


Cite this: *Biomater. Sci.*, 2025, **13**, 3123

Tumor microenvironment modulation innovates combinative cancer therapy *via* a versatile graphene oxide nanosystem

Chuxin Cai,^{†a} Qingming Zhang,^{†b} Junqiu Ye,^{†a} Sijia Yao,^{†a} Qian Li,^a Zhechen Fan,^a Sulei Ge,^a Yukun Wang,^a Dingyi Xu,^a Jianping Zhou,^{*a} Hao Cheng^{*a} and Yang Ding ^{*a}

The tumor microenvironment (TME) emerges as a unique challenge to oncotherapy due to its intricate ecosystem containing diverse cell types, extracellular matrix, secreted factors, and neovascularization, which furnish tumor growth, progression, invasion, and metastasis. Graphene oxide (GO)-based materials have garnered increasing attention in cancer therapy owing to their vast specific surface area, flexible lamellar structure, and electronic–photonic properties. Recently, interactions of GO with the TME have been broadly investigated, including trapping biomolecules, catalysis, cancer stem cell targeting, immunoreactions, *etc.*, which inspires combinative therapeutic strategies to overcome TME obstacles. Herein, we summarize TME features, GO modulating various dimensions of the TME, and a TME-triggerable drug delivery system and highlight innovation and merits in combinative cancer therapy based on TME modulation. This review aims to offer researchers deeper insights into the interactions between versatile GO nanomaterials and the TME, facilitating the development of rational and reliable GO-based nanomedicines for advanced oncotherapy.

Received 10th February 2025,
Accepted 7th April 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5bm00202h

rsc.li/biomaterials-science

1. Introduction

The tumor microenvironment (TME) is a complex ecosystem consisting of a heterogeneous mixture of cell types, extracellular matrix, secreted factors, and neovascularization, creating a unique ecological niche with characteristics of hypoxia, acidic pH, high concentrations of glutathione *etc.* The ecosystem dynamically supports tumor growth, progression, invasion, and metastasis,¹ which poses significant challenges to therapeutic outcomes. Thus, in recent years, various TME-targeting therapies have been developed to disrupt the supportive interactions for enhanced anti-tumor efficacy.² Targeting the TME is pivotal in cancer therapy due to its central role in driving malignant progression, including proliferation, metastasis, and therapy resistance. Current strategies to modulate the TME can be classified into four categories: (1) immune modulation (*e.g.*, PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors, CAR-T cell therapy, cytokine regulation); (2) vascular targeting (anti-angiogenic agents like

bevacizumab); (3) stromal intervention (targeting cancer-associated fibroblasts or degrading the dense stromal matrix to enhance drug penetration); and (4) metabolic reprogramming (inhibiting lactate metabolism or modulating nutrient competition).^{3,4} Due to the unique properties of high loading capacity, surface modifiability, and environmental responsiveness, GO nanomaterials could effectively achieve comprehensive modulation of the TME, including hypoxia, immunosuppression, stromal barriers, and metabolic dysregulation.⁵ Moreover, GO nanomaterials offer a platform for integrating TME modulation and tumor cell killing for advanced therapeutic benefits.⁶

Interactions of the TME with GO and its derived nanosystem have been extensively studied among various nanomaterials.⁷ GO's vast specific surface area, flexible lamellar structure, and unique electronic–photonic properties are directly linked to GO's intrinsic TME-modulation activities. For instance, GO's super-large specific surface area could efficiently trap biomolecules like vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) for anti-angiogenesis⁸ and its flexible lamellar structure makes it preferable for internalization by cancer stem cells (CSCs) with lower cellular stiffness to promote CSC differentiation or elimination.⁹ The electronic–photonic properties endow GO with a capacity for catalysis and photothermal conversion,¹⁰ which could generate hydroxyl radicals to aggravate hypoxia and cause hyperthermia for blood perfusion

^aState Key Laboratory of Natural Medicines, Department of Pharmaceutics, China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing 210009, China.

E-mail: dydszyzf@163.com, chenghao@cpu.edu.cn, zhoujianping@cpu.edu.cn

^bDepartment of Pharmacy, Jinling Hospital, No. 305 East Zhongshan Road, Nanjing 210002, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.



improvement and immunological effects.¹¹ The unique TME could also be utilized for controllable drug delivery system design by introducing TME-responsive moieties on GO nanomaterials.¹² A TME-responsive drug delivery strategy is supposed to improve drug selectivity on tumor sites for enhanced efficacy and reduced toxicity and meanwhile regulate TME features, including pH increase,¹³ hypoxia alleviation, competitive enzyme inhibition, and glutathione depletion for tumor suppression.¹⁴ Moreover, abundant oxygen-containing groups and unsaturated bonds of GO make it fit for various modifications.¹⁵ The functionalized GO nanomaterials could offer a preferable platform for co-loading multiple drugs in combinative therapies based on TME modulation.

In this review, we summarize the distinctive features of the TME and explore various intrinsic TME-modulation activities of GO nanomaterials. We further discuss GO-derived TME-sensitive drug delivery systems, which perform tumor-specific drug release and combine TME regulation after responsiveness. Taking advantage of GO's amphiphaticity and wealthy chemical active groups, we give an overview of multidrug-delivery GO nanomaterials for TME-modulation-based combinative therapies. By summarizing the latest advancements and highlighting the versatile applications of GO nanomaterials in TME modulation and the corresponding combinative therapies, this review aims to provide researchers with deeper insights into the dynamic interactions between GO nanomaterials and the TME, facilitating the development of innovative and reliable GO-based nanosystems for advanced oncotherapy.

2. TME modulation based on GO's features

A comprehensive understanding of the tumor microenvironment (TME) and its relationship with cancer progression is essential for developing TME-targeting therapies.¹⁶ The TME is considered as "soil" for cancer development.¹⁷ Current concepts of the TME suggest that the ecosystem of the TME consists of unique cellular and noncellular components.¹⁸ Cell types in the TME primarily include immune cells, fibroblasts, endothelial cells, and pericytes. Immune cells within the TME play a dual role in cancer progression.¹⁹ On the one hand, they can initiate an anti-tumor immune response to eliminate cancer cells *via* mechanisms such as phagocytosis by macrophages and cytotoxic activity by natural killer (NK) cells and T lymphocytes.²⁰ On the other hand, specific macrophages known as tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) can promote tumor growth by suppressing the immune system, stimulating angiogenesis, and facilitating metastasis. Endothelial cells in the TME contribute to neovascularization, which is a critical process for supplying oxygen and nutrients,²¹ and dysregulation or aberrations of vessels can promote tumor growth and metastasis. Cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs) are also an important cellular component of the TME²² that could secrete growth factors and cytokines to support the proliferation, survival, and metastasis of tumor cells (Fig. 1).²³

Various noncellular components construct the extracellular matrix (ECM) of the TME with a three-dimensional network of proteins and carbohydrates that provides structural support and influences cellular behavior. In the ECM network, cytokines, chemokines, growth factors, and exosomes are among the critical communicators in this network. Cytokines and chemokines modulate immune cell recruitment and immune responses to create an immunosuppressive environment for tumor protection and promotion.²⁴ Growth factors stimulate angiogenesis and cellular proliferation, thereby facilitating the expansion of tumors.²⁵ These signaling molecules create a complex communication network that regulates cell-cell interactions, ECM remodeling, and overall tumor behavior (Fig. 1).

Furthermore, the unique biostructure of the TME contributes to multiple hallmarks that significantly differ from normal tissues.¹⁰ One of the critical characteristics of the TME is hypoxia, which results from the rapid proliferation of tumor cells outstripping the supply of oxygen due to poor vascularization.²⁶ This hypoxic condition not only promotes tumor aggressiveness and resistance to therapy but also induces the expression of hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs) that drive angiogenesis and metabolic reprogramming.²⁷ The hypoxia drives the elevation of the glutathione (GSH) level, which protects tumor cells from oxidative stress and can impair the effectiveness of reactive oxygen species (ROS)-based therapies. Due to the Warburg effect of tumor metabolism, tumor cells rely on glycolysis followed by lactic acid fermentation for energy production; even in the presence of adequate oxygen, an acidic pH often characterizes the TME. This acidification leads to a hostile environment for immune cells and reduces the efficacy of chemotherapeutics.²⁸ Furthermore, the TME exhibits overexpression of specific enzymes such as matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs) and cathepsins, which are involved in extracellular matrix remodeling and facilitating tumor invasion and metastasis. These unique characteristics of the TME provide insight into tumor biology and present significant opportunities for developing targeted and combinative therapeutic strategies.²⁹

2.1 Vast specific surface area of GO for trapping biomolecules

2.1.1 Ultrastrong VEGF adsorption for anti-angiogenesis. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), particularly VEGF-A165, is a critical regulator of angiogenesis, forming new blood vessels.³⁰ Angiogenesis is essential for human development and physiology but also plays a crucial role in disease states such as tumor growth and metastasis, exudative age-related macular degeneration, and ischemia.³¹ In tumors, VEGF-A165 is overexpressed and stimulates angiogenesis, enabling the tumor to grow and spread by providing a continuous supply of oxygen and nutrients. Therefore, inhibiting interactions between VEGF and its receptors is thus a widely pursued strategy for anti-angiogenic therapy. Blocking this interaction disrupts the signaling cascade, preventing endothelial cell growth and migration and ultimately suppressing the formation of new blood vessels. Anti-angiogenesis can






Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of the main cell types, extracellular components in the TME, and hallmarks of the TME.

starve the tumor of the resources it needs to grow, thereby halting tumor progression and potentially preventing metastasis. Bovine serum albumin (BSA)-coated GO nanosheets were validated to exhibit an ultrastrong binding affinity towards VEGF-A165, a critical pro-angiogenic factor. The BSA-GO nanosheets could inhibit the proliferation, migration, and tube formation of human umbilical vein endothelial cells (HUVECs) *in vitro* and block VEGF-induced blood vessel formation *in vivo*, demonstrating their potential as a therapeutic agent for anti-angiogenic therapy.³²

2.1.2 Tumor antigen adsorption for vaccine adjuvants. GO nanomaterials have also shown promise as vaccine adjuvants, enhancing antigen presentation and stimulating both humoral and cellular immune responses.³³ When functionalized with carnosine, GO can improve vaccine efficacy by modulating innate immune responses and boosting adaptive immunity.³⁴ The synergistic effect of GO with other adjuvants, such as alum, has been reported to increase the potency of vaccine formulations. Dextran-functionalized rGO (rGO-dextran) has been demonstrated to have an excellent antigen delivery capacity. The antigen is loaded onto the surface of rGO with high loading efficiency, and the strong adsorption prevents the antigen from enzyme digestion before arriving at the dendritic cells (DCs).³⁵ On the other hand, the dextran component binds to the carbohydrate receptor on the surface of DCs, promoting antigen intracellular uptake by DCs. Furthermore, the OVA-loaded rGO-dextran vaccine can induce the production of antigen-specific cytotoxic T cells *in vivo* and significantly

inhibit tumor growth after subcutaneous injection for prophylactic vaccination (Fig. 2A–C).³⁶

Nano-GO was proved to adsorb model antigen OVA with super high efficiency at a OVA to GO ratio of over 5 : 1 (w/w). The high aspect ratio of GO allows it to be directly inserted into the cell membrane when in contact with DCs, increasing OVA uptake by about 2.5 times. Besides the lysosomal pathway (the only pathway of OVA), GO-OVA tends to traffic *via* the cytoplasmic pathway, resulting in the protection of antigen from enzymatic digestion and the cross-presentation of antigen to CD8⁺ T cells, which may be related to the non-lysosomal trafficking pathway of GO into cells.³⁷ Compared with the OVA group, GO-OVA promotes DCs to produce more cytokines and chemokines. Moreover, it significantly increases the expression of major histocompatibility complex 1 (MHC1) molecules, which offers recognition information for CD8⁺ T *in vivo* and *in vitro*.³⁸ Highly efficient and compact adsorption enables GO to change the flat structure to the folded structure, thereby allowing OVA to release slowly, rendering the programmatic activation of specific CD8⁺ T cells that result in effectively cracking thymoma cells *in vivo* (the tumor volume decreased by 80%). Based on the potential of antigen absorption, a flexible GO antigen-presenting platform (GO-APP) has been developed to anchor antibodies onto the GO surface. By decorating anti-CD3 (α CD3) and anti-CD28 (α CD28) on graphene oxide (GO-APP3/28), remarkable T cell proliferation has been achieved. Of note, interactions between GO-APP3/28 and T cells closely mimic the *in vivo* immunological synapses






