



Cite this: *Green Chem.*, 2022, **24**, 552

Applications of deep eutectic solvents in the extraction, dissolution, and functional materials of chitin: research progress and prospects

Jiake Wang, Changchang Teng and Lifeng Yan *

As a recyclable and inexhaustible renewable resource, chitin is one of the most abundant polysaccharides in nature. It has excellent biocompatibility, biodegradability, and non-toxicity and has been widely used in food packaging, tissue engineering, and other fields. Deep eutectic solvents (DESs) are regarded as a new generation of green solvents due to their excellent biocompatibility and stability. Recently, the use of DESs to process chitin has also received widespread attention. In this review, we first introduced DESs and chitin, then discussed the relationship between the properties of DESs and the applications in the extraction, dissolution, and functional materials of chitin. In addition, the related applications are classified and introduced. The opportunities and challenges for DESs when dealing with chitin systems are also summarized.

Received 22nd November 2021,
Accepted 13th December 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1gc04340d

rsc.li/greenchem

Introduction

With the development of science and technology, people's quality of life has been greatly improved. However, while enjoying the convenience brought about by modern technology, energy and environmental issues have become increasingly prominent and have attracted widespread attention from all countries. Due to people's inadequate anticipation of the negative effects of highly developed industries and unfavorable prevention, it has led to three major global crises: resource short-

age, environmental pollution, and ecological destruction. Sustainable development has become the theme of the 21st century.

The development of environmentally friendly materials using widely existing substances in nature can effectively solve environmental problems, and researchers have made a lot of effort for research.^{1–3} Among these natural materials, chitin has been favored by researchers because of its wide sources and excellent properties in nature. Chitin is composed of β -(1,4) linked *N*-acetyl-glucosamine units, which is the second-largest natural polymer after cellulose with an annual biosynthesis amount of about 10 billion tons, and it is a recyclable and inexhaustible renewable resource.^{1,4,5} As the most abundant nitrogen-containing biopolymer in nature, chitin is

Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at the Microscale, and Department of Chemical Physics, iCHEM, University of Science and Technology of China, Jinzai Road 96, Hefei, 230026, Anhui, China. E-mail: lfyan@ustc.edu.cn



Jiake Wang

Jiake Wang is currently a PhD candidate in the School of Chemistry and Materials Science at USTC, and his supervisor is Professor Lifeng Yan. His research focuses on green chemistry and materials, and he mainly studies the application of eutectic solvents. He received his bachelor's degree from Soochow University.



Changchang Teng

Teng Changchang is currently a PhD candidate at the School of Chemistry and Materials Science, University of Science and Technology of China, under the supervision of Prof. Yan Lifeng. Her research focuses on the application of biomaterials. She obtained her BSc degree from Shanghai University.

mainly distributed in the shells of marine arthropods, such as shrimps and crabs, the shells of insects, the shells and bones of mollusks, and the cell walls of higher plants.^{6–8} Chitin and its derivatives (such as chitosan and etherified chitin) have excellent biocompatibility, non-toxicity, biodegradability, and physiological activity.^{9,10} Due to these superior properties, chitin and its derivatives have a wide range of applications in the fields of textiles, medicine, food, cosmetics, and bioengineering.^{11–20}

Despite the abundance and excellent properties of chitin, two critical factors hinder the production and utilization of chitin:

The first factor is the extraction and separation of chitin. So far, the main source of chitin is the shells of shrimp and crabs, which are composed of 20%–40% protein, 20%–50% calcium carbonate, and 15%–40% chitin.^{21–23} Therefore, the traditional process of extracting chitin inevitably goes through two steps: deproteinization and demineralization. At present, the industry uses chemical methods and biological methods to carry out these steps.^{24,25} In the traditional chemical process, demineralization is achieved by treating with dilute hydrochloric acid to remove calcium carbonate, and deproteinizing is performed by treating the product with sodium hydroxide at high temperatures. The process of chemical treatment involves the use of a large number of acid and alkali, which causes great corrosion to the equipment. In addition, the washing process produced large plenty of wastewater, which caused great pollution to the environment. Biological strategies, such as enzyme treatment and microbial treatment, can avoid the use of acid and alkali and obtain high-quality chitin. The chitin obtained by biological strategies has higher molecular weight and crystallinity than that obtained by chemical treatment.^{25,26} However, biological processes require a lot of time, high energy, and cost, and the removal of minerals and proteins is incomplete, which limits the use of this strategy.

The second factor is the dissolution process of chitin. Chitin is a very stubborn polymer due to its high degree of crystallinity and the existence of a large number of hydrogen bonds between its molecules, which cannot be dissolved in

conventional solvents (such as water, dilute acids, and alkalis, and organic solvents).^{27,28} Poor solubility brings great challenges to chitin-related applications. To date, there are two types of traditional solvents for chitin: aqueous and non-aqueous solvents (LiCl/DMAC and saturated CaCl₂·2H₂O/methanol).^{29–35} For aqueous solvents, there are mainly three types of solvents: strong acid solution, inorganic salt solution, and alkaline solution. (1) Chitin can be dissolved in high concentrations of hydrochloric, sulfuric, and phosphoric acid solutions. However, in the process of dissolution, the molecular weight of chitin will be greatly reduced and the degree of deacetylation will be enhanced, resulting in poor performance of the prepared material. In addition, the use of large amounts of acid also causes inevitable pollution to the environment. (2) It has been found that chitin can also be dissolved in inorganic salt solutions, such as LiSCN, CaCl₂, and NaI solutions. However, there are few studies on this type of solvent, and the related dissolution mechanism is not clear. (3) The alkaline solvent system has attracted much attention due to its mild dissolution process and high solubility. It is found that chitin has good solubility in the alkali metal/urea system (KOH, NaOH, LiOH/urea). By the freezing and thawing method, chitin and alkali metals interact with each other by electrostatic interaction and hydrogen bond, which weakens the force between chitin molecules. Meanwhile, urea molecules block the aggregation of chitin molecules. The synergistic effect between urea and alkali metals makes chitin have good solubility in the aqueous solution of alkali metals. However, the dissolution process of this system takes a long time, and the solubility of chitin is limited, so it is difficult to expand to industrial production. For non-aqueous solvent systems, there are disadvantages of solvent instability and high toxicity, and they are difficult to produce on a large scale. For example, although the LiCl/DMAC system has a good dissolution effect on chitin, the toxicity of Li⁺ and DMAC will cause environmental pollution. In addition, this solvent system is very sensitive to water, and the existence of water will greatly reduce the dissolution effect. These shortcomings seriously hinder the use of non-aqueous solvents.

