

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, 12, 10649

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Adapting decarbonylation chemistry for the development of prodrugs capable of *in vivo* delivery of carbon monoxide utilizing sweeteners as carrier molecules†

Ladie Kimberly De La Cruz,^{‡a} Xiaoxiao Yang,^{‡a} Anna Menshikh,^b Maya Brewer,^b Wen Lu,^a Minjia Wang,^c Siming Wang,^a Xingyue Ji,^a Alyssa Cachuela,^a Haichun Yang,^d David Gallo,^e Chalet Tan,^c Leo Otterbein,^e Mark de Caestecker^b and Binghe Wang^{†*}

Carbon monoxide as an endogenous signaling molecule exhibits pharmacological efficacy in various animal models of organ injury. To address the difficulty in using CO gas as a therapeutic agent for widespread applications, we are interested in developing CO prodrugs through bioreversible caging of CO in an organic compound. Specifically, we have explored the decarboxylation–decarbonylation chemistry of 1,2-dicarbonyl compounds. Examination and optimization of factors favorable for maximal CO release under physiological conditions led to organic CO prodrugs using non-caloric sweeteners as leaving groups attached to the 1,2-dicarbonyl core. Attaching a leaving group with appropriate properties promotes the desired hydrolysis–decarboxylation–decarbonylation sequence of reactions that leads to CO generation. One such CO prodrug was selected to recapitulate the anti-inflammatory effects of CO against LPS-induced TNF- α production in cell culture studies. Oral administration in mice elevated COHb levels to the safe and efficacious levels established in various preclinical and clinical studies. Furthermore, its pharmacological efficacy was demonstrated in mouse models of acute kidney injury. These studies demonstrate the potential of these prodrugs with benign carriers as orally active CO-based therapeutics. This represents the very first example of orally active organic CO prodrugs with a benign carrier that is an FDA-approved sweetener with demonstrated safety profiles *in vivo*.

Received 17th May 2021
Accepted 22nd June 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d1sc02711e

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

With the firm establishment of carbon monoxide (CO) as an endogenous signaling molecule, there has been an increasing level of interest in developing CO-based therapeutics by taking advantage of its demonstrated cyto- and organ-protective effects.^{1–3} Along this line, the organ protective effects of CO have been demonstrated in injury models of the kidneys,^{4,5} lungs,⁶

the gastrointestinal tract,^{7,8} and the liver,⁹ among others. Because of the gaseous nature of CO, the difficulty in delivering a gas as a therapeutic to patients, and the potential risks it poses to healthcare workers as well as patients in terms of accidental poisoning, there have been intense efforts in developing alternative delivery forms.^{1,10,11} Earlier efforts focused on metal-based CO releasing molecules (CORMs),¹ photo-sensitive-organic CO donors,^{11–13} CO in solution,^{14,15} and CO immobilized on modified hemoglobin.¹⁶ There are several reviews discussing in detail the various existing delivery forms of CO.^{1,10,11} We are interested in developing organic CO prodrugs as donors for developing CO-based therapeutics as “CO in a pill” for eventual clinical applications.¹⁷ Along this line, we¹⁸ and Larsen’s lab¹⁹ developed cheletropic extrusion-based CO prodrugs with tunable release rates, triggered release, and the ability to target the mitochondria.²⁰ Fig. 1 shows some representative CO donors. In terms of drug-like features, each class has its own features that need improvement including metal reactivity for some metal-based CO-RMs (Fig. 1A),^{21,22} high hydrophobicity for some of the organic prodrugs due to the presence of multiple aryl groups (Fig. 1B),¹⁸ and the known and unknown toxicity of

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA, 30303, USA. E-mail: wang@gsu.edu

^bDepartment of Medicine, Division of Nephrology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, 37232, USA

^cDepartment of Pharmaceutics and Drug Delivery, University of Mississippi, MS, 38677, USA

^dDepartment of Pathology, Microbiology, and Immunology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, 37232, USA

^eDepartment of Surgery, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/d1sc02711e

‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.






