



Cite this: *Dalton Trans.*, 2019, **48**, 5107

Received 15th February 2019,
Accepted 12th March 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9dt00689c

rsc.li/dalton

Synthesis of organometallic pentalenide complexes

Stuart M. Boyt, ^a Niko A. Jenek ^a and Ulrich Hintermair ^{*a,b}

While a number of reports have established the unique structures and electronic properties of mono- and dinuclear pentalenide complexes of s, p, d and f block elements, access to these intriguing compounds is restricted by synthetic challenges. Here we review various strategies for the synthesis, functionalisation and (trans)metalation of pentalenide complexes from a practical point of view, pointing out promising avenues for future research that may allow wider access to novel pentalenide complexes for application in many different areas.

1. Introduction

Pentalene (**Pn**, C₈H₆) has long fascinated theoretical and synthetic organic chemists for its anti-aromatic 8 π system.¹ Unlike the flexible 8 π cyclooctatetraene (**COT**, C₈H₈) **Pn** is planar due to its bicyclic ring structure, enforcing its anti-aromaticity. As a result, it readily dimerises above –196 °C to form two fulvene

units isolated from each other by a cyclobutane linkage (Fig. 1).²

Due to its inherent instability, **Pn** itself is of limited use for applications in synthetic chemistry.[†] However, similar to other 8 π anti-aromatics like **COT**, double reduction of **Pn** to pentalenide (**Pn**^{2–}, C₈H₆^{2–}) generates a stable 10 π aromatic system that presents itself as a useful π ligand for organometallic chemistry.⁴ Its dianionic nature makes it a stronger donor for Lewis-acidic metals than neutral 10 π hydrocarbons such as

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath BA2 7AY, UK.
E-mail: u.hintermair@bath.ac.uk

^bCentre for Sustainable Chemical Technologies, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath BA2 7AY, UK

[†]Electronically stabilized benzopentalenes have proven useful compounds for organic materials chemistry (see ref. 3 for a recent overview) but are beyond the scope of this review.



Stuart M. Boyt, Niko A. Jenek, Ulrich Hintermair

Stuart M. Boyt graduated from the University of Warwick with an MChem in Chemical Biology but developed a taste for organometallic chemistry after a masters thesis with Adrian Chaplin looking at NHC complexes of group 9 metals. In 2015 he moved to the University of Bath to pursue his PhD in the Hintermair lab.

His project involves synthesizing new pentalenide ligands from readily available precursors and investigating their reactivity towards a range of s-, d- and p-block compounds.

Niko A. Jenek obtained his BSc in Chemistry from the University of Stuttgart in 2013, after which he moved to the University of Freiburg to finish his master's studies with a thesis on the fluorination of silicon surfaces with Ingo Krossing in 2017. After further fluorination adventures at the Fraunhofer Institute for Solar Energy Systems he joined the Hintermair group at the University of Bath for his PhD on novel pentalenide complexes for catalysis.

Ulrich Hintermair studied Chemistry and Chemical Engineering in Würzburg and Lyon with a stint at the University of St Andrews. After completing his PhD with Walter Leitner at Aachen he was a Humboldt postdoctoral fellow with Bob Crabtree at Yale University. In 2013 he started his independent academic career at the CSCT in Bath where he currently holds a Royal Society University Research Fellowship. Research in his group revolves around catalysis with metal complexes, including organometallic chemistry and real-time spectroscopy for mechanistic investigations.







Fig. 1 Reversible dimerisation of pentalene.

Fig. 2 Charge distribution in the 10 π aromatic pentalenide dianion.

naphthalene, and the two five-membered ring systems enable symmetrical charge distribution for reversible hapticity shifts of the metals bound to it (Fig. 2).

Due to this facile hapticity shift and a large degree of flexibility around the central C–C bridge, Pn^{2-} ligands exhibit some uniquely adaptive coordination abilities that neither COT nor naphthalene derivatives display. Pn^{2-} may wrap itself around, electron-deficient metal centres in η^8 coordination mode, or form doubly η^5/η^3 coordinated homo- and hetero-bimetallic complexes with direct metal–metal interactions within *syn*-bimetallics and strong electronic coupling in *anti*-bimetallic systems.⁵ Given these intriguing properties it may appear surprising that organometallic Pn^{2-} chemistry is far less explored and developed than that of its 6 π congener cyclopentadienide (Cp^- , C_5H_5^-), arguably the most important and most widely used organometallic ligand to date.⁶ Whereas thousands of Cp^- complexes including almost every metal in the periodic table are known⁷ and widely used in numerous applications including sensing, electrochemistry, magnetism, material synthesis, and of course catalysis, only about 150 examples of variously substituted Pn^{2-} and HPn^- complexes (including precursor salts) have been reported so far. This limitation is in no small part due to the practical challenges associated with accessing suitable synthons for Pn^{2-} chemistry. Historically, only few dedicated organometallic labs have developed the expertise and equipment required for pentalene

chemistry, each following their own preferred synthetic method. However, a number of routes to suitable precursors have emerged in different parts of the chemical literature over the past 50 years. Here we summarise and compare various strategies for the synthesis and functionalisation of Pn^{2-} complexes that promise to give more facile access to a wider range of precursors, and thus hopefully allow more widespread exploration of the intriguing properties of organometallic pentalenide complexes in different areas in the future.

2. Synthesis of pentalenides

In the context of discussing synthetic pentalenide chemistry, it is useful to distinguish the 8 π antiaromatic pentalene (Pn , C_8H_6), the non-aromatic dihydropentalene (H_2Pn , C_8H_8 existing as several double-bond isomers⁸), the 6 π aromatic hydropentalenide (HPn^- , C_8H_7^-), and the 10 π aromatic pentalenide (Pn^{2-} , $\text{C}_8\text{H}_6^{2-}$). Their structures and interconversion pathways are laid out in Fig. 3.

2.1. Deprotonation of dihydropentalenes

Mono-anionic 6 π HPn^- can easily be generated by deprotonation of H_2Pn using a weak base, as demonstrated by Katz and Mrowca.⁹ They showed that an isomeric mixture of H_2Pn would react with KOH and Ti_2SO_4 in water to furnish TiPnH as a precipitate in moderate yields (Fig. 4). In contrast to the neutral, unsubstituted H_2Pn , HPn^- salts are thermally stable and can be isolated and stored at room temperature like the related MCp salts ($\text{M} = \text{Li}, \text{Na}, \text{K}$). Jones *et al.* later showed TIOEt in pentane to be equally effective in generating TiPnH from H_2Pn .¹⁰ The first pK_a of H_2Pn must therefore be below 14, showing it to be slightly more acidic than HCp .¹¹

Presumably due to charge effects, deprotonation of the second ring in HPn^- to furnish the fully 10 π delocalised Pn^{2-} requires a stronger base. Katz *et al.* showed that Li_2Pn can be prepared by double deprotonation of H_2Pn with an excess of *n*-butyllithium at -78°C (Fig. 5).¹² Although the second pK_a value of H_2Pn is not known with certainty, using two (or more) equivalents of bases with pK_a values >25 usually leads to quantitative double deprotonation of all H_2Pn double-bond isomers. While Katz's original preparation used heptane




Fig. 3 Nomenclature and interconversion of pentalene derivatives.






Fig. 4 Synthesis of dilithium pentalenide by double deprotonation of dihydropentalenes.




Fig. 5 Synthesis of dilithium pentalenide by double deprotonation of dihydropentalenes.

to precipitate Li_2Pn , Stezowski *et al.* later used dimethoxyethane (DME) to obtain single crystals of $\eta^5[\text{Li}(\text{DME})]_2\text{Pn}$ that showed both metals to adopt *anti* configuration around the planar Pn^{2-} in the solid state.¹³ A recent computational study confirmed this to be the preferred geometry for all alkali-metal Pn^{2-} complexes in solution.¹⁴

While these reactions look appealingly straightforward, and the utility of HPn^- and Pn^{2-} salts for transmetalation reactions is well documented (see section 3), the difficulty lies in generating the H_2Pn starting material. In the following subsections we review the most prominent methods reported.

