



Table 1 Optimization<sup>a,b,c</sup>

Commonly Utilized Chiral Phosphoric Acid Scaffolds:

7 R = H, Ar = 2,4,6-(Cy)<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>  
 8 R = H, Ar = 2,4,6-(i-Pr)<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>  
 9 R = C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>17</sub>, Ar = 2,4,6-(i-Pr)<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>

10 Ar = 2,4,6-(i-Pr)<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>  
 11 Ar = 2,4,6-(Cy)<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>

12 Ar = 2,4,6-(i-Pr)<sub>3</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>

BINAM-Derived Chiral Phosphoric Acids:

13 Ar = 3,5-(Me)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>  
 14 Ar = 3,5-(OMe)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>  
 15 Ar = 3,5-(Ph)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>  
 16 Ar = 4-CF<sub>3</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>

- Aryl moiety tunes phosphate electronics
- Improved hydrogen-bond acceptors relative to BINOL derivatives
- Stronger ionic character improves ion-pairing

| Entry | Cat. | Solv.       | Base                             | Conv. <sup>b</sup> (%) | ee <sup>c</sup> (%) |
|-------|------|-------------|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| 1     | 7    | Hexanes     | Na <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>  | >95                    | 9                   |
| 2     | 8    | Hexanes     | Na <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>  | >95                    | 7                   |
| 3     | 9    | Hexanes     | Na <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>  | >95                    | 0                   |
| 4     | 10   | Hexanes     | Na <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>  | >95                    | 2                   |
| 5     | 11   | Hexanes     | Na <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>  | >95                    | 7                   |
| 6     | 12   | Hexanes     | Na <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub>  | >95                    | 4                   |
| 7     | 7    | Hexanes     | NaHCO <sub>3</sub>               | >95                    | 21                  |
| 8     | 7    | Hexanes     | NaH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> | >95                    | 34                  |
| 9     | 13   | Hexanes     | NaH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> | >95                    | -7                  |
| 10    | 14   | Hexanes     | NaH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> | >95                    | 4                   |
| 11    | 15   | Hexanes     | NaH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> | >95                    | 5                   |
| 12    | 16   | Hexanes     | NaH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> | >95                    | 87                  |
| 13    | 16   | 2-MeTHF     | NaH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> | >95                    | 10                  |
| 14    | 16   | Toluene     | NaH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> | >95                    | 40                  |
| 15    | 16   | Cyclohexane | NaH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> | >95                    | 90                  |

<sup>a</sup> Conditions: 17 (1 equiv.), cat. (13–16) (5 mol%), base (6 equiv.), PhN<sub>2</sub>BF<sub>4</sub> (1.2 equiv.), solvent (0.025 M), rt, 2–24 h. <sup>b</sup> Conversion based on consumption of starting material as determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. <sup>c</sup> Determined by chiral phase HPLC.

With this encouraging result in hand, a screen of CAPT catalysts and inorganic bases was undertaken (Table 1). Examination of the commonly employed chiral phosphate scaffolds did not identify a catalyst capable of providing improved enantioselectivity (entries 1–6); however, linear screening carried out with TCyP (7) proved fruitful, as use of weaker bases, such as NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, increased enantioselectivity to 34% ee while maintaining excellent conversion (entry 7, 8).

Challenged by low levels of enantioselectivity using common chiral phosphate scaffolds, we undertook efforts to design novel CAPT catalysts. We were drawn to the BINAM-derived phosphoric acids (BDPAs, Table 1, 13–16) first prepared by Ishihara and coworkers,<sup>13</sup> as they offer two potential improvements over BINOL-derived phosphates (7–11): first, we hypothesized that

the nitrogen lone pairs would improve hydrogen-bonding interactions with the substrates relative to traditional chiral phosphoric acid catalysts (7–12).<sup>14</sup> Second, increased resonance donation from the nitrogens could improve ion-pairing with the diazonium cation. Furthermore, this catalyst system would be highly modular, allowing for variation of both the ionic character and the hydrogen-bonding strength *via* synthetic modulation of the N-aryl groups.<sup>15</sup>

A small library of BDPAs was prepared (13–16). Upon examination of these catalysts under our diazotation conditions (Table 1, entries 9–12), we were pleased to find that electron-poor BDPA 16 provided the diazenated product (18) in excellent conversion and 87% ee (entry 12). Fine-tuning of solvent (entries 13–15) identified cyclohexane as optimal, yielding indanone 18 in 90% ee (entry 8).