Fig. 1 Examples of CO donors that are activated by physiological stimuli – (A) ligand exchange from endogenous nucleophiles, and (B) exposure to an aqueous environment and/or at pH 7.4.

transition metals^{23,24} and the organic “carrier” portions of the organic CO prodrugs.

In search of CO prodrugs with improved pharmaceutical properties, we are especially interested in those that use a “carrier” moiety with known safety profiles. Along this line, we look to known decarbonylation chemistry for our design. Several types of decarbonylation chemistry are known in synthetic chemistry in organic solvents, including decarbonylation of formic acid and its derivatives, α -hydroxyacid, 5-(hydroxymethyl)furfural^{25,26} and other aldehydes and carbonyl-containing compounds.^{27–33} However, most of these reactions happen under non-physiological conditions or require harsh acids, heating, or metal catalysis for CO release. In most cases, especially those that require metal catalysis, application under near physiological conditions is hard to achieve.

The hydrolysis, decarboxylation, decarbonylation reaction sequence characteristic of 1,2-dicarbonyl compounds with appropriate leaving groups, presented an opportunity to install benign carriers as leaving groups. As a literature precedent, oxalyl chloride, one of the simplest 1,2-dicarbonyl compounds in the form of oxalates, is known to decompose in water to produce CO, HCl, and CO₂ through first hydrolysis of one acyl chloride, then decarboxylation, and finally decarbonylation to release CO (Fig. 2A).³⁴ Because of the reliability of this




Fig. 2 (A) Decomposition of oxalyl chloride to produce CO. (B) Design of CO donors based on the hydrolysis, decarboxylation, decarbonylation chemistry of oxalyl derivatives. (C) Competing paths by which 1,2-dicarbonyl CO prodrugs can breakdown in aqueous solution – (i) a CO-generating pathway; (ii) non-CO producing pathway that generates oxalic acid as the product.

chemistry, oxalyl chloride has been used as a convenient CO gas donor in carbonylation reactions.^{35,36} However, its use for biological and therapeutic applications presents significant challenges because of the release of HCl, and its corrosive and acutely toxic nature.³⁷ With CO fixed in the 1,2-dicarbonyl moieties, our goal was to design a new class of CO donors involving benign carriers (Fig. 2B).

Results and discussion

Because of enhanced electrophilicity arising from vicinal keto groups, 1,2-dicarbonyl compounds with appropriate leaving groups are susceptible to hydrolysis, expelling one leaving group and generating a ketoacid intermediate (Fig. 2C). CO is generated once this ketoacid intermediate undergoes a decarboxylation–decarbonylation sequence of reactions. For oxalyl chloride, this path predominates and thus forms CO, CO₂, and HCl as end-products when placed in water. However, when the chloride leaving group is replaced by other leaving groups, another decomposition path may compete with the CO generating path.^{38,39} The ketoacid intermediate can undergo hydrolysis to expel the second leaving group and form oxalic acid without CO generation. Therefore, the identity of the leaving group must be carefully selected to tune the reaction in favor of the CO generating path.

For understanding the basic chemistry and parameters that control the partitioning between the two pathways, chloride was replaced by various leaving groups having different pK_a values to survey the effect of pK_a on CO release profiles (Fig. 3 and Table S1†). In doing so, we utilized a selective fluorescent CO probe for monitoring CO production *in situ*. Specifically, COP-1 (ref. 40) is a widely tested fluorescent CO probe based on the Pd-mediated carbonylation reaction. We selected a number of leaving groups with different pK_a values including phenol, 2,4,6-trichlorophenol, 2,4-dinitrophenol, imidazole, and an imide compound, phthalimide.