In recent years, ionic liquids (ILs), ionic compounds consisting of charged anion and cation pairs whose melting points are below a certain temperature (*e.g.* 100 °C), have attracted attention for their excellent solubility and separation properties.^{36,37} Researchers have also made great progress in separating and dissolving chitin with ionic liquids.^{38–42} However, the high preparation cost, high toxicity, and difficult recovery of ionic liquids hinder the application of ionic liquids in chitin.⁴³ Finding a solvent with good performance and environmental protection is a huge challenge.

Deep eutectic solvents (DESS) are multi-component eutectic mixtures composed of hydrogen bond acceptors (such as quaternary ammonium salts) and hydrogen bond donors (polyols and polycarboxylic acids, *etc.*) in a certain molar ratio.^{44,45} They not only have many advantages similar to ionic liquids (such as low vapor pressure, stability, and conductivity) but more importantly, DESS are considered as a new generation of



Lifeng Yan

Lifeng Yan obtained his Ph.D. degree in physical chemistry in 2001 at the University of Science and Technology of China (USTC). He obtained his BSc degree in chemistry at Zhengzhou University in 1991. He became a full professor in 2012 at the School of Chemistry and Materials Sciences, USTC. His main research interests are biomass conversion, degradable polymers for nanomedicine (theranostics), and energy storage.

green solvents because of their biocompatibility, low cost, biodegradability, wide source and other advantages that ionic liquids cannot achieve. Based on these advantages, people have conducted in-depth research on DESs.^{45–48} Great progress has also been made in the study of DESs related to chitin.

The separation and application of chitin have been summarized wonderfully in other reviews.^{49–52} In this mini-overview, we begin with a brief introduction to chitin and DESs. The progress of DESs related to chitin (including extraction, dissolution, and related materials) is also summarized. Finally, the development of DESs and chitin is summarized and prospected.

Chitin and DESs

Chitin

As one of the oldest and most abundant polysaccharides, chitin is widely found in nature. As the origin of life, there are more than 180 000 kinds of animals and 20 000 kinds of plants in the ocean. The main source of chitin is shrimp and crab shells in the sea, which are inexhaustible. Chitin is a linear polymer composed of 1000 to 3000 acetylglucosamine residues connected to each other through 1,4 glycosidic bonds. As mentioned above, natural chitin has a high degree of crystallinity, including α , β , and γ crystal forms.^{53,54} The α -chitin is composed of two antiparallel chains and has strong intermolecular hydrogen bonds, which is the most important and most stable form in existence. The high crystallization and a large number of hydrogen bonds in the molecular chain of chitin make it difficult to dissolve. In addition, the low reactivity of chitin limits its application (Fig. 1).




Fig. 1 The structure and configuration of chitin. (a and b) Ball-and-stick model of the dGlcNAc monomer, where red is oxygen, blue is nitrogen, and gray is carbon atom; (c) schematic diagram of chitin molecular chain arrangement; (d–f) schematic diagrams of the molecular chain orientations in the polymorphic configurations of different types of chitin; (g and h) molecular chain packaging diagram and nanofiber packaging diagram in chitin nanofibers.⁵⁴ Copyright 2021 Elsevier Ltd.

To solve these problems, researchers have adopted two strategies to use chitin: find a suitable solvent to use natural chitin directly and modify chitin to obtain higher-value chitin derivatives (such as chitosan). Chitosan is the product of partial or complete *N*-deacetylation of natural chitin. Generally speaking, it can be called chitosan if more than 55% of the *n*-acetyl group is removed, which is the most important derivative of chitin because of its better solubility and higher reactivity. Chitin and chitosan are widely used in food, textile, agriculture, medicine, and other fields for their excellent properties such as biodegradability, biocompatibility, non-toxicity, and bacteriostasis.

As described in the introduction, the existing solvent system has disadvantages such as poor solubility, high pollution, and difficulty in mass production. Researchers are eager to find a new generation of green solvents to replace the existing solvents and realize the direct use of chitin. DESs, as a new generation of environmentally friendly solvents, provide the possibility for the large-scale utilization of chitin (the dissolving of chitin by DESs will be described in detail in the following sections).

DESs

DESs consist of hydrogen bond donors (HBDs) and hydrogen bond acceptors (HBAs) with strong hydrogen bond interaction and electrostatic interaction and can be prepared by directly heating and stirring raw materials. Normally, DESs are two-component or three-component systems. HBAs are composed of quaternary ammonium salts and metal salts (such as choline chloride, betaine, LiCl, ZnCl₂, *etc.*) while HBDs are composed of polyols, polyacids, and polyamines (such as ethylene glycol, lactic acid, oxalic acid, urea, *etc.*). They have good biocompatibility, biodegradability, stability, conductivity, and 100% atomic utilization. Due to the above advantages, DESs have been widely used in the extraction and separation of target components of biomass, smelting of precious metals, preparation of gels, synthesis of organic reactions, and other aspects.

DESs related to chitin are shown in Table 1, which can not only be used to extract and separate chitin but also can interact with chitin to prepare chitin nanofibers, deacetylated chitin (chitosan), magnetic chitin, *etc.* In addition, with its excellent solubility, DESs can directly dissolve chitin to prepare chitin-related materials. Moreover, DESs can also be used as modifiers to modify chitin and its derivatives. Due to the limited space of this article, we will only focus on DESs related to the application of chitin in the following paragraphs. For the application and progress of DESs in other areas, readers can refer to other wonderful reviews.^{55,56}

Structure–property relationships between DESs and chitin

As a new generation of green solvents, DESs have unique properties. For example, compared with ionic liquids, DESs have the advantages of a wide range of sources, simple preparation, low toxicity, and low cost, while the thermal stability, non-flammability, biodegradability, and excellent solubility of DESs

