

Cite this: *Mater. Adv.*, 2021,
2, 942Received 10th August 2020,
Accepted 2nd January 2021

DOI: 10.1039/d0ma00589d

rsc.li/materials-advances

L-Tyrosine derived fluorescent molecular probes as solvent mediated flip-flop halide (iodide/fluoride) sensors and reversible chromogenic pH indicators†

Navnita Kumar‡ and Sanjay K. Mandal *

In this work, we have developed two single-molecular probes, (S)-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-((4-nitrobenzyl)amino)propanoic acid (H₂Tyr-4-nitro, **1) and (S)-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-((3-nitrobenzyl)amino)propanoic acid (H₂Tyr-3-nitro, **2**), from cheap and readily available L-tyrosine and demonstrated their use as (i) a solvent mediated differential fluorescent sensor for iodide in aqueous methanol and fluoride in aqueous DMSO and (ii) a reversible chromogenic pH indicator in DMSO. A flip-flop halide sensor also acting as a pH indicator is unprecedented.**

In recent years, the progress in supramolecular chemistry has opened new avenues in the field of sensing multiple analytes and physical observables (pH, temperature, *etc.*) using a single-molecular probe. However, the complexity related to the working of these probes makes their obtainment quite challenging. Only few multiple sensing fluorescent probes have been reported for the ratiometric detection of different cations¹ or different anions² or cation with anions³ or metal-ions along with proteins or amino acids or sugar⁴ along with physical parameters like temperature.⁵ A few solvent mediated multiple-analyte sensors has been reported.⁶ On the other hand, some probes acting as pH indicators have also been documented.⁷ However, to the best of our knowledge, a single-molecular probe acting as both a solvent mediated differential halide sensor and an optical pH indicator is unprecedented. The design of such new multiple-action molecular probes is important for budding new tools for sensing multiple analytes and observables and for the basic understanding of the mechanism of their action. We initiated our work towards this direction by formulating L-tyrosine derived fluorescent probes and exploring their role as halide sensors and pH indicators. Two fluorophores (phenol and 3- or 4-nitrobenzyl groups)

that have been judiciously put together in a single molecule are attributed to its triple mode of action. Herein, we report simple L-tyrosine derived fluorescent probes (S)-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-((4-nitrobenzyl)amino)propanoic acid (H₂Tyr-4-nitro, **1**)⁸ and (S)-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-((3-nitrobenzyl)amino)propanoic acid (H₂Tyr-3-nitro, **2**) and their abilities to act as solvent mediated differential halide fluorescent sensors and reversible chromogenic pH indicators. The functionalization of the L-tyrosine introduces an enhancement of the fluorescence intensity similar to the enhancement reported in the literature.⁹ These are synthesized *via* the combination of L-tyrosine and 4-nitrobenzaldehyde or 3-nitrobenzaldehyde moieties, respectively, in a single pot (see experimental details and Scheme S1, ESI†). In each case, the synthesis starts with the condensation of monosodium salt of L-tyrosine (prepared *in situ* from L-tyrosine and NaOH) with the corresponding aldehyde in a methanol: water mixture (v/v 1:1). The resultant Schiff base is further reduced using sodium borohydride. The desired product is obtained by the addition of glacial acetic acid to the sodium salt of the reduced Schiff base in 80% yield. These are fully characterized using M.P., ¹H NMR and FTIR spectroscopy, and HRMS data (see ESI†).

The photo-excitation of **1** in aq. DMSO at 220 nm yields a fluorescence spectrum with an emission maximum at 365 nm. On adding various anions, a significant change was observed in the fluorescence intensity (an enhancement) of the probe only for fluoride ion (Fig. S1, ESI†). To analyze the role of a solvent in anion sensing, fluorescence spectrum of **1** in aq. methanol was desired. However, insolubility of **1** in aq. methanol inhibited us from doing so. On the other hand, utilization of the fact that the monosodium salt of **1** is soluble in both aq. DMSO and aq. methanol solves the problem. When excited at 220 nm, the fluorescence spectrum of monosodium salt of **1** in aq. methanol (**1a**) shows an emission maximum at 320 nm with a hump at 365 nm while in aq. DMSO (**1b**) shows an emission maximum at 365 nm. On adding various anions, **1a** shows selective quenching of fluorescence intensity by iodide ion whereas **1b** shows selective enhancement of fluorescence intensity by fluoride anion (Fig. 1).