A 6-fold increase in fluorescence turn-on intensity was observed when the leaving group was 2,4-dinitrophenol. In general, compounds with leaving groups having pK_a greater than 4 were incapable of CO release, except for a marginal 0.1-fold increase with imidazole. According to previous reports,




Fig. 3 Examination of the effect of pK_a of the leaving group on the CO releasing capacity of 1,2-dicarbonyl compounds using a CO probe, COP-1.



hydrolysis of symmetrical oxalates occurs in two steps with the first step being at least two orders of magnitude faster than the second hydrolysis.³⁸ In certain cases and as is shown in the later section, the ketoacid with a good leaving group is stable enough to be isolated. The nature of the attached leaving group to the keto acid influences the decomposition pathway it goes through (Fig. 2C). Leaving groups with pK_a less than 4 favor the CO-releasing pathway. Based on these results, additional leaving groups with pK_a less than four were considered. Two “carrier” molecules seemed especially interesting to us for CO delivery under physiological conditions: saccharin and acesulfame. Both are FDA-approved, non-caloric sweeteners, having pK_a values that fall within the range of 1.6 and 3.0, respectively (Fig. 4A). The oxalyl derivative with saccharin as a leaving group was previously reported as a coupling reagent for the synthesis of carboxylic acid derivatives.⁴¹ In this report it was noted that CO and CO₂ are released but under non-physiological conditions.

Therefore, CO prodrugs **BW-CO-306** and **BW-CO-307** were made in one step from the reaction between commercially available sweeteners saccharin and acesulfame, respectively, with oxalyl chloride in the presence of triethylamine (Scheme S1†). Qualitative determinations using a household CO detector, COP-1 probe, and CO-myoglobin assay indicated CO production with **BW-CO-306** (Fig. S1–S3†). The CO release yields were then quantified using an Agilent 7820A GC with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). CO prodrugs **BW-CO-306** and **BW-CO-307** released 76% ± 6 and 92% ± 3 CO, respectively when dissolved in 4 : 1 ACN : H₂O and incubated at 37 °C for 1 h (Fig. 4B). The ketoacid form of **BW-CO-306** was also synthesized and isolated as a white solid (Scheme S2†). The lower CO yield

of only around 40% (Fig. 4B) indicates that installation of two leaving groups with pK_a less than 4 into the 1,2-dicarbonyl core favors the CO generating reaction pathway. An LC-MS method was used to analyze the proportion of prodrug **BW-CO-306** that is converted to oxalic acid through the non-CO generating pathway. Oxalic acid (47 μM) was produced from 200 μM of prodrug **BW-CO-306**. Therefore, CO production is estimated to be about 75%, which agrees well with the results generated from the GC-TCD experiments.

Since CO release from the prodrug involves hydrolysis, low pH might enhance the hydrolysis rates and therefore decrease CO yield. This is indeed what was observed with prodrug **BW-CO-306** wherein CO yield decreased from 60% to 30% with decreasing pH (Fig. 4C). In contrast, the CO release yield for prodrug **BW-CO-307** is pH-independent with CO yields constant at around 90% across a broad pH range. Furthermore, RP-HPLC studies revealed that prodrugs **BW-CO-306** and **BW-CO-307** are stable in the solid state for at least 24 days exposed to ambient light and temperature. These prodrugs are also stable in solutions of acetonitrile stored at room temperature for at least seven days. Kinetic experiments using HPLC revealed that the half-lives of compound **BW-CO-306** and **BW-CO-307** in 60% phosphate buffered saline are 1.28 ± 0.03 min and 9.5 ± 0.14 min, respectively (Fig. 4D and E). HPLC and NMR analyses both provide strong evidence that products after CO release are mainly the carriers saccharin and acesulfame (Fig. S8–S11†).

