



Cite this: *Mater. Horiz.*, 2022, 9, 2633

Received 20th May 2022,
Accepted 2nd August 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2mh00632d

rsc.li/materials-horizons

Grain engineering for improved charge carrier transport in two-dimensional lead-free perovskite field-effect transistors†

Shuanglong Wang,^a Sabine Frisch,^b Heng Zhang,^a Okan Yildiz,^a Mukunda Mandal,^a Naz Ugur,^a Beomjin Jeong,^a Charusheela Ramanan,^{id ac} Denis Andrienko,^{id a} Hai I. Wang,^{id a} Mischa Bonn,^{id a} Paul W. M. Blom,^{id a} Milan Kivala,^{id b} Wojciech Pisula^{id *ad} and Tomasz Marszalek^{id *ad}

Controlling crystal growth and reducing the number of grain boundaries are crucial to maximize the charge carrier transport in organic–inorganic perovskite field-effect transistors (FETs). Herein, the crystallization and growth kinetics of a Sn(II)-based 2D perovskite, using 2-thiopheneethylammonium (TEA) as the organic cation spacer, were effectively regulated by the hot-casting method. With increasing crystalline grain size, the local charge carrier mobility is found to increase moderately from $13 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ to $16 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, as inferred from terahertz (THz) spectroscopy. In contrast, the FET operation parameters, including mobility, threshold voltage, hysteresis, and subthreshold swing, improve substantially with larger grain size. The optimized 2D $(\text{TEA})_2\text{SnI}_4$ transistor exhibits hole mobility of up to $0.34 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 295 K and a higher value of $1.8 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 100 K. Our work provides an important insight into the grain engineering of 2D perovskites for high-performance FETs.

New concepts

One of the main obstacles in achieving a reliable operation of perovskite transistors at room temperature is severe ion migration, which can screen the applied gate field, resulting in poor field-effect behavior and large dual-sweeping hysteresis. Grain engineering controlled by film deposition parameters is one of the new approaches to improve charge carrier transport and understand the relation between crystallization and ion migration. Such processing conditions, like substrate temperature, are usually not considered as a powerful tool to control surface morphology and microstructure. In this work, the hot-casting method is used for an effective modulation of grain size and grain boundary number, in order to improve in-plane charge carrier transport in a perovskite film. Additionally, a close correlation between the density of the grain boundaries, charge carrier transport, and ion migration is established. We believe that the grain engineering proposed herein is a major breakthrough for developing operationally stable room-temperature perovskite transistors.

Introduction

Over the past decade, organic–inorganic hybrid metal halide perovskites have emerged as an exciting new class of semiconducting materials.^{1,2} Their simple low-temperature solution processing, combined with unique photophysical properties such as tunable bandgap, high optical absorption coefficient, large charge carrier diffusion length and superior photoluminescence quantum yield, has led to a wide range of optoelectronic applications, including solar cells, light-emitting diodes,

lasers and photodetectors.^{3–6} Perovskite semiconductors have also been employed in thin-film field-effect transistors (FETs), which provide an ideal and versatile platform to study their electronic properties. Despite the relatively high intrinsic charge carrier mobility of these semiconductors, the fabrication of reliable perovskite FETs with high performance at room temperature (RT) has remained an enormous challenge.^{7–10}

One of the fundamental obstacles is the ion migration in perovskite thin-film FETs under bias, which can partially or even completely screen the applied gate electric field.¹¹ Consequently, charge carrier transport over long macroscopic distances is obstructed, resulting in low RT field-effect mobilities. Furthermore, the ion migration causes significant hysteresis of polycrystalline FETs due to the non-stoichiometric ratio in the precursor solution, as well as grain boundaries and other structural defects in the formed film.¹² Two-dimensional (2D) hybrid perovskite semiconductors displayed natural advantages in suppressing ion movement in the FET devices due to the quantum and dielectric confinement effects.¹³ Kagan and co-workers were the first to report 2D perovskite FETs based on a $(\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{NH}_3)_2\text{SnI}_4$ $((\text{PEA})_2\text{SnI}_4)$

^a Max Planck Institute for Polymer Research, Ackermannweg 10, 55128 Mainz, Germany. E-mail: pisula@mpip-mainz.mpg.de, marszalek@mpip-mainz.mpg.de

^b Organisch-Chemisches Institut, Centre for Advanced Materials, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, 69120 Heidelberg, Germany

^c Department of Physics and Astronomy, Faculty of Sciences, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, De Boelelaan 1081, 1081 HV Amsterdam, Netherlands

^d Department of Molecular Physics, Faculty of Chemistry, Lodz University of Technology, Zeromskiego 116, 90-924 Lodz, Poland