2.2. Pyrolytic routes to dihydropentalenes

H_2Pn can be obtained from controlled, anaerobic pyrolysis of suitable precursors such as isodicyclopentadiene in Katz's method (Fig. 6).¹⁵ Isodicyclopentadiene was formed by treating dihydrodicyclopentadiene (obtained from partial hydrogenation of dicyclopentadiene) with acetic anhydride and selenium dioxide, followed by dehydration of the resulting alcohol by passing over alumina at 300 °C.¹⁶ Anaerobic pyrolysis of isodicyclopentadiene at 575 °C lead to the formation of **1,4- H_2Pn** (through release of ethylene) in ~30% yield, to which hydroquinoline had to be added immediately to prevent polymerisation. However, Kazennova *et al.* later reported that passing the pyrolysis distillate through a plug of solid potassium hydroxide provided pure H_2Pn samples that were stable to

polymerisation at -78 °C.¹⁷ They also demonstrated an improved synthesis of isodicyclopentadiene, reporting a 40% overall yield of H_2Pn starting from HCp .

An alternative precursor was reported by Jones and Schwab, who showed that **COT** undergoes thermal rearrangement between 400–665 °C to give H_2Pn (Fig. 6) in addition to acetylene, benzene and styrene.¹⁸ The selectivity to H_2Pn was found to be highly temperature-dependent, with the optimum conversion occurring between 500–600 °C. An optimised procedure was later reported by Cloke *et al.*, in which a 87% yield was achieved thanks to precise temperature and residence time control during the continuous-flow pyrolysis.¹⁹ In this set-up, the resulting H_2Pn were condensed into a *n*-butyllithium solution in DME/hexane at -78 °C to be directly converted to the more stable $[\text{Li}_2(\text{DME})_x]\text{Pn}$ salts that precipitate to be further purified by washing with cold hexane.

While both routes are reasonably well established (with lower yields and more synthetic steps starting from inexpensive $(\text{HCp})_2$, or fewer steps and higher yields starting from the more valuable **COT**), they are limited to the synthesis of the parent (unsubstituted) H_2Pn . Substituted iso-**CpH** or **COT** have not been explored as pyrolysis precursors for substituted H_2Pn , likely due to the difficulty and expense of synthesising the starting materials and/or unwanted thermal rearrangement pathways. A slightly more versatile pyrolytic method has been reported by Griesbeck, who showed the use of two protected vinyl fulvenes, synthesised by Diels–Alder reaction of HCp and acroleins followed by pyrrolidine-facilitated Knoevenagel condensation with an additional equivalent of HCp (Fig. 7).²⁰

Anaerobic pyrolysis of these compounds at 520 °C furnished H_2Pn (88% yield) or **2-Me- PnH_2** (50% yield) through thermal cyclisation accompanied by loss of HCp . This methodology is appealing as the precursors are straightforward to synthesise from inexpensive starting materials, and potentially provide a route to other substituted H_2Pn through use of substituted acroleins in the first step and/or substituted HCp in the second step. However, the final pyrolysis may require optimisation for each protected vinyl fulvene.

Another pyrolytic route to H_2Pn and **2-Me- PnH_2** has been reported by Stapersma *et al.*, who showed that tetracyclo-oct-7-enes may be rearranged by anaerobic heating between 250 °C and 500 °C.²¹ These starting materials, derived from photolytic rearrangements of 7-carbomethoxynorbornadienes,²² are comparatively difficult to prepare, and their pyrolysis reactions gave relatively low H_2Pn yields of 7–16% due to the formation of a large number of side products.




Fig. 6 Synthesis of dihydropentalene by anaerobic flash vacuum pyrolysis.






Fig. 7 Flash vacuum pyrolysis of protected vinyl fulvenes derived from cyclopentadiene and acroleins.




Fig. 8 Thermal cyclisation of vinyl fulvenes to dihydropentalenes.

2.3. Thermal cyclisations of vinyl fulvenes

A mild thermal synthesis of **H₂Pn** has been described by Gajewski and Cavender, who found that neat 6-vinyl fulvene will undergo thermal cyclisation at 110 °C (Fig. 8).²³ This procedure gave exclusively the **1,5-PnH₂** isomer due to facile 1,5-hydride shifts occurring.

6-Propenylfulvene was reported to undergo a thermal reaction at 65 °C, however, analysis of the products obtained was limited and no isolated yields were reported. The relatively low temperatures required to induce these rearrangements promise practical benefits over the higher temperature pyrolysis reactions discussed above. However, 6-vinyl fulvene is

again not trivial to synthesise. Neuenschwander reported two preparations: the first reacting 1-hydroxymethyl-spiro-[2,4]-hepta-4,6-diene with HCl,²⁴ the second reacting **NaCp** with 3-acetoxy-3-chloro-1-propene.²⁵ Both routes give low yields (10% and 20%, respectively) due to significant side reactions occurring. Erden *et al.* describe an improved protocol, starting with condensation of **HCp** with 3-(methylthio)propanal, followed by oxidation with NaIO₄ and sulfoxide elimination with DBU. The reported yield across the three steps was 37% including a purification after each step.²⁶

Kaiser and Hafner showed that 6-(2-aminovinyl)fulvenes would undergo a solution-phase thermal cyclisation and isomerisation in boiling piperidine to give **3-amino-PnH₂** in high yields (Fig. 9).²⁷ These species could be reduced with LiAlH₄ to give an organoaluminium species that, upon hydrolysis, underwent amine elimination at room temperature to yield **H₂Pn**. The syntheses of some mono- and di-substituted **H₂Pn** were also described, using 6-substituted amino fulvenes in the first step, and using alkyl/aryl lithiums in the following reduction/elimination step. However, purification procedures for these compounds were not described.

Similar to the above case of vinyl fulvenes, the amino-vinyl fulvene starting materials (derived from condensation of **HCp**




Fig. 9 Thermal rearrangement of aminovinyl-fulvenes and subsequent reduction to dihydropentalenes.



with di(alkyl)-aminoacroleins) are difficult to handle due to their thermal instability.²⁸ In theory, starting from substituted **HCp** could produce more stable amino-vinyl fulvenes and provide access to more highly substituted **H₂Pn**. However, it is difficult to predict whether these species would follow the same thermal rearrangement pathways.

While some of the thermal routes reviewed above have been shown to be quite efficient in generating **H₂Pn** precursors suitable for double deprotonation to **Pn²⁻**, the limitations of these rearrangement reactions are obvious: their precursors rely on multi-step syntheses and/or utilise expensive starting materials, they often require special apparatus, conditions need to be carefully controlled and optimised, and they are rather limited in scope.

2.4. Solution-phase rearrangement reactions

Several solution-phase syntheses of **H₂Pn** that do not involve pyrolytic steps have been described in the literature. Jones *et al.* reported the synthesis of **Li₂Pn** starting with the conversion of cycloheptatriene to 8,8-dibromobicyclo[5.1.0]octa-2,4-diene using bromoform and KO^tBu (Fig. 10).¹⁰ Reacting this species with methyllithium triggered a carbene-induced rearrangement to give **H₂Pn** which was trapped with ⁿbutyllithium in DME/pentane to give **[Li₂(DME)_x]Pn**. Although the overall yields are quite low (<12%), this solution-phase method can be performed with standard laboratory equipment.

The Skattebøl rearrangement of the transient carbene generated from the geminal dihalo-cyclopropane unit allows for some variability in the substitution pattern. Cycloheptatriene can be converted to 7-alkylcycloheptatrienes through hydride abstraction, formation of the ethyl ether with EtOH and NaHCO₃, then finally substitution of the ether with a Grignard reagent. Although 7-*tert*-butyl-cycloheptatriene was found not to react with CHBr₃ and KO^tBu, the methyl, ethyl and isopropyl variants did undergo this transformation (albeit with low

yields of 9–15%). Treating the *gem*-dibromo cyclopropane unit in these species with methyllithium furnished 1-alkyl substituted **H₂Pn**, which gave high yields of **Li₂[1-alkyl-Pn]** upon double deprotonation with ⁿbutyllithium (53–70%).¹⁰

Another synthesis of **H₂Pn** *via* carbene rearrangement has been reported by Brinker and Fleischhauer.²⁹ *trans*-1,2-Bis(2,2-dibromocyclopropyl)ethane, formed by twofold reaction of *trans*-1,3,5-hexatriene with CHBr₃ and KO^tBu, was found to rearrange to **H₂Pn** when treated with methyllithium in diethyl ether. However, significant side products were formed, and attempts to separate the mixture using vapour-phase chromatography proved unsuccessful.