To demonstrate that these compounds are compatible CO donors for biological applications and that these donors can recapitulate CO-associated anti-inflammation activity, an ELISA assay for the pro-inflammatory cytokine TNF-α was conducted. Because the half-life of **BW-CO-306** is very short, the produced CO may escape readily in a cell culture experimental setting. Thus, we repeatedly exposed the cells to the prodrug five times. **BW-CO-307**, with a slightly longer half-life, was added only once. Dose-dependent inhibition of LPS-induced secretion of TNF-α was observed for both prodrugs (Fig. 5A and B). For *in vivo* applications, the utility of orally administered **BW-CO-306** was demonstrated in a pharmacokinetic study wherein COHb levels in the blood were monitored by a CO-oximeter. Elevation of COHb was observed up to around 4–5.5% and remained above the baseline for about an hour (Fig. 5C). In comparison, this COHb elevation is within the limits established by human clinical trials to be safe^{42,43} and comparable to the efficacy levels established in preclinical animal studies.^{44,45} Consistent with the *in vitro* kinetic properties of **BW-CO-306** and **BW-CO-103** (another CO prodrug which has been shown to be efficacious in various animal models such as colitis),⁴⁶ with half-lives of 1.3 min and 1.2 h, respectively, **BW-CO-103** gave a more sustained elevation of COHb¹⁷ with a t_{max} of 60 min while **BW-CO-306** gave a burst of CO resulting in a spike of COHb elevation with a t_{max} of 10 min that only lasted for around 30 min (Fig. 5D). The dose–response relationship and the increase of COHb above the blank vehicle control after oral administration of **BW-CO-306** indicated a facile CO release *in vivo* and demonstrated the feasibility of systemic CO delivery.

The first *in vivo* validation of heme oxygenase (HO-1, the enzyme responsible for endogenous CO production through




Fig. 4 (A) Structures of CO prodrugs. (B) GC-TCD analysis of CO and CO₂ yields of CO prodrugs in 4 : 1 ACN : H₂O at 37 °C, 1 h incubation time. (C) Effect of pH on CO and CO₂ yields in 4 : 1 ACN : buffer (pH 1 – glycine/NaCl/HCl, pH 3 – citric acid/NaOH/HCl, pH 7.4 – phosphate buffer) at 37 °C, 1 h incubation time. (D) and (E) Decomposition profile of CO prodrugs in 60% PBS analyzed by RP-HPLC.






Fig. 5 (A) and (B) The anti-inflammatory effects of BW-CO-306 and BW-CO-307 in RAW 264.7 cells. Mean of each concentration vs. LPS $1 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$: * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$ and *** $p < 0.001$; mean of each concentration vs. the corresponding saccharin only group: # $p < 0.05$ and ### $p < 0.001$ (C) COHb elevation of BW-CO-306 in mice (D) COHb level elevation of BW-CO-306 compared to another CO prodrug, BW-CO-103.

heme catabolism) induced protection in response to tissue injury was conducted using a rhabdomyolysis induced acute kidney injury (AKI) model in rats.⁴⁷ The model recapitulates the mechanisms of heme-induced kidney injury that occurs in patients with extensive muscle damage, and intravascular hemolysis associated with sickle cell disease, falciparum malaria, cardiopulmonary bypass surgery and severe sepsis.^{47–50} This pivotal paper demonstrated that the kidneys employ HO-1 coupled with ferritin synthesis (to sequester free iron) to launch a rapid, protective response against acute kidney injury (AKI).⁵¹ Since that time, a number of pharmacological and genetic loss and gain of function studies have shown that HO-1 is protective in a number of different models of AKI, including sepsis,⁵² cisplatin,^{53–56} and ischemia reperfusion induced (IRI)-AKI.^{57–59} These data indicate that induction of HO-1 may be a viable therapeutic strategy against AKI.⁶⁰ However, heme catabolism also produces free iron which if not sequestered by ferritin can also exacerbate AKI.⁶⁰ A different pharmacological strategy is to employ CO, one of the end-products of heme catabolism as the therapeutic agent. For this reason, we were interested in testing our CO prodrug in this rhabdomyolysis model of kidney injury.

