



Cite this: *Dalton Trans.*, 2017, **46**, 10121

Received 9th May 2017,
Accepted 22nd June 2017

DOI: 10.1039/c7dt01685a

rs.c.li/dalton

Chalcogen bonding in synthesis, catalysis and design of materials

Kamran T. Mahmudov, ^{a,b,c} Maximilian N. Kopylovich, ^a
M. Fátima C. Guedes da Silva ^a and Armando J. L. Pombeiro ^{a*}

Chalcogen bonding is a type of noncovalent interaction in which a covalently bonded chalcogen atom (O, S, Se or Te) acts as an electrophilic species towards a nucleophilic (negative) region(s) in another or in the same molecule. In general, this interaction is strengthened by the presence of an electron-withdrawing group on the electron-acceptor chalcogen atom and upon moving down in the periodic table of elements, from O to Te. Following a short discussion of the phenomenon of chalcogen bonding, this Perspective presents some demonstrative experimental observations in which this bonding is crucial for synthetic transformations, crystal engineering, catalysis and design of materials as synthons/tectons.

1. Introduction

Noncovalent interactions were firstly taken into consideration by van der Waals in 1873, helping to revise the equation of state for real gases.¹ In comparison to covalent bonds, intra- and intermolecular noncovalent interactions are in general weak and exhibit much lower energy and directionality, as reflected by the term “noncovalent”. Nevertheless, in many cases these interactions can collectively play a dominant role in the synthesis, catalysis and design of materials.

^aCentro de Química Estrutural, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal. E-mail: kamran_chem@mail.ru, kamran_chem@yahoo.com, pombeiro@tecnico.ulisboa.pt

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Baku State University, Z. Xalilov Str. 23, Az 1148 Baku, Azerbaijan

^cOrganic Chemistry Department, RUDN University, 6 Miklukho-Maklaya str., Moscow 117198, Russian Federation



Kamran T. Mahmudov

Kamran T. Mahmudov was born in Tovuz, Azerbaijan, and received his B.Sc. (2001), M.Sc. (2003), Ph.D. (2007) and Habilitation (2013) degrees at the Baku State University, where he worked as an Assistant Professor (2006–2008). Since 2009, he has been conducting postdoctoral research under the supervision of Prof. A.J.L. Pombeiro at the University of Lisbon. Dr. Mahmudov has authored or coauthored about

92 papers in ISI journals, 4 reviews, 5 book chapters, and he is the co-editor of the book “Noncovalent interactions in synthesis and design of new compounds” (Wiley 2016). His main research interests are related to noncovalent interaction assisted synthesis and catalysis. He is married to Farida Abdiyeva (journalist).



Maximilian N. Kopylovich

Maximilian N. Kopylovich graduated in Chemical Engineering (1993) from the Byelorussian State Technological University and completed his PhD degree in Chemistry (1998) at the same institution. Then he worked as a post-doctoral fellow (2000–2007), auxiliary (2008–2013) and senior (2014–now) researcher at the Centro de Química Estrutural, Instituto Superior Técnico (IST), Universidade de Lisboa. The scientific interests of MK lie

mainly within synthetic coordination chemistry and catalysis. His current research interests are related to the metal-mediated (template) and noncovalent interaction assisted syntheses of new compounds and supramolecular assemblies.



Currently, based on the nature of the particular elements or synthons involved in the interactions, noncovalent bonds are classified into hydrogen, agnostic, anagostic, π - π stacking, n - π^* , π -cation, π -anion and others. These usually lead to the formation of molecular associates or clusters with directionalities and distances specific for a particular type of interactions.^{2–30} Recently, due to the application of modern techniques, such as NMR or X-ray diffraction analysis, new types of noncovalent interactions, such as chalcogen, pnictogen, tetrel and icosagen bonds, have been identified. Although they are usually considered as weak interactions, their strength ranges from a few to several hundreds of kJ mol^{-1} depending on their type.

In view of the amphoteric (electrophilic and nucleophilic) behaviour of chalcogens, the chalcogen-donor interactions have long been known as “secondary bonding interactions”,^{31–34} however being recognized as a particular chalcogen (Ch) bonding in recent years. Its general structure (together with the halogen bond, for comparison) is depicted in Scheme 1, in which Ch is an electrophilic chalcogen atom (O, S, Se or Te) and D is a donor centre (electron donor atom, anion, π -system, radical, *etc.*). Surprisingly, the strength of chalcogen bonding is sometimes comparable with that of hydrogen bonds and other types of noncovalent interactions.³⁵



Scheme 1 Schematic representation of halogen and chalcogen bonds (R^1 is a stronger electron-withdrawing group than R^2).

Generally the formation of a chalcogen bond is explained in terms of the electrostatic potential present on the outermost portion of the chalcogen's surface, opposite to the R -Ch bond (s) (Scheme 1).^{36,37} This region of positive electrostatic potential is called the “ σ -hole” and refers to the electron deficient outer lobe of a p orbital involved in a covalent bond, especially when one of the atoms is highly electronegative.^{36,37} In the cases when a region of positive electrostatic potential is perpendicular to a portion of a molecular framework, it is named the “ π -hole”.^{26,38} Thus, due to the unequal occupation of the valence orbitals, the electrostatic potential around the chalcogen atom is anisotropic. The size of the σ -hole increases from the lighter to the heavier chalcogen atoms as polarizability increases and electronegativity decreases ($O < S < Se < Te$), and the remainder of the molecule becomes more electron-



M. Fátima C. Guedes da Silva

M. Fátima C. Guedes da Silva is Associate Professor, at the Instituto Superior Técnico, Lisbon, Portugal. She is the coordinator of the research group of Coordination Chemistry and Catalysis of the Centro de Química Estrutural, member of the Coordination Commission of this Centre and of the Directive Board of the Catalysis and Sustainability (CATSUS) PhD program. Her research activity follows a general streamline that usually starts with the design and synthesis of novel coordination compounds, then evolving to their eventual application by exploring structure-properties (including reactivity)-function (application) relationships. Her main research interests include: structural determination, by X-ray diffraction analysis, of metal complexes and organic compounds, metal polynuclear assemblies and supramolecular structures; activation, by transition metal centres, of small molecules with biological, pharmacological, environmental or industrial significance; metal mediated synthesis and catalysis; molecular electrochemistry and electrocatalysis; and mechanistic investigation of fast reactions mainly by the digital simulation of cyclic voltammetry. She has co-authored over 250 research publications, obtained 12 patents, and presented 15 invited lectures at international conferences.



Armando J. L. Pombeiro

Armando J. L. Pombeiro is Full Professor at the Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, President of the Centro de Química Estrutural and Coordinator of its Synthesis and Catalysis thematic line, Director of the Catalysis and Sustainability (CATSUS) PhD program, Full Member of the Academy of Sciences of Lisbon (in charge of various directive and representative positions) and former President of the Portuguese Electrochemical Society. His research group investigates the activation of small molecules with industrial, environmental or biological significance, including metal-mediated synthesis and catalysis (e.g., functionalization of alkanes under mild conditions), crystal engineering of coordination compounds, design and self-assembly of polynuclear and supramolecular structures, molecular electrochemistry and theoretical studies. He was the Chairman of the 25th ICOMC and member of organizing/scientific committees of 40 international conferences or schools. He has authored one book (plus four as editor), (co-)authored over 600 research publications, obtained 33 patents, and presented 100 invited lectures at international conferences. His work has received ca. 16 000 citations, h-index = 56 (Web of Science). Among his honors, he was awarded the Madinabeitia-Loureño Prize from the Spanish Royal Chemical Society and the Prizes of the Portuguese Chemical and Electrochemical Societies.



withdrawing. In the multivalent chalcogen atoms the respective σ -holes are localized on the axes of the covalent bonds (e.g. opposite to R^1 and R^2 , Scheme 1),^{39,40} while the R–Ch covalent bonds elongate upon the formation of the chalcogen bond.

Since the mode of association is intimately related to the steric and electronic nature of the R substituent, modification of the R group can be used to direct the chalcogen bond assisted synthesis, catalysis and design of materials. Thus, a divalent chalcogen may be involved in multiple chalcogen bonding, as in 1,4-bis(methyltellanyl)buta-1,3-diyne, where each tellurium atom behaves as a two-electron donor and two-electron acceptor centre (Scheme 2).⁴¹ Each Te atom, placed at the edge of a stack, is in close contact with four Te atoms of neighbouring molecules. As a result, each Te forms *zigzag* like contacts (3.74 and 3.82 Å) to two neighbouring atoms (Scheme 2), with less than the van der Waals distances (Te...Te 4.12 Å).⁴²

Due to the presence of the lone pairs of the chalcogen atom, a σ -hole is usually surrounded by a region of negative molecular electrostatic potential, and this determines a high directionality of the chalcogen bonds. This linear geometry of the R–Ch...D fragment provides a maximal electrostatic attraction between a σ -hole and an area of negative molecular electrostatic potential around lone pairs of the heteroatom or π -system and a minimal repulsion between areas of negative molecular electrostatic potential. For instance, the highly directional interactions between sulphur atoms and aromatic rings in the side chains of amino acids are crucial for the formation, stability and unique properties of α -helices in proteins.^{43,44}