Table 1 DESs and raw materials related to the application of chitin

Applications	HBAs	HBDs	Molar ratio	Raw materials	Ref.						
Dissolution of chitin	Choline chloride	Urea	1 : 2	α -Chitin	62						
	Choline bromide		1 : 2								
	Betaine hydrochloride		1 : 4								
	Choline chloride	Thiourea	1 : 2	α -Chitin	63						
	1-Allyl-3-methylimidazolium chloride	Thiourea	2 : 1								
	1-Ethyl-3-methylimidazolium chlorides		2 : 1								
Extraction and conversion of chitin	Choline chloride		2 : 1	Lobster shells	64						
			Thiourea			1 : 1					
			Urea			2 : 1					
		Glycerol	2 : 1	Lobster shell	65						
	Choline chloride	Malonic acid	2 : 1								
		Malonic acid	1 : 2								
		Malic acid	1 : 1								
	Choline chloride	Lactic acid	1 : 1	Shrimp shells	66						
		Levulinic acid	1 : 2								
		Malic acid	1 : 1								
	Choline chloride	DL-Lactic acid	Glycerol	Not given	Skimmed black soldier fly	67					
							Urea				
							Oxalic acid				
		N-Butyric acid	DL-Lactic acid	Glycerol	Urea	Oxalic acid	N-Butyric acid				
								Urea			
								Oxalic acid			
		Betaine	Urea	Ethylene glycol	Glycerol	Lactic acid (85%)	1 : 1				
								Choline chloride	Malonic acid	1 : 1	Urea
Choline chloride											
		Choline chloride	ZnCl ₂	1 : 2	α -Chitin	75					
							Choline chloride	Ferric chloride hexahydrate	1 : 1	α -Chitin	76
Choline chloride											
	Choline chloride	Citric acid monohydrate	1 : 1	Chitosan ($M_w = 900\ 000$)	78						
						Choline chloride	Malonic acid	1 : 1	Chitosan (deacetylation degree of 76%)	79	
Choline chloride											Lactic acid
	Choline chloride	DL-Malic	1 : 1	Chitosan (deacetylation degree of 72.2% and 83.4%)	81						
						Choline chloride	Malonic acid	1 : 1	Chitosan (deacetylation degree of 90%)	82	
Choline chloride											Citric acid 1-hydrate
	Choline chloride	Glycerol	1 : 2	Chitosan and carboxymethyl cellulose	84						
						Choline chloride	Lactic acid	1 : 1	Shrimp shells	85	
Choline chloride											1,4-Butanediol
	Choline chloride	Ethylene glycol	1 : 2	Chitosan	87						
						Choline chloride	Urea	1 : 2	Chitosan	88	
Choline chloride											1,6-Hexanediol
	Choline chloride	Urea	1 : 2	Chitosan	88						
						Choline chloride	Urea	1 : 4	Shrimp shells	85	
Choline chloride											Lactic acid
	Choline chloride	Urea	1 : 2	Chitosan	87						
						Choline chloride	Thiourea	1 : 2	Chitosan	87	
Choline chloride											Urea
	Choline chloride	Lactic acid	1 : 2	Chitosan	87						
						Choline chloride	Methacrylic acid	1 : 2	Chitosan	87	
Choline chloride											Methacrylic acid and H ₂ O
	Choline chloride	Lactic acid	1 : 2	Chitosan	88						

Table 1 (Contd.)

Applications	HBAs	HBDs	Molar ratio	Raw materials	Ref.
Chitin modification	Choline chloride Choline chloride	Urea	1 : 2	Chitosan	89
		Glycerol			
		L-Lactic acid	1 : 2	Shells of red shrimp	90
		D/L/DL-Malic acid	1 : 2 to 1 : 5		
		Citric acid	1 : 2 to 2 : 1		
	Choline chloride	Acetic acid	1 : 2	Chitin from shrimp shells	91
		Malic acid			

make it possible to replace organic solvents. By regulating the molar ratio and types of HBAs and HBDs, the properties of DESs such as density, viscosity, pH, and polarity can be tuned. Moreover, these properties influence each other and are temperature dependent so that we can choose the optimal DESs based on the needs of the application. In view of the limited space here, we focus on the relationship between the properties of DESs and the applications of chitin, hoping to provide a reference for selecting suitable DESs for the valorization of chitin in the future. As for the structure–property relationships of DESs, readers can refer to the previous wonderful reviews.^{56–59} As shown in Table 2, we have listed several typical examples of the applications of DESs to chitin, and discussed the structure–property relationships between DESs and chitin.

As mentioned earlier, dissolving chitin begins by breaking numerous hydrogen bonds between the molecules. For this reason, choosing DESs with greater polarity can better dissolve chitin (such as ChCl/urea, ChCl/thiourea). The solvent parameters of the Kamlet–Taft (KT) model (hydrogen-bond acidity (α), hydrogen-bond basicity (β), and polarity (π^*)) can also provide a reference for dissolving chitin. DESs with higher α and β values have better hydrogen bond donating/receiving ability, which makes it easier to dissolve chitin.^{57,60,61}

The presence of H⁺ can effectively separate chitin from organisms, choosing DESs with lower pH (such as ChCl/oxalic acid, ChCl/lactic acid, ChCl/citric acid) can result in chitin with higher purity. Moreover, due to the advantages of the low vapor pressure of DESs, using a microwave instead of the traditional heating method can extract chitin efficiently and quickly.

Viscosity and density are important parameters of DESs. Due to a large number of hydrogen bonds, van der Waals interactions, and ionic interactions between the various components, the density and viscosity of DESs are relatively high and decrease with increasing temperature. DESs with lower viscosity and density can better penetrate the structure of biomass, thereby efficiently extracting chitin. The proton acid-catalyzed cleavage is an important mechanism for the preparation of chitin nanofibers. Therefore, DESs with lower pH are usually used to prepare chitin nanofibers.

In the following chapters, we will carry out an in-depth analysis based on specific applications.

Related applications of DES-chitin system

Dissolution of chitin

Because of the obstinate nature of chitin, the dissolving of chitin must break the high strength hydrogen bond between its molecules, which requires the solvent to have high hydrogen bond breaking ability and ionic strength. There are a lot of hydrogen and ionic bonds between the components of DESs, and they are highly soluble to many substances such as lignin, hemicellulose and even cellulose. Therefore, using DESs to dissolve chitin has become the focus of research.

The dissolution of chitin by DESs can be traced back to 2013. Sharma *et al.* studied the dissolution of α -chitin using different DESs by heating, microwave, and ultrasound. Typically, chitin was added to DESs and the mixture was heated to 100 °C, using microwave and ultrasonic assistance

Table 2 Typical examples of the properties of DESs and related applications of chitin

Applications	HBAs	HBDs	Molar ratio	T_f (K)	Viscosity at 298 K (cP)	Density at 298 K (g ml ⁻¹)	pH	Ref.
Dissolution of chitin	Choline chloride	Urea	1 : 2	285	750	1.21	10.07	61
Extraction and conversion of chitin		Glycerol	2 : 1	290	281	1.18	4.47	63
		Malonic acid	2 : 1	283	1638	1.25	1.28	
		Oxalic acid	1 : 1	307	597	1.15	1.22	64
		Ethylene glycol	1 : 2	237	37	1.12	4.38	65
Preparation of chitin nanofibers and nanocrystals		Malonic acid	2 : 1	283	1638	1.25	1.28	76




Fig. 2 (a) Optical photographs of α -chitin (2% w/w) solubilized in choline chloride/urea DES and 5% LiCl–*N,N*-dimethylacetamide; (b) phase contrast microscopic photograph (1006) of α -chitin (2% and 7% w/w) in choline chloride–thiourea 1:2 before and after dissolution (ultrasonication assisted heating).⁶² Copyright 2013 Royal Society of Chemistry.

can reduce the time required for dissolution (Fig. 2).⁶² Using choline chloride as the HBA and thiourea as the HBD, DESs with a molar ratio of 1:2 had the highest solubility (9 wt%). Replacing the HBD with urea also has a good solubility to chitin (up to 7 wt%). However, when the hydrogen bond donor is replaced with glycol and glycerol, chitin cannot dissolve in DESs, possibly due to their poor ability to break hydrogen bonds. These phenomena indicated that HBDs played an important role in chitin dissolution. It is noteworthy that chitosan was insoluble in DESs, indicating the effect of the chitin acetamido group on dissolution. This study opens the way for DESs to dissolve chitin.

