

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2023, 14, 12083

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 26th July 2023
Accepted 19th October 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3sc03868h

rsc.li/chemical-science

Trifluoromethylarylation of alkenes using anilines†

Carlos Corral Suarez ^{ab} and Ignacio Colomer ^{*ab}

Nitrogen containing compounds, such as anilines, are some of the most widespread and useful chemical species, although their high and unselective reactivity has prevented their incorporation into many interesting transformations, such as the functionalization of alkenes. Herein we report a method that allows the trifluoromethylarylation of alkenes using anilines, for the first time, with no need for additives, transition metals, photocatalysts or an excess of reagents. An in-depth mechanistic study reveals the key role of hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP) as a unique solvent, establishing a hydrogen bonding network with aniline and trifluoromethyl reagent, that is responsible for the altered reactivity and exquisite selectivity. This work uncovers a new mode of reactivity that involves the use of abundant anilines as a non-prefunctionalized aromatic source and the simultaneous activation of trifluoromethyl hypervalent iodine reagent.

Introduction

It is well recognised that alkenes are versatile substrates that offer great potential for diversification using a plethora of established reactions, including epoxidation, dihydroxylation, aminations, halogenations or borylations. In recent years chemists have sought to incorporate arylation and alkylation into the toolbox of available chemical reactions to functionalize alkenes. Furthermore, functionalizing both positions of an olefin in an intermolecular fashion, creating two new C–C bonds, by simultaneously introducing an aromatic and an aliphatic motif in a single step is certainly a powerful transformation, although underdeveloped.¹ Given the relevance of the trifluoromethyl group,² one outstanding example of such transformations is the trifluoromethylarylation of alkenes, for which there are a handful of methods, each one developed for a particular type of substrate (Fig. 1A). Seminal contribution revolved around a copper-catalyzed process using aryl boronic acids as a pre-functionalized aromatic source,³ later on expanded into an asymmetric version.⁴ Shortly after, anisoles and indoles were used in a photoredox approach,⁵ the latter recently engaging into an asymmetric transformation using chiral phosphoric acids under copper catalysis.⁶ In a beautiful and elegant approach the scope has been expanded to incorporate pyridines using pre-functionalized 4-cyanopyridines under reductive radical coupling.⁷ Alternatively, isoquinolines were recently reported to react with enol ethers *via* Minisci type

reaction,⁸ while complementary intramolecular aryl migration has been reported, requiring engineered substrates.⁹

Thus, the scope of the aromatic partner is notably restricted, and incorporating other privileged motifs, such as anilines, is highly desirable. Although it could be in principle considered as a straightforward transformation, it is in fact a challenging reaction (Fig. 1B), among other reasons because: (1) anilines are easily oxidized to their radical cation affording a mixture of dimers and polymers,¹⁰ (2) alkenes are prone to polymerize under acidic conditions, especially electron rich ones,¹¹ (3) multiple reactive positions of anilines tend to give unselective functionalization.¹² These undesired effects have prevented anilines from being incorporated into this transformation,¹³ despite their prevalence in an uncountable number of bioactive molecules and their importance in agrochemical and medicinal industries. However, recent efforts are providing selective methods for *ortho*,¹⁴ *meta*¹⁵ and *para*¹⁶ functionalization. Within this context we have recently reported the hydroarylation of alkenes using anilines, where the solvent hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP) played a fundamental role, both transferring a proton to the alkene and also promoting the selective functionalization of anilines at the *para* position (Fig. 1C, previous work).¹⁷ As part of our programme to access new sustainable metal-free transformations we aim to exploit the amazing properties of HFIP not only to protonate an alkene, but also to promote the transfer of other interesting functional groups.¹⁸ HFIP has emerged as a powerful solvent that can be easily recycled, with very peculiar physical properties that promote a unique chemical reactivity, elongating the stability of polar and radical intermediates or enhancing the reactivity of chemical species.¹⁹


This work presents a new strategy for the trifluoromethylarylation of alkenes that allows the incorporation of anilines for the first time. This new approach is conceptually

^aInstituto de Química Orgánica General (IQOG), CSIC, Juan de la Cierva 3, 28006 Madrid, Spain. E-mail: colomer@iqog.csic.es


^bIMDEA Nanociencia, Faraday 9, 28049 Madrid, Spain

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2219810. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3sc03868h>

A. Established intermolecular trifluoromethyl arylation of alkenes



B. Challenges



C. HFIP allows selective functionalization of anilines using alkenes




Fig. 1 Trifluoromethylarylation of alkenes. (A) Established methods using different aromatic sources. (B) Using anilines entails challenges, such as oxidative side-reactions or non-regioselective functionalization. (C) HFIP is unique at promoting selective aniline functionalization.

different from previous strategies and does not rely on transition metals or photocatalysts, but rather uses HFIP to promote this transformation, which could not be achieved otherwise (Fig. 1C, this work).