Department of Chemical Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Mohali, Sector 81, Manauli PO, S.A.S. Nagar, Mohali, Punjab 140306, India. E-mail: sanjaymandal@iisermohali.ac.in

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details, Fig. S1–S23, Tables S1–S12 and Schemes S1, S2. See DOI: 10.1039/d0ma00589d

‡ Current address: Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California Los Angeles, 607 Charles E. Young Drive East Box 951569, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1569, USA.






Fig. 1 Quenching of fluorescence in **1a** upon the addition of iodide ion and enhancement of fluorescence in **1b** upon the addition of fluoride ion.

With the subsequent addition of iodide to **1a**, a sequential decrease in the main peak at 320 nm and an increase in the hump at 365 nm are observed (Fig. S2, ESI[†]). The percentage decrease at 320 nm is well observed (Fig. S3, ESI[†]). On the other hand, an addition of fluoride ion to **1b** gradually increases its fluorescence intensity at 365 nm (Fig. S4, ESI[†]). The increase in the fluorescence intensity of **1b** is a two-step process (Fig. S5, ESI[†]) indicating the involvement of two different interactions at different concentrations (*vide infra*). In the case of **1a** and **1b**, the detection limit for iodide ion is 3.28 ppm (2.59×10^{-5} M) (see Fig. S6 and Tables S1, S2, ESI[†]) and for fluoride ion is 0.14 ppm (7.28×10^{-6} M), respectively (see Fig. S7 and Tables S3, S4, ESI[†]). These values are comparable to those reported in the literature for each analyte.¹⁰ The homologous nature of the anion sensing abilities of **1** and its anionic form **1b** in aq. DMSO nullifies the role of sodium ion and strengthens the part played by the solvent in sensing various halides. This differential halide ion sensing using the same probe in different solvents can be accredited to the variation in the orientation of the probe depending on the extent and type of interaction between both the probe and the halide ion with a particular solvent, which further depends on the polarity of the solvent.

Having established the solvent-dependent sensing behaviour of **1**, for the positional effect of the nitro group, a second probe H₂Tyr-3-nitro (**2**) was considered under similar conditions. When excited at 220 nm, the fluorescence spectrum of monosodium salt of **2** in aq. methanol (**2a**) shows a peak at 310 nm with a hump at 365 nm while in aq. DMSO (**2b**) shows a peak at 368 nm. On adding one equivalent of iodide ion to **2a**, a much higher fluorescence quenching (68%) compared to that of **1a** (43%) is observed (Fig. S8, ESI[†]). Similarly, the addition of one equivalent of fluoride ion to **2b** causes 188% enhancement in fluorescence intensity compared to 72% by **1b** (Fig. S9, ESI[†]). This indicates that the sensitivity of **2b** is much more towards fluoride ions than **1b**. On successive addition of iodide to **2a** and fluoride to **2b** further changes in the intensity of the probe are seen (Fig. S10 and S11, ESI[†]). However, for **2a** and **2b**, the detection limits are

4.66 ppm (3.67×10^{-5} M) for iodide (see Fig. S12 and Tables S5, S6, ESI[†]) and 0.19 ppm (1.01×10^{-5} M) for fluoride, (see Fig. S13 and Tables S7, S8, ESI[†]), respectively, which are quite similar to those of **1a** and **1b**. Based on the above data **2a/2b** is slightly better than **1a/1b**, emphasizing that both fluoride and iodide ions interact with the nitrobenzyl group of the probes where a stronger positive dipole is generated in **2a/2b** compared to that in **1a/1b** due to the *meta* vs. *para* position of the nitro group.

