



Cite this: *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2024, 53, 11888

Received 27th July 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4cs00750f

rsc.li/chem-soc-rev

Boron enabled bioconjugation chemistries

Mengmeng Zheng, Lingchao Kong and Jianmin Gao *


Novel bioconjugation reactions have been heavily pursued for the past two decades. A myriad of conjugation reactions have been developed for labeling molecules of interest in their native context as well as for constructing multifunctional molecular entities or stimuli-responsive materials. A growing cluster of bioconjugation reactions were realized by tapping into the unique properties of boron. As a rare element in human biology, boronic acids and esters exhibit remarkable biocompatibility. A number of organoboron reagents have been evaluated for bioconjugation, targeting the reactivity of either native biomolecules or those incorporating bioorthogonal functional groups. Owing to the dynamic nature of B–O and B–N bond formation, a significant portion of the boron-enabled bioconjugations exhibit rapid reversibility and accordingly have found applications in the development of reversible covalent inhibitors. On the other hand, stable bioconjugations have been developed that display fast kinetics and significantly expand the repertoire of bioorthogonal chemistry. This contribution presents a summary and comparative analysis of the recently developed boron-mediated bioconjugations. Importantly, this article seeks to provide an in-depth discussion of the thermodynamic and kinetic profiles of these boron-enabled bioconjugations, which reveals structure–reactivity relationships and provides guidelines for bioapplications.

1. Introduction

In the past two decades, the field of bioconjugation chemistry has seen tremendous advances, yielding many elegant conjugation reactions that can be implemented in biological milieu. It culminated with the 2022 Nobel Prize awarded to Professors


Carolyn Bertozzi, Barry Sharpless and Morten Meldal for their seminal work developing click chemistry¹ and bioorthogonal chemistry.^{2,3} Bioconjugation refers to chemical reactions that covalently link two molecules, of which one or both are biomolecules such as proteins, nucleic acids, carbohydrates, and lipids. Although the field initiated with native biomolecules, bioconjugations are increasingly done on engineered biomolecules with nonnatural motifs. The biological origin of the client molecules demands biocompatibility in terms of

Department of Chemistry, Merkert Chemistry Center, Boston College, 2609 Beacon Street, Chestnut Hill, MA 02467, USA. E-mail: jianmin.gao@bc.edu



Mengmeng Zheng

Mengmeng Zheng obtained her PhD from Nanjing University in 2016. She was a postdoctoral fellow at the University of South Florida from 2016 to 2019, focusing on the discovery of cyclic peptidomimetic ligands. In the fall of 2019, she joined the Gao group in the Department of Chemistry of Boston College as a post-doctoral researcher. Her research focuses on developing novel strategies for constructing phage-displayed peptide libraries that incorporate covalent warheads as binding enhancers.



Lingchao Kong

Lingchao Kong obtained his Master's degree from Brandeis University in 2017. After a few years of experience in industry, Lingchao returned to graduate school and joined the Gao group at Boston College. His current research focuses on the design, synthesis, and characterization of lysine-targeting covalent warheads, both reversible and irreversible, and their application in the discovery of covalent inhibitors.



reaction conditions as well as reagent stability in water. Specifically, bioconjugations need to be performed in complete or predominant aqueous solutions of near neutral pH – such conditions are necessary to maintain the integrity and function of pertinent biomolecules. Bioconjugation reactions have been developed to modify or track molecules of interest under various contexts, ranging from engineering recombinant proteins as therapeutics, to cell and animal studies, and even further to clinical studies on human patients. A bioconjugation reaction would ideally benefit from the following attributes: (1) it can be carried out under physiological conditions (neutral aqueous media and ambient temperature), (2) it produces high yields and minimal side products, and (3) it can be chemoselective and, even better, target and site selective to allow precision labelling of a target molecule in complex biological mixtures. The relative importance of these attributes is expected to vary according to specific end applications. For example, chemoselectivity is essential for activity-based protein profiling,⁴ where target specificity is not an intended goal. In contrast, to study protein homeostasis in cells and living organisms, target-specific labelling of the protein of interest is critical. We will discuss these different levels of selectivity in the context of individual reactions and applications throughout this review.

A significant and growing sub-collection of these bioconjugation reactions involves the use of organoboron reagents. Although present in the human diet, boron exists in the human body primarily as boric acid and has not been extensively studied in human physiology.⁵ In sharp contrast, synthetic organoboron compounds have attracted remarkable attention in medicinal chemistry. Several organoboron compounds have received approval for clinical use from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA),⁶ including the anticancer drug bortezomib and antibacterial agent vaborbactam (Fig. 1). These molecules function by binding their protein target *via* reversible




Fig. 1 Organoboron drugs that function via covalent conjugation to proteins. (A) Bortezomib in complex with human 20S proteasome as an example to illustrate the mechanism of covalent inhibition (PDB: 5LF3). (B) Chemical structure of bortezomib and several other FDA-approved drugs that contain reactive boron.

covalent bond formation. This covalent binding and inhibition mechanism represents a unique form of bioconjugation, which has inspired additional and creative use of organoboron compounds to modify and conjugate with biomolecules.

Inspired by the covalent mechanism of the organoboron drugs, much effort has been paid to explore the use of boron in bioconjugation reactions.^{7–10} Various organoboron reagents have been synthesized and investigated for their conjugation with endogenous nucleophiles and functional groups have been designed to achieve bioorthogonal conjugations. We will discuss these reactions and their applications with special attention given to the most notable advances of the past decade or so. For earlier developments, we will direct the readers to pertinent review articles published previously. It is worth noting upfront that many boron-enabled bioconjugations exhibit reversibility, which is desirable for certain applications, yet less optimal for others where stable conjugation is required. Hence, tuning the reversibility has turned out to be a recurring theme of boron mediated conjugation reactions. Nevertheless, the dynamic nature of boron-mediated chemistries renders a unique collection of bioconjugation reactions, which will be summarized and comparatively analysed in this contribution. We also note that our discussions herein will emphasize the design principles and mechanistic insights to highlight the uniqueness of the reactions. Pertinent biological applications will be noted, but not described in detail, to highlight



Jianmin Gao

Jianmin Gao received his PhD from Stanford University and completed his post-doctoral training at the Scripps Research Institute. In 2007, he started his independent career at Boston College, where he remains as a Professor of Chemistry. The Gao group strives to innovate molecular design strategies to allow ligand discovery for “undruggable” biomolecules. The invention of novel biocompatible reactions and their implementation in

pertinent biological systems have been a central theme of Gao's research. In particular, the Gao group has been a leader in the use of reversible covalent chemistry to target lysines and the creation of reversible covalent phage libraries.



the types of applications uniquely enabled by these bioconjugation reactions.

2. Conjugation with hydroxyls

2.1 Boronate ester mediated binding of biomolecules

Boronic acids (BAs) and boronate esters (BEs) represent the dominant classes of organoboron reagents used in bioconjugation reactions. Boronate esters, also referred to as boronic esters depending on their ionization status, depict esters formed between a BA and a hydroxyl group or diol. A new BE can also result from the exchange of a BE with an alcohol. A distinctive feature of BE formation in water is its rapid reversibility: a BE of monomeric alcohols and even some diols can undergo hydrolysis on the time scale of seconds under physiological conditions.¹¹ The K_a or K_d ($= 1/K_a$) value of such a fast thermodynamic equilibrium can be used to describe the BA's binding affinity or strength to a hydroxyl substrate. This binding strength is pH dependent,¹² as both the BA reactant and the BE product can exist as a mixture of neutral and anionic forms, an equilibrium dictated by their respective pK_a 's (Fig. 2(A)).

The importance of BE formation has long been recognized in medicinal chemistry. For example, BE formation underlies the working mechanism of the boron-based anticancer drugs, bortezomib and ixazomib (Fig. 1). Bortezomib reached clinical use in 2003 and works by targeting the $\beta 5$ -subunit of the 20S proteasome.^{15,16} The X-ray analysis revealed a covalent bond between boron and a threonine side chain oxygen, which renders an anionic boron centre with a tetrahedral geometry.¹⁷ Ixazomib, approved in 2015 as the first oral proteasome inhibitor,¹⁸ also

targets the $\beta 5$ -subunit of the 20S proteasome. Other boron based covalent drugs, such as tavaborole as an antifungal agent¹⁹ and vaborbactam as a β -lactamase inhibitor, function by covalently binding to tRNA or serine residues respectively.^{20,21} While these boron therapeutics give anionic BEs with a sp^3 hybridized boron, a series of stilbene BA derivatives were found to inhibit transthyretin amyloidosis *via* formation of a sp^2 -hybridized BE (Fig. 2(C)), highlighting the geometric versatility of BEs towards covalent inhibition.¹³ The working mechanism of these BA-based covalent inhibitors may appear counter-intuitive as BA conjugation with an isolated alcohol in water is typically unfavourable in terms of thermodynamics due to water competition – even ethylene glycol as a diol does not conjugate with phenylboronic acid (PBA) until reaching molar concentrations (Fig. 2(B)).²² However, inside a drug binding pocket of a protein where water is excluded, BE formation can provide favourable free energy for binding. Importantly, the boronate ester formed presents a structural mimic of the tetrahedral intermediate of peptide bond hydrolysis, hence it can be stabilized by and form readily within the target protein. Furthermore, proper formation of a B–O bond confers exquisite selectivity to the organoboron drugs for binding their intended target over other structurally similar proteins.

With the aim of using organoboron compounds as drugs, their oxidative vulnerability has been somewhat of a concern. For example, unsubstituted PBA can go through oxidation by endogenous reactive oxidative species (ROS) in minutes. In fact, the vulnerability of BA to oxidation has been cleverly utilized to create ROS sensors²³ as well as ROS triggered drug release systems,²⁴ although these topics will not be the focus of this review. To mitigate oxidative vulnerability, Raines and co-workers found that a 2-carboxy substituent slowed down the oxidation of PBA by four orders of magnitude, yet the 2-carboxy PBA (2-CPBA) motif is still capable of forming boronate esters as demonstrated by a crystal structure of transthyretin with a covalent ligand (Fig. 2(D)).²⁵

Another prominent application of BE formation concerns carbohydrate recognition and sensing. Carbohydrate molecules often display one or more 1,2 or 1,3-diol functionalities, which are known to conjugate with a BA to form a corresponding cyclic BE. To minimize ring strain, this kind of cyclic ester favours a tetrahedral boron centre, which affords a lowered pK_a value of the Lewis acid. This kind of BE formation was first reported by Lorand and Edwards in 1959.²² This simple bioconjugation reaction has been developed into one of the most powerful tools for the recognition and quantification of various carbohydrates under physiological conditions, leading to the creation of “boronolactin”, a term describing a boron analogue of sugar binding proteins (lectins).¹⁴ As the BA-diol conjugation is greatly dependent on the diol substrate, the preorganization and orientation of the two –OH groups exerts a significant impact on the binding affinities of a diol to a BA. For example, fructose binds a PBA with ~ 10 times higher affinity than glucose and catechol binds PBA with another order of magnitude greater affinity than fructose.²⁶ The use of BA/diol complexation for carbohydrate sensing has been extensively reviewed,^{27–33} hence, will not be discussed in detail here. Note