Table 2 Substrate scope<sup>a,b,c,d</sup>

|                   |                   |                                |
|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------|
| 19                | 20                | 21                             |
| 91% yield, 81% ee | 90% yield, 92% ee | 89% yield, 77% ee              |
| 22                | 23                | 24                             |
| 89% yield, 81% ee | 88% yield, 89% ee | 89% yield, 92% ee <sup>b</sup> |
| 25                | 26                | 27                             |
| 80% yield, 90% ee | 87% yield, 87% ee | 98% yield, 90% ee <sup>c</sup> |
| 28                | 29                | 30                             |
| 93% yield, 93% ee | 91% yield, 93% ee | 90% yield, 85% ee              |
| 31                | 32                |                                |
| 89% yield, 82% ee | 86% yield, 82% ee |                                |

<sup>a</sup> Conditions: nucleophile (1 equiv.), 16 (10 mol%), NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (6 equiv.), ArN<sub>2</sub>BF<sub>4</sub> (1.2 equiv.), cyclohexane (0.025 M). <sup>b</sup> Conditions: nucleophile (1 equiv.), 17 (10 mol%), NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> (6 equiv.), ArN<sub>2</sub>BF<sub>4</sub> (1.2 equiv.), MTBE (0.025 M). <sup>c</sup> Isolated yield. <sup>d</sup> Enantiomeric excess determined by chiral phase HPLC.



With optimized reaction conditions in hand, we investigated the scope of this reactivity. Indanones with electron-rich (**20**, **21**, **24**, Table 2) and electron-poor (**19**, **22**, **23**) substitution at the -4, -5, and -6 positions provided their corresponding diazenes in good yields and enantioselectivities. Importantly, both protected phenol and halogenated derivatives (**20** and **22–24**) were competent under the reaction conditions, permitting derivatization of the reaction products. Additionally, substitution of the diazonium aryl group with electron-poor, as well as electron-rich, groups at *-ortho*, *-meta*, and *-para* position provided excellent yields and good to excellent enantioselectivities (Table 2, 25–32).

Additional ketone derivatives were amenable to CAPT diazination with slight modification of the reaction conditions (Scheme 1). Benzosuberone derivative **33** was a suitable nucleophile, affording diazene **34** in 96% ee and 78% yield. Use of  $\beta$ -ketoamide **35** provided diazene **36** in 90% ee and 91% yield. We were pleased to find that nonstabilized enamide **37** underwent enantioselective C–N bond formation, providing imine **38** in 80% ee and 59% yield.

We were pleased to find that hydrogenation of indanone **18** and benzosuberone **34** under standard conditions smoothly formed their respective  $\beta$ -hydroxy amino acid derivatives with excellent diastereoselectivity and without loss of enantioenrichment (Scheme 2, **39** and **40**). Additionally, compound **24** was reduced to protected CCTA **41**, a synthetic precursor of Hai (**4**) using standard heterogeneous Pd/C conditions followed by homogeneous reduction with polymethylhydrosiloxane (PHMS) and PdCl<sub>2</sub> (Scheme 2c).<sup>16</sup> Importantly, enantioenriched compounds akin to amine **41** (Scheme 1c) have been previously prepared *via* classical resolution in 9 steps.<sup>9b</sup> Furthermore, as CCTAs such as Hai (**4**) are utilized for study of protein conformation,<sup>9</sup> we envisioned that <sup>15</sup>N-labeling would be of great utility, and a powerful application of our methodology. Towards this end, isotopically enriched amino indanone **42** was prepared in a three-step sequence from inexpensive Na<sup>15</sup>NO<sub>2</sub>. It is



Scheme 2 Applications of diazene products.

noteworthy that the use of an azodicarboxylate electrophile for this application would require two equivalents of isotopically enriched nitrogen.

## Conclusions

In closing, we have developed a method for the enantioselective  $\alpha$ -diazination of enolate derivatives. This work was enabled by the development of novel BDPAs. The presented methodology possesses a broad scope, allowing for diazination of diverse nucleophiles. As an application of our methodology, several diazenes were directly reduced to provide amino acid derivatives. Furthermore, facile <sup>15</sup>N-labeling was demonstrated through preparation of protected amino acid **42**.

## Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge NIHGMS (R01 GM104534) for financial support. H.M.N. would like to acknowledge the UNCF and Merck for generous funding. We would also like to thank Dr Matthew Winston for useful discussion regarding <sup>15</sup>N labeling and acknowledge the College of Chemistry ChEXray (NIH Shared Instrumentation Grant S10-RR027172) and Antonio DiPasquale for X-ray crystallographic data.

## Notes and references

- (a) T. C. Nugent, *Chiral amine synthesis: methods, developments and applications*, John Wiley & Sons, 2011; (b) M. T. Reetz, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1991, **30**, 1531–1546.



Scheme 1 Diazination of additional substrates.