Ashley *et al.* developed a solution-phase synthesis of hexamethylpentalenide, **Pn²⁻**, the pentalenide analogue of pentamethylcyclopentadienide (**Cp²⁻**).³⁰ The starting material for this preparation is the so-called 'Weiss-H₄' compound, obtained from reaction of dimethyl-1,3-acetonedicarboxylate with glyoxal.³¹ Reacting the 'Weiss-H₄' compound with an excess of methyl iodide and K₂CO₃, followed by hydrolysis/decarboxylation with concentrated HCl yielded 2,4,6,8-tetramethylbicyclo[3.3.0]octane-3,7-dione (Fig. 11). The so-obtained diketone could be oxidised with bromine in MeOH, then reacted with 'methylcerium dichloride' (derived from methyllithium and cerium trichloride) at −78 °C in THF. The resulting alcohol is prone to polymerisation and thus extremely sensitive to acid. The final dehydration reaction therefore required an aprotic method, and it was found that LiCl in DMSO was effective in producing 1,3,4,5,6-pentamethyl-2-methylene-1,2-dihydropentalene, **Me₆-Pn** or **Pn^{*}**, an exocyclic isomer of hexamethylpentalene. This compound is stable due to its vinylic fulvene structure preventing cyclic conjugation of an otherwise anti-aromatic 8π system, and marks the only pentalenide synthon where the 8π **Pn^{*}** is stable whereas the non-aromatic **H₂Pn^{*}** is unknown. As outlined in Fig. 3, to transform the **Pn^{*}** into **Pn²⁻** it must be doubly reduced. Direct reaction with alkali metals lead to degradation of the starting material




Fig. 10 Synthesis of dilithium pentalenides from cycloheptatrienes.




Fig. 11 Synthesis of an exocyclic hexamethylpentalene isomer from 'Weiss-H₄'.






Fig. 12 Reduction of hexamethylpentalene to lithium hexamethylhydropentalenide, and its subsequent deprotonation to dilithium hexamethylpentalenide.

through polymerisation, but reaction with a bulky trialkyl borohydride (*LS*-selectride) allowed for 1,4-addition of a hydride to yield **LiHPn*** (Fig. 12).³² Use of the less sterically demanding *L*-selectride resulted in a mixture of 1,2- and 1,4-addition products.

LiHPn* is reportedly insoluble in most organic solvents, requiring solubilisation with pyridine for NMR analysis. The final deprotonation of **LiHPn*** however was performed in a refluxing hexane slurry with *n*-butyllithium and TMEDA to afford the TMEDA adduct of **Li₂Pn***. In order to obtain single crystals suitable for XRD analysis [**Li₂(TMEDA)_xPn***] had to be converted into a bis-stannyl derivative using Me₃SnCl (see Fig. 39, section 3.3), and transmetallated again using methyl-lithium in neat TMEDA.³²

Despite requiring multiple steps involving several sensitive intermediates, the overall synthesis of [**Li₂(TMEDA)_xPn***] is well developed and gives access to a valuable synthon for organometallic pentalenide chemistry without the use of special apparatus. Using different electrophiles (for example, ethyl iodide or isopropyl bromide) in the first step could in principle allow for incorporation of different substituents into these four positions, but this change would likely require downstream modification of the overall synthesis. So far there is no report of such variants.

2.5. Annulation reactions of cyclopentadienes

As already exemplified in some of the previous thermal rearrangement reactions, **HCp** provide a convenient starting material for the synthesis of pentalene derivatives. In the following section we review examples where selective 1,2 annulation reactions of **HCp** have been accomplished *via* mild solution-phase methods. An early example was provided by Hafner

and Süss, who used **Li[^tBuCp]** to synthesise **'Bu₃Pn** through its reaction with an iminium salt derived from 5-dimethylamino-2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-4-hepten-3-one and triethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate (Fig. 13).³³

The resulting deep blue species had to be purified by chromatography on alumina at −75 °C using pentane as the eluent. Kitschke and Lindner were later able to obtain crystals of **'Bu₃Pn** for XRD analysis by recrystallisation from hexane.³⁴ Its stability is interesting in that although normally one would not approach the synthesis of an organometallic **Pn^{2−}** ligand *via* its 8π **Pn** version, this might be a viable approach in the case of sterically demanding substitution patterns such as 1,3,5-tris(*tert*-butyl) that are effective in blocking dimerization pathways. Ashley *et al.* have shown that a permethylated **Pn** system may be reduced using a bulky borohydride to give **HPn[−]** and then **Pn^{2−}** upon deprotonation (Fig. 11),³² so similar chemistry might be possible with other bulky **Pn** such as Hafner's **'Bu₃Pn**.

Due to their known stability and ease of deprotonation, routes that give access to **H₂Pn** synthons are still preferable in most cases. Retrosynthetic analysis shows that Hafner's **HCp** annulation strategy to synthesise **'Bu₃Pn** is well suited to give access to **H₂Pn** as well: 1,4-Michael addition by a **Cp[−]** to an electrophilic conjugated carbonyl species would yield a β-cyclopentadiene-functionalised ketone/aldehyde intermediate that is set up to undergo an intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation (Fig. 14). Compatible **HCp** merely require two adjacent unsubstituted carbons in order to accommodate the final ring-closing step. Thus, a wide range of mono-, 1,2 and 1,3 di-, as well as 1,2,3-trisubstituted **HCp** may be transformed into the corresponding **H₂Pn** pro-ligands by reaction with simple enones (chalcones).




Fig. 13 Synthesis of 1,3,5-tris(*t*-butyl)pentalene from lithium *t*-butylcyclopentadienide.






Fig. 14 Retrosynthesis of substituted dihydropentalenes from cyclopentadienes and enones.

The simplest unsubstituted **H₂Pn** is currently not accessible by such a transformation, as **HCp** and acrolein are known to undergo a Diels–Alder reaction instead of the required Michael addition.³⁵ However, Griesbeck showed that moving to slightly higher substituted reaction partners, and using pyrrolidine to activate the chalcone towards 1,4 nucleophilic attack *via* its enamine, indeed furnishes substituted **H₂Pn** in good yields from a single step reaction under mild conditions (Fig. 15).³⁶

The six different 1,3-disubstituted **H₂Pn** thus prepared were air-stable compounds that did not polymerise and could be purified by high-vacuum distillation. The initially obtained 1,2 double-bond isomers were found to isomerise to the more stable 1,5 form when contacted with Brønsted acids (*e.g.* trifluoroacetic acid or activated alumina) or when heated above 500 °C. Curiously, none of the 1,3-disubstituted **H₂Pn** reported in this study have been taken forward to the **Pn²⁻** form by double deprotonation or used as organometallic synthons in other reports so far.

Another, more highly substituted example of this strategy has been reported by Le Goff,³⁷ who reported the fluoride-catalysed condensation of 1,2,3-**Ph₃CpH** and 1,2,3-triphenylone to yield **Ph₆PnH₂** (Fig. 16). Oxidation with N-bromosuccinimide reportedly afforded the corresponding

Ph₆Pn as another example of an 8π pentalene stabilised by suitable substitution pattern, as inferred from its UV-vis spectrum.

Its corresponding **Ph₆Pn²⁻** would make an interesting organometallic ligand, however, this two-page single-author communication does not contain any synthetic or analytical details, and the synthesis has never been replicated in later literature.

2.6. Annulation reactions of fulvenes

In cases where the required chalcone is either difficult to access or does not display the desired reactivity, a step-wise approach to **H₂Pn** synthesis *via* **HCp** annulation is also possible. Condensation of a **HCp** with an aldehyde yields a 6-substituted fulvene that may be attacked by an enolate to ring-close *via* an intramolecular Knoevenagel condensation (Fig. 17). Although apparently introducing an additional reaction step, it eliminates the need for synthesising the enone in the first place. Formation of the fulvene intermediate from **HCp** and aldehyde follows a straightforward condensation reaction using pyrrolidine in MeOH as described by Stone and Little (Fig. 18).³⁸




Fig. 15 Synthesis of di-substituted dihydropentalenes by pyrrolidine-facilitated condensation of cyclopentadiene and substituted enones.




Fig. 16 Synthesis of hexaphenyldihydropentalene, and its oxidation into hexaphenylpentalene.






Fig. 17 Retrosynthesis of substituted dihydropentalenes from cyclopentadienes, aldehydes and ketones.




Fig. 18 Synthesis of fulvenes from cyclopentadiene and carbonyl compounds and their resonance structure.




