ChemComm



COMMUNICATION

View Article Online



Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2024, **60**, 7057

Received 15th April 2024 Accepted 12th June 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4cc01780c

rsc.li/chemcomm

Electricity-driven, oxidative C-H selenylative and tellurylative annulation of N-(2-alkynyl)anilines: sustainable synthesis of 3-selanyl/tellanylquinolines†

Ainala Naresh, H. Sai Keerthana, Nilanjana Mukherjee and Tanmay Chatterjee +

A metal- and oxidant-free, radical C-H selenylative and tellurylative annulation of N-(2-alkynyl)anilines with diorganyl dichalcogenides is developed under electrochemical conditions for the sustainable synthesis of valuable 3-selanyl/tellanylquinolines up to 92% yield at room temperature. The developed protocol required only electricity as the green reagent and offers high atom economy, broad substrate scope, and efficient scalability.

Quinoline is commonly found in many natural products, such as alkaloids, and bioactive compounds with potential anticancer, antiviral, antiprotozoal, antimalarial, antibacterial, and antifungal properties.² Therefore, developing new synthetic techniques for efficient synthesis and modification of quinolines is a subject of great importance in synthetic organic and medicinal chemistry.³

Organoselenides have recently gained significant attention from the scientific community due to the unique chemical and biological properties of selenium. These compounds have shown promise as synthetic intermediates and precursors to therapeutic compounds and as biologically active agents for treating various ailments, from cancer to cardiovascular disease.⁵ Additionally, the distinctive redox properties of selenium have sparked interest in the field of materials and nanochemistry.6 On the other hand, organotellurides are also found to have therapeutic applications in autoimmune diseases, enzymatic inhibition, and other biological activities. However, the synthesis of organotellurides is underdeveloped. Until now, limited methods have been documented for synthesizing selanylquinolines from acyclic starting materials. Larock and colleagues' seminal work showcased the use of relatively hazardous and unstable PhSeBr in over stoichiometric (2 equiv.) amounts to enable the electrophilic cyclization of N-(2-alkynyl)anilines, resulting in the formation of 3-selanylquinolines (Scheme 1A).8 Subsequently, the Zeni group introduced an alternative method utilizing 1.5 equiv. iron(III) chloride and diorganyl diselenides to produce 3-selanylquinolines through electrophilic selenylative annulation of 2-aminoaryl-2-ynols (Scheme 1B).9 Recently, Lukesh and co-workers reported a radical selenylative annulation of N-(2-alkynyl)anilines with diorganyl diselenides using a hazardous metal-salt, CuCl2 in stoichiometric (1 equiv.) amounts and hazardous chlorinated solvent, DCM (Scheme 1C). 10 Despite notable advancement, the developed

Previous work Base-mediated electrophilic selenylative annulation -MeCN. rt $R^1 = H$, Br, CO_2Me ; $R^2 = Ph$, 4-OMe- C_6H_4 - limited substrate scope
 poor to moderate yield
 only selanylquinolines Iron (Fe)-mediated electrophilic chalcogenative annulation R³ OH eCl₃ (1.5 equiv) DCM, 40 °C `NH₂ $R^1 = H, CI, NO_2$ X = Se, 17 examples (30 - 78%) R^2 , R^3 , R^4 = aryl, alkyl Te, 1 example (25%) limited substrate scope ● poor yield ● poor atom economy ● Fe- and Se-based wastes Copper (Cu)-mediated radical selenylative annulation DCM, rt uL_n, R⁴SeH, H₂O 44 examples (23 - 94%) R^2 = (hetero)arvl R3 = (hetero)aryl, alkyl Cu- and Se-based wastes
 poor atom economy
 only selanylquinolines --- Metal-free, electricity-driven radical chalcogenative annulation This work CH₃CN, rt (0.5 equiv) 1.5 H₂ X = Se, 27 examples (52 - 92%) innocous waste • electricity as the only green reagent • high atom-economy • selanyl- and tellanylquinolines H₂ as the only innocous waste ● mild conditions ● broad substrate scope and higher yield

Pilani (BITS Pilani), Hyderabad Campus, Jawahar Nagar, Kapra Mandal, Hyderabad-500078, Telangana, India. E-mail: tanmay@hyderabad.bits-pilani.ac.in † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Optimization table, experimental procedures, mass spectrum of 10, cyclic voltammograms, calculation of faradaic efficiency, and copies of NMR spectra. See DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1039/d4cc01780c

‡ These authors contributed equally to the manuscript.