Fig. 2 Boronate ester (BE) formation of diols. (A) Complexation mechanism of BA with diol. (B) The K_a and K_d values of diols with phenylboronic acid at pH 7.4. ^a K_a value in water, cited from ref. 13. The K_a value in buffer is expected to be even smaller. ^b K_a value in pH 7.4, 0.10 M phosphate buffer, cited from ref. 14. (C) A transthyretin aggregation inhibitor showing a sp^2 hybridized boronic ester upon conjugation to the protein (PDB: 5U4F). (D) Structure (free and protein bound) 2-carboxy-PBA that shows improved stability against oxidation (PDB: 6U0Q). Colour scheme used for all small molecule crystal structures: C: gray; N: blue; O: red; B: pink; S: yellow; H: white; Cl: green. H atoms omitted for clarity in the crystal structures of this figure.



that this pH reversible BE conjugation has been adapted to design responsive materials as well.^{34,35}

2.2 Boronate ester mediated stable conjugations

While the reversibility of BE conjugation proved useful for biomolecular binding and sensing, stable (irreversible) BE formation has been sought after in the realm of bioorthogonal conjugation. To improve the stability of BE conjugates under biological conditions, efforts were made to optimize both reactants. While installing various substituents on PBA only afforded modest changes of BE stability,¹² the Schepartz group reported a bis-PBA pro-fluorescent rhodamine molecule, which recognizes a tetraserine motif (SSPGSS) with a sub-micromolar K_d (452 ± 106 nM).³⁶ This rhodamine-derived bis-PBA exhibits cell membrane permeability and turn-on fluorescence when subjected to conjugation with tetraserine tagged proteins in live cells (Fig. 3(A)). Another major development came from Hall and co-workers, who reported that nopoldiol, a highly strained diol, can conjugate with aryl BAs to give BEs with much improved stability (Fig. 3(B)).³⁷ Specifically, a 2-methyl substituted PBA was found to conjugate with nopoldiol with fast ligation kinetics ($k_{on} = 7.7 \pm 0.5$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹), yielding a product with high thermodynamic stability as well ($K_a = 1.2 \times 10^5$ M⁻¹). Based on these values, one can estimate a k_{off} of 6.4×10^{-5} s⁻¹, which indicates a half-life of several hours for the BE conjugate, in sharp contrast to the instant reversibility of typical BEs. Thermodynamically speaking, the K_a value mentioned above is 2–3 orders of magnitude greater than that of PBA–fructose (K_a : 10^2 – 10^3 M⁻¹, Fig. 2). Comparative studies of PBA and nopoldiol structural variants showed that the 2-methyl substituent of PBA and the long alkyl group of nopoldiol are

important for the observed high affinity of binding. Remarkably, the presence of fructose or glucose had little influence on nopoldiol-2-methyl PBA conjugation even at above serum concentrations. Hall and co-workers further demonstrated efficient nopoldiol ligation to proteins under physiologic conditions. Specifically, a 2-methyl PBA moiety was installed on model proteins, namely albumin and thioredoxin, using cysteine-maleimide chemistry. Subjecting the PBA modified proteins to a fluorophore labelled nopoldiol resulted in fluorescence labelling of the proteins. Consistent with the high BE stability, the nopoldiol labelled proteins were found to survive SDS-PAGE as well as LC–MS analysis.

To further improve conjugate stability for *in vivo* applications, the Hall group designed and optimized a bifunctional reagent, a thiosemicarbazide-functionalized nopoldiol (Fig. 3(C)). This bifunctional molecule was found to readily conjugate with 2-acetyl-PBA (2-APBA), yielding a rate constant of 9 M⁻¹ s⁻¹ as measured by NMR spectroscopy.³⁸ As will be discussed in detail later, 2-APBA together with its aldehyde analogue, 2-FPBA (2-formyl-PBA), have been recently found to be highly versatile reagents for bioconjugations, owing to the cooperative action of the BA and the carbonyl moieties.^{7,39} Hall and co-workers postulated that a thiosemicarbazide-functionalized nopoldiol could form a hydrazone linkage with 2-APBA in addition to the nopoldiol BE. The authors showcased the use of this reaction for site selective protein labelling on HEK293T cells. Briefly, 2-APBA was installed onto the extracellular domain of the β_2 -adrenergic receptor using the SNAP-tag technology. Efficient fluorescence labelling of the cell surface was observed after the 2-APBA decorated cells were incubated with a bifunctional nopoldiol carrying a fluorescein label. More recently, the team




Fig. 3 Stable BE conjugation. (A) Conjugation of a rhodamine-derived bis-PBA to a tetraserine tag gives a fluorescence product. (B) Highly strained nopoldiol promotes BE formation. (C) Bifunctional nopoldiol with a thiosemicarbazide elicits irreversible conjugation with 2-APBA. (D) Crystallographic analysis confirms the formation of a model tetracyclic adduct in (C); CCDC ID: VEDWUA; H atoms omitted for clarity in the crystal structure of this figure.



performed further structural analysis of the adduct by X-ray crystallography and ^{11}B NMR, which revealed a tetracyclic hydrazine-boronate adduct (Fig. 3(D)). This multicyclic adduct structure is believed to protect the BE from hydrolysis and explains the apparent irreversibility of this reaction.⁴⁰ This stable BE conjugation was shown to be applicable in live animals: the 2-APBA warhead was implanted into tissues through intra-dermal injection of an NHS ester derivative of 2-APBA. The locally injected 2-APBA was found to retain a fluorescently labelled nopoldiol, allowing fluorescence imaging of the injection site in live mice.

It has been long recognized that aromatic diols like catechol bind PBA with much greater affinity than aliphatic diols (Fig. 2(B)).^{41–43} Modelling after the binding mode of PBA and catechol, Stolowitz and co-workers discovered that the conjugation of salicylhydroxamic acid (SHA) and PBA gave a six-membered ring complex (Fig. 4(A)).⁴⁴ Jaffrey and co-workers have carefully characterized the reaction and shown that the conjugation proceeds remarkably quickly, giving complete conjugation within ~ 5 min at a 6 mM reactant concentration.⁴⁵ Unfortunately, no explicit measurement of the dissociation kinetics was carried out for the SHA-PBA complex. However, the protein immobilization studies by Stolowitz and co-workers show that protein immobilized on agarose through SHA-PBA conjugation could not be eluted using neutral buffers, indicating extremely slow dissociation of the SHA-PBA complex under neutral conditions. Importantly, the conjugate was found to exhibit pH dependent boron hybridization in ^{11}B -NMR. At neutral pH, a single species was observed with sp^3 hybridization, while under acidic conditions ($\text{pH} < 5$), multiple peaks were observed corresponding to sp^2 and sp^3 boron centres as well as that of PBA. This observation suggests possible hydrolysis of the conjugate under acidic conditions. Indeed, the binding constant K_d of PBA/salicylhydroxamic acid at pH 7.4 was found to be 5.6×10^{-5} M and increased to 0.25 M at pH 4.5, indicating a dramatically different thermodynamic stability of the conjugate under neutral *versus* acidic conditions.⁴⁴ Capitalizing on the high conjugate stability at neutral pH, Jaffrey and co-workers demonstrated the use of a SHA dimer to assemble bioactive peptide dimers that incorporate a PBA moiety (Fig. 4(B)).⁴⁵ On the other hand, the acid-triggered reversibility

of this bioconjugation has been applied to realize protein immobilization and purification,^{46,47} enzyme activity control,⁴⁸ and even targeted gene deliveries.^{49,50}

3. Conjugation with amines

3.1 Iminoboronate-mediated binding of amines

Amino groups are highly abundant in biomolecules with protein lysines a prominent example. However, there are few examples of direct conjugation of BAs with amines under physiologic conditions. In neutral aqueous media, B–N bond formation is thermodynamically unfavourable for at least two reasons: (1) most amino groups exist as an ammonium ion (non-nucleophilic) under physiologic conditions; (2) the B–N bond is prone to hydrolysis due to the high concentration of water. Nevertheless, intramolecular B–N coordination has been documented in the literature. In particular, *ortho*-aminomethyl-phenylboronic acid (AMPBA) has been reported to adopt a closed conformation due to B–N interaction (Fig. 5(A)),⁵¹ although a detailed mechanistic study by Anslyn and co-workers showed that the AMPBA motif predominantly adopts an ion-pair structure (cationic N and anionic B) instead of direct B–N coordination.⁵² The dative bond character was found to increase slightly when going from a tertiary to a secondary and a primary amine. The ion pair structure, resulting from dynamic water molecule insertion, is nevertheless consistent with a strong interaction between boronic acid and a proximal nitrogen. Analogous to the closed structure of AMPBA, an *ortho* imine substituent of PBA can forge a strong B–N interaction as well to give iminoboronates (Fig. 5(B)). Again, the elegant mechanistic study by Anslyn and co-workers concluded that an iminoboronate can form in aprotic solvents, yet in protic solvent, it predominantly adopts a solvent-inserted ion pair structure.⁵³ In the following discussions, we use the term iminoboronate to describe complexes with strong B–N interactions without differentiating a direct B–N interaction from an ion pair.

An iminoboronate complex was first reported by Dunn *et al.* in 1968, in which 2-FPBA dissolved in benzene was found to conjugate with an amine and further with a catechol to give an iminoboronate catechol ester.⁵⁴ Thereafter, the James group^{55,56} and the Nitschke group⁵⁷ adapted this three component assembly chemistry to achieve resolution of chiral amines and enable subcomponent self-assembly to give macrocyclic compounds. While these earlier reports describe iminoboronate formation in organic solvents, the Gois group⁵⁸ and Yatsimirsky group⁵⁹ in 2012 separately reported that, in aqueous media, 2-FPBA can conjugate with proteins and aminosugars at low millimolar concentrations. In particular, Gois and co-workers presented careful NMR and mass spectrometry characterization of the iminoboronate conjugates of small molecule amines (including a protected lysine), peptides as well as model proteins. Interestingly, 2-APBA was found to form iminoboronates with comparable efficiency to its aldehyde analogue. The team further noted that the resulting iminoboronates can be reversed upon the addition of fructose, dopamine, and glutathione through




Fig. 4 pH-controlled conjugation of PBA and SHA. (A) Illustration showing distinctive stability of the conjugate at neutral vs. acidic pH. (B) Illustration of a peptide dimerizer based on PBA–SHA bioorthogonal conjugation.