Results and discussion

Optimization and scope

Using model substrates *N*-benzyl aniline **1a** and 4-methoxy styrene **2a**, an extensive screening of conditions was performed, including trifluoromethyl reagents, solvent, temperature, stoichiometry and additives (ESI, Tables S1–S5†). Optimal conditions were found employing trifluoromethyl hypervalent iodine **3** and equimolecular amounts of reagents in HFIP at 40 °C, giving a 72% yield of the desired product **4a** (Table 1, entry 1). It is important to emphasize that the hydroarylation background reaction is suppressed as it needs harsher conditions.¹⁷

Most of the variables studied have little to moderate impact on the outcome. Neither changing the concentration (Table 1, entry 2) nor the temperature (Table 1, entries 3 and 4) of the reaction improves the isolated yields. However, both HFIP and reagent **3**, with an ether backbone, are key for a productive transformation. Alternative trifluoromethyl reagents notably diminished the yield (Table 1, entries 5–7), while other common solvents gave no product (Table 1, entry 8), with analogous trifluoroethanol (TFE) providing very little product (Table 1, entry 9). Finally, using an excess of aniline **1a** or alkene **2a** slightly diminished the isolated yield (Table 1, entries 10 and 11), while using an excess of **3** has a very negative effect (Table 1, entry 12), probably as a result of competing non-desired oxidative pathways.

With these optimal conditions, the scope of the reaction was then explored. First, the aniline counterpart was evaluated (Fig. 2), where not only benzyl (**4a**), but also methyl (**4b**) or even

the challenging free aniline (**4c**) are tolerated, as well as tertiary aniline (**4d**). The aromatic ring can be extensively modified in both *ortho* and *meta* positions. Concerning the *ortho* position, aliphatic (**4e**), benzylic (**4f**) and aromatic substituents (**4g**) are competent substrates. Electron donating heteroatoms can be

Table 1 Selected optimization details for the trifluoromethylarylation

Entry	Deviation from optimized conditions	Isolated yield, 4a (%)
1	None	72%
2	HFIP (0.2 M) at 40 °C	67%
3	HFIP (0.2 M) at rt	58%
4	HFIP (0.2 M) at 80 °C	53%
5	instead of 3	34%
6	instead of 3	nr
7	and CF ₃ SO ₂ Na instead of 3	nr
8	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , CH ₃ CN or AcOH (0.2 M) at rt	nr
9	TFE (0.2 M) at rt	11%
10	2.0 equiv. of 1a	65%
11	2.0 equiv. of 2a	63%
12	2.0 equiv. of 3	22%







Fig. 2 Scope of the trifluoromethylarylation of alkenes using anilines in HFIP. General conditions: 0.2 mmol of aniline **1**, 0.2 mmol of alkene **2** and 0.2 mmol of **3** in 0.5 mL of HFIP. PMP: *para*-methoxyphenyl. DNBSCl: 2,4-dinitrobenzenesulfonyl chloride.


incorporated with an exquisite *para* regiocontrol (**4h**), as well as electron-withdrawing halides, where both chloro and bromo derivatives are isolated with respectable yields (**4i** and **4j**). The *meta* position was similarly investigated and both aliphatic (**4k**) and aromatic substituents (**4l**) can be accommodated. Moreover, functional groups, such as an alkyne (**4m**) or an ester (**4n**), that can serve as a handle for further modifications, are viable substrates. Electron deficient halides (fluoro, bromo and chloro) provide from excellent to good yields (**4o–q**). Substrates bearing more than one substituent with different patterns of substitution and electronic and steric properties complete the aniline scope (**4r–t**), while a fused bicycle gives a beautiful tetrahydronaphthalene derivative (**4u**).