Scheme 1 Synthetic strategies to 3-chalcogenylquinolines.

Department of Chemistry, Birla Institute of Technology and Science,

Communication ChemComm

methods suffered from several serious limitations, such as (a) the requirement of hazardous PhSeBr or metallic reagents in over stoichiometric amounts, (b) limited substrate scope, (c) poor yield of products, (d) poor atom economy, (e) generation of Se- and/or Fe- and Cu-based stoichiometric waste. Moreover, these protocols were hardly employed for the tellurylative annulations and were only limited to synthesizing 3-selanyl-

Only one example of the synthesis of 2,4-diphenyl-3-(phenyltellanyl)quinoline was reported by the Zeni group; however, the yield was poor (25%).9 Hence, developing a metal- and stoichiometric reagentfree, sustainable synthetic strategy for synthesizing both 3-selanyland 3-tellanylquinolines is highly desirable, and we became interested in developing the same as a part of our continued interest in developing sustainable chalcogenative C-H annulation and functionalization reactions. 11 Recently, electro-organic synthesis has received tremendous attention from synthetic organic chemists. 12 Consequently, several electrochemical seleno-functionalization reactions have been developed so far for the synthesis of organoselenides. 13 Herein, we report a metal- and oxidant-free, highly atom-economic, scalable, and sustainable synthetic strategy for the oxidative C-H

annulation of N-(2-alkynyl)anilines with diorganyl diselenides and diorganyl ditellurides under electrochemical conditions to access 3-selanyl- and 3-tellanylquinolines, which eventually has overcome the limitations of the previously developed protocols.

We commenced our investigation with N-(3-phenylprop-2-yn-1yl)aniline 1a using 0.5 equiv. diphenyl diselenide 2a in an undivided cell under constant current electrolysis (Table S1 in ESI†). When the reaction mixture was electrolyzed using 5 mA constant current in the presence of a Pt-anode, graphite (C_{gr}) cathode, and LiClO₄ (0.25 equiv.) in MeCN (0.03 M) for 3 h, gratifyingly, the desired product, 4-phenyl-3-(phenylselanyl)quinoline 3aa was formed in 92% yield (entry 1, Table S1, ESI†) with 49.32% faradaic efficiency and no other byproduct was formed except H2 as confirmed by the crude NMR (ESI†). While changing the cathode material from C_{gr} to Pt did not significantly affect the reaction outcome, switching the anode material from Pt to C_{gr} or Ni-foam had an adverse impact on the yield (entries 2-5 vs. 1, Table S1, ESI†). Altering the electrolyte from LiClO₄ to ⁿBu₄NBr, Et₄NBr, ⁿBu₄NClO₄, ⁿBu₄NPF₆, and KI, as well as changing the solvent from MeCN to EtOH, PrOH, DMF, and water, resulted in a decrease in the reaction yield (entries 6-14 vs. 1, Table S1, ESI†). Furthermore, the optimal loading of 0.5 equiv. of 2a and

Table 1 Substrate scope for the electrochemical synthesis of 3-selanylquinolines and 3-tellanylquinolines^{at}

R ₃ Cell C								
Synthesis of 3-selanylquinolines								
Ph Se _{Ph}	Se _{ph}	Br Se _{Ph}	Ph Se _{Ph}	MeOOC Ph	Se _{Ph} Me	Ph Se _{Ph}	Se _{Ph} Se _{Ph}	Se _{Ph}
3aa , 88%	3ba, 83%	3ca, 80%	3da , 78%	3ea, 80%		3fa, 60%	3ga, 53%	3ha, 64%
			variation in R ²		variation in R³			
F Ph Se Ph and F (formed fr	Ph Se Ph	Ph Se _{Ph}	Ph Se _{Ph} CH ₃	Ph Se _{Ph}	CF ₃	OMe	Sep	CN Se _{Ph}
3ia, 50%	3ia', 29%	• • • • •		21 222	N	N	N	N
510 , 5576		3ja , 65%	3ka, 72%	3la, 61%	3ma, 85%	3na, 68%	3oa, 77%	3pa, 0%
Me Se _{Ph}	variation in R ⁴	Ph Se F	Ph Se	Ph N	Se CF ₃	Ph Se	Me C	Ph Se OMe
3qa, 56% 3ab, 55% 3ac, 68% 3ad, 70% 3ae, 60%						3af , 85		3ag, 91%
Synthesis of 3-tellanylquinolines								
Ph Se Ph Se Ph Se Ph Se Ph Se Ph Te								
3ah, 81%	3ai , 76%	3aj , 92%	3ak , 85%	3al, 0%		, 90%	5ba, 92%	5ca, 85%
Me Ph Te p	Ph Te ph Sha, 72%	F Ph Te Ph and F (formed from 1 5ia, 58%		Ph Te Ph 5ra, 63%	Ph Te Ph Me 5ka, 57%	variation in OMe Te Ph	Br Te ph	unsuccessful synthesis of 3- sulfenylquinolines