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d2mh00632d>

The precursor compounds and solution deposition have a strong impact on the charge carrier properties of perovskites. Thiophene derivatives have been widely used as organic semiconductors as well as spacers and passivators in perovskite electronics, resulting in high device performances.^{22,23} Chiu *et al.* performed theoretical simulations and found the reduced dielectric constant of TEA compared to benchmark organic cation PEA in 2D tin perovskite, leading to narrower band gaps and improved dielectric confinement, making TEA suitable for efficient optoelectronic device applications.²⁴ This gives us the motivation to select the TEA organic spacer for the studies on the correlation between grain size and FET performance. Here, rational grain engineering by the hot-casting method is applied for Sn(II)-based 2D perovskite thin films to drastically reduce the ion migration that limits the device performance of FETs. We show that hot-casting is an efficient approach to precisely control the crystallization and growth kinetics of 2-thiopheneethylammonium tin iodide ((TEA)₂SnI₄) enabling large grain sizes. While the local charge carrier mobility improves moderately with larger grain size, the performance of the perovskite FETs is increased considerably due to a reduced density of grain boundaries that lower the charge trapping and ion migration. The insights into the role of grain boundaries in charge carrier transport and ion migration of (TEA)₂SnI₄ are important for the development of high-performance 2D perovskite-based FET devices.

Previously, we have developed several methods to precisely control the grain size and optimize the charge carrier transport in organic semiconductors.²⁸⁻³⁰ Similar to organic semiconductors, the thin-film morphology is a critical factor for efficient and stable charge transport in perovskite FETs.³¹ To correlate the morphology formation and crystallization kinetics of (TEA)₂SnI₄ with the behavior of FETs, hot-casting was applied to tune the grain size of the thin films. Fig. 2(a) schematically describes the hot-casting process for deposition of (TEA)₂SnI₄ thin films. In contrast to the procedure reported in the literature, the approach involves spin-coating a mixture of organic cation TEA and tin iodide (SnI₂) solution onto a hot substrate maintained at a temperature range from RT to 160 °C to obtain a uniform film. This process was modified to the reported method to ensure continuity (pinhole-free structure) and homogeneity of the obtained film and to control the lateral grain size and film roughness, which finally determine the charge carrier transport in (TEA)₂SnI₄ FETs. Atomic force microscopy (AFM) was used to evaluate the role of substrate temperature on the (TEA)₂SnI₄ film




Fig. 1 (a) Illustration of the layered crystal structure of $(\text{TEA})_2\text{SnI}_4$ and chemical structure of the TEA organic spacer. (b) UV-vis absorption and PL spectra of $(\text{TEA})_2\text{SnI}_4$ thin film. (c) Band structure and (d) density of states (DOS) of $(\text{TEA})_2\text{SnI}_4$ from DFT calculations. The total DOS is not shown in (d) for clarity. Effective masses of electrons (m_e^*) and holes (m_h^*) are reported in the units of the free electron rest mass ($m_0 = 9.11 \times 10^{-31}$ kg).





Fig. 2 (a) Schematic diagram of the hot-casting process. AFM height images of thin $(\text{TEA})_2\text{SnI}_4$ films processed at the substrate temperature of (b) RT, (c) 80 °C, (d) 100 °C, (e) 120 °C, (f) 140 °C and (g) 160 °C. (h) Grain size and (i) film root mean square roughness as a function of substrate temperature.



The role of deposition temperature on the crystal structure of the 2D (TEA)₂SnI₄ thin films was further investigated by X-ray diffraction (XRD) and grazing incidence wide-angle X-ray scattering (GIWAXS). The XRD patterns of the two films cast at RT and 120 °C in Fig. 3(a) exhibit typical (00*l*) diffractions (*l* = 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12), confirming a layered and well-ordered structure of the (TEA)₂SnI₄ films. The interlayer spacing of 15.6 Å is consistent with the DFT calculations in Fig. S1 (ESI[†]). The diffraction peak intensity is only slightly higher for the optimized film processed at 120 °C compared to the RT deposited one. The full width at half-maximum (FWHM) of the (002) diffraction peak slightly differs and decreases from 0.24° for RT to 0.19° for the substrate temperature of 120 °C (Fig. 3(b)).



To investigate how deposition temperature and thus film morphology affect the local charge carrier transport in (TEA)₂SnI₄ perovskites, we conducted contact-free, optical pump-Terahertz (THz) probe (OPTP) spectroscopy. Unlike the FET devices, where the charge carriers are transported over the macroscopic dimension of the transistor channel, the mobility inferred from THz spectroscopy represents an intrinsic, local (~ 10 nm) value due to the ultrafast oscillating nature of the