BW-CO-306 was prepared as an oral formulation in activated charcoal and 50 mg kg^{-1} was administered to groups of 13 week male BALB-c mice injected with 5.4 mL kg^{-1} of 50% glycerol to the muscle of hindlegs. We utilized BW-CP-306 (*i.e.* saccharin), the “carrier” portion of BW-CO-306 after CO release, as an inactive control. Intramuscular injection of glycerol-induced AKI was associated with a rapid increase in blood urea nitrogen (BUN) levels 24 hours after injection while CO treatment using BW-CO-306 resulted in significant lowering of BUN levels (Fig. 6A). BW-CO-306 also increased survival after glycerol-induced rhabdomyolysis from 3/7 mice (42%) in BW-CP-306 treated mice to 6/8 (75%) (Fig. 6B), although these changes were




Fig. 6 BW-CO-306 protects against rhabdomyolysis-induced acute kidney injury (AKI). Male BALB/c mice were treated with 50 mg kg^{-1} BW-CO-306 (or release product saccharin) by oral gavage starting 24 hours before and then daily after intramuscular injection of 5.4 mL kg^{-1} 50% glycerol in water to induce rhabdomyolysis, as described.⁶² Blood was collected for BUN measurements 24 hours after glycerol injection, and tissues were collected after euthanasia at day 3. (A) Blood urea nitrogen (BUN) 24 hours after glycerol injection; (B) survival curves with numbers of mice at each time point indicated; (C) semi-quantitative analysis of renal tubular injury (tubular injury score 0–4). Representative images of PAS-stained sections shown in Fig. S14;† (D) QRT-PCR for Kim1 mRNA in the kidneys. (E) and (F) Quantification of the % surface area immunofluorescence staining for Kim1 with representative images of Kim1 (magenta), and LTL (green), staining in the OSOM of CP-306 and CO-306 treated mouse kidneys 3 days after rhabdomyolysis-induced AKI, as indicated. Scale bars, $100 \mu\text{M}$. Mouse numbers as indicated, with individual data points, mean \pm SEM indicated. One-way ANOVA was used to determine statistical significance of differences between multiple groups, and a post-hoc Dunnett's test used to compare pairwise significance, as shown (A) and (C). A two-sided unpaired *T*-test was used to compare two groups (C). * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.0001$.

not statistically significant (log-rank test, $p = 0.3$). Seventy-two hours after glycerol injection, histological studies revealed a marked reduction in features of acute tubular injury including



flattened, dedifferentiated tubular epithelial cells, and tubular casts (Fig. 6C and S14†) in mice treated with **BW-CO-306**. In support of the reduced histological evidence of renal injury, both QRT-PCR (Fig. 6D) for kidney injury molecule 1 (Kim1) mRNA, a marker of renal tubular injury, and immunofluorescence staining (Fig. 6E) for Kim1 in the outer stripe of the outer medulla (OSOM) indicated reduced expression in comparison to **BW-CP-306** treated mice. As expected, Kim1 expression⁶¹ is restricted to LTL binding proximal tubular epithelial cells in the cortex and OSOM after rhabdomyolysis-induced AKI (Fig. 6F). Furthermore, in a kidney ischemia reperfusion injury mice model, a similar protection was observed from the p.o. administration of **BW-CO-306** (50 mg kg⁻¹) as indicated by the significant lowering of creatinine levels (Fig. S15†). These findings indicate that *in lieu* of direct HO-1 induction strategies, oral administration of a CO prodrug protects from AKI and may represent a more straightforward strategy of harnessing HO-1 cytoprotective effects in kidneys for different types of AKI.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have designed a CO prodrug with benign, FDA-approved carrier molecules. This CO prodrug strategy relies on the adaptation of decarbonylation chemistry used in organic solvents for application under physiological conditions through a hydrolysis, decarboxylation, decarbonylation reaction sequence to release CO. *In vitro* anti-inflammation assays and *in vivo* pharmacokinetics and acute kidney injury mouse model (rhabdomyolysis-induced and renal IRI) studies validate the use of this prodrug system to deliver CO for therapeutic applications.

Data availability

Supporting data for this article have been uploaded as part of the ESI material.