^a Reaction conditions: 1 (0.5 mmol), 2 or 4a (0.275 mmol, 0.5 equiv.), LiClO₄ (0.0125 mmol), MeCN (10 mL), Pt-anode (1 × 1 cm²), graphite sheet $(1 \times 1.5 \text{ cm}^2)$ cathode, constant current, I = 5 mA, r.t., aerobic atmosphere. ^b Isolated yield.

ChemComm

through a Cu-catalyzed C-H amination reaction with piperidine to access 8 in 67% yield, which after deoxygenation by PCl₃ furnished 9 in 54% yield. Synthesis of 2-aminoquinolines is important as they are prevalent in various alkaloids and bioactive molecules. 15

(entries 15-18 vs. 1, Table S1, ESI†). The optimal reaction time and constant current were identified as 3 hours and 5 mA, respectively (entries 19-21 vs. 1, Table S1, ESI†). Control experiments emphasized the essential roles of electrolyte and electricity in the reaction (entries 22 and 23, Table S1, ESI†). Next, we explored the scope of the selenylative C-H annulation reaction of N-(2alkynyl)anilines 1 with diorganyl diselenides 2 under the optimized conditions (Table 1). The model reaction between 1a and 2a furnished 3aa in an 88% isolated yield. Ortho- and para-halogen (I, Br, Cl, and F), electron-withdrawing (Ph and CO₂Et), and electron donating (Me and OMe) group substituted N-(2-alkynyl)anilines underwent the reaction with 2a smoothly to furnish the desired products (3aa-3ha) in moderate to good yield (53-88%). When metafluoro-substituted N-(2-alkynyl)aniline (1i) reacted with 2a, a mixture of regioisomers, i.e., 3ia and 3ia', was formed in 52% and 30% yield, respectively. However, meta-tert-butyl-substituted N-(2-alkynyl)aniline (1i) furnished only 3ia regioselectively, as controlled by the bulk of the ^tBu-group. Highly substituted quinolines, i.e., 3ka and 3la, were formed from N-(1-substituted-2-alkynyl)anilines under standard conditions. Next, we explored the substrate scope with the variation in the alkyne part, and both electron-withdrawing (CF₃) and electrondonating (OMe) group substituted N-(2-alkynyl-3-aryl)anilines furnished the desired products (3ma-3oa) in good yield. However, 4-(3-(phenylamino)prop-1-yn-1-yl)benzonitrile did not furnish 3pa. Moreover, 4-methyl-3-(phenylselanyl)quinoline 3qa was formed in 60% yield from N-(but-2-yn-1-yl)aniline. The scope of the diorganyl diselenides was found to be good as both the diaryl diselenide, bearing either a halogen (Br, Cl, F), or a strong electron-withdrawing (CF₃) or electron-donating (OMe) group, and also dialkyl diselenides smoothly reacted with 1a to furnish the desired products (3ab-3ai and 3aj-3ak) in moderate to good yields, except for 1,2-bis(4nitrophenyl)diselane (3al). Subsequently, we investigated the scope of the tellurylative C-H annulation of 1a with diphenyl ditelluride 4a, and, to our delight, the desired product, 4-phenyl-3-(phenyltellanyl)quinoline 5aa was formed in an excellent yield (90%) under standard conditions (Table 1). The versatility of the electrochemical tellurylative C-H annulation was notable as various substituted N-(2alkynyl)anilines reacted with 4a to afford the desired 3-tellanylquinolines (5ba-5sa) in satisfactory to excellent yields (60-92%), regardless of the type and position of the substituents. As predicted, 1i yielded a mixture of regioisomers 5ia and 5ia' in 58% and 33%, respectively. Unfortunately, the C-H sulfenylative annulation of 1a