THz electric field (~ 1 ps).³⁶ The local probe length is substantially smaller than the grain sizes of our samples. In a typical OPTP measurement, as schematically shown in Fig. 4(a), a 400 nm pulse with a duration of ~ 50 femtoseconds (fs) is employed to excite charge carriers from the valence to the conduction band. The photoconductivity σ dynamics is then probed by a $\sim$ picoseconds (ps) THz pulse by controlling pump-probe delay time t_p . At a given pump-probe delay, σ is directly proportional to the pump-induced THz absorption ΔE by $\sigma \propto -\Delta E/E_0$. Fig. 4(b) compares the photoconductivity dynamics for films deposited at RT and 120 °C. The fast 1 ps rise corresponds to the generation of free carriers in the film, followed by decay with a lifetime in the range of 10's of ps. Given the time scale, we tentatively attribute the decay dynamics to charge trapping at defects. In principle, the photoconductivity of free carriers σ is defined by $\sigma = ne\mu = (N_{\text{abs}}\varphi) e\mu$, with n the carrier density, μ the charge carrier mobility, N_{abs} the absorbed photon density, φ the photon-to-charge conversion efficiency, and e the elementary charge. For a better comparison, we divide the photoconductivity by the absorbed photon density N_{abs} . By assuming the same photon-to-charge conversion efficiency φ , such a normalization provides a direct comparison of the conductivity per charge carrier, *i.e.*, the mobility of the samples under different treatments ($\sigma/N_{\text{abs}} \propto \mu$). As shown in Fig. 4(b), we observe $\sim 25\%$ higher charge carrier mobility for (TEA)₂SnI₄ films cast at 120 °C in comparison to that deposited at RT. The estimated charge carrier mobility at the peak of the photoconductivity is ~ 13 and ~ 16 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ for samples prepared at RT and 120 °C, respectively, by assuming 100% photon-to-charge conversion efficiency (*i.e.*, $N_{\text{abs}} = n$, or $\varphi = 100\%$). It should be noted that the estimated mobility represents the lower boundary of charge carrier mobility, as in reality $\varphi \leq 100\%$. Further analysis on the frequency-resolved photoconductivity based on the Drude-Smith model seems to point out the critical role of charge scattering time in determining the carrier mobilities in (TEA)₂SnI₄ films (see details in Fig. S7, ESI[†]). As such, we conclude that the hot casted film lowers the density of the charge scattering centers, very likely due to the slightly




Fig. 4 (a) Schematic of optical-pump THz-probe spectroscopic studies on the perovskite sample supported by SiO₂; (b) comparison of photo-conductivity σ normalized to the absorbed photon density (N_{abs}) for RT and 120 °C deposited (TEA)₂SnI₄ perovskite films.

which was notably reduced to $\sim 4.8 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ eV}^{-1}$ for the 120°C cast FETs. These results suggest that the hot-cast strategy improves the film quality at the perovskite/dielectric interface such as order and morphology, contributing to the lower SS value and reduced interface trap density.⁴⁰ The reduced defects are further evidenced by transient absorption spectroscopy measurement (see more details in Fig. S11, ESI†). However, the experimentally determined charge mobility is under these conditions much lower than that extracted from the THz measurements. The large difference implies a critical role of grain boundaries and ion migration on charge carrier transport in FETs.²⁰ In general, ion migration can be significantly suppressed by lowering the temperature leading to smaller hysteresis of the transfer curves, as illustrated also in this case in Fig. 5(b) and (c). The better device performance with smaller dual-sweep hysteresis is obtained at lower temperature for both $(\text{TEA})_2\text{SnI}_4$ films. Specifically, at 100 K, FETs cast at both temperatures, RT and 120°C , reveal enhanced mobilities of $0.27 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $1.05 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, respectively, with the hot-cast FET mobility is only an order of magnitude lower than the local mobility measured using the THz setup. The reason for the poor mobility of the RT cast $(\text{TEA})_2\text{SnI}_4$ perovskite FETs can therefore be attributed to the large number of grain boundaries and low quality of the perovskite/dielectric interface. As mentioned before, the ion migration is induced by tin vacancies due to oxidation of Sn^{2+} , which can be preferentially moved at grain boundaries.⁴¹ Considering the varied density of grain boundaries in the $(\text{TEA})_2\text{SnI}_4$ films cast at different temperatures and the above analysis, two primary mechanisms take place in the FET devices. At low operation temperature, at which ion

migration is suppressed to a great extent, the grain boundaries act mainly as trap centers for the charge carriers. This is the primary reason for the better hot-casting FET performance. As the operating temperature increases, grain boundaries facilitate ion drift, screening of the gate potential, and finally hindering of the gate modulation of the electronic current. Therefore, for FETs with large grain sizes, V_{th} shifts only slightly to higher voltages with higher temperatures, while for the devices with small grains, this shift is much more pronounced.⁴²