For a deeper understanding of the mechanism of this differential sensing, a titration between the anions and the probe was performed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The ¹H NMR titrimetry (see Fig. 2) between iodide ion and NaHTyr-4-nitro in CD₃OD shows a downfield shift of all the aromatic protons (including protons of both nitrobenzyl and phenyl rings) whereas that between fluoride ion and NaHTyr-4-nitro in (CD₃)₂SO shows an upfield shift of the protons of nitrobenzyl rings with a slight change in the protons of the phenyl ring (peaks at 6.65). Hence, the fluoride sensing can be ascribed to the anion- π interaction¹¹ between fluoride ion and the nitrobenzyl ring along with the hydrogen bonding between the NH group and fluoride ion. The presence of both these interactions further supports the two-step process observed in the enhancement of fluorescence intensity suggesting an increase in the electron density of the nitrobenzyl ring due to through-bond effects on the addition of fluoride ion to **1b** and thus supports an upfield shift of protons as shown in Fig. 2 (bottom). The anion- π interaction is more prominent in DMSO, as it does not interact with any halide and thus enhances the anion- π interaction. However, in the case of methanol the interaction between the solvent and the halide (especially fluoride due to its small size and high electro negativity) diminishes the extent of anion- π interactions. The iodide ion being larger in size does not show much interaction with the solvent. The formation of a hydrophobic cavity by the probe in methanol (*vide infra*) helps in iodide sensing and also brings a downfield shift of all aromatic protons (through-space effects), which polarize the C-H bonds in proximity to the hydrogen bond, creating the partial positive charge. The ¹H NMR






Fig. 2 ^1H NMR titrimetry of NaHTyr-4-nitro with KI (top) and KF (bottom) as an analyte in CD_3OD and $(\text{CD}_3)_2\text{SO}$, respectively.

shift observed in each case can be further enlightened by the predicted structures obtained through MM2 calculation (Chem 3D) for energy minimization. As can be seen in Fig. S14 in the ESI,[†] the formation of hydrogen bonds in methanol brings two molecules of the probe together forming a cavity (which is well observed in the space-fill model). This cavity is suitable for the iodide to fit in, and thus, the decrease in the electron density of the aromatic region causes a deshielding effect. On the other hand, in DMSO no such cavity is observed in the structure of the probe (see Fig. S15, ESI[†]) and thus the fluoride ion is being sensed by the anion- π interaction. With the above-mentioned facts, a probable mechanism for the solvent assisted differential sensing of halides is summarized in Scheme S2 (ESI[†]).

From the NMR titrimetry as well as MM2 calculation it is clear that in iodide sensing both nitrobenzyl and phenol groups of the probe contribute equally while for fluoride sensing the

role of the nitrobenzyl group appears to be more important compared to that of the phenol group. To consolidate this fact, the hydroxy group in **1** was replaced with a hydrogen to obtain (*S*)-2-((4-nitrobenzyl)amino)-3-phenylpropanoic acid (HPhe4-nitro, **3**); the details of its synthesis and characterization are provided in the ESI.[†] On excitation at 220 nm, the fluorescence spectrum of monosodium salt of **3** in aq. methanol (**3a**) shows peaks at 338 nm and 362 nm while in aq. DMSO (**3b**) shows a peak at 365 nm. The change in the fluorescence intensity of **3a** due to iodide ion and that of **3b** due to fluoride ion is shown in Fig. S16–S19 in the ESI.[†] For **3a/3b**, the detection limits are 11.77 ppm (9.27×10^{-5} M) for iodide (see Fig. S20 and Tables S9, S10, ESI[†]) and 0.58 ppm (3.04×10^{-5} M) for fluoride (see Fig. S21 and Tables S11, S12, ESI[†]), respectively.

A comparison of the halide sensing abilities of the three probes in aq. DMSO and aq. methanol (Fig. 3) clearly indicates




Fig. 3 Comparison of halide sensing abilities of (a) **1a**, **2a** and **3a** in aq. methanol and (b) **1b**, **2b** and **3b** in aq. DMSO.






Fig. 4 Change in color of the DMSO solution of H₂Tyr-4-nitro at different pH values.

the superiority of the L-tyrosine based sensor over the L-phenylalanine based sensor in both fluoride and iodide sensing. Practically, these probes can be used to detect urinary iodine concentration, which is generally detected using a very complex Sandell-Kolthoff method.¹² The aq. methanolic solution of probes would be an easy way for the detection of urinary iodide concentrations. The aq. DMSO solution of these probes can also be used to detect fluoride ions in ground water as higher concentrations of fluoride can cause great damage to dental structures.¹³

Apart from being flip-flop sensors, **1** and **2** also act as optical pH indicators. These are the first examples of flip-flop sensors also acting as pH indicators. The effect of pH on the absorption response of probes **1** and **2** in DMSO was analysed in the pH range of 2–14. At pH 2 the color of the DMSO solution of **1** and **2** is yellow and at pH greater than 9 it is pink (Fig. 4). A distinct change in the color with a change in pH that is visible to the naked eye clearly makes probe **1** a good contender for a broad range pH indicator, especially the intense color change from

acidic pH to basic pH and *vice versa* (going from yellow to pink in color and *vice versa*).

The absorption spectrum of **1** at pH 9 shows a peak at 512 nm while this peak is not observed at pH 2 (Fig. S22, ESI[†]). Similarly, a peak at 574 nm in the absorption spectrum of basic solution of **2** diminishes at acidic pH (Fig. S23, ESI[†]). This variation in the absorption maxima of **1** and **2** is attributed to the change in the electronic distribution in the probe due to the positional effect of the nitro group.

This pH dependent change in color (Fig. 5) and the UV-vis absorption pattern (Fig. 6) were reversible even after several cycles of chronological alternative addition of HCl and NaOH. This suggests that both **1** and **2** in DMSO are reversible optical pH indicators. To verify the stability of the chromogenic sensor towards pH variation, its DMSO solution was adjusted back and forth between pH 5 and pH 9 with HCl and NaOH solutions, respectively. The corresponding UV pattern indicates the good sensitivity of the material towards pH switching (Fig. 6). The pH indicator is quite stable in this pH range. It is also clear that the pH of the medium can be switched to acidic and basic range several times repeatedly without much degradation of its changing chromogenic character.

Similar to a few pH indicators reported earlier, indicators **1** and **2** also consist of both an acidic H-bond donor moiety and a basic H-bond acceptor moiety. The hydrogen bonding ability (or deprotonation/protonation ability) of the indicator may fine-tune its internal charge transfer (ICT) state responsible for the distinct color change.^{7e,f} The attachment of a 4-nitrobenzyl group to L-tyrosine further enhances the effect, and thus the naked eye chromogenic effect can be ascribed to it.




Fig. 5 Visual reversible changes in the DMSO solution of (a) probe **1** and (b) probe **2**.





Fig. 6 Reversible changes in the UV-absorption of DMSO solution of (a) probe **1** at 512 nm and (b) probe **2** at 574 nm.





Scheme 1 Mechanism of the role played by **1** as a pH indicator.

A probable mechanism of the pH indicating behavior of **1** is summarized in Scheme 1. The pH of the creamish DMSO solution of H₂Tyr-4-nitro (**1**) is ~5. On addition of a base, the deprotonation of the carboxylic acid (more acidic than phenol) of the sensor takes place (pH ~ 9) giving rise to a colorless solution. On further addition of the base, the pH rises above 9 and the solution turns pink due to the deprotonation of the phenolic group of the sensor. On the other hand, in an acidic DMSO solution (pH < 2), the amino group of **1** is protonated, generating a yellow color. The mechanism can be corroborated with the pK_a values of tyrosine as well. In L-tyrosine, the pK_{a1} of the α-CO₂H group is 2.20, pK_{a2} of the α-NH₃⁺ group is 9.11 and the pK_a of the phenolic group (sidechain) is 10.11. A similar mechanism for **2** is expected.