Author contributions

Conceptualization – LKDL, XY, XJ, CT, LO, MDC, BW; investigation and methodology – LKDL, XY, AM, MB, WL, MW, SW, AC, HY, DG, LO, CT, MDC, BW; funding acquisition – CT, LO, MDC, BW; supervision – CT, LO, MDC, BW; writing, original draft – LKDL, BW; writing, reviewing & editing – LKDL, XY, AM, MW, CT, LO, MDC, BW.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

All animal procedures were conducted in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health. The animal procedure protocols were approved by the respective Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees at the performing institutions (University of

Mississippi, Vanderbilt University, and BIDMC/Harvard Medical School). The authors are grateful for financial support from the National Institutes of Health (R01DK119202, R01DK112688), the Georgia Research Alliance, Eminent Scholar fund, and internal resources at Georgia State University.

References

- 1 R. Motterlini and L. E. Otterbein, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2010, **9**, 728.
- 2 L. Wu and R. Wang, *Pharmacol. Rev.*, 2005, **57**, 585.
- 3 X. Yang, W. Lu, C. P. Hopper, B. Ke and B. Wang, *Acta Pharm. Sin. B*, 2021, **11**, 1434.
- 4 E. Csongradi, L. A. Juncos, H. A. Drummond, T. Vera and D. E. Stec, *Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol.*, 2012, **13**, 819.
- 5 X. Yang, M. de Caestecker, L. E. Otterbein and B. Wang, *Med. Res. Rev.*, 2020, **40**, 1147.
- 6 S. W. Ryter and A. M. Choi, *Curr. Opin. Pharmacol.*, 2006, **6**, 257.
- 7 T. Takagi, K. Uchiyama and Y. Naito, *Digestion*, 2015, **91**, 13.
- 8 D. Bakalarz, M. Surmiak, X. Yang, D. Wójcik, E. Korbut, Z. Śliwowski, G. Ginter, G. Buszewicz, T. Brzozowski, J. Cieszkowski, U. Głowacka, K. Magierowska, Z. Pan, B. Wang and M. Magierowski, *Acta Pharm. Sin. B*, 2021, **11**, 456.
- 9 B. Florian and T. Tung Yu, *Curr. Pharm. Biotechnol.*, 2012, **13**, 803.
- 10 X. X. Yang, B. W. Ke, W. Lu and B. H. Wang, *Chin. J. Nat. Med.*, 2020, **18**, 284.
- 11 L. S. Lazarus, A. D. Benninghoff and L. M. Berreau, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2020, **53**, 2273.
- 12 P. Peng, C. Wang, Z. Shi, V. K. Johns, L. Ma, J. Oyer, A. Copik, R. Igarashi and Y. Liao, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2013, **11**, 6671.
- 13 L. Šťacková, M. Russo, L. Muchová, V. Orel, L. Vitek, P. Šťacko and P. Klán, *Chemistry*, 2020, **26**, 13184.
- 14 T. Takagi, Y. Naito, K. Uchiyama, K. Mizuhima, T. Suzuki, R. Horie, I. Hirata, H. Tsuboi and T. Yoshikawa, *Free Radical Res.*, 2016, **50**, 1098.
- 15 J. D. Belcher, E. Gomperts, J. Nguyen, C. Chen, F. Abdulla, Z. M. Kiser, D. Gallo, H. Levy, L. E. Otterbein and G. M. Vercellotti, *PLoS One*, 2018, **13**, e0205194.
- 16 W. H. Nugent, R. F. Cestero, K. Ward, R. Jubin, A. Abuchowski and B. K. Song, *Shock*, 2019, **52**, 108.
- 17 M. Wang, X. Yang, Z. Pan, Y. Wang, L. K. De La Cruz, B. Wang and C. Tan, *J. Controlled Release*, 2020, **327**, 174.
- 18 X. Ji and B. Wang, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2018, **51**, 1377.
- 19 J. T. B. Kueh, N. J. Stanley, R. J. Hewitt, L. M. Woods, L. Larsen, J. C. Harrison, D. Rennison, M. A. Brimble, I. A. Sammut and D. S. Larsen, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 5454.
- 20 Y. Zheng, X. Ji, B. Yu, K. Ji, D. Gallo, E. Csizmadia, M. Zhu, M. R. Choudhury, L. K. C. De La Cruz, V. Chittavong, Z. Pan, Z. Yuan, L. E. Otterbein and B. Wang, *Nat. Chem.*, 2018, **10**, 787.
- 21 Z. Yuan, X. Yang, L. K. De La Cruz and B. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 2190.
- 22 Z. Yuan, X. Yang, Y. Ye, R. Tripathi and B. Wang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2021, **93**, 5317.