We then moved to study the alkene component, exploring monosubstituted alkenes with aromatic substitution, which tolerated phenols (**4v** and **4w**), thioether (**4x**) or a dihydrobenzofuran (**4y**) with very good results. Switching to 1,1-disubstituted alkenes bearing two aromatic groups, with no substitution (**4z**), aliphatic or halide substituents in *para* (**4aa–ac**), *meta* (**4ad** and **4ae**) and *ortho* (**4af**) positions, or attaching heteroaromatic pyridyl motifs in variable locations (**4ag** and **4ah**) resulted in moderate to good yields. Aliphatic substituents can be incorporated (**4ai**), bearing functional groups, such as an ester (**4aj**) or with a pendant valuable heteroaromatic thiophene (**4ak**) or pyridyl (**4al**) groups. Not only acyclic but also exocyclic terminal alkenes work, with different substitution patterns and ring sizes from cycloheptene (**4am**) to cyclobutene (**4an**). Moving on to 1,2-disubstituted alkenes, both acyclic *trans*-anethole (**4ao**) and cyclic indene or naphthalene derivatives (**4ap–aq**) performed extremely well, the latter producing single *trans* diastereoisomers, confirmed *via* the X-ray crystallographic structure of a sulfonamide derivative (**5**). Trisubstituted alkenes, both acyclic (**4ar**) and cyclic (**4as**), are also viable substrates, however a low diastereoselection is observed, probably due to a thermodynamically controlled process, where it is hard to discriminate Me *vs.* CF₃ (for **4ao**) or Ph *vs.* PhNHBn (for **4as**). Finally, conjugated 1,3-dienes engage in the reaction, expanding the substrate scope with an excellent 1,4-addition outcome (**4at**), allowing access to the challenging CF₃ all-carbon quaternary centre (**4au**).²⁰ It is important to emphasize that an exquisite C- (*vs.* N-) and *para* (*vs.* *ortho*) regiocontrol was observed in all cases, isolating the products in moderate to good yields, within the same range for similar transformations.^{3–8} The *ortho* product is only observed when blocking the *para* position with a substituent (see ESI†), something that is in sharp contrast to the very few examples of amino trifluoromethylation of alkenes using anilines, that react *via* the amino group.²¹ We have also identified by-products, from side reactions, including trifluoromethyl olefins (that are not competent intermediates of the reaction, see ESI†) and aniline polymerization. Limitations in the scope include the use of electron rich alkenes (aliphatic alkenes such as 1-hexene or 3-hexene are not viable substrates, ESI†), while anilines appear to be unique substrates (other aromatic sources such as anisoles, phenol, durene or aminopyridines are not competent substrates).

A. Reactivity of trifluoromethylarylated products



B. Selective functionalization of bioactive molecules



C. Sequential trifluoromethylarylation and hydroarylation of quinolines




Fig. 3 Derivatization and selective functionalization.

Functionalization and applications

Further manipulation of the products includes debenzoylation under reductive conditions (**4c** from **4a**) or conversion of the PMP moiety into a valuable carboxylic acid under oxidative conditions,²² affording α -aryl β -trifluoromethyl carboxylic acid **6**, otherwise challenging to obtain (Fig. 3A). Hydrogenation of alkene **4at** entails aniline debenzoylation and alkene reduction in one step to afford **7**. Oxidation of PMP gives complementary α -aryl δ -trifluoromethyl carboxylic acid **8** (Fig. 3A). Alternatively, the method can be applied in the selective functionalization of bioactive molecules, such as the anti-inflammatory mefenamic acid,²³ a challenging example bearing two aromatic rings susceptible to be functionalized, where a complete chemo control is observed (Fig. 3B, **4av–ax**). The versatility of this method, combined with our previous hydroarylation reaction,¹⁷ was tested in a sequential transformation using dihydroquinoline **9**, that first serves as the alkene component in a trifluoromethylarylation process, to render tetrahydroquinoline **10**, that subsequently reacts as the aniline partner in a hydroarylation step, giving the highly functionalized tetrahydroquinoline **11** (Fig. 3C).