Fig. 2 (A) GO nanomaterials modulate the immunosuppressive microenvironment by macrophage modulation and vaccine adjuvant function. (B) Graphene nanosheets stimulate the secretion of cytokines and chemokines in macrophages. Primary macrophages and macrophage-like RAW264.7 cells were cultured with 20 mg ml⁻¹ graphene for 24 h.⁴⁰ Reproduced from ref. 40 with permission from Elsevier, copyright (2012). (C) FACS analysis of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cell populations after immunization with normal saline, 50 μ g OVA, and 25 μ g GO-Au@OVA. The lymphocytes were purified from immunized mouse spleens and then incubated with 10 μ g mL⁻¹ OVA for 60 h at 37 $^{\circ}$ C. The cells were then stained with anti-CD3 ϵ and anti-CD4 or anti-CD8 α antibodies.⁴¹ Reproduced from ref. 41 with permission from Ivyspring International, copyright (2020). (D) Schematics showing the design and preparation of GO-APP3/28. (1) A scanning electron microscopy image showing the as-prepared GO. (2) A TEM image negatively stained with uranyl acetate showing the GO-linked protein on the flat region of the GOs. (3–5) False-coloured low-vacuum scanning electron microscopy images showing a fixed T cell (blue) interacting with a piece of GO-APP3/28 (yellow; 3), a dendritic cell (green; 4) and Bead3/28 (red; 5). Scale bars: 30 m (1), 100 nm (2), and 2 μ m (3–5).³⁹ Reproduced from ref. 39 with permission from Springer Nature, copyright (2024).

between antigen-presenting cells and T cells. This immunological synapse mimicry shows a high capacity for stimulating T cell proliferation while preserving their multifunctionality and high potency. Meanwhile, GO-APP3/28 enhances CAR gene-engineering efficiency, yielding a more than fivefold increase in CAR T cell production compared with the standard protocol (Fig. 2D).³⁹

2.2 Carbon defect and electron conduction of GO for catalysis

Hypoxia in tumors is a critical barrier to effective cancer treatment. It promotes resistance to conventional therapies like chemotherapy and radiotherapy and is linked to more aggressive tumor phenotypes.⁴² The ability of GO nanomaterials to



modulate ROS levels has opened new avenues for improving cancer therapy outcomes. The latest research concerning GO catalyzing ROS production was highlighted for enhanced therapeutic efficacy.⁴³

In recent years, nano-catalysts for tumor therapy have attracted much interest. Owing to their low cost, high catalytic efficiency, and biocompatibility, carbon-based metal-free catalysts have attracted intense interest for various applications, ranging from energy through environmental to biomedical technologies. Recent studies have validated that functionalized GO nanomaterials with intrinsic catalytic activity could generate ROS in the presence of H₂O₂.^{44,45} This ROS production can cause multi-level damage to subcellular components, contributing to cancer cell death. A novel graphene oxide nanoparticle (N-GO) was constructed that could mimic peroxidase-like catalytic activity, specifically in acidic and high hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) environments characteristic of tumors.⁴⁴ Under such conditions, N-GOs can efficiently convert H₂O₂ into highly reactive and toxic hydroxyl radicals (HO[•]), leading to the necrosis of tumor cells. This targeted effect is advantageous as it avoids damage to normal cells, which reside in a neutral pH environment with lower H₂O₂ concentrations, where N-GOs instead exhibit catalase-like activity scavenging reactive oxygen species (ROS). In mice models, N-GOs effectively inhibited tumor growth, as evidenced by extensive destruction of tumor cell membranes and nuclei, while showing minimal toxicity to other organs. Blood component analysis revealed no significant differences between N-GO-treated and control groups, confirming the low systemic toxicity of N-GOs. N-GOs offer promising prospects for cancer therapy and diagnostics, combining the benefits of tumor-selective treatment, reduced side effects, and improved tumor cell recognition capabilities. Their ability to selectively target and destroy tumor cells while sparing normal tissue makes them a potentially powerful tool in the fight against cancer.

In the human acute monocytic leukemia cell line (THP-1), GO and vanillin-functionalized GO (V-rGO) exhibited toxic effects on THP-1 cells in a dose-dependent manner. The toxicity manifested as decreased cell viability, proliferation, and increased lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) levels, indicative of cell membrane damage. Furthermore, there was a loss of mitochondrial membrane potential (MMP), decreased ATP content, and cell death.⁴⁶ The most striking observation was the elevated levels of ROS and lipid peroxidation, causing a redox imbalance within the cells. Oxidative damage led to an increase in malondialdehyde (MDA) levels and a depletion of antioxidants like glutathione (GSH), glutathione peroxidase (GPX), superoxide dismutase (SOD), and catalase (CAT). Notably, V-rGO induced a significantly higher level of ROS in THP-1 cells than GO. The increased ROS production was concentration-dependent, with a 1.5-fold and 3-fold increase in ROS levels observed after 24 hours of exposure to 100 μg mL⁻¹ of GO and V-rGO, respectively. The results suggested that the mechanism of toxicity of V-rGO was more potent than that of GO.⁴⁴ In addition to the ROS production, lipid peroxidation was measured by quantifying MDA content. Both GO and

V-rGO induced lipid peroxidation in THP-1 cells in a dose-dependent manner, further supporting the hypothesis that these graphene materials induced oxidative stress.

Moreover, the defect on GO could enhance the H₂O₂ catalytic activity. Defect-rich graphene quantum dots (GQDs) have been shown to exhibit high catalytic efficiency in ROS generation, specifically in the H₂O₂-rich tumor microenvironment.⁴² The catalytic mechanism of GQDs in generating ROS within the TME was thoroughly investigated, revealing that GQDs possess peroxidase-like activity capable of decomposing H₂O₂ into highly reactive [•]OH radicals. The generation of [•]OH radicals was confirmed through electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopy using 5,5-dimethyl-1-pyrroline *N*-oxide (DMPO) as a spin-trap, which showed a characteristic signal pattern indicative of [•]OH formation when H₂O₂ and GQDs were combined. The biological effects of the ROS generated by GQDs were studied *in vitro* using human breast cancer MCF-7 cells. It was observed that MCF-7 cells continuously consumed [•]OH radicals produced from the GQD-catalyzed H₂O₂ decomposition.⁴⁷ Further investigation into the subcellular localization of GQDs revealed their presence in the cytoplasm and nuclei of the cancer cells, indicating successful penetration and aggregation within the cells. These findings suggest that defect-rich GQDs can effectively damage subcellular components, including nuclear structures, due to the ROS they catalytically generate. GO-derived materials open a promising avenue for developing safe and efficient catalytic nanomedicine for cancer treatment (Fig. 3).

2.3 Flexible lamellar structure of GO for cancer stem cell targeting

GO and GO-derived graphene quantum dots (GQDs) have been extensively studied for their potential in targeting and manipulating cancer stem cells (CSCs), a subset of tumor cells with self-renewal capabilities that are responsible for tumor initiation, recurrence, and metastasis.⁵⁰ These materials offer unique advantages due to their properties and have been shown to impact CSCs in various ways, including selective targeting and inhibition of CSCs and promotion of CSC differentiation.⁵¹

2.3.1 Selective targeting and inhibition of CSCs. GO selectively targets and inhibits the proliferative expansion of CSCs across multiple tumor types without affecting non-stem cancer cells and normal fibroblasts. The selectivity of GO is further studied, pointing out that GO materials targeting CSCs are closely related to the stiffness of cells.⁵² It has been well-established that CSCs, the driving force behind tumor progression, are characterized by lower cellular stiffness than regular tumor cells across various cancer types. This mechanical property could provide potential targeted therapy strategies. CSCs of breast cancer display significantly lower stiffness but a notably higher uptake of nitrogen-doped graphene quantum dots (N-GQDs) compared to bulk tumor cells. This enhanced uptake is mediated by the lower cellular stiffness of CSCs, which facilitates increased clathrin and caveolae-mediated endocytosis. By softening or stiffening cells, the uptake of







Fig. 3 (A) GO nanomaterials modulate hypoxia in cancer cells and generate oxygen in normal cells. (B) Effects of GO and V-rGO on anti-oxidant markers: glutathione (GSH), glutathione peroxidase (GPx), super oxide dismutase (SOD), and catalase (CAT). THP-1 cells were treated with GO ($50 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$), V-rGO ($50 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$), and AgNPs ($10 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) for 24 h. (C) GO ($20\text{--}100 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) induces reactive oxygen species (ROS) generation. (D) V-rGO ($20\text{--}100 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) induces lipid peroxidation.⁴⁸ Reproduced from ref. 48 with permission from MDPI, copyright (2019). (E) Catalytic performance of GQDs analysed using the Michaelis–Menten kinetics profile.⁴⁹ Reproduced from ref. 49 with permission from Springer, copyright (2021).

nanoparticles can be enhanced or suppressed, respectively.⁵³ Thus, the mechanical property of low stiffness in CSCs contributes to their elevated uptake of N-GQDs (Fig. 4).^{54,55} The study reveals that soft CSCs enhance the release, retention, and nuclear accumulation of drug-loaded N-GQDs by reducing intracellular pH and exocytosis, leading to the specific elimination of soft CSCs *in vitro* and *in vivo*. The N-GQDs loaded with drugs specifically target and eliminate soft CSCs, inhibiting tumor growth without affecting overall animal growth and reducing the tumorigenicity of xenograft cells.⁵¹ This discovery unveils a new mechanism where the low cellular stiffness of CSCs can be harnessed for targeted therapy. It opens up opportunities for developing new therapeutic strategies that could potentially improve the efficacy of cancer treatment by focusing on the eradication of CSCs, which are often responsible for tumor recurrence and resistance to therapy.

A recent study further demonstrates that the GO-like nanostructure of graphdiyne oxide (GDYO) nanosheets could also show CSC targeting and elimination activities.⁵¹ GDYO has been shown to effectively combat lymphoma by targeting and eliminating cancer stem cells (CSCs), which are often referred to as the “seeds” of cancer, while concurrently remodeling the TME, likened to the “soil” in which cancer grows. The mechanism of action involves GDYO significantly reducing Mif-Ackr3 signaling from the tumor to cancer-associated fibroblasts

(CAFs), decreasing the levels of inflammatory cytokines within the CAF cells, and lowering the frequency of Treg cells and CSCs.⁵⁶ As a result, the growth of lymphoma is inhibited. The long-term safety profile of GDYO has also been assessed, demonstrating that it does not adversely affect mouse survival even when administered for extended periods, up to 20 months. This underscores the biosafety and biocompatibility of GDYO, suggesting its potential application in clinical medicine to treat lymphoma.

2.3.2 Promoting CSC differentiation to downregulate stemness. GO nanomaterials have been shown to promote the differentiation of CSCs, thereby reducing their tumorigenic potential. The biofunction is achieved by targeting specific signaling pathways that maintain CSC properties. The mechanism underlying GO's impact on CSCs involves the suppression of key signaling pathways known to contribute to the maintenance of the stem cell phenotype.⁵⁰ These include the Wnt, Notch, and STAT signaling pathways, crucial for CSC self-renewal and proliferation. By interfering with these pathways, GO induces CSC differentiation, thereby reducing the overall “stemness” of the cancer cell population. In breast cancer models, GO treatment led to the enrichment of CSCs under anoikis-inducing conditions. Still, it also promoted the differentiation of these cells, as evidenced by changes in the $CD44^+/CD24^-$ low cell population. The results suggest that GO inhibits






Fig. 4 (A) GO nanomaterials can target soft CSCs selectively and inhibit CSCs. (B) GO nanomaterials kill CSCs and remodel the tumor microenvironment. (C) CSCs are resistant to free Dox but sensitive to N-GQD@Dox. Bulk tumor cells and CSCs were treated with DMSO (control), N-GQDs, free Dox, and N-GQD@Dox for 48 h, respectively. Cell viability was measured using the MTS assay. $n = 3$. N-GQDs@Dox is accumulated in the tumor tissues rather than the major organs. (D) The major organs (heart, liver, spleen, lungs, and kidneys) and the generated tumors.⁵⁴ Reproduced from ref. 54 with permission from Elsevier, copyright (2021). (E) GDYO exhibits anti-lymphoma effects *in vivo* and the morphology of tumors after GDYO treatment. (F) Flow cytometric analysis of inflammatory cytokines affecting the frequency of Tregs and CSCs *in vitro*.⁵⁵ Reproduced from ref. 55 with permission from Elsevier, copyright (2022).

the formation of mammospheres, which are three-dimensional clusters indicative of CSC activity, by promoting the differentiation of breast cancer stem cells.