Fig. 5 Thermodynamic and kinetic considerations of iminoboronate formation. (A) Illustration of intramolecular B–N coordination within an AMPBA motif. (B) A bioconjugation reaction of primary amines and 2-FPBA/2-APBA yields iminoboronates. (C) Imine formation of non-borylated benzaldehyde/acetophenone is thermodynamically unfavourable. (D) A (10 $\times$) dilution of a preformed iminoboronate monitored by UV-vis absorption. The UV-vis absorption right after dilution (blue) overlaps with a pre-equilibrated sample at the same concentration (green), indicating an instantaneous equilibrium of iminoboronate formation.

competitive mechanisms. Using this chemistry, Gois and co-workers reported the conjugation of poly ethylene glycol (PEG) to insulin, which can be reversed upon fructose addition. They also prepared a paclitaxel conjugate with folic acid, which showed greater anticancer potency against NCI-H460 cells than the paclitaxel precursor before conjugation.⁶⁰

As a part of our search for covalent binding mechanisms similar to the reversible BE formation of bortezomib, we reported in 2015 that the iminoboronate formation of 2-APBA is rapidly reversible under physiologic conditions without the need for competitive binders, such as fructose, dopamine, and glutathione.^{61,62} In other words, the iminoboronate formation in aqueous media is under thermodynamic control: the forward and backward reactions both proceed with fast kinetics and the reaction rapidly reaches an equilibrium (Fig. 5(B)). Indeed, instantaneous re-equilibrium was observed after dilution of a concentrated 2-APBA-lysine solution (Fig. 5(D)), indicating the iminoboronate conjugate dissociates on the time scale of seconds or shorter.⁶² The rapid equilibrium of iminoboronate formation can be perhaps best rationalized by the prospect that B–N coordination would activate the imine for hydrolysis. In contrast, the dissociation of a salicylaldehyde–lysine conjugate is much slower and happens on the time scale of ~ 30 min (unpublished data of the Gao lab). Treating the iminoboronate formation as an equilibrium, we experimentally determined the dissociation constant (K_d) of 2-APBA binding lysine ϵ -amine to be ~ 10 mM,⁶² which compares much more favourably (by several orders of magnitude) than the imine formation of non-boron substituted benzaldehyde or acetophenone (Fig. 5(C)).⁶³

The fast, thermodynamically controlled, conjugation of 2-FPBA/APBA with amines made them appealing as a warhead to “bind” amine-presenting molecules covalently. This train of thought coincided with the increasing interest in the development of reversible covalent inhibitors. Within the past two decades, covalent inhibition of disease-driving proteins has

delivered a remarkable number of life-saving drugs.^{64,65} However, covalent drugs often encounter idiosyncratic toxicity, presumably due to off-target binding and/or permanent modification of proteins, which in turn can elicit undesirable immune responses. These problems may be circumventable by reversible covalent inhibitors,^{66,67} which can minimize off-target binding and avoid permanent modification of proteins as well. While reversible covalent binding of cysteine thiol has been accomplished using a nitrile or α -cyanoacrylamide warhead (Fig. 6(A)),⁶⁸ reversible covalent binding of lysines has been elusive until recently.

Our exploration of 2-APBA as an amine-binding motif paralleled in time the development of the amine-targeted covalent drug voxelotor, formerly known as GBT440,⁶⁹ which reached clinical use in late 2019 to treat sickle cell diseases. This covalent drug harbours a salicylaldehyde moiety as an amine-binding warhead (Fig. 6(B)). Our group first demonstrated the use of 2-APBA as a lysine-binding motif in 2015 towards the development of molecular probes for a bacterial lipid Lys-PG, which is a key player in the immune evasion mechanisms of bacterial pathogens including *S. aureus*.⁷⁰ Specifically, we linked an APBA-presenting non-natural amino acid AB1 to a cationic peptide Hlys. The resulting peptide Hlys-AB1 was demonstrated to label *S. aureus* cells at sub-micromolar concentrations even in the presence of bovine serum.⁶¹ Furthermore, in collaboration with the Lapi group, we showed that a radiolabelled Hlys-AB1 allowed facile detection of *S. aureus* infection in live mice with an implanted thigh infection.⁷¹ This result highlights the feasibility and promise of using iminoboronate chemistry in live animals. Mechanistically speaking, Hlys-AB1 binds Lys-PG through a combination of electrostatic interactions and the covalent binding by iminoboronate formation (Fig. 6(C)). The peptide probe's binding to the bacteria can be readily reversed by washing, as expected for the facile reversibility of the iminoboronate linkage.

To streamline the discovery of reversible covalent probes and inhibitors for various biological targets, our group has






Fig. 6 Reversible covalent inhibitors and probes enabled by iminoboronate chemistry. (A) Examples of small molecule based reversible covalent inhibitors that target a thiol or an amine. (B) Reversible covalent labelling of Gram-positive bacteria enabled by the iminoboronate formation of Lys-PG. (C) APBA-presenting phage libraries. (D) Computational modelling of the peptide ligand R1_APBA-3 showing its covalent conjugation to K417 of SARS-CoV2 spike protein. (E) Comparison of R1_APBA-3 and R1_APBA-3-O in terms of their spike protein binding affinity.

developed phage-displayed peptide libraries that incorporate 2-APBA as a warhead (Fig. 6(D)). Phage display is a powerful technology that allows facile screening of billions of distinct peptide sequences with their identity encoded by the phage genome.⁷² Using chemoselective thiol-conjugation chemistries, we have devised an APBA-dimer library on a linear peptide scaffold⁷³ as well as a cyclic peptide library displaying a single 2-APBA warhead.⁷⁴ More recently, we have extended our phage library collection to include a double warhead library that displays 2-APBA together with a α -cyanoacrylamide that react with a lysine and cysteine respectively.⁷⁵

We have applied these covalent binding libraries to discover molecular probes for bacterial pathogens,^{73,76} as well as reversible covalent ligands for challenging proteins that have frustrated small molecule inhibition.^{74,75} Screening of the APBA-dimer library has yielded sub-micromolar binders for a number of bacterial pathogens. Comparative studies of control peptides showed the essential role of the 2-APBA warhead in the peptides' binding of the bacterial cells. Integrating such peptide probes into graphene based sensor platforms has allowed sensitive detection of bacterial strains that show specific antibiotic resistance phenotypes.⁷⁷ On a different front, we have investigated the potential of the APBA-displaying libraries for revealing covalent ligands that inhibit challenging proteins, which have frustrated small molecule binding. 2-APBA-displaying cyclic peptide libraries were screened against a bacterial protein ligase sortase

A (SrtA) as well as the spike protein of SARS-CoV-2. The screens yielded multiple peptide hits that bind the target proteins with a single digit to sub micromolar potency. The covalent binding mechanism was unambiguously demonstrated using mass spectrometry-based peptide mapping experiments. For example, the peptide ligand R1_APBA-3, which we identified for the spike protein, was found to covalently bind K417 of the protein (Fig. 7(B)). Importantly, we have assessed the energetic contribution of the iminoboronate formation by comparing R1_APBA-3 to a close structural analogue (R1_APBA-3-O) that replaces the boronic acid moiety with an -OH. In sharp contrast to APBA, the 2-acetylphenol moiety is incapable of covalently binding lysine to give imines. The peptide's binding affinity to spike was found to be at least 20 times greater for R1_APBA-3 over the deborylated analogue (Fig. 7(C)), highlighting the energetic significance of iminoboronate formation for the peptide's binding of the target protein.

In parallel to our work on peptide-based reversible covalent ligands, several groups explored the use of iminoboronate-forming warheads to develop small molecule-based reversible covalent inhibitors. In particular, Su and co-workers in 2016 functionalized known indole acid-based Mcl-1 protein inhibitors with 2-carbonyl PBA warheads (Fig. 6(A)), which enabled iminoboronate formation with a non-catalytic K234 residue and resulted in 20–50 times better binding affinity.⁷⁸ More recently, Yao and co-workers reported the structure-based design of






Fig. 7 RMR1–amine conjugation. (A) RMR1 reversible conjugation with amine to give a diazaborine hydrate conjugate, the identity of which is confirmed by a crystal structure (CCDC ID: MARRAC) shown below. (B) Chemical structure of RMR3, a structural analogue that fails to bind amine covalently. (C) RMR1 modified peptide inhibitor of sortase showing greater potency than the peptide variants carrying either RMR3 or 2-APBA.

reversible covalent Bcr-Abl kinase inhibitors based on 2-FPBA chemistry (Fig. 6(A)). The designed inhibitors showed potent inhibition by reacting with the catalytic residue K271. Co-crystal structures of inhibitor-ABL complex confirmed the covalent interaction of the 2-carbonyl PBA warhead with the lysine residue.⁷⁹

3.2 Diazaborine mediated binding of amines

To expand the collection of reversible covalent warheads that target amines, our group recently developed a new warhead RMR1, which elicits reversible covalent conjugation with amines to give a diazaborine hydrate conjugate (Fig. 7(A)).⁸⁰ The structural design of RMR1 installs an AMPBA motif to the *ortho* position of benzaldehyde. The full and autonomous reversibility of this diazaborine formation reaction was confirmed *via* dilution experiments monitored by NMR and LC–MS. Under physiologic conditions, RMR1 covalently binds a lysine with ~10 times greater potency than 2-APBA or 2-FPBA (1 mM vs. 10 mM K_d). Importantly, in sharp contrast to the rapid dissociation of iminoboronates, the diazaborine conjugates of RMR1 dissociate much more slowly, displaying half-life values on the multi-hour time scale. The forward reaction rate of the RMR1-lysine conjugation was found to be $2.1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, which is significantly slower than that of iminoboronate formation. This slower forward reaction is perhaps not surprising given that the BA moiety in RMR1 is further removed from the aldehyde group in comparison to 2-FPBA.

Consequently, the BA of RMR1 does not provide as much activating effect for the aldehyde to form imines. Nevertheless, RMR3 (Fig. 7(B)), a close structural analogue of RMR1, failed to give any conjugation with lysine, indicating the structural importance of the AMPBA motif. As discussed earlier, the AMPBA motif favours a closed conformation due to N–B coordination (Fig. 5(A)), which would place the boron centre close to the carbonyl in RMR1 to facilitate its conjugation to form imines. This hypothesis is supported by our DFT calculations that revealed a potential reaction trajectory towards diazaborine formation.

Intrigued by the distinct properties of RMR1 covalent binding of lysine, we comparatively investigated RMR1 and 2-APBA for their use as a warhead to enable reversible covalent inhibitors. To this end, we respectively incorporated RMR1 and 2-APBA into a cyclic peptide that binds to sortase A with modest (17 μM) potency. Both 2-APBA and RMR1 significantly enhanced the peptide's potency for sortase inhibition, with the RMR1 peptide P5 yielded superior potency than the 2-APBA variant P3 (1.3 vs. 4.6 μM) (Fig. 7(C)). This is consistent with the greater potency of RMR1 for lysine binding. Importantly, peptide P5 also afforded sortase A inhibition on live *S. aureus* cells with a minimally compromised potency (IC₅₀: 2.9 μM), indicating minimal off-target binding of this peptide on cell surfaces. Furthermore, the P5-induced sortase inhibition appears to be long lasting – efficient sortase inhibition was observed even 6 hours after the unbound inhibitors were washed away. This long-lasting inhibition, which presumably originates from the slow dissociation of the diazaborine conjugate, presents an appealing yet under-explored attribute of covalent drugs.