0.25 equiv. of LiClO₄ was determined to be the most effective

Several experiments have been conducted to get insights into the reaction mechanism. In the presence of a radical quencher, i.e., BHT or 1,1-diphenylethene (DPE), the model reaction furnished no 3aa, which suggested that the reaction proceeded via a free-radical pathway (Scheme 3A). Moreover, the detection and isolation of the radical adduct 10 revealed the involvement of a PhSe. radical as the key intermediate (Fig. S1, ESI†). In the absence of 2a, 1a was oxidized to (Z)-N,3-diphenylprop-2-yn-1-imine 11 (Scheme 3B). Then, 11 was subjected to reaction with 2a under electrochemical conditions, and 3aa was formed in 82% yield, revealing 11 as an intermediate of the reaction. The cyclic voltammetry (CV) experiments (Scheme 3C) revealed that the half-wave oxidation potentials $(E_{p/2})$ of diphenyl diselenide 2a ($E_{\rm p/2}$ = 1.04 V) and diphenyl ditelluride 4a $(E_{\rm p/2} = 0.86 \text{ V})$ are lower than that of the imine 11 $(E_{\rm p/2} = 1.43 \text{ V})$. However, the half-wave first oxidation potential of **1a** ($E_{\rm p/2} = 0.68 \, \rm V$) is quite a bit lower than that of 2a and 4a. Based on these experimental results and previous reports, ^{13b} we proposed that **1a** first oxidized to 11 in situ and then 2a or 4a underwent preferential one-electron oxidation at the anode to furnish the key PhSe. radical II (Scheme 3D). Then II was added to 11 to form the vinyl radical III, which, after cyclization followed by anodic oxidation and deprotonation, furnished the desired product (3aa or 5aa). However, according to CV analysis of electron-withdrawing group (I, Br, F, and CO₂Me) substituted N-(2-alkynyl)anilines (Fig S2, ESI†), it is more likely that 2a or 4a, having lower $E_{p/2}$ than that of these substrates, first underwent preferential oxidation at the anode to form the chalcogenyl radical (PhX.) which after radical addition and annulation formed IX. Finally, IX underwent electrochemical oxidation to form the desired product. The half-wave oxidation potential of diphenyl disulfide, (PhS)₂ ($E_{p/2} = 1.53 \text{ V}$), is found to be much higher than that of 11 and 1a (Fig. S2, ESI†), which could be the


To showcase the practicality of the protocol, a gram-scale synthesis involving compounds 1a (1 g, 4.82 mmol) and 2a was conducted using the standard conditions, resulting in the formation of 1.6 g (4.1 mmol) 3aa in an 85% yield (Scheme 2A). To demonstrate the application of the developed protocol, 3aa was synthetically diversified to various new classes of molecules via controlled oxidation, C-H amination, and deoxygenation reactions, as presented in Scheme 2B. 11d,14 When 3aa was treated with Oxone, only chemoselective oxidation of selenium occurred leading to the selective synthesis of 6 in 72% yield; however, in the presence of m-CPBA, 7 was formed selectively in 70% yield. Subsequently, 7 was further modified

with various diaryl disulfides (R = H, Cl, OMe) under stand-

ard conditions did not furnish the desired 3-sulfenylquinolines.


In conclusion, we developed a highly atom-economic, costeffective, scalable, and sustainable synthetic strategy for the selenylative and tellurylative C-H annulation of readily available

cause of the failure of the reaction.



Scheme 2 Gram-scale synthesis of 3aa and synthetic diversification.

Communication ChemComm



Scheme 3 Mechanistic studies and plausible reaction mechanisms

N-(2-alkynyl)anilines with diorganyl dichalcogenides to access a wide variety of valuable 3-selanyl/tellanylquinolines under electrochemical conditions. Electricity was used as the only green reagent, and hydrogen was formed as the only innocuous byproduct. Mechanistic studies revealed a radical pathway, and 3aa was synthetically diversified to other quinoline derivatives. This protocol also serves as a unique strategy to access 3-tellanylquinolines, which has been underdeveloped to date.