In conclusion, two new L-tyrosine derived fluorescent probes, H₂Tyr-4-nitro (probe **1**) and H₂Tyr-3-nitro (probe **2**), were synthesized and explored for their unique applications. Both the probes act as an iodide sensor in aq. methanol and a fluoride sensor in aq. DMSO. The homologous nature of the anion sensing abilities of **1** or **2** and its monosodium salt nullifies the role of sodium ion and strengthens the part played by the solvent. Fluoride sensing is accredited to the anion-π interaction and hydrogen bonding, whereas iodide sensing is via a hydrophobic cavity formation, which is supported by the NMR titrimetry and MM2 calculations, providing a clear understanding of the process. The positional effect of a nitro group in the benzyl part of the probes (*para* vs. *meta*) is evaluated as well. Using the same probe to detect two different anions by just changing the solvent of the solution makes these probes efficient, cost effective and multifunctional. In addition to this, both **1** and **2** in DMSO also act as reversible broad range optical pH indicators. An acid-base pH conversion or *vice versa* is clearly visible to the naked eye (yellow to pink or *vice versa*). This further adds another dimension to the multi-functionality of these probes.

Funding for this work was provided by IISER Mohali. N. K. is grateful to MHRD, India, for a research fellowship. Both fluorescence and NMR facilities at IISER Mohali are gratefully acknowledged.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- (a) Q. Tang, S. Liu, Y. Liu, J. Miao, S. Li, L. Zhang, Z. Shi and Z. Zheng, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 2799–2801; (b) S. A. El-Safty, A. A. Ismail, H. Matsunaga, T. Hanaoka and F. Mizukami, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2008, **18**, 1485–1500; (c) Y. Li, M. Ashizawa, S. Uchida and T. Michinobu, *Polym. Chem.*, 2012, **3**, 1996–2005; (d) D. Jiménez, R. Martínez-Mañez, F. Sancenón and J. Soto, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2004, **45**, 1257–1259; (e) I. H. Komatsu, D. Citterio, Y. Fujiwara, K. Minamihashi, Y. Araki, M. Hagiwara and K. Suzuki, *Org. Lett.*, 2005, **7**, 2857–2859; (f) D. Mikami, T. Ohki, K. Yamaji, S. Ishihara, D. Citterio, M. Hagiwara and K. Suzuki, *Anal. Chem.*, 2004, **76**, 5726–5733; (g) M. Schmittel and H.-W. Lin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 893–896.
- (a) R. M. Duke, E. B. Veale, F. M. Pfeffer, P. E. Krugerc and T. Gunnlaugsson, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2010, **39**, 3936–3953; (b) T. Ema, K. Okuda, S. Watanabe, T. Yamasaki, T. Minami, N. A. Esipenko and P. Anzenbacher, Jr., *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 1302–1305; (c) P. A. Gale and C. Caltagirone, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 4212–4227; (d) J. J. Lavigne and E. V. Anslyn, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 3118–3130.
- (a) J. Wu, B. Kwon, W. Liu, E. V. Anslyn, P. Wang and J. S. Kim, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 7893–7943; (b) S. Goswami, S. Das, K. Aich, D. Sarkar and T. K. Mondal, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2013, **54**, 6892–6896; (c) Z. Dong, X. Le, P. Zhou, C. Dong and J. Ma, *New J. Chem.*, 2014, **38**, 1802–1808; (d) D. Guo, Z. Dong, C. Luo, W. Zan, S. Yan and X. Yao, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 5718–5725; (e) M. Kumar, N. Kumar and V. Bhalla, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 877–879.
- (a) M. H. Lee, J. S. Kim and J. L. Sessler, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 4185–4191; (b) B. Daly, J. Ling and A. P. de Silva, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 4203–4211; (c) B. T. Nguyen and E. V. Anslyn, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2006, **250**, 3118–3127; (d) H. Komatsu, T. Miki, D. Citterio, T. Kubota, Y. Shindo, K. Yoshichiro, K. Oka and K. Suzuki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 10798–10799.
- A. Balamurugan, V. Kumar and M. Jayakannan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 842–845.
- (a) P. S. Hariharan, N. Hari and S. P. Anthony, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **48**, 1–4; (b) P. Kumari, M. K. Bera, S. Malik and B. K. Kuila, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2015, **7**, 12348–12354; (c) J.-A. Hua, Y. Zhao, Y.-S. Kang, Y. Lu and W.-Y. Sun, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 11524–11532.
- (a) J. Han and K. Burgess, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 2709–2728; (b) L. Zhu, Z. Yuan, J. T. Simmons and



- K. Sreenath, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **39**, 20398–20440; (c) A. J. Rodríguez, C. R. Zamarreño, I. R. Matías, F. J. Arregui, R. F. D. Cruz and D. A. May-Arrijoja, *Sensors*, 2014, **14**, 4060–4073; (d) T. Jokic, S. M. Borisov, R. Saf, D. A. Nielsen, M. Kühn and I. Klimant, *Anal. Chem.*, 2012, **84**, 6723–6730; (e) X. He, S. Hu, K. Liu, Y. Guo, J. Xu and S. Shao, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, **8**, 333–336; (f) A. Khorshidi, N. Mardazad and Z. Shaabanzadeh, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2014, **55**, 3873–3877.
- 8 N. Kumar, S. Khullar and S. K. Mandal, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 1520–1525.
- 9 (a) R. M. Clark, B. J. Carey, T. Daeneke, P. Atkin, M. Bhaskaran, K. Latham, I. S. Cole and K. Kalantar-zadeh, *Nanoscale*, 2015, **7**, 16763–16772; (b) E. P. Nguyen, B. J. Carey, C. J. Harrison, P. Atkin, K. J. Berean, E. D. Gaspera, J. Z. Ou, R. B. Kaner, K. Kalantar-zadeh and T. Daeneke, *Nanoscale*, 2016, **8**, 16276–16283.
- 10 (a) L. Li, Y. Ji and X. Tang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2014, **86**, 10006–10009; (b) M. N. Abbas, A.-L. A. Radwan, G. A. Nawwar, N. Zine and A. Errachid, *Anal. Methods*, 2015, **7**, 930–942; (c) D. Masih, S. M. Aly, E. Alarousu and O. F. Mohammed, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2015, **3**, 6733–6738; (d) R. Patil, K. Tayade, S. K. Sahoo, J. Singh, N. Singh, D. Hundiware and A. Kuwar, *J. Mol. Recognit.*, 2014, **27**, 683–688.
- 11 (a) P. Gamez, T. J. Mooibroek, S. J. Teat and J. Reedijk, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2007, **40**, 435–444; (b) R. Frański, B. Gierczyk and G. Schroeder, *J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom.*, 2009, **20**, 257–262; (c) S. Chakravarty, Z. Sheng, B. Iverson and B. Moore, *FEBS Lett.*, 2012, **586**, 4180–4185; (d) C. Garau, A. Frontera, D. Quinonero, P. Ballester, A. Costa and P. M. Deya, *ChemPhysChem*, 2003, **4**, 1344–1348; (e) G. Gil-Ramirez, E. C. Escudero-Adan, J. Benet-Buchholz and P. Ballester, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 4114–4118; (f) Y. S. Rosokha, S. V. Lindeman, S. V. Rosokha and J. K. Kochi, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 4650–4652; (g) P. deHoog, P. Gamez, I. Mutikainen, U. Turpeinen and J. Reedijk, *Angew. Chem.*, 2004, **116**, 5939–5941; (h) H. T. Chifotides and K. R. Dunbar, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 894–906; (i) D.-X. Wang and M.-X. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 892–897; (j) A. Robertazzi, F. Krull, E.-W. Knapp and P. Gamez, *CrystEngComm*, 2011, **13**, 3293–3300; (k) B. L. Schottel, H. T. Chifotides and K. R. Dunbar, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 68–83.
- 12 E. B. Sandell and I. M. Kolthoff, *Microchim. Acta*, 1937, **1**, 9–25.
- 13 M. Kleerekoper, *Endocrinol. Metab. Clin. North Am.*, 1998, **27**, 441–452.