Mechanistic experiments

As a first approach to elucidate the mechanism we first confirmed the exquisite preference of anilines to react under these conditions. In a competition experiment using aniline **1a**






Fig. 4 Experiments to elucidate the mechanism.



and an excess of anisole, previously shown to be a competent substrate under photocatalysis,⁵ the product derived from aniline **4a** was exclusively formed (Fig. 4A). Moreover, the anisole product was independently synthesized and submitted with aniline **1a** and HFIP, recovering only starting materials, ruling out a fast retroarylation (see ESI†). Using radical scavengers BHT or TEMPO does not hamper the reaction (radical adducts CF₃-BHT or CF₃-TEMPO are not detected), refuting a radical mechanism with extra radical clock experiments (Fig. 4A and ESI†). This is in agreement with related computational studies supporting a cationic mechanism.²⁴ Using pure *E* and *Z* acyclic alkene **2u** affords the same stereochemical outcome, supporting a free rotating intermediate, such as a benzylic carbocation, and ruling out any concerted addition, such as an iodonium intermediate (Fig. 4A).

We then directed our efforts to uncover the interactions that trigger the unique reactivity and selectivity displayed using HFIP. The unique behaviour of trifluoromethyl reagent **3** in HFIP prompted us to study its different electrophilic character both in a solvent where the reaction occurs (HFIP) and a solvent where the reaction does not proceed (acetonitrile, ACN). Cyclic voltammetry of **3** in ACN shows an irreversible reductive peak at -1.75 V, in agreement with a reported value,²⁵ while in HFIP the analogous irreversible reductive peak is observed at -0.58 V (Fig. 4B). This 1.17 V decrease in the reductive potential of **3** using HFIP (not observed for non-competent trifluoromethyl reagents) points towards a more oxidative species, easier to be reduced, or in other words a more electrophilic trifluoromethyl hypervalent iodine reagent might be present in HFIP.

To elucidate the interactions between aniline **1**, trifluoromethyl reagent **3** and HFIP that could be responsible of the altered reactivity, we performed an extensive and systematic NMR study including ¹H NMR, ¹⁹F NMR, NOESY, HOESY and DOSY for both the individual species (HFIP, **1b** and **3**), binary mixtures of HFIP and **1b** or **3**, and the most representative and interesting ternary mixture (HFIP, **1b** and **3**), using TMS as a reference and CDCl₃ as the supporting solvent due to its neutral behaviour with respect to interactions between species. Firstly, ¹H NMR shows a notable downfield shift of the aniline NH and HFIP OH in the ternary mixture with respect to individual species (Fig. 4C – ¹H NMR, red boxes), strong evidence for a H-bonded species. The same downfield shift was observed for the binary mixtures, reinforcing that HFIP establishes H-bonding with both anilines and trifluoromethyl reagent **3** (see ESI† for the complete study). Secondly, NOESY of the ternary mixture revealed, among other things, a strong spatial connection between CH of HFIP and Me signals of **3** (Fig. 4C – NOESY, green box). Thirdly, HOESY of the ternary mixture revealed a strong heteronuclear spatial correlation between CF₃ of HFIP and both NMe of aniline **1b** and Me signals of **3** (Fig. 4C – HOESY, see the red and green boxes respectively and ESI† for a complete study of binary mixtures). Finally, we designed a series of DOSY experiments to confirm the existence of such an intermolecular H-bonded species in solution. The diffusion rate of individual species was established using TMS as an internal standard, following the trend: HFIP (D/D_{TMS} 1.03) > **1b** (D/D_{TMS} 0.96) > **3** (D/D_{TMS} 0.53), with HFIP diffusing quicker and

3 the slowest. For the two binary mixtures (HFIP-**1b** and HFIP-**3**) both components of each mixture diffuse at the same rate, where HFIP lowers its diffusion rate (from 1.03 to 0.83 for HFIP-**1b** and from 1.03 to 0.51 for HFIP-**3**). These data perfectly correlate with the H-bonded species of a higher molecular weight and therefore diffuse more slowly, a variation that is stronger for the HFIP-**3** mixture due to the heavy iodine atom (see ESI† for the complete study). A similar trend is observed for the ternary mixture where both HFIP and aniline **1b** tend to diffuse more slowly (from 1.03 to 0.65 for HFIP and from 0.96 to 0.85 for **1b**), while **3** remains at 0.54 (Fig. 4C – DOSY).