Carbon quantum dots (CQDs) can serve as a physiological niche for stem cells, influencing their formation and differentiation.⁵⁷ While much work has been done on stem cell imaging with CQDs, less attention has been paid to their interaction with stem cells and their potential to selectively guide differentiation towards a specific lineage.⁵⁴ CQDs interact with stem cells in various ways, influencing their differentiation potential. They can modulate the expression of critical genes and proteins involved in the differentiation process, acting as signaling molecules that steer stem cells toward specific lineages. For instance, CQDs have been shown to upregulate osteogenic markers such as alkaline phosphatase (ALP), RUNX2, OCN, and BSP in bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), indicating the promotion of osteogenic differentiation. Specific microRNAs like miR-2861 can further enhance this effect, which promotes osteoblast differentiation (Fig. 5).⁵³

The novel mechanism by which the GO nanomaterial promotes CSC differentiation is also investigated. This research article discusses bioactive graphene quantum dots (GQDs) to target and eradicate drug-resistant cancer stem cells (CSCs), critical in cancer metastasis and recurrence.⁵⁴ Conventional chemotherapy fails to eliminate these CSCs effectively due to

inadequate interfacial inhibition effects. However, GQDs can self-insert into the DNA major groove (MAG) sites within cancer cells, enhancing interfacial inhibition significantly.⁵⁸ Transcription factors, which regulate gene expression at MAG sites, are affected by this targeted action of GQDs, resulting in the downregulation of cancer stem genes like ALDH1, Notch1, and Bmi1. The nano-scale interface inhibition mechanism of GQDs reverses multidrug resistance (MDR) in cancer cells by inhibiting the MDR1 gene expression. When GQDs are applied at non-toxic concentrations (1/4 of the half-maximal inhibitory concentration, IC_{50}), they act as MDR reversers. The efficacy of this approach allows for substantial blockage of CSC-mediated migration, invasion, and metastasis of cancer cells. GQDs can also sensitize clinical cytotoxic agents, improving their effectiveness in combination chemotherapy.⁵⁷

2.4 Photothermal effect of GO for immunoreactions

With unique optical-thermal properties, GO offers significant advantages in modulating the tumor microenvironment for therapeutic purposes. Graphene-based materials can efficiently convert light into heat when exposed to near-infrared (NIR) light.⁶⁰ This ability allows targeted hyperthermia treatment to selectively destroy cancer cells while minimizing damage to surrounding healthy tissues.⁶¹ The localized heat generation not only directly kills tumor cells but also enhances the per-






Fig. 5 (A) GO nanomaterials promote the differentiation of CSCs.⁵⁰ Reproduced from ref. 50 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright (2022). (B) Immunostaining of MSCs seeded for 15 days in osteogenic differentiation medium on different substrates. MSCs growing on Si/SiO₂, PDMS, and PET without graphene show OCN negative staining. Once these substrates are coated with graphene, cells are positive for OCN, indicating osteogenic differentiation. Scale bars are 100 μ m.⁵⁹ Reproduced from ref. 59 with permission from BioMed Central, copyright (2014).

meability of the tumor vasculature, facilitating the delivery of therapeutic agents. Moreover, the photothermal effect of GO can stimulate an immune response against the tumor, potentially leading to a synergistic effect when combined with immunotherapy.⁶² The biocompatibility and tunable surface chemistry of graphene further enable its functionalization with targeting ligands or drugs, making it a versatile platform for precise and effective cancer therapy (Fig. 6).

2.4.1 Activating anti-tumor responses via GO-based PTT-induced ICD. In tumor therapy, GO-mediated localized heating effectively converts light energy into thermal energy, killing cancer cells and activating the immune system to enhance the body's immune response to tumors. Hyperthermia can transform immunologically "cold" tumors, characterized by little or no immune cell infiltration, into "hot" tumors, enhancing the immune response.⁶³ These "cold" tumors are typically less responsive to immunotherapy, as the immune system struggles to recognize or attack them. Despite the effectiveness of immune checkpoint blockade therapy, most "immune cold" solid tumors show no response, posing a significant barrier to immunotherapy. In contrast, "hot" tumors are characterized by considerable infiltration of immune cells, such as T cells, NK cells, and antigen-presenting cells (APCs), which are effective against tumors and make these tumors more responsive to immunotherapy.^{64–66} Activating cold tumors into hot tumors improves antigen presentation efficiency and activates T cells and NK cells.⁶⁷ It can also significantly stimulate the immune system and enhance the anti-tumor immune response.

GO-based PTT directly kills tumor cells and induces immunogenic cell death (ICD). During ICD, damage-associated

molecular patterns (DAMPs) such as calreticulin (CRT) translocation, high-mobility group box 1 (HMGB1) release, and heat shock protein (HSP) efflux are observed. These DAMPs collectively activate antigen-presenting cells (APCs), triggering subsequent immune processes.⁶⁸ Hydrophilic Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles were combined with rGO through electrostatic adsorption, significantly promoting DC maturation up to 30.2% under 808 nm laser irradiation.⁶⁹ The GO-arginine-soy lecithin nanogenerator (GO-Arg-SL) for PTT and gas therapy (GT) effectively releases l-Arg in the cytoplasm of tumor cells, which was then catalyzed by inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) to produce a large amount of nitric oxide (NO). The released NO promoted the maturation of antigen-presenting cells (DCs) and caused a significant increase in CD8⁺CD4⁻CD3⁺ in the spleen. Mature DCs can activate CTLs, stimulating the innate immune system and enhancing anti-tumor immunity. Tumors were significantly eliminated due to the enhanced anti-tumor effect of PTT and GT.⁷⁰ Nanoparticles coated with granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF@CS/GO) were synthesized, which could cause the photothermal destruction of tumors, release a large number of tumor-associated antigens and significantly promote the recruitment of DC cells and the production of anti-tumor cytokines.⁷¹ Transdermal delivery of paclitaxel (DTX) and 1-methyl-D-tryptophan (1MT) (D-1/GH) based on heparin-modified graphene oxide (GH) enhances the therapeutic effect of chemotherapy and PTT while inducing immunogenic cell death (ICD), thereby recruiting immune cells, reversing the immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment, and enhancing immune response (Fig. 7).⁷²

The anti-tumor immunity triggered by PTT is severely hindered by the dynamic amplification of programmed cell death






Fig. 6 Schematic illustration of GO-derived PTT for activating anti-tumor responses via immunogenic cell death (ICD) and M2 tumor-associated macrophage elimination.

protein ligand 1 (PD-L1) and indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase 1 (IDO-1) in tumors. To fully unleash the efficiency of PTT, blocking the PD-L1 and IDO-1 pathways is essential to enhance effective anti-tumor immunity.^{74,75} Folic acid-coupled rGO loaded with IDO inhibitors (PEG-rGO-FA-IDOi) effectively blocks tryptophan catabolism and enhances T cell proliferation while simultaneously blocking PD-L1, and combining PTT, IDO inhibition, and anti-PD-L1 blockade counters immune suppression effectively. This approach enhances the presence of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, including CD45⁺ leukocytes, CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells, and NK cells. It also inhibits the immunosuppressive activity of regulatory T cells (Tregs) and boosts the production of interferon-gamma (INF- γ) (Fig. 8).⁷⁶ Based on rGO, the chemotherapeutic drug mitoxantrone (MTX) and the transforming growth factor β (TGF- β) inhibitor SB-431542 (SB) were loaded onto rGO-PEG through π - π stacking interactions. rGO-based PTT provides an immunogenic antigen source, forming an *in situ* vaccine with rGO acting as an adjuvant. The use of SB changed the tumor microenvironment, enhancing the therapeutic effect of MTX-induced chemotherapy and rGO-based PTT. The infiltration of tumor-specific cytotoxic CD8⁺ lymphocytes increases while the infiltration of distal Tregs decreases, providing a synergistic

chemo-immuno-photothermal effect.⁷⁷ GO-loaded nanoparticles with SNX-2112 and folic acid (GFS) guide LTPTT by initially inhibiting the AKT pathway, subsequently inducing the over-activation of autophagy, thereby reducing the expression of PD-L1. The results indicate that GFS-guided LTPTT can diminish tumor cell immune escape and immunosuppression. It enables T cells to regain their natural immune function, leading to the destruction of tumor cells. This process is facilitated by the combined effect of PTT and drug treatment, which activates cell autophagy and enhances immune function.⁷⁸ Moreover, GO loaded with immune-related substances can synergize with PTT to activate the immune response at the tumor site. GO modified with polyethylene glycol (PEG) and polyethyleneimine (PEI) could compress CpG oligonucleotide sequences effectively to generate a GGIC nanosystem. The cellular uptake of GGIC is significantly enhanced after GO-mediated photothermal heating, effectively enhancing intracellular delivery of CpG. Subsequently, Toll-like receptor 9 (TLR9) recognizes CpG, releasing various pro-inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor TNF- α and interleukin IL-6 for immune stimulation enhancement.⁷⁹ Collectively, the interaction between heat shock and thermal immunity is significant at the cellular biology level and pro-






Fig. 7 (A) Schematic showing the synthesis of GGIC and its immunostimulatory effect. (B) PBS, CpG ODNs, GGI, and GGIC were injected intratumorally in a single dose, followed by 5 min NIR irradiation or without NIR irradiation. (C) *In vivo* photothermal tumor heating. IR thermal images of tumor-bearing mice exposed to the NIR laser after injection with PBS, GGI, or GGIC. (D) The volumetric changes in tumor size and changes with time in body weight were achieved from mice injected with PBS, CpG ODNs, GGI, and GGIC. Data are presented as mean \pm SD of five mice per group. (E–J) Histopathological studies of tissue organs of (E) PBS + NIR, (F) GGI, (G) CpG ODNs, (H) GGIC, (I) GGI + NIR, and (J) GGIC + NIR in the CT26 tumor-bearing mouse model.⁷³ Reproduced from ref. 73 with permission from Elsevier, copyright (2014).

vides new strategies and mechanisms for the immunotherapy of tumors. In this way, the increase in heat shock proteins helps cells cope with thermal stress and effectively activates the immune system, enhancing the immune response to tumors and providing an essential target for tumor therapy.

2.4.2 Eliminating M2 macrophages via hyperthermia.

Tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs) are a unique component in the immunotherapy of tumors, serving as one of the primary drivers of immune response. They play multiple roles in the TME, such as phagocytizing cancer cells, promoting or inhibiting tumor growth, and influencing the immune response in the TME.⁸⁰ PTT can induce massive apoptosis in cancer cells, and this sharp increase in local apoptosis rates may recruit many TAMs.⁸¹ Depending on their activation type and role in the TME, TAMs can be categorized into immunostimulatory M1-type and immunosuppressive M2-type. M1 macrophages, known for their pro-inflammatory role in the TME, have distinct anti-tumor properties. They produce pro-inflammatory cytokines like tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α) and nitric oxide (NO), present antigens, activate specific immune responses, and directly contribute to the

destruction of tumor cells. However, M2 phenotype macrophages inhibit the activity of cytotoxic T cells and release immunosuppressive factors and growth factors, accelerating tumor growth. As the TME forces TAM phenotype evolution towards tumor-promoting directions, TAMs predominantly exhibit M2 phenotype functions.^{80,82,83} Fortunately, due to the high plasticity of macrophages, M2-like macrophages can easily repolarize to the M1 phenotype under local microenvironmental modulation.⁸⁴ Therefore, developing effective strategies to repolarize M2-TAMs to M1-TAMs could aid tumor immunotherapy.⁸⁵ The impact of fluorescein isothiocyanate-labeled polyethylene glycol-modified graphene oxide nanosheets (FITC-PEG-GO) on the polarization of mouse peritoneal macrophages towards pro-inflammatory M1 or reparative M2 phenotypes was investigated under pro-inflammatory (LPS/IFN γ) and reparative (IL-4) stimuli. CD80 and iNOS were used as markers for the M1 phenotype, while CD206 and CD163 served as markers for the M2 phenotype.