Idenoue *et al.* mixed thiourea with imidazolium ILs to prepare an ionic liquid DES.⁶³ The introduction of thiourea increased the solubility of chitin (2 wt%–5 wt%) (Fig. 3). The substituents and counterions on the imidazole ring also have a great influence on the solubility of DES, but the specific mechanism was not clear. It is worth mentioning that after the chitin was dissolved, a transparent solution with no obvious color was formed. This DES had great application potential in the conversion and functionalization of chitin in the future.

Above all, DESs have great potential for dissolving chitin. However, the large number of hydrogen bonds and Lewis acid–base interactions in DES components complicate the mechanism of dissolving chitin by DESs, and relatively few DESs were reported for chitin dissolution. The viscosity of DESs and the solvent parameters of the Kamlet–Taft (K–T) model can provide a reference for dissolving chitin. The DES system dissolving chitin is a huge treasure trove, which needs researchers to explore many new efficient DESs systems and realize the large-scale application.

Extraction and conversion of chitin

As mentioned before, in the process of extracting chitin, minerals and proteins need to be removed, which involves the use of a large amount of acid and alkali and will cause environmental pollution. Therefore, finding a green way to extract chitin has become a great concern. Recently, it has been dis-




Fig. 3 (a) Preparation of DESs and (b) dissolution experiment procedure of chitin in DESs.⁶³ Copyright 2019 Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute.

covered that in addition to the dissolution of chitin, DESs can also replace traditional strong acids and bases to extract chitin.

As shown in Fig. 4, as early as 2017, Zhu *et al.* designed four DESs with choline chloride as the hydrogen bond acceptor, while thioureas, urea, glycerol, and malonic acid as hydrogen bond donors to extract chitin.⁶⁴ High purity chitin was extracted from lobster shells and compared with chitin extracted by chemical methods. Among them, the DES composed of choline chloride and malonic acid had the best extraction effect, the purity of chitin was the highest, and chitin with two crystallinities ($67.2 \pm 0.9\%$ for sample MA-S and $80.6 \pm 0.8\%$ for sample MA-P) was obtained. Not only that, the DES extraction method obtained a higher chitin yield than the chemical extraction method (21% and 16% for comparison), and the extracted chitin also has the potential for application in adsorption materials and tissue engineering materials. This work proves the potential of DESs in extracting chitin in a green, environmentally friendly, and efficient way, and is expected to realize the commercialization of chitin extraction.




Fig. 4 Schematic diagram of the DES extraction process.⁶⁴ Copyright 2017 Elsevier Ltd.

Later, Hong *et al.* in the same research group studied the effect of pH on the extraction of chitin from lobster shells by regulating hydrogen bond donors.⁶⁵ The results showed that the acidity and temperature of DES have significant effects on the extraction effect and molecular weight of chitin, and the highest purity of chitin can be extracted from DES composed of choline chloride and malonic acid. Moreover, they successfully converted CaCO₃ into a more valuable salt, calcium levulinate. This work opens up the possibility of extracting chitin of different molecular weights in a green way (Fig. 5).

Similarly, Huang *et al.* prepared natural DESs (NADESs) with natural substances, choline chloride and malic acid in 2018, and achieved the successful extraction of chitin from DESs.⁶⁶ Meanwhile, high-quality chitin with a crystallinity of up to 71% was prepared by a microwave-assisted method. The whole process did not involve the use of toxic chemicals, and was an efficient and facile method. It provides a green and simple strategy for extracting chitin from DESs, which has great potential for application in the future.

In another work, Zhou *et al.* prepared a series of DESs based on betaine and choline chloride and studied the factors

affecting the extraction effect of *Hermetia illucens* chitin.⁶⁷ After being reused three times, DESs still had a good extraction effect. The results showed that the H⁺ released in DESs contributed to demineralization, and a large number of hydrogen bonds in DESs was the key factor of deproteinization. This report provides a reference for extracting chitin from natural DESs.

Recently, Zhao *et al.* extracted chitin from lobster shells using a two-step process.⁶⁸ Firstly, the chitin was demineralized by citric acid. Then, the residual part was deproteinized by using DES with the assistance of the microwave method, and high-purity chitin was extracted. Besides, DESs can be recycled several times without loss of extraction efficiency. Bradić *et al.* extracted chitin with a purity of up to 98% using a system of choline chloride–lactic acid in a zero-pollution process with a yield of approximately 90% and achieved the recycling and continuous use of DESs.⁶⁹ These works provided the possibility of large-scale production in the future.

The strategy of using DESs to extract chitin is still in its infancy. However, due to its environmental protection and high efficiency, DESs have great application potential in the




Fig. 5 Sustainable process for chitin and minerals fractionation from shrimp shell by NADES.⁶⁵ Copyright 2020 De Gruyter.

extraction of chitin, and they provide the possibility for the industrial production of chitin. So far, the extraction effect of acidic DES (such as ChCl/lactic acid, ChCl/oxalic acid) is better, the release of H^+ in acidic DESs and the existence of a large number of hydrogen bonds can effectively extract high-purity chitin, and the use of the microwave method can also greatly improve the extraction efficiency. We hope that in the future, more and more people will participate in the research of extracting chitin from DESs, conduct in-depth research on the extraction mechanism, and expand this system from the laboratory scale to commercial scale.

Chitin based functional material preparation with the assistance of DESs. Chitin and its derivatives have many advantages such as good biocompatibility, biodegradability, antibacterial properties, non-toxicity, *etc.*, and have a wide range of applications in all aspects. DESs also have excellent properties such as biocompatibility, conductivity, and stability. Therefore, the preparation of advanced materials by combining DESs with chitin has gradually become the focus of attention. In the following, we will introduce related applications of DESs to chitin and its derivatives.