- 23 R. E. Yasbin, C. R. Matthews and M. J. Clarke, *Chem.-Biol. Interact.*, 1980, **31**, 355.
- 24 V. Brabec and O. Nováková, *Drug Resist. Updates*, 2006, **9**, 111.
- 25 F. M. Geilen, T. vom Stein, B. Engendahl, S. Winterle, M. A. Liauw, J. Klankermayer and W. Leitner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2011, **50**, 6831.
- 26 Y. B. Huang, Z. Yang, M. Y. Chen, J. J. Dai, Q. X. Guo and Y. Fu, *ChemSusChem*, 2013, **6**, 1348.
- 27 M. Kreis, A. Palmelund, L. Bunch and R. Madsen, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2006, **348**, 2148.
- 28 R. N. Monrad and R. Madsen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **72**, 9782.
- 29 K. Ohno and J. Tsuji, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 99.
- 30 J. Tsuji and K. Ohno, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1965, **6**, 3969.
- 31 J. Blum, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1966, **15**, 1605.
- 32 N. E. Hoffman and T. Puthenpurackal, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1965, **30**, 420.
- 33 J. O. Hawthorne and M. H. Wilt, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1960, **25**, 2215.
- 34 H. Staudinger, *Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges.*, 1908, **41**, 3558.
- 35 S. V. F. Hansen and T. Ulven, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 2832.
- 36 M. L. N. Rao, V. Venkatesh and P. Dasgupta, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2010, **51**, 4975.
- 37 Sigma-Aldrich, *Oxylol Chloride: Material Safety Data Sheet*, 2014.
- 38 G. Orosz, *Tetrahedron*, 1989, **45**, 3493.
- 39 G. Orosz and E. Dudar, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 1991, **247**, 141.
- 40 B. W. Michel, A. R. Lippert and C. J. Chang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 15668.
- 41 T. Kitagawa, H. Kuroda, K. Iida, M. Ito and M. Nakamura, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1989, **37**, 3225.
- 42 L. E. Fredenburgh, M. A. Perrella, D. Barragan-Bradford, D. R. Hess, E. Peters, K. E. Welty-Wolf, B. D. Kraft, R. S. Harris, R. Maurer, K. Nakahira, C. Oromendia, J. D. Davies, A. Higuera, K. T. Schiffer, J. A. Englert, P. B. Dieffenbach, D. A. Berlin, S. Lagambina, M. Bouthot, A. I. Sullivan, P. F. Nuccio, M. T. Kone, M. J. Malik, M. A. P. Porras, E. Finkelsztejn, T. Winkler, S. Hurwitz, C. N. Serhan, C. A. Piantadosi, R. M. Baron, B. T. Thompson and A. M. K. Choi, *JCI Insight*, 2018, **3**, 23.
- 43 I. O. Rosas, H. J. Goldberg, H. R. Collard, S. El-Chemaly, K. Flaherty, G. M. Hunninghake, J. A. Lasky, D. J. Lederer, R. Machado, F. J. Martinez, R. Maurer, D. Teller, I. Noth, E. Peters, G. Raghu, J. G. N. Garcia and A. M. K. Choi, *Chest*, 2018, **153**, 94.