Fig. 19 Synthesis of 1,3-disubstituted dihydropentalenes from 6-substituted fulvenes and acetone.

6-Substituted fulvenes are stable enough to be isolated, however, with their exocyclic double bond being polarised to enable nucleophilic attack by a range of nucleophiles (Fig. 18).²⁸ Coskun *et al.* have demonstrated the utility of this reactivity for **H₂Pn** synthesis (Fig. 19).³⁹ Reaction of **HCP** with a range of different aldehydes afforded 6-substituted fulvenes that cleanly condensed with acetone (used as the solvent for this transformation) at room temperature in the presence of pyrrolidine to give variously substituted **1,3-RMe-PnH₂** in good yields. The air-stable products were obtained after evaporation of solvent and side products (mainly from cross-condensation of acetone) followed by flash chromatography on silica, which was reported not to induce any double bond isomerisation.

Again, none of the **H₂Pn** synthesised have been deprotonated to the corresponding **Pn²⁻** in this or other studies. Coskun *et al.* only describe the reactivity of 6-methyl fulvene, but it could be

supposed that fulvenes derived from substituted **HCP** and various aldehydes could be reacted in an analogous manner to give a variety of more highly substituted **H₂Pn** as outlined in Fig. 16. However, like the concerted double-condensation reaction using chalcones described above, the parent (unsubstituted) **H₂Pn** is not likely to be accessible *via* this step-wise condensation method either due to the instability of its hypothetical reaction partners (unsubstituted fulvene and acetaldehyde).

2.7. Acetylene oligomerisation

Akin to alkyne trimerization to give variously substituted 6π aromatics (Reppé chemistry),⁴⁰ there are also some reports of alkyne oligomerisation reactions that produce pentalene scaffolds. Kuwabara *et al.*⁴¹ and Saito *et al.*⁴² reported the synthesis of bis-silylated dibenzopentalenides through reduction of phenylacetylenes with alkali metals (Fig. 20). When phenyl




Fig. 20 Formation of dibenzopentalenides by reaction of phenylsilylacetylenes with potassium.






Fig. 21 Palladium-mediated cyclotetramerization of phenylacetylene to tetraphenyldihydropentalenes.

(trisⁱpropylsilyl)acetylene was reacted with metallic lithium in Et₂O only a small amount of **Li₂[Bn₂Pn]** was obtained. The major product of this reaction, a 1,4-dilithio-1,3-butadiene, could be reacted with Ba(HMDS)₂ to give **Ba[Bn₂Pn]**, the hydrolysis of which yielded the silyl-substituted **Bn₂PnH₂**.⁴³ Reduction of phenyl(trisⁱpropylsilyl)acetylene with metallic potassium directly gave **K₂[Bn₂Pn]** in high yields, with other silyl-substituted phenylacetylenes reacting in the same manner (Fig. 20).

In another example, Bailey *et al.*⁴⁴ described the PdCl₂ catalysed cyclotetramerisation of phenylacetylene to **Ph₄PnH₂** (Fig. 21). However, of the two isomeric products obtained from this reaction only one would be suitable for deprotonation into a **Ph₄Pn²⁻**, and the report does not include methods of preparative work up and isolation.

Although interesting examples of an alternative, potentially elegant synthetic approach of accessing **H₂Pn** from simple alkyne starting materials, the generality and versatility of these methods remain to be explored more systematically.

2.8. Functionalisation of pentalenides

Although most solution-phase syntheses of **H₂Pn** are easier for more highly substituted starting materials, the opposite is true for pyrolytic pathways. However, post-synthetic functionalisation of the parent (unsubstituted) **H₂Pn** obtained from *e.g.* FVP is also possible to some degree. Cloke *et al.* for instance

demonstrated the functionalisation of **Li₂Pn** with of trialkylsilyl-groups (Fig. 22), which in addition to modifying their electronic and steric parameters imparts the complexes with enhanced solubility in hydrocarbon solvents.¹⁹

[Li(DME)]₂Pn was shown to react regio-selectively with two equivalents of TMS-Cl in THF to give **1,4-TMS₂PnH₂** as a mixture of *syn* and *anti* isomers. These could be doubly deprotonated again with ⁿbutyllithium in DME/pentane to give the corresponding **[Li(DME)]₂[1,4-TMS₂Pn]** for subsequent transmetalation reactions. When introduction of additional substituents was attempted at this stage, by further reaction of **1,4-TMS₂Pn²⁻** with another two equivalents of TMS-Cl, **1,1,4,4-TMS₄PnH₂** was obtained exclusively. Due to the two bis-silylated sp³ carbons in the 1 and 4 positions this compound cannot be converted into a **Pn²⁻** by way of deprotonation any more, limiting how much functionality may be introduced through this strategy. **[Li(DME)]₂Pn** failed to react analogously with tris(ⁱpropyl)silyl chloride, but did react with the more activated tris(ⁱpropyl)silyl triflate to give the corresponding **1,4-TIPS₂PnH₂**, forming the *anti* isomer only, presumably due to steric reasons in this case. Reacting this compound with KNH₂ in Et₂O gave high yields of **K₂[1,4-TIPS₂Pn]**, a useful ligand for use in f-block chemistry where lithium salts tend to form 'ate' complexes (see section 3.3).¹⁹

The regioselectivity of the reaction of **Pn²⁻** with hard electrophiles is a consequence of its charge distribution. In




Fig. 22 Reaction of dilithium pentalenide with silyl-electrophiles, and deprotonation of the resulting disilyl-dihydropentalenes.






Fig. 23 Resonance structures of the pentalenide dianion.




Fig. 24 Reaction of pentalene dimer with diiron nonacarbonyl.

addition to the fully delocalised (aromatic) η^8 form and the partially delocalised (allylic) η^3 form that govern reactivity with soft Lewis acids, its ground state η^1 resonance structure conjugates both charges to the 1 and 4 positions (Fig. 23). Thus, post-synthetic functionalisation of Pn^{2-} is often limited to these two positions.

3. Synthesis of pentalenide complexes of p-, d- and f-block metals

As mentioned in section 2.1 (Fig. 4 and 5), deprotonative metalation of H_2Pn with suitably strong bases gives straightforward access to stable HPn^- and Pn^{2-} salts that can be used for transmetalation on to p-, d-, and f-block metals in the desired oxidation state. Before we review selected cases of these in section 3.3, there are also some examples of direct metalation reactions of neutral precursors *via* redox reactions (as in related HCp chemistry) that deserve mentioning. At present these appear less generally applicable than transmetalation from HPn^- and Pn^{2-} salts, but serve to illustrate the rich coordination chemistry of pentalenide ligands.

3.1. Pentalenide and hydropentalenide complexes through direct metalation of neutral precursors

An early example of a direct redox metalation of Pn with a transition metal has been provided Hafner and Weidemüller,⁴⁵ who reported that the reaction of Pn_2 with an iron(0) precursor produced a small amount of $(\text{CO})_5\text{Fe}_2\text{Pn}$ (Fig. 24). According to the contemporary ionic electron counting model, and in line with the thermodynamic driving force of forming a 10π aromatic, this reaction implies a double reduction of Pn to Pn^{2-} accompanied by cleavage of the dimer and oxidation of two Fe^0 centres to Fe^{I} . Heating Pn_2 to 50 °C in methylcyclohexane in the presence of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$ resulted in the formation of a yellow crystalline solid, supposed to be the *syn*-diiron pentalenide complex shown based on its NMR spectro-

scopic and mass spectrometric signatures. However, no XRD analysis is available to confirm the geometry, and work up details were not provided in the original paper. A mixture of isomers of $[\text{1,3-Me}_2\text{Pn}]_2$ was reacted in a similar fashion to give an unidentified green diiron complex in 21% reported yield.⁴⁵

In related examples of directly metalating H_2Pn precursors, Hunt and Russel⁴⁶ reported that reacting $3\text{-Me}_2\text{N-PnH}_2$ or 3-Ph-PnH_2 with $\text{Fe}(\text{CO})_5$ would produce analogous *syn* bimetallic $(\text{CO})_5\text{Fe}_2\text{Pn}$ complexes in similar yields (Fig. 25). However, none of the geometries have been confirmed by XRD either.