Preparation of chitin nanofibers and nanocrystals. Chitin nanofibers and nanocrystals (ChNFs and ChNCs) are widely used in composite materials, medical health, and tissue engineering due to their low density, high surface area, good biocompatibility, and mechanical properties.^{51,70,71} As early as 2014, Mukesh *et al.* successfully obtained chitin nanofibers using DESs composed of choline chloride and thiourea. However, after replacing thiourea with urea, nanofibers cannot be prepared, indicating the important role of hydrogen bond donors.




Fig. 6 Schematic diagram of the one-step manufacturing of nanocrystals (CNC).⁷³ Copyright 2019 Elsevier Ltd.

And they successfully applied nanofibers to calcium alginate beads as an enforcement filler, which proved the possibility of preparing chitin nanofibers with DESs.⁷² Later, as shown in Fig. 6, Hong *et al.* proposed a method using a DES composed of choline chloride and zinc chloride as a solvent and catalyst. After adding acetic anhydride or acetic acid, acetylation or esterification and the hydrolysis of chitin occur simultaneously in one step. DESs acted as a reaction solvent and also played an effective catalytic role. This work provides a new method for the preparation of functionalized chitin nanocrystals.⁷³

Recently, Hong *et al.* prepared a DES with ferric chloride hexahydrate and choline chloride at a molar ratio of 1:1.⁷⁴ The synergistic effect of Lewis acid and Brønsted acid promotes the effective hydrolysis of chitin. Meanwhile, with the aid of ultrasound, ChNCs were prepared from chitin in a DES with a yield of 88.5% and crystallinity of 89.2%. Moreover, the prepared nanocrystal has proved its application value in stabilizing emulsion. Yuan *et al.* studied the effect of various acidic DESs on the production of chitin nanocrystals.⁷⁵ The experiments showed that the acid promoted the hydrolysis and acetylation of chitin. During the DES treatment process, the removal of amorphous areas increased the crystallinity of the chitin nanofibers.

Similar to the extraction process of chitin, the synergistic effect of Lewis acid and Brown acid facilitates the hydrolysis of chitin, thereby preparing chitin nanofibers. By using microwave-assisted and ultrasound methods, the efficiency of preparing chitin nanofibers can be improved. During the preparation process, the reaction reagents can also be directly added to the DESs to obtain functionalized nanofibers. These methods raise green strategies for the preparation of functional ChNFs and ChNCs, which have great application prospects in the future.

Plasticizing of chitosan films

Due to the non-biodegradable and low recycling rate, a large number of discarded plastics enter the ocean from the land and permeate into the food chain. "White pollution" – plastic

pollution is a serious threat to the ecological environment. Replacing petroleum-based plastics with biodegradable and green natural poly (polysaccharides) has aroused people's interest.^{76,77} Among them, chitosan, the green derivative of chitin, is regarded as a good alternative to petroleum-based plastics for its excellent biodegradability, antibacterial properties, wide source, and film-forming ability. However, the poor mechanical properties and stability of chitosan membranes restrict their application. Recently, the use of environmentally friendly small molecule DESs to plasticize chitosan membrane to improve the performance has aroused people's interest.

Sokolova *et al.* prepared DES-plasticized chitosan films based on choline chloride and malonic acid by the solution casting method, and the influence of the DES content on film morphology, structure, thermal stability, and mechanical properties was studied.⁷⁸ The strong interaction between DESs and chitosan made it have a good plasticizing effect on chitosan film. Similarly, Almeida *et al.* took a DES (prepared with choline chloride and lactic acid in a 1:1 molar ratio) as the plasticizer and prepared the chitosan film through a knife-coating technique to explore the effect of the DES content on the chitosan film. Experiments showed that the addition of a DES leads to the enhancement of mechanical properties, better water vapor permeability, and water absorption capacity. The plasticized chitosan film has good application potential in food packaging.

Galvis-Sánchez *et al.* studied the effect of NADESs based on choline chloride on chitosan films prepared by thermo-compression molding.⁸⁰ The results showed that the morphology, mechanical properties, and water resistance of chitosan films can be effectively regulated by selecting the type of DES and the degree of deacetylation of chitosan. Therefore, this work opens a new perspective for the production of chitosan films for specific purposes. Jakubowska *et al.* studied the effect of DES-based on choline chloride and lactic acid on the properties of chitosan films.⁸¹ The flexibility and oxidation resistance of the DES plasticized chitosan film was significantly increased, meanwhile, the water vapor transmission rate was also improved. The modified chitosan film shows great application potential in packaging materials.

In addition to the indirect plasticization described above, DESs can also be used to prepare chitin/chitosan films and achieve direct plasticization. After mixing choline chloride, citric acid, and chitosan, Galvis-Sánchez *et al.* prepared Chit-ChCl-CA films by thermo-compression molding, which exhibited high flexibility, transparency, and water vapor permeability.⁸² Saravana *et al.* prepared chitin films using chitin extracted from shrimp shells by DESs as raw materials.⁸³ They obtained high-purity chitin using a DES composed of choline chloride-malonic acid and prepared a chitin film. Moreover, the mechanical properties, swelling properties, and biodegradability of the prepared films are comparable to those of standard films.

Due to the antibacterial, non-toxic, and biodegradable properties of chitin, chitin film is a good alternative to replace pet-

roleum-based plastics. Through the modification of DESs, a functionalized chitin film can be obtained, which has promising application prospect in the future.

Chitin composites

In addition to the above studies, the applications of DESs in chitin composites have also been studied.

Wong *et al.* first mixed chitin with carboxymethyl cellulose (CMC) at different mass ratios and then studied the effect of the DES composed of choline chloride and urea on the chitin-CMC film.⁸⁴ The DES modified membrane had a great improvement in electrical conductivity and had good thermal stability, and is an ideal material for polymer electrolyte membranes.

Feng *et al.* adopted urea-Zn(OAc)₂·2H₂O as the DES, which removed calcium carbonate and loaded Zn²⁺ through ion exchange, and removed proteins by the aggregation of urea clusters.⁸⁵ Meanwhile, Zn²⁺ provided excellent antibacterial properties for the composite membrane. Therefore, in a simple and green method, a chitin/zinc antibacterial complex was prepared from shrimp shells in one step. This work provides a reference for the direct conversion of waste shrimp shells into chitin/metal complexes.

Recently, Kim *et al.* extracted ten types of chitosan-glucan complexes (CGCs) with different properties from mushroom using five DESs.⁸⁶ By selecting different DESs, CGCs with different properties and structures can be obtained, which provides the possibility of subsequent functionalization and application in diverse areas. Ma *et al.* prepared magnetic chitin using DES as the functional monomer, showing excellent recognition and selectivity, and then realized the selective separation of catechins in black tea.⁸⁷ Vorobiov *et al.* embedded the DES-based on choline chloride and lactic acid into the chitosan film, which had a conductivity of 2.5 mS cm⁻¹ and a voltage window of 1.6 V.⁸⁸ The chitosan film after compound showed potential application in energy storage materials.