3.3 Amine-specific conjugation with β-boryl alkynone

Both the iminoboronate and diazaborine chemistries elicit lysine amine-specific conjugation due to the imine-based reaction mechanism. Lysine-specific conjugations are in fact quite rare, albeit highly desirable, due to the greater nucleophilicity of cysteine thiols. Loh and co-workers recently reported a novel amine-specific conjugation.⁸¹ Specifically, a β-boryl alkynone was found to readily react with amine to give an oxaboracycle stabilized by an exocyclic C–N double bond, yet minimal reactivity was seen towards thiols (Fig. 8(A)), in sharp contrast to the non-borylated alkynone control, which predominantly reacted with cysteine thiols (Fig. 8(B)). When subjected to



Fig. 8 Amine-selective conjugation of β-boryl alkynone (A). In sharp contrast, the non-borylated control molecule (B) shows overwhelming preference for thiols.



N-terminal cysteine, the β -boryl alkynone afforded exclusively the amine-addition product. Furthermore, the team demonstrated peptide and protein conjugation, which was accomplished with excellent yields over 2–4 h. Note the β -boryl alkynone elicited conjugate exhibits robust stability and conjugation appears to be irreversible, differing from the imino-boronate and diazaborine hydrate chemistries described earlier in this section.

4. Conjugation with N-terminal cysteines

Biocompatible conjugation chemistries of N-terminal cysteines (NCys) have received significant attention as the 1,2-aminothiol functionality of an NCys presents a unique handle for site-specific modification of proteins. As NCys is rarely seen in endogenous proteins, NCys-specific conjugation can be considered a form of bioorthogonal conjugation. Previously known methods for NCys conjugation include the use of thioesters in native chemical ligation⁸² and the use of 2-cyanobenzothiazole (CBT) to mimic luciferin biosynthesis.⁸³ Recently, a number of new NCys-selective reactions have been developed,^{84,85} a few of which involve clever use of boron and exhibit fast reaction kinetics and superb selectivity.

4.1 NCys conjugation via TzB formation

In 2016, our group⁸⁶ and the Gois group⁸⁷ independently reported the fast conjugation of 2-FPBA to NCys, leading to a thiazolidine boronate (TzB) complex. In this reaction, 2-FPBA rapidly conjugates with NCys to give an iminoboronate intermediate, which sets up an intramolecular thiol addition reaction to form a thiazolidine ring (Fig. 9(A)). The conjugation was found to be remarkably fast and the reaction rate constants were found to be in the order of 10^2 and $10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.^{86–88} The N atom of the thiazolidine ring further coordinates with the boron centre to thermodynamically stabilize the conjugate. Indeed, when a TzB conjugate was examined in aqueous solution over time, no change in its NMR signature was observed, in contrast to the slow but clear degradation of a thiazolidine control.⁸⁶ Despite the thermodynamic stability, we found that a preformed TzB complex did exchange with another NCys-presenting molecule and the exchange completed over the time course of two hours.⁸⁶ This finding indicates a modest kinetic stability of the TzB complex. A similar observation was noted by the Gois group, where a TzB complex was found to exchange with an oxyamine over 1 hour.⁸⁷ Consistently, Spicer and co-workers reported a K_d of $4.9 \text{ }\mu\text{M}$ and k_{-1} of $3.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$, determined using a FRET assay.⁸⁸ Comparatively, the TzB complex appears to show faster dissociation than the corresponding thiazolidine.⁸⁶ This can be



Fig. 9 Boron enabled NCys conjugation chemistries. (A) Conjugation of 2-FPBA with NCys gives a TzB complex with modest kinetic stability. A crystal structure (CCDC ID: OKUMUE01) shown on the right revealed an additional cyclization between the $-\text{COOH}$ of NCys and boron (colour scheme used: C: gray; N: blue; O: red; B: pink; S: yellow; H: white). The relevance of this cyclization to NCys bearing peptides/proteins is currently unclear. (B) Stable NCys conjugation through TzB-mediated acyl transfer that gives a stable N-acyl-thiazolidine. The structure (CCDC ID: MAGMEQ) of an N-acyl-thiazolidine is shown on the right. (C) NCys bioconjugation with a 2-boronyl phenylcyanamide. (D) Reaction of 3HQ-modified peptide with 2-FPBA that gives a stabilized iminoboronate.



perhaps rationalized by the N–B coordination in the TzB structure, which has the potential to facilitate the dissociative reaction pathways, similar to the fastened hydrolysis of the iminoboronates. Paradoxically, the thermodynamic stability as evidenced by the unchanged NMR signature over time can be explained by the equilibrium (fast dissociation as well as reformation) of this conjugation reaction.

In comparison to previously known NCys conjugation chemistries, the 2-FPBA elicited TzB formation exhibits exquisite selectivity towards NCys, due to its imine-based reaction mechanism. However, for the purpose of labelling and tracking biomolecules, the modest kinetic stability of the TzB conjugate can be problematic. With the aim of developing stable conjugation reactions, we have explored two NCys analogues, namely 2,3-diaminopropionic acid (Dap)⁸⁹ and tris base.⁹⁰ Both yielded rapidly reversible conjugates except that of Tris with 2-APBA, which displayed a half-life of 115 h. A better solution to the conjugate instability issue came from a TzB-mediated acyl transfer reaction that gives an *N*-acyl-thiazolidine as a final product.⁹¹ In comparison to thiazolidines or TzB conjugates, *N*-acyl thiazolidines exhibit robust kinetic stability (Fig. 9(B)), with no dissociation observed over a range of pH values. Satisfyingly, the *N*-acyl-thiazolidine formation maintains fast reaction kinetics and the excellent NCys selectivity of the TzB chemistry. We demonstrated the utility of this reaction by labelling multiple model proteins that bear an engineered NCys residue. Site-specific labelling of these proteins was readily achieved by using low micromolar concentrations of a 6-acyloxy-FPBAs (e.g., KL42/72, Fig. 9(B)) that installs either an acetyl group or a biotin moiety. This powerful NCys conjugation chemistry was further applied to modify phage libraries with an engineered NCys on pIII, the carrier protein of library peptides.

A related but different idea of stabilizing a thiazoline conjugate was reported by Wang and co-workers.⁹² In their work, 2-FPBA was found to rapidly conjugate with 2-aminobenzothiol to give a thiazolidine conjugate, which undergoes oxidation to give a stable benzothiazole product. Although not directly applicable to NCys modification, this efficient and stable conjugation may be useful as bioorthogonal reactions.

4.2 Additional NCys conjugation reactions

Gois and co-workers recently reported a new NCys bioconjugation with the formation of benzodiazaborines (Fig. 9(C)).⁹³ Cyanamides are known to react with a cysteine thiol to generate a thiourea-like adduct, which in diluted aqueous solutions undergoes rapid hydrolysis to form urea. To prevent this hydrolysis, a BA moiety was installed onto the *ortho* position of a phenyl cyanamide, which captures the thiourea intermediate and stabilizes it in the form of a benzodiazaborine (Fig. 9(C)). This BA substituted phenylcyanamide was found to react with cysteine with a pseudo first-order reaction rate of a $3.44 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$, but no reaction with acetylcysteine was observed at all. These results highlight the NCys selectivity of this reaction over internal cysteines. Furthermore, the team performed DFT computation based mechanistic studies and found that the amino group of a free cysteine or NCys initiates

the hydrogen transfer from the thiol group, which explains the absence of reactivity with internal cysteines. When incubated with 10 eq. of 2-boronyl phenylcyanamide, a bombesin peptide was found to give a single N-terminal modification, even though the peptide carries both an NCys and internal cysteine. The team further showed that, following the NCys conjugation, the internal cysteine could be alkylated by a maleimide to generate double modified peptides.

Another nice contribution of the Gois group describes selective iminoboronate formation at a peptide's N-terminus even when the peptides do have lysine as potential competitors (Fig. 9(D)).⁹⁴ Briefly, the authors installed 3-hydroxyquinolin-2(1*H*)-one (3HQ) onto a NCys side chain of a peptide through thiol–maleimide conjugation. Upon iminoboronate formation at the N-terminus, the OH group of 3HQ can forge a B–O bond and stabilize the charged boronate species by coordinating its carbonyl group to the boron atom, stabilizing the 2-FPBA conjugation at the N-terminus. As a demonstration of its utility, a 3HQ-modified c-ovalbumin peptide, harbouring an NCys and a lysine, was subjected to 10 eq. of 2-FPBA, which gave only iminoboronate formation at the N-terminus. When subjected to a large excess of 2-FPBA (1000 eq.), both the N-terminus and lysine residue were modified. However, as expected, the iminoboronate formation at lysine ϵ -amino groups dissociated completely upon dilution.

5. Iminoboronate-inspired bioorthogonal conjugations

While the discussions above largely focused on conjugation reactions of native proteins, organoboron compounds have been developed to enable bioorthogonal conjugations, in which both reactants are designed and biological entities. When incorporated into a biomolecule of interest, these designer functionalities allow modifications of the target biomolecule in a site-specific manner.

5.1 Stabilized iminoboronates

The iminoboronate formation, while serving as a powerful binding mechanism, is nevertheless unsuitable for labelling and tracking biomolecules due to the rapid reversibility. Luckily, there have been some clever approaches developed to give stable iminoboronates. For example, the Gois group examined the reaction of 2-APBA with aminophenols as bidentate ligands (Fig. 10(A)).⁹⁵ Specifically, the aminophenol 10-I reacted with 2-APBA to give an N,O-iminoboronate (10-II), which features an additional B–O bond that can provide extra stability to the iminoboronate conjugate. Indeed, the N,O-iminoboronate conjugate was found to give less than 10% hydrolysis even after 2 days in a physiological buffer. The slow dissociation is in sharp contrast to the instantaneous dissociation of non-stabilized iminoboronates. Consistent with the improved stability, the N,O-iminoboronates were found to go through slow exchange (5 days, Fig. 10(B)). Despite the remarkable stability at neutral pH, the N,O-iminoboronate exhibits fast hydrolysis






Fig. 10 Stabilized iminoboronates. (A) Reaction of 2-APBA with amino-phenol with improved stability. Conjugate structure confirmed by crystallography (CCDC ID: BIJDOQ). (B) N,O-iminoboronates undergo slow exchange over the time scale of days. (C) A folate derivative of N,O-iminoboronates selectively delivers amino coumarin to MDA-MB-231 human breast cancer cells, due to the acidity-triggered dissociation.

(half-life of several hours) under acidic conditions. This low pH triggered dissociation has been applied to achieve selective delivery of fluorophores into cancer cells that overexpress folate receptors (Fig. 10(C)).

5.2 Reaction with α -nucleophiles

To achieve stable bioorthogonal conjugations, the iminoboronate chemistry has been extended to α -nucleophiles, such as oxyamines and hydrazines/hydrazides, which give oximes and hydrozones/acylhydrazones as products. It is well known that the conjugation of α -nucleophiles with a carbonyl results in biorthogonality. However, the slow reaction kinetics⁹⁶ at neutral pH had greatly limited their applications until recently when boron-accelerated variants of these venerable conjugation reactions were invented.