Similar to direct metalation reactions of HCp with zero-valent metal precursors to give $\text{M}(\eta)\text{Cp}$ complexes, this conversion of a H_2Pn into a bimetallic Pn^{2-} complex implies a double deprotonation and proton reduction to H_2 to give two Fe^{I} centres in the resulting complex.

Another redox metalation of a Pn has been reported by Ashley *et al.*⁴⁷ Refluxing Pn^* (Fig. 11) with an excess of $\text{Fe}_2(\text{CO})_9$ in toluene (added in portions) furnished a brown solid upon filtration and washing. Recrystallisation from toluene/hexane produced the η^5 $(\text{CO})_5\text{Fe}_2\text{Pn}^*$ complex shown in Fig. 26 in good yields. In this case the *syn* geometry of the two metal centres has been established by XRD analysis. The same procedure could be applied to synthesise a related dicobalt complex using a stoichiometric amount of $\text{Co}_2(\text{CO})_8$ (Fig. 26). Notably, both compounds could be synthesised on a multigram scale.

Both reactions involve a formal double reduction of the Pn^* scaffold by the metal(0) precursors, accompanied by a two-bond hydrogen shift to the exocyclic methylene group. The similar reactivity but increased stability and enhanced crystallinity of these Pn^{*2-} compounds compared to the parent Pn^{2-} complexes are promising and provide motivation for further exploration of other substitution patterns in organometallic pentalenide chemistry.

Redox metallations of COT accompanied by skeletal rearrangement to Pn^{2-} are also known for some d-block metal




Fig. 25 Reaction of mono-substituted dihydropentalenes with iron pentacarbonyl.






Fig. 26 Reaction of hexamethylpentalene with diiron nonacarbonyl and dicobalt octacarbonyl.




Fig. 27 Rearrangement redox reaction of cyclooctatetraene with $\text{Ru}(\text{CO})_4(\text{GeMe}_3)_2$.




Fig. 28 Reaction of acetylene with dimanganese decacarbonyl.

complexes. Brookes *et al.*⁴⁸ reported the reaction of *cis*- $[\text{Ru}(\text{CO})_4(\text{GeMe}_3)_2]$ with **COT** in refluxing heptane or octane (Fig. 27). Of the several compounds produced from this reaction, a small amount of the pale yellow *syn* bimetallic **Ru₂Pn** complex shown in Fig. 27 could be isolated and analysed by XRD.

Similarly, Howard *et al.*⁴⁹ reported that **COT** would react with $\text{Ru}_3(\text{CO})_{10}$ in refluxing heptane to give a trinuclear **RuPn** complex, albeit in low yields and in a mixture with various other **RuCOT** complexes. Silyl-substituted **COT** have been reported to react in an analogous manner, giving the correspondingly substituted trinuclear **RuPn** complexes.⁵⁰ All of these reactions were very low yielding and no work up procedures are available, so the synthetic utility of these transformations appears limited.

Akin to the alkali metal induced and palladium catalysed alkyne oligomerisation reactions that produce substituted **H₂Pn** (section 2.7), the direct formation of **HPn[−]** complexes from cyclotetramerization of acetylenes has also been reported. For example, Coffield *et al.*⁵¹ showed that heating $\text{Mn}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$ in THF under a pressure of acetylene resulted in the formation of $\eta^5(\text{CO})_3\text{MnPnH}$ as a viscous yellow oil that was purified by distillation (Fig. 28). The same transformation could also be accomplished using $\text{MeMn}(\text{CO})_5$ but with lower yields of 27%. Further deprotonation of the bound **HPn[−]** was not attempted.

Komatsu *et al.* reported the formation of η^5 **RhPnH** complexes through Rh^{I} mediated tetramerisation of bulky mono-substituted alkynes (Fig. 29). The reaction of *t*-butylacetylene with $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})\text{Cl}]_2$ and NEt_3 in cyclohexane furnished $\eta^5(\text{COD})\text{Rh}[\textit{t}\text{-buPnH}]$ in 13% yield after purification by chromatography on alumina followed by recrystallization from EtOH.⁵²

The analogous reaction of TMS-acetylene with $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})\text{Cl}]_2$ gave the corresponding $\eta^5(\text{COD})\text{Rh}[\text{TMS}_4\text{PnH}]$ complex in 50% isolated yield. Interestingly, whereas tetramerization of




Fig. 29 Reaction of substituted alkynes with $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})\text{Cl}]_2$.






Fig. 30 Synthesis of di(hydrypentalenide)iron from dihydropentalene.




Fig. 31 Transmetalation reactions of thallium hydrypentalenide.

^tbutylacetylene produced **1,1,3,5-*R*₄PnH[−]**, TMS-acetylene yielded the **1,2,4,6-*R*₄PnH[−]** regio-isomer. While not possible with the former, the latter could in principle allow for a second deprotonation to a **1,2,4,6-*R*₄Pn^{2−}**. However, this has not been reported yet.

3.2. Hydrypentalenide complexes through transmetalation of hydrypentalenides

As shown in Fig. 3 and section 2.4, and illustrated in some of the examples in section 3.1, the monoanionic, 6π aromatic **HPn[−]** synthon may be obtained from either hydride addition to a stable **Pn** or mono-deprotonation of **H₂Pn**. Katz and Rosenberger provided an early demonstration of its utility as a transmetalation agent: *in situ* generation from **H₂Pn** with 1.1 equivalents of ⁿbutyllithium in THF/hexane followed by addition of iron(II) precursors yielded the ferrocene analogue $\eta^5 \text{Fe}[\text{PnH}]_2$ as a mixture of two isomers (Fig. 30).⁵³ The stable compound was obtained in 54% yield after aqueous work up followed by sublimation and recrystallisation from hexane.

TlCp is known to be a useful transmetalation agent in instances where alkali metal **Cp[−]** salts are too reducing.⁵⁴ In the same manner, **TlPnH** (Fig. 4) has been shown to act as an efficient, mild transmetalating agent with d-block metal precursors: reaction with FeCl_2 in THF was shown to give the

ferrocene derivatives shown in Fig. 30 in 47% isolated yield.⁹ Similarly, reaction of **TlPnH** with $[\text{Rh}(\text{COD})\text{Cl}]_2$ in THF smoothly yielded $\eta^5 (\text{COD})\text{Rh}[\text{PnH}]$ (Fig. 31).⁹ The TlCl by-product was easily removed by filtration, and sublimation of the product gave the pure Rh^{I} complex in high yield. The same study also demonstrated the successful reaction of **TlPnH** with Me_3PtI , but the **Me₃Pt[PnH]** complex produced required gas-phase chromatography in order to furnish pure product.

Reaction of **TlPnH** with a suspension of $[\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(\text{THF})\text{Br}]_2$ in THF in the absence of light gave $\eta^5 (\text{CO})_3\text{Re}[\text{PnH}]$ as a colourless solid after purification by chromatography on silica (Fig. 31).¹⁰ Recrystallisation from Et_2O yielded crystals suitable for XRD analysis. The analogous manganese complex could also be prepared through the reaction of $[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_3(\text{py})_2\text{Br}]$ with **TlPnH** in THF to give the same product of the cyclotetramerisation of acetylene with $\text{Mn}_2(\text{CO})_{10}$ (Fig. 28).^{5a} Reacting a mixture of **Tl[MePnH]** isomers with $[\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(\text{THF})\text{Br}]_2$ in THF yielded the corresponding $\eta^5 (\text{CO})_3\text{Re}[\text{MePnH}]$ complexes as a mixture of double bond isomers.¹⁰ Although good combined yields were obtained after purification on silica, the isomers (distinguishable by NMR) could not be separated by chromatography or fractional crystallisation.

Direct metalation reactions of **H₂Pn** with other heavy p-block metal bases has also been achieved. Ustynyuk *et al.* reported the synthesis of mono- and bis- Me_3Sn derivatives of **H₂Pn** using tin amides.⁵⁵ The reaction of **H₂Pn** with one equivalent of $\text{Me}_3\text{Sn}(\text{NET}_2)$ in boiling hexane produced, after distillation, a mixture of 1- and 2- η^1 -(**Me₃Sn**)**PnH** (Fig. 32). Higher yields were obtained by stirring the reagents in heptane at room temperature for 48 hours. According to Fig. 23, the 1-isomer would be the primary reaction product from formal addition of a Me_3Sn^+ moiety to the **HPn[−]** intermediate, and NMR analysis indeed showed rapid suprafacial 1–2–3 shifts of the TMT group within (**Me₃Sn**)**PnH** (as also observed in related η^1 -TMT **Cp** and **Ind** complexes⁵⁶) to be responsible for formation of the 2-isomer.