The DES strategy can prepare chitin composite materials under mild and environmentally friendly conditions. These preparation processes were simple and environmentally friendly, and have good application prospect in the subsequent preparation of various functional biomaterials.

Chitin modification

As mentioned above, due to the poor solubility and low reactivity of chitin, the modification of chitin has become one of the most important research directions of chitin, where the use of green and safe DESs to modify chitin/chitosan has attracted research interest. The reactions related to chitin modification are shown in Fig. 7.

Bangde *et al.* achieved the methylation of chitosan by using the DES of choline chloride-urea and choline chloride-glycerol in 2016.⁸⁹ Not only that, they replaced methyl iodide with lipase and dimethyl carbonate due to the good biocompatibility of the DES. This investigation has realized the green methylation conversion of chitosan in the DES for the first time.




Fig. 7 Schematic diagram of the reaction related to chitin modification.

Feng *et al.* obtained *O*-acylated chitin with a variety of choline chloride-based natural deep eutectic solvents (NADESs) directly from shrimp shells.⁹⁰ They pointed out that H^+ can simultaneously take part in demineralization, deproteinization, and acetylation. Under the best conditions, *O*-malate chitin can achieve a purity of 98.6% and a degree of substitution of 0.46, which has good antibacterial and anti-tumor effects. Recently, Vicente *et al.* studied the mechanism of deacetylation of chitin by a DES and obtained chitosan under mild conditions.⁹¹ After deacetylation at 120 °C for 24 h using the DES of choline chloride–malic acid, the degree of deacetylation of chitin reached 40%, and the low reactivity and solubility of chitin were greatly improved.

These studies have enriched the applications of chitin and provided a simple, effective and environmentally friendly approach to obtain chitin derivatives, showing great application prospects.

Recycling of DESs

Considering the large-scale industrial application of the DES–chitin system, the recovery of DESs is very important. However, most attention has been paid to the application of DESs in chitin treatment, and only a few studies have reported the recovery of DESs.

At the earliest in 2014, Mukesh *et al.* separated chitin and DESs by centrifugation when preparing chitin nanofibers, and then removed the water in the DES solution by rotary evaporation with a DES yield of 84%.⁷² Moreover, the recycled DES can be reused, and the properties of the chitin nanofibers obtained are equivalent to those prepared using original DESs.

In the process of extracting chitin with a natural DES (NADES) composed of choline chloride and malic acid, Huang *et al.* separated chitin and NADES by centrifugation, and the recovered NADES was directly reused without any treatment.⁶⁶ The results showed that NADES can be reused three times without affecting the extraction of chitin. However, after more

than three times, too much protein would accumulate in NADES, resulting in too much viscosity to be used to extract chitin. Similarly, Feng *et al.* studied the recovery effect of the DES composed of choline chloride–malic acid, and they showed that the DES had a good extraction effect after at least five cycles of recycling.

Zhao *et al.* studied the repeatability of DESs in detail in the process of extracting chitin from shrimp shells using a microwave-assisted method.⁶⁸ The results showed that DES can be reused at least five times. However, with the increase in the number of repetitions, the hydrogen bond of the DES was destroyed, resulting in decreased instability. Meanwhile, due to the increase of proteins and other impurities, the viscosity of DESs would gradually increase, resulting in a poor extraction effect.

So far, in the DES recycling process, the chitin and DESs have been separated by centrifugation or filtration. However, some soluble minerals and proteins would continue to remain in DESs, which would affect the recycling effect with the increase of recycling times. In addition, the presence of water will greatly affect the performance of the DES. Therefore, it is necessary to remove water from the recovered DES solution. However, the low vapor pressure of DES determines that water can only be removed by evaporating. This is undoubtedly an inefficient and energy-intensive way.

It is worth studying to find a suitable solvent to remove proteins and minerals in DESs and to replace water with low boiling point solvents.

Summary and outlook

Chitin and its derivatives have huge application potential due to their wide range of sources, biodegradability, biocompatibility, and non-toxicity. The use of environmentally friendly DESs for the dissolution, modification, and preparation of chitin-related materials has also made great progress.

However, the application of DESs to chitin is still in its infancy, and a lot of research is needed to make the system mature and even commercialized. For example, the mechanism of DESs dissolving chitin is still not clear, and its solubility is relatively poor, which limits its application. Chitin treatment with DESs is a complex system, which is affected by many factors such as viscosity, pH, polarity, and reaction conditions of DESs. However, people often ignore the mechanism of DES processing, which can provide theoretical guidance for people. Further studies are needed to determine the appropriate DESs and reaction conditions through structural design and synthesis to achieve the specific application value of chitin.

In addition, considering the large-scale application, the recycling of DESs is also worthy of research. At present, the process of recycling DESs is relatively complicated, requiring steps such as filtration, centrifugation, and rotary steaming, which are undoubtedly energy-consuming and not environmentally friendly, and the processing efficiency of DESs often

drops significantly after processing chitin after 3 cycles. Finding an effective recovery method, such as the use of low-boiling anti-solvents to reduce the energy consumption of rotary evaporation, is conducive to the rapid industrialization of DESs.

Moreover, there are too few kinds of DESs to deal with chitin, and most of them focus on DESs with ChCl as the HBA. In fact, the properties of DESs vary significantly with the types and properties of HBAs and HBDs. We can achieve the efficient utilization of chitin by selecting the best DESs. This requires us to conduct in-depth and extensive research, explore and summarize the properties of different DESs, and use to achieve the efficient utilization of chitin. In the process of chitin processing, we tend to ignore the use of minerals and proteins and discard them as waste. In the following research, we should strive to achieve the full utilization of biomass.

The work of extracting chitin with DESs has only been studied since 2017, and there are still many areas that need improvement, and the whole application of chitin based marine bioresources is also a key point. Developing more green and efficient DESs and realizing the recycling of DESs require joint efforts, but we are confident that one day, DES processing chitin systems will be successfully industrialized.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (No. 2020YFA0710700), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 51873201 and 51673180), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. YD2060002015).