We thank SERB [File no. CRG/2023/003045] and CSIR [File no. 02(0390)/21/EMR-II], Govt. of India for financial support.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.†

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- 1 J. P. Michael, Nat. Prod. Rep., 1997, 14, 605.
- 2 (a) V. R. Solomon and H. Lee, Curr. Med. Chem., 2011, 18, 1488–1508; (b) N. Shobeiri, M. Rashedi, F. Mosaffa, A. Zarghi, M. Ghandadi, A. Ghasemi and R. Ghodsi, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2016, 114, 14-23;
 - (c) R. Kaur and K. Kumar, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2021, 215, 113220;
 - (d) A. Dorababu, ChemistrySelect, 2021, 6, 2164-2177; (e) C. W. Wright, J. A. Kyereme and A. G. Breen, J. Med. Chem., 2001, 44, 3187-3194; (f) P. Grellier, L. Ramiaramanana and V. Millerioux, *Phytother. Res.*, 1996, 10, 317-321; (g) A. Dorababu, Arch. Pharm., 2021, 354, 2000232.
- 3 S. M. Prajapati, K. D. Patel, R. H. Vekariya, S. N. Panchal and H. D. Patel, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 24463-24476.
- 4 R. Naithani, Mini-Rev. Med. Chem., 2008, 8, 657-668.
- 5 D. Plano, D. N. Karelia, M. K. Pandey, J. E. Spallholz, S. Amin and A. K. Sharma, J. Med. Chem., 2016, 59, 1946-1959.

- 6 W. Huang, M. Wang, L. Hu, C. Wang, Z. Xie and H. Zhang, Adv. Funct. Mater., 2020, 30, 2003301.
- 7 (a) G. Halpret and B. Sredni, Autoimmun. Rev., 2014, 13, 1230-1235; (b) S. E. C. P. M. S. Maluf, F. P. Melo, M. L. Varotti, R. Gazarini, L. O. R. Cunha and A. K. Carmona, *Parasitol. Int.*, 2016, **65**, 20–22: (c) A. Albeck, H. Weitman, B. Sredni and M. Albeck, Inorg. Chem., 1998, 37, 1704-1712.
- 8 X. Zhang, M. A. Campo, X. T. Yao and R. C. Larock, Org. Lett., 2005, 7,
- 9 X. Zhang, M. A. Campo, X. T. Yao and R. C. Larock, Tetrahedron, 2010, 66, 1177-1187.
- 10 C. Zhu, M. Nurko, C. S. Day and J. C. Lukesh, III, J. Org. Chem., 2022, 87, 8390-8395.
- 11 (a) N. Mukherjee and T. Chatterjee, J. Org. Chem., 2021, 86, 7881-7890; (b) N. Mukherjee and T. Chatterjee, Green Chem., 2021, 23, 10006–10013; (c) N. Mukherjee, A. N. V. Satyanarayana, P. Singh, M. Dixit and T. Chatterjee, Green Chem., 2022, 24, 7029-7038; (d) A. N. V. Satyanarayana, N. Mukherjee and T. Chatterjee, Green Chem., 2023, 25, 779; (e) N. Mukherjee and T. Chatterjee, Green Chem., 2023, 25, 8798-8807.
- 12 (a) M. Yan, Y. Kawamata and P. S. Baran, Chem. Rev., 2017, 117, 13230-13319; (b) C. Zhu, N. W. J. Ang, T. H. Meyer, Y. Qiu and L. Ackermann, ACS Cent. Sci., 2021, 7, 415-431; (c) G. M. Martins, G. C. Zimmer, S. R. Mendes and N. Ahmed, Green Chem., 2020, 22, 4849; (d) J. Liu, J. P. Wan and Y. Liu, Org. Chem. Front., 2024, 11, 597; (e) J. Liu, M. Wang, L. Lia and L. Wang, Green Chem., 2021, 23, 4733-4740.
- 13 (a) P. Qu, Y. Q. Jiang, Y. H. Wang and G. Q. Liu, Green Chem., 2023, 25, 7485; (b) N. Mukherjee and T. Chatterjee, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2023, 365, 2255-2263; (c) A. B. Dapkekar and Satyanarayana, Commun., 2023, 59, 8719; Chem.(d) A. Chouhan, K. Ucheniya, L. Yadav, P. K. Jat, A. Gurjar and S. S. Badsara, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2023, 21, 7643; (e) R. Reddy and D. H. Kolgave, J. Org. Chem., 2021, 86, 17071-17081; (f) S. Mallick, T. Mandal, N. Kumari, L. Roy and S. D. Sarkar, Chem. - Eur. J., 2024, 30, e202304002
- (a) C. Zhu, M. Yi, D. Wei, X. Chen, T. Wu and X. Cui, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 1840-1843; (b) H. Hwang, J. Kim, J. Jeong and S. Chang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 10770-10776.
- 15 A. Vashistha, S. Kumar, S. Kirar, N. Sharma, B. Das, U. C. Banerjee, S. V. Pawar, R. Kumar and A. K. Yadav, Comput. Biol. Chem., 2023, 102, 107807.