Finally, we performed kinetic studies to have a broader perspective. All starting materials (**1a**, **2a** and **3**) are initially consumed to form arylation product **4a** and amination product **12a** in equal amounts (8 mM), at a similar rate, during the first 20 min (Fig. 4D). Within the following 20 min, the rate of formation of **4a** increases notably, probably due to a double contribution: direct synthesis of **4a** from starting materials and conversion of intermediate **12a** into **4a** (Hoffman–Martius rearrangement,²⁶ proved independently, Fig. 4D and ESI†).

Taken together, these results support the view that the hydrogen bonding between the HFIP network and both aniline and hypervalent iodine reagent remains tight in solution. Meanwhile, hypervalent iodine reagent is activated by HFIP,²⁷ allowing the CF₃ group to be transferred to the alkene, and both direct arylation and amination are possible. However, efficient Hoffman–Martius rearrangement of the latter contributes to the formation of **4a** as the unique final product (Fig. 4E). This new mode of activating hypervalent iodine reagents *via* H-bonding to HFIP to transfer functional groups represents a good alternative to previous Brønsted and Lewis acid activation that generally used an excess of Zn, Re, Ti and other transition metals.²⁸

Conclusions

In summary, a new protocol for the trifluoromethylarylation of alkenes using anilines has been developed for the first time that entails the use of HFIP as a unique solvent to promote the reaction. More than 50 examples validate this robust method that involves mild conditions, an atom-economical process that needs no additive or transition metal catalysts. Mechanistic experiments revealed that HFIP not only serves to activate hypervalent iodine, producing a more electrophilic species, but also directs the selective reactivity of anilines *via* the *para* position of the aromatic ring, establishing a hydrogen bonding network with both reagents, which is the physical origin of this efficient and unique transformation.

Data availability

Data supporting the findings are provided within the article and in the ESI† This includes experimental procedures, characterization data of all new compounds and NMR spectra. All data are also available from the authors upon request. Crystallographic data for compound **5** was deposited within the Cambridge Structural Database and is freely available *via* the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre under CCDC number 2219810.



Author contributions

C. C. S. performed the experiments. I. C. conceived the work and guided the research. All authors contributed to designing, analysing, and discussing the experiments, writing, discussing and editing the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge funding from MCIN (RyC2019-026674-I and PID2022-141911NB-I00) and CSIC (PIE 20218AT015 and PIE 202280I025). We thank Dr Josefina Perles (UAM) for performing X-ray structure analysis and Dr Zulay D. Pardo (IMDEA Nanociencia) for helping with DOSY experiments.