Notes and references

- P. Kandra, M. M. Challa and H. Kalangi Padma Jyothi, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2012, **93**, 17–29.
- Y. H. Choi and R. Verpoorte, *Curr. Opin. Food Sci.*, 2019, **26**, 87–93.
- B. Kudłak, K. Owczarek and J. Namieśnik, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. Int.*, 2015, **22**, 11975–11992.
- J.-I. Kadokawa, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2019, **123**, 732–737.
- M. Rinaudo, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2006, **31**, 603–632.
- D. H. Bartlett and F. Azam, *Science*, 2005, **310**, 1775–1777.
- W. M. F. B. W. Nawawi, M. Jones, R. J. Murphy, K.-Y. Lee, E. Kontturi and A. Bismarck, *Biomacromolecules*, 2020, **21**, 30–55.
- H. Wang, K. U. Rehman, X. Liu, Q. Yang, L. Zheng, W. Li, M. Cai, Q. Li, J. Zhang and Z. Yu, *Biotechnol. Biofuels*, 2017, **10**, 304.
- B. Duan, X. Zheng, Z. Xia, X. Fan, L. Guo, J. Liu, Y. Wang, Q. Ye and L. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 5152–5156.
- M.-S. Hong, G.-M. Choi, J. Kim, J. Jang, B. Choi, J.-K. Kim, S. Jeong, S. Leem, H.-Y. Kwon, H.-B. Hwang, H.-G. Im, J.-U. Park, B.-S. Bae and J. Jin, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**, 1705480.
- X. Shen, J. L. Shamshina, P. Berton, G. Gurau and R. D. Rogers, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 53–75.
- B. Duan, C. Chang, B. Ding, J. Cai, M. Xu, S. Feng, J. Ren, X. Shi, Y. Du and L. Zhang, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2013, **1**, 1867–1874.
- C. King, J. L. Shamshina, G. Gurau, P. Berton, N. F. A. F. Khan and R. D. Rogers, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 117–126.
- J. Huang, Y. Zhong, L. Zhang and J. Cai, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2017, **27**, 1701100.
- K. Zhu, S. Shi, Y. Cao, A. Lu, J. Hu and L. Zhang, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2019, **212**, 361–367.
- Y. Huang, Z. Zhong, B. Duan, L. Zhang, Z. Yang, Y. Wang and Q. Ye, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2014, **2**, 3427–3432.
- D. Xu, J. Huang, D. Zhao, B. Ding, L. Zhang and J. Cai, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 5844–5849.
- B. Duan, X. Gao, X. Yao, Y. Fang, L. Huang, J. Zhou and L. Zhang, *Nano Energy*, 2016, **27**, 482–491.
- B. Duan, K. Shou, X. Su, Y. Niu, G. Zheng, Y. Huang, A. Yu, Y. Zhang, H. Xia and L. Zhang, *Biomacromolecules*, 2017, **18**, 2080–2089.
- B. Duan, H. Gao, M. He and L. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2014, **6**, 19933–19942.
- M. Gortari and R. Hours, *Electron. J. Biotechnol.*, 2013, **16**, 14–14.
- V. L. Pachapur, K. Guemiza, T. Rouissi, S. J. Sarma and S. K. Brar, *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.*, 2016, **91**, 2331–2339.
- S. Hong, Q. Yang, Y. Yuan, L. Chen, Y. Song and H. Lian, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2019, **205**, 236–243.
- P. Beaney, J. Lizardi-Mendoza and M. Healy, *J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol.*, 2005, **80**, 145–150.
- I. Younes, O. Ghorbel-Bellaaj, R. Nasri, M. Chaabouni, M. Rinaudo and M. Nasri, *Process Biochem.*, 2012, **47**, 2032–2039.
- I. Younes, S. Hajji, V. Frachet, M. Rinaudo, K. Jellouli and M. Nasri, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2014, **69**, 489–498.
- K. M. Rudall, in *Adv. Insect Physiol*, ed. J. W. L. Beament, J. E. Treherne and V. B. Wigglesworth, Academic Press, 1963, vol. 1, pp. 257–313.
- C. Liu, G. Wang, W. Sui, L. An and C. Si, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2017, **5**, 4690–4698.
- A. Einbu, S. N. Naess, A. Elgsaeter and K. M. Vårum, *Biomacromolecules*, 2004, **5**, 2048–2054.
- F. Feng, Y. Liu and K. Hu, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 2004, **339**, 2321–2324.
- X. Hu, Y. Du, Y. Tang, Q. Wang, T. Feng, J. Yang and J. F. Kennedy, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2007, **70**, 451–458.
- H. Xu, Z. Fang, W. Tian, Y. Wang, Q. Ye, L. Zhang and J. Cai, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1801100.

- 33 Y. Fang, R. Zhang, B. Duan, M. Liu, A. Lu and L. Zhang, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2017, **5**, 2725–2733.
- 34 M. Poirier and G. Charlet, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2002, **50**, 363–370.
- 35 C. L. de Vasconcelos, P. M. Bezerril, M. R. Pereira, M. F. Ginani and J. L. C. Fonseca, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 2011, **346**, 614–618.
- 36 A. Pinkert, K. N. Marsh, S. Pang and M. P. Staiger, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 6712–6728.
- 37 M. E. Zakrzewska, E. Bogel-Lukasik and R. Bogel-Lukasik, *Energy Fuels*, 2010, **24**, 737–745.
- 38 Y. Qin, X. Lu, N. Sun and R. D. Rogers, *Green Chem.*, 2010, **12**, 968–971.
- 39 S. S. Silva, J. F. Mano and R. L. Reis, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 1208–1220.
- 40 P. Walther, A. Ota, A. Müller, F. Hermanutz, F. Gähr and M. R. Buchmeiser, *Macromol. Mater. Eng.*, 2016, **301**, 1337–1344.
- 41 J.-I. Kadokawa, A. Takegawa, S. Mine and K. Prasad, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2011, **84**, 1408–1412.
- 42 M. Shimo, M. Abe and H. Ohno, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2016, **4**, 3722–3727.
- 43 A. Pinkert, K. N. Marsh, S. Pang and M. P. Staiger, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 6712–6728.
- 44 C. Alvarez-Vasco, R. Ma, M. Quintero, M. Guo, S. Geleynse, K. K. Ramasamy, M. Wolcott and X. Zhang, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 5133–5141.
- 45 Q. Liu, X. Zhao, D. Yu, H. Yu, Y. Zhang, Z. Xue and T. Mu, *Green Chem.*, 2019, **21**, 5291–5297.
- 46 Á. Miguel, R. P. Fornari, N. García, A. Bhowmik, D. Carrasco-Busturia, J. M. García-Lastra and P. Tiemblo, *ChemSusChem*, 2020, **13**, 5523–5530.
- 47 J. A. Sirviö and J. P. Heiskanen, *ChemSusChem*, 2017, **10**, 455–460.
- 48 X. Tan, W. Zhao and T. Mu, *Green Chem.*, 2018, **20**, 3625–3633.
- 49 B. Krajewska, *Enzyme Microb. Technol.*, 2004, **35**, 126–139.
- 50 X. Mao, N. Guo, J. Sun and C. Xue, *J. Cleaner Prod.*, 2017, **143**, 814–823.
- 51 B. Duan, Y. Huang, A. Lu and L. Zhang, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2018, **82**, 1–33.
- 52 N. Özel and M. Elibol, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2021, **262**, 117942.
- 53 Y. Zhong, J. Cai and L.-N. Zhang, *Chin. J. Polym. Sci.*, 2020, **38**, 1047–1060.
- 54 M. V. Tsurkan, A. Voronkina, Y. Khrunyk, M. Wysokowski, I. Petrenko and H. Ehrlich, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2021, **252**, 117204.
- 55 E. L. Smith, A. P. Abbott and K. S. Ryder, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 11060–11082.
- 56 Q. Zhang, K. De Oliveira Vigier, S. Royer and F. Jérôme, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 7108–7146.
- 57 H. Xu, J. Peng, Y. Kong, Y. Liu, Z. Su, B. Li, X. Song, S. Liu and W. Tian, *Bioresour. Technol.*, 2020, **310**, 123416.
- 58 B. B. Hansen, S. Spittle, B. Chen, D. Poe, Y. Zhang, J. M. Klein, A. Horton, L. Adhikari, T. Zelovich, B. W. Doherty, B. Gurkan, E. J. Maginn, A. Ragauskas, M. Dadmun, T. A. Zawodzinski, G. A. Baker, M. E. Tuckerman, R. F. Savinell and J. R. Sangoro, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **121**, 1232–1285.
- 59 T. El Achkar, H. Greige-Gerges and S. Fourmentin, *Environ. Chem. Lett.*, 2021, **19**, 3397–3408.
- 60 Y. Ma, Q. Xia, Y. Liu, W. Chen, S. Liu, Q. Wang, Y. Liu, J. Li and H. Yu, *ACS Omega*, 2019, **4**, 8539–8547.
- 61 Q. Xia, Y. Liu, J. Meng, W. Cheng, W. Chen, S. Liu, Y. Liu, J. Li and H. Yu, *Green Chem.*, 2018, **20**, 2711–2721.
- 62 M. Sharma, C. Mukesh, D. Mondal and K. Prasad, *RSC Adv.*, 2013, **3**, 18149–18155.
- 63 S. Idenoue, K. Yamamoto and J.-I. Kadokawa, *ChemEngineering*, 2019, **3**, 90.
- 64 P. Zhu, Z. Gu, S. Hong and H. Lian, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2017, **177**, 217–223.
- 65 S. Hong, Y. Yuan, Q. Yang, P. Zhu and H. Lian, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2018, **201**, 211–217.
- 66 W.-C. Huang, D. Zhao, N. Guo, C. Xue and X. Mao, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2018, **66**, 11897–11901.
- 67 P. Zhou, J. Li, T. Yan, X. Wang, J. Huang, Z. Kuang, M. Ye and M. Pan, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2019, **225**, 115255.
- 68 D. Zhao, W.-C. Huang, N. Guo, S. Zhang, C. Xue and X. Mao, *Polymers*, 2019, **11**, 409.
- 69 B. Bradić, U. Novak and B. Likozar, *Green Process. Synth.*, 2020, **9**, 13–25.
- 70 T. Lertwattanaseri, N. Ichikawa, T. Mizoguchi, Y. Tanaka and S. Chirachanchai, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 2009, **344**, 331–335.
- 71 M. V. Tzoumaki, T. Moschakis, V. Kiosseoglou and C. G. Biliaderis, *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2011, **25**, 1521–1529.
- 72 C. Mukesh, D. Mondal, M. Sharma and K. Prasad, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2014, **103**, 466–471.
- 73 S. Hong, Y. Yuan, Q. Yang, L. Chen, J. Deng, W. Chen, H. Lian, J. D. Mota-Morales and H. Liimatainen, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2019, **220**, 211–218.
- 74 S. Hong, Y. Yuan, K. Zhang, H. Lian and H. Liimatainen, *Nanomaterials*, 2020, **10**, 869.
- 75 Y. Yuan, S. Hong, H. Lian, K. Zhang and H. Liimatainen, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2020, **236**, 116095.
- 76 A. Rafique, K. Mahmood Zia, M. Zuber, S. Tabasum and S. Rehman, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2016, **87**, 141–154.
- 77 J. M. Lagaron and A. Lopez-Rubio, *Trends Food Sci. Technol.*, 2011, **22**, 611–617.
- 78 M. P. Sokolova, M. A. Smirnov, A. A. Samarov, N. V. Bobrova, V. K. Vorobiov, E. N. Popova, E. Filippova, P. Geydt, E. Lahderanta and A. M. Toikka, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2018, **197**, 548–557.
- 79 C. M. R. Almeida, J. M. C. S. Magalhães, H. K. S. Souza and M. P. Gonçalves, *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2018, **81**, 456–466.
- 80 A. C. Galvis-Sánchez, M. C. R. Castro, K. Biernacki, M. P. Gonçalves and H. K. S. Souza, *Food Hydrocolloids*, 2018, **82**, 478–489.
- 81 E. Jakubowska, M. Gierszewska, J. Nowaczyk and E. Olewnik-Kruszkowska, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2021, **255**, 117527.
- 82 A. C. Galvis-Sánchez, A. M. M. Sousa, L. Hilliou, M. P. Gonçalves and H. K. S. Souza, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 1571–1580.

- 83 P. S. Saravana, T. C. Ho, S.-J. Chae, Y.-J. Cho, J.-S. Park, H.-J. Lee and B.-S. Chun, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2018, **195**, 622–630.
- 84 C. Y. Wong, W. Y. Wong, R. Walvekar, K. S. Loh, M. Khalid and K. L. Lim, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 2018, **269**, 675–683.
- 85 M. Feng, X. Lu, K. Jiang, J. Zhang, J. Xin, C. Shi, K. Wang and S. Zhang, *Green Chem.*, 2018, **20**, 2212–2217.
- 86 H. Kim, S. Kang, K. Li, D. Jung, K. Park and J. Lee, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2021, **169**, 122–129.
- 87 W. Ma, Y. Dai and K. H. Row, *Electrophoresis*, 2018, **39**, 2039–2046.
- 88 V. K. Vorobiov, M. A. Smirnov, N. V. Bobrova and M. P. Sokolova, *Mater. Lett.*, 2021, **283**, 128889.
- 89 P. S. Bangde, R. Jain and P. Dandekar, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2016, **4**, 3552–3557.
- 90 M. Feng, X. Lu, J. Zhang, Y. Li, C. Shi, L. Lu and S. Zhang, *Green Chem.*, 2019, **21**, 87–98.
- 91 F. A. Vicente, M. Huš, B. Likozar and U. Novak, *ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng.*, 2021, **9**, 3874–3886.