Notably, the device performance of the hot-cast films is further improved by using longer channel lengths. Fig. 6 shows the device performance of 120°C hot-cast $(\text{TEA})_2\text{SnI}_4$ FETs for various channel lengths (10, 30, 50 and $80 \mu\text{m}$). The corresponding output curves are shown in Fig. S12 (ESI†). Upon the increase of the channel length, the operational parameters of the FETs are improved at both room and low temperature. When the channel length is enlarged from $10 \mu\text{m}$ to $80 \mu\text{m}$ for devices measured at 295 K, the charge carrier mobility increases from $0.08 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ to $0.34 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, and at the same time a reduction of V_{TH} from 14 V to 7 V and of SS from 4.3 V dec^{-1} to 3.7 V dec^{-1} is obtained. On the other hand, $I_{\text{on/off}}$ is almost independent of channel length. A similar enhancement in charge carrier mobility, as well as V_{TH} and SS values is observed at 100 K. The maximum mobility of $1.8 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ is achieved for the device at 100 K with the channel length of $80 \mu\text{m}$. The higher device performance is ascribed to the reduced contribution of the contact resistance (R_c) relative to the total resistance.⁴³ The plot of $R \cdot W$ as a function of channel length is presented in Fig. S13 (ESI†). The normalized $R_c \cdot W$ of $1.53 \times 10^4 \Omega \cdot \text{cm}$ was obtained for the




Fig. 6 Transfer characteristics measured at (a) 100 K, (b) 200 K, and (c) 295 K of 120°C hot-casting $(\text{TEA})_2\text{SnI}_4$ FETs with different channel lengths L from 10– $80 \mu\text{m}$ and constant $W = 1000 \mu\text{m}$. (d) Average charge carrier mobility, (e) threshold voltage, and (f) subthreshold swing as a function of channel length measured for $(\text{TEA})_2\text{SnI}_4$ FETs at 100 K, 200 K and 295 K. The error bars were calculated from 12 devices.



120 °C hot-cast (TEA)₂SnI₄ FET at 295 K by using the transmission-line method.³⁹ To better understand the correlation between the channel length and ion movement, we utilized impedance spectroscopy to explore the processes in transistors with various channel lengths at 295 K. Fig. S14 (ESI†) compares the real parts of the dielectric constant for (TEA)₂SnI₄ FETs with different channel lengths as a function of frequency. The dielectric constant for the transistor with the channel length of 80 μm slightly increases at low-frequency. The devices with shorter channels show a significantly higher dielectric response in the low-frequency region in comparison to devices with larger channel lengths because of the accumulation of mobile ions at the electrodes. Such electrode polarization is in fact typical for perovskite-based devices and can significantly influence the spatial distribution and response behavior of the charge carriers within the active perovskite film.⁴⁴ When the positive ions accumulate at the negatively charged electrode interface, charge carrier extraction is blocked by the accumulated ions. Meanwhile, an ion-induced electric field is formed with the direction opposite to the external electric field, which impedes charge carrier transport.⁴⁵ As the channel length increases, the polarization effect has a lower impact on the total channel resistance resulting in high performance of the devices, which is consistent with the observed reduction in hysteresis for longer channel lengths.

Conclusion

We studied the device behavior of 2D lead-free perovskite FETs based on (TEA)₂SnI₄ thin films. The hot-casting strategy was employed to control the growth kinetics and morphology of the perovskite film to enhance the charge carrier transport and mitigate ion migration in the FET devices. An optimized substrate temperature was identified for the growth of large grains. Since film crystallinity remains identical for all deposition temperatures, only a moderate increase in the local charge carrier mobility of 16 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ at room temperature, is observed, as confirmed by THz spectroscopy. On the other hand, the device parameters such as mobility, threshold voltage, sub-threshold swing and hysteresis significantly improve in devices with larger grains, as well as in devices with an increased channel length or/and low operation temperature. The reduced grain boundaries enabled drastic mitigation of the ion migration and the corresponding screening effects of the effective applied electric field in the device. Devices with maximum hole mobility of 0.34 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 295 K and 1.8 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ at 100 K are obtained. Our results highlight the importance of suppressing mobile ions by reducing grain boundaries and trap densities by controlling the film morphology to ensure high performance perovskite FETs.

Experimental section

Materials synthesis

All chemical reagents and solvents were used without further purification. Tin(II) iodide (SnI₂, 99.999%), *N,N*-dimethylformamide

(DMF, anhydrous, 99.8%), and dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, anhydrous, ≥99.9%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Silicon wafers were ordered from Ossila. The synthesis of TEAI follows a variation of published methods.⁴⁶ 2-(Thiophen-2-yl)-ethan-1-amine (1.00 g, 7.86 mmol) was dissolved in 160 mL MeOH. The solution was cooled to 0 °C and aqueous HI solution (57%, 2.00 g, 15.7 mmol) was added dropwise. After 3 h of stirring at RT, the reaction was stopped and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was washed several times with Et₂O. The desired compound was obtained as a colorless solid (2.00 g, 7.86 mmol) (Fig. S15 and S16, ESI†). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, (CD₃)₂SO, rt) δ 7.76 (br s, 3H), 7.42 (dd, *J* = 5.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.03–6.96 (m, 2H), 3.07 (s, 4H) ppm. ¹³C NMR (76 MHz, (CD₃)₂SO, rt): δ 138.9, 127.3, 126.0, 124.8, 27.2 ppm. Elemental analysis (%) calcd. for C₆H₁₀INS: C 28.25, H 3.95, N 5.49; found C 28.29, H 3.99, N 5.72.