In 2015, several papers were published independently describing that an *ortho*-boronic acid substituent greatly accelerates the conjugation of aryl carbonyls and α -nucleophiles. Specifically, the Gillingham group reported that 2-FPBA conjugated with benzylhydroxylamine in a 1 : 1 ratio under neutral conditions (Fig. 11(A)) with a rate constant over $10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$.⁹⁷ The reaction rate is several orders of magnitude higher than that of typical oxime ligations even with aniline catalysis.⁹⁶ Though an oxime-oxyamine exchange experiment, the dissociation rate constant (k_{-1}) of the oxime product of 2-FPBA was determined to be $4.21 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$, which corresponds to a half-life of 6.5 hours, comparable to typical oximes without an *ortho*-BA substituent.⁹⁸ These kinetic parameters were later

corroborated by other research groups.^{88,99} This fast conjugation was found to be remarkably bioorthogonal, encountering little interference by glutathione, sucrose, lysozyme or even blood serum. The team also examined 2-APBA, the methyl ketone analogue of 2-FPBA. 2-APBA was also found to rapidly conjugate with benzyloxyamine. The resulting product was unfortunately not explicitly assessed for its thermodynamic and kinetic stability. Our group in parallel examined the conjugation of 2-APBA with several α -nucleophiles.⁶² Interestingly, we found that 2-APBA conjugates with acetohydrazide, benzhydrazide, as well as an alkoxyamine in a rapidly reversible manner (Fig. 11(A) and (B)). Dilution experiments indicated a rapid equilibrium that could be reached over seconds and potentially shorter. The dissociation constant (K_d) was estimated to be $0.6 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}$ for acetohydrazide and $1.4 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}$ for an alkoxyamine. Consistently, our ^{11}B -NMR studies revealed a broad and upfield shifted boron peak for the 2-APBA oxime, indicating the formation of iminoboronate structures, which presumably underlie the fast dissociation of the oxime product of 2-APBA.

In contrast to the hydrazides and alkoxyamine, the conjugation of 2-APBA with phenylhydrazine yielded a more stable product, with near quantitative conjugation formation at sub-micromolar concentrations of reagents and dissociation only quantifiable under exchange conditions.⁶² At that time, we were puzzled by the chemical basis of the superior conjugate stability afforded by phenylhydrazine. An important paper¹⁰⁰ as well as a patent application¹⁰¹ came from the Bane group describing that an aryl hydrazine rapidly conjugated with 2-FPBA to give a stable diazaborine heterocycle (Fig. 11(C)). Similar findings were also reported by the Gillingham group.^{102,103} Both groups presented evidence for a two-step mechanism for the diazaborine formation, which involves a fast bimolecular conjugation ($>10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) followed by an intramolecular cyclization. A rate constant of 15×10^{-3} and $2.5 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ was reported for the cyclization by the Bane and Gillingham groups respectively. These data indicate a relatively long lived hydrazone intermediate with a half-life on the scale of minutes. In light of these findings, we suspect the stable conjugation of 2-APBA and phenylhydrazine that we observed is likely due to the formation of a diazaborine product, although we failed to confirm the conjugate structure *via* crystallography at the time of our initial publication.⁶² Interestingly, no long-living intermediate was observed with our investigation of 2-APBA conjugations, which suggests a faster cyclization step to give diazaborines.

A number of experiments speak to the stability of the diazaborine conjugate. Bane and co-workers demonstrated protein labelling using the conjugation of 2-FPBA and a phenylhydrazine derivative, the product of which survived SDS-PAGE analysis.¹⁰⁰ Gillingham and co-workers examined the potential exchange of a pre-formed diazaborine compound with 2-FPBA, in which no exchange was observed for 24 h.¹⁰² A detailed kinetics study by Spicer and co-workers showed that a pre-formed diazaborine did exchange with a hydrazine (3.7 mM), although very slowly (Fig. 11(C)). They estimated the dissociate rate of $2.6 \times 10^{-6} \text{ s}^{-1}$, which corresponds to a $t_{1/2}$






Fig. 11 Fast bioconjugation of 2-FPBA/APBA with α -nucleophiles. (A) 2-FPBA and 2-APBA both elicit fast bioconjugation yet give rise to conjugates of differing stabilities. (B) Acetohydrazide conjugates with 2-FPBA and 2-APBA to give a rapid equilibrium. (C) Conjugation of phenylhydrazine with 2-FPBA and 2-APBA yielding diazaborines with varied potential for exchange. (D) and (E) Stable diazaborines were obtained from semicarbazide and sulfonylhydrazide respectively. (F) Crystal structures showing that acetohydrazide and semicarbazide yield conjugates of distinct geometries of the boron centre. CCDC IDs: MEHKUI (left), TAZGEJ (middle) and TAZGIN (right). Colour scheme used: C: gray; N: blue; O: red; B: pink; S: yellow; H: white.

of 4–5 days for the diazaborine to dissociate and exchange.⁸⁸ The diazaborine conjugate of 2-APBA appeared to exchange faster,⁶² which reached equilibrium over 4 h (with 1 μM exchanging hydrazine) indicating a more kinetically labile diazaborine formed by 2-APBA. Nevertheless, the diazaborine conjugates exhibited great stability in the absence of α -nucleophiles for exchange.¹⁰⁴

As phenylhydrazines are prone to oxidation and can be cytotoxic, it is desirable to find more biofriendly alternatives. To this end, semicarbazide and thiosemicarbazide were found to conjugate with 2-APBA/FPBA to give diazaborines (Fig. 11(D) and (F)).¹⁰⁴ We note that such reactivity of (thio)semicarbazide had been predicted by Bane and co-workers in their 2015 patent application.¹⁰¹ Our results show that semicarbazide reacted with both 2-FPBA and 2-APBA efficiently to give a diazaborine heterocycle, whose structure is unambiguously confirmed by X-ray crystallography (Fig. 11(F)). Similar to phenylhydrazine, the conjugation of semicarbazide proceeds with a rate constant of $>10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$. In comparison, thiosemicarbazide showed compromised yields reacting with 2-APBA, although it conjugated with 2-FPBA efficiently. As 2-FPBA is known to conjugate

with free cysteines to form a quasi-stable TzB complex,⁸⁶ 2-APBA is arguably a better conjugation partner with semicarbazide for bioorthogonal applications. Indeed, the diazaborine formation of 2-APBA was found to proceed without interference by blood serum or cell lysates.¹⁰⁴ More recently, Bandyopadhyay and co-workers have expanded the diazaborine-forming chemistry of 2-FPBA/APBA to sulfonyl hydrazide (Fig. 11(E)),¹⁰⁵ which elicits quantitative conjugation with both 2-FPBA and 2-APBA to give stable diazaborine products.

Although the diazaborine-forming chemistry had been documented much earlier in pure organic solvents,^{54,106,107} the discovery that it can proceed under physiological conditions is quite exciting and has enabled a number of applications in chemical biology and protein engineering. For example, in addition to protein labelling, the versatile diazaborine conjugation has been used to construct DNA-encoded libraries (Fig. 12(A)),¹⁰⁸ as well as to devise fluorogenic conjugation reactions (Fig. 12(B)).^{102,109} Furthermore, 2-APBA-semicarbazide conjugation has been applied to label live bacterial cells. Specifically, we synthesized D-amino acids that incorporate either 2-APBA or semicarbazide on their side chains (Fig. 12(C)).^{104,109,110}






Fig. 12 Applications of the diazaborine chemistry. (A) Constructing DNA encoded libraries via diazaborine conjugation. (B) Fluorogenic conjugation reactions based on diazaborine formation. (C) Application of diazaborine formation for live bacterial cell labelling.

These D-amino acids were incorporated into the peptidoglycan of bacterial cells *via* a cell wall remodelling mechanism.¹¹¹ Then these amino acids served as an anchor to conjugate with a suitable partner to enable fluorescence labelling of the bacterium. Again, due to the fast reaction kinetics and superb biorthogonality, such cell labelling experiments can be completed in minutes with low micromolar concentrations of reagents. Finally, the diazaborines have been found to be prone to oxidation by endogenous reactive oxidative species, such as peroxide and oxynitrite, which has been capitalized to design drug delivery and release systems.^{39,99,112}

Other than aromaticity, Bane and workers reported the use of α -aminohydrazide to achieve stable conjugation with 2-FPBA (Fig. 13(A)).¹¹³ This design is inspired by the discovery that 2-FPBA can conjugate with acetohydrazide to form an unstable B–N heterocycle that harbours a tetrahedron boron centre.¹¹³ Pending an amino group on the α -carbon of acetohydrazide elicits additional cyclization (*via* the formation of another N–B bond), which stabilizes the diazaborine conjugate. The formation of the tricyclic conjugate was confirmed by X-ray crystallography and shown to be stable for several weeks under both acidic and basic conditions. The Bane group demonstrated that this conjugation could be used for fluorescence protein labelling and the labelled proteins survived SDS-PAGE gel analysis. More recently, the Bane group applied this 2-FPBA- α -aminohydrazide conjugation to create site-specifically modified antibodies.¹¹⁴ They also demonstrated that the reaction could be

used in combination with other bioorthogonal reactions, such as the strain-promoted azide–alkyne cycloaddition and tetrazine–transcyclooctene (Tz–TCO) ligation to allow simultaneous triple labelling of antibodies. Following a similar design principle, Bane and co-workers described that installing a β -hydroxyl group could also stabilize an acetohydrazide's conjugate with 2-FPBA (Fig. 13(B)).¹¹⁵ The postulated tricyclic structure of the stable conjugate was confirmed by X-ray crystallography and found to show little degradation in aqueous solution over 24 hours. However, when mixed with hydrazine, the conjugate of β -hydroxyl hydrazide was converted to the conjugate of hydrazine over the time course of ~ 4 hours.

5.3 Three component reactions

As described earlier in the section, an iminoboronate could conjugate with diols to give three-component assembled products, as initially described by Dunn *et al.* in 1968.⁵⁴ This three-component conjugation was later applied by James and co-workers to resolve stereoisomers in organic solvents. More recently, such reactions have been adapted into an aqueous phase to construct functional micelles and hydrogels (Fig. 14(A)).^{116,117} These applications take advantage of the induced reversibility of the conjugate products by acidification or addition of GSH.

Anslyn and co-workers have recently reported a new three component conjugation, which resembles the conjugation reaction above, but replaces the amine with an *N*-hydroxyamine (Fig. 14(B)).¹¹⁸ The assembly mechanism was examined by the





Fig. 13 Stabilizing the conjugates of hydrazides. (A) Hydrazide conjugate stabilized by an α -amino substituent. The conjugate structure was confirmed by crystallography (CCDC ID: MEHKIW). This strategy has been demonstrated for antibody labelling. (B) Hydrazide conjugates stabilized by β -hydroxyl substituent. The conjugate structure was confirmed by crystallography (CCDC ID: EPOLUT). This strategy has been implemented for protein C-terminal conjugation, where a C-terminal Thr hydrazide can be generated via sortase mediated ligation with hydrazine. Red and blue dots represent fluorophore or drug payloads.