The same reaction with two equivalents of $\text{Me}_3\text{Sn}(\text{NET}_2)$ produced **1,4-(Me₃Sn)₂Pn** in high yield without purification. A 6 : 1 mixture of *anti*- and *syn*-isomers was obtained which did not interconvert; although VT ¹³C NMR analysis showed suprafacial Me_3Sn shifts to be possible within each (**Me₃Sn**)₂**Pn** isomer there was no evidence for suprafacial migration or intermolecular exchange. A pure sample of the *anti*-isomer could be obtained after separation by fractional distillation






Fig. 32 Reactions of dihydropentalene with trimethyltin-diethylamide.

and crystallisation from pentane, enabling structural analysis by XRD. The authors also showed how the *anti*- and *syn*-isomers may be distinguished by their ^{119}Sn chemical shift values and assigned *via* characteristic ^{119}Sn – ^{117}Sn coupling constants within a mixture in solution.

Similar to the η^5 thallium reagents, η^1 stannylated Pn act as mild transmetalation agents in cases where alkali metal Pn^{2-} salts are too reducing (see below). This reactivity is not seen with the structurally related but non-fluxional η^1 silylated Pn derivatives discussed in section 2.8 due to the strength of the covalent Si–C bond, preventing the trisalkylsilyl substituent from acting as a leaving group.

Turner *et al.* demonstrated the use of mono-stannylated HPn^{*-} as a ligand transfer agent for synthesising hydropentalenide complexes of group 4 metals (Fig. 33).⁵⁷ The reaction of LiHPn^* with SnMe_3Cl in pentane gave $\eta^1(\text{Me}_3\text{Sn})\text{HPn}^*$ as a 1 : 1 mixture of *syn* and *anti* isomers in near quantitative yields after isolation by filtration.

Reacting $(\text{Me}_3\text{Sn})\text{HPn}^*$ with $\text{TiCl}_4(\text{THF})_2$ in refluxing benzene gave a single isomer of $\eta^5\text{TiCl}_3[\text{HPn}^*]$ in high yields, and single crystals for XRD analysis could be grown from diethyl ether. Chlorine-bridged, mono-nuclear zirconium and hafnium HPn^* dimer complexes could also be prepared from $(\text{Me}_3\text{Sn})\text{HPn}^*$.⁵⁷ Although deprotonation of the bound HPn^*

ligand in the Ti complex was not attempted, the reverse reaction (mono-protonation of a titanium Pn^{2-} complex) was later reported by the same group (see Fig. 40).

3.3. Pentalenide complexes through transmetalation of pentalenides

Due to their stability and ease of preparation, alkali metal Pn^{2-} salts are the most prevalent reagents for synthesising organometallic pentalenide complexes. Again similar to MCp chemistry, Jonas *et al.* reported that $[\text{Li}_2(\text{DME})_x]\text{Pn}$ would react cleanly with Cp_2VCl by substitution of one Cp^- ligand and chloride.⁵⁸ The resulting $\eta^5\text{CpV}\eta^8\text{Pn}$ complex (Fig. 34) is air sensitive but could be sublimed under vacuum to give pure product in high yields. $\eta^5\text{Cp}^*\text{V}\eta^8\text{Pn}$ and $\eta^5\text{IndV}\eta^8\text{Pn}$ could be prepared in the same manner, and recrystallisation from hexane at low temperatures gave samples suitable for XRD analysis.

The reaction of CpTiCl_2 with $[\text{Li}_2(\text{DME})_x]\text{Pn}$ yielded $\eta^5\text{CpTi}\eta^8\text{Pn}$ in high yield, and the analogous reaction of Cp_2ZrCl_2 gave $\eta^5\text{CpClZr}\eta^8\text{Pn}$ (Fig. 34).⁵⁹ However, details of solvents for these transformations is missing from these reports.

Jones *et al.* managed to access several new bimetallic group 7 carbonyl Pn^{2-} complexes by way of transmetalation.⁶⁰ The reaction of $[\text{Li}_2(\text{DME})_x]\text{Pn}$ with 2 equivalents of




Fig. 33 Synthesis of a stannylated derivative of HPn^{*-} and its reaction with a titanium(IV) precursor.




Fig. 34 Transmetalation reactions of dilithium pentalenide with early d-block metals.

$[\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_3(\text{py})_2\text{Br}]$ in THF gave exclusively the *anti*-isomer of $\eta^5 [(\text{CO})_3\text{Mn}]_2\text{Pn}$ (Fig. 35), which after extraction and filtration in air was purified by chromatography on silica. When the analogous reaction was performed with one equivalent of $[\text{Re}(\text{CO})_3(\text{THF})\text{Br}]_2$, a mixture of *syn*- and *anti* $\eta^5 [(\text{CO})_3\text{Re}]_2\text{Pn}$ was obtained, with the ratio being dependent on the reaction temperature. The two isomers could be separated through fractional crystallisation.

Katz *et al.* showed that dinuclear Pn^{2-} sandwich complexes of cobalt and nickel could be synthesised by reacting their

respective dihalide salts with $[\text{Li}_2(\text{DME})_x]\text{Pn}$ in THF (Fig. 35).⁶¹ Both compounds were obtained in low yields after purification by sublimation. The analogous reaction with FeCl_2 however did not produce the analogous dinuclear sandwich complex ("double ferrocene"),^{61b} but a mononuclear sandwich complex with two bridging HPn^- ligands instead.⁶² Binding *et al.* were later able to synthesise the dinuclear sandwich complex $[\text{FePn}^*]_2$ by reacting $[\text{Li}_2(\text{TMEDA})_x]\text{Pn}^*$ with $\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_2$ in THF at room temperature,⁶³ providing yet another example of the utility of more highly substituted pentalenide scaffolds.

Manriquez *et al.* showed that $\text{Cp}^*\text{Fe}(\text{acac})$, generated *in situ* from LiCp^* and $\text{Fe}(\text{acac})_2$ in THF, would react smoothly with $[\text{Li}_2(\text{DME})_x]\text{Pn}$ to produce *anti* $\eta^5[\text{Cp}^*\text{Fe}]\eta^8\text{Pn}$ (Fig. 35).⁶⁴ The complex was obtained in high yields after recrystallization from toluene; analogous cobalt, nickel and ruthenium complexes could be prepared in the same manner.

Exploring Pn^{2-} complexes of f-block metals, Cloke *et al.* successfully synthesised thorium and uranium complexes of **1,4-(TIPS)₂Pn²⁻** by way of transmetalation.⁶⁵ The reaction of ThCl_4 and $\text{K}_2[\text{1,4-(TIPS)}_2\text{Pn}]$ suspended in THF yielded a bright orange solid containing a mixture of staggered and eclipsed isomers of $\eta^8[\text{1,4-(TIPS)}_2\text{Pn}]_2\text{Th}$ (Fig. 36). The product could be purified by sublimation, and crystals for XRD analysis were grown from pentane. The same reaction with UCl_4 produced a dark green product as the analogous isomeric mixture of $\eta^8[\text{1,4-(TIPS)}_2\text{Pn}]_2\text{U}$ again in high yields.




Fig. 35 Transmetalation reactions of dilithium pentalenide with late d-block metals.




Fig. 36 Transmetalation of dipotassium bis(trimethylsilyl) pentalenide with f-block metal halides.






Fig. 37 Reactions of dilithium hexamethylpentalenide with group IV metal halides.