- 2 (a) E. Erdik, *Tetrahedron*, 2004, **60**, 8747–8782; (b) C. Najera and J. Sansano, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 4584–4671; (c) J. M. Janey, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 4292–4300.
- 3 (a) L. A. Trimble and J. C. Vederas, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 6397–6399; (b) C. Gennari, L. Colombo and G. Bertolini, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 6394–6395; (c) D. A. Evans, T. C. Britton, R. L. Dorow and J. F. Dellaria, *Tetrahedron*, 1988, **44**, 5525–5540; (d) D. A. Evans, T. C. Britton, R. L. Dorow and J. F. Dellaria, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 6395–6397.
- 4 (a) A. Bøgevig, K. Juhl, N. Kumaragurubaran, W. Zhuang and K. A. Jørgensen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 1790–1793; (b) B. List, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 5656–5657; (c) H. Konishi, T. Y. Lam, J. P. Malerich and V. H. Rawal, *Org. Lett.*, 2010, **12**, 2028–2031; (d) M. Terada, M. Nakano and H. Ube, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 16044–16045; (e) R. He, X. Wang, T. Hashimoto and K. Maruoka, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 9466–9468; (f) C. L. Zhu, F. G. Zhang, W. Meng, J. Nie, D. Cahard and J. A. Ma, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 5869–5872; (g) D. G. Blackmond, A. Moran, M. Hughes and A. Armstrong, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 7598–7599; (h) C. Liu, Q. Zhu, K. W. Huang and Y. Lu, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 2638–2641; (i) T. Y. Liu, H. L. Cui, Y. Zhang, K. Jiang, W. Du, Z. Q. He and Y. C. Chen, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 3671–3674.
- 5 (a) D. A. Evans and S. G. Nelson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 6452–6453; (b) G. Cecere, C. M. König, J. L. Alleva and D. W. C. MacMillan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 11521–11524; (c) K. Juhl and K. A. Jørgensen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 2420–2421; (d) T. Mashiko, N. Kumagai and M. Shibasaki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 14990–14999.
- 6 (a) H. M. Nelson, S. H. Reisberg, H. P. Shunatona, J. S. Patel and F. D. Toste, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 5600–5603; (b) R. J. Phipps, G. L. Hamilton and F. D. Toste, *Nat. Chem.*, 2012, **4**, 603–614.
- 7 H. M. Pinheiro, E. Touraud and O. Thomas, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2004, **61**, 121–139.
- 8 (a) A. Roglans, A. Pla-Quintana and M. Moreno-Manas, *Chem. Rev.*, 2006, **106**, 4622–4643; (b) H. Bonin, E. Fouquet and F.-X. Felpin, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2011, **353**, 3063–3084.
- 9 (a) R. M. Pinder, B. H. Butcher, D. A. Buxton and D. J. Howells, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1971, **14**, 892–893; (b) F. N. Murigi, G. S. Nichol and E. A. Mash, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, **75**, 1293–1296; (c) H. I. Mosberg, A. L. Lomize, C. Wang, H. Kroona, D. L. Heyl, K. Sobczyk-Kojiro, W. Ma, C. Mousigian and F. Porreca, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1994, **37**, 4371–4383; (d) T. Deeks, P. A. Crooks and R. D. Waigh, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1983, **26**, 762–765; (e) M. T. Cox, Opiate Receptor Antagonists, *US Pat.*, 4464358 A, 7 August 1984.
- 10 (a) M. R. Wood, K. M. Schirripa, J. J. Kim, R. A. Bednar, J. F. Fay, J. G. Bruno, E. L. Moore, S. D. Mosser, S. Roller, C. A. Salvatore, J. P. Vacca and H. G. Selnick, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2010, **20**, 6827–6830; (b) C. A. Stump, I. M. Bell, R. A. Bednar, J. F. Fay, S. N. Gallicchio, J. C. Hershey, R. Jelley, C. Kreatsoulas, E. L. Moore, S. D. Mosser, A. G. Quigley, S. A. Roller, C. A. Salvatore, S. S. Sharik, C. R. Theberge, C. B. Zartman, S. A. Kane, S. L. Graham, H. G. Selnick, J. P. Vacca and T. M. Williams, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2010, **20**, 2572–2576; (c) I. M. Bell and H. G. Selnick, Monocyclic Amide CGRP Receptor Antagonists, *US Pat.*, 172,205, 14 July 2011.
- 11 Z. H. Mardirossian, H. G. Kiryakovt, J. P. Ruder and D. B. MacLean, *Phytochemistry*, 1983, **22**, 759–761.
- 12 The connectivity and absolute stereochemistry of **18** was confirmed by X-ray crystallography. See the ESI† for diffraction data.
- 13 (a) M. Hatano, T. Ikeno, T. Matsumura, S. Torii and K. Ishihara, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2008, **350**, 1776–1780; (b) M. Terada, K. Sorimachi and F. Uraguchi, *Synlett*, 2006, **1**, 133–136.
- 14 Hydrogen-bonding of chiral anion phase-transfer catalysts to substrates has been invoked in several transition state models, see: (a) X. Yang, R. J. Phipps and F. D. Toste, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 5225–5228; (b) R. J. Phipps and F. D. Toste, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 1268–1271; (c) J. Wu, Y.-M. Wang, A. Drljevic, V. Rauniyar, R. J. Phipps and F. D. Toste, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2013, **110**, 13729–13733.
- 15 See the ESI† for the syntheses of BDPAs.
- 16 H. Wang, L. Li, X.-F. Bai, J.-Y. Shang, K.-F. Yang and L.-W. Xu, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2013, **355**, 341–347.