2D perovskite thin film preparation

The 2D perovskite (TEA)₂SnI₄ precursor solution with the concentration of 0.1 M was prepared in a stoichiometric ratio of TEAI and SnI₂ (2 : 1) by dissolving TEAI and SnI₂ in mixed DMF/DMSO solvent (1 : 1). The solution was stirred overnight at 60 °C in a glove box and cooled to room temperature before use. The as-prepared fresh precursor solution was spin-coated with a 0.2 μm PTFE filter at 4000 rpm for 60 s and annealed at 100 °C for 10 min. For the detailed hot-casting process, the substrate is heated to a certain temperature (from 80 °C to 160 °C) on a hot plate. During spin-coating, we transfer the hot substrate to the spin coater chuck and start the spin coating process. After that, the solution is immediately drop cast onto the substrate. The films were then annealed at 100 °C for 10 min.

Device fabrication and measurement

The bottom-gate top-contact configuration was employed for the FET devices. The heavily p-doped bare Si/SiO₂ wafers (1.5 × 2 cm) were used as the substrates and cleaned in an ultrasonic bath with deionized water, acetone, and isopropyl alcohol and then blown dry with N₂. The substrates were treated with oxygen plasma for 3 min and then transferred into a glove box for the perovskite film deposition. This was followed by the deposition of the 2D perovskite semiconductor layer as described above. The SiO₂ layer was adopted as a dielectric layer. Finally, the source and drain electrodes were deposited with the thickness of 50 nm by gold thermal evaporation through a shadow mask (from Ossila) to construct *L* × 1000 μm (length × width) transistor channels with various *L* values (10, 30, 50, and 80 μm). The device characterization was performed using a semiconductor parameter analyzer (Keithley 4200-SCS) in a low-temperature probe station. The transfer characteristics were collected in pulse mode, and the output characteristics were measured in continuous mode. In the pulse mode, *V*_g was applied over a short impulse of 1 s. The temperature-dependent measurements were performed using a Desert Cryogenics low-temperature probe station. Mobility values of FETs are extracted with the



$$\mu = \frac{2L}{WC_i} \left(\frac{\partial \sqrt{I_{ds}}}{\partial V_g} \right)^2$$

The NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker Avance 300 NMR spectrometer (Bruker, 300 MHz for ^1H and 76 MHz for ^{13}C) at room temperature. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in parts per million (ppm) and are referenced to the residual solvent signal as an internal reference ($(\text{CD}_3)_2\text{SO}$: 2.50 ppm for ^1H , 39.5 ppm for ^{13}C). Coupling constants (J) are given in Hz and the apparent resonance multiplicity is reported as s (singlet), br s (broad singlet), dd (doublet of doublets), or m (multiplet). Elemental analysis was carried out on a Vario MICRO cub (Elementar). The thin film morphology was characterized by a Leica POM and a Bruker Dimension Icon FS AFM in tapping mode at room temperature. The grain size statistics are determined by Gwyddion software for 15 grains in different areas for the perovskite thin film with each hot-casting temperature. GIWAXS measurements were performed by means of a solid anode X-ray tube (Siemens Kristalloflex X-ray source, copper anode X-ray tube operated at 35 kV and 20 mA), Osmic confocal MaxFlux optics, X-ray beam with pinhole collimation, and a MAR345 image plate detector. The coherence length (CL) is calculated for reflection peaks with the Scherrer equation. The film XRD patterns were recorded using a Rigaku D/MAX 2600 V with $\text{Cu K}\alpha$ ($\lambda = 1.5406 \text{ \AA}$) radiation. The interlayer distance was calculated by Bragg's law: $2d \sin \theta = n\lambda$, where $\theta = 5.66^\circ$ and $n = 2$, respectively. Thin film absorption spectra were recorded on an Agilent UV-Vis-NIR Cary-5000 spectrometer in transmission mode. The PL spectra were recorded with a HORIBA Jobin-Yvon Fluorolog 3-22 Tau-3 using a photomultiplier tube (PMT) as the detector and FluorEssence (version 3.9.0.1; Origin version 8.6001) software. Photoluminescence quantum yield was measured using the aforementioned Fluorolog-3 with an integrating sphere (F-3018 from Horiba Jobin Yvon) under nitrogen flow to avoid the degradation of perovskite samples. For UPS characterization, to avoid any charging during the measurement, Si wafers were coated with Cr/Au (2 nm/80 nm) as a conductive layer by thermal evaporation. Then, samples were transferred into the UPS chamber and measurements were carried out at a base pressure of 10^{-9} mbar. UPS measurements were conducted with a Kratos Axis Ultra^{DL}D spectrometer (Kratos, Manchester, England). Illumination at 21.22 eV was provided by the He(I) emission line from a helium discharge lamp. Photoelectron emission was collected at 0° from the surface normal of the samples. The spectra were taken at three different spots to confirm that the spectra are reproducible and the irradiation exposure time was kept under one minute. Transient absorption spectroscopy was measured using a Helios-Fire pump-probe setup (Ultrafast Systems). This is paired with a regeneratively amplified 1030 nm laser (Light Conversion Pharos, 200 fs, 200 uJ),

