dimensional Metal Dichalcogenide-Based Nanomaterials for Energy Storage and Conversion, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 6167–6196.
- 235 S. Shi, Z. Sun and Y. H. Hu, Synthesis, stabilization and applications of 2-dimensional 1T metallic MoS₂, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2018, **6**, 23932–23977.
- 236 Q. Yun, *et al.*, Layered Transition Metal Dichalcogenide-Based Nanomaterials for Electrochemical Energy Storage, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 1903826.
- 237 L. Tao, *et al.*, 1T' transition metal telluride atomic layers for plasmon-free SERS at femtomolar levels, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 8696–8704.
- 238 B. Radisavljevic, A. Radenovic, J. Brivio, V. Giacometti and A. Kis, Single-layer MoS₂ transistors, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2011, **6**, 147–150.
- 239 Z. Yin, *et al.*, Single-layer MoS₂ phototransistors, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**, 74–80.
- 240 O. Lopez-Sanchez, D. Lembke, M. Kayci, A. Radenovic and A. Kis, Ultrasensitive photodetectors based on monolayer MoS₂, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2013, **8**, 497–501.
- 241 B. W. H. Baugher, H. O. H. Churchill, Y. Yang and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Optoelectronic devices based on electrically tunable p–n diodes in a monolayer dichalcogenide, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2014, **9**, 262–267.
- 242 S. Das, H.-Y. Chen, A. V. Penumatcha and J. Appenzeller, High performance multilayer MoS₂ transistors with scandium contacts, *Nano Lett.*, 2013, **13**, 100–105.
- 243 J. H. Sung, *et al.*, Coplanar semiconductor-metal circuitry defined on few-layer MoTe₂ via polymorphic heteroepitaxy, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2017, **12**, 1064–1070.
- 244 X. Xu, *et al.*, Scaling-up Atomically Thin Coplanar Semiconductor–Metal Circuitry via Phase Engineered Chemical Assembly, *Nano Lett.*, 2019, **19**, 6845–6852.
- 245 W. Zhang, R. Mazzarello, M. Wuttig and E. Ma, Designing crystallization in phase-change materials for universal memory and neuro-inspired computing, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2019, **4**, 150–168.
- 246 R. H. Friend and A. D. Yoffe, Electronic properties of intercalation complexes of the transition metal dichalcogenides, *Adv. Phys.*, 1987, **36**, 1–94.
- 247 R. B. Somoano, V. Hadek and A. Rembaum, Alkali metal intercalates of molybdenum disulfide, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1973, **58**, 697–701.
- 248 R. Zhang, *et al.*, Superconductivity in potassium-doped metallic polymorphs of MoS₂, *Nano Lett.*, 2016, **16**, 629–636.
- 249 X.-C. Pan, *et al.*, Pressure-driven dome-shaped superconductivity and electronic structural evolution in tungsten ditelluride, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 7805.
- 250 G. Nam, *et al.*, In-plane anisotropic properties of 1T'-MoS₂ layers, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1807764.
- 251 F. Xia, H. Wang and Y. Jia, Rediscovering black phosphorus as an anisotropic layered material for optoelectronics and electronics, *Nat. Commun.*, 2014, **5**, 1–6.
- 252 I. Pletikosić, M. N. Ali, A. V. Fedorov, R. J. Cava and T. Valla, Electronic structure basis for the extraordinary magnetoresistance in WTe₂, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 2014, **113**, 216601.
- 253 M. N. Ali, *et al.*, Large, non-saturating magnetoresistance in WTe₂, *Nature*, 2014, **514**, 205–208.
- 254 F. C. Chen, *et al.*, Extremely large magnetoresistance in the type-II Weyl semimetal MoTe₂, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2016, **94**, 235154.
- 255 S. Lee, *et al.*, Origin of extremely large magnetoresistance in the candidate type-II Weyl semimetal MoTe_{2-x}, *Sci. Rep.*, 2018, **8**, 13937.
- 256 J. Yang, *et al.*, Elastic and electronic tuning of magnetoresistance in MoTe₂, *Sci. Adv.*, 2017, **3**, eaao4949.
- 257 F. M. Pesci, *et al.*, MoS₂/WS₂ heterojunction for photoelectrochemical water oxidation, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 4990–4998.



- 258 V. Nicolosi, M. Chhowalla, M. G. Kanatzidis, M. S. Strano and J. N. Coleman, Liquid exfoliation of layered materials, *Science*, 2013, **340**, 1226419.
- 259 D. McManus, *et al.*, Water-based and biocompatible 2D crystal inks for all-inkjet-printed heterostructures, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2017, **12**, 343–350.
- 260 J. Orangi, F. Hamade, V. A. Davis and M. Beidaghi, 3D Printing of additive-free 2D $\text{Ti}_3\text{C}_2\text{T}_x$ (MXene) ink for fabrication of micro-supercapacitors with ultra-high energy densities, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 640–650.
- 261 W. Yang, *et al.*, 3D printing of freestanding MXene architectures for current-collector-free supercapacitors, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1902725.
- 262 Y.-Y. Peng, *et al.*, All-MXene (2D titanium carbide) solid-state microsupercapacitors for on-chip energy storage, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2016, **9**, 2847–2854.
- 263 H. K. Ng, *et al.*, Effects of structural phase transition on thermoelectric performance in lithium-intercalated molybdenum disulfide (Li_xMoS_2), *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 12184–12189.
- 264 G. Eda, *et al.*, Photoluminescence from chemically exfoliated MoS_2 , *Nano Lett.*, 2011, **11**, 5111–5116.
- 265 C. Guo, *et al.*, High-quality single-layer nanosheets of MS_2 ($\text{M} = \text{Mo}, \text{Nb}, \text{Ta}, \text{Ti}$) directly exfoliated from AMS_2 ($\text{A} = \text{Li}, \text{Na}, \text{K}$) crystals, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **5**, 5977–5983.