The results indicated that the uptake of FITC-PEG-GO did not induce polarization of macrophages towards the M1 pro-inflammatory phenotype. Instead, it promoted a controlled






Fig. 8 (A) A multifunctional IDO inhibitor (IDOi)-loaded reduced graphene oxide (rGO)-based nanosheets (IDOi/rGO nanosheets) with the properties of directly killing tumor cells under laser irradiation and triggering anti-tumor immune responses *in situ*. (B) PEG-rGO-FA-IDOi can induce DC activation *in vivo* after irradiation with NIR; mature DCs induced increased expressions of CD86 and CD80. (C) Individual tumor growth for a primary tumor (1#) and a distant tumor (2#). (D) Treatment with the combined PEG-rGO-FA-IDOi-mediated PTT plus PD-L1 antibody led to a remarkably increased proportion of CD45 leukocytes, CD4 T cells, and CD8 T cells in the distant tumors and approximately 1.8- to 4-fold enhancement compared to treatment with the single PEG-rGO-FA-IDOi-mediated PTT.⁷⁶ Reproduced from ref. 76 with permission from American Chemical Society, copyright (2019).

balance between M1 and M2 phenotypes and slightly shifted towards the M2 reparative phenotype involved in tissue repair, ensuring an appropriate immune response to these nanosheets.⁸⁶ However, macrophages treated with IL-4 would show M2 polarization, while post GO-mediated PTT, the RAW264.7 cell line exhibited more polarization towards M1 and less towards M2. These findings suggest that GO itself does not possess the capability to influence TAMs in the tumor microenvironment, and PTT is a crucial factor for mediating TAM's immune function. Through thermal stress, GO-mediated PTT affects the function of M2 macrophages, potentially inducing their transformation to the M1 type, thereby inhibiting tumor progression (Fig. 9).⁸⁷ The effects of GO and rGO on primary bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDM) and the immortalized macrophage cell line (J774A.1) were also evaluated in previous studies. They found that rGO, compared to GO, induced higher levels of TNF- α and IL-6 production, indicating a more robust pro-inflammatory response in macrophages. These studies demonstrate the potential application of GO and its derivatives in promoting macrophage polarization toward the M1 phenotype.⁸⁸ Additionally, leveraging the activities of M2 macrophages can synergize with PTT. A multifunctional, biologically active scaffold comprising hydrated CePO₄ nanorods and chitosan (CS) forms a CePO₄/CS/GO structure. During PTT, the released Ce³⁺ ions promote the polarization of macrophages towards the M2 phenotype. Utilizing M2 macrophages to secrete vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and arginase-1 (Arg-1)

fosters angiogenesis and induces bone regeneration. This approach optimizes adjuvant therapy following tumor surgery and improves prognosis.⁸⁹

3. TME-responsive GO nanomaterials control drug shuttling and TME regulation

Recent advancements in nanotechnology have contributed to the exploration of GO as a versatile drug carrier, offering innovative approaches for cancer diagnosis and therapy. Extensive studies have witnessed that GO can effectively load and deliver chemotherapeutic agents and biomolecules to enhance therapeutic efficacy and minimize systemic toxicity.⁹⁰ However, GO intrinsically lacks active targeting capabilities and demonstrates limited therapeutic efficacy against cancer. Therefore, recent research has shifted towards enhancing GO's tumor specificity and therapeutic potential through strategic surface modifications.^{91–93} The diverse functional groups on GO's surface provide a foundational platform for such modifications, enabling the incorporation of targeted therapeutic strategies. The tumor microenvironment, with its distinct characteristics like acidic pH, overexpressed enzymes, and specific biomarkers, offers many targeting opportunities.⁹⁴ By leveraging these unique environmental features, GO can be tailored to selectively accumulate in tumor tissues and share con-






Fig. 9 (A) GP-mediated PTT affects the function of M2 macrophages through heat stress and may induce their conversion to M1, thereby inhibiting tumor progression. It involves the polarization of macrophages by heating and regulates their anti-tumor ability. (B) The IL-4 group showed significantly increased levels of the surface protein CD206, and the NIR + IL-4 group showed considerably decreased expressions of CD206. (C) The expression of M2-related genes in the IL-4 group was significantly increased, whereas the expression of these M2-related genes was sharply inhibited after PTT. (D) The NIR group could not repair the scar after 24 h of culture, and its ability to repair scratches was the worst. (E) The macrophage supernatants treated with NIR could inhibit the invasion and migration of tumor cells. (F) PTT showed an excellent anti-tumor effect *in vivo* via macrophage polarization.⁸⁷ Reproduced from ref. 87 with permission from Elsevier, copyright (2020).

trollable release profiles, thus enhancing therapeutic agents' delivery efficiency while reducing systemic toxicity (Fig. 10).

3.1 Reduction/oxidation-sensitive GO nanomaterials

The TME presents unique redox characteristics that can be strategically exploited for cancer therapy. The tumor microenvironment's redox environment is pivotal in tumor biology and treatment.⁹⁵ Due to their rapid proliferation and aberrant metabolic activities, tumor cells generate a substantial amount of reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as superoxide anions, hydrogen peroxide, and hydroxyl radicals.⁹⁶ These ROS can promote tumor growth and spread yet simultaneously render tumor cells more susceptible to oxidative stress damage. To counteract this enhanced oxidative stress, tumor cells typically bolster their antioxidant systems, such as elevating glutathione (GSH).⁹⁷ This augmented antioxidant capacity aids tumor cells in resisting the toxic effects of ROS.

Researchers have modified and altered GO to enhance its therapeutic efficacy against tumors in response to this unique environment. These modifications aim to leverage the distinctive redox characteristics of the tumor microenvironment,

creating more effective cancer treatment strategies. In the TME, the levels of reduced GSH, a crucial antioxidant, are typically elevated. GSH neutralizes ROS and other free radicals, protecting cancer cells from oxidative stress damage.^{98,99} Therefore, the responsive design targeting GSH is considered a feasible strategy. Disulfide bonds are essential in stabilizing protein structures in normal human tissues. They break in the presence of a high level of GSH. Disulfide bonds are, therefore, a classical means to target high GSH levels in the TME.¹⁰⁰ A novel approach utilizing a hyaluronic acid (HA)-modified, oxidation-reduction-sensitive graphene oxide nanosheet (HSG) was developed for targeted and rapid delivery to tumor cells. HA was conjugated to GO *via* disulfide bonds, conferring active targeting capabilities to the graphene oxide-based system. Within the intracellular environment, the disulfide bonds of HA were cleaved by the overexpressed GSH, detaching conjugated HA fragments from the GO surface and accelerating the release of DOX (Fig. 11).¹⁰¹ The arginine-glycine-aspartic acid (RGD) peptide and amine-functionalized polyethylene glycol (6ARM-PEG-NH₂, PEG-NH₂) were employed to functionalize graphene oxide. A disulfide bond was introduced at one






Fig. 10 TME-responsive GO nanosystem for selective and efficient drug delivery.

end of PEG, and DOX was covalently attached, constructing a pH and GSH dual-responsive nano-drug delivery system (RGD-GO-PEG-S-S-DOX). DOX exhibited rapid release in a PBS solution with pH 5.50 and a GSH concentration of 10 mM.¹⁰² Moreover, magnetic GO nanosheets were constructed by coupling cystamine-functionalized GO nanosheets with Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles.¹⁰³ The magnetic GO nanosheets were loaded with DOX at a high drug/carrier ratio of 1 to create a redox-responsive delivery system for controllable chemotherapy. In drug release evaluation, the maximum drug release after 10 days was only 17.9% without GSH addition, while the maximum drug release reached 51.7% in the presence of GSH. The magnetic GO delivery system achieves highly selective drug release at tumor sites and high drug-loading efficiency, offering a novel strategy for precision chemotherapy.

The basis of carrier response mechanisms based on redox reactions is ROS levels in tumor tissues. H₂O₂ is the most typical ROS. H₂O₂ has a variety of catalytic activities and has been widely explored for the activation conditions of drug release.¹⁰⁴ The ability of nanoscale graphene oxide particles (N-GO) to mimic peroxidase-like catalytic activity was investigated in the tumor microenvironment characterized by weak acidity and high levels of ROS. This dual-responsive behavior significantly and effectively inhibited tumor growth. In the neutral microenvironment of normal cells, N-GO exhibited peroxidase-like activity similar to catalase, converting H₂O₂ into oxygen and water, thereby protecting normal cells from harm. These biofunctions hold crucial implications for the diagnosis and prognosis of cancer.¹⁰⁵ Similarly, a unique H₂O₂-triggered nanoenzyme-catalyzed photoacoustic imaging (PAI) contrast

agent was developed utilizing a nanoscale graphene quantum dot fragment (GQDzyme) as the core, coupled with 2,2'-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) (ABTS). Using nasopharyngeal carcinoma as a model, in the presence of elevated H₂O₂ expression at the tumor site, GQDzyme converted ABTS into its oxidized form. The oxidized ABTS exhibited strong NIR absorbance, making it an ideal PAI contrast agent for precise imaging at the tumor site and assisting in diagnostics.¹⁰⁶

3.2 Acidic pH-responsive GO nanomaterials

The aberrant metabolic activities of tumor cells primarily drive the unique weakly acidic feature of the TME. The acidic environment is closely linked to the Warburg effect, where cancer cells preferentially produce energy through glycolysis followed by lactic acid fermentation, even in the presence of ample oxygen, leading to an excessive accumulation of lactic acid and consequent acidification.¹⁰⁷ Additionally, the poor vascular structure of tumors results in hypoxic regions within, further promoting glycolysis.¹⁰⁸ The increased expression of carbonic anhydrases in tumor cells also contributes to carbonic acid production, exacerbating the microenvironment's acidity.¹⁰⁹ This acidic milieu profoundly impacts tumor invasiveness, metastatic potential, and response to therapies. Under acidic conditions, the efficacy of certain chemotherapeutic drugs is reduced, and the acidic environment may also suppress the function of immune cells, thereby weakening the anti-tumor immune response.¹¹⁰ GO has emerged as a promising material for targeting the acidic TME. Modifying the surface of GO endows it with the capability to modulate acidic environments. This modification facilitates interactions with






Fig. 11 Scheme illustration of DOX released from HSG-DOX, which contained disulfide. (A) Glutathione triggers HA detachment and rapid release of DOX in the cytoplasm. (B) Based on the redox sensitivity of HSG-DOX, it can significantly improve the killing ability of DOX against MDA-MB-231 and HELF cells. The system has significant anti-tumor activity *in vivo*. (C) UV-vis spectra of the GO, GO-COOH, HSG, and HCG. (D) Measurement of the temperature of water, GO, GO-COOH, HSG, and HCG under NIR irradiation (808 nm, 5 W cm⁻²). (E) CLSM images of the PCI effect of HSG and HCG based on their photothermal ability. (F) CLSM images of MDA-MB-231 cells incubated with free DOX, HSG-DOX, HCG-DOX, and HA + HSG-DOX over time.¹⁰¹ Reproduced from ref. 101 with permission from Wiley, copyright (2017).

the acidic milieu, enabling the development of targeted drug delivery systems and therapeutic strategies that leverage the unique pH values characteristic of cancerous tissues.¹¹¹

GO-based nanocarriers exhibit a unique pH-responsive drug release mechanism in the TME, primarily attributed to the π - π stacking interactions between the drug and the GO surface. Research indicates that these interactions remain relatively stable under neutral or mildly alkaline conditions.¹¹² However, the release of drugs like DOX, which are conjugated to GO through π - π stacking, is controlled by the degree of carboxyl group protonation on the nanocarrier, which is influenced by the pH of different environments. In acidic media, most carboxyl groups on GO are protonated, altering the charge state and weakening the electrostatic interaction between the nanocarrier and DOX, leading to drug release.¹¹³

Additionally, under acidic conditions, the structure of GO may partially disintegrate or expand, further facilitating drug release from its surface. This characteristic renders GO-based nanocarriers particularly suitable for tumor microenvironment-specific drug delivery, enhancing therapeutic efficacy while reducing toxicity to normal tissues (Fig. 12).

3.3. Enzyme-responsive GO nanomaterials

A unique spectrum of enzymes is crucial in regulating tumor development, metastasis, and therapeutic response. For instance, overexpressed proteolytic enzymes, such as matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), hyaluronidase, and cathepsins, facilitate tumor invasion and angiogenesis by degrading the extracellular matrix.^{115,116} Simultaneously, the alteration in metabolic enzymes within cancer cells supports the Warburg






Fig. 12 (A) GCE/siRNA was prepared from GO-COOH, functionalization with chitosan (GO-CS), immobilization with anti-EpCAM (GCE), and then mixed with survivin-siRNA (GCE/siRNA) in aqueous solution. (B) After targeting tumor tissues, GCE could deliver survivin-siRNA into cells successfully, and survivin-siRNA could be released from GCE/siRNA, exhibiting the anti-tumor effect. (C) Confocal images were obtained by treating the cells with PBS, naked siRNA, GCE/siRNA, and Lipo/siRNA; siRNA was labeled with the FAM fluorescent molecule (green) to show the amount of siRNA in cells and Hoechst 33342 (blue) for the cell nucleus. (D) Images were taken at different time points after the tail vein injection. (E) An image of the organs collected from each group was taken 8 h after the tail vein injection.⁶¹ Reproduced from ref. 61 with permission from Elsevier, copyright (2021). (F) Hydrolysis of the citraconic amide side chains of anionic charge-reversal polyelectrolyte (PAH-Cit) under mildly acidic conditions yields cationic PAH (poly(allylamine)). Construction of the targeted charge-reversal nanocarrier (GO-Abs/PEI/PAH-Cit/DOX). Controlled release of DOX in endosomes or lysosomes, stimulated by the pH-dependent charge-reversal of the charge-reversal polyelectrolytes on GO. (G) Cumulative DOX release from the charge-reversal polyelectrolyte coated nanocarriers GO/PEI/PAH-Cit/DOX at pH 5.0, 6.8, and 7.4. Comparison of the cumulative DOX release from GO/PEI/PAH-Cit/DOX and GO-DOX at pH 5.0. (H) Fluorescence intensities of integrin $\alpha\beta_3$ positive U87 MG cells (G) and integrin $\alpha\beta_3$ negative MCF-7 cells (H) obtained with flow cytometry after these cells were incubated with DOX, GO/PEI/PAH-Cit/DOX, GO-Abs/PEI/PAH-Cit/DOX and GO-Abs/DOX for 2 h, respectively. The DOX fluorescence histogram of cells was obtained from 10 000 cells by flow cytometry under 488 nm excitation.¹¹⁴ Reproduced from ref. 114 with permission from Elsevier, copyright (2014).

effect, a hallmark of metabolic reprogramming.¹¹⁷ MMPs, zinc-containing endopeptidases, exhibit a broad substrate specificity. MMPs encompass various subtypes, including MMP-2, MMP-7, MMP-14, *etc.* MMPs can degrade the extracellular matrix, thereby promoting the invasion and metastasis of tumor cells, playing a pivotal role in reshaping the tumor microenvironment. MMPs are widely employed in cancer therapy as biomarkers and therapeutic targets.¹¹⁸ A biodegradable GO hybrid drug delivery system with triple-responsive characteristics to pH, redox, and enzymes was developed by assembling bovine serum albumin (BSA) nano units onto GO nanosheets. As natural gelatin, this system triggered doxorubicin (DOX) release under H^+ action. Through the synergistic effect of MMP-2, gelatin was degraded, further promoting DOX release and leading to the rupture of small BSA-DOX particles, penetrating deep into the tumor.¹¹⁹ An MMP-cleavable peptide sequence (PLGVRGG) was designed for covalently binding to a polyethylene glycol-derived imaging probe and the anticancer drug bufalin Iib peptide,

grafted onto the surface of GO (IPGO/BF). The cleavage of the MMP-sensitive peptide triggered imaging signals, subsequently shielding the exposure of BF on graphene oxide, used for targeted therapy in SCC7 mouse models (Fig. 13).¹²⁰ Hyaluronidase, a highly active biomarker in the TME, is frequently designed to trigger controlled drug release at tumor sites. A HAase-activatable “smart” platform (HA-Ce6/GO) was developed, where chlorin e6 (Ce6) molecules were covalently coupled onto the backbone of hyaluronic acid (HA) to generate a HA-Ce6 polymer, followed by HA-Ce6 absorption onto the GO surface to construct HA-Ce6/GO.¹²¹ In the nanosystem, π - π stacking between GO and Ce6 molecules caused efficient quenching of 1O_2 generation. Therefore, the HA-Ce6/GO nanosystem could remain devitalized before reaching the tumor site, while Ce6 could be released from GO nanosheets upon enzymolysis of hyaluronidase, switching on photodynamic activity. This strategy significantly improved drug delivery precision, avoiding cytotoxic effects on normal tissues during tumor treatment.






Fig. 13 Illustration of hypothesized mechanisms involved in sequential activation of anticancer therapeutic effects triggered by selective sensitization of imaging in a tumor microenvironment. Structures of CP (A) and IP (B). Cy5.5 in IP and CP is not fluorescent upon anchoring to GO. (C) CPGO/BF does not contain MMP-cleavable peptides and remains quenched in the tumor microenvironment with the therapeutic peptide BF hidden in the PEGylated probe. IPGO/BF contains the MMP-cleavable sequence therapeutic peptide BF anchored to GO nanosheets; the PEG cloud shields the functional activity of BF. In the tumor microenvironment, MMP-responsive de-shielding of the PEGylated imaging probe activates imaging and causes cascading exposure of BF on GO. (D) In the bloodstream, the fluorescence of Cy5.5 derivatives on GO nanosheets is quenched. The presence of PEG blocks the apoptotic activity of the therapeutic peptide. GO nanosheets accumulate in tumor tissues. (E) In the tumor microenvironment, IP on GO is cleaved by MMPs, resulting in selective fluorescence activation. (F) Release of PEGylated Cy5.5 subsequently triggers the exposure of the apoptotic anticancer peptide BF into tumor cells. (G) The sequential exposure of BF on GO results in anti-tumor activity. (H) SCC7 tumor-bearing mice were intravenously injected with free BF, GO/BF, CPGO/BF, or IPGO/BF three times at 2 d intervals (injection times indicated by arrows). Tumor volume was measured periodically for 21 d after tumor inoculation. (I) Representative tumors from each group at day 21. (J) Weights of tumors for each group at day 21 ($*P < 0.05$; $**P < 0.01$). (K) For determining the immunohistochemistry of tumor tissues, SCC7 tumor-bearing mice were intravenously injected with free BF, GO/BF, CPGO/BF, or IPGO/BF three times at 2 d intervals. On day 21, after tumor inoculation, tumor tissues were sectioned for anti-PCNA antibody immunostaining (upper panels) and the TUNEL assay (lower panels). Scale bar = 100 μm . (L and M) Summary data comparing the number of proliferating (L) and apoptotic (M) cells ($***P < 0.005$). The results are the mean \pm SD of five independent experiments.¹²⁰ Reproduced from ref. 120 with permission from Elsevier, copyright (2019).

4. GO nanomaterials innovate multidrug delivery for combinative therapy

GO nanomaterials have revolutionized the field of cancer therapy by enabling the precise and efficient delivery of multiple drugs, thereby enhancing the regulation of the tumor microenvironment and synergizing with other anti-tumor treatments.¹¹¹ These nanocarriers can simultaneously address various aspects of tumor biology by loading GO nanoparticles with chemotherapeutic agents, immune checkpoint inhibitors, and anti-angiogenic drugs. Tumors often develop hypoxic and acidic conditions, which can promote resistance to conven-

tional therapies and facilitate metastasis. GO nanoparticles can be designed to release oxygen-generating agents or pH-sensitive drugs that normalize the TME, thereby sensitizing the tumor to other treatments. For example, by releasing oxygen, GO can alleviate hypoxia and enhance the effectiveness of radiation and photodynamic therapy. Similarly, pH-responsive GO can release drugs specifically in the acidic tumor regions, increasing their therapeutic impact while minimizing systemic side effects. Moreover, combining GO-mediated drug delivery with other anti-tumor therapies, such as photothermal therapy (PTT) and immunotherapy, offers a synergistic approach towards cancer treatment.¹²² PTT, which involves the conversion of NIR light into heat by GO, can induce localized hyperthermia that directly kills cancer cells



and enhances the permeability of the tumor vasculature. This increased permeability facilitates the deeper penetration of co-delivered drugs, improving their therapeutic efficacy. Additionally, the thermal ablation of tumor cells can release tumor-associated antigens, stimulating an immune response that co-administered immune checkpoint inhibitors can further potentiate.¹²³ In summary, GO-based multidrug delivery systems provide a versatile and powerful strategy for regulating the tumor microenvironment and integrating multiple therapeutic modalities.

4.1 Tumor vasculature targeting for combinative therapy

Tumor angiogenesis is a critical process in the progression and metastasis of cancer, providing a rich vascular network for the delivery of nutrients and oxygen necessary for tumor cell proliferation.¹²⁴ Targeting the tumor vasculature has become a promising approach for cancer therapy, as it can disrupt the supply lines for tumor growth. The two-dimensional lamellar structure of GO, combined with its tailorable surface charge and hydrophilicity, enables robust permeability. Specifically, the lateral dimensions of GO align well with the leaky vasculature of the tumor, facilitating passive accumulation in tumor tissues. The nanoscale design of GO significantly enhances tumor targeting capability by leveraging the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect in the tumor vasculature. Studies have demonstrated that when the lateral dimensions of GO are controlled within the range of 50–300 nm and its thickness is maintained at 1–2 nm, the physical characteristics of GO share optimal alignment with the interendothelial gaps in tumor blood vessels. This dimensional compatibility enables GO nanosheets to penetrate the loosely organized vascular endothelial layers efficiently and selectively accumulate in tumor tissues.¹²⁵ Additionally, the negatively charged surface of GO reduces nonspecific interactions with normal endothelial cells, further enhancing tumor-specific retention. Moreover, abundant oxygen-containing functional groups (COOH, OH, and epoxy groups) on GO's surface provide versatile platforms for covalent modification of targeting ligands. For instance, covalent conjugation of anti-VEGF or anti-integrin $\alpha\beta_3$ antibodies to GO *via* amido linkage enables precise tumor vascular targeting. The incorporation of RGD peptides or vascular homing motifs (*e.g.*, NGR peptides) could also enhance binding affinity to angiogenic endothelial cells.¹²⁶ In summary, the physical properties of GO and its surface modification are significant for tumor vascular targeted therapy. By precisely regulating the physical size and chemical functionalization of GO, synergy between passive targeting and active targeting can be achieved to improve drug delivery efficiency and reduce systemic toxicity significantly.¹²⁷ We summarize the recent advancements in utilizing GO nanomaterials for modulating tumor angiogenesis, highlighting their role in targeting, imaging, and therapeutic applications.

Tumor vasculature-targeted nanomedicines represent an innovative and precise strategy in cancer therapy, aiming to improve efficacy while minimizing systemic toxicity. Tumors cannot survive without a constant supply of nutrients and

oxygen, which they obtain through their network of blood vessels. Targeted therapies that work by inhibiting the development of these blood vessels could effectively starve the tumor and prevent its growth.¹²⁸ Tumor vasculature instead of tumor cell targeting is more desirable for graphene-based nanomaterials since the targets are immediately accessible upon intravenous injection, and extravasation is not required to achieve tumor targeting.

Previous studies explored reduced graphene oxide (RGO) for tumor vasculature targeting in a breast cancer model. RGO was conjugated with the anti-CD105 antibody (anti-CD105), where CD105 is overexpressed on proliferating tumor endothelial cells. The RGO conjugate, ⁶⁴Cu-RGO-anti-105, exhibited excellent stability and specificity for tumor endothelial cells *in vivo*. Serial PET imaging revealed rapid uptake by tumor endothelial cells, peaking at 3 h post-injection, with sustained levels over time. The results demonstrated the efficacy of RGO for tumor vasculature targeting and imaging. Apart from imaging applications, nano-GO conjugated with monoclonal antibodies against follicle-stimulating hormone receptor (FSHR) has been used for tumor vasculature targeting and inhibition in metastatic breast cancer. By focusing on angiogenic markers on the tumor vasculature, researchers can achieve more effective delivery of nanomaterials directly to the tumor site, bypassing the need for extravasation. In this work, GO nanoparticles were functionalized with a monoclonal antibody (mAb) against FSHR, a particular marker found abundantly in both primary and metastatic tumors. The resulting GO nano-conjugates, measuring approximately 120 nm in diameter, were radiolabeled with ⁶⁴Cu to enable their visualization using positron emission tomography (PET) imaging. The imaging function allowed real-time monitoring of the conjugates' distribution and tumor accumulation. The findings demonstrated that the GO conjugates accumulated significantly in metastatic tumor nodules within the lungs. The conjugates' ability to selectively target tumor vasculature and deliver therapeutic agents efficiently makes them attractive candidates for image-guided therapeutic delivery in metastatic breast cancer treatment (Fig. 14).

Another study discussed the development of a targeted drug delivery system using graphene quantum dots (GQDs) and poly(D,L-lactide-co-glycolide) (PLGA) nanocomposites to deliver sorafenib (SFB) for angiogenesis inhibition.¹²⁹ SFB, a multi-tyrosine kinase inhibitor, effectively suppresses tumor progression and angiogenesis but has limitations due to its narrow therapeutic window and adverse side effects. To enhance SFB's delivery and reduce toxicity, researchers have functionalized nanocomposites with an integrin-targeting ligand, the RGD peptide. Integrin receptors, particularly the $\alpha_v\beta_3$ subtype, are overexpressed in tumor tissues and play a role in angiogenesis and metastasis. The RGD peptide binds to these receptors, facilitating selective drug transfer to cancer cells. The targeted delivery system effectively suppresses angiogenesis, making it a promising drug delivery system for poorly water-soluble therapeutic agents like SFB. The nanocomposites' unique therapeutic and bioimaging properties






Fig. 14 (A) GO nanomaterials modulate tumor angiogenesis by tumor vasculature targeting and VEGF adsorption. (B) Inhibition of angiogenesis by BSA-GO in the chick chorioallantoic membrane (CAM). Dotted circles represent the area of the CAM under cellulose filter paper discs. The relative branch numbers of the corresponding CAMs were quantified by counting the blood vessel branch points in each image. (C) The CAM vasculature was treated with PBS (control), NC (RGD+), NC (RGD-), SFB-loaded GCRP-NC, and free SFB on day 14 for 3 days. The total vessel network length (Tot. length), the number of branching points (Nb. branches), the number of nodes (Nb. nodes), and the number of junction points (Nb. junctions). Reproduced from ref. 32 with permission from Elsevier, copyright (2016). (D) The stereomicroscopy images of the CAM vessels. (E) Quantitative analysis using NIH ImageJ with the angiogenesis analyzer plugin. The total vessel network length (Tot. length), the number of branching points (Nb. branches), the number of nodes (Nb. nodes), and the number of junction points (Nb. junctions).¹³⁰ Reproduced from ref. 130 with permission from Elsevier, copyright (2022). Data are expressed as mean \pm SD ($n = 6$). Notes: * $P < 0.05$; ** $P < 0.01$; *** $P < 0.001$; **** $P < 0.0001$; NS, not significant.

make them a valuable tool for improving the curative effect of angiogenesis therapies.

4.2 Immunosuppression modulation for combinative therapy

GO-derived nanomaterials have been witnessed to facilitate tumor immunotherapy by acting as vaccine adjuvants or directly interacting with immune cells, indicating immune modulation potential.¹³¹ The large surface area and flexibility of the 2D structure enable GO to interact with cells and biological molecules, influencing the immune response in various ways. We highlight the multifaceted role of GO in modulating the immunosuppressive microenvironment, emphasizing its effects on macrophages, dendritic cells, T lymphocytes, and cytokine production.¹³² Moreover, GO could exhibit specificity to tumor-associated immune cells based on the biological differences between normal and tumor-associated immune cells. In both functional states and metabolic characteristics, tumor-associated and normal immune cells exhibit significant differences.¹³³ Functionally, tumor-associated immune cells

predominantly exhibit an immunosuppressive phenotype (*e.g.*, Tregs, M2 macrophages, and exhausted T cells) and highly express inhibitory receptors such as PD-1 and CTLA-4. In contrast, normal immune cells in activated or resting states retain normal cytotoxic or antigen-presenting functions (*e.g.*, CD8⁺ T cells and M1 macrophages).¹³⁴ Metabolically, tumor-associated immune cells rely on glycolysis and exist in high ROS and low-pH stress microenvironments, while normal immune cells predominantly utilize oxidative phosphorylation to maintain metabolic homeostasis and more substantial antioxidant capacity.¹³⁵ Leveraging these differences, GO could achieve selective effects through multiple mechanisms. On the one hand, the high ROS level in tumor-associated immune cells triggers redox-responsive reactions of GO, enabling targeted suppression of immunosuppressive cell functions. In contrast, normal immune cells efficiently counteract GO-induced oxidative stress by their inherent metabolic stability, robust antioxidant systems, and physiological pH homeostasis. On the other hand, GO's affinity for scavenger receptors enhances its



enrichment in tumor-associated cells, whereas normal immune cells exhibit lower internalization efficiency due to distinct receptor distribution patterns. This targeting mechanism underpins GO's potential to disrupt tumor-promoting immunity while preserving regular immune activity selectively.

GO nanomaterials have been studied for their potential to induce apoptosis in tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs), which play a critical role in the tumor microenvironment by supporting tumor growth and suppressing immune responses. Research has demonstrated that functionalized graphene and graphene oxide can induce apoptosis in macrophages through various mechanisms. Oxidative stress, disruption of the cellular cytoskeleton, and interference with cellular functions are among the pathways through which graphene-based materials affect macrophages. The interaction between graphene and macrophages can produce reactive oxygen species (ROS), which can overwhelm the cell's antioxidant defenses, leading to oxidative damage and eventual cell death.¹³⁶ Moreover, GO can induce autophagy in macrophages in a concentration-dependent manner, as evidenced by the appearance of autophagic vacuoles and activation of autophagic marker proteins. This autophagy is regulated by TLR4, TLR9, and downstream adaptor proteins MyD88, TRIF, and TRAF6, highlighting the connection between autophagy and TLR signaling.¹³⁷

GO nanomaterials could activate macrophages *via* toll-like receptor (TLR)-mediated and nuclear factor kappa-light-chain-enhancer of activated B cell (NF- κ B)-related signaling pathways, leading to the secretion of cytokines such as interleukin-1 alpha (IL-1 α), interleukin-6 (IL-6), interleukin-10 (IL-10), tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), and granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), as well as chemokines like monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1), macrophage inflammatory protein-1 alpha (MIP-1 α), macrophage inflammatory protein-1 beta (MIP-1 β), and regulated upon activation, normal T-cell expressed and secreted (RANTES).¹³⁸ This activation can contribute to the creation of a pro-inflammatory microenvironment. GO nanomaterials have been shown to modulate the tumor microenvironment by enhancing the infiltration of immune cells and stimulating anti-tumor immunity. GO can activate macrophages to release pro-inflammatory cytokines, which recruit and activate immune cells, contributing to tumor eradication.¹³⁹ Furthermore, GO nanomaterials have been used to deliver anticancer drugs directly to tumors, improving treatment efficacy while minimizing systemic toxicity. A multifunctional nanoplatform for efficient chemo-gene combination cancer therapy is developed based on platinum-functionalized nano-GO. This system leverages GO's tumor-targeted accumulation and sustained drug release to significantly reduce nonspecific toxicity of cisplatin (CisPt). Furthermore, the platform achieves synergistic chemo-gene therapy *via* co-delivery of the chemotherapeutic agent CisPt and anti-miR-21. This approach simultaneously addresses drug resistance and systemic toxicity, thereby providing a novel paradigm for precision cancer therapy.¹⁴⁰ Moreover, the nanosheets (FU/

GO-PEG-GE11) made of GO were functionalized with GE11, an effective ligand for the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR), and loaded with the anticancer drug 5-fluorouracil. The targeting nanosystem was substantially more cytotoxic *in vitro* than non-targeting nanohybrids.¹⁴¹ According to *in vivo* data, targeted nanocomposites exhibited a potent anti-cancer effect while having almost no systemic adverse effects in mice with subcutaneous cervical cancer. Notably, the lateral size of GO nanomaterials could significantly impact macrophage activation. A series of GO samples with varying lateral sizes were constructed from the same starting material to focus specifically on the effect of size.¹³² They discovered that larger GO sheets exhibited stronger adsorption on the plasma membrane but were less prone to phagocytosis. The features led to more robust interactions with toll-like receptors (TLRs) and more potent activation of the NF- κ B pathway. More miniature GO sheets, on the other hand, were more readily taken up by cells. Consequently, more significant GO promoted greater M1 polarization, which is associated with increased production of inflammatory cytokines and recruitment of immune cells.

4.3 Oxygen generation for combinative therapy

GO nanosheets played a dual role in the H₂O₂ catalysis reaction, generating oxygen. To exclusively produce oxygen, GO nanosheets are commonly doped with catalase-active materials such as MnO₂ to form hybrid nanomaterials for hypoxia relief.⁴⁶ This self-oxygenation mechanism has been shown to improve the effectiveness of photodynamic therapy (PDT) by providing the necessary oxygen for ROS generation. MnO₂-doped GO nanosheets have enhanced oxygen levels in the tumor microenvironment, resulting in improved PDT efficacy.⁴³

To relieve hypoxia in the TME, a novel strategy was designed to enhance chemo-photodynamic therapy's efficacy through a smart nanosystem.⁴⁶ This system is based on manganese dioxide (MnO₂)-doped GO nanosheets, which have been engineered to simultaneously address two significant limitations in cancer therapy: tumor hypoxia and high levels of the GSH antioxidant. In this work, the MnO₂ component imparts three fundamental properties. Firstly, it catalyzes the decomposition of H₂O₂ into oxygen, alleviating the hypoxic conditions characteristic of tumors. Secondly, it depletes the intracellular GSH levels, making tumor cells more susceptible to chemotherapeutic agents. Thirdly, MnO₂ generates Mn²⁺ ions, which can participate in a Fenton-like reaction, further contributing to the anti-tumor activity. This work not only achieves chemo-photodynamic synergistic therapy but also significantly enhances therapeutic efficacy by modulating the TME, thereby providing a novel strategy for developing intelligent nanodrug delivery systems.

Analogously, gold nanoparticles are also hybridized with GO nanosheets for oxygen generation. A polypyrrole (PPy)-based multifunctional nanocomposite, abbreviated as PGPAl, has been developed for dual-modal imaging and enhanced



synergistic phototherapy against cancer cells.¹⁴² This composite is composed of PPy nanoparticles, graphene oxide (GO) sheets, polyethylene glycol (PEG) chains, gold nanoparticles (Au NPs), and IR820 molecules. Notably, the Au NPs possess catalase-like activity, enabling them to decompose hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), which is upregulated in tumor environments, to produce oxygen. This oxygen production enhances the efficacy of oxygen-dependent PDT.

4.4 Heat shock modulation for enhanced photothermal therapy

In addition to their potential in drug delivery and natural biological activities, graphene-based materials possess a unique advantage due to their excellent NIR absorption capabilities and high photothermal conversion efficiency, rendering them suitable for PTT treatments. GO and its derivatives exhibit an approximate 50% efficiency in photothermal conversion in the near-infrared region, effectively transforming light energy into heat, thereby generating localized hyperthermia in the tumor microenvironment.¹⁴³ Exogenous stimuli provide robust and efficient activation pathways in response to external physical conditions compared to poorly controlled endogenous responses. External physical stimuli are more reliable in clinical practice. PTT can provide spatial and temporal control of the activation of GO-based intelligent platforms. Therefore, toxic effects can be induced directly at the tumor site, minimizing side effects on healthy tissues and achieving additional therapeutic effects.¹⁴⁴

In current oncological research, PTT mediated by GO has garnered widespread attention as an innovative treatment strategy. Studies have demonstrated that the application of GO in PTT significantly influences the biological behavior of tumor cells.¹⁴⁵ However, the substantial local temperature increase in the tumor microenvironment can induce thermal stress, leading to the heat shock effect. Heat shock is a biological response where cells react to high temperatures or other external stressors, serving as an adaptive mechanism to environmental stress. Closely associated with cellular stress, cells undergo heat shock in response to elevated temperatures, hypoxia, or exposure to toxins as a protective measure.¹⁴⁶ A characteristic feature of this response is the increased expression of heat shock proteins (HSPs). HSPs, a group of proteins whose expression is upregulated in response to heat stress, assist in maintaining proper folding and stability of cellular proteins, preventing aggregation of damaged proteins. In PTT, this local thermal stress triggers the production of intracellular HSPs as a natural cellular protective response. During PTT treatment of cancer, the expression of HSPs can rapidly confer protection to cancer cells and reduce the efficacy of PTT, leading to insufficient apoptosis and tumor recurrence.¹⁴⁷ In conventional PTT, the surrounding normal tissue of the tumor site may suffer from high-temperature burns. Therefore, conducting mild-temperature photothermal therapy (MTPPT) at lower temperatures is a promising approach for tumor treatment. However, in MTPPT, the overexpression of HSPs increases the thermal tol-

erance of tumor cells, thereby limiting its therapeutic effect.¹⁴⁸

The temperature increase caused by PTT may induce more significant heat shock protein Hsp70 activity. With more intense treatment, the expression of the Hsp70 gene increases to counteract the therapeutic effect. Thus, the expression of the Hsp70 gene may be used as an indicator of treatment efficacy because it is upregulated under extreme thermal stress.¹⁴⁹ Based on reduced graphene oxide (rGO), IR780 was covalently conjugated to rGO. The system showed an eightfold increase in HSP70 protein synthesis compared to the control group. This time-dependent upregulation of HSP70 protein expression confirms the mechanism of near-infrared light-induced PTT, making HSP70 a potential target for enhancing the efficacy of PTT.¹⁵⁰ HSP90 is significantly believed to interact with AKT (protein kinase B). In many tumor cells, HSP90 is overexpressed and promotes tumor growth and survival by stabilizing essential signaling proteins such as AKT.²⁰ Therefore, inhibiting the activity of HSP90 has been viewed as a potential anti-tumor treatment strategy, which can reduce the stability and activity of AKT, thereby inhibiting the growth and survival of tumor cells.

To maximize PTT efficiency of graphene oxide (GO), a primary strategy involves suppressing heat shock protein (HSP)-mediated thermoresistance. This was achieved by non-covalent loading of the HSP90 inhibitor NVP-AUY922 onto GO nanosheets, constructing a multifunctional GO/NVP-AUY922 nanoplatform. The nanosystem synergistically combines GO's photothermal conversion capability with NVP-AUY922's HSP90 inhibition, effectively disrupting the cytoprotective heat shock response and enhancing tumor ablation under near-infrared (NIR) irradiation. This system was applied for photothermal therapy in HeLa cells, significantly inhibiting the expression of HSP90 in cancer cells, thereby helping to overcome the thermal stress resistance of tumor cells.¹⁵¹ SNX-2112, another Hsp90 inhibitor, primarily functions by binding to the N-terminal ATP binding site of Hsp90. HSP90 inhibitor SNX2112 was loaded onto the surface of GO. By non-covalently binding chitosan (CHI) onto the GO surface to improve biocompatibility and grafting hyaluronic acid (HA) as a targeting ligand for CD44, they achieved specific recognition of tumor cells and enhanced efficiency in anti-tumor drug delivery. Under NIR irradiation, the GO-CHI-HA/SNX-2112 nanosystem released SNX-2112 molecules at the tumor site through a photothermal effect. This photothermal therapy mechanism enhances the drug's efficacy by raising the temperature at the tumor site, simultaneously synergizing to reverse drug resistance, effectively inhibiting and killing A549 lung cancer cells while exhibiting lower toxicity to normal cells.¹⁵²

Simultaneously targeting HSP as a therapeutic endpoint, combined with PTT and other treatment modalities such as chemotherapy, immunotherapy, and PDT, is anticipated to enhance PTT's efficacy synergistically. Elevated temperatures induced by PTT can augment blood flow, facilitating increased intracellular transport and accumulation of chemotherapeutic agents within tumor cells. Additionally, complementary treat-






Fig. 15 (A) Schematic illustration of the therapeutic process of an ADSC-loaded GO-GA-polymer scaffold with the pH-triggered dual release of GO and glycyrrhetic acid (GA). (B) Under NIR irradiation, the tumor site temperature of tumor-bearing mice implanted with the GO-GA-polymer scaffold significantly increased compared to those implanted with PCL and GO-polymer scaffolds. (C) The GO-GA-polymer scaffold significantly promotes the adhesion of ADSCs under NIR irradiation, enhances the expression of adipogenic genes such as PPAR- γ , C/EBP- α , adiponectin, etc., ultimately stimulating the adipogenic differentiation of ADSCs and promoting the formation of adipose tissue *in vivo*, making it an ideal candidate material for achieving both photothermal tumor therapy and adipose tissue regeneration.¹⁵³ Reproduced from ref. 153 with permission from Wiley, copyright (2019).

ments may render cancer cells more susceptible to PTT, effectively improving therapeutic outcomes and prognosis. A stimulus-responsive scaffold composed of poly(acrylic acid)-grafted polylactic acid (PAA-*g*-PLLA) modified GO with gambogic acid (GA) and polycaprolactone (PCL) was constructed and further pre-implanted with adipose-derived stem cells (ADSCs). GA, a chemical small molecule inhibitor of heat shock protein synthesis, enhances the therapeutic effect of MPTTT. Under mild-temperature photothermal conditions, tumor cells were directly killed, and ADSCs also survived better and differentiated into adipocytes, thus promoting the formation of new fatty tissue. This method is efficient in treating tumors and provides strong support for postoperative tissue regeneration (Fig. 15).¹⁵³ Additionally, some methods can circumvent the protective mechanism of HSPs. A mitochondria-targeted low-temperature photothermal therapy (LTPTT) nanocomposite was constructed based on berberine derivatives (BD). This nanocomposite, composed of PEG-modified GO and BD combined with tumor-targeting folic acid (FA), effectively accumulates in the mitochondria of osteosarcoma cells, achieving enhanced mitochondrial-targeted LPTT effects. It directly activates the mitochondria's intrinsic apoptotic pathway, overcoming the tumor thermal resistance caused by HSPs in MPTTT.⁶⁸

5. Conclusions and perspectives

In conclusion, the intricate nature of the tumor microenvironment (TME) presents significant barriers to effective cancer therapy. However, the advent of graphene oxide (GO)-derived nanomaterials has introduced novel approaches for overcom-

ing these challenges, offering promising avenues for advanced cancer treatment. The unique properties of GO, including its high specific surface area, ultrathin structure, and electronic and photonic characteristics, have been harnessed for multifaceted applications in cancer therapeutics. Beyond its established role in drug delivery, GO has emerged as a powerful tool for TME modulation, enabling catalytic decomposition of reactive oxygen species (ROS), targeting cancer stem cells, anti-angiogenesis, and enhancement of anti-tumor immunity.

Recent studies have highlighted the efficacy of GO-based nanomedicines in altering the TME to create a less hospitable environment for tumor growth. GO's ability to scavenge ROS can normalize the redox balance within the TME, mitigating inflammation and disrupting the conditions that support tumor proliferation. Its anti-angiogenic effects contribute to tumor regression by inhibiting the formation of new blood vessels necessary for tumor sustenance. Moreover, GO's interaction with immune cells can convert the immunosuppressive TME into one that promotes immune cell infiltration and activation, thereby enhancing the body's natural defenses against cancer. The utilization of GO in cancer theranostics has expanded to include photothermal therapy. GO acts as a photothermal converter to generate heat upon light exposure, effectively destroying cancer cells while minimizing damage to surrounding healthy tissues. This approach can be augmented with other modalities, such as chemotherapy and immunotherapy, to provide a more comprehensive treatment strategy. Furthermore, GO's capacity for targeted drug delivery, facilitated by its responsive surface modifications, allows for the precise shuttling of drugs directly to the tumor site, improving therapeutic efficacy and reducing side effects.



GO demonstrates innovative potential in oncotherapy due to its two-dimensional structure, high specific surface area, abundant oxygen-containing functional groups, and excellent photothermal conversion efficiency. As a nanocarrier, GO enables the loading and tumor-targeted delivery of various drug molecules including chemotherapeutics, nucleic acids, immunomodulators *etc.* Meanwhile, the intrinsic PTT and PDT capabilities of GO could induce effective tumor ablation upon near-infrared irradiation. The first strictly controlled human exposure clinical trial indicates that inhaling GO causes no short-term adverse effects on lung or cardiovascular function (NCT03659864). The positive outcomes of the clinical study provide essential evidence for GO biosafety validation. However, future development of GO-based nanomedicines still faces various critical challenges. Long-term biocompatibility and *in vivo* metabolic pathways of GO remain unclear. To address the challenge, GO biodistribution and metabolic products could be tracked using the isotope labelling method. Chemical structure modification of GO is supposed to be an effective approach for improving biocompatibility. Biodegradable chemical bonds could be introduced into the 2D structure skeleton. Optimization of surface modifications to reduce immunogenicity and toxicity becomes imperative, such as a stable protein corona. On the other hand, large-scale production processes of GO suffer from issues of batch-to-batch variability and residual impurities. These variations critically impair GO's performance in biomedical applications, especially its biosafety. Future investigations should prioritize the development of green synthesis techniques to address process-induced variations and ensure production consistency. Additionally, clinical translation of GO nanomaterials necessitates GLP/GMP-compliant validation and comprehensive pharmacodynamic, pharmacokinetic, and toxicological profiling.

Author contributions

Y. D., H. C., J. Z., C. C., Q. Z., J. Y., and S. Y. wrote the manuscript; Q. L., Z. F., and S. G. curated the data; H. C., Y. D., and Y. W. summarised the literature studies; Y. D., H. C., J. Z., and D. X. revised the manuscript. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Data availability

No primary research results, software or code have been included and no new data were generated or analysed as part of this review.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the National Natural Science Foundation of China (82372113, 82404565 and 82373815), the National Ten Thousand Talents Program for Young Top-notch Talents, the Excellent Youth Foundation of the Jiangsu Scientific Committee (BK20240096), the Jiangsu 333 High-level Talent Training Project ([2022]3-16-190), the Double First-Rate Construction Plan of China Pharmaceutical University (CPU2022QZ18), the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (BK20231018), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2023M733892), the Jiangsu Province Outstanding Postdoctoral Program (2023ZB784), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2632023GR18). This study was supported by the Guizhou Provincial Scientific and Technological Innovation Base (No. [2023]003).

References

- 1 Y. Zhang, Y. W. Tan, H. L. Stormer and P. Kim, *Nature*, 2005, **438**, 201–204.
- 2 K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, Y. Zhang, S. V. Dubonos, I. V. Grigorieva and A. A. Firsov, *Science*, 2004, **306**, 666–669.
- 3 L. Bejarano, M. J. C. Jordão and J. A. Joyce, *Cancer Discovery*, 2021, **11**, 933–959.
- 4 A. Glaviano, H. S. Lau, L. M. Carter, E. H. C. Lee, H. Y. Lam, E. Okina, D. J. J. Tan, W. Tan, H. L. Ang, D. Carbone, M. Y. Yee, M. K. Shanmugam, X. Z. Huang, G. Sethi, T. Z. Tan, L. H. K. Lim, R. Y. Huang, H. Ungefroren, E. Giovannetti, D. G. Tang, T. C. Bruno, P. Luo, M. H. Andersen, B. Z. Qian, J. Ishihara, D. C. Radisky, S. Elias, S. Yadav, M. Kim, C. Robert, P. Diana, K. A. Schalper, T. Shi, T. Merghoub, S. Krebs, A. P. Kusumbe, M. S. Davids, J. R. Brown and A. P. Kumar, *J. Hematol. Oncol.*, 2025, **18**, 6.
- 5 J. Wu, H. Lin, D. J. Moss, K. P. Loh and B. Jia, *Nat. Rev. Chem.*, 2023, **7**, 162–183.
- 6 J. Hu, L. Zhang, H. Xia, Y. Yan, X. Zhu, F. Sun, L. Sun, S. Li, D. Li, J. Wang, Y. Han, J. Zhang, D. Bian, H. Yu, Y. Chen, P. Fan, Q. Ma, G. Jiang, C. Wang and P. Zhang, *Genome Med.*, 2023, **15**, 14.
- 7 L. Huang, J. Feng, J. Zhu, J. Yang, W. Xiong, X. Lu, S. Chen, S. Yang, Y. Li, Y. Xu and Z. Shen, *Adv. Healthc. Mater.*, 2023, **12**, e2203362.
- 8 E. Blanco, H. Shen and M. Ferrari, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 2015, **33**, 941–951.
- 9 Y. Xu, J. Xiong, X. Sun and H. Gao, *Acta Pharm. Sin. B*, 2022, **12**, 4327–4347.
- 10 T. Bohn, S. Rapp, N. Luther, M. Klein, T. J. Bruehl, N. Kojima, P. Aranda Lopez, J. Hahlbrock, S. Muth, S. Endo, S. Pektor, A. Brand, K. Renner, V. Popp, K. Gerlach, D. Vogel, C. Lueckel, D. Arnold-Schild, J. Pouyssegur, M. Kreutz, M. Huber, J. Koenig,



- B. Weigmann, H. C. Probst, E. von Stebut, C. Becker, H. Schild, E. Schmitt and T. Bopp, *Nat. Immunol.*, 2018, **19**, 1319–1329.
- 11 J. M. Chan, L. Zhang, R. Tong, D. Ghosh, W. Gao, G. Liao, K. P. Yuet, D. Gray, J. W. Rhee, J. Cheng, G. Golomb, P. Libby, R. Langer and O. C. Farokhzad, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2010, **107**, 2213–2218.
- 12 Z. Zhao, L. Xue, L. Zheng, L. Ma, Z. Li, N. Lu, Q. Zhan and Y. Song, *Signal Transduction Targeted Ther.*, 2023, **8**, 29.
- 13 X. Huang and W. Zhang, *Small Methods*, 2023, e2301326, DOI: [10.1002/smtd.202301326](https://doi.org/10.1002/smtd.202301326).
- 14 Y. Xi, Y. Shen, L. Chen, L. Tan, W. Shen and X. Niu, *Cytokine Growth Factor Rev.*, 2023, **73**, 78–92.
- 15 S. S. Narlawar and S. Gandhi, *Mater. Today Adv.*, 2021, **12**, 100174.
- 16 M. Certo, C. H. Tsai, V. Pucino, P. C. Ho and C. Mauro, *Nat. Rev. Immunol.*, 2021, **21**, 151–161.
- 17 A. Casazza, G. Di Conza, M. Wenes, V. Finisguerra, S. Deschoemaeker and M. Mazzone, *Oncogene*, 2014, **33**, 1743–1754.
- 18 K. E. de Visser and J. A. Joyce, *Cancer Cell*, 2023, **41**, 374–403.
- 19 M. Kochetkova and M. S. Samuel, *Trends Cell Biol.*, 2022, **32**, 285–294.
- 20 Z. Liu, D. Wang, J. Zhang, P. Xiang, Z. Zeng, W. Xiong and L. Shi, *Cancer Lett.*, 2023, **577**, 216409.
- 21 T. L. Whiteside, *J. Clin. Invest.*, 2020, **130**, 5115–5117.
- 22 J. Ma, L. Huang, D. Hu, S. Zeng, Y. Han and H. Shen, *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.*, 2021, **40**, 327.
- 23 S. Ugel, S. Cane, F. De Sanctis and V. Bronte, *Annu. Rev. Pytopathol.*, 2021, **16**, 93–122.
- 24 I. Li and B. Y. Nabet, *Mol. Cancer*, 2019, **18**, 32.
- 25 X. Wang, J. Mao, T. Zhou, X. Chen, H. Tu, J. Ma, Y. Li, Y. Ding, Y. Yang, H. Wu and X. Tang, *Theranostics*, 2021, **11**, 209–221.
- 26 Q. Wu, L. You, E. Nepovimova, Z. Heger, W. Wu, K. Kuca and V. Adam, *J. Hematol. Oncol.*, 2022, **15**, 77.
- 27 H. R. Wang, X. Han, Z. L. Dong, J. Xu, J. Wang and Z. Liu, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2019, **29**, 1902440.
- 28 R. K. Jain and T. Stylianopoulos, *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.*, 2010, **7**, 653–664.
- 29 H. Wahyudi, A. A. Reynolds, Y. Li, S. C. Owen and S. M. Yu, *J. Controlled Release*, 2016, **240**, 323–331.
- 30 M. Yamakuchi, M. Okawa, K. Takenouchi, A. Bibek, S. Yamada, K. Inoue, K. Higurashi, A. Tabaru, K. Tanoue, Y. Oyama, S. Higashi, C. Fujisaki, H. Kanda, H. Terasaki, T. Sakamoto, Y. Soga and T. Hashiguchi, *PLoS One*, 2023, **18**, e0284131.
- 31 S. S. Acharya and C. N. Kundu, *Cancer Treat. Rev.*, 2024, **127**, 102749.
- 32 P. X. Lai, C. W. Chen, S. C. Wei, T. Y. Lin, H. J. Jian, I. P. Lai, J. Y. Mao, P. H. Hsu, H. J. Lin, W. S. Tzou, S. Y. Chen, S. G. Harroun, J. Y. Lai and C. C. Huang, *Biomaterials*, 2016, **109**, 12–22.
- 33 W. Cao, L. He, W. Cao, X. Huang, K. Jia and J. Dai, *Acta Biomater.*, 2020, **112**, 14–28.
- 34 S. Huang, Y. Li, S. Zhang, Y. Chen, W. Su, D. J. Sanchez, J. D. H. Mai, X. Zhi, H. Chen and X. Ding, *J. Controlled Release*, 2024, **365**, 716–728.
- 35 S. Zhu, C. Yu, N. Liu, M. Zhao, Z. Chen, J. Liu, G. Li, H. Huang, H. Guo, T. Sun, J. Chen, J. Zhuang and P. Zhu, *Bioact. Mater.*, 2022, **13**, 119–134.
- 36 A. Sinha, B. G. Cha, Y. J. Choi, T. L. Nguyen, P. J. Yoo, J. H. Jeong and J. Kim, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 6883–6892.
- 37 M. J. Feito, M. Cicuéndez, L. Casarrubios, R. Diez-Orejas, S. Fateixa, D. Silva, N. Barroca, P. A. A. P. Marques and M. T. Portolés, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2022, **23**, 10625.
- 38 G.-Y. Chen, H.-J. Yang, C.-H. Lu, Y.-C. Chao, S.-M. Hwang, C.-L. Chen, K.-W. Lo, L.-Y. Sung, W.-Y. Luo, H.-Y. Tuan and Y.-C. Hu, *Biomaterials*, 2012, **33**, 6559–6569.
- 39 E. Zhu, J. Yu, Y. R. Li, F. Ma, Y. C. Wang, Y. Liu, M. Li, Y. J. Kim, Y. Zhu, Z. Hahn, Y. Zhou, J. Brown, Y. Zhang, M. Pelegrini, T. Hsiai, L. Yang and Y. Huang, *Nat. Nanotechnol.*, 2024, **19**, 1914–1922.
- 40 H. Zhou, K. Zhao, W. Li, N. Yang, Y. Liu, C. Chen and T. Wei, *Biomaterials*, 2012, **33**, 6933–6942.
- 41 M. Fan, Y. Han, S. Gao, H. Yan, L. Cao, Z. Li, X. J. Liang and J. Zhang, *Theranostics*, 2020, **10**, 4944–4957.
- 42 L. Xu, J. Wang, S.-Y. Lu, X. Wang, Y. Cao, M. Wang, F. Liu, Y. Kang and H. Liu, *Langmuir*, 2019, **35**, 9246–9254.
- 43 H. Lu, W. Li, P. Qiu, X. Zhang, J. Qin, Y. Cai and X. Lu, *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2022, **4**, 4304–4313.
- 44 B. Lin, H. Chen, D. Liang, W. Lin, X. Qi, H. Liu and X. Deng, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 11157–11166.
- 45 Y. Tao, L. Zhu, Y. Zhao, X. Yi, L. Zhu, F. Ge, X. Mou, L. Chen, L. Sun and K. Yang, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 5114–5123.
- 46 P. Liu, X. Xie, M. Liu, S. Hu, J. Ding and W. Zhou, *Acta Pharm. Sin. B*, 2021, **11**, 823–834.
- 47 X. Wang, C. Hu, Z. Gu and L. Dai, *J. Nanobiotechnol.*, 2021, **19**, 340.
- 48 S. Gurunathan, M. H. Kang, M. Jeyaraj and J. H. Kim, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2019, **20**, 247.
- 49 X. Wang, C. Hu, Z. Gu and L. Dai, *J. Nanobiotechnol.*, 2021, **19**, 340.
- 50 M. Majood, P. Garg, R. Chaurasia, A. Agarwal, S. Mohanty and M. Mukherjee, *ACS Omega*, 2022, **7**, 28685–28693.
- 51 Y. Su, K. Ye, J. Y. Hu, Z. L. Zhang, Y. Wang, B. J. Geng, D. Y. Pan and L. X. Shen, *Adv. Healthcare Mater.*, 2024, **13**, e2304648.
- 52 X. Chen, Y. Fan, J. Sun, Z. Zhang, Y. Xin, K. Li, K. Tang, P. Du, Y. Liu, G. Wang, M. Yang and Y. Tan, *Acta Biomater.*, 2021, **135**, 493–505.
- 53 J. X. Li, Q. W. Wang, H. Lu, Y. L. Han, L. L. Jiang, W. C. Qian, M. Zhu, B. N. Wang, J. S. Min, Y. Hou, S. N. Xu, Z. C. Xiong, H. B. Liu, Y. L. Li, C. Y. Chen, Y. Liu and P. X. Qian, *Nano Today*, 2022, **46**, 101622.
- 54 X. Chen, Y. Fan, J. Sun, Z. Zhang, Y. Xin, K. Li, K. Tang, P. Du, Y. Liu, G. Wang, M. Yang and Y. Tan, *Acta Biomater.*, 2021, **135**, 493–505.
- 55 J. Li, Q. Wang, H. Lu, Y. Han, L. Jiang, W. Qian, M. Zhu, B. Wang, J. Min, Y. Hou, S. Xu, Z. Xiong, H. Liu, Y. Li,



- C. Chen, Y. Liu and P. Qian, *Nano Today*, 2022, **46**, 101622.
- 56 D. Toomeh, S. M. Gadoue, S. Yasmin-Karim, M. Singh, R. Shanker, S. P. Singh, R. Kumar, E. Sajo and W. Ngwa, *Phys. Med.*, 2018, **55**, 8–14.
- 57 Y. Su, K. Ye, J. Hu, Z. Zhang, Y. Wang, B. Geng, D. Pan and L. Shen, *Adv. Healthc. Mater.*, 2024, **13**, e2304648.
- 58 N. An, X. Yan, Q. Qiu, Z. Zhang, X. Zhang, B. Zheng, Z. Zhao, J. Guo and Y. Liu, *J. Nanobiotechnol.*, 2024, **22**, 133.
- 59 E. Bressan, L. Ferroni, C. Gardin, L. Sbricoli, L. Gobatto, F. S. Ludovichetti, I. Tocco, A. Carraro, A. Piattelli and B. Zavan, *J. Transl. Med.*, 2014, **12**, 296.
- 60 W. Guo, Z. Chen, X. Feng, G. Shen, H. Huang, Y. Liang, B. Zhao, G. Li and Y. Hu, *J. Nanobiotechnol.*, 2021, **19**, 146.
- 61 S. Chen, S. Zhang, Y. Wang, X. Yang, H. Yang and C. Cui, *Asian J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2021, **16**, 598–611.
- 62 Y. Luo, B. Li, X. Liu, Y. Zheng, E. Wang, Z. Li, Z. Cui, Y. Liang, S. Zhu and S. Wu, *Bioact. Mater.*, 2022, **18**, 421–432.
- 63 G. Covarrubias, M. E. Lorkowski, H. M. Sims, G. Loutrianakis, A. Rahmy, A. Cha, E. Abenojar, S. Wickramasinghe, T. J. Moon, A. C. S. Samia and E. Karathanasis, *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2021, **3**, 5890–5899.
- 64 H. L. Lei, Q. G. Li, Z. F. Pei, L. Liu, N. L. Yang and L. Cheng, *Small*, 2023, **19**, e2303438.
- 65 B. H. Kang and H. K. Lee, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2022, **23**, 7325.
- 66 M. J. Bou-Dargham, L. L. Sha, D. B. Sarker, M. Z. Krakora-Compagno, Z. Chen, J. F. Zhang and Q. X. A. Sang, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2023, **24**, 9355.
- 67 P. Bonaventura, T. Shekarian, V. Alcazer, J. Valladeau-Guilemond, S. Valsesia-Wittmann, S. Amigorena, C. Caux and S. Depil, *Front. Immunol.*, 2019, **10**, 168.
- 68 H. Z. Hu, Q. C. Song, W. B. Yang, Q. W. Zeng, Z. H. Liang, W. J. Liu, Z. W. Shao, Y. R. Zhang, C. Chen and B. C. Wang, *Front. Chem.*, 2023, **11**, 1114434.
- 69 L. Wang, M. Wang, B. Q. Zhou, F. F. Zhou, C. Murray, R. A. Towner, N. Smith, D. Saunders, G. Xie and W. R. Chen, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2019, **7**, 7406–7414.
- 70 H. A. Tian, J. Y. Lin, F. K. Zhu, J. Q. Li, S. H. Jiang, L. Y. Xie, Y. Li, P. Y. Wang, Z. Q. Hou and J. X. Mi, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2023, **34**, 107577.
- 71 W. F. Ren, X. M. Cai, J. Chen, L. F. Ruan, H. R. Lu, J. Y. Zhang, Y. Hu and J. M. Gao, *Oncologie*, 2021, **23**, 359–371.
- 72 X. Y. Du, X. Y. Yang, Y. Zhang, S. Gao, S. G. Liu, J. B. Ji and G. X. Zhai, *Nano Today*, 2022, **46**, 101565.
- 73 Y. Tao, E. Ju, J. Ren and X. Qu, *Biomaterials*, 2014, **35**, 9963–9971.
- 74 L. Zhou, B. Feng, H. Wang, D. G. Wang and Y. P. Li, *Nano Today*, 2022, **44**, 101466.
- 75 L. P. Huang, Y. N. Li, Y. N. Du, Y. Y. Zhang, X. X. Wang, Y. Ding, X. L. Yang, F. L. Meng, J. S. Tu, L. Luo and C. M. Sun, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 4871.
- 76 M. M. Yan, Y. J. Liu, X. H. Zhu, X. L. Wang, L. X. Liu, H. F. Sun, C. Wang, D. L. Kong and G. L. Ma, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 1876–1885.
- 77 F. F. Zhou, M. Wang, T. Luo, