



**Regulating Stereomicrostructure, Circularity and
Functionality of Synthetic PHAs**

Journal:	<i>Polymer Chemistry</i>
Manuscript ID	PY-PER-11-2024-001313.R1
Article Type:	Perspective
Date Submitted by the Author:	26-Dec-2024
Complete List of Authors:	Quinn, Ethan; Colorado State University, Parker, Celine; Colorado State University, Chemistry Guillaume, Sophie; Sciences Chimiques de Rennes - CNRS - Université de Rennes, Chemistry Chen, Eugene; Colorado State University, Chemistry

ARTICLE

Regulating Stereomicrostructure, Circularity and Functionality of Synthetic PHAs

Ethan C. Quinn,^{a,‡} Celine R. Parker,^{a,‡} Sophie M. Guillaume^{b,*} and Eugene Y.-X. Chen^{a,*}

Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Biodegradable plastics, especially those that can biodegrade in uncontrolled environments, are of importance to help curb the global plastics crisis. Poly(3-hydroxyalkanoate)s (PHAs), which can be either microbially or chemically synthesized, are one of the rare classes of plastics that can biodegrade under both managed and unmanaged conditions. Besides this exceptional upside, PHAs can also be tuned to exhibit thermal, mechanical, and optical properties of commodity polymers including polyolefins, and they can be designed to be chemically recyclable towards a circular PHA economy or functionalized to acquire additional, diverse and/or improved properties. To enable for such modularity in the chemocatalytic PHAs, the development of stereoselective and controlled molecular catalysts as well as the design of monomer structures and polymerization processes, are of primary importance. In this context, this Perspective article focuses on the three recent advancements, including PHA stereomicrostructural engineering, melt-processability and chemical recyclability, and chemical functionalization.

Introduction

Recently, especially within the past decade, research has focused heavily on searching for practical solutions to addressing the global plastics crisis.^{1–4} Typical strategies aim to increase mechanical recycling rates, valorize waste plastics, design chemically recyclable polymers, or develop biodegradable plastics.^{5–13} The development of biodegradable plastics represents an important part of this large effort combating the plastics problem, especially concerning the end-of-life issues and environmental protection. This becomes particularly important for application areas where the plastics recovery, recycling, or reuse is highly challenging or nearly impractical.

Amongst many biodegradable plastics reported in literature, the plastics belonging to the large family of poly(3-hydroxyalkanoate)s (PHAs)^{14–19} are most unique, thanks to their distinct ability to biodegrade in both managed (industrial and home composting) and unmanaged (ocean, freshwater, and soil) environments,²⁰ as well as their largely tunable material properties.²¹ The biological fermentation route to PHAs is touted for its ability to take various input of biorenewable sources (biomass, fatty acids, plant oils, etc.) and produce stereoperfect (*R*)-PHAs that are typically high molar mass, high melting, and mechanically strong.^{21–24} The simplest, most important member in the large PHA family is poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) (P3HB), with the methyl as the side-chain group or exocyclic substituent. A variety of PHA copolymers of P3HB incorporating other short, medium, or long side-chain length moieties can be biologically

produced, depending on the growth conditions and fed substrates.^{15,21,25,26}

As these materials are stereoperfect with pure (*R*) stereo-configurations on the backbone stereogenic centers, they are highly crystalline, especially for short-chain PHAs such as P3HB and poly(3-hydroxyvalerate) (P3HV), imparting these PHAs high ultimate tensile strength (σ_B) and melting temperature (T_m) but also detrimentally making such PHAs extremely brittle, with a negligible fracture strain or elongation at break (ϵ_B) \sim 3%.¹⁵ Currently, varying the stereochemistry of PHAs biologically presents a daunting challenge;²⁷ thus, the modulation of material properties of biological PHAs is confined to the copolymer composition, which is typically limited by the functional group tolerance of the bacteria or enzymes.

In contrast to the biological route to PHAs, the chemocatalytic route allows for modulation of the PHA stereochemistry through catalyst-controlled stereoselective polymerization processes, termed stereomicrostructural engineering.^{14,28–30} Accessing these stereodiverse and functionalized PHAs requires the implementation of advanced molecular catalysts that can regulate the polymerization stereochemistry and tolerate monomer functionality. Herein, we highlight recent advances in the synthesis of stereodiverse and functionalized PHAs utilizing molecular catalysts, as well as thermally robust and chemically recyclable PHAs through monomer design (Fig. 1). We close out this Perspective by laying out our vision for the future of PHAs, including critical issues that must be addressed to facilitate broader implementation of PHAs in the marketplace.

Stereomicrostructural engineering of PHAs

For decades, one of the major goals in the chemocatalytic routes to PHAs was to synthesize biomimetic stereoperfect (*sp*)

^a Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523–1872, United States.

^b Institut des Sciences Chimiques de Rennes, UMR 6226, Univ. Rennes, CNRS, Rennes, France.

[‡]These authors contributed equally.




Fig. 1. Overview of PHAs, including biological and chemocatalytic routes, stereomicrostructural engineering, and functionalization of PHAs.

isotactic (*it*)-P3HB (characterized as having a probability of *meso* diads, $P_m > 0.99$, and a percentage of *meso* triads, $[mm] > 99\%$) as this P3HB exhibits *it*-polypropylene (PP)-like melting temperature ($T_m \sim 175$ °C) and desirable tensile strength ($\sigma_B \sim 30$ MPa). As compared to the biological route to *it*-P3HB, which has slow kinetics and yields materials with high dispersity ($D > 2.0$) due to its nature of step-growth polymerization (SGP), the chemocatalytic route to P3HB via ring-opening polymerization (ROP) typically has fast kinetics, affords P3HB with low D (as low as 1.01) due to its nature of chain-growth polymerization, and is more scalable and cost-effective. In 2018, Chen and Tang reported the first instance of biomimetic *sp-it*-P3HB through the ROP of the *racemic* eight-membered dimethyl diolide (*rac*-8DL^{Me}) with yttrium catalyst **1** (Fig. 2).³¹ The catalyst system used in this work was also determined to perform kinetic resolution on *rac*-8DL^{Me}. Recently, Coates and coworkers have also developed a bimetallic magnesium catalyst system which performs kinetic resolution of *rac*- β -butyrolactone (BBL) with stereoinversion of the polymerized monomer and results in *it*-P3HB with $P_m = 98\%$, the highest isotacticity achieved in the ROP of *rac*-BBL to date.³² Notably, this catalyst system does not perform well in polymerizing (*S*)- or (*R*)-BBL as it was determined that the opposite enantiomer activates the chiral catalyst to perform the kinetic resolution.

Work towards the goal of stereoperfection has also been carried out through the polymerization of BBL using **8**³³ and **9**³⁴ as well as towards *sp* syndiotactic (*st*) P3HB using **7** (characterized as having a probability of *racemic* diads, $P_r > 0.99$, and percentage of *racemic* triads, $[rr] > 99\%$).³⁵ These works, along with the pioneering report of Carpentier and coworkers that showed *st*-P3HB with $P_r = 0.94$ can be produced

by the ROP of *rac*-BBL with yttrium catalysts incorporating the tetradentate alkoxy-amino-bis(phenolate) ligand (**11**, **12**),^{36,37} have advanced our understanding of catalyst structure–polymer stereomicrostructure relationships, more specifically how molecular catalysts use their symmetry as well as steric and electronic effects to control stereomicrostructures of P3HB materials. Even though these contributions are notable, they still do not solve the problem of *sp*-P3HB's brittleness as both *it* and *st*-P3HB are highly crystalline and extremely brittle. Further catalyst development has been necessary to solve this brittleness issue and enhance the mechanical toughness.

An abundance of work has also been focusing on creating new molecular catalysts, both metallic and organic types, for the ROP of BBL.¹⁴ Typically, these catalysts impart some stereocontrol but lead to materials with long or very short segments of stereoperfect regions which impart either a high degree of crystallinity making the material brittle, or mostly amorphous softer materials. Recently, Reiger and coworkers developed an *in situ* generated yttrium catalyst system (**8**), which returns iso-rich (*ir*) P3HB. Although its isotacticity and T_m are only moderately high (P_m up to 0.89, T_m up to 154 °C), it exhibits good mechanical properties with $\sigma_B = 21.1$ MPa and $\epsilon_B = 392\%$ ($P_m = 0.84$).³³ Robinson and coworkers developed a dual catalysis approach to generate stereoblock *it-b*-atactic (*at*) P3HB through irreversible chain transfer ROP of *rac*-BBL with sequential addition of yttrium and zinc complexes.³⁸ Chen and coworkers previously reported the synthesis of *it-b-st* P3HB from the diastereoselective polymerization of a mixture of *rac*-8DL^{Me} and *meso*-8DL^{Me}.³⁹




Fig. 2. Structures of representative molecular catalysts for ROP engineering of PHA stereomicrostructures.

To confer polyolefin-like properties to P3HB without employing the copolymerization strategy,⁴⁰ which does not conform to the mono-material design principle,⁴¹ the diolide monomer platform needs to be implemented. In 2023, Chen and coworkers showed that installation of controlled stereodefects into P3HB through the ROP of *meso*-8DL^{Me} with a commercially available lanthanum catalyst (**6**) leads to syndio-rich (*sr*) P3HB ($P_r = 0.77$, no $[mm]$ triads due to the structure of *meso*-8DL^{Me}), which exhibits a high fracture strain ($\epsilon_B > 400\%$), high tensile strength ($\sigma_B = 34$ MPa), excellent toughness ($U_T = 96$ MJ/m³), high optical clarity, and a large processing window (difference between T_m and degradation temperature, T_d of 141 °C).²⁸ Furthering this work, high-molar-mass *ir* and *sr*-P3HB synthesized using the diolide platform and complex **2** or **3** resulted in ultra-tough and ductile ($\epsilon_B > 800\%$) materials with excellent optical clarity.⁴² Blending these chemocatalytic P3HB materials with biological *sp*-P3HB gave new P3HB materials with synergistic thermal and mechanical properties. However, when the *ir*-P3HB obtained from the ROP of BBL was blended with bio-P3HB, enhanced properties were not observed, emphasizing the necessity of synthesizing P3HB from 8DL^{Me} for enhancing toughness of bio-P3HB as well as T_m and modulus of *ir* and *sr*-P3HB.⁴²

This stereomicrostructural engineering work has been mainly focused on P3HB but other PHAs have also been synthesized with diverse stereomicrostructures. For example, P3HV has been synthesized from both 8DL^{Et} and β -valerolactone (BVL) with *sr*, *ir*, and intermediate *st* and *it* stereomicrostructures.^{40,43} Increasing the length of the alkyl chain provides access to a wider range of thermal and mechanical properties, such as decreased glass transition temperature (T_g) below -30 °C enabling packaging applications in cold environments. Again, these stereomicrostructures were imparted by the catalyst used, which has also been shown for PHAs with longer alkyl substituents, aryl substituents, and more elaborate substituents as well as (alternating) copolymers.^{14,40,44,45} In an effort to expand the scope of PHAs, stereoselective polymerization of racemic β -thiobutyrolactone (*rac*-TBL) generated sulfurated PHA poly(3-thiobutyrolactone) (P3TB).⁴⁶ Increasing the ionic radius ($S > O$) by utilizing a thiolactone monomer enabled access to

topologically diverse, stereocontrolled cyclic *st*- and *it*-P3TB using various catalysts (**6**, **12**, etc.).^{46,47}

Tuning the properties of PHAs by regulating their stereomicrostructure is a developed field that is still fast growing. There is still a wealth of knowledge to be gained in this space by further tuning the catalyst and monomer structures and their close covalent- and/or non-covalent interactions during the ROP process. Further, there are application spaces that need to be explored for these stereodiverse PHAs.

Thermally robust and chemically recyclable PHAs

One of the major pitfalls of semi-crystalline *it*-PHAs is the propensity to degrade ($T_{d5\%} \sim 250$ °C) near their T_m or in melt (i.e., lack of melt-processability) due to the facile *cis*-elimination enabled by the presence of reactive α -hydrogens. Four notable advances have been made to enhance the thermal stability and enable chemical recyclability of PHAs.

The first was by Liu and coworkers where they designed a four-membered lactone fused with a five-membered ring at the α and β -carbons of the lactone, effectively eliminating one of the α hydrogens, which enabled chemical recycling through a multi-step process, although the T_d values were still similar to a typical PHA (213 °C for the *trans*-PHA and 268 °C for the *cis*-PHA; Figure 3A).⁴⁸

Second, through the ROP of α -methylated BBL, Coates and coworkers synthesized α -methylated PHA that could be chemically recycled through a proposed multi-step process, with noticeably enhanced T_d values relative to a typical PHA (269 to 289 °C; Figure 3B).⁴⁹ The α -methylated PHA is also found to be intrinsically crystalline, much like the tacticity-independent crystallinity observed in the α,α -dimethylated PHA.⁵⁰

As a third approach, Chen and coworkers created the truly chemically recyclable PHAs from the ROP of α,α -dimethylated BBL, which leveraged the Thorpe-Ingold effect to enable not only depolymerization of the PHA back to the lactone monomer, but also melt-processability with much enhanced T_d up to 335 °C and T_m up to 243 °C (Figure 3C).⁵¹ Simple, achiral phosphazene superbase ^tBu-P₄ (**16**) was used as a catalyst for the ROP of this trimethylated lactone. Polymerization of various stereoisomers of the lactone resulted in semicrystalline *at*-, *ir*-, and *it*-PHAs, demonstrating tacticity-




Fig. 3. Highlighted examples of thermally robust and chemically recyclable PHAs.

independent crystallinity.⁵⁰ Lastly, Chen and coworkers extended the α,α -dimethylation strategy to propiolactone, producing α,α -disubstituted propionate PHAs that are not only thermally robust (T_d up to 373 °C and T_m up to 266 °C) but also chemically recyclable via two (SGP and ROP) closed-loops (Figure 3D).⁵² The α,α -dimethylated PHAs described here solve the critical issues associated with the chemical recycling and thermal resistance of PHAs. These advances should promote the PHA circularity and expand PHA applications to textile fibers and other high-end specialty applications.

Functionalized PHAs

As described above, stereoselective ROP towards aliphatic PHAs has resulted in the production of biocompatible, stereodiverse, high-performance plastics with viable commercial utility, but they are still limited in terms of the breadth of their applications. In particular, the high hydrophobicity of P3HB limits its biomedical applications, making functionalization with hydrophilic groups through post-polymerization modifications or monomer design to introduce hydrophilic pendant groups, the most common approaches to broaden their usage in aqueous environments.

Introducing allylic groups onto PHAs that serve as functional handles for further chemical transformations is an effective strategy for producing functional PHAs which are not directly accessible through bio- or chemo-synthetic routes on account of many catalysts' functional group intolerances. Allylic PHAs have long been accessible through biological fermentation^{53,54} but this work heavily relies upon the synthesis of poly(3-hydroxy-octanoate-co-3-hydroxy-10-undecenoate) (PHOU) with high dispersities ($D \sim 2.0$ -3.0) and uncontrolled vinyl incorporation. This was resolved through random copolymerization of *rac*-BBL with *rac*-BL^{allyl} using yttrium complex **18a** leading to the corresponding monodisperse *st*-PHA copolymer ($P_r = 0.80$ -0.84) and up to 80% *rac*-BL^{allyl} incorporation.⁵⁵ Although the homopolymer from *rac*-BL^{allyl} is amorphous, exhibiting a $T_g \sim -44$ °C, the PHA copolymers are semicrystalline with T_m values of 104-109 °C. The vinyl groups

in the copolymers can be readily converted to hydroxy and epoxy groups with quantitative yields. The resulting hydroxy- and epoxy-functionalized PHAs showed no change in their stereomicrostructure, but only minor variations in their thermal properties as a result of the chemical modifications, evidencing that the backbone of the polymer remained unaffected by the change in functionality.

These types of structural changes are emblematic of the functional utility of an allylic pendant group, and they have since been exploited as an anchoring site for biologically active molecules in drug delivery.⁵⁶ For example, the dihydroxylated PHA showed increased controlled release kinetics for encapsulated *L*-leuprolide acetate, which was attributed to the formation of hydrogen bond networks.⁵⁷ Later it was observed that β -diiminate (BDI) zinc alkoxide catalyst **17** can stereoselectively polymerize *rac*-BL^{allyl}, resulting in an *ir*-PHA ($P_m = 0.61$).⁵⁸ In seeking to expand the therapeutic applications of PHAs, the allyl-functionalized, *ir*- and *sr*-PHAs were next subjected to quantitative hydroboration, which did not alter the backbone length or stereochemistry, but did increase the T_g of the resulting PHAs from ~ -40 °C to -4 °C.⁵⁸ Boronate substituents are attractive therapeutic targets due to their potential uses in cancer therapy as well as interaction with sugars which could enable their use for insulin delivery. Most recently an olefin functionalized PHA copolymer was utilized for accessing reprocessable, recyclable, and biodegradable elastomeric vitrimers through installation of dynamic boronic ester bonds by the thiol-ene "click" chemistry.⁵⁹

Subjecting a polymer to a stoichiometric amount of chemical reagents to perform post-polymerization modifications could induce cleavage along the backbone and/or other undesired side reactions. Hence, modifications under mild conditions are preferred for their reliability in achieving the desired transformations. A promising example of such a strategy is the mild hydrogenolysis of poly(benzyl β -malolactonate) (PMLA^{Bn}) to produce hydrophilic poly(malic acid) (PMLA), which can be biometabolized⁶⁰ making it attractive for biomedical applications.^{16,61-63} It was also shown to be possible to




Fig. 4. Structures of representative molecular catalysts for functionalized PHAs and PHA post-functionalization.

polymerize benzyl β -malolactone (MLA^{Bn}) using organobases **13–15** leading to the corresponding amorphous PHA with $T_g \sim -46$ °C.⁶⁴ This strategy was extended to facilitate random and diblock copolymers synthesis from *rac*-BBL⁶⁵ or cyclic carbonates,^{66,67} both of which were utilized to produce defined nanoparticles with low-to-no cytotoxicity with cell types that would make them useful for liver-targeted drug delivery applications.^{66,68–70} Specifically, PMLA-*b*-P3HB copolymer nano-objects showed no cytotoxicity in HepaRG and SK-MEL-28 cells at low concentrations (< 88 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) and mild toxicity at high concentrations (88–320 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$).⁶⁶ Similar cell viability was also evidenced using poly(trimethylene carbonate) (PTMC)-*b*-PMLA⁶⁸ and polyethylene glycol (PEG)-*b*-PMLA^{Bn} copolymers.⁷⁰ Specific cell type cytotoxicity is not the only limiting factor in drug delivery, and potential immune responses must be screened in further studies. This open question was addressed for PMLA-*b*-P3HB and PMLA-*b*-PTMC, in which favorable uptake by HepaRG cells and reduced macrophage uptake was observed for PMLA-*b*-P3HB due to the favorable balance of hydrophilic and hydrophobic blocks.⁶⁹ A series of tetradentate alkoxy-amino-bis(phenolate)-ligated yttrium complexes (**11**, **18b–e**) were next screened for their activity towards MLA^{Bn} resulting in the production of a range of semicrystalline *st*-PMLA^{Bn} PHAs. The greatest syndiospecificity was achieved using **18d** with Cl *ortho* and *para* substituents on the ligand backbone, resulting in a PHA with P_r up to > 0.95 and T_m up to 117 °C. This syndiospecific polymerization strategy was extended to produce semicrystalline *st*-PMLA^{all} ($P_r > 0.95$, T_m up to 112 °C; **18c**) and *st*-PMLA^{Me} (P_r up to 0.92, T_m up to 212 °C; **18d**),⁷¹ demonstrating the functional group tolerance of this series of complexes and their potential utility in producing other functional PHAs.⁶¹

The most direct way to produce hydrophilic PHAs is through polymerization of hydrophilic monomers, which posed a challenge due to functional group intolerance with known catalysts. Lactone monomers of 3-hydroxy-propiolactone (BPL^{CH₂OR}) can be stereoselectively polymerized using **18a**, **d**, **f–j**, and **l** with an informative trend in stereoselectivity depending on the pendant group of the monomer. It was found that a given catalyst's *ortho*-substituent on the ligand backbone dictates the stereoselective polymerization of *rac*-BPL^{CH₂OR} monomers (R = methyl, allyl, benzyl).^{72–74} Bulky *ortho* groups such as cumyl (-Me₂Ph, **18h**, **i**) resulted in *sr*- to *st*-PHAs with $P_r = 0.81$ –0.90

across all monomers, while chlorine groups (**18d**, **f**) returned *ir*- to *it*-PHAs with $P_m = 0.90$ –0.93. Some of these PHAs are semicrystalline; for example, *sr*-P3HB^{CH₂OAllyl} ($P_r = 0.81$) showed a T_m of 85 °C, and *sr*-P3HB^{CH₂OMe} ($P_r = 0.81$) displayed a T_m of 116 °C. These improved thermal properties may imply their potential utility in packaging applications and warrant further characterization of their tensile properties, if increased molar masses above their entanglement molecular weights can be reached.

Follow-up studies that expanded the range of stereoregular P3HB^{CH₂OR} materials through the use of different pendant groups concluded that the presence of methylene hydrogens on the pendant exocyclic substituent have non-covalent interactions with the ligands of catalysts **18f**, **g**, **i** and **l**, which dictate whether or not tactic functionalized P3HB materials are returned or not.^{75–77} This finding was further confirmed in a following report by which a BPL with the pendant group -CH₂OCF₂CHF₂ was polymerized with **18b**, **f**, and **g** to produce *st*-PHA (P_r up to 0.87) and *at*-PHA using **18l**, demonstrating stereoselectivity trends based on the *ortho* and *para* substituent identities in the ligand backbone alongside non-covalent interactions with the monomer exocyclic group.⁷⁸ The continued mechanistic evaluation of the catalyst stereoselectivity represents an important part of on-going research in this field, aiding in the prediction of material properties of the resulting polymers for specifically targeted applications.

The development of a more diverse range of functionalized PHAs has also been sought to expand their thermal and mechanical properties. Biosynthetic approaches have produced many functionalized PHAs, enlarging their breadth to include PHAs bearing pendant groups such as chlorines,^{79,80} fluorines,^{81–83} bromines,⁸⁴ aromatics,^{85,86} esters,⁸⁷ thioesters,⁸⁸ epoxys,⁸⁹ phenoxys,^{90–93} and others.^{94–96} These routes have relied on copolymerization strategies by which bacteria incorporate unusual functional groups from a given feedstock alongside more traditional feedstocks, creating random PHA copolymers typically with a low amount of functionality.

Fluorinated PHAs were first targeted biosynthetically for their increased hydrophobicity and thermal transitions, but failed to make an impact due to low incorporation of fluorinated feedstocks.^{82,83} Coates and coworkers sought to produce highly fluorinated PHA homopolymers via a two-step process: carbonylation of fluorinated epoxides to four-membered

β -lactone monomers, followed by the ROP using **17** to afford fluorinated PHAs.⁹⁷ These fluorinated PHAs showed increased T_g over their non-fluorinated counterparts and expectedly higher hydrophobicity.

Aromatic PHAs represent another synthetic target towards high- T_g PHAs imparted by the rigid aromatic groups. The stereoselective ROP of the *rac*- and *meso*-dibenzyl eight-membered diolide (8DL^{Bn}) using **2** enabled access to *it*- and *st*-poly(3-hydroxy-4-phenylbutyrate) (P3H4PhB) as well a series of random and diblock copolymers with other diolide-derived PHAs.⁴⁴ Stereoperfect *it*- ($P_m > 99\%$) P3H4PhB and *st*- ($P_r = 92\%$) P3H4PhB each exhibited a significantly increased T_g up to 43 °C. *Rac*-8DL^{Bn} was copolymerized with *meso*-8DL^{Bu} to provide soft, elastomeric *st*-P3HHp polymer which exhibited a high $T_{d,5\%}$ of 281 °C as well as high fracture strain ($\epsilon_B \sim 191\%$) and good tensile strength ($\sigma_B \sim 22.7$ MPa).

Continued innovation in the realm of unusual PHAs derived chemosynthetically with designer monomers can unlock access to a diverse range of novel PHAs with unprecedented material properties.

Future of Synthetic PHAs

With the dawn of stereomicrostructural engineering and functionalization of PHAs as well as PHA monomer design, we foresee the future of PHAs as incredibly bright. The amount of versatility that can be imbued in PHAs through these three approaches is vast and will continue to enable PHAs to be used in interdisciplinary applications. We predict the implementation of these PHAs in (multi-layered) packaging applications, textiles, adhesives, agricultures, biomedical fields, and high-end applications, especially those areas where biodegradability and/or biocompatibility are of primary importance.

Even with the great success that have come in the last decades, there are still several challenges we see that need to be overcome for the widespread implementation of PHAs. *First*, the synthesis of (lactone or diolide) monomers and (metal-based) catalysts needs to be further advanced to be more cost-effective and environmentally benign. Developing full chemical circularity of PHAs to recover their monomers in high selectivity and purity, and combined biocatalytic and chemocatalytic processes, present perhaps the most effective solutions to tackle this challenge. *Second*, the lack of closed-loop recycling for most of the current PHAs must be overcome to render their chemical circularity towards a circular PHA economy. Regenerating the highly strained lactone or the diolide monomers, essential for the ROP with fast kinetics and full monomer conversion, may be chemically infeasible for some monomer structures adopting this ideally direct, short circular pathway, but leveraging an additional catalytic step could still close the entire circular loop. *Third*, to facilitate industrial adoption of the chemocatalytic PHAs, large-scale product developments must be comprehensively investigated via industrial processing and fabrication conditions. The PHA community across academia and industry, needs to work together collaboratively on solving these issues, and we are optimistic about them being resolved in

the near future so as to promote the applications of sustainable and affordable PHAs.

Author contributions

E.C.Q. and C.R.P.: writing – original draft preparation, writing – review & editing, investigation; S.M.G. and E.Y.-X.C.: supervision, project administration, funding acquisition, writing – review & editing.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Data availability

No primary research results, software or code have been included and no new data were generated or analyzed as part of this review.

Acknowledgements

Funding was provided by the U.S. Department of Energy and CNRS. The work done by E.C.Q., C.R.P., and E.Y.-X.C. was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Advanced Materials and Manufacturing Technologies Office (AMMTO), and Bioenergy Technologies Office (BETO), performed as part of the BOTTLE Consortium, which includes the members from Colorado State University, and funded under contract no. DE-AC36-08GO28308 with the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, operated by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy. The work done by S.M.G. was supported by the CNRS and the University of Rennes.

Notes and references

1. R. Geyer, J. R. Jambeck and K. L. Law, *Sci. Adv.*, 2017, **3**, e1700782.
2. E. M. F. a. M. C. World Economic Forum, 2016.
3. S. B. Borrelle, J. Ringma, K. L. Law, C. C. Monnahan, L. Lebreton, A. McGivern, E. Murphy, J. Jambeck, G. H. Leonard, M. A. Hilleary, M. Eriksen, H. P. Possingham, H. De Frond, L. R. Gerber, B. Polidoro, A. Tahir, M. Bernard, N. Mallos, M. Barnes and C. M. Rochman, *Science*, 2020, **369**, 1515-1518.
4. S. R. Nicholson, N. A. Rorrer, A. C. Carpenter and G. T. Beckham, *Joule*, 2021, **5**, 673-686.
5. G. W. Coates and Y. D. Y. L. Getzler, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2020, **5**, 501-516.
6. L. D. Ellis, N. A. Rorrer, K. P. Sullivan, M. Otto, J. E. McGeehan, Y. Román-Leshkov, N. Wierckx and G. T. Beckham, *Nat. Catal.*, 2021, **4**, 539-556.
7. M. Hong and E. Y. X. Chen, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 3692-3706.
8. C. Jehanno, J. W. Alty, M. Roosen, S. De Meester, A. P. Dove, E. Y. X. Chen, F. A. Leibfarth and H. Sardon, *Nature*, 2022, **603**, 803-814.
9. L. T. J. Korley, T. H. Epps, B. A. Helms and A. J. Ryan, *Science*, 2021, **373**, 66-69.

10. C. Shi, E. C. Quinn, W. T. Diment and E. Y. X. Chen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2024, **124**, 4393-4478.
11. F. Vidal, E. R. van der Marel, R. W. F. Kerr, C. McElroy, N. Schroeder, C. Mitchell, G. Rosetto, T. T. D. Chen, R. M. Bailey, C. Hepburn, C. Redgwell and C. K. Williams, *Nature*, 2024, **626**, 45-57.
12. R. W. Clarke, G. Rosetto, T. Uekert, J. B. Curley, H. Moon, B. C. Knott, J. E. McGeehan and K. M. Knauer, *Materials Advances*, 2024, **5**, 6690-6701.
13. A. Dhaini, V. Hardouin-Duparc, A. Alaaeddine, J.-F. Carpentier and S. M. Guillaume, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2024, **149**, 101781.
14. A. H. Westlie, E. C. Quinn, C. R. Parker and E. Y. X. Chen, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2022, **134**, 101608.
15. Z. A. Raza, S. Abid and I. M. Banat, *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegradation*, 2018, **126**, 45-56.
16. G.-Q. Chen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 2434-2446.
17. H.-M. Müller and D. Seebach, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1993, **32**, 477-502.
18. Z. Li, J. Yang and X. J. Loh, *NPG Asia Mater.*, 2016, **8**, e265-e265.
19. S. Taguchi, T. Iwata, H. Abe and Y. Doi, in *Polymer Science: A Comprehensive Reference*, eds. K. Matyjaszewski and M. Möller, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2012, DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53349-4.00223-5>, pp. 157-182.
20. T. Narancic, S. Verstichel, S. Reddy Chaganti, L. Morales-Gamez, S. T. Kenny, B. De Wilde, R. Babu Padamati and K. E. O'Connor, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 2018, **52**, 10441-10452.
21. K. Sudesh, H. Abe and Y. Doi, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2000, **25**, 1503-1555.
22. R. W. Lenz and R. H. Marchessault, *Biomacromolecules*, 2005, **6**, 1-8.
23. H. Park, H. He, X. Yan, X. Liu, N. S. Scrutton and G.-Q. Chen, *Biotechnol. Adv.*, 2024, **71**, 108320.
24. P. Thamarai, A. S. Vickram, A. Saravanan, V. C. Deivayanai and S. Evangeline, *Bioresource Technology Reports*, 2024, **27**, 101957.
25. S. A. Acharjee, P. Bharali, B. Gogoi, V. Sorhie, B. Walling and Alemtoshi, *Water, Air, & Soil Pollution*, 2022, **234**, 21.
26. F. M. de Souza and R. K. Gupta, *ACS Omega*, 2024, **9**, 8666-8686.
27. B. Laycock, P. Halley, S. Pratt, A. Werker and P. Lant, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2013, **38**, 536-583.
28. E. C. Quinn, A. H. Westlie, A. Sangroniz, M. R. Caputo, S. Xu, Z. Zhang, M. Urgun-Demirtas, A. J. Müller and E. Y. X. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 5795-5802.
29. H. Li, R. M. Shakaroun, S. M. Guillaume and J.-F. Carpentier, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2020, **26**, 128-138.
30. G. Adamus, A. Domiński, M. Kowalczyk, P. Kurcok and I. Radecka, *Polymers*, 2021, **13**, 4365.
31. X. Tang and E. Y. X. Chen, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 2345.
32. M. S. Young, A. M. LaPointe, S. N. MacMillan and G. W. Coates, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 18032-18040.
33. J. Bruckmoser, S. Pongratz, L. Stieglitz and B. Rieger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 11494-11498.
34. N. Ajellal, G. Durieux, L. Delevoye, G. Tricot, C. Dujardin, C. M. Thomas and R. M. Gauvin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 1032-1034.
35. H.-Y. Huang, W. Xiong, Y.-T. Huang, K. Li, Z. Cai and J.-B. Zhu, *Nat. Catal.*, 2023, **6**, 720-728.
36. N. Ajellal, M. Bouyahyi, A. Amgoune, C. M. Thomas, A. Bondon, I. Pillin, Y. Grohens and J.-F. Carpentier, *Macromolecules*, 2009, **42**, 987-993.
37. A. Amgoune, C. M. Thomas, S. Ilinca, T. Roisnel and J.-F. Carpentier, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 2782-2784.
38. J. E. Chellali, A. J. Woodside, Z. Yu, S. Neogi, I. Külaots, P. R. Guduru and J. R. Robinson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 11562-11569.
39. X. Tang, A. H. Westlie, E. M. Watson and E. Y.-X. Chen, *Science*, 2019, **366**, 754-758.
40. X. Tang, A. H. Westlie, L. Caporaso, L. Cavallo, L. Falivene and E. Y.-X. Chen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 7881-7890.
41. E. C. Quinn, K. M. Knauer, G. T. Beckham and E. Y. X. Chen, *One Earth*, 2023, **6**, 582-586.
42. Z. Zhang, E. C. Quinn, J. L. Olmedo-Martínez, M. R. Caputo, K. A. Franklin, A. J. Müller and E. Y.-X. Chen, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202311264.
43. A. Pietrangelo, C. R. López-Barrón, M. T. DeRocco, S. Kang, S. J. Mattler and P. J. Wright, *Macromolecules*, 2023, **56**, 5588-5598.
44. A. H. Westlie and E. Y. X. Chen, *Macromolecules*, 2020, **53**, 9906-9915.
45. Z. Zhang, C. Shi, M. Scoti, X. Tang and E. Y. X. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 20016-20024.
46. H. Li, J. Ollivier, S. M. Guillaume and J.-F. Carpentier, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202202386.
47. H. Li, S. M. Guillaume and J.-F. Carpentier, *Chemistry – An Asian Journal*, 2022, **17**, e202200641.
48. Y.-T. Li, H.-Y. Yu, W.-B. Li, Y. Liu and X.-B. Lu, *Macromolecules*, 2021, **54**, 4641-4648.
49. Z. Zhou, A. M. LaPointe, T. D. Shaffer and G. W. Coates, *Nat. Chem.*, 2023, **15**, 856-861.
50. M. Scoti, L. Zhou, E. Y. X. Chen and C. De Rosa, *Macromolecules*, 2024, **57**, 4357-4373.
51. L. Zhou, Z. Zhang, C. Shi, M. Scoti, D. K. Barange, R. R. Gowda and E. Y.-X. Chen, *Science*, 2023, **380**, 64-69.
52. L. Zhou, Z. Zhang, A. Sangroniz, C. Shi, R. R. Gowda, M. Scoti, D. K. Barange, C. Lincoln, G. T. Beckham and E. Y. X. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 43, 29895-29904.
53. K. Fritzsche, R. W. Lenz and R. C. Fuller, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 1990, **12**, 85-91.
54. Y. B. Kim, R. W. Lenz and R. C. Fuller, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 1995, **33**, 1367-1374.
55. N. Ajellal, C. M. Thomas and J.-F. Carpentier, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2009, **47**, 3177-3189.
56. M. Michalak, P. Kurcok and M. Hakkarainen, *Polym. Int.*, 2017, **66**, 617-622.
57. N. Ajellal, C. M. Thomas, T. Aubry, Y. Grohens and J.-F. Carpentier, *New J. Chem.*, 2011, **35**, 876-880.
58. C. Guillaume, N. Ajellal, J.-F. Carpentier and S. M. Guillaume, *J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem.*, 2011, **49**, 907-917.
59. R. M. Cywar, C. Ling, R. W. Clarke, D. H. Kim, C. M. Kneucker, D. Salvachúa, B. Addison, S. A. Hesse, C. J. Takacs, S. Xu, M. U. Demirtas, S. P. Woodworth, N. A. Rorrer, C. W. Johnson, C. J. Tassone, R. D. Allen, E. Y.-X. Chen and G. T. Beckham, *Sci. Adv.*, 2023, **9**, eadi1735.
60. T. Kajiyama, T. Taguchi, H. Kobayashi, K. Kataoka and J. Tanaka, *Polym. Bull.*, 2003, **50**, 69-75.
61. C. G. Jaffredo, Y. Chapurina, S. M. Guillaume and J.-F. Carpentier, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 2687-2691.
62. C. G. Jaffredo and S. M. Guillaume, *Polym. Chem.*, 2014, **5**, 4168-4194.
63. G. Barouti and S. M. Guillaume, *Polym. Chem.*, 2016, **7**, 4603-4608.
64. C. G. Jaffredo, J.-F. Carpentier and S. M. Guillaume, *Polym. Chem.*, 2013, **4**, 3837-3850.
65. C. G. Jaffredo, J.-F. Carpentier and S. M. Guillaume, *Macromolecules*, 2013, **46**, 6765-6776.
66. G. Barouti, K. Jarnouen, S. Cammas-Marion, P. Loyer and S. M. Guillaume, *Polym. Chem.*, 2015, **6**, 5414-5429.

67. M. Helou, G. Moriceau, Z. W. Huang, S. Cammas-Marion and S. M. Guillaume, *Polym. Chem.*, 2011, **2**, 840-850.
68. G. Barouti, A. Khalil, C. Orione, K. Jarnouen, S. Cammas-Marion, P. Loyer and S. M. Guillaume, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 2819-2830.
69. E. Vene, G. Barouti, K. Jarnouen, T. Gicquel, C. Rauch, C. Ribault, S. M. Guillaume, S. Cammas-Marion and P. Loyer, *Int. J. Pharm.*, 2016, **513**, 438-452.
70. H. Casajus, S. Saba, M. Vlach, E. Vène, C. Ribault, S. Tranchimand, C. Nugier-Chauvin, E. Dubreucq, P. Loyer, S. Cammas-Marion and N. Lepareur, *Polymers*, 2018, **10**, 1244.
71. C. G. Jaffredo, Y. Chapurina, E. Kirillov, J.-F. Carpentier and S. M. Guillaume, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 7629-7641.
72. R. Ligny, M. M. Hänninen, S. M. Guillaume and J.-F. Carpentier, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 10388-10393.
73. R. Ligny, M. M. Hänninen, S. M. Guillaume and J.-F. Carpentier, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 8024-8031.
74. R. Ligny, S. M. Guillaume and J.-F. Carpentier, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2019, **25**, 6412-6424.
75. R. M. Shakaroun, A. Dhaini, R. Ligny, A. Alaaeddine, S. M. Guillaume and J.-F. Carpentier, *Polym. Chem.*, 2023, **14**, 720-727.
76. R. M. Shakaroun, H. Li, P. Jéhan, M. Blot, A. Alaaeddine, J.-F. Carpentier and S. M. Guillaume, *Polym. Chem.*, 2021, **12**, 4022-4034.
77. A. Dhaini, R. M. Shakaroun, J. Ollivier, A. Alaaeddine, S. M. Guillaume and J.-F. Carpentier, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2024, **209**, 112919.
78. A. Dhaini, R. M. Shakaroun, A. Alaaeddine, J.-F. Carpentier and S. M. Guillaume, *Polym. Chem.*, 2024, **15**, 999-1014.
79. M. Kamachi, S. Zhang, S. Goodwin and R. W. Lenz, *Macromolecules*, 2001, **34**, 6889-6894.
80. Y. Doi and C. Abe, *Macromolecules*, 1990, **23**, 3705-3707.
81. K. Hori, K. Soga and Y. Doi, *Biotechnol. Lett.*, 1994, **16**, 501-506.
82. O. Kim, R. A. Gross, W. J. Hammar and R. A. Newmark, *Macromolecules*, 1996, **29**, 4572-4581.
83. Y. Takagi, R. Yasuda, A. Maehara and T. Yamane, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2004, **40**, 1551-1557.
84. Y. B. Kim, R. W. Lenz and R. C. Fuller, *Macromolecules*, 1992, **25**, 1852-1857.
85. Y. B. Kim, R. W. Lenz and R. C. Fuller, *Macromolecules*, 1991, **24**, 5256-5260.
86. J. M. Curley, B. Hazer, R. W. Lenz and R. C. Fuller, *Macromolecules*, 1996, **29**, 1762-1766.
87. C. Scholz, R. C. Fuller and R. W. Lenz, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.*, 1994, **195**, 1405-1421.
88. I. F. Escapa, V. Morales, V. P. Martino, E. Pollet, L. Avérous, J. L. Garcia and M. A. Prieto, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2011, **89**, 1583-1598.
89. M.-M. Bear, M.-A. Leboucher-Durand, V. Langlois, R. W. Lenz, S. Goodwin and P. Guérin, *React. Funct. Polym.*, 1997, **34**, 65-77.
90. H. Ritter and A. G. von Spee, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.*, 1994, **195**, 1665-1672.
91. KimKim, Y. H. Rhee, S.-H. Han, G. S. Heo and J. S. Kim, *Macromolecules*, 1996, **29**, 3432-3435.
92. J. J. Song and S. C. Yoon, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 1996, **62**, 536-544.
93. R. A. Gross, O.-Y. Kim, D. R. Rutherford and R. A. Newmark, *Polym. Int.*, 1996, **39**, 205-213.
94. B. Hazer and A. Steinbüchel, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2007, **74**, 1-12.
95. D. B. Hazer, E. Kılıçay and B. Hazer, *Mater. Sci. Eng. C.*, 2012, **32**, 637-647.
96. E. Olivera, M. Arcos, G. Carrasco and J. Luengo, 2009, vol. 14, pp. 133-186.
97. J. W. Kramer and G. W. Coates, *Tetrahedron*, 2008, **64**, 6973-6978.

Data availability

No primary research results, software or code have been included and no new data were generated or analyzed as part of this review.