Another interesting property of chalcogen bonds is their tunability, i.e. when their strength and geometric properties can be relatively easily modified or “tuned”.^{45–47} It should be noted that the recognized chalcogen bonds mainly involve divalent chalcogens, although they are commonly engaged in other valencies,⁴⁸ namely with four or six separate covalent bonds. However, a few recent works address tetravalent chalcogens.^{49,50}

Similar to the hydrogen bond, the chalcogen bonds are classified into several fundamental types: negative charge assisted chalcogen bond (Ch...D[−]), positive charge assisted chalcogen bond (Ch⁺...D), conventional (or “neutral”) chalcogen bond (Ch...D) and resonance assisted chalcogen bond (RACB).^{40,51–56} Similar to the hydrogen and halogen bonds,^{29,57–59} chalcogen interactions assisted by a charge or a conjugated π -system (in the case of RACB) can be characterized




Scheme 2 Multiple chalcogen bonding in 1,4-bis(methyltellanyl)buta-1,3-diyne (one fragment is shown).⁴¹

by the enhanced strength of the chalcogen bond in comparison with the conventional chalcogen bonding.^{40,51–56}

There are several specific features of chalcogen bonds;^{3,5,6,8,24,60,61} from those we can list the following ones. (i) Directionality: Due to the localization of a region of positive electrostatic potential on the outermost, opposite to R, part of the chalcogen atom, the R–Ch fragment elongates and “linearly” approaches D, with the typical R–Ch...D bond angle close to 180°. The stronger the chalcogen bond is, the shorter is the Ch...D distance; (ii) Tunability: The strength of the chalcogen R–Ch...D bonding can be tuned by the variation of R or D and by the replacement of the Ch atom by another one (O, S, Se or Te); (iii) Hydrophobicity: The chalcogen-bond donors are hydrophobic in comparison to conventional hydrogen bonding. In fact, positive electrostatic potential(s) on the outermost surface of the chalcogen atom is (are) hidden by the next covalent bond(s). In other words, the attached groups on the chalcogen atom prevent the solubility of organochalcogens in aqueous or polar solvents;⁷ (iv) Donor atom size: Due to the short van der Waals radii of hydrogen atoms (1.20 Å) compared to the chalcogen atoms (1.52, 1.80, 1.90, and 2.06 Å for O, S, Se, and Te, respectively),⁴² the hydrogen bond is less sensitive to steric hindrance than the chalcogen bond, and this causes differences in some physical and chemical properties; (v) Multiplicity: Unlike the hydrogen atom, the chalcogen atom shows variable valences, e.g., di-, tetra- and hexa-valence, which provide multiple chalcogen bonding. This allows the creation of new types of materials with unique properties, and may be used in synthetic transformations, catalysis, crystal engineering, etc.

As a result of the mentioned distinctive features, application of the noncovalent interactions became a growing area of modern chemistry, while chalcogen bonding started to be used as a driving force toward desired selectivity in synthesis. For example, the reaction of NaSR² with *syn* or *anti* hydroxy epoxide in THF afforded a mixture of the *syn-syn* and *syn-anti* lactones **I** and **II** in a ~30 : 70 ratio, while other two possible isomeric lactones (*anti-syn* or *anti-anti*) were not observed (Scheme 3).⁶² The crystal structures of *syn-syn* lactones revealed two attractive 1,4-intramolecular interactions (chalcogen bonds) of divalent sulfur with both hydroxyl and carbonyl oxygens. These stabilizing noncovalent S...O interactions were




Scheme 3 Chalcogen bond assisted stereoselective synthesis of lactones.⁶²




considered to be a driving force for this reaction and an important factor in favouring the observed stereochemical outcome.⁶²

Furthermore, various modifications of chalcogenated ligands have been performed to drive molecular self-assembly in the synthesis of coordination compounds and to modulate their properties.^{29,63–68} Thus, the reaction of *cis*-[PdCl₂(CNXyl)₂] (Xyl = 2,6-Me₂C₆H₃) with heterocyclic 1,3-

thiazol-2-amines or 1,3,4-thiadiazol-2-amines yields a mixture of kinetically (**I** with S...Cl chalcogen bond) and thermodynamically (**II** with S...N chalcogen bond) controlled regioisomers of binuclear diaminocarbene complexes at room temperature and on heating, respectively (Scheme 4).⁶⁷ The ratio of isomers (**I** and **II**) depends on the solvent polarity and temperature (Scheme 4). According to DFT calculations, the chalcogen bond energy of the thermodynamic isomers **II**



Scheme 4 Difference in energy between S...Cl and S...N types of chalcogen bonds drives regioisomerization of binuclear (diaminocarbene)Pd^{II} complexes.⁶⁷



Scheme 5 Proposed mechanisms of asymmetric dihydropyranone formation *via* chalcogen bond involved intermediates.⁷²



(4.6–5.3 kcal mol^{−1}) is higher than that of the kinetic ones **I** (2.8–3.0 kcal mol^{−1}) (Scheme 4).

As hydrogen and halogen noncovalent interactions, the chalcogen bond can also be used in catalysis providing an additional stabilization of intermediate(s).^{65,69–72} For example, the chalcogen bond donor catalyst (2*S*,3*R*)-3-isopropyl-2-phenyl-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-benzo[4,5]thiazolo[3,2-*a*]pyrimidine (Scheme 5, black) promotes the asymmetric annulation of a range of nucleophiles such as 1,3-diketones, β -ketoesters and azaarylketones to (*E,E*)- α,β -unsaturated anhydrides, yielding either functionalized esters (upon ring opening), dihydropyranones or dihydropyridones with high enantioselectivity (up to 97% ee) and yields (up to 86%) *via* a chalcogen bond assisted intermediate.⁷² The interaction of the catalyst with an (*E,E*)- α,β -unsaturated anhydride leads to an intermediate **I**, with the carbonyl oxygen adopting a *syn*-conformation with respect to the isothioureia sulfur atom due to a stabilizing chalcogen bonding in the formed 5-membered ring (Route I in Scheme 5). Michael addition of diketone enolate to the *Re* face of **I** gives intermediate **III**, which can also be obtained by a [3,3]-rearrangement of **II**. A subsequent proton transfer/lactonisation process yields an enantioenriched dihydropyranone **IV**, which, upon ring opening with MeOH, produces the ester product **V** in high (up to 97%) ee (Routes II–V in Scheme 5).

Chalcogen bonding can play a crucial role not only in synthesis and catalysis, but also in the design of materials. For instance, chalcogen S...S bonding has been used to construct supramolecular nanotubes which are able to host other molecules (Scheme 6).⁴¹ In the case of 1,4,9,12,17,20-hexathiacyclotetracos-2,10,18-tri-*ene*, the pre-made rings are stacked together by chalcogen bonding (Scheme 6).⁴¹ The diameter of the thus-built tubes is approximately 6 Å, the size appropriate to constrain *n*-hexane. The channel size is controllable by a number of –CH₂– or –C≡C– units (Scheme 6), as well as by replacement of S by Se or Te atoms. Accordingly, those materials can host various guests such as chlorobenzene, *p*-xylene, nitrobenzene, *etc.*⁴¹

Apart from the above representative cases, new prospective approaches to the application of chalcogen bonding appear every day, and below we highlight some of them. In some cases the crucial role of chalcogen bonds was not recognized by authors, but we spotlight them based on the reported interatomic contacts (which should be less than the sum of the van der Waals radii) and the specific bond directionality.

2. Synthesis

The inter- or intramolecular chalcogen bonding plays a significant role in many cases of chemical synthesis, drug design, enzyme mimetics, vapor deposition, *etc.*^{73–78} The intramolecular chalcogen bonding is classified into 1-3, 1-4, 1-5 and 1-6 types (Scheme 7) with the corresponding type of the quasi-ring involving Ch...D synthons (D = O, S, Se, Te, N, P, halogen, anion, π -system, radical, *etc.*).^{77,78} As expected, in most cases the Ch...D distance decreases from 1-3 to 1-6 rings, in parallel with the increase of thermodynamic stability of the corresponding chalcogen bond. Moreover, the steric energy of a molecule, which accounts for its geometry at the energy minimum, is expressed in terms of a number of contributions including chalcogen bonds.^{78,79} In this section, the chalcogen bond assisted synthesis of organochalcogens and coordination compounds will be demonstrated by several examples where the Ch...D interactions play a role as a driving force and/or selectivity synthon in the course of the reaction.

2.1. Organic synthesis

Functionalized chalcophenes are key structural units in conducting polymers, microelectronic actuators, chemical sensors, electrochromic devices, organic light emitting diodes, nonlinear optics, bioactive pharmacophores, natural products, and the inter- and intramolecular chalcogen bonding can contribute to their synthetic transformations. For example, the ring-closure addition–elimination reaction of 2,3-dimethoxybuta-1,3-diene with TeCl₄ in the presence of CH₃SiSiCH₃ and CH₃COONa produces 3,4-methoxytelluorophene stabilized by strong intermolecular chalcogen bonds (Scheme 8).⁸⁰ The formation of the Te...Te bond assisted 6-membered ring in 3,4-methoxytelluorophene was accounted for by the metallic character of tellurium, what can be used to create new organic electronic materials.⁸⁰

Another interesting motif that relies upon a 1,5 N...Se interaction was encountered in the single-crystal X-ray structure of bis{[2-(*N,N*-dimethylamino)methyl]phenyl}tetraselenide,




Scheme 7 Quasi-ring synthons in organochalcogens.^{78,79}



Scheme 6 Supramolecular nanotubes constructed from 1,4,9,12,17,20-hexathiacyclotetracos-2,10,18-tri-*ene*.⁴¹






Scheme 8 Synthesis of 3,4-dimethoxytellurophene.⁸⁰


obtained by the treatment of *N,N*-dimethylbenzylamine with *n*-butyllithium and elemental selenium, followed by oxidation under aqueous conditions (Scheme 9).⁸¹ The formation of the two five-membered cycles with the assistance of intra-molecular chalcogen bonding results in the production of the corresponding organotetraselenide compound (Scheme 9).

A 1,4 *N*⋯*S* chalcogen bonding appears to influence the biological activity and conformation of heterobiaryls and can also drive the reaction towards the target product.^{78,82,83} For example, the Suzuki–Miyaura coupling of 6-chloro-2-(4-fluorophenyl)imidazo[1,2-*b*]pyridazine with thiophen-2-ylboronic acid leads to 2-(4-fluorophenyl)-6-(thiophen-2-yl)imidazo[1,2-*b*]pyridazine (Scheme 10).⁸⁴


Other 1,4-(*S*⋯*O*) and 1,4-(*Se*⋯*Cl*) chalcogen bonding synths were found in *N,N*-diisopropyl-4-methoxy-3-(methylthio)picolinamide and 3-(benzylselenanyl)-4-chloro-*N,N*-diisopropylpicolinamide, respectively (Scheme 11).⁸⁵ The formation of a chalcogen bond assists the C–H bond activation in 4-substituted-*N,N*-diisopropylpicolinamide, while no interaction is observed between *S* (or *Se*) and the carbonyl oxygen as the oxygen atom points away from the *S* (or *Se*) centre.



Scheme 9 Synthesis of bis[2-(*N,N*-dimethylamino)methyl]phenyl tetraselenide.⁸¹



Scheme 10 Synthesis of 2-(4-fluorophenyl)-6-(thiophen-2-yl)imidazo[1,2-*b*]pyridazine.⁸⁴



Scheme 11 Synthesis of chalcogen (*S* and *Se*) derivatives of 4-chloro- and 4-methoxy-*N,N*-diisopropylpyridine-2-carboxamide.⁸⁵


Chalcogen bonding assisted 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of *N*,4-bis(4-bromophenyl)-1,2,4-thiadiazolidine-3,5-diimine with *N*-(4-bromophenyl)cyanamide produces (*E*)-1,2-bis(4-bromophenyl)-1-(3-((4-bromophenyl)amino)-1,2,4-thiadiazol-5-yl)guanidine, which contains a 1,5-(*S*⋯*N*) synthon with a distance of 2.538 Å (Scheme 12), suggesting that there is a strong non-covalent interaction between the electron-donating guanidine *N* atom and the acceptor *S* atom of the thiadiazole ring.⁸⁶

Chalcogen bonding between oxygen atoms *O*⋯*O* has been observed in a large number of organic compounds, contributing to their synthesis.^{79,87,88} From those, one can consider a reaction of (2-methoxyphenyl)-bis(methylperoxy)-λ³-iodane with dimethyl malonate to give dimethyl 2-((2-methoxyphenyl)-λ³-iodanylidene)malonate stabilized by chalcogen bonding with a short *O*⋯*O* distance (Scheme 13).⁸⁷


Apart from the stabilization of a particular product, chalcogen bonding can increase the stability of organic radicals.^{89–92} Thus, the reduction of 1,2,3,5-dithiadiazolyl salt [NC-(CF₂)₄-CNSNS][SbF₆] with ferrocene (FcCp₂) led to the 1,2,3,5-dithiadiazolyl radical NC-(CF₂)₄-CNSN[•] which is stabilized by multiple chalcogen bonding (Scheme 14).⁹¹ In fact, this compound is dimerized in the *trans*-cofacial fashion with intra-dimeric



Scheme 12 Synthesis of (*E*)-1,2-bis(4-bromophenyl)-1-(3-((4-bromophenyl)amino)-1,2,4-thiadiazol-5-yl)guanidine.⁸⁶



Scheme 13 Synthesis of dimethyl 2-((2-methoxyphenyl)-λ³-iodanilydene) malonate.⁸⁷




Scheme 15 Stabilization of the 1,2,3,5-dithiadiazolyl radical.⁹²

chalcogen bonding $S \cdots N$, and the dimeric units are also linked by $S \cdots N_{\text{cyano}}$ interactions forming an infinite chain. Both S atoms in the dithiadiazolyl ring behave as a two-centred chalcogen bond donor towards the N^{\bullet} radical and the nitrogen atom of the cyano group (Scheme 14). When the temperature is increased from 305 to 335 K, $\text{NC}-(\text{CF}_2)_4-\text{CNSSN}^{\bullet}$ shows magnetic bistability with colour change from dark green (dimeric solid) to dark brown (paramagnetic liquid).

Another example is related to *ortho*-chalcogen substituted phenoxyl radicals stabilized by strong chalcogen bonding (Scheme 15).⁹² A homolytic abstraction of the hydrogen atom from the $-\text{OH}$ group of 4-methoxy-6-methylbenzo[*b*]thiophen-7-ol by a peroxy radical yields the chalcogen bond protected 4-methoxy-6-methylbenzo[*b*]thio-phenoxyl-7 radical (Scheme 15).⁹² From this example, one can conclude that the antioxidant properties of phenoxyl radicals can be controlled with the assistance of chalcogen bonding.

2.2. Inorganic synthesis

Exploiting the analogy with hydrogen and halogen bonds, the chalcogen bond is comparatively a new type of weak non-covalent interaction, which can be used by organic tectons to control the secondary coordination sphere of metal ions.^{29,63–68} As for other types of noncovalent interactions, chalcogen bonding can be intra- and intermolecular, in coordination compounds.^{29,63–68} In intramolecular chalcogen-bonded coordination compounds, the coordination entities can be employed with two different roles: as donors and as acceptors.²⁹ Thus, metal coordination may increase the electrophilicity of the chalcogen atom of a ligand which can act as the chalcogen bond donor towards other coordination entities or species.²⁹ Chalcogen bond containing coordination entities can also act as the chalcogen bond acceptor nodes through their ligands.^{29,63–68} The potential of chalcogen bonding in the synthesis of coordination compounds has recently been pointed out by us (we refer to the readers),²⁹ but, in this subsection, we shall discuss more recent examples on this topic. An interesting case has already been presented above, in the



Scheme 14 Stabilization of the 1,2,3,5-dithiadiazolyl radical $\text{NC}-(\text{CF}_2)_4-\text{CNSSN}^{\bullet}$.⁹¹




Scheme 16 Synthesis of a macrocyclic Pd complex with intramolecular chalcogen bonds.⁶⁶

Introduction section, concerning the regioisomerization of binuclear (diaminocarbene)Pd^{II} complexes (Scheme 4).⁶⁷ Other examples of inorganic synthesis assisted by chalcogen bonding are as follows.

Chalcogen bonding may be involved in self-assembly of a variety of supramolecular aggregates that include cyclic tetramers and hexamers, which can act as receptors for host species such as tetrahydrofuran, Pd²⁺, *etc.*⁶⁶ For instance, the auto-association of [Pd(NCCH₃)₄](BF₄)₂ with the negative charge assisted chalcogen bond supported tetramer of isottellurazole *N*-oxides in a CH₂Cl₂/acetonitrile mixture affords a macrocyclic Pd complex (Scheme 16).⁶⁶ In this compound the metal centre displays a square planar coordination geometry with Pd–Te distances of 2.5804(4) Å, while the Te...O[−] chalcogen bonding is 2.174 Å, being significantly shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii of the interacting atoms, of 3.58 Å (Te + O = 2.06 + 1.52 = 3.58 Å). Thus, each of this strong intramolecular negative charge assisted chalcogen bonding closes a five-membered metallacycle leading to the Pd²⁺ hosted macrocycle, which can contribute thermodynamically to the complexation reaction.

Multiple chalcogen bonding participates in the interaction of [PdCl₂(NCPh)₂] with the reaction product of SeCl₂ with ^tBuNH₂ leading to a dinuclear palladium(II) adduct (Scheme 17).⁶⁸ This product consists of two different palladium(II) complexes in the same crystalline lattice, linked



Scheme 17 Multiple chalcogen bonding in the synthesis of a dinuclear palladium(II) adduct.⁶⁸

together by multiple Se...Cl chalcogen bonding. The coordination environment of both palladium centres in this chalcogen bond supported adduct is square-planar. The Cl–Se–N and Cl–Se–Cl angles in the range of 145.62–166.69° are in agreement with chalcogen bond formation with a moderate directionality.

3. Catalysis

Noncovalent interactions play an important role in many catalytic cycles, *e.g.*, offering additional stabilization to particular intermediate(s) and thus providing high selectivity in the case of asymmetric catalysis.^{65,69–72,93–95} The stabilization of an intermediate depends on the types of noncovalent interactions and reaction conditions. Although individually these interactions are relatively weak, their orchestrated action can provide a powerful tool for reaching high yields and controlling selectivity.


