Chemical Science

ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

EDGE ARTICLE

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2024, **15**, 16954

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Chemical and redox non-innocence in low-valent molybdenum β diketonate complexes: novel pathways for CO_2 and CS_2 activation†

Fabio Masero and Victor Mougel *

The investigation of fundamental properties of low-valent molybdenum complexes bearing anionic ligands is crucial for elucidating the molybdenum's role in critical enzymatic systems involved in the transformation of small molecules, including the nitrogenase's iron molybdenum cofactor, FeMoco. The β-diketonate ligands in $[Mo(acac)_3]$ (acac = acetylacetonate), one of the earliest low-valent Mo complexes reported, provide a robust anionic platform to stabilize Mo in its +III oxidation state. This complex played a key role in demonstrating the potential of low-valent molybdenum for small molecule activation, serving as the starting material for the preparation of the first reported molybdenum dinitrogen complex. Surprisingly however, given this fact and the widespread use of β-diketonate ligands in coordination chemistry, only a very limited number of low-valent Mo β-diketonate complexes have been reported. To address this gap, we explored the redox behavior of homoleptic molybdenum tris-β-diketonate complexes, employing a tertiary butyl substituted diketonate ligand (dipivaloylmethanate, ^{tBu}diket) to isolate and fully characterize the corresponding Mo complexes across three consecutive oxidation states (+IV, +III, +II). We observed marked reactivity of the most reduced congener with heterocumulenes CE_2 (E = O, S), yet with very distinct outcomes. Specifically, CO_2 stoichiometrically carboxylates one of the β-diketonate ligands, while in the presence of excess CS_2, catalytic reductive dimerization to tetrathiooxalate occurs. Through the isolation and characterization of reaction products and intermediates, we demonstrate that the observed reactivity results from the chemical non-innocence of the β-diketonate ligands, which facilitates the formation of a common ligand-bound intermediate, $[Mo(^{tBu}diket)_2(^{tBu}diket{\cdot}CE_2)]^{1-}$ (E = O, S). The stability of this proposed intermediate dictates the specific reduction products observed, highlighting the relevance of the chemically non-innocent nature of β-diketonate ligands.

Received 28th May 2024
Accepted 12th September 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4sc03496a

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Molybdenum (Mo) plays a central role in a variety of enzymatic systems involved in the conversion of small molecules, particularly within the iron-molybdenum cofactor (FeMoco) of nitrogenases, and within the active site of nitrate reductase, formate dehydrogenase, carbon monoxide dehydrogenase, and sulfite oxidase enzymes.[1–4] Most of these enzymatic systems operate in the higher oxidation states of Mo (+IV to +VI), and the coordination chemistry as well as the corresponding reactivities of high oxidation state Mo complexes have been extensively studied,[5–13] and applied for the design of bioinspired electrocatalysts.[14,15] In this context, the redox non-innocence of the molybdopterin ligand plays a key role to stabilize both high and low-valent forms of the complex[16] and enable complex, multi-electron transformations.

The preparation and reactivity of low-valent molybdenum compounds (+III and lower) has been comparatively underexplored, despite promising activities in a multitude of reductive transformations. Pioneering studies demonstrated the ability of tris(amide) supported Mo(III) complexes to promote dinitrogen activation and reduction.[17,18] Pincer ligands were most widely employed for stabilizing low-valent oxidation states of Mo and the corresponding complexes were exploited as catalysts for hydrogenation of alkynes[19] and nitriles,[20] dinitrogen reduction,[21–23] olefin isomerization,[24] and CO_2 reduction,[25,26] among others. In the context of reactivity with CO_2, dianionic molybdenum carbonyl – $(M_2[Mo(CO)_6]$ (M = Li, Na, K)) as well as phosphine-supported complexes (*e.g. cis*-$[Mo(N_2)_2(PMe_3)_4]$) were notably found to enable the stoichiometric reductive disproportionation of CO_2 to carbonate (CO_3^{2-}) and metal-bound CO,[27–29] while on the other hand, the reverse comproportionation reaction of Mo-bound CO and CO_3^{2-} is catalyzed

Laboratory of Inorganic Chemistry (LAC), Department of Chemistry and Applied Biosciences (D-CHAB), ETH Zurich, Vladimir-Prelog Weg 2, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland. E-mail: mougel@inorg.chem.ethz.ch

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2352819–2352834. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d4sc03496a

by a bisphosphine supported Mo complex.[30] Recently, the electrocatalytic reduction of CO_2 to CO mediated by $[Mo(CO)_6]$[31] and later on by bipyridine (bpy) supported molybdenum carbonyl complexes (*e.g.* $[Mo(bpy)(CO)_4]$,[32], $[Mo(\eta^3\text{-allyl})(NCS)(bpy)(CO)_2]$[33]) has been investigated. Reactivity studies of related Mo complexes were extended to the coupling of CO_2 with ethylene under formation of acrylic acid.[34–36] Bisphosphine-arene complexes were employed as a platform to study the Fischer–Tropsch related reductive coupling of CO to multi-carbon products.[37,38]

In most of these examples, strong π-acceptor ligands such as CO and phosphines were used to stabilize Mo in its lower oxidation states, yet also decreasing its overall reducing power. Surprisingly, the reactivity of octahedral low-valent Mo complexes with CO_2 and small molecules in the absence of such strong π-acidic ligands, of high relevance to better understand and replicate the activity of Mo-based enzymatic systems,[39] has not been extensively documented (Scheme 1).

Our group has recently focused on using β-diketonate supported Mo compounds as a platform to investigate the fundamental chemistry of mid- to low-valent, octahedral Mo complexes,[40] building on the early report of one of the simplest homoleptic Mo(III) complexes, $[Mo^{III}(^{Me}diket)_3]$ (**1**) (^{Me}diket = acetylacetonate), first prepared in the 1960s.[41] Compared to the generally well-explored chemistry of transition metal complexes supported by β-diketonate ligands, **1** so far did not attract a lot of attention in literature. However, it played a key role in establishing the potential of low-valent molybdenum for small molecule activation by serving as the starting material for the preparation of the first reported molybdenum dinitrogen complex.[42–44] Interestingly, despite the recent observation of the redox non-innocence of β-diketonate ligands in chromium diketonate derivatives,[45,46] the use of β-diketonate ligands to facilitate electron transfer through non-innocent behavior, has not been extensively explored.

In the present work, we have explored the chemical and electrochemical properties of a series of homoleptic Mo β-diketonate complexes, $[Mo(^{R}diket)_3]^z$ (R = Me, R = ^{t}Bu in oxidation states +IV, +III and +II (z = +1, 0, −1)). The latter constitutes a very rare example of a formal Mo(II) complex uniquely supported by anionic ligands.

This highly reduced complex exhibits reactivity towards both CO_2 and CS_2 yet with a very distinct outcome: it undergoes exclusively a stoichiometric reaction with CO_2, whereas it catalytically promotes the reduction of CS_2, selectively yielding tetrathiooxalate. Based on the isolation of reaction intermediates using the more soluble ^{tBu}diket ligand, we propose a reaction mechanism involving the (dithio)carboxylation of one of the diketonate ligands, demonstrating that β-diketonate ligands can exhibit both redox and chemical non-innocence.