Fig. 14 Three component reactions. (A) Three-component coupling reaction to assemble crosslinked G-quadruplex based hydrogels. (B) Condensation reaction of 2-FPBA, a hydroxylamine and a catechol for peptide modification. (C) Conjugation reaction of PBA, 1,2-aminoalcohol and ortho-carbonyl-phenol to construct bioactive molecules of varied stability.

order of addition of the three components. When 2-FPBA combined with hydroxylamine first, the conjugate will not react with catechol. The hydroxylamine addition is irreversible and proved stable over 24 h over a wide range of pH values (1–13) and temperatures up to 50 °C. The formation of a cyclic oxime boronate was confirmed by X-ray analysis which showed B–O bond formation between the hydroxylamine oxygen and boron. The author demonstrated that this three-component assembly is compatible with canonical oxime formation to achieve dual labelling of peptides that incorporate a catechol and an aldehyde as a reactive handle respectively.

In the same year, the Gois group developed a one-pot three-component condensation reaction with a phenylboronic acid, an aminophenol and a 2-hydroxy-acetaphenone as reactants (Fig. 14(C)).¹¹⁹ This simple protocol readily yielded the conjugates with up to 90% yield without the need of chromatographic isolation. While the condensation reaction was carried out in organic solvent (e.g., CH₃CN, DMSO), the resulting boronate complexes exhibited high stability with a half-life of 38 h at pH 4.8 or in human plasma, and only dissociated in the presence of GSH with a half-life of 7 h. It is interesting to note that salicylaldehyde was found to elicit more efficient conjugation, which however yielded products of significantly lower stability. The team applied this condensation reaction to assemble a conjugate bearing a PEG unit, a folic acid targeting unit and the cytotoxic drug bortezomib as a bioactive payload.



This drug delivery complex showed high selectivity *in vitro* against folate-positive MDA-MB-231 cells with nanomolar IC₅₀ values. In addition, they generated an innovative fluorophore scaffold, dubbed boronic-acid derived salicylidenehydrazone (BASHY), by this robust multicomponent reaction. This efficient one-pot reaction enabled a straightforward construction of fluorophores with tuneable photophysical properties. They also incorporated an extended polymethine chain in the BASHY complex to yield Cy-BASHY dyes that are capable of efficient red-emitting and two-photon absorption. These fluorescent dyes have shown promise for biological imaging applications.^{120–124}

6. Deborylative cross-coupling reactions

As exemplified by the Nobel Prize winning Suzuki coupling reaction,¹²⁵ organoboron reagents occupy a prominent position in synthetic organic chemistry due to their utilization in cross coupling reactions. Not surprisingly, these metal-catalysed cross coupling reactions have been adapted for bioconjugation under physiological conditions.

6.1 Suzuki–Miyaura coupling on proteins

In 2005, the Hamachi group first reported a Suzuki–Miyaura coupling reaction on proteins, which was accomplished in aqueous solution using Na₂PdCl₄ as a catalyst. Specifically, a synthetic WW-domain that incorporated a *p*-iodophenylalanine residue was found to couple with several aryl boronic acids with 40–90% yields.¹²⁶ The Davis group developed a new catalyst that enabled highly efficient Suzuki coupling on peptides and proteins in pure aqueous solutions. Specifically, the group found that the sodium salt of 2-amino-4,6-dihydropyrimidine formed a water soluble complex with Pd(OAc)₂. The resulting catalyst promoted coupling of peptides and proteins bearing an aryl iodide with various boronic acids with >95% yields (Fig. 15(A)).¹²⁷ This initial report of Davis and co-workers introduced the aryl iodide group into proteins *via* cysteine modification. Their follow-up publications achieved the incorporation of aryl halides into recombinant proteins *via* genetic code expansion, which in turn allowed Suzuki coupling on recombinant proteins as well as live cells.^{128,129} The efficient conjugation on live cells is quite remarkable even though the boronic acids as well as catalyst were used at (sub to low) millimolar concentrations. Another clever application of this efficient Suzuki coupling reaction on recombinant proteins allowed facile generation of various glycoforms of the glucosyl-transferase enzyme, glycogenin.¹³⁰ The ready access to these glycoforms allowed a detailed analysis of the glycogen biosynthesis initiation with unprecedented precision. On related fronts, the enhanced Suzuki coupling has been implemented to enable peptide ligation¹³¹ as well as radioactive labelling of peptides and proteins.¹³²

Contrary to introducing aryl iodide into proteins, the Schultz group in 2008 reported the incorporation of *p*-borono-phenylalanine into proteins *via* genetic code expansion (Fig. 15(B)).¹³³




Fig. 15 Deborylative bioconjugation reactions. (A) Suzuki–Miyaura coupling reaction on proteins. (B) Incorporation of boronoalanine (Bal) into proteins using dehydroalanine (Dha) as a precursor. (C) Histidine-directed backbone NH modification under Cu²⁺ catalysis. (D) Nickel catalysed cysteine thiol arylation using *ortho*-substituted aryl boronic acid. (E) Vinylboronic acid participated in the Carboni–Lindsey reaction.

Schultz and co-workers further demonstrated the feasibility of Suzuki coupling of this genetically encoded phenylboronic acid. Note that this 2008 publication reported a modest yield (30%) and the need for high temperature (70 °C) for the Suzuki coupling. This less ideal result is presumably because the work was ahead of its time – the above-mentioned new catalyst for aqueous Suzuki coupling was not invented yet in 2008. In addition to genetic encoding of boronophenylalanine, Davis and co-workers recently developed an elegant approach to incorporate boronoalanine (Bal) into proteins (Fig. 15(C)).¹³⁴ Specifically, a Bal residue was installed by borylation of a dehydroalanine (Dha) residue under biocompatible conditions. Bal-incorporated proteins were examined for a myriad of properties. Particularly noteworthy in the context of this review is the observation that Bal-presenting proteins bind nopoldiol and fructose with similar potencies as phenylboronic acid. Interestingly, a mCherry variant with Bal incorporated was found to bind mammalian cell surface polyols at 10 μM concentrations, although the exact nature of this binding event is not clear. We note that, in the same year, Roelfes and co-workers interperdently described this methodology for Dha-to-Bal transformation and applied it to a number of peptide natural products.¹³⁵ More recently, a desulfurative borylation protocol was developed by Walczak and coworkers, which allowed direct transformation of a cysteine thiol directly into Bal in peptides and proteins.¹³⁶



6.2 Metal-catalysed conjugation with native protein nucleophiles

To explore the potential of organic boronic acids for native protein modification, Ball and co-workers examined the role of various metal catalysts and this line of efforts revealed several new conjugation reactions of proteins.¹³⁷ For example, histidine-directed arylation/alkenylation of backbone NH was achieved under Cu²⁺ catalysis (Fig. 15(B)).¹³⁸ The Chan–Lam like reaction exhibits remarkable site-selectivity and modifies the backbone amide NH preceding a histidine residue. This histidine directed selectivity can be rationalized by the formation of an ATCUN-like copper complex that activates the backbone amide (Fig. 15(B)). Ball and co-workers further reported a pyroglutamate–histidine (Glp–His) dipeptide motif that is particularly amenable to the copper catalysed coupling with aryl/alkenyl boronic acids.¹³⁹ This peptide motif underwent aryl/alkenyl boronic acids (1 mM) over the time course of minutes under copper (0.3 mM) catalysis. The Glp–His motif can be engineered into recombinant proteins through glutaminyl cyclase processing a N-terminal QH dipeptide, which enables facile and site-specific labelling of recombinant proteins. The copper mediated backbone modification was found to be quite sensitive to sterics – *ortho*-substituted aryl BA or even *cis*-alkenyl BA afforded no backbone NH modification. Taking advantage of this finding, Ball and co-workers developed a method for cysteine thiol arylation with arylboronic acid (Fig. 15(C)).¹⁴⁰ Specifically, *ortho*-nitro substituted aryl boronic acid readily reacted with cysteine thiols under Ni²⁺ catalysis. The reaction completes over 10 min with 2 mM 2-nitrophenyl boronic acid and 1 mM of nickel salt. Combining these two distinctive reactivities, the Ball group devised a dual boronic acid reagent that allowed conjugation to a cysteine and then a Glp–His motif sequentially.¹⁴¹ Finally, tyrosine-selective conjugation chemistry was realized using an *ortho*-amide substituted aryl boronic acid under Rh³⁺ catalysis, which resulted in a tyrosine–Rh complex with remarkable stability.¹⁴²

6.3 Vinylboronic acid for iEDDA conjugation

The Bonger group in 2016 identified vinylboronic acid as a new non-strained alkene that reacted with 3,6-dipyridyl-*s*-tetrazines following the mechanism of inversed electron demand Diels–Alder (iEDDA) reaction (Fig. 15(D)).¹⁴³ An optimized vinylboronic acid gave a second-order rate constant of 27 M^{−1} s^{−1} in aqueous solution at room temperature, which is one order of magnitude faster than the commonly used tetrazine reactive partner, norbornene (2.2 M^{−1} s^{−1}). The proposed mechanism suggests that a boric acid is released immediately after the iEDDA cycloaddition, followed by dinitrogen release by a retro-Diels–Alder reaction. The author further showed that the vinylboronic acid moiety is compatible with cellular components, stable in cell lysate, and applicable for protein modifications. Recently, Bonger and co-workers demonstrated that this biorthogonal chemistry is suitable for protein labelling in live cells,¹⁴⁴ as well as for prodrug design with tetrazine triggered drug release.¹⁴⁵

7. Conclusion

This contribution aims to present an up-to-date summary of the novel bioconjugation reactions enabled by the use of organo-boron species. To this end, several classes of bioconjugation reactions have been discussed that tap into the biocompatibility as well as the unique reactivities of boronic acids and esters. Whenever applicable, we provide an in-depth discussion of the reaction mechanisms with the intention to inspire organic chemists and chemical biologists to further expand the repertoire of the organoboron enabled bioconjugations.

In conclusion, we highlight several unique features of boron enabled bioconjugation chemistries: (1) the facile B–N and B–O bond formation has given rise to a family of fast conjugation chemistries, with many reactions displaying a second order reaction rate constant over 1000 M^{−1} s^{−1}. The fast reaction kinetics ensures efficient conjugation even at low micromolar concentrations of reactants; (2) the dynamic nature of the B–N and B–O bonds renders reversibility to a number of the organoboron mediated bioconjugations. Such dynamic conjugation reactions in turn give rise to a collection of rare but powerful tools to covalently “bind” native biomolecules. This feature, discussed in detail in the context of boronate ester formation as well as the use of iminoboronate chemistry to bind amines, is rarely seen in other families of bioconjugation reactions; (3) the majority of the organoboron elicited bioconjugations exhibit remarkable tunability. The thermodynamic and kinetic stability of the conjugation products can be systematically tuned to fit the needs of specific biological applications. This manifests in the successful development of stimuli responsive molecules and materials¹²² for various applications including protein purification and delivery of drugs. Overall, introducing boron into biological settings has resulted in a rapidly increasing collection of bioconjugation reactions, which display unique properties absent from other family of bioconjugations. We expect that further exploration into this domain will yield more diverse and useful tools for biological research and therapeutic innovations. To this end, the focus of future research could be on the suitability for *in vivo* applications. While much excitement has been demonstrated with test tube studies such as protein labelling, only a few limited *in vivo* studies have been reported to date,^{40,71} which demonstrate the potential applicability of 2-APBA mediated conjugation in living animals. More and systematic studies on this front will yield valuable insight into the potential, as well as challenges that need to be overcome, for boron-enabled conjugation chemistries.