- 44 L. E. Fredenburgh, B. D. Kraft, D. R. Hess, R. S. Harris, M. A. Wolf, H. B. Suliman, V. L. Roggli, J. D. Davies, T. Winkler, A. Stenzler, R. M. Baron, B. T. Thompson, A. M. Choi, K. E. Welty-Wolf and C. A. Piantadosi, *Am. J. Physiol.: Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol.*, 2015, **309**, L834.
- 45 E. Bathoorn, D.-J. Slebos, D. S. Postma, G. H. Koeter, A. J. M. van Oosterhout, M. van der Toorn, H. M. Boezen and H. A. M. Kerstjens, *Eur. Respir. J.*, 2007, **30**, 1131.
- 46 X. Ji, C. Zhou, K. Ji, R. E. Aghoghovbia, Z. Pan, V. Chittavong, B. Ke and B. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2016, **55**, 15846.
- 47 K. A. Nath, *Kidney Int.*, 2006, **70**, 432.
- 48 V. E. Kerchberger and L. B. Ware, *Semin. Nephrol.*, 2020, **40**, 148.
- 49 J. B. O'Neal, A. D. Shaw and F. T. t. Billings, *Crit. Care*, 2016, **20**, 187.
- 50 X. Bosch, E. Poch and J. M. Grau, *N. Engl. J. Med.*, 2009, **361**, 62.
- 51 K. A. Nath, G. Balla, G. M. Vercellotti, J. Balla, H. S. Jacob, M. D. Levitt and M. E. Rosenberg, *J. Clin. Invest.*, 1992, **90**, 267.
- 52 K. Kang, C. Nan, D. Fei, X. Meng, W. Liu, W. Zhang, L. Jiang, M. Zhao, S. Pan and M. Zhao, *Shock*, 2013, **40**, 136.
- 53 S. Bolisetty, A. M. Traylor, J. Kim, R. Joseph, K. Ricart, A. Landar and A. Agarwal, *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.*, 2010, **21**, 1702.
- 54 S. Bolisetty, A. Traylor, R. Joseph, A. Zarjou and A. Agarwal, *Am. J. Physiol.: Renal Physiol.*, 2016, **310**, F385.
- 55 J. Kim, A. Zarjou, A. M. Traylor, S. Bolisetty, E. A. Jaimes, T. D. Hull, J. F. George, F. M. Mikhail and A. Agarwal, *Kidney Int.*, 2012, **82**, 278.
- 56 A. Agarwal, J. Balla, J. Alam, A. J. Croatt and K. A. Nath, *Kidney Int.*, 1995, **48**, 1298.
- 57 T. D. Blydt-Hansen, M. Katori, C. Lassman, B. Ke, A. J. Coito, S. Iyer, R. Buelow, R. Ettenger, R. W. Busuttill and J. W. Kupiec-Weglinski, *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.*, 2003, **14**, 745.
- 58 S. T. Pittock, S. M. Norby, J. P. Grande, A. J. Croatt, G. D. Bren, A. D. Badley, N. M. Caplice, M. D. Griffin and K. A. Nath, *Kidney Int.*, 2005, **68**, 611.
- 59 T. D. Hull, A. I. Kamal, R. Boddu, S. Bolisetty, L. Guo, C. C. Tisher, S. Rangarajan, B. Chen, L. M. Curtis, J. F. George and A. Agarwal, *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.*, 2015, **26**, 2139.
- 60 D. E. Leaf, S. C. Body, J. D. Muehlschlegel, G. M. McMahon, P. Lichtner, C. D. Collard, S. K. Shernan, A. A. Fox and S. S. Waikar, *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.*, 2016, **27**, 3291.
- 61 T. Ichimura, J. V. Bonventre, V. Bailly, H. Wei, C. A. Hession, R. L. Cate and M. Sanicola, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1998, **273**, 4135.
- 62 A. Menshikh, L. Scarfe, R. Delgado, C. Finney, Y. Zhu, H. Yang and M. P. de Caestecker, *Am. J. Physiol.: Renal Physiol.*, 2019, **317**, F1383.