Balazs *et al.* synthesised the anionic cerium(III) sandwich complex $\text{K}[\text{Ce}(\text{1,4-TIPS}_2\text{Pn})_2]$ by reacting $\text{K}_2[\text{1,4-TIPS}_2\text{Pn}]$ with CeCl_3 in THF at room temperature.⁶⁶ This sand-coloured species was purified by filtration and washing with pentane, and characterised crystallographically by complexing the potassium ion with 18-crown-6 and recrystallising the resulting solid from toluene. The oxidation of $\text{K}[\text{Ce}(\text{1,4-TIPS}_2\text{Pn})_2]$ was shown using a slurry of AgBPh_4 in THF, and the resulting cerium(IV) sandwich complex $[\text{Ce}(\text{1,4-TIPS}_2\text{Pn})_2]$ was purified by extraction with pentane and crystallisation. Ashley *et al.* showed the analogous synthesis of CePn^*_2 by reacting $[\text{Li}_2(\text{TMEDA})_x]\text{Pn}^*$ and CeCl_3 in THF at room temperature, followed by oxidation of the intermediate $\text{Li}[\text{CePn}^*_2]$ using an excess of dichloroethane.⁶⁷

In another example of a substituted Pn^{2-} transmetalation reaction, Cooper *et al.* described the reactivity of Pn^{2-} with group 4 metal halides.⁶⁸ ZrCl_4 and HfCl_4 mixed with $[\text{Li}_2(\text{TMEDA})_x]\text{Pn}^*$ in benzene gave, after filtration and recrystallization, halide-bridged dinuclear η^8 complexes of zirconium and hafnium as LiCl adducts (Fig. 37). Attempts to remove coordinated THF *via* high vacuum lead to decomposition.

When the analogous reaction was performed with titanium(IV) precursors, no titanium complex could be obtained but ligand degradation was observed instead. Reaction of $[\text{Li}_2(\text{TMEDA})_x]\text{Pn}^*$

with TiCl_3 and subsequent oxidation with PbCl_2 did give a dinuclear Ti^{IV} complex, however, only in 8% yield.

In order to access $\text{Ti}^{\text{IV}}_2\text{Pn}^*$ complexes, less reducing transmetalation agents were required. $[\text{Li}_2(\text{TMEDA})_x]\text{Pn}^*$ was thus reacted with two equivalents of Me_3SnCl to give $\eta^1(\text{Me}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{Pn}^*$ derivatives (Fig. 38), analogous to those reported for the parent H_2Pn (section 3.2, Fig. 32), in an extension to the $\eta^1(\text{Me}_3\text{Sn})\text{HPn}^*$ shown in Fig. 33.⁶⁸

Interestingly, the use of a non-polar solvent such as benzene lead to formation of the *syn* product, whereas the use of THF exclusively gave the *anti*-isomer. Both could be isolated in very high yields after filtration and recrystallisation from toluene or pentane. It was proposed that the second lithiation step in the synthesis of $[\text{Li}_2(\text{TMEDA})_x]\text{Pn}^*$ initially precipitated the *syn* dilithio complex as the kinetic product, which when reacted further in a benzene slurry produced *syn* TMT derivatives, whereas the use THF allowed interconversion to the thermodynamic *anti* dilithio configuration during the dissolution, producing *anti* TMT derivatives. This represents an interesting opportunity to steer the face selectivity of M_2Pn reagents in transmetalation reactions in general, but remains to be explored for other pentalenide reagents than $[\text{Li}_2(\text{TMEDA})_x]\text{Pn}^*$.

In terms of transmetalation ability, reaction of *syn* $\eta^1(\text{Me}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{Pn}^*$ with TiCl_4 in toluene indeed smoothly produced $\eta^8[\text{TiCl}_2\text{Pn}^*]_2$ in high yields after recrystallization (Fig. 39).⁶⁸ The




Fig. 38 Synthesis of bis-stannylated hexamethylpentalenides.






Fig. 39 Reactions of bis(trimethylstannyl)-hexamethylpentadienide with group IV metal halides.

analogous reactions with ZrCl_4 and HfCl_4 failed to produce the desired complexes, suggesting the presence of LiCl is required to stabilise the Zr/Hf Pn^* complexes shown in Fig. 37.

In a controlled hydrolysis experiment, Clement *et al.* showed that reacting $[\text{TiCl}_2\text{Pn}^*]_2$ with one equivalent of 2,6-xyleneol ($\text{pK}_a \sim 10$) in toluene resulted in clean mono-protonation of the bound Pn^* ligand and cleavage of the dimer by coordination of the alkoxide (Fig. 40), giving a single isomer of the mono-nuclear hydropentadienide complex $\text{Ti}(\text{OR})\text{Cl}_2[\text{HPn}^*]$ in 68% yield.⁶⁹ This transformation represents an interesting

example of accessing a HPn^- complex *via* protonation of a Pn^{2-} complex, but on its own does not allow commenting on the reversibility of the reaction.

In a further demonstration of the usefulness of $(\text{Me}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{Pn}^*$ as mild transmetalation agent, Chadwick *et al.* successfully synthesised dinuclear Pn^{2-} complexes of easily reducible rhodium(i) and iridium(i) precursors.⁷⁰ Reactions of $[\text{M}(\text{CO})_2\text{Cl}]_2$ ($\text{M} = \text{Rh}, \text{Ir}$) with $\text{syn } \eta^1(\text{Me}_3\text{Sn})_2\text{Pn}^*$ (generated *in situ*) selectively produced the corresponding $\text{syn } \eta^5[(\text{CO})_2\text{M}]_2\text{Pn}^*$ complexes (Fig. 41). Both the rhodium and




Fig. 40 Partial hydrolysis of a titanium(IV) Pn^* complex with 2,6-xyleneol.




Fig. 41 Reactions of bis(trimethylstannyl)hexamethylpentadienide with group IX metal carbonyl dimers.



iridium complexes have been analysed by XRD, with suitable crystal samples grown from hexane at low temperatures.

Whether the observed *syn* selectivity of the transmetalation was a result of using *syn* (Me₃Sn)₂Pn* or due to the dimeric nature of the metal precursor is not clear, however.

4. Conclusion

From the selected examples reviewed it is clear that a number of different synthetic routes to pentalenide complexes exist. Unsubstituted H₂Pn is mostly synthesised by controlled anaerobic pyrolysis of various starting materials, whereas several relatively straightforward solution-phase methods for substituted H₂Pn exist. It is interesting that not all of these, mostly developed in the realm of organic synthesis, have been put to use in organometallic pentalenide chemistry yet. Symmetrically substituted H₂Pn appear most desirable to reduce the number of possible isomers, which may be hard to separate or transform selectively from a mixture. Given that their reactivity is mostly governed by their core π electronic system, it is not unreasonable to expect that most new substituted H₂Pn would be amenable to the same deprotonation, functionalisation and transmetalation methods developed for the two archetypical synthons, unsubstituted H₂Pn and permethylated Pn*. This would allow a wider range of novel Pn²⁻ complexes to be synthesised for a more systematic study of Pn²⁻ ligand effects on their electronic structure and reactivity. Thallium salts and Me₃Sn derivatives have proven to be mild and effective transmetalation agents in addition to the alkali metal salts, but the development of less toxic alternatives would be desirable for practical reasons. The prospect of controlling the face selectivity of Pn²⁻ double metalation *via* either kinetically controlled deprotonation or installation of *syn*-configured leaving groups represents an exciting opportunity for selectively synthesising *syn*-dinuclear half-sandwich complexes with interesting properties for binding and activating various ligands across the two metal sites. The stability of mono-deprotonated HPn⁻ and its metal complexes may even allow consecutive installation of different metals to synthesise heterodinuclear compounds in a controlled manner. In general, the flexible and adaptive nature of Pn²⁻ to bind a range of different metals together with the ability of bringing two metals together in close proximity with electronic coupling hold great potential for future applications in sensing, electrochemistry, and homogeneous catalysis.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Royal Society (awards UF160458 and EA180091 to UH) and the University of Bath (studentships to SMB and

NAJ) for funding, Prof. F. G. N. Cloke and Dr A. F. R. Kilpatrick for advice, support and assistance in pentalenide chemistry, and Dr E. Suturina for help with access to Russian chemical literature.

References

- 1 H. J. Lindner, in *Houben-Weyl Methods of Organic Chemistry*, Vol. 5: Carbocyclic *p*-Electron Systems, Georg Thieme Verlag, Stuttgart, 4th edn, 1985, pp. 103–122.
- 2 T. Bally, S. Chai, M. Neuenschwander and Z. Zhu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 1869–1875.
- 3 H. Hopf, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 12224–12226.
- 4 S. A. R. Knox and F. G. A. Stone, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1974, **7**, 321–328.
- 5 (a) O. T. Summerscales and F. G. N. Cloke, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2006, **250**, 1122–1140; (b) F. G. N. Cloke, J. C. Green, A. F. R. Kilpatrick and D. O'Hare, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **344**, 238–262.
- 6 R. H. Crabtree, *The Organometallic Chemistry of the Transition Metals*, John Wiley & Sons, 6th edn, 2014.
- 7 J. F. Hartwig, *Organotransition Metal Chemistry: From Bonding To Catalysis*, University Science Books, Sausalito, California, 2010.
- 8 A. Pauli, H. Kolshorn and H. Meier, *Chem. Ber.*, 1987, **120**, 1611–1616.
- 9 T. J. Katz and J. J. Mrowca, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1967, **89**, 1105–1111.
- 10 S. C. Jones, P. Roussel, T. Hascall and D. O'Hare, *Organometallics*, 2006, **25**, 221–229.
- 11 A. V. Bandura and S. N. Lvov, *J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data*, 2006, **35**, 15–30.
- 12 T. J. Katz and M. Rosenberg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1962, **84**, 865–866.
- 13 J. J. Stezowski, H. Hoier, D. Wilhelm, T. Clark and P. V. Schleyer, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1985, 1263–1264.
- 14 J. Barroso, S. Mondal, J. L. Cabellos, E. Osorio, S. Pan and G. Merino, *Organometallics*, 2017, **36**, 310–317.
- 15 T. J. Katz, R. K. Ohara and M. Rosenberg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1964, **86**, 249–252.
- 16 K. Alder, F. H. Flock and P. Janssen, *Chem. Ber.*, 1956, **89**, 2689–2697.
- 17 N. B. Kazennova, A. K. Shestakova, V. A. Chertkov, N. A. Ustynyuk and Y. A. Ustynyuk, *Zh. Org. Khim.*, 1986, **22**, 2108–2111.
- 18 M. Jones and L. O. Schwab, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 6549–6550.
- 19 F. G. N. Cloke, M. C. Kuchta, R. M. Harker, P. B. Hitchcock and J. S. Parry, *Organometallics*, 2000, **19**, 5795–5798.
- 20 A. G. Griesbeck, *Synthesis*, 1990, 144–147.
- 21 J. Stapersma, I. D. C. Rood and G. W. Klumpp, *Tetrahedron*, 1982, **38**, 2201–2211.
- 22 J. Stapersma, I. D. C. Rood and G. W. Klumpp, *Tetrahedron*, 1982, **38**, 191–199.



- 23 J. J. Gajewski and C. J. Cavender, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1971, 1057.
- 24 M. Neuenschwander, H. Schaltegger and D. Meuche, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1963, **46**, 1760–1765.
- 25 R. Kyburz, H. Schaltegger and M. Neuenschwander, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1971, **54**, 1037–1046.
- 26 I. Erden, J. Sabol, A. Gubeladze and A. Ruiz, *Turk. J. Chem.*, 2013, **37**, 519–524.
- 27 R. Kaiser and K. Hafner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1970, **9**, 892.
- 28 K. Hafner, K. H. Häfner, C. König, M. Kreuder, G. Ploss, G. Schulz, E. Sturm and K. H. Vöpel, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1963, **2**, 123–134.
- 29 U. H. Brinker and I. Fleischhauer, *Tetrahedron*, 1981, **37**, 4495–4502.
- 30 A. E. Ashley, A. R. Cowley and D. O'Hare, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, 2239–2242.
- 31 S. H. Bertz, J. M. Cook, A. Gawish and U. Weiss, *Org. Synth.*, 1986, **64**, 27–38.
- 32 A. E. Ashley, A. R. Cowley and D. O'Hare, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, **15**, 1512–1514.
- 33 K. Hafner and H. U. Süss, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1973, **12**, 575–577.
- 34 B. Kitschke and H. J. Lindner, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1977, **18**, 2511–2514.
- 35 A. G. Griesbeck, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1989, **54**, 4981–4982.
- 36 A. G. Griesbeck, *Chem. Ber.*, 1991, **124**, 403–405.
- 37 E. Le Goff, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1962, **84**, 3975–3976.
- 38 K. J. Stone and R. D. Little, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1984, **49**, 1849–1853.
- 39 N. Coskun, J. X. Ma, S. Azimi, C. Gartner and I. Erden, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 5952–5955.
- 40 N. E. Schore, *Chem. Rev.*, 1988, **88**, 1081–1119.
- 41 T. Kuwabara, K. Ishimura, T. Sasamori, N. Tokitoh and M. Saito, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 7571–7575.
- 42 M. Saito, M. Nakamura, T. Tajima and M. Yoshioka, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 1504–1507.
- 43 H. Li, B. Wei, L. Xu, W.-X. Zhang and Z. Xi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 10822–10825.
- 44 P. M. Bailey, B. E. Mann, I. D. Brown and P. M. Maitlis, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1976, 238–239.
- 45 W. Weidemüller and K. Hafner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1973, **12**, 925–925.
- 46 (a) D. F. Hunt and J. W. Russell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1972, **94**, 7198–7199; (b) D. F. Hunt and J. W. Russell, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1972, **46**, C22–C24.
- 47 A. E. Ashley, G. Balazs, A. R. Cowley, J. C. Green and D. O'Hare, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 5517–5521.
- 48 A. Brookes, F. Gordon, J. Howard, S. A. R. Knox and P. Woodward, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1973, 587–589.
- 49 J. A. K. Howard, S. A. R. Knox, V. Riera, F. G. A. Stone and P. Woodward, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1974, 452–453.
- 50 J. A. K. Howard, S. A. R. Knox, F. G. A. Stone, A. C. Szary and P. Woodward, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1974, 788–789.
- 51 T. H. Coffield, K. G. Ihrman and W. Burns, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1960, **82**, 4209–4210.
- 52 H. Komatsu, Y. Suzuki and H. Yamazaki, *Chem. Lett.*, 2001, **16**, 998–999.
- 53 T. J. Katz and M. Rosenberger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1963, **85**, 2030–2031.
- 54 C. Janiak, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1997, **163**, 107–216.
- 55 Y. A. Ustynyuk, A. K. Shestakova, V. A. Chertkov, N. N. Zemlyansky, I. V. Borisova, A. I. Gusev, E. B. Tchuklanova and E. A. Chernyshev, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1987, **335**, 43–57.
- 56 N. M. Sergeyev, *Prog. Nucl. Magn. Reson. Spectrosc.*, 1973, **9**, 71–144.
- 57 Z. R. Turner, J.-C. Buffet and D. O'Hare, *Organometallics*, 2014, **33**, 3891–3903.
- 58 K. Jonas, B. Gabor, R. Mynott, K. Angermund, O. Heinemann and C. Kruger, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1997, **36**, 1712–1714.
- 59 K. Jonas, P. Korb, G. Kollbach, B. Gabor, R. Mynott, K. Angermund, O. Heinemann and C. Kruger, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1997, **36**, 1714–1718.
- 60 S. C. Jones, T. Hascall, S. Barlow and D. O'Hare, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 11610–11611.
- 61 (a) T. J. Katz and N. Acton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1972, **94**, 3281–3283; (b) T. J. Katz, N. Acton and J. McGinnis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1972, **94**, 6205–6206.
- 62 M. R. Churchill and K. K. G. Lin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1973, **12**, 2274–2279.
- 63 S. C. Binding, J. C. Green, W. K. Myers and D. O'Hare, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, **54**, 11935–11940.
- 64 J. M. Manriquez, M. D. Ward, W. M. Reiff, J. C. Calabrese, N. L. Jones, P. J. Carroll, E. E. Bunel and J. S. Miller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 6182–6193.
- 65 (a) F. G. N. Cloke, J. C. Green and C. N. Jardine, *Organometallics*, 1999, **18**, 1080–1086; (b) F. G. N. Cloke and P. B. Hitchcock, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 7899–7900.
- 66 G. Balazs, F. G. N. Cloke, J. C. Green, R. M. Harker, A. Harrison, P. B. Hitchcock, C. N. Jardine and R. Walton, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 3111–3119.
- 67 A. Ashley, G. Balazs, A. Cowley, J. Green, C. H. Booth and D. O'Hare, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, **15**, 1515–1517.
- 68 R. T. Cooper, F. M. Chadwick, A. E. Ashley and D. O'Hare, *Organometallics*, 2013, **32**, 2228–2233.
- 69 D. D. Clement, S. C. Binding, T. A. Q. Arnold, F. M. Chadwick, I. J. Casely, Z. R. Turner, J.-C. Buffet and D. O'Hare, *Polyhedron*, 2019, **157**, 146–151.
- 70 F. M. Chadwick, A. E. Ashley, R. T. Cooper, L. A. Bennett, J. C. Green and D. M. O'Hare, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 20147–20153.