Data availability

The current submission is a review article and hence no new research data were generated. Any cited data can be found in the relevant citations. When crystal structures are presented, PDB or CCDC ID is provided.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.



Acknowledgements

The Gao group acknowledges the financial support provided by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (GM152005), the National Science Foundation (CHE-2204078), and the Ono Pharma Foundation.

References

- H. C. Kolb, M. G. Finn and K. B. Sharpless, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 2004–2021.
- C. R. Bertozzi, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2011, **44**, 651–653.
- J. A. Prescher and C. R. Bertozzi, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2005, **1**, 13–21.
- Y. Liu, M. P. Patricelli and B. F. Cravatt, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1999, **96**, 14694–14699.
- I. Uluisik, H. C. Karakaya and A. Koc, *J. Trace Elem. Med. Biol.*, 2018, **45**, 156–162.
- B. C. Das, N. K. Nandwana, S. Das, V. Nandwana, M. A. Shareef, Y. Das, M. Saito, L. M. Weiss, F. Almaguel, N. S. Hosmane and T. Evans, *Molecules*, 2022, **27**, 2615.
- S. Cambray and J. Gao, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2018, **51**, 2198–2206.
- B. Akgun and D. G. Hall, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 13028–13044.
- J. P. M. António, R. Russo, C. P. Carvalho, P. M. S. D. Cal and P. M. P. Gois, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2019, **48**, 3513–3536.
- S. Chatterjee, E. V. Anslyn and A. Bandyopadhyay, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 1585–1599.
- Y. Furikado, T. Nagahata, T. Okamoto, T. Sugaya, S. Iwatsuki, M. Inamo, H. D. Takagi, A. Odani and K. Ishihara, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 13194–13202.
- W. L. A. Brooks, C. C. Deng and B. S. Sumerlin, *ACS Omega*, 2018, **3**, 17863–17870.
- T. P. Smith, I. W. Windsor, K. T. Forest and R. T. Raines, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2017, **60**, 7820–7834.
- C. F. Dai, A. Sagwal, Y. F. Cheng, H. J. Peng, W. X. Chen and B. H. Wang, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2012, **84**, 2479–2498.
- M. P. Curran and K. McKeage, *Drugs*, 2009, **69**, 859–888.
- R. C. Kane, A. T. Farrell, R. Sridhara and R. Pazdur, *Clin. Cancer Res.*, 2006, **12**, 2955–2960.
- M. Groll, C. R. Berkens, H. L. Ploegh and H. Ova, *Structure*, 2006, **14**, 451–456.
- M. Shirley, *Drugs*, 2016, **76**, 405–411.
- B. E. Elewski, R. Aly, S. L. Baldwin, R. F. González Soto, P. Rich, M. Weisfeld, H. Wiltz, L. T. Zane and R. Pollak, *J. Am. Acad. Dermatol.*, 2015, **73**, 62–69.
- T. Akama, S. J. Baker, Y.-K. Zhang, V. Hernandez, H. Zhou, V. Sanders, Y. Freund, R. Kimura, K. R. Maples and J. J. Plattner, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2009, **19**, 2129–2132.
- M. Z. H. Kazmi, O. M. Schneider and D. G. Hall, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2023, **66**, 13768–13787.
- J. P. Lorand and J. O. Edwards, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1959, **24**, 769–774.
- J. Chan, S. C. Dodani and C. J. Chang, *Nat. Chem.*, 2012, **4**, 973–984.
- B. Gatin-Fraudet, R. Ottenwelter, T. Le Saux, S. Norsikian, M. Pucher, T. Lombes, A. Baron, P. Durand, G. Doisneau, Y. Bourdreux, B. I. Iorga, M. Erard, L. Jullien, D. Guianvarc'h, D. Urban and B. Vauzeilles, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2021, **118**, e2107503118.
- B. J. Graham, I. W. Windsor, B. Gold and R. T. Raines, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2021, **118**, e2013691118.
- J. Yan, G. Springsteen, S. Deeter and B. H. Wang, *Tetrahedron*, 2004, **60**, 11205–11209.
- T. D. James, K. R. A. S. Sandanayake and S. Shinkai, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1996, **35**, 1910–1922.
- S. Jin, Y. Cheng, S. Reid, M. Li and B. Wang, *Med. Res. Rev.*, 2010, **30**, 171–257.
- X. Wu, Z. Li, X.-X. Chen, J. S. Fossey, T. D. James and Y.-B. Jiang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 8032–8048.
- S. D. Bull, M. G. Davidson, J. M. H. van den Elsen, J. S. Fossey, A. T. A. Jenkins, Y.-B. Jiang, Y. Kubo, F. Marken, K. Sakurai, J. Zhao and T. D. James, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 312–326.
- W. Zhai, X. Sun, T. D. James and J. S. Fossey, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2015, **10**, 1836–1848.
- G. T. Williams, J. L. Kedge and J. S. Fossey, *ACS Sens.*, 2021, **6**, 1508–1528.
- R. J. Grams, W. L. Santos, I. R. Scorei, A. Abad-García, C. A. Rosenblum, A. Bitá, H. Cerecetto, C. Viñas and M. A. Soriano-Ursúa, *Chem. Rev.*, 2024, **124**, 2441–2511.
- W. L. Brooks and B. S. Sumerlin, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 1375–1397.
- G. S. Han and D. W. Domaille, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2022, **10**, 6263–6278.
- T. L. Halo, J. Appelbaum, E. M. Hobert, D. M. Balkin and A. Schepartz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 438–439.
- B. Akgun and D. G. Hall, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 3909–3913.
- B. Akgun, C. Li, Y. Hao, G. Lambkin, R. Derda and D. G. Hall, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 14285–14291.
- J. G. Haggett and D. W. Domaille, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2024, **30**, e202302485.
- S. Palvai, J. Bhangu, B. Akgun, C. T. Moody, D. G. Hall and Y. Brudno, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2020, **31**, 2288–2292.
- G. Springsteen and B. Wang, *Tetrahedron*, 2002, **58**, 5291–5300.
- R. Pizer and L. Babcock, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1977, **16**, 1677–1681.
- A. Gennari, C. Gujral, E. Hohn, E. Lallana, F. Cellesi and N. Tirelli, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2017, **28**, 1391–1402.
- M. L. Stolorow, C. Ahlem, K. A. Hughes, R. J. Kaiser, E. A. Kesicki, G. Li, K. P. Lund, S. M. Torkelson and J. P. Wiley, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2001, **12**, 229–239.
- S. B. Shin, R. D. Almeida, G. Gerona-Navarro, C. Bracken and S. R. Jaffrey, *Chem. Biol.*, 2010, **17**, 1171–1176.
- M. M. Zegota, T. Wang, C. Seidler, D. Y. Wah Ng, S. L. Kuan and T. Weil, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2018, **29**, 2665–2670.
- J. P. Wiley, K. A. Hughes, R. J. Kaiser, E. A. Kesicki, K. P. Lund and M. L. Stolorow, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2001, **12**, 240–250.
- D. Y. W. Ng, M. Arzt, Y. Wu, S. L. Kuan, M. Lamla and T. Weil, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 324–328.



- 49 S. Moffatt, S. Wiehle and R. J. Cristiano, *Hum. Gene Ther.*, 2005, **16**, 57–67.
- 50 S. Moffatt, S. Wiehle and R. J. Cristiano, *Gene Ther.*, 2006, **13**, 1512–1523.
- 51 B. E. Collins, P. Metola and E. V. Anslyn, *Supramol. Chem.*, 2013, **25**, 79–86.
- 52 B. E. Collins, S. Sorey, A. E. Hargrove, S. H. Shabbir, V. M. Lynch and E. V. Anslyn, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **74**, 4055–4060.
- 53 B. M. Chapin, P. Metola, V. M. Lynch, J. F. Stanton, T. D. James and E. V. Anslyn, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, **81**, 8319–8330.
- 54 H. E. Dunn, J. C. Catlin and H. R. Snyder, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1968, **33**, 4483–4486.
- 55 Y. Pérez-Fuertes, A. M. Kelly, A. L. Johnson, S. Arimori, S. D. Bull and T. D. James, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, **8**, 609–612.
- 56 E. Galbraith, A. M. Kelly, J. S. Fossey, G. Kociok-Kohn, M. G. Davidson, S. D. Bull and T. D. James, *New J. Chem.*, 2009, **33**, 181–185.
- 57 M. Hutin, G. Bernardinelli and J. R. Nitschke, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2008, **14**, 4585–4593.
- 58 P. M. Cal, J. B. Vicente, E. Pires, A. V. Coelho, L. F. Veiros, C. Cordeiro and P. M. Gois, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 10299–10305.
- 59 N. J. Gutierrez-Moreno, F. Medrano and A. K. Yatsimirsky, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2012, **10**, 6960–6972.
- 60 P. M. Cal, R. F. Frade, C. Cordeiro and P. M. Gois, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2016, **11**(2), 319–323.
- 61 A. Bandyopadhyay, K. A. McCarthy, M. A. Kelly and J. Gao, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 6561.
- 62 A. Bandyopadhyay and J. Gao, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 14748–14752.
- 63 J. Crueiras, A. Rios, E. Riveiros, T. L. Amyes and J. P. Richard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 2041–2050.
- 64 L. Boike, N. J. Henning and D. K. Nomura, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2022, **21**, 881–898.
- 65 J. Singh, R. C. Petter, T. A. Baillie and A. Whitty, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2011, **10**, 307–317.
- 66 A. Bandyopadhyay and J. Gao, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2016, **34**, 110–116.
- 67 J. Gao and V. Nobile, in *Annual Reports in Medicinal Chemistry*, ed. R. A. Ward and N. P. Grimster, Academic Press, 2021, vol. 56, pp. 75–94.
- 68 M. Gehringer and S. A. Laufer, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2019, **62**, 5673–5724.
- 69 D. Oksenberg, K. Dufu, M. P. Patel, C. Chuang, Z. Li, Q. Xu, A. Silva-Garcia, C. Zhou, A. Hutchaleelaha, L. Patskovska, Y. Patskovsky, S. C. Almo, U. Sinha, B. W. Metcalf and D. R. Archer, *Br. J. Haematol.*, 2016, **175**, 141–153.
- 70 C. Slavetinsky, S. Kuhn and A. Peschel, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Mol. Cell Biol. Lipids*, 2017, **1862**, 1310–1318.
- 71 T. A. Aweda, Z. F. B. Muftuler, A. V. F. Massicano, D. Gadhia, K. A. McCarthy, S. Queern, A. Bandyopadhyay, J. Gao and S. E. Lapi, *Contrast Media Mol. Imaging*, 2019, **2019**, 3149249.
- 72 G. P. Smith and V. A. Petrenko, *Chem. Rev.*, 1997, **97**, 391–410.
- 73 K. A. McCarthy, M. A. Kelly, K. Li, S. Cambray, A. S. Hosseini, T. van Opijnen and J. Gao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 6137–6145.
- 74 M. Zheng, F.-J. Chen, K. Li, R. M. Reja, F. Haeffner and J. Gao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 15885–15893.
- 75 M. Zheng and J. Gao, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2023, **18**, 2259–2266.
- 76 M. Kelly, S. Cambray, K. A. McCarthy, W. Wang, E. Geisinger, J. Ortiz-Marquez, T. van Opijnen and J. Gao, *ACS Infect. Dis.*, 2020, **6**, 2410–2418.
- 77 N. Kumar, W. Wang, J. C. Ortiz-Marquez, M. Catalano, M. Gray, N. Biglari, K. Hikari, X. Ling, J. Gao, T. van Opijnen and K. S. Burch, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2020, **156**, 112123.
- 78 G. Akçay, M. A. Belmonte, B. Aquila, C. Chuaqui, A. W. Hird, M. L. Lamb, P. B. Rawlins, N. Su, S. Tentarelli, N. P. Grimster and Q. Su, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2016, **12**, 931–936.
- 79 D. Quach, G. Tang, J. Anantharajan, N. Baburajendran, A. Poulsen, J. L. K. Wee, P. Retna, R. Li, B. Liu, D. H. Y. Tee, P. Z. Kwek, J. K. Joy, W.-Q. Yang, C.-J. Zhang, K. Foo, T. H. Keller and S. Q. Yao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 17131–17137.
- 80 R. M. Reja, W. Wang, Y. Lyu, F. Haeffner and J. Gao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 1152–1157.
- 81 S. Teng, E. W. H. Ng, Z. Zhang, C. N. Soon, H. Xu, R. Li, H. Hirao and T.-P. Loh, *Sci. Adv.*, 2023, **9**, eadg4924.
- 82 P. E. Dawson, T. W. Muir, I. Clark-Lewis and S. B. Kent, *Science*, 1994, **266**, 776–779.
- 83 H. Ren, F. Xiao, K. Zhan, Y. P. Kim, H. Xie, Z. Xia and J. Rao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 9658–9662.
- 84 A. Istrate, M. B. Geeson, C. D. Navo, B. B. Sousa, M. C. Marques, R. J. Taylor, T. Journeaux, S. R. Oehler, M. R. Mortensen, M. J. Deery, A. D. Bond, F. Corzana, G. Jiménez-Osés and G. J. L. Bernardes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 10396–10406.
- 85 X. Zheng, Z. Li, W. Gao, X. Meng, X. Li, L. Y. P. Luk, Y. Zhao, Y.-H. Tsai and C. Wu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 5097–5103.
- 86 A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Cambray and J. Gao, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 4589–4593.
- 87 H. Faustino, M. J. S. A. Silva, L. F. Veiros, G. J. L. Bernardes and P. M. P. Gois, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 5052–5058.
- 88 N. C. Rose, A. V. Sanchez, E. F. Tipple, J. M. Lynam and C. D. Spicer, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 12791–12798.
- 89 K. Li, C. Weidman and J. Gao, *Org. Lett.*, 2018, **20**, 20–23.
- 90 K. Li, M. A. Kelly and J. Gao, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2019, **17**, 5908–5912.
- 91 K. Li, W. Wang and J. Gao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 14246–14250.
- 92 A. B. Draganov, K. Wang, J. Holmes, K. Damera, D. Wang, C. Dai and B. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 15180–15183.
- 93 R. Padanha, R. A. N. Cavadas, P. Merino, J. P. M. António and P. M. P. Gois, *Org. Lett.*, 2023, **25**, 5476–5480.
- 94 R. Russo, R. Padanha, F. Fernandes, L. F. Veiros, F. Corzana and P. M. P. Gois, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2020, **26**, 15226–15231.