The OPTP setup is driven by a commercial, regenerative amplified, mode-locked Ti:sapphire femtosecond laser with a 1 kHz repetition rate. The pulse duration is around 50 fs, and the central wavelength is ~ 800 nm. A single-cycle THz pulse is generated by optical rectification on a 1 mm thick ZnTe crystal. The THz electrical field is probed in the time domain with an 800 nm sampling pulse using the electro-optic effect in a second ZnTe crystal, by varying the time delay between THz and the sampling beam with an optical delay stage. For the pump-probe measurements, excitation by a 400 nm light pulse is used. It is produced by second harmonic generation based on 800 nm light by a beta barium borate (BBO) crystal. The pump-probe delay is controlled by a second optical delay stage. The photoconductivity ($\sigma(t_p)$) dynamics is monitored by probing the relative change in the peak field of the THz pulse ($-\Delta E(t_p)/E_0$) induced by photoexcitation, thanks to the linear proportionality of these two quantities within the thin-film approximation.³⁶

$$\sigma(t_p) = -\frac{(n_1 + n_2)}{Z_0 \cdot l} \cdot \frac{\Delta E(t_p)}{E_0}$$

Here, $\Delta E(t_p) = E_{\text{pump}}(t_p) - E_0$ is the pump-induced THz electric field changes, and t_p is the pump-probe delay. n_1 and n_2 are the

refractive indices of the media before and after the sample (in our work, n_1 and n_2 are 1 and 1.95 for vacuum and fused silica, respectively), $Z_0 = 377 \Omega$ is the impedance of free space, and $l = 50$ nm is the film thickness.

Frequency-domain photoconductivity spectra

The frequency-domain photoconductivity spectra are obtained at a specific pump–probe delay time by Fourier transforming the complete time-domain $\Delta E(t)$ and $E_0(t)$ (where t represents the sampling-probe delay time) into frequency-domain $\Delta E(\omega)$ and $E_0(\omega)$, and applying thin film approximation as in OPTP measurement:

$$\sigma(\omega) = -\frac{(n_1 + n_2)}{Z_0 \cdot l} \cdot \frac{\Delta E(\omega)}{E_0(\omega)}$$

In this study, the time-domain data are collected at 3 ps after photoexcitation by simultaneously moving the pump and sampling stage.

Author contributions

T. M. conceived the idea; T. M., and W. P. supervised the study; S.-L. W. prepared the samples and fabricated the devices. S. F. synthesized the organic cations under the supervision of M. K.; O. Y. carried out GIWAXS measurement and data analysis under the supervision of T. M.; H. Z. performed THz measurement and analyzed the data with support from H. W.; M. M. conducted the DFT calculations and analyzed the data with support from D. A.; N. U., and C. R. performed transient absorption spectroscopy measurement; B. J. provided helpful discussion; M. B. and P. B. coordinated the work. S.-L. W. prepared the manuscript with support from all co-authors; all authors discussed the results and contributed to this work.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

S. Wang thanks the China Scholarship Council (CSC, 201906890035) for financial support. M. Mandal acknowledges postdoctoral support from the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. T. Marszalek acknowledges the Foundation for Polish Science financed by the European Union under the European Regional Development Fund (POIR.04.04.00-00-3ED8/17). The authors thank Dr Hao Wu for the help with UPS measurements. This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – project number 424708673 and by the KAUST Office of Sponsored Research (OSR). Open Access funding provided by the Max Planck Society.