Notes and references

- Representative examples using photochemical methods or transition metals, such as Ni, Cu, Co or Ru include: (a) Z.-F. Lu, Y.-M. Shen, J.-J. Yue, H.-W. Hu and J.-H. Xu, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **73**, 8010–8015; (b) S. KC, R. K. Dhungana, B. Shrestha, S. Thapa, N. Khanal, P. Basnet, R. W. Lebrun and R. Giri, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 9801–9805; (c) X.-L. Lv, C. Wang, Q.-L. Wang and W. Shu, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **21**, 56–59; (d) S. Sakurai, A. Matsumoto, T. Kano and K. Maruoka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 19017–19022; (e) X. Cheng, X. Liu, S. Wang, Y. Hu, B. Hu, A. Lei and J. Li, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 4366; (f) Y.-Y. Luan, X.-Y. Gou, W.-Y. Shi, H.-C. Liu, X. Chen and Y.-M. Liang, *Org. Lett.*, 2022, **24**, 1136–1140.
- Reviews covering trifluoromethyl functionalization of alkenes: (a) E. Merino and C. Nevado, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2014, **43**, 6598–6608; (b) H. Egami and M. Sodeoka, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 8294–8308; (c) J. Charpentier, N. Früh and A. Togni, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 650–682; (d) T. Koike and M. Akita, *Chem.*, 2018, **4**, 409–437.
- F. Wang, D. Wang, X. Mu, P. Chen and G. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 10202–10205.
- L. Wu, F. Wang, X. Wan, D. Wang, P. Chen and G. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 2904–2907.
- (a) A. Carboni, G. Dagousset, E. Magnier and G. Masson, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 14197–14200. For an alternative copper-catalyzed approach see: (b) M.-Y. Min, R.-J. Song, X.-H. Ouyang and J.-H. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 3646–3649.
- J.-S. Lin, T.-T. Li, J.-R. Liu, G.-Y. Jiao, Q.-S. Gu, J.-T. Cheng, Y.-L. Guo, X. Hong and X.-Y. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 1074–1083.
- (a) D. Chen, L. Xu, T. Long, S. Zhu, J. Yang and L. Chu, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 9012–9017; (b) J. Cao, G. Wang, L. Gao, H. Chen, X. Liu, X. Cheng and S. Li, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 2767–2772.
- J. M. Lear, J. Q. Buquoi, X. Gu, K. Pan, D. N. Mustafa and D. A. Nagib, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 8820–8823.
- Examples of semipinacol and boronate rearrangements: (a) X. Liu, F. Xiong, X. Huang, L. Xu, P. Li and X. Wu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 6962–6966; (b) R. J. Armstrong, C. Sandford, C. García-Ruiz and V. K. Aggarwal, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 4922–4925; (c) D. Wang, C. Mück-Lichtenfeld, C. G. Daniliuc and A. Studer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 9320–9326.
- Anilines can be oxidized using transition metals, electrochemistry or metal-free oxidants, such as hypervalent iodine compounds: (a) K. Morimoto, D. Koseki, T. Dohi and Y. Kita, *Synlett*, 2017, **28**, 2941–2945; (b) S. Maddala, S. Mallick and P. Venkatakrishnan, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, **82**, 8958–8972; (c) L. Bering, M. Vogt, F. M. Paulussen and A. P. Antonchick, *Org. Lett.*, 2018, **20**, 4077–4080; (d) K. Matsumoto, K. Dougomori, S. Tachikawa, T. Ishii and M. Shindo, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 4754–4757; (e) K. Matsumoto, M. Yoshida and M. Shindo, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 5272–5276; (f) L. Schulz, M. Enders, B. Elsler, D. Schollmeyer, K. M. Dyballa, R. Franke and S. R. Waldvogel, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 4877–4881.
- (a) *The chemistry of cationic polymerization*, ed. P. H. Plesch, 1963; (b) R. R. Naredla and D. A. Klumpp, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 6905–6948.
- The chemistry of anilines*, ed. S. Patai and Z. Rappoport, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2007.
- Few attempts have been made to use anilines in alkyl arylation of alkenes: (a) X. Yong, Y.-F. Han, Y. Li, R.-J. Song and J.-H. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 12816–12819; (b) M. W. Campbell, J. S. Compton, C. B. Kelly and G. A. Molander, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 20069–20078; (c) X.-L. Lv, C. Wang, Q.-L. Wang and W. Shu, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **21**, 56–59; (d) X. Wang, Y.-F. Han, X.-H. Ouyang, R.-J. Song and J.-H. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 14637–14640; (e) F. J. R. Klauck, H. Yoon, M. J. James, M. Lautens and F. Glorius, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 236–241; (f) M. J. Cabrera-Afonso, A. Sookezian, S. O. Badir, M. El Khatib and G. A. Molander, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 9189–9195; (g) X. Cheng, X. Liu, S. Wang, Y. Hu, B. Hu, A. Lei and J. Li, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 4366; (h) T. L. Buchanan, S. N. Gockel, A. M. Veatch, Y.-N. Wang and K. L. Hull, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, **23**, 4538–4542; (i) P. Hu, L. Guo, L. Zhao, C. Yang and W. Xia, *Org. Lett.*, 2022, **24**, 7583–7588.
- M. O. Tischler, M. B. Tóth and Z. Novák, *Chem. Rec.*, 2017, **17**, 184–199.
- (a) R. J. Phipps and M. J. Gaunt, *Science*, 2009, **323**, 1593–1597; (b) R.-Y. Tang, G. Li and J.-Q. Yu, *Nature*, 2014, **507**, 215–220.
- (a) C.-L. Ciana, R. J. Phipps, J. R. Brandt, F.-M. Meyer and M. J. Gaunt, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 458–462; (b) Y. Ma, B. Wang, L. Zhang and Z. Hou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 3663–3666; (c) Q. Yin, H. F. T. Klare and M. Oestreich, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 3712–3717; (d) J. A. Leitch, C. L. McMullin, A. J. Paterson, M. F. Mahon, Y. Bhonoah and C. G. Frost, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 15131–15135; (e) K. Naksomboon,