- 95 R. M. R. M. Lopes, A. E. Ventura, L. C. Silva, H. Faustino and P. M. P. Gois, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 12495–12499.
- 96 A. Dirksen, T. M. Hackeng and P. E. Dawson, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 7581–7584.
- 97 P. Schmidt, C. Stress and D. Gillingham, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 3329–3333.
- 98 J. Kalia and R. T. Raines, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 7523–7526.
- 99 G. S. Han and D. W. Domaille, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2021, **19**, 4986–4991.
- 100 O. Dilek, Z. Lei, K. Mukherjee and S. Bane, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 16992–16995.
- 101 S. B. Tuttle, O. Dilek and K. Mukherjee, *Patent application*, US20150329568A1, 2015.
- 102 C. J. Stress, P. J. Schmidt and D. G. Gillingham, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, **14**, 5529–5533.
- 103 D. Gillingham, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, **14**, 7606–7609.
- 104 A. Bandyopadhyay, S. Cambray and J. Gao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 871–878.
- 105 A. Chowdhury, S. Chatterjee, A. Kushwaha, S. Nanda, T. J. Philip Kumar and A. Bandyopadhyay, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2023, **29**, e202300393.
- 106 M. J. S. Dewar and R. C. Dougherty, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1962, **84**, 2648–2649.
- 107 P. Tschampel and H. R. Snyder, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1964, **29**, 2168–2172.
- 108 P. Cai, L. A. Schneider, C. Stress and D. Gillingham, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, **23**, 8772–8776.
- 109 S. Cambray, A. Bandyopadhyay and J. Gao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 12532–12535.
- 110 S. H. Klass, L. E. Sofen, Z. F. Hallberg, T. A. Fiala, A. V. Ramsey, N. S. Dolan, M. B. Francis and A. L. Furst, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, **57**, 2507–2510.
- 111 T. J. Lupoli, H. Tsukamoto, E. H. Doud, T. S. Wang, S. Walker and D. Kahne, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 10748–10751.
- 112 J. P. M. António, J. I. Carvalho, A. S. André, J. N. R. Dias, S. I. Aguiar, H. Faustino, R. M. R. M. Lopes, L. F. Veiros, G. J. L. Bernardes, F. A. da Silva and P. M. P. Gois, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 25914–25921.
- 113 H. Gu, T. I. Chio, Z. Lei, R. J. Staples, J. S. Hirschi and S. Bane, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, **15**, 7543–7548.
- 114 T. I. Chio, H. Gu, K. Mukherjee, L. N. Tumey and S. L. Bane, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2019, **30**, 1554–1564.
- 115 H. Gu, S. Ghosh, R. J. Staples and S. L. Bane, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2019, **30**, 2604–2613.
- 116 Y. Li, Y. Liu, R. Ma, Y. Xu, Y. Zhang, B. Li, Y. An and L. Shi, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2017, **9**, 13056–13067.
- 117 R. Ma, C. Zhang, Y. Liu, C. Li, Y. Xu, B. Li, Y. Zhang, Y. An and L. Shi, *RSC Adv.*, 2017, **7**, 21328–21335.
- 118 M. K. Meadows, E. K. Roesner, V. M. Lynch, T. D. James and E. V. Anslyn, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, **19**, 3179–3182.
- 119 F. M. F. Santos, A. I. Matos, A. E. Ventura, J. Gonçalves, L. F. Veiros, H. F. Florindo and P. M. P. Gois, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 9346–9350.
- 120 F. M. F. Santos, J. N. Rosa, N. R. Candeias, C. P. Carvalho, A. I. Matos, A. E. Ventura, H. F. Florindo, L. C. Silva, U. Pischel and P. M. P. Gois, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 1631–1637.
- 121 V. G. Jiménez, F. M. F. Santos, S. Castro-Fernández, J. M. Cuerva, P. M. P. Gois, U. Pischel and A. G. Campaña, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **83**, 14057–14062.
- 122 F. M. F. Santos, Z. Domínguez, M. M. Alcaide, A. I. Matos, H. F. Florindo, N. R. Candeias, P. M. P. Gois and U. Pischel, *ChemPhotoChem*, 2018, **2**, 1038–1045.
- 123 S. Baldo, P. Antunes, J. F. Felicidade, F. M. F. Santos, J. F. Arteaga, F. Fernandes, U. Pischel, S. N. Pinto and P. M. P. Gois, *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2022, **13**, 128–133.
- 124 J. Felicidade, F. M. F. Santos, J. F. Arteaga, P. Remón, R. Campos-González, H.-C. Nguyen, F. Nájera, F. Boscá, D. Y. W. Ng, P. M. P. Gois and U. Pischel, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2023, **29**, e202300579.
- 125 N. Miyaura, K. Yamada and A. Suzuki, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1979, **20**, 3437–3440.
- 126 A. Ojida, H. Tsutsumi, N. Kasagi and I. Hamachi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2005, **46**, 3301–3305.
- 127 J. M. Chalker, C. S. C. Wood and B. G. Davis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 16346–16347.
- 128 C. D. Spicer and B. G. Davis, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 1698–1700.
- 129 C. D. Spicer, T. Triemer and B. G. Davis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 800–803.
- 130 M. K. Bilyard, H. J. Bailey, L. Raich, M. A. Gafitescu, T. Machida, J. Iglésias-Fernández, S. S. Lee, C. D. Spicer, C. Rovira, W. W. Yue and B. G. Davis, *Nature*, 2018, **563**, 235–240.
- 131 T.-K. Lee, B. Manandhar, K. J. Kassees and J.-M. Ahn, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2020, **85**, 1376–1384.
- 132 Z. Gao, V. Gouverneur and B. G. Davis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 13612–13615.
- 133 E. Brustad, M. L. Bushey, J. W. Lee, D. Groff, W. Liu and P. G. Schultz, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 8220–8223.
- 134 T. A. Mollner, P. G. Isenegger, B. Josephson, C. Buchanan, L. Lercher, D. Oehrich, D. F. Hansen, S. Mohammed, A. J. Baldwin, V. Gouverneur and B. G. Davis, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2021, **17**, 1245–1261.
- 135 R. H. de Vries, J. H. Viel, O. P. Kuipers and G. Roelfes, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 3946–3950.
- 136 R. Jing, W. C. Powell, K. J. Fisch and M. A. Walczak, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 22354–22360.
- 137 Z. T. Ball, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **52**, 566–575.
- 138 J. Ohata, M. B. Minus, M. E. Abernathy and Z. T. Ball, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 7472–7475.
- 139 J. Ohata, Y. Zeng, L. Segatori and Z. T. Ball, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 4015–4019.
- 140 K. Hanaya, J. Ohata, M. K. Miller, A. E. Mangubat-Medina, M. J. Swierczynski, D. C. Yang, R. M. Rosenthal, B. V. Popp and Z. T. Ball, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 2841–2844.
- 141 M. K. Miller, M. J. Swierczynski, Y. Ding and Z. T. Ball, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, **23**, 5334–5338.



- 142 J. Ohata, M. K. Miller, C. M. Mountain, F. Vohidov and Z. T. Ball, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 2827–2830.
- 143 S. Eising, F. Lelivelt and K. M. Bongers, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 12243–12247.
- 144 S. Eising, N. G. A. van der Linden, F. Kleinpenning and K. M. Bongers, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2018, **29**, 982–986.
- 145 L. P. W. M. Lelieveldt, S. Eising, A. Wijten and K. M. Bongers, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2019, **17**, 8816–8821.