The role of noncovalent interactions, such as π -stacking interactions,⁷⁰ hydrogen⁶⁹ and halogen²⁴ bonding, in catalysis has been well recognized. Taking into account the similarities between those weak forces and chalcogen bonding, the latter should also be explored as an important tool in catalysis. Moreover, the higher directionality of chalcogen bonds may allow achieving a higher selectivity. In particular, interesting catalytic results can be expected if catalysis is performed in nonpolar media when the hydrophobic character of the chalcogen bond plays an additional role.⁷¹ Although data on the use of chalcogen bond donor molecules in catalysis still remain rather scarce,^{71,72,93–95} we found some interesting examples, and one of them has been discussed in the Introduction section (Scheme 5),⁷² while others follow below.

Thus, the chalcogen bond accelerates the hydrogenation of quinolones and imine when dithieno[3,2-*b*;2',3'-*d*]thiophenes or their diimides are used as chalcogen bond donor catalysts (Scheme 18).⁷¹ Cooperation of two chalcogen bonds in a specific binding motif (as “tongs”) facilitates the expected substrate–catalyst interactions. According to the proposed mechanism, an intermediate is stabilized by chalcogen bonds leading to a 5-membered ring, which initiates the hydrogenation of the C=N bond in quinolines and imines (Scheme 18).

Similar to the above example of Scheme 5, a chiral isothiurea catalyst, (*R*)- or (*S*)-2-phenyl-2,3-dihydrobenzo[*d*]imidazo[2,1-*b*]thiazole, is effectively converted into a chalcogen bond supported α,β -unsaturated acylammonium intermediate by its reaction with an α,β -unsaturated anhydride, which undergoes two sequential chemoselective nucleophilic attacks by 2-aminothiophenols producing 1,5-benzothiazepines **I** and a negligible amount (<1%) of by-products **II** and **III** (Scheme 19a).⁹³

According to the proposed mechanism (Scheme 19b), the reaction of (*R*)- or (*S*)-2-phenyl-2,3-dihydrobenzo[*d*]imidazo[2,1-*b*]thiazole with a mixture of *E*- or *Z*-isomers of α,β -unsaturated anhydrides and 2-aminothiophenols goes through (i) the formation of acylammonium intermediates **IV**





Scheme 18 Chalcogen bond assisted hydrogenation of quinolines (Route I) and imines (Route II).⁷¹



Scheme 19 Synthesis of 1,5-benzothiazepines (a) and proposed mechanism (b).⁹³



and **V**, stabilized by intramolecular S...O chalcogen bonding, with the liberation of $^1\text{PrO}^-$ and CO_2 where the former behaves as a hydrogen bond acceptor towards the S–H moiety of a 2-aminothiophenol (Routes I and II in Scheme 19); (ii) a nucleophilic attack of a sulfur atom of 2-aminothiophenol to an olefin carbon atom leading to the intermediates **VI** and **VII** (Routes III and IV in Scheme 19), followed by cyclization with the formation of **I** (in comparison to Route V, Route VI may be inhibited by a favourable conformational change driven by the release of repulsion energy); (iii) due to unstable conformation, an intermediate **VII** is converted into **VIII** (Route VII), bearing a more stable conformation, and 2-aminothiophenol eliminates to generate the (*E*)-intermediate **IX** (Route VIII), which again affords *R*-1,5-benzothiazepine *via* intermediates **IV** and **VI** (Routes IX, III and V). In all the proposed intermediates, the chalcogen bond increases the electrophilic character of the olefinic carbon atom towards nucleophilic attack by the sulfur atom of 2-aminothiophenol, the enantioselectivity of this reaction being directed by the difference of cyclization rates between the intermediates **VI** and **VII**.

As has been mentioned above and illustrated by the above examples, the directionality and versatility of chalcogen bonding offers several possibilities for the creation and stabilization of different types of intermediates in catalytic cycles. The cooperation of chalcogen bonding with other type(s) of noncovalent interactions can further facilitate a desired reaction, while a particular orientation could enhance the selectivity. For example, cooperating chalcogen and halogen bonds are observed in the *peri*-substituted naphthalene (Scheme 20a) catalyzed regioselective deiodination of thyroxine (**T4**) to 3,5,3'-

triiodothyronine (**T3**) and 3,3',5'-triiodothyronine (**rT3**) by outer- and inner-ring deiodination pathways, respectively (Scheme 20b).^{94,96} Although the formation of any I...Se halogen bond elongates (weakens) the C–I bond, a regio-selective and effective bond activation begins only when the two chalcogen atoms are in the *peri* position in the catalysts (Scheme 20a). Thus they interact with each other leading to chalcogen bonding that facilitates the transfer of a higher electron density to the C–I σ^* orbitals through halogen bonds (Scheme 20c). As a selenol provides stronger chalcogen bonding than a thiol, replacement by selenium of either one or both sulfur atoms of the *peri*-naphthalene-dithiol considerably increases the yield (75-fold more) of the deiodination.

4. Design of materials

Materials containing organochalcogens or coordination compounds with chalcogen bond(s) have been extensively investigated due to their unique properties, such as electric and thermal conductivities, molecular self-assemblies, nanotube formation, ion exchange capacity, molecular recognition, non-linear optics, photoelectrochemical behaviour, drug delivery, *etc.*^{78,97–119} All these properties are dependent on the nature of the constituent elements, directionality and tunability of chalcogen bonding, as well as the corresponding size of the quasi-ring involving the Ch...D synthon and planarity of this ring. All the Ch...D containing quasi-rings are almost planar and can be involved in other types of noncovalent interactions leading to better materials with enhanced device performance.^{97,98}



Scheme 20 Synthetic transformations of thyroxines (a) and *peri*-substituted naphthalenes (b). Proposed actions of halogen and chalcogen bonds in the activation of the C–I bond (c).⁹⁴



Accordingly, chalcogen bonding in organic/inorganic materials can not only provide a fundamental understanding of structure–property relationships¹²⁰ but also has great potential for many technological applications.^{27,41,117–124} In this respect, switching to heavier chalcogen atoms with a larger polarizability, such as selenium or tellurium, is of particular interest since the larger atomic size may strengthen the inter- or intramolecular chalcogen bond interactions in solution and in the solid state.¹²⁵ For instance, many polymers reported to date are based on thiophene or its fused ring analogues, while a conjugated polymer with selenophene may exhibit a better performance in organic field-effect transistors, retaining the identical polymer backbone.^{126–129}

The variation of substituent(s) and chalcogen bond acceptor side(s) in the monomeric unit can also significantly affect the charge transport properties of these materials. Thus, the use of selenophene spacers in the polymer poly(2,7-carbazole-*alt*-diselenienylbenzothiadiazole) (Scheme 21a) led to increased crystallinity and higher power conversion efficiency (4.1% vs. 3.6%) in comparison to the analogous thiophene device due to Se...Se chalcogen bonding.¹³⁰ Moreover, the replacement of sulfur or selenium with tellurium in conjugated polymers leads to the narrower HOMO–LUMO gap, red-shifted optical absorption, higher polarizability and dielectric constant.^{97,131}

The variation of comonomers (thiophene, selenophene and tellurophene) in cyclopentadithiophene polymer (Scheme 21b)¹³² offers a red-shifted absorption with narrowing the band gap. At the same time, the polymer tends to aggregate in solution due to the strong Te...Te chalcogen bonding. The intensity of the absorption peak depends on the strength of the chalcogen–chalcogen interactions, *i.e.*, a polymer with a tellurophene comonomer demonstrates the most intense aggregation-induced absorption.¹³²

As can be seen from the above examples, chalcogen bonding can control the arrangement of molecules in the solid state, and this is very important for the successful design of materials with desired magnetic, conducting, non-linear optic, *etc.* properties. Although considerable progress towards the application of hydrogen and halogen bonding for the design of new materials has been achieved, only limited examples are available regarding the related role of chalcogen bonding.^{62,67,113–116} Hence, in this section we highlight the role of chalcogen bonding in crystal engineering and for molecular recognition.

4.1. Crystal engineering

Along with the well-explored hydrogen- and halogen-bonded motifs, in recent years chalcogen bonding has also attracted significant interest in crystal engineering, drug design,⁷⁸ supramolecular synthons, *etc.*, as in most cases it combines two essential properties: strength and directionality.^{51,90,101,102,108,109,133–171} The manipulation of dimensionality can be achieved by modifying the type and/or strength of chalcogen bonds present in the crystal.

A variety of chalcogen bond involved synthons with electron donor or acceptor character were employed for controlling the assembly of molecular building blocks into designed supramolecular architectures. Both types of synthons are of great importance owing to the fact that by changing the molecular geometries of organochalcogens one can manipulate the structure of crystals. Hence, an understanding of the nature of the chalcogen bonding towards its control of the molecular arrangement in the solid state can be a fundamental issue for obtaining the desired organic semiconducting or switching materials.

One of the examples demonstrating these possibilities relates to (*E/Z*)-2,2'-bibenzo[*d*][1,3]oxaselenolyldiene where the inter- and intra-molecular chalcogen bonds contribute to the *E* ↔ *Z* switching in solution and to the isolation of *E*- or *Z*-isomers in the solid state (Scheme 22).¹⁴⁰ The *E*-isomer is stabilized by multiple inter- and intramolecular noncovalent interactions, such as chalcogen (Se...O in a 1,4-membered quasi-ring) and hydrogen (C–H...Se) bonds, and two types of π – π interactions (π_{phenyl} – $\pi_{\text{oxaselenole}}$ and Se– $\pi_{\text{Se...Oring}}$).¹³⁷ Not only intramolecular homonuclear chalcogen bonds, but also



Scheme 22 Chalcogen bonding in (*E/Z*)-2,2'-bibenzo[*d*][1,3]oxaselenolyldiene.¹⁴⁰




Scheme 21 Poly(2,7-carbazole-*alt*-diselenienylbenzothiadiazole) (a);¹³⁰ cyclopentadithiophene polymer with different comonomers (thiophene, selenophene and tellurophene) (b).¹³²



intermolecular short $\text{Se}(2)\cdots\text{Se}(2)$ (3.449 Å, $\text{C}_{\text{oxaselenole}}-\text{Se}(2)\cdots\text{Se}(2)$ 167.81°) interactions have an impact on the stabilization of the Z-isomer in the solid state. Thus, the chalcogen bond involved synthon can play a donor or an acceptor role in the construction of supramolecular architectures.

Other types of chalcogen bonding have also been identified and applied in crystal engineering. For example, a positive charge assisted chalcogen bonding synthon is found in a series of *peri*-substituted chalconium acenaphthene salts **I–VI** (Scheme 23).¹⁵⁴ The same equatorial–axial arrangement is observed in **I–III**, with the methyl group positioning along the acenaphthene plane with axial occupation of a phenyl group. The planar location of the methyl group with the acenaphthene moiety provides the “correct” geometry for the existence of a positively charged assisted chalcogen bonding with moderate directionality, 166–176° (Scheme 23). Upon switching from S to Te, the $\text{Ch}^+\cdots\text{Br}$ distances increase in the following order: 3.181(S) < 3.195(Se) < 3.285(Te) Å (Scheme 23), which are 13–16% shorter than the sum of van der Waals radii for the two interacting atoms. A similar tendency occurs in the homonuclear positively charge assisted chalcogen bonding synthons $\text{Ch}^+\cdots\text{Ch}$ **IV–VI**: 3.134(S) < 3.247(Se) < 3.445(Te) Å (16–19% shorter than the sum of van der Waals radii) and $\text{Ch}^+\cdots\text{Ch}-\text{CH}_3$ angles approaching 180° (170–177°) (Scheme 23), which may promote the delocalization of a chalcogen lone pair in a 5-membered quasi-ring. In each case the two phenyl rings are located axially to the acenaphthene plane but with either a *trans* (**IV**) or a *cis* (**V** and **VI**) orientation (Scheme 23).

The strength of the chalcogen \cdots nucleophile interactions is related to the degree of charge transfer between the chalcogen bond donor and the acceptor, illustrating the importance of electrostatic interactions in the formation of a negative charge assisted chalcogen bond. Thus, a strong and directional chalcogen bonding is expected when an anion is used as a chalcogen bond acceptor. Furthermore, the negative charge assisted chalcogen bond may cooperate with other types of noncovalent



Scheme 24 Negative charge assisted chalcogen bonding in 2-(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-4-((2,6-diisopropylphenyl)amino)-3-methylisothiazol-2-ium chloride.¹⁴⁸

interactions, such as hydrogen bonding or ionic interactions, as in 2-(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-4-((2,6-diisopropylphenyl)amino)-3-methylisothiazol-2-ium chloride (Scheme 24), where cooperation of a negative charge assisted chalcogen bonding with ionic interactions and hydrogen bonding was found.¹⁴⁸ In this compound, the $\text{S}\cdots\text{Cl}^-$ distance (2.848 Å) is significantly lower than the sum of van der Waals radii ($\text{S} + \text{Cl} = 3.55$ Å), and the $\text{N}^+-\text{S}\cdots\text{Cl}^-$ angle approaches 180° (174.82°). In the intermolecular charge assisted hydrogen bonding system, the $\text{N}-\text{H}\cdots\text{Cl}^-$ angle and the $\text{N}\cdots\text{Cl}^-$ distance are 146.05° and 3.267 Å, respectively, whereas the intramolecular distance between the Cl^- anion and the N^+ of the thiazol ring is 4.553 Å, which falls in the recognized range of ionic interaction distances.¹⁷² Thus, cooperation of all the above mentioned noncovalent interactions contributes to the stabilization of this organic salt in the solid state.

The chalcogen bond contributes to the stabilization of one tautomeric form of the pharmaceutical ingredient sulfamethizole (Scheme 25).¹⁵⁹ Due to the π -conjugation in the 5-membered quasi-ring with the formation of RACB, the tautomer **III** is stable in the solid state (Scheme 25).¹⁵⁹ The strengthening and directionality of RACB in **III** can be manipulated by cocrystallization (**IV**), protonation (**V**) or deprotonation (**VI**) (Scheme 24). In all cases, the $\text{O}\cdots\text{S}$ distance increases



Scheme 23 Positive charge assisted chalcogen bonding in *peri*-substituted chalconium acenaphthene salts.¹⁵⁴



Scheme 25 RACB in molecular (IV), cationic (V) and anionic (VI) forms of sulfamethizole.¹⁵⁹

(2.799–2.938 Å) in comparison to the starting material **II** (2.762 Å), whereas the O...S-*C*_{thiazole} angle can decrease (in **IV–VI**) or increase (in **VI**) depending on the exhibited noncovalent interactions. Apparently, the participation of the RACB moiety of **VI** as a hydrogen bond acceptor synthon towards protonated 4-aminopyridine does not affect the O...S-*C*_{thiazole} angle (Scheme 25).

4.2. Molecular recognition and anion transport

It is well established that noncovalent interactions are responsible for the detection of many organic, inorganic and bio-relevant molecules. In order to develop an artificial receptor (carrier or ionophore) selective towards a specific analyte, the receptor should contain a variety of synthons, organized to complement the size and shape of the analyte. Similar to the halogen bonding,^{21,173–177} the relatively strong and directional chalcogen bond can also be used for selective recognition; however, quantitative data regarding the chalcogen bonding in solution are sparse.^{112–115,178–183}

Due to the hydrophobic character of the chalcogen bond, a desired (high) analytical signal can be expected when the molecular recognition is carried out in apolar media. Moreover, there are three possible ways for the application of chalcogen bonding in molecular recognition: (i) the receptor may have a chalcogen bonding system as a synthon, and it can interact with the analyte, or (ii) the analyte may have a chalcogen bonding system as a synthon, and it can interact with the receptor; or (iii) a chalcogen bond synthon can be formed during the analytic reaction (detection process).

Accordingly, the multiple chalcogen bond containing 2,2'-(benzo[1,2-*c*:4,5-*c'*]bis([1,2,5]chalcadiazole)-4,8-diylidene) dimalononitrile receptors (**I** and **II**) (Scheme 26a) have been employed in the selective separation of *p*-xylene or 2,6-dimethylnaphthalene from the corresponding isomeric mix-

tures.¹⁷⁹ Both receptors are planar molecules and chalcogen atoms act as bifurcated chalcogen bond donor centres towards the cyano group nitrogens of neighbouring molecules with moderate directionality (167.87° N-S...N_{cyano} and 169.49° N-Se...N_{cyano} angles). Short chalcogen bonds connect the molecule with four neighbours (Scheme 26b), thus leading to nearly coplanar “sheetlike” networks, which form infinite layers with face-to-face overlapping between heterocycles.¹⁷⁹ Thus, in order to maintain the chalcogen bond assisted supramolecular architecture (Scheme 26b), these receptors selectively capture the symmetric *para*-disubstituted benzene rather than the less favoured *ortho*- or *meta*-disubstituted benzenes.¹⁷⁹ In fact, the packing diagram of *p*-xylene (analytical form) shows the existence of a similar “sheetlike” network with short S...N_{cyano} contacts (3.22 and 3.24 Å), followed by decreasing the directionality of chalcogen bonding (137.24 and 142.82° N-S...N_{cyano} angles).¹⁷⁹

A chalcogen bond assisted synthon can also be formed during the detection process. For instance, the reaction of (*R*)-(8-(dimesitylboryl)naphthalen-1-yl)(methyl)(phenyl) chalconium trifluoromethane-sulfonate with a fluoride anion (analyte) leads to a positive charge assisted chalcogen bond containing (*R*)-(8-(dimesitylboryl)naphthalen-1-yl)(methyl)(phenyl)chalconium fluoride (Scheme 27).¹⁸¹ The replacement of S by Te in the receptor increases its fluoride affinity because the larger size of Te allows for a reduction of intraligand repulsions, which generates a more favourable chalcogen bonding with the F[−] analyte. In fact, the Te⁺...F (2.505 Å) distance is shorter than the S⁺...F (2.550 Å), whereas the latter is more directional (C_{Ph}-S⁺...F 176.61°) in comparison to the former one (C_{Ph}-Te⁺...F 174.02°).

The electron-deficient perfluoroaryl-substituted tellurophenes (**I–IV**) act as anion receptors through negative charge






Scheme 26 Chalcogen bonding in 2,2'-(benzo[1,2-c:4,5-c']bis([1,2,5]chalcadiazole)-4,8-diylidene) dimalononitrile receptors.¹⁷⁹



Scheme 27 Positive charge assisted chalcogen bonding in the recognition of F^- by (R)-(8-(dimesitylboryl)naphthalen-1-yl)(methyl)(phenyl) chalconium trifluoromethanesulfonates.¹⁸¹



Scheme 29 Chalcogen bond assisted anion transport.¹¹⁴

assisted chalcogen bonding in THF (Scheme 28).¹¹³ For all the anions, the bidentate chalcogen bonding in **IV**– X^- leads to a significant increase of the association constant (K_a) in comparison to the monodentate ones **III**– X^- (Scheme 28), in view, in the former case, of the effect of an ethynylene linker of chalcogen-based heterocycles. In fact, both associates **III**– X^- and



Scheme 28 Negative charge assisted chalcogen bonding in the recognition of X^- by perfluoroaryl-substituted tellurophenes.¹¹³

IV– X^- were optimized using DFT calculations, the minimum-energy geometry being stabilized by chalcogen bonding interactions having the $Te \cdots Cl^-$ distances of 3.07 and 3.23 Å and the 168 and 170° $C-Te \cdots Cl^-$ angles, respectively (Scheme 28).

The transmembrane transport of anions is another important direction due to various analytical and medical applications, and noncovalent interactions have successfully been employed in this field.^{184–188} Thus, an application of chalcogen bonding in anion transport with electron-deficient dithieno[3,2-*b*:2',3'-*d*]thiophenes (Scheme 29) has been reported.¹¹⁴ The calculated anion binding energy in 1 : 1 complexes increases with the enhancement of the electrophilic character of a sulfur atom in a neighbouring ring, as observed by the oxidation of the bridging S atom in **I** to $S=O$ (**II**) and $S(=O)_2$ (**III**) (Scheme 29). Thus, the electrophilic character of the chalcogen bond donor centre(s) can be regulated by the addition of an electron-withdrawing group(s) to the anionophore moiety.

5. Conclusions

In comparison with the well-established hydrogen and halogen bonding, the chalcogen bond (a family member of



σ -hole interactions) is much less explored. The properties of chalcogen bonding (directionality, tunability, hydrophobicity and donor atom size) can be exploited in synthetic operations similar to those of halogen bonding, but the multiplicity of chalcogen bonding provides additional manoeuvring in synthesis and design. For instance, multiple chalcogen bonds between sulfur, selenium and tellurium centres favour the formation of nanotube-like structures in the solid state that are able to host other molecules.⁴¹

Furthermore, the chalcogen bond can direct asymmetric organic reactions,⁶² stabilize organic radicals^{91,92} or support thermodynamic isomers.⁶⁷ In catalysis, 5-membered chalcogen bond closed intermediates can determine the destination of the reaction.^{71,72,93–95} Cooperation of the chalcogen bond with other types of noncovalent interactions, *e.g.* halogen bond, is particularly useful.⁹⁴ In materials chemistry and crystal engineering, all types of chalcogen bonding, *viz.* negative charge assisted, positive charge assisted, conventional (or “neutral”) and resonance assisted, have been successfully employed.^{140,148,154,159} Chalcogen bonds have been also used in the recognition of neutral molecules and anions,^{113,179,181} as well as in anion transport.¹¹⁴

Chalcogen bonding has mainly been observed in the solid state, but several studies have shown its role in solution, and this area of research is of particular importance due to potential application in analytical chemistry and medicine. Moreover, the role of chalcogen bonding (mainly S...O interactions) in biological systems is undeniable⁷⁸ and the strategic inclusion of chalcogens in artificial drug design and in the development of synthetic transmembrane anion transporters can be provisioned.

We hope the considered examples and their discussion will attract additional attention to this exciting field of chalcogen bond assisted applications. Moreover, as for halogen bonding,⁶¹ we may expect the recognition of chalcogen bonding by the International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC) in the near future.

Acknowledgements

This work has been partially supported by the Baku State University, as well as the Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT), Portugal [UID/QUI/00100/2013 project]. M. N. K. acknowledges FCT for financial support in the form of an “Investigador 2013” contract and the corresponding IF/01270/2013/CP1163/CT0007 project. The work was also supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (Agreement number 02.a03.21.0008).

References

- 1 J. D. van der Waals, *Over de Continuïteit van den Gas-en Vloeistofoestand (On the continuity of the gaseous and liquid state)*, Ph.D. Dissertation, University of Leiden, 1873.

- 2 M. C. Etter, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1990, **23**, 120.
- 3 G. R. Desiraju, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1995, **34**, 2311.
- 4 G. A. Jeffrey, *An Introduction to Hydrogen Bonding*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1997.
- 5 G. R. Desiraju and T. Steiner, *The Weak Hydrogen Bond in Structural Chemistry and Biology*, Oxford University Press Inc., New York, 1999.
- 6 T. Steiner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 48.
- 7 A. Karshikoff, *Non-covalent Interactions in Proteins*, Imperial College Press, Singapore, 2006.
- 8 G. R. Desiraju, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 8342.
- 9 M. Brookhart, M. L. H. Green and G. Parkin, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2007, **104**, 690.
- 10 *Hydrogen bonding in organic synthesis*, ed. P. M. Pihko, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2009.
- 11 G. Gilli and P. Gilli, *The Nature of the Hydrogen Bond*, Oxford University Press, USA, 2009.
- 12 P. Metrangolo and G. Resnati, *Halogen Bonding: Fundamentals and Applications (Structure and Bonding)*, Springer, Heidelberg, 2010.
- 13 P. Hobza and K. Müller-Dethlefs, *Non-covalent Interactions: Theory and Experiment*, Royal Society of Chemistry, 2010.
- 14 A. K. Baev, *Specific Intermolecular Interactions of Organic Compounds*, Springer, 2012.
- 15 S. Scheiner, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 280.
- 16 S. Alvarez, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 8617.
- 17 A. K. Baev, *Specific Intermolecular Interactions of Nitrogenated and Bioorganic Compounds*, Springer, 2014.
- 18 G. Cavallo, P. Metrangolo, T. Pilati, G. Resnati and G. Terraneo, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2014, **14**, 2697.
- 19 M. Giese, M. Albrecht and K. Rissanen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 8867.
- 20 *Noncovalent Forces*, ed. S. Scheiner, Springer, Dordrecht, 2015.
- 21 L. C. Gilday, S. W. Robinson, T. A. Barendt, M. J. Langton, B. R. Mullaney and P. D. Beer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 7188.
- 22 S. K. Singh and A. Das, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2015, **17**, 9596.
- 23 *Non-Covalent Interactions in the Synthesis and Design of New Compounds*, ed. A. M. Maharramov, K. T. Mahmudov, M. N. Kopylovich and A. J. L. Pombeiro, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, 2016.
- 24 G. Cavallo, P. Metrangolo, R. Milani, T. Pilati, A. Priimagi, G. Resnati and G. Terraneo, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 2478.
- 25 A. S. Mahadevi and G. N. Sastry, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 2775.
- 26 H. Wang, W. Wang and W. J. Jin, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 5072.
- 27 M. H. Kolář and P. Hobza, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 5155.
- 28 K. T. Mahmudov and A. J. L. Pombeiro, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 16356.
- 29 K. T. Mahmudov, M. N. Kopylovich, M. F. C. Guedes da Silva and A. J. L. Pombeiro, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **354**, 54.
- 30 R. H. Crabtree, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 1720.



- 31 N. M. Adcock, *Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem.*, 1972, **15**, 1.
- 32 R. Gleiter and R. Gygax, *Top. Curr. Chem.*, 1976, **63**, 49.
- 33 G. Angyan, R. A. Poirier, A. Kucsman and I. G. Csizmadia, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1987, **109**, 2237.
- 34 H.-B. Burgi and J. Dunitz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1987, **109**, 2924.
- 35 S. Scheiner, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 2013, **113**, 1609.
- 36 J. S. Murray, P. Lane and P. Politzer, *J. Mol. Model.*, 2009, **15**, 723.
- 37 T. Clark, M. Hennemann, J. S. Murray and P. Politzer, *J. Mol. Model.*, 2007, **13**, 291.
- 38 J. S. Murray, P. Lane, T. Clark, K. E. Riley and P. Politzer, *J. Mol. Model.*, 2012, **18**, 541.
- 39 A. Pecina, M. Lepšík, D. Hnyk, P. Hobza and L. Fanfrlík, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2015, **119**, 1388.
- 40 W. Wang, B. Ji and Y. Zhang, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2009, **113**, 8132.
- 41 D. B. Werz, R. Gleiter and F. Rominger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 10638.
- 42 A. Bondi, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1964, **68**, 441.
- 43 T. P. Tauer, M. E. Derrick and C. D. Sherrill, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2005, **109**, 191.
- 44 M. Iwaoka, S. Takemoto and S. Tomoda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 10613.
- 45 M. D. Esrafil and F. Mohammadian-Sabet, *Struct. Chem.*, 2015, **26**, 199.
- 46 M. D. Esrafil and N. Mohammadirad, *J. Mol. Model.*, 2015, **21**, 176.
- 47 M. D. Esrafil and F. Mohammadian-Sabet, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 2015, **634**, 210.
- 48 T. Clark, J. S. Murray, P. Lane and P. Politzer, *J. Mol. Model.*, 2008, **14**, 689.
- 49 V. D. P. N. Nziko and S. Scheiner, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2014, **118**, 10849.
- 50 P. Chaudhary, J. T. Goettel, H. P. A. Mercier, S. Sowlati-Hashjin, P. Hazendonk and M. Gerken, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 6247.
- 51 F. R. Knight, A. L. Fuller, M. I. Bühl, A. M. Z. Slawin and J. D. Woollins, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 7577.
- 52 T. Chivers and J. Konu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 3025.
- 53 T. Kusamoto, H. M. Yamamoto and R. Kato, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2013, **13**, 4533.
- 54 U. Adhikari and S. Scheiner, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2014, **118**, 3183.
- 55 E. Alikhani, F. Fuster, B. Madebenea and S. J. Grabowski, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2014, **16**, 2430.
- 56 P. Sanz, O. Mó and M. Yáñez, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2003, **9**, 4548.
- 57 S. J. Grabowski, W. A. Sokalski, E. Dyguda and J. Leszczynski, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 6444.
- 58 S. J. Grabowski, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **11**, 2597.
- 59 S. J. Grabowski, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2011, **115**, 12340.
- 60 E. Arunan, G. R. Desiraju, R. A. Klein, J. Sadlej, S. Scheiner, I. Alkorta, D. C. Clary, R. H. Crabtree, J. J. Dannenberg, P. Hobza, H. G. Kjaergaard, A. C. Legon, B. Mennucci and D. J. Nesbitt, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2011, **83**, 1637.
- 61 G. R. Desiraju, P. S. Ho, L. Kloo, A. C. Legon, R. Marquardt, P. Metrangolo, P. Politzer, G. Resnati and K. Rissanen, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2013, **85**, 1711.
- 62 F. V. Gonzalez, A. Jain, S. Rodriguez, J. A. Saez, C. Vicent and G. Peris, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, **75**, 5888.
- 63 E. M. Fatila, J. Goodreid, R. Clérac, M. Jennings, J. Assoud and K. E. Preuss, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 6569.
- 64 J. Konu, T. Chivers and H. M. Tuononen, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 12977.
- 65 S. R. Smith, J. Douglas, H. Prevet, P. Shapland, A. M. Z. Slawin and A. D. Smith, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 1626.
- 66 P. C. Ho, P. Szydlowski, J. Sinclair, P. J. W. Elder, J. Kübel, C. Gendy, L. M. Lee, H. A. Jenkins, J. F. Britten, D. R. Morim and I. Vargas Baca, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 11299.
- 67 A. S. Mikherdov, M. A. Kinzhalov, A. S. Novikov, V. P. Boyarskiy, I. A. Boyarskaya, D. V. Dar'in, G. L. Starova and V. Yu. Kukushkin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 14129.
- 68 A. J. Karhu, O. J. Pakkanen, J. M. Rautiainen, R. Oilunkaniemi, T. Chivers and R. S. Laitinen, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 6210.
- 69 S. E. Wheeler, T. J. Seguin, Y. Guan and A. C. Doney, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2016, **49**, 1061.
- 70 H. J. Davis and R. J. Phipps, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 864.
- 71 S. Benz, J. López-Andarias, J. Mareda, N. Sakai and S. Matile, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 812.
- 72 E. R. T. Robinson, C. Fallan, C. Simal, A. M. Z. Slawin and A. D. Smith, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 2193.
- 73 S. S. Zade, S. Panda, H. B. Singh, R. B. Sunoj and R. J. Butcher, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2005, **70**, 3693.
- 74 S. K. Tripathi, U. Patel, D. Roy, R. B. Sunoj, H. B. Singh, G. Wolmershäuser and R. J. Butcher, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2005, **70**, 9237.
- 75 K. A. Mazzio and C. K. Luscombe, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 78.
- 76 A. J. Mukherjee, S. S. Zade, H. B. Singh and R. B. Sunoj, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 4357.
- 77 T. Chivers and R. S. Laitinen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 1725.
- 78 B. R. Beno, K.-S. Yeung, M. D. Bartberger, L. D. Pennington and N. A. Meanwell, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2015, **58**, 4383.
- 79 Y. Nagao, T. Hirata, S. Goto, S. Sano, A. Kakehi, K. Iizuka and M. Shiro, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 3104.
- 80 A. Patra, Y. H. Wijsboom, G. Leitun and M. Bendikov, *Org. Lett.*, 2009, **11**, 1487.
- 81 E. M. Takaluoma, T. T. Takaluoma, R. Oilunkaniemi and R. S. Laitinen, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2015, **641**, 772.
- 82 D. Tilly, F. Chevallier and F. Mongin, *Synthesis*, 2016, 184.
- 83 M. Iwaoka and N. Isozumi, *Molecules*, 2012, **17**, 7266.
- 84 C. Enguehard, M. Hervet, H. Allouchi, J.-C. Debouzy, J.-M. Leger and A. Gueiffier, *Synthesis*, 2001, 595.



- 85 J. S. Dhau, R. Dhir and A. Singh, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2011, **696**, 2406.
- 86 K. Akiba, T. Tsuchiya, N. Inamoto, K. Onuma, N. Nagashima and A. Nakamura, *Chem. Lett.*, 1976, 723.
- 87 C. Zhu, A. Yoshimura, L. Ji, Y. Wei, V. N. Nemykin and V. V. Zhdankin, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 3170.
- 88 C. Sharma, A. K. Singh, J. Joy, E. D. Jemmis and S. K. Awas, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 91689.
- 89 R. Gleiter and G. Haberhauer, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 7543.
- 90 J. F. Britten, A. W. Cordes, R. C. Haddon, M. E. Itkis, R. T. Oakley, R. W. Reed and C. M. Robertson, *CrystEngComm*, 2002, **4**, 205.
- 91 K. V. Shuvaev, A. Decken, F. Grein, T. S. M. Abedin, L. K. Thompson and J. Passmore, *Dalton Trans.*, 2008, **37**, 4029.
- 92 S. Menichetti, R. Amorati, V. Meoni, L. Tofani, G. Caminati and C. Viglianisi, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 5464.
- 93 Y. Fukata, K. Asano and S. Matsubara, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 5320.
- 94 D. Manna and G. Mugesh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 4269.
- 95 E. R. T. Robinson, D. M. Walden, C. Fallan, M. D. Greenhalgh, P. H.-Y. Cheong and A. D. Smith, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 6919.
- 96 P. Metrangolo and G. Resnati, *Nat. Chem.*, 2012, **4**, 437.
- 97 E. I. Carrera and D. S. Seferos, *Macromolecules*, 2015, **48**, 297.
- 98 A. A. Jahnke and D. S. Seferos, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2011, **32**, 943.
- 99 R. Gleiter, D. B. Werz and B. J. Rausch, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2003, **9**, 2676.
- 100 K. Kobayashi, H. Masu, A. Shuto and K. Yamaguchi, *Chem. Mater.*, 2005, **17**, 6666.
- 101 M. E. Brezgunova, J. Lieffrig, E. Aubert, S. Dahaoui, P. Fertey, S. Lebègue, J. G. Ángyán, M. Fourmigué and E. Espinosa, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2013, **13**, 3283.
- 102 M. Bai, S. P. Thomas, R. Kottokkaran, S. K. Nayak, P. C. Ramamurthy and T. N. G. Row, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2014, **14**, 459.
- 103 A. Alberola, D. J. Eisler, L. Harvey and J. M. Rawson, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, **13**, 1794.
- 104 C. P. Constantinides, D. J. Eisler, A. Alberola, E. Carter, D. M. Murphy and J. M. Rawson, *CrystEngComm*, 2014, **16**, 7298.
- 105 S. Chandra and A. Bhattacharya, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2016, **120**, 10057.
- 106 M. R. Koebel, A. Cooper, G. Schmadeke, S. Jeon, M. Narayan and S. Sirimulla, *J. Chem. Inf. Model.*, 2016, **56**, 2298.
- 107 Y. Yi, S. Fa, W. Cao, L. Zeng, M. Wang, H. Xu and X. Zhanga, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 7495.
- 108 R. L. Melen, R. J. Less, C. M. Pask and J. M. Rawson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, **55**, 11747.
- 109 R. Shukla and D. Chopra, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2016, **16**, 6734.
- 110 R. D. McCullough, *Adv. Mater.*, 1998, **10**, 93.
- 111 D. A. Haynes, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, **13**, 4793.
- 112 G. E. Garrett, G. L. Gibson, R. N. Straus, D. S. Seferos and M. S. Taylor, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 4126.
- 113 G. E. Garrett, E. I. Carrera, D. S. Seferos and M. S. Taylor, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 9881.
- 114 S. Benz, M. Macchione, Q. Verolet, J. Mareda, N. Sakai and S. Matile, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 9093.
- 115 R. J. Fick, G. M. Kroner, B. Nepal, R. Magnani, S. Horowitz, R. L. Houtz, S. Scheiner and R. C. Trievel, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2016, **11**, 748.
- 116 *Handbook of Chalcogen Chemistry: New Perspectives in Sulfur, Selenium and Tellurium*, ed. F. Devillanova and W.-W. D. Mont, The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, UK, 2nd edn, 2013, vol. 2.
- 117 J. J. Novoa, M. C. Rovira, C. Rovira, J. Veciana and J. Tarrés, *Adv. Mater.*, 1995, **7**, 233.
- 118 S. M. Parke, M. P. Boone and E. Rivard, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 9485.
- 119 A. Patra and M. Bendikov, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010, **20**, 422.
- 120 R. Gleiter and G. Haberhauer, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2017, **344**, 263.
- 121 F. Sun, H. Cheng, J. Chen, N. Zheng, Y. Li and J. Shi, *ACS Nano*, 2016, **10**, 8289.
- 122 C. Ataca, H. Şahin and S. Ciraci, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2012, **116**, 8983.
- 123 J. F. Corrigan, O. Fuhr and D. Fenske, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 1867.
- 124 C. Bleiholder, D. B. Werz, H. Köppel and R. Gleiter, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 2666.
- 125 M. Al-Hashimi, Y. Han, J. Smith, H. S. Bazzi, S. Y. A. Alqaradawi, S. E. Watkins, T. D. Anthopoulos and M. Heeney, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 1093.
- 126 H.-W. Lin, W.-Y. Lee, C. Lu, C.-J. Lin, H.-C. Wu, Y. W. Lin, B. Ahn, Y. Rho, M. Ree and W.-C. Chen, *Polym. Chem.*, 2012, **3**, 767.
- 127 H.-W. Lin, W.-Y. Lee and W.-C. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2012, **22**, 2120.
- 128 J. S. Ha, K. H. Kim and D. H. Choi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 10364.
- 129 M. Kaur, D. S. Yang, J. Shin, T. W. Lee, K. Choi, M. J. Cho and D. H. Choi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 5495.
- 130 B. Kim, H. R. Yeom, M. H. Yun, J. Y. Kim and C. Yang, *Macromolecules*, 2012, **45**, 8658.
- 131 A. K. Mahrok, E. I. Carrera, A. J. Tilley, S. Ye and D. S. Seferos, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 5475.
- 132 M. Planells, B. C. Schroeder and I. McCulloch, *Macromolecules*, 2014, **47**, 5889.
- 133 M. Mammi, R. Bardi, G. Traverso and S. Bezzi, *Nature*, 1961, **192**, 1282.
- 134 T. R. Lynch, I. P. Mellor, S. C. Nyburg and P. Yates, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1967, **8**, 373.
- 135 P. W. Anderson, P. A. Lee and M. Saitoh, *Solid State Commun.*, 1973, **13**, 595.
- 136 R. E. Rosenfield, R. Parthasarathy and J. D. Dunitz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1977, **99**, 4860.



- 137 T. N. G. Row and R. Parthasarathy, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1981, **103**, 477.
- 138 A. Chesney, M. R. Bryce, M. A. Chalton, A. S. Batsanov and J. A. K. Howard, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1996, **61**, 2877.
- 139 T. Chivers, I. Krouse, M. Parvez, I. Vargas-Baca, T. Ziegler and P. Zoricak, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 5836.
- 140 T. Kojima, K. Tanaka, T. Ishida and T. Nogami, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, **69**, 9319.
- 141 C. S. Clarke, S. I. Pascu and J. M. Rawson, *CrystEngComm*, 2004, **6**, 79.
- 142 J. Konu, M. Ahlgrén, S. M. Aucott, T. Chivers, S. H. Dale, M. R. J. Elsegood, K. E. Holmes, S. L. M. James, P. F. Kelly and R. S. Laitinen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, **44**, 4992.
- 143 A. F. Cozzolino, J. F. Britten and I. Vargas-Baca, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2006, **6**, 181.
- 144 A. Yu. Makarov, K. Tersago, K. Nivesanond, F. Blockhuys, C. Van Alsenoy, M. K. Kovalev, I. Yu. Bagryanskaya, Y. V. Gatilov, M. M. Shakirov and A. V. Zibarev, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **45**, 2221.
- 145 J. L. Brusso, S. Derakhshan, M. E. Itkis, H. Kleinke, R. C. Haddon, R. T. Oakley, R. W. Reed, J. F. Richardson, C. M. Robertson and L. K. Thompson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **45**, 10958.
- 146 E. Coronado, S. Curreli, C. Giménez-Saiz, C. J. Gómez-García and A. Alberola, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **45**, 10815.
- 147 D. B. Werz, F. R. Fischer, S. C. Kornmayer, F. Rominger and R. Gleiter, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **73**, 8021.
- 148 J. L. Dutton, C. D. Martin, M. J. Sgro, N. D. Jones and P. J. Ragona, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 3239.
- 149 C. Allen, D. A. Haynes, C. M. Pask and J. M. Rawson, *CrystEngComm*, 2009, **11**, 2048.
- 150 A. A. Leitch, X. Yu, C. M. Robertson, R. A. Secco, J. S. Tse and R. T. Oakley, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 9874.
- 151 A. F. Cozzolino and I. Vargas-Baca, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2011, **11**, 668.
- 152 T. Murata, Y. Yakiyama, K. Nakasuji and Y. Morita, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, **13**, 3689.
- 153 S. M. Mali, T. F. Schneider, A. Bandyopadhyay, S. V. Jadhav, D. B. Werz and H. N. Gopi, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2012, **12**, 5643.
- 154 F. R. Knight, R. A. M. Randall, K. S. A. Arachchige, L. Wakefield, J. M. Griffin, S. E. Ashbrook, M. Bühl, A. M. Z. Slawin and J. D. Woollins, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 11087.
- 155 Y. Beldjoudi, D. A. Haynes, J. J. Hayward, W. J. Manning, D. R. Pratte and J. M. Rawson, *CrystEngComm*, 2013, **15**, 1107.
- 156 J. Fanfrlík, A. Přáda, Z. Padělková, A. Pecina, J. Macháček, M. Lepšík, J. Holub, A. Růžička, D. Hnyk and P. Hobza, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 10139.
- 157 S. P. Thomas, K. Satheeshkumar, G. Mugesh and T. N. G. Row, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 6793.
- 158 V. P. Singh, J. Poon, R. J. Butcher, X. Lu, G. Mestres, M. K. Ott and L. Engman, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, **80**, 7385.
- 159 S. P. Thomas, S. P. K. P. Veccham, L. J. Farrugia and T. N. G. Row, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2015, **15**, 2110.
- 160 K. Suresh, V. S. Minkov, K. K. Namila, E. Derevyannikova, E. Losev, A. Nangia and E. V. Boldyreva, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2015, **15**, 3498.
- 161 S. Mondal, M. Konda, B. Kauffmann, M. K. Manna and A. K. Das, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2015, **15**, 5548.
- 162 I. S. Antonijević, G. V. Janjić, M. K. Milčić and S. D. Zarić, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2016, **16**, 632.
- 163 K. Eichstaedt, A. Wasilewska, B. Wicher, M. Gdaniec and T. Połośki, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2016, **16**, 1282.
- 164 K. Durka, K. Gontarczyk, S. Luliński, J. Serwatowski and K. Woźniak, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2016, **16**, 4292.
- 165 S. K. Rajagopal, P. S. Salini and M. Hariharan, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2016, **16**, 4567.
- 166 E. S. Yandanova, D. M. Ivanov, M. L. Kuznetsov, A. G. Starikov, G. L. Starova and V. Yu. Kukushkin, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2016, **16**, 2979.
- 167 M. M. Karjalainen, C. Sanchez-Perez, J. M. Rautiainen, R. Oilunkaniemi and R. S. Laitinen, *CrystEngComm*, 2016, **18**, 4538.
- 168 P. R. Prasad, K. Selvakumar, H. B. Singh and R. J. Butcher, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, **81**, 3214.
- 169 J. T. Goettel and M. Gerken, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, **55**, 12441.
- 170 J. Fanfrlík and D. Hnyk, *CrystEngComm*, 2016, **18**, 8982.
- 171 K. Dabrowa, M. Ceborska, M. Pawlak and J. Jurczak, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2017, **17**, 701.
- 172 J. O'. M. Bockris and A. K. N. Reddy, *Modern Electrochemistry: An Introduction to an Interdisciplinary Area*, Plenum Press, New York, 1970.
- 173 P. Metrangolo, H. Neukirch, T. Pilati and G. Resnati, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2005, **38**, 386.
- 174 G. Cavallo, P. Metrangolo, T. Pilati, G. Resnati, M. Sansotera and G. Terraneo, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 3772.
- 175 M. Erdélyi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 3547.
- 176 T. M. Beale, M. G. Chudzinski, M. G. Sarwar and M. S. Taylor, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 1667.
- 177 A. Priimagi, G. Cavallo, P. Metrangolo and G. Resnati, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 2686.
- 178 S. Scheiner, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 18850.
- 179 T. Suzuki, H. Fujii, Y. Yamashita, C. Kabuto, S. Tanaka, M. Harasawa, T. Mukai and T. Miyashi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1992, **114**, 3034.
- 180 T. Suzuki, *J. Synth. Org. Chem., Jpn.*, 1995, **53**, 403.
- 181 H. Zhao and F. Gabbai, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, **2**, 984.
- 182 M. Iwaoka and S. Tomoda, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 8077.
- 183 T. Suzuki, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1996, **68**, 281.
- 184 J. T. Davis, O. Okunola and R. Quesada, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 3843.
- 185 P. A. Gale, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2011, **44**, 216.
- 186 J. K. W. Chui and T. M. Fyles, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 148.
- 187 A. V. Jentzsch, D. Emery, J. Mareda, P. Metrangolo, G. Resnati and S. Matile, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 11675.
- 188 J. T. Davis, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, **2**, 516.