References

- Q. Dong, Y. Fang, Y. Shao, P. Mulligan, J. Qiu, L. Cao and J. Huang, *Science*, 2015, **347**, 967–970.
- P. N. Rudd and J. Huang, *Trends Chem.*, 2019, **1**, 394–409.
- A. Mei, X. Li, L. Liu, Z. Ku, T. Liu, Y. Rong, M. Xu, M. Hu, J. Chen, Y. Yang and M. Grätzel, *Science*, 2014, **345**, 295–298.
- N. Wang, L. Cheng, R. Ge, S. Zhang, Y. Miao, W. Zou, C. Yi, Y. Sun, Y. Cao, R. Yang and Y. Wei, *Nat. Photonics*, 2016, **10**, 699–704.
- H. Zhu, Y. Fu, F. Meng, X. Wu, Z. Gong, Q. Ding, M. V. Gustafsson, M. T. Trinh, S. Jin and X. Y. Zhu, *Nat. Mater.*, 2015, **14**, 636–642.
- S. F. Leung, K. T. Ho, P. K. Kung, V. K. Hsiao, H. N. Alshareef, Z. L. Wang and J. H. He, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1704611.
- W. Yu, F. Li, L. Yu, M. R. Niazi, Y. Zou, D. Corzo, A. Basu, C. Ma, S. Dey, M. L. Tietze and U. Buttner, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 1–10.
- S. Jana, E. Carlos, S. Panigrahi, R. Martins and E. Fortunato, *ACS Nano*, 2020, **14**, 14790–14797.
- S. P. Senanayak, M. Abdi-Jalebi, V. S. Kamboj, R. Carey, R. Shivanna, T. Tian, G. Schweicher, J. Wang, N. Giesbrecht, D. D. Nuzzo and H. E. Beere, *Sci. Adv.*, 2020, **6**, 4948.
- X. J. She, C. Chen, G. Divitini, B. Zhao, Y. Li, J. Wang, J. F. Orri, L. Cui, W. Xu, J. Peng and S. Wang, *Nat. Electron.*, 2020, **3**, 694–703.
- Y. Lin, Y. Bai, Y. Fang, Q. Wang, Y. Deng and J. Huang, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2017, **2**, 1571–1572.
- Y. Chen, Y. Sun, J. Peng, J. Tang, K. Zheng and Z. Liang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 1703487.
- J. C. Blancon, A. V. Stier, H. Tsai, W. Nie, C. C. Stoumpos, B. Traore, L. Pedesseau, M. Kepenekian, F. Katsutani, G. T. Noe and J. Kono, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 1–10.
- C. R. Kagan, D. B. Mitzi and C. D. Dimitrakopoulos, *Science*, 1999, **286**, 945–947.
- L. M. Herz, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2017, **7**, 1539–1548.
- C. Q. Qin, Z. Fan, Q. Liang, L. Xin, H. Y. Ji, L. T. Li, Y. F. Hu, Z. D. Lou, Y. B. Hou and F. Teng, *Adv. Electron. Mater.*, 2021, **10**, 2100384.
- T. Matsushima, S. Hwang, A. S. Sandanayaka, C. Qin, S. Terakawa, T. Fujihara, M. Yahiro and C. Adachi, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 10275–10281.
- H. Zhu, A. Liu, K. I. Shim, J. Hong, J. W. Han and Y. Y. Noh, *Adv. Mater.*, 2020, **32**, 2002717.
- C. Jie, Y. Zhou, Y. P. Fu, J. Pan, O. F. Mohammed and O. M. Bakr, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **121**, 12112–12180.
- W. Nie, H. Tsai, R. Asadpour, J. C. Blancon, A. J. Neukirch, G. Gupta, J. J. Crochet, M. Chhowalla, S. Tretiak, M. A. Alam and H. L. Wang, *Science*, 2015, **347**, 522–525.
- A. Liang, Y. Gao, R. Asadpour, Z. Wei, B. P. Finkenauer, L. Jin, J. Yang, K. Wang, K. Chen, P. Liao and C. Zhu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 15215–15223.
- C. Ni, Y. Huang, T. Zeng, D. Chen, H. Chen, M. Wei, A. Johnston, A. H. Proppe, Z. Ning, E. H. Sargent and P. Hu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **132**, 14081–14087.



- 23 D. Lu, G. Lv, Z. Xu, Y. Dong, X. Ji and Y. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 11114–11122.
- 24 J. T. Lin, C. C. Liao, C. S. Hsu, D. G. Chen, H. M. Chen, M. K. Tsai, P. T. Chou and C. W. Chiu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 10324–10330.
- 25 R. Li, C. Yi, R. Ge, W. Zou, L. Cheng, N. Wang, J. Wang and W. Huang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2016, **109**, 151101.
- 26 Z. Wang, F. Wang, B. Zhao, S. Qu, T. Hayat, A. Alsaedi, L. Sui, K. Yuan, J. Zhang, Z. Wei and Z. A. Tan, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2020, **11**, 1120–1127.
- 27 M. Dyksik, H. Duim, X. Zhu, Z. Yang, M. Gen, Y. Kohama, S. Adjokatse, D. K. Maude, M. A. Loi, D. A. Egger and M. Baranowski, *ACS Energy Lett.*, 2020, **5**, 3609–3616.
- 28 K. Zhang, T. Marszalek, P. Wucher, Z. Wang, L. Veith, H. Lu, H. J. Räder, P. M. Beaujuge, P. W. Blom and W. Pisula, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**, 1805594.
- 29 K. Zhang, M. Borkowski, P. Wucher, P. M. Beaujuge, J. J. Michels, P. W. Blom, T. Marszalek and W. Pisula, *Adv. Electron. Mater.*, 2021, **7**, 2100397.
- 30 O. Yildiz, Z. Wang, M. Borkowski, G. Fytas, P. W. Blom, J. J. Michels, W. Pisula and T. Marszalek, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2021, **32**, 2107976.
- 31 F. Paulus, C. Tyznik, O. D. Jurchescu and Y. Vaynzof, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2021, **31**, 2101029.
- 32 D. B. Kim, S. Lee, C. H. Jang, J. H. Park, A. Y. Lee and M. H. Song, *Adv. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **7**, 1902158.
- 33 Z. Li, C. Xiao, Y. Yang, S. P. Harvey, D. H. Kim, J. A. Christians, M. Yang, P. Schulz, S. U. Nanayakkara, C. S. Jiang and J. M. Luther, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2017, **10**, 1234–1242.
- 34 Q. Chen, H. Zhou, Z. Hong, S. Luo, H. S. Duan, H. H. Wang, Y. Liu, G. Li and Y. Yang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 622–625.
- 35 Y. Gao, Z. Wei, P. Yoo, E. Shi, M. Zeller, C. Zhu, P. Liao and L. Dou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 15577–15585.
- 36 R. Ulbricht, E. Hendry, J. Shan, T. F. Heinz and M. Bonn, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 2011, **83**, 543.
- 37 S. Shao, W. Talsma, M. Pitaro, J. Dong, S. Kahmann, A. J. Rommens, G. Portale and M. A. Loi, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2021, **31**, 2008478.
- 38 F. Zhang, Q. Zhang, X. Liu, Y. Hu, Z. Lou, Y. Hou and F. Teng, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 4272–4284.
- 39 Y. Reo, H. Zhu, J. Y. Go, K. I. Shim, A. Liu, T. Zou, H. Jung, H. Kim, J. Hong, J. W. Han and Y. Y. Noh, *Chem. Mater.*, 2021, **33**, 2498–2505.
- 40 S. P. Senanayak, B. Yang, T. H. Thomas, N. Giesbrecht, W. Huang, E. Gann, B. Nair, K. Goedel, S. Guha, X. Moya and C. R. McNeill, *Sci. Adv.*, 2017, **3**, 1601935.
- 41 R. Lin, K. Xiao, Z. Qin, Q. Han, C. Zhang, M. Wei, M. I. Saidaminov, Y. Gao, J. Xu, M. Xiao and A. Li, *Nat. Energy*, 2019, **4**, 864–873.
- 42 J. Chen, T. Shi, X. Li, B. Zhou, H. Cao and Y. Wang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2016, **108**, 053302.
- 43 T. Matsushima, S. Hwang, S. Terakawa, T. Fujihara, A. S. Sandanayaka, C. Qin and C. Adachi, *Appl. Phys. Express*, 2017, **10**, 024103.
- 44 R. Su, Z. Xu, J. Wu, D. Luo, Q. Hu, W. Yang, X. Yang, R. Zhang, H. Yu, T. P. Russell and Q. Gong, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 1–11.
- 45 S. Zhang, Z. Y. Lin, E. Shi, B. P. Finkenauer, Y. Gao, A. J. Pistone, K. Ma, B. M. Savoie and L. Dou, *Adv. Mater.*, 2021, **33**, 2105183.
- 46 C. Ni, Y. Huang, T. Zeng, D. Chen, H. Chen, M. Wei, A. Johnston, A. H. Proppe, Z. Ning, E. H. Sargent and P. Hu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **132**, 14081–14087.
- 47 K. M. Mullen and I. H. M. van Stokkum, *J. Stat. Softw.*, 2007, **18**(3), 1–46.
- 48 J. J. Snellenburg, S. Laptinok, K. M. Mullen and I. H. M. van Stokkum, *J. Stat. Softw.*, 2012, **49**(3), 1–22.
- 49 G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1994, **49**, 14251.
- 50 J. P. Perdew and Y. Wang, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1992, **45**, 13244.
- 51 G. Kresse and D. Joubert, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 1999, **59**, 1758.
- 52 S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich and H. Krieg, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2010, **132**, 154104.
- 53 W. Setyawan and S. Curtarolo, *Comput. Mater. Sci.*, 2010, **49**, 299–312.
- 54 K. Momma and F. Izumi, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2011, **44**, 1272–1276.
- 55 A. M. Ganose, A. J. Jackson and D. O. Scanlon, *Int. J. Open Source Softw. Process.*, 2018, **3**, 717.