- J. Poater, F. M. Bickelhaupt and M. Á. Fernández-Ibáñez, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 6719–6725; (f) D. Lichte, N. Pirkel, G. Heinrich, S. Dutta, J. F. Goebel, D. Koley and L. J. Gooßen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202210009; (g) C. Halder, R. Bisht, J. Chaturvedi, S. Guria, M. M. M. Hassan, B. Ram and B. Chattopadhyay, *Org. Lett.*, 2022, **24**, 8147–8152; (h) N. Mali, J. G. Ibarra-Gutiérrez, L. I. Lugo Fuentes, R. Ortiz-Alvarado, L. Chacón-García, P. Navarro-Santos, J. O. C. Jiménez-Halla and C. R. Solorio-Alvarado, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2022, e202201067.
- 17 I. Colomer, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 6023–6029.
- 18 (a) I. Colomer, R. C. Barcelos, K. E. Christensen and T. J. Donohoe, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 5880–5883; (b) C. Qi, G. Force, V. Gandon and D. Leboeuf, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 946–953; (c) Y. Li, J. Bao, Y. Zhang, X. Peng, W. Yu, T. Wang, D. Yang, Q. Liu, Q. Zhang and J. Fu, *Chem*, 2022, **8**, 1147–1163; (d) V. Pozhydaiev, M. Vayer, C. Fave, J. Moran and D. Leboeuf, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202215257; (e) J. Binder, A. Biswas and T. Gulder, *Chem. Sci.*, 2023, **14**, 3907–3912; (f) G. Kirby, G. Prestat and F. Berhal, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2023, **88**, 4720–4729.
- 19 (a) I. Colomer, A. E. R. Chamberlain, M. B. Haughey and T. J. Donohoe, *Nat. Rev. Chem*, 2017, **1**, 0088; (b) H. F. Motiwala, A. M. Armaly, J. G. Cacioppo, T. C. Coombs, K. R. K. Koehn, V. M. Norwood and J. Aubé, *Chem. Rev.*, 2022, **122**, 12544–12747.
- 20 M. Vayer, R. J. Mayer, J. Moran and D. Leboeuf, *ACS Catal.*, 2022, **12**, 10995–11001.
- 21 (a) G. Dagousset, A. Carboni, E. Magnier and G. Masson, *Org. Lett.*, 2014, **16**, 4340–4343; (b) H. Ge, B. Wu, Y. Liu, H. Wang and Q. Shen, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 12414–12424; (c) N. Chen and S.-L. Zhang, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2022, **364**, 3941–3947.
- 22 P. H. J. Carlsen, T. Katsuki, V. S. Martin and K. B. Sharpless, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1981, **46**, 3936–3938.
- 23 J. K. Savjani, S. Mulamkattil, B. Variya and S. Patel, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, 2017, **801**, 28–34.
- 24 (a) L. Ling, K. Liu, X. Li and Y. Li, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 2458–2468; (b) M. Li, X.-S. Xue, J. Guo, Y. Wang and J.-P. Cheng, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, **81**, 3119–3126.
- 25 S. Mizuta, S. Verhoog, X. Wang, N. Shibata, V. Gouverneur and M. Médebielle, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2013, **155**, 124–131.
- 26 S. Wang, G. Force, R. Guillot, J.-F. Carpentier, Y. Sarazin, C. Bour, V. Gandon and D. Leboeuf, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 10794–10802.
- 27 I. Colomer, C. Batchelor-McAuley, B. Odell, T. J. Donohoe and R. G. Compton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 8855–8861.
- 28 (a) R. Koller, K. Stanek, D. Stolz, R. Aardoom, K. Niedermann and A. Togni, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 4332–4336; (b) K. Niedermann, N. Früh, R. Senn, B. Czarniecki, R. Verel and A. Togni, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 6511–6515; (c) E. Mejía and A. Togni, *ACS Catal.*, 2012, **2**, 521–527; (d) S. Izquierdo, S. Essafi, I. del Rosal, P. Vidossich, R. Pleixats, A. Vallribera, G. Ujaque, A. Lledós and A. Shafir, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 12747–12750; (e) P. Liebing, J. Kalim, N. Arefyeva, F. Oehler, M. Wickleder and A. Togni, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 8585–8588.