Scheme 1 Coordination of neutral *vs.* anionic ligands in low-valent molybdenum complexes.

Results and discussion

We developed here a simple, multigram scale synthesis of $[Mo(^{Me}diket)_3]$ (**1**), inspired by reported syntheses,[41,47] in two steps from inexpensive ammonium molybdate and under exclusively aqueous conditions (see Fig. 1a and ESI Section 2.1.1† for details). Analytical data (elemental analysis, UV-vis, magnetic susceptibility) of the obtained brown-purple crystalline solid matches previous literature reports.[48] In addition, we noted that despite its paramagnetism, **1** can be easily characterized by ^{1}H NMR (*e.g.* in MeCN-d_3), displaying two signals with relative integral intensities of 6 : 1 at δ = 126.6 ppm (CH_3) and 41.3 ppm (*CH*) respectively (see ESI Fig. S16†). The cyclic voltammetry (CV) of **1** (Fig. 2, see ESI Fig. S51–S53† for details) displays two reversible redox waves at $E_{1/2} = -2.09$ V and $E_{1/2} = -0.21$ V (all potentials are given *vs.* $[Fe^{II}Cp_2]/[Fe^{III}Cp_2]^+$ (Fc/Fc^+), unless otherwise noted), which were assigned to the one electron reduction- and oxidation of **1** to the corresponding homoleptic Mo(II) and Mo(IV) complexes, respectively.

The reversibility of the redox waves in the CV studies prompted us to isolate the oxidized and reduced complexes by chemical means.

Oxidation of **1** with either $[Fe^{III}Cp_2][PF_6]$ or $AgPF_6$ afforded a dark red crystalline solid. Single crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis confirmed the successful oxidation to the expected product $[Mo(^{Me}diket)_3]PF_6$ (**2**, Fig. 1b and ESI Section S4†) and the CV of **2** results in an identical trace as for **1** (see ESI Section S2.2† for details on synthesis and analytical data, and Fig. S56† for CV). On the other hand, the reduction of **1** with several alkali-metal based reducing agents (Na-naphthalene, K-naphthalene, KC_8) afforded blue to green precipitates with very limited solubility and stability. Elemental analysis suggested formulations of reduced products in between M $[Mo(^{Me}diket)_3]$ (with M = Na^+ or K^+) and $[Mo(^{Me}diket)_2]$ of which the latter is known for its chromium analogue $[Cr(^{Me}diket)_2]$.[49] Dissolving the solids obtained after reduction in neat pyridine (Py), or alternatively, conducting the reduction in pyridine as solvent, resulted in an abrupt color change to deep purple. Slow diffusion of either diethyl ether or ^{n}pentane led to the precipitation of a crystalline solid, which was identified as *trans*-$[Mo(^{Me}diket)_2(py)_2]$, further confirming the +II formal oxidation state of the reduced complex (Fig. 1). The same reactivity was observed with neat triethyl phosphine (PEt_3), affording purple crystals of *trans*-$[Mo(^{Me}diket)_2(PEt_3)_2]$ (see ESI Section 2.4† for details on synthesis and analytical data).

Aiming at increasing the solubility of the complexes in apolar solvents, we carried out an analogous set of reactions with the 2,2,6,6-tetramethylheptadionate (^{tBu}diket) ligand, where both terminal methyl groups are permethylated. The homoleptic Mo(III) complex $[Mo(^{tBu}diket)_3]$ (**3**) was prepared by an alternative synthetic route, from $[Mo(CO)_6]$ and excess ligand



Fig. 1 (a) Synthesis of **1** followed by its oxidation (right arrow) and reduction (left arrow), respectively. (b) Corresponding solid state structures. Thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability level are shown, co-crystallized solvent and hydrogen atoms were removed for clarity.



Fig. 2 CV of [Mo(^{Me}diket)$_3$] (**1**) (1 mM) in TBAPF$_6$/MeCN (0.1 M) at a scan rate of $\nu = 100$ mV s^{-1}.

in refluxing toluene, due to the complete immiscibility of ^{tBu}diketH with aqueous solutions (see Fig. 4a and ESI Section S2.1.2†).

After recrystallization, **3** was isolated with a yield of 59% and its identity was confirmed by standard analytical methods (elemental analysis, single crystal XRD). In the ^{1}H NMR spectrum of **3** (in benzene-d_6), the methine protons (C*H*) of the ligand backbone appear at a similar chemical shift ($\delta = 44.1$ ppm) as for **1**, whereas the protons of the ^{t}Bu groups show up at $\delta = 7.0$ ppm (br) (see ESI Fig. S17 and S18†). **3** displays a similar CV as **1** with two reversible redox waves, yet shifted cathodically by 0.2 V, in line with the stronger σ-donating nature of the ^{t}Bu groups (Fig. 3 and S57–S59†).

In analogy to the synthetic routes described above for **1**, we were able to synthesize both the oxidized Mo(IV) complex [Mo(^{tBu}diket)$_3$]PF$_6$ (**4**), and the reduced Mo(II) complex K[Mo(^{tBu}diket)$_3$] (**5**) (Fig. 4a).

5 was isolated as a dark green crystalline solid, soluble in most common organic solvents including ethers and alkanes. Its ^{1}H NMR spectrum shows multiple broad signals in the range



Fig. 3 CV of [Mo(^{tBu}diket)$_3$] (**3**) (1 mM, solid line) and [Mo(^{Me}diket)$_3$] (1 mM, dashed line) in TBAPF$_6$/MeCN (0.1 M) at a scan rate of $\nu = 100$ mV s^{-1}.

of −5–13 ppm and its magnetic moment was determined to be $\mu_{eff} = 2.70$ B.M., suggesting an overall $S = 1$ spin state (see ESI Fig. S33†). X-ray diffraction analysis revealed the solid-state structure displayed in Fig. 4b: the Mo-center is surrounded by three ^{tBu}diket ligands and the presence of one potassium cation per Mo suggests the formation of an anionic Mo(II) complex. In combination with elemental analysis, the obtained product can thus be described as K[Mo(^{tBu}diket)$_3$] (**5**). Interestingly, the potassium counter cation is strongly bound to the oxygen atoms of a distorted ^{tBu}diket ligand, and could not be displaced upon addition of crown ethers, while addition of [2,2,2]-cryptand did not afford any crystalline material suitable for X-ray diffraction studies (see ESI Section S4† for solid state structures involving 15-crown-5 and 18-crown-6). The use of Na-based reducing agents did not lead to clean reductions and isolation of the reduced complex, highlighting the important role of the alkali counter cation.

To the best of our knowledge, **5** only represents the second report of a divalent Mo complex bearing only anionic ligands that do not display a strong π-accepting character.[50] However, the solid state structure of **5** (Fig. 4b) reveals that one of the ^{tBu}diket ligands changed its binding mode upon reduction, with one of the carbonyl groups bound in an η^2-mode *via* its oxygen atom ($d_{Mo-O1} = 2.0401(8)$ Å), and carbonyl carbon ($d_{Mo-C1} = 2.1780(12)$ Å). The C–O bond is tilted from the plane spanned by the two adjacent carbon atoms and the second oxygen atom of the ^{tBu}diket ligand by 53.17(9)°. In addition to significantly elongated carbon–oxygen bond lengths ($d_{C1-O1} = 1.3737(14)$ Å, $d_{C3-O2} = 1.3509(14)$ Å), this deviation from planarity within the diketonate ligand may be regarded as an indication for its redox non-innocent behavior; the geometrical change allows for accommodation of additional electron density onto the ligand by increasing its π-accepting abilities. This metallaoxirane, or oxymetallacyclopropane motif is to the best of our knowledge unprecedented in transition metal β-diketonate coordination chemistry, but redox non-innocence of β-diketonate ligands has been recently observed for chromium diketonate derivatives *e.g.* [Cr^{II}(^{Me}diket)$_2$(py)$_2$],[45,46] and η^2-CO bound ketone and aldehyde ligands have been reported in a handful of Mo complexes.[51–54] While redox non-innocence has not been extensively investigated for β-diketonate ligands, it has been documented in multiple instances for closely related β-diketiminate (nacnac) transition metal complexes.[55] In analogy to the metallaoxirane feature present in **5**, Schrock and co-workers, structurally characterized a Mo(IV) complex supported by an η^1:η^2-nacnac ligand metallaaziridine structure.[56] More generally, the formation of metallaoxiranes has been observed upon oxygen atom transfer to a carbene ligand,[57,58] *via* CO insertion into metal-alkyl bonds,[59] or as in the present case *via* the direct coordination of a ketone to a low-valent metal center, mostly under reducing conditions.[60–68]

5 reacts with excess neutral ligands such as pyridine or tertiary phosphines (*e.g.* PEt_3) to afford the corresponding



Fig. 4 (a) Synthesis of **3** followed by its oxidation and reduction, respectively. (b) Corresponding solid state structures. Thermal ellipsoids at 50% probability level are shown, co-crystallized solvent and hydrogen atoms were removed for clarity.

$[Mo^{II}(^{tBu}diket)_2(L_2)]$ complexes (L = pyridine, PEt_3), in exact analogy to what was observed with the ^{Me}diket complexes (see ESI Section 2.4† for details). This, together with the reversible reduction to Mo(II) observed with both **1** and **3** in CV studies, suggests that analogous anionic $[Mo^{II}(^{R}diket)_3]^-$ complexes are formed regardless of the ligand used.

The formation of such stable Mo(II) complexes prompted us to investigate their reactivity with CO_2. When the CV of **1** is recorded in CO_2-purged electrolyte, the reversibility of the Mo(III)/Mo(II) redox wave is lost and accompanied by the appearance of a small increase in peak current ($I_p^{CO_2}/I_p^{Ar} = 1.34$) at low scan rates ($\nu$ = 20 mV s^{-1}, Fig. 5a and S54†), suggesting that the electrogenerated Mo(II) complex reacts with CO_2. However, the characterization of the reaction products appeared non straightforward. Analyses of the head space and the cathodic solution after controlled potential electrolysis (CPE, see Fig. 5b and ESI Section S10.2†) did not allow for the detection of any expected CO_2 reduction products, in particular no CO was observed in the gas phase, and no formic acid was detected in solution by ^{1}H NMR, despite a *ca.* 2.7-fold enhancement in the passed charge in the presence of CO_2. However, the strong paramagnetic nature of solutions combined with the presence of a 20-fold excess of supporting electrolyte prevented the unambiguous identification of formed products by a multitude of analytical methods (see ESI Section S10.2.1† for details).

To gain a deeper understanding on this reactivity, we investigated the reactivity of chemically reduced **1** with CO_2 (see ESI Section 3.1† for details). Analysis by ^{13}C NMR spectroscopy (in methanol-d_4) revealed two major signals at δ = 176.0 & 161.4 ppm, both of which remarkably increased in intensity when the same experiments were conducted with ^{13}C-labelled $^{13}CO_2$ (see ESI Fig. S32†), suggesting that *C*- or *O*-carboxylation of the ^{Me}diket ligand has occurred, but the functionalized complex could not be crystallized. The observed deuteration of the ^{Me}diket ligand in deuterated solvent, which has already been reported for ^{Me}diket complexes of vanadium, cobalt and aluminum,[69,70] further supports the reactive nature of the ligand in the reduced complex (see ESI Section S3.3† for details).

Compared to the absence of catalytic turnover of **1** under CO_2, a very different behavior was observed in the presence of CS_2. The CV trace of **1** after addition of 1 equiv. of CS_2 (Fig. 5a) displays a large cathodic current at −2.08 V (*vs.* Fc/Fc^+), together with the loss of reversibility of the Mo(III)/Mo(II) redox wave, while the reversibility of the Mo(III)/Mo(IV) redox couple remains unchanged. This suggest that **1** catalyzes the reduction of CS_2. Preparative electrolysis of **1** at a constant potential of −2.1 V in presence of excess CS_2 (56 equiv.) resulted in a linear charge consumption of 33 C, suggesting multiple turnover. Product analysis by ^{13}C NMR spectroscopy showed the formation of tetrathiooxalate $[C_2S_4]^{2-}$ as the main reduction product (see ESI Section S10.3† for details). Using tetraethyl ammonium tetrafluoroborate ($TEABF_4$) as the supporting electrolyte [TEA][C_2S_4] was obtained as a pure product with a faradaic yield of 42%.

To better understand the different behavior of **1** in presence of either CO_2 *versus* CS_2 under reducing conditions, we focused on chemical reactivity studies employing **3**, given the increased solubility and stability of its reduced congener, **5**. In exact analogy



Fig. 5 (a) CV of **1** (1 mM) under Ar (black, dashed line), under CO_2 (light blue, solid line), and in the presence of CS_2 (1 equiv.) in $TBAPF_6$/MeCN (0.1 M) at a scan rate ν = 20 mV s^{-1}. (b) Passed charge ($Q - Q_0$) *vs.* time of CPE for 2 hours of **1** (5 mM) under Ar (black, dashed line), under CO_2 (light blue, solid line) and in the presence of excess CS_2 (56 equiv.).

to **1**, the **3** CV reduction wave to Mo(II) becomes irreversible in the presence of CO_2 (ESI Fig. S60†), together with a small peak current enhancement ($I_p^{CO_2}/I_p^{Ar} = 1.60$ at a scan rate of ν = 20 mV s^{-1}). However, at the difference of the less soluble ^{Me}diket analogue, the increased solubility of **5** facilitated the identification of the products resulting from its reactivity with CO_2. Exposing a solution of **5** to CO_2 in diethyl ether led to an abrupt color change from green to brown/red. Upon extraction with ^{n}pentane, a dark green precipitate could be separated from the brown/red filtrate by centrifugation. **3** was identified as the main species in the filtrate, while a very distinct broad feature at δ =

2.39 ppm in the ^{1}H NMR spectrum of the dark green solid (see ESI Fig. S22 and S23†) indicated the formation of a new paramagnetic species. Crystallization of this solid from saturated THF or DME solutions at ambient temperature revealed the formation of K_2-[Mo($^{t\mathrm{Bu}}$diket·CO_2)($^{t\mathrm{Bu}}$diket)$_2$] (**6**), crystallizing as a tetramer and where the CO_2 moiety has been added to the central carbon of the $^{t\mathrm{Bu}}$diket ligand *via* a new C–C bond (d_{C-C} = 1.541(10) Å) (Fig. 6b). The modified ligand now consists of a β,β′-diketo-carboxylate. Since the negative charge of the carboxylated ligand



Fig. 6 (a) Reaction of **5** upon exposure to CO_2. (b) XRD solid state structure of **6**. (c) IR-spectrum of **6** (green), ^{13C}**6** (dark blue) and the corresponding subtraction spectrum (light blue).

[$^{t\mathrm{Bu}}$diket·CO_2]$^-$ is located on the carboxylate group, the diketo group is formally neutral. This induced a further change in its binding mode; $^{t\mathrm{Bu}}$diket·CO_2 coordinates as a diketone ligand to the Mo-center in an η^2-η^2-fashion, with both keto groups pointing into the same direction, leading to a formally 8-coordinate Mo-complex (Fig. 7c). The IR spectrum of **6** displays distinct vibrations assigned to the carboxylate group (ν_{C-O} = 1556 cm^{-1}) and the newly formed C–C single bond (ν_{C-C} = 1371 cm^{-1}), respectively, both of which exhibited a bathochromic shift when $^{13}CO_2$ was employed during the preparation of the Mo(I) complex (^{13C}**6**) (Fig. 6c, see ESI Fig. S45 and S46† for full spectra).

Noteworthy, the subtraction of IR spectra of chemically reduced **1** exposed to either $^{12}CO_2$ or $^{13}CO_2$ reveals nearly identical bathochromic shifts (Fig. S47†), implying analogous reactivity of **5** and *in situ* reduced **1** with CO_2. Due to the paramagnetic nature of **6**, no signal in ^{13}C NMR could be detected, even if the labelled compound ^{13C}**6** was employed. Interestingly, despite several reports of similar ligand-based reactivity with β-diketiminate, pyridine diimine as well as PNP-pincer transition metal complexes,[71–75] the formation of **6** represents to the best of our knowledge the first example of carboxylation of a β-diketonate bound to a transition metal center.

The presence of two potassium cations per Mo-center, supported by three anionic ligands, suggests a formal oxidation state of +I for Mo. This is in good agreement with its measured μ_{eff} of 1.7 B.M., suggesting an overall spin state of $S = \frac{1}{2}$. The electron paramagnetic resonance spectrum of **6** displays a broad, nearly axial feature with *g* values amounting to 1.994, 1.98 and 1.93, as well as weak ^{95}Mo and ^{97}Mo (15.8 and 9.5% natural abundance, respectively) hyperfine coupling (Fig. S49†), in good agreement with a Mo-centered $S = \frac{1}{2}$ configuration. This assignment is corroborated by the measured μ_{eff} for **6** of 1.7 B.M., as well as by the qualitative molecular orbital diagram and corresponding spin density plot (Fig. S50†) obtained by DFT calculations, which further shows that the bulk spin density is centered at the metal and not on the ligand. The formation of **3** and **6** from **5** implies that exposure to CO_2 triggers a formal disproportionation of Mo(II) to Mo(III) and Mo(I) (Fig. 6a). This overall 2 electron reduction of **5** to **6** is in good agreement with the *ca.* 2.7-fold enhancement in the charge passed in CPE experiments in the presence of CO_2 introduced above. We hypothesize that the slight excess of charge passed (a 2-fold enhancement only would be expected to afford the carboxylated product quantitatively) results from the limited stability of the carboxylated product in solution. We indeed observed that **6** slowly decomposes upon long term storage in solution. Likewise, from a synthetic standpoint, the stepwise addition of a second equiv. of KC_8 allows to increase the isolated yield of **6** (with respect to **5**) from 22% (1 equiv. of KC_8) to 48% (2 equiv. of KC_8, see ESI Section S2.6† for details). Worthy of note, a few crystals of K[Mo($^{t\mathrm{Bu}}$diket)$_2$($^{t\mathrm{Bu}}$diket·H)] (**7**), where the carboxylate is replaced by a proton, could be isolated from these reaction mixtures. The exact origin of the proton in this decomposition product is unclear and **7** could not be isolated upon reaction of **6** with proton sources. We believe that this structure is however worth mentioning due to the unique coordination mode of the $^{t\mathrm{Bu}}$diket·H ligand, which adopts a zig-zag geometry with the two keto groups pointing into



Fig. 7 Different coordination modes of the β-diketonate ligand of (a) **3**, (b) **5**, (c) **6**, and (d) **7**: connectivity of Lewis-structures (top) and corresponding fragments of solid-state structures obtained from XRD studies (counter cations and co-crystallized solvent molecules are omitted for clarity).

opposite directions (Fig. 7d). To the best of our knowledge, such binding modes have not been documented in transition metal β-diketonate coordination chemistry.

Most importantly, as highlighted by the plateau observed in the charge passed in CPE experiments, the carboxylated complex is not catalytically active for the reduction of CO_2. A similar situation has been observed by Kubiak and co-workers with a pyridine monoimine supported Mo-complex[74] and the potential disadvantages of redox-active ligands for electrocatalysis were recently more generally summarized by Queyriaux.[76]

However, this appears in sharp contrast with the electrocatalytic reduction of CS_2 mediated by **1**, given its analogy with CO_2. To further analyze this divergence in reactivity, we explored the chemical reactivity of **5** with CS_2. Exposing a solution of **5** to CS_2 in THF led to an instant color change from dark green to brown/red, and the precipitation of a light brown solid. At the difference to the reaction with CO_2, potassium tetrathiooxalate ($K_2[C_2S_4]$) was identified and isolated as the main reaction product, while **3** is cleanly regenerated as the sole Mo complex (see Scheme 2a, and ESI Section S3.2†).

To probe the role of **5** in this reaction, and to investigate the possible intermediacy of a Mo-bound dithiocarboxylated ligand, we turned to preparative scale reactions using **5** or potassium metal (K^0) as reducing agents for CS_2 (ESI Section S2.7†). Equimolar amounts of 18-crown-6 were added to increase the solubility of the potassium salt of tetrathiooxalate and hence facilitate its analysis. Significantly higher yields of tetrathiooxalate were observed in the presence of **5** than in the presence of K^0, suggesting a catalytic role **3** in the formation of tetrathiooxalate (Scheme 2b). To attempt observing the formation of the thiocarboxylated ligand, we performed the same reaction, using **5** in the presence of an excess of CS_2, and quenched the crude extract with MeOH.

Methoxy dithiocarbonate (potassium methyl xanthate, K[S_2COMe], Scheme 2a) was identified as a by-product in the reaction mixture. K[S_2COMe] is not observed upon mixing tetrathiooxalate or CS_2 with methanol, but was observed when reacting MeOH with K[$^{t\mathrm{Bu}}$diket·$^{13}CS_2$] (observed upon mixing K[$^{t\mathrm{Bu}}$diket] and $^{13}CS_2$ (10 equiv.) in THF-d_8 see Scheme 2c and ESI Section S3.5† for details). The formation of K[S_2COMe] hence suggests the presence of a Mo-complex coordinated to a dithiocarboxylated ligand [$^{t\mathrm{Bu}}$diket·CS_2]. In direct analogy, potassium methyl carbonate (K[O_2COCD_3]) was quantitatively observed upon reaction of **6** with MeOH (see ESI Section S3.4† for details).

The reactivity and isolated complexes observed allow to propose a general reaction mechanism for the reduction of CO_2 and CS_2 by the Mo(II) β-diketonate complexes reported here. The nucleophilic addition recognized upon reacting CS_2 and CO_2 on K[$^{t\mathrm{Bu}}$diket]$^-$ (see ESI† for details), as well as reported carboxylation reactions of (di-)ketones in the presence of strong bases (*e.g.* DBU),[77] suggests that the first step of the reaction to be redox neutral and involves the formation of a transient Mo(II) (dithio)carboxylated complex, [Mo($^{t\mathrm{Bu}}$diket)$_2$($^{t\mathrm{Bu}}$diket·CE_2)]$^{1-}$ (E = O, S), in the reaction mixture (Scheme 3). We propose that the less electron withdrawing nature of the formed dithiocarboxylate moiety relative to a carboxylate moiety accounts for the difference in reactivity between CO_2 and CS_2. The more anodic redox potential associated to the oxidation of **6** to [Mo($^{t\mathrm{Bu}}$diket)$_2$($^{t\mathrm{Bu}}$diket·CO_2)]$^{1-}$ at $E_{ox} = -1.80$ V (with respect to **5**/**3**, $E_{1/2} = -2.29$ V) observed in the CV of **6** (see ESI Fig. S61†) and in good agreement with the lower electron donating properties of the carboxylated ligand, highlights that [Mo($^{t\mathrm{Bu}}$diket)$_2$($^{t\mathrm{Bu}}$diket·CO_2)]$^{1-}$ is more oxidizing than the parent **5** complex and is consequently further reduced by an additional equivalent of **5** (see ESI Scheme S2†). This corroborates well with the increased yield of **6** when additional KC_8 was added to the reaction mixture (*vide supra*). Comparatively, the [$^{t\mathrm{Bu}}$diket·CS_2]$^-$ ligand is expected to be a better donor than [$^{t\mathrm{Bu}}$diket·CO_2]$^-$. This prevents the formation of the Mo(I)



Scheme 2 (a) Reactivity of **5** with CS_2 in the absence of 18-crown-6 and (b) on a preparative scale in the presence of 18-crown-6 ([a]isolated yield after recrystallization, [b]spectroscopic yield by UV-vis). (c) Thiocarboxylation of K[^{tBu}diket] with CS_2 and concomitant formation of potassium methyl xanthate.



Scheme 3 Generalized mechanistic pattern for the reactivity of $[Mo(^{R}diket)_3]^{-1}$ with CO_2 (red arrow) *vs.* CS_2 (yellow arrow).

complex observed in the presence of CO_2 (red arrow), and instead results in the formation of tetrathiooxalate (yellow arrow), in analogy with what observed by Murray and co-workers, with tris(β-diketiminate) cyclophane ligands.[75] The quantitative formation of **3** in the presence of CS_2 further supports this hypothesis, suggesting that the observed difference in activity in the presence of CS_2 and CO_2 arises from the reducibility of the (dithio)carboxylated ligand (see ESI Scheme S2†). Mo has no direct role in the dimerization of CS_2, yet it is essential here, enabling a redox-non innocent character of the β-diketonate ligand, consequently increasing its nucleophilicity as well as facilitating electron transfer from the electrode (or chemical reducing agent) towards the substrate. These two roles are well exemplified by the metallaoxirane formation observed for **5** as well as by the reversible electrochemistry of $[Mo(^{R}diket)_3]$ (R = Me, ^{t}Bu) complexes in the absence of substrate, which turns irreversible in the presence of CO_2 or CS_2. Note that, while non-catalytic, this property could also be exploited to synthetize the free carboxylated ligand $[^{tBu}diket\cdot CO_2]^-$, generally requiring demanding synthetic conditions to be synthetized with low yields.[77–80] We found that the addition of ^{tBu}diketH (2 equiv.) to ^{13C}**6**, cleanly afforded **3**, while releasing the carboxylated ligand $[^{tBu}diket\cdot CO_2]^-$. Isolation of $[^{tBu}diket\cdot CO_2]^-$ is however made challenging due to the known lability of the carboxylate group located in β-position to two carbonyls, prone to decarboxylation (see ESI Section S3.4† for details).[81]

Overall, the $[Mo(^{R}diket)_3]$ reductive carboxylation and (electro)catalyzed reductive dimerization of CS_2 to tetrathiooxalate allow to illustrate another aspect of the non-innocence of the β-diketonate ligands. Here, bond forming- and breaking events with the substrate exclusively occur at the ligand, acting as a chemically non-innocent ligand.[82] This cooperative character of the ligand is a consequence of its redox-non innocent nature when coordinated to low-valent Mo, highlighting the synergistic roles of Mo and the β-diketonate ligand in facilitating CO_2 and CS_2 activation.

Conclusions

In summary, we have introduced here new synthetic protocols for the preparation of β-diketonate supported Mo(III) complexes (**1** and **3**), as well as their transformation to the corresponding oxidized (+IV) and reduced (+II) species. This allowed for a detailed study of the rich redox-chemistry of such low-valent octahedral molybdenum complexes bearing exclusively anionic ligands by electrochemical methods combined with chemical synthesis. X-ray crystallographic studies revealed a clear redox non-innocent behavior of the β-diketonate ligands, expressed by an unprecedented binding mode switch from κ-O

to η^2-CO upon reduction. **5** represents a rare example of a formal Mo(II) complex exclusively supported by anionic ligands, giving it a sufficiently strong reducing power to react with both CO_2 and CS_2, yet with distinct outcomes: Exposure of **5** to CO_2 resulted in a formal disproportionation reaction yielding **3** and **6**, while quantitative formation of **3** along with the formation of tetrathiooxalate was observed in the presence of CS_2. Reactivity studies suggest a mechanism involving an analogous intermediate for both substrates, resulting from the formation of a C–C bond between the central carbon of a β-diketonate ligand and CO_2/CS_2 to yield a Mo-bound (dithio) carboxylated ligand ($[Mo(^{tBu}diket)_2(^{tBu}diket\cdot CE_2)]^{1-}$). Although this ligand-centered reactivity undermines the use of $[Mo(^{R}diket)_3]$ (R = Me, ^{t}Bu) for the catalytic reduction of CO_2, it however does not prevent the reductive dimerization of CS_2 to tetrathiooxalate, for which $[Mo(^{R}diket)_3]$ was found to be a suitable (electro)catalyst. This work emphasizes the redox and chemical non-innocent nature of the β-diketonate ligands, highlighting that this non-innocent character can be either beneficial or detrimental to catalytic turnover, depending on the nature of the reactive substrate studied.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.† Crystallographic data for 16 structurally characterized complexes has been deposited at the CCDC under 2352819–2352834 and can be obtained from **https://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures/**.

Author contributions

V. M. designed and supervised the project. F. M. carried the synthetic work and analytical characterizations. All authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript and validated it before submission.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement no. 853064). We deeply thank Dr Daniel Klose for recording the EPR spectrum of **6**. We thank Dr Michael Wörle and Dr René Verel, for their help with XRD and NMR studies, respectively.

Notes and references

1 R. Hille, J. Hall and P. Basu, The Mononuclear Molybdenum Enzymes, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 3963–4038.
2 R. Hille, The Mononuclear Molybdenum Enzymes, *Chem. Rev.*, 1996, **96**, 2757–2816.
3 G. Schwarz, R. R. Mendel and M. W. Ribbe, Molybdenum cofactors, enzymes and pathways, *Nature*, 2009, **460**, 839–847.
4 A. Majumdar and S. Sarkar, Bioinorganic chemistry of molybdenum and tungsten enzymes: A structural–functional modeling approach, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **255**, 1039–1054.
5 B. Spivack and Z. Dori, Structural aspects of molybdenum(IV), molybdenum(V) and molybdenum(VI) complexes, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1975, **17**, 99–136.
6 R. H. Holm, The biologically relevant oxygen atom transfer chemistry of molybdenum: from synthetic analogue systems to enzymes, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1990, **100**, 183–221.
7 J. H. Enemark, J. J. A. Cooney, J.-J. Wang and R. H. Holm, Synthetic Analogues and Reaction Systems Relevant to the Molybdenum and Tungsten Oxotransferases, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 1175–1200.
8 S. Groysman and R. H. Holm, Biomimetic chemistry of iron, nickel, molybdenum, and tungsten in sulfur-ligated protein sites, *Biochemistry*, 2009, **48**, 2310–2320.
9 K. Heinze, Bioinspired functional analogs of the active site of molybdenum enzymes: Intermediates and mechanisms, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **300**, 121–141.
10 S. Patsch, J. V. Correia, B. J. Elvers, M. Steuer and C. Schulzke, Inspired by Nature-Functional Analogues of Molybdenum and Tungsten-Dependent Oxidoreductases, *Molecules*, 2022, **27**, 1–31.
11 B. R. Williams, Y. Fu, G. P. A. Yap and S. J. N. Burgmayer, Structure and Reversible Pyran Formation in Molybdenum Pyranopterin Dithiolene Models of the Molybdenum Cofactor, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 19584–19587.
12 J.-P. Porcher, T. Fogeron, M. Gomez-Mingot, L.-M. Chamoreau, Y. Li and M. Fontecave, Synthesis and Reactivity of a Bio-inspired Dithiolene Ligand and its Mo Oxo Complex, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 4447–4453.
13 T. Fogeron, P. Retailleau, L. M. Chamoreau, Y. Li and M. Fontecave, Pyranopterin Related Dithiolene Molybdenum Complexes as Homogeneous Catalysts for CO_2 Photoreduction, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 17033–17037.
14 J.-P. Porcher, T. Fogeron, M. Gomez-Mingot, E. Derat, L.-M. Chamoreau, Y. Li and M. Fontecave, A Bioinspired Molybdenum Complex as a Catalyst for the Photo- and Electroreduction of Protons, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 14090–14093.
15 A. Mouchfiq, T. K. Todorova, S. Dey, M. Fontecave and V. Mougel, A bioinspired molybdenum–copper molecular catalyst for CO_2 electroreduction, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 5503–5510.
16 S. J. Nieter Burgmayer and M. L. Kirk, The Role of the Pyranopterin Dithiolene Component of Moco in Molybdoenzyme Catalysis, *Struct. Bonding*, 2019, **179**, 101–152.
17 C. E. Laplaza and C. C. Cummins, Dinitrogen Cleavage by a Three-Coordinate Molybdenum(III) Complex, *Science*, 1995, **268**, 861–863.

18 D. V. Yandulov and R. R. Schrock, Catalytic reduction of dinitrogen to ammonia at a single molybdenum center, *Science*, 2003, **301**, 76–78.
19 N. F. Both, A. Spannenberg, K. Junge and M. Beller, Low-Valent Molybdenum PNP Pincer Complexes as Catalysts for the Semihydrogenation of Alkynes, *Organometallics*, 2022, **41**, 1797–1805.
20 T. Leischner, A. Spannenberg, K. Junge and M. Beller, Synthesis of Molybdenum Pincer Complexes and Their Application in the Catalytic Hydrogenation of Nitriles, *ChemCatChem*, 2020, **12**, 4543–4549.
21 K. Arashiba, Y. Miyake and Y. Nishibayashi, A molybdenum complex bearing PNP-type pincer ligands leads to the catalytic reduction of dinitrogen into ammonia, *Nat. Chem.*, 2011, **3**, 120–125.
22 G. A. Silantyev, M. Forster, B. Schluschass, J. Abbenseth, C. Wurtele, C. Volkmann, M. C. Holthausen and S. Schneider, Dinitrogen Splitting Coupled to Protonation, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 5872–5876.
23 Q. Liao, N. Saffon-Merceron and N. Mezailles, Catalytic dinitrogen reduction at the molybdenum center promoted by a bulky tetradentate phosphine ligand, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 14206–14210.
24 R. Castro-Rodrigo, S. Chakraborty, L. Munjanja, W. W. Brennessel and W. D. Jones, Synthesis, Characterization, and Reactivities of Molybdenum and Tungsten PONOP Pincer Complexes, *Organometallics*, 2016, **35**, 3124–3131.
25 Y. Zhang, P. G. Williard and W. H. Bernskoetter, Synthesis and Characterization of Pincer-Molybdenum Precatalysts for CO2 Hydrogenation, *Organometallics*, 2016, **35**, 860–865.
26 Y. Zhang, B. S. Hanna, A. Dineen, P. G. Williard and W. H. Bernskoetter, Functionalization of Carbon Dioxide with Ethylene at Molybdenum Hydride Complexes, *Organometallics*, 2013, **32**, 3969–3979.
27 G. R. Lee, J. M. Maher and N. J. Cooper, Reductive disproportionation of carbon dioxide by dianionic carbonylmetalates of the transition metals, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1987, **109**, 2956–2962.
28 J. Chatt, M. Kubota, G. J. Leigh, F. C. March, R. Mason and D. J. Yarrow, A possible carbon dioxide complex of molybdenum and its rearrangement product di-μ-carbonato-bis{carbonyltris(dimethylphenylphosphine) molybdenum}: X-ray crystal structure, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1974, 1033–1034, DOI: **10.1039/C39740001033**.
29 R. Alvarez, J. L. Atwood, E. Carmona, P. J. Perez, M. L. Poveda and R. D. Rogers, Formation of carbonyl-carbonate complexes of molybdenum by reductive disproportionation of carbon dioxide. X-ray structure of $Mo_4(\mu^4\text{-}CO_3)(CO)_2(O)_2(\mu^2\text{-}O)_2(\mu^2\text{-}OH)_4(PMe_3)_6$, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1991, **30**, 1493–1499.
30 L. Contreras, M. Paneque, M. Sellin, E. Carmona, P. J. Pérez, E. Gutiérrez-Puebla, A. Monge and C. Ruiz, Novel carbon dioxide and carbonyl carbonate complexes of molybdenum. The X-ray structures of *trans*-$[Mo(CO_2)_2\{HN(CH_2CH_2PMe_2)_2\}(PMe_3)]$ and $[Mo_3(\mu^2\text{-}CO_3)(\mu^2\text{-}O)_2(O)_2(CO)_2(H_2O)(PMe_3)_6]\cdot H_2O$, *New J. Chem.*, 2005, **29**, 109–115.
31 K. A. Grice and C. Saucedo, Electrocatalytic Reduction of CO_2 by Group 6 $M(CO)_6$ Species without "Non-Innocent" Ligands, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, **55**, 6240–6246.
32 M. L. Clark, K. A. Grice, C. E. Moore, A. L. Rheingold and C. P. Kubiak, Electrocatalytic CO_2 reduction by M(bpy-R)$(CO)_4$ (M = Mo, W; R = H, *t*Bu) complexes. Electrochemical, spectroscopic, and computational studies and comparison with group 7 catalysts, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 1894–1900.
33 J. O. Taylor, F. L. P. Veenstra, A. M. Chippindale, M. J. Calhorda and F. Hartl, Group 6 Metal Complexes as Electrocatalysts of CO_2 Reduction: Strong Substituent Control of the Reduction Path of $[Mo(\eta^3\text{-allyl})(CO)_2(x,x'\text{-}$dimethyl-2,2′-bipyridine)(NCS)] (x = 4–6), *Organometallics*, 2019, **38**, 1372–1390.
34 R. Alvarez, E. Carmona, D. J. Cole-Hamilton, A. Galindo, E. Gutierrez-Puebla, A. Monge, M. L. Poveda and C. Ruiz, Formation of acrylic acid derivatives from the reaction of carbon dioxide with ethylene complexes of molybdenum and tungsten, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 5529–5531.
35 R. Alvarez, E. Carmona, A. Galindo, E. Gutierrez, J. M. Marin, A. Monge, M. L. Poveda, C. Ruiz and J. M. Savariault, Formation of carboxylate complexes from the reactions of carbon dioxide with ethylene complexes of molybdenum and tungsten. X-ray and neutron diffraction studies, *Organometallics*, 1989, **8**, 2430–2439.
36 W. H. Bernskoetter and B. T. Tyler, Kinetics and Mechanism of Molybdenum-Mediated Acrylate Formation from Carbon Dioxide and Ethylene, *Organometallics*, 2011, **30**, 520–527.
37 J. A. Buss and T. Agapie, Four-electron deoxygenative reductive coupling of carbon monoxide at a single metal site, *Nature*, 2016, **529**, 72–75.
38 J. A. Buss and T. Agapie, Mechanism of Molybdenum-Mediated Carbon Monoxide Deoxygenation and Coupling: Mono- and Dicarbyne Complexes Precede C-O Bond Cleavage and C-C Bond Formation, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 16466–16477.
39 L. C. Seefeldt, Z. Y. Yang, D. A. Lukoyanov, D. F. Harris, D. R. Dean, S. Raugei and B. M. Hoffman, Reduction of Substrates by Nitrogenases, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **120**, 5082–5106.
40 F. Masero and V. Mougel, Molybdenum(IV) β-diketonate complexes as highly active catalysts for allylic substitution reactions, *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, **59**, 4636–4639.
41 M. L. Larson and F. W. Moore, Synthesis and Properties of Molybdenum(III) Acetylacetonate, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1962, **1**, 856–859.
42 T. M. Harris and C. M. Harris, Carboxylation of β-Dicarbonyl Compounds through Dicarbanions. Cyclizations to 4-Hydroxy-2-pyrones, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1966, **31**, 1032–1035.
43 M. Hidai, K. Tominari, Y. Uchida and A. Misono, A molybdenum complex containing molecular nitrogen, *J. Chem. Soc. D*, 1969, **37**, 814.

44 M. Hidai, K. Tominari and Y. Uchida, Preparation and properties of dinitrogen-molybdenum complexes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1972, **94**, 110–114.
45 M. G. Vinum, L. Voigt, C. Bell, D. Mihrin, R. W. Larsen, K. M. Clark and K. S. Pedersen, Evidence for Non-Innocence of a β-Diketonate Ligand, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2020, **26**, 2143–2147.
46 M. G. Vinum, L. Voigt, S. H. Hansen, C. Bell, K. M. Clark, R. W. Larsen and K. S. Pedersen, Ligand field-actuated redox-activity of acetylacetonate, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 8267–8272.
47 T. Shibahara and M. Yamasaki, A New Syntheic Route to Hexachloromolybdate(III). An X-Ray Structure of $(NH_4)_3[MoCl_6]$, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1990, **63**, 3022–3023.
48 M. L. Larson and F. W. Moore, Characterization of the Brown Molybdenyl Bisacetylacetonate, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1963, **2**, 881–882.
49 F. A. Cotton, C. E. Rice and G. W. Rice, The crystal and molecular structures of bis(2,4-pentanedionato)chromium, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1977, **24**, 231–234.
50 K. M. Wampler and R. R. Schrock, Molybdenum tris(2,5-dimethylpyrrolide), a rare homoleptic molybdenum(III) monomer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 10226–10228.
51 H. Brunner, J. Wachter, I. Bernal and M. Creswick, Synthesis and X-Ray Structure Analysis of a Mo Complex with η^2-Coordinated Benzaldehyde; Transformation of a CO Ligand by Double α-Addition, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1979, **18**, 861–862.
52 S. Gambarotta, C. Floriani, A. Chiesi-Villa and C. Guastini, Carbon dioxide and formaldehyde coordination on molybdenocene to metal and hydrogen bonds of the C_1 molecule in the solid state, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 2985–2986.
53 N. J. Christensen, P. Legzdins, J. Trotter and V. C. Yee, Reactivities of representative cyclopentadienyl η^4-tr*ans-d*iene nitrosyl complexes of molybdenum toward acetone, *Organometallics*, 1991, **10**, 4021–4030.
54 Y.-C. Tsai, M. J. A. Johnson, D. J. Mindiola, C. C. Cummins, W. T. Klooster and T. F. Koetzle, A Cyclometalated Resting State for a Reactive Molybdenum Amide: Favorable Consequences of β-Hydrogen Elimination Including Reductive Cleavage, Coupling, and Complexation, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 10426–10427.
55 C. Camp and J. Arnold, On the non-innocence of "Nacnacs": ligand-based reactivity in β-diketiminate supported coordination compounds, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 14462–14498.
56 Z. J. Tonzetich, A. J. Jiang, R. R. Schrock and P. Müller, Molybdenum Imido Alkylidene Complexes that Contain a β-Diketiminate Ligand, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 3771–3783.
57 L. E. Doyle, W. E. Piers and J. Borau-Garcia, Ligand Cooperation in the Formal Hydrogenation of N_2O Using a $PC_{sp2}P$ Iridium Pincer Complex, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 2187–2190.
58 A. Dauth, U. Gellrich, Y. Diskin-Posner, Y. Ben-David and D. Milstein, The Ferraquinone–Ferrahydroquinone Couple: Combining Quinonic and Metal-Based Reactivity, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 2799–2807.
59 M. H. P. Rietveld, H. Hagen, L. van de Water, D. M. Grove, H. Kooijman, N. Veldman, A. L. Spek and G. van Koten, Tantalacyclobutane Complexes Containing the Potentially C,N,N' -Coordinating Ligand $[C_6H_4(CH_2N(Me)CH_2CH_2NMe_2)\text{-}2]^-$ (CNN) and Their Reactivity with Carbon Monoxide and *tert*-Butyl Isocyanide, *Organometallics*, 1997, **16**, 168–177.
60 W. D. Harman, D. P. Fairlie and H. Taube, Synthesis, characterization, and reactivity of the (η^2-acetone) pentaammineosmium(II) complex, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 8223–8227.
61 M. A. Lockwood, P. E. Fanwick and I. P. Rothwell, Reactivity of a tungsten(II) aryloxide with imines, ketones and aldehydes, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 2013, DOI: **10.1039/cc9960002013**.
62 D. J. Mindiola, R. Waterman, D. M. Jenkins and G. L. Hillhouse, Synthesis of 1,2-bis(di-*tert*-butylphosphino) ethane (dtbpe) complexes of nickel: radical coupling and reduction reactions promoted by the nickel(I) dimer $[(dtbpe)NiCl]_2$, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2003, **345**, 299–308.
63 T. J. Hadlington, T. Szilvási and M. Driess, Metal nitrene-like reactivity of a Si-N bond towards CO_2, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 9352–9355.
64 D. G. A. Verhoeven, M. A. C. van Wiggen, J. Kwakernaak, M. Lutz, R. J. M. Klein Gebbink and M.-E. Moret, Periodic Trends in the Binding of a Phosphine-Tethered Ketone Ligand to Fe, Co, Ni, and Cu, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 5163–5172.
65 K. C. MacLeod, I. M. DiMucci, E. P. Zovinka, S. F. McWilliams, B. Q. Mercado, K. M. Lancaster and P. L. Holland, Masked Radicals: Iron Complexes of Trityl, Benzophenone, and Phenylacetylene, *Organometallics*, 2019, **38**, 4224–4232.
66 L. E. Helberg, T. B. Gunnoe, B. C. Brooks, M. Sabat and W. D. Harman, Rhenium(I) Terpyridine π-Bases: Reversible η^2-Coordination of Ketones, Aldehydes, and Olefins in the Terpyridine Plane, *Organometallics*, 1999, **18**, 573–581.
67 D. S. Williams, M. H. Schofield, J. T. Anhaus and R. R. Schrock, Synthesis and reactions of tungsten(IV) bis(imido) complexes: relatives of bent metallocenes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 6728–6729.
68 B. W. H. Saes, D. G. A. Verhoeven, M. Lutz, R. J. M. Klein Gebbink and M.-E. Moret, Coordination of a Diphosphine–Ketone Ligand to Ni(0), Ni(I), and Ni(II): Reduction-Induced Coordination, *Organometallics*, 2015, **34**, 2710–2713.
69 W. Egan, G. Gunnarsson, T. E. Bull and S. Forsen, A nuclear magnetic resonance study of the intramolecular hydrogen bond in acetylacetone, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1977, **99**, 4568–4572.
70 D. E. Ryan and K. Nakanishi, Methine-selective deuteration of V(acac)3, [Co(acac)2], and Al(acac)3, *J. Labelled Compd. Radiopharm.*, 1995, **36**, 595–598.
71 M. D. Anker, M. Arrowsmith, P. Bellham, M. S. Hill, G. Kociok-Köhn, D. J. Liptrot, M. F. Mahon and

C. Weetman, Selective reduction of CO_2 to a methanol equivalent by $B(C_6F_5)_3$-activated alkaline earth catalysis, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 2826–2830.

72 F. A. LeBlanc, A. Berkefeld, W. E. Piers and M. Parvez, Reactivity of Scandium β-Diketiminate Alkyl Complexes with Carbon Dioxide, *Organometallics*, 2012, **31**, 810–818.

73 M. Vogt, M. Gargir, M. A. Iron, Y. Diskin-Posner, Y. Ben-David and D. Milstein, A new mode of activation of CO_2 by metal-ligand cooperation with reversible C-C and M-O bond formation at ambient temperature, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 9194–9197.

74 D. Sieh, D. C. Lacy, J. C. Peters and C. P. Kubiak, Reduction of CO_2 by Pyridine Monoimine Molybdenum Carbonyl Complexes: Cooperative Metal-Ligand Binding of CO_2, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 8497–8503.

75 M. V. L. Ocampo and L. J. Murray, Metal-Tuned Ligand Reactivity Enables CX_2 (X = O, S) Homocoupling with Spectator Cu Centers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 1019–1025.

76 N. Queyriaux, Redox-Active Ligands in Electroassisted Catalytic H^+ and CO_2 Reductions: Benefits and Risks, *ACS Catal.*, 2021, **11**, 4024–4035.

77 K. I. Assaf, A. K. Qaroush, I. K. Okashah, F. a. M. Al-Qaisi, F. Alsoubani and A. a. F. Eftaiha, Activation of β-diketones for CO_2 capture and utilization, *React. Chem. Eng.*, 2021, **6**, 2364–2375.

78 T. Baran, A. Dibenedetto, M. Aresta, K. Kruczała and W. Macyk, Photocatalytic Carboxylation of Organic Substrates with Carbon Dioxide at Zinc Sulfide with Deposited Ruthenium Nanoparticles, *ChemPlusChem*, 2014, **79**, 708–715.

79 A. Dibenedetto, J. Zhang, M. Trochowski, A. Angelini, W. Macyk and M. Aresta, Photocatalytic carboxylation of C H bonds promoted by popped graphene oxide (PGO) either bare or loaded with CuO, *J. CO_2 Util.*, 2017, **20**, 97–104.

80 S. Saini, R. S. Das, A. Kumar and S. L. Jain, Photocatalytic C–H Carboxylation of 1,3-Dicarbonyl Compounds with Carbon Dioxide Promoted by Nickel(II)-Sensitized α-Fe_2O_3 Nanoparticles, *ACS Catal.*, 2022, **12**, 4978–4989.

81 G. Bartoli, M. Bosco, A. Guerrieri, R. Dalpozzo, A. De Nino, E. Iantorno and G. Palmieri, Reaction of dianions of acyclic β-enamino ketones with electrophiles. vii. synthesis of 5-(monoalkylamino)-3-oxo γ,δ-unsaturated acids and esters and of 3-(monoalkylamino)-5-oxo β,γ-unsaturated esters, *Gazz. Chim. Ital.*, 1996, **126**, 25–29.

82 F. Schneck, M. Finger, M. Tromp and S. Schneider, Chemical Non-Innocence of an Aliphatic PNP Pincer Ligand, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2017, **23**, 33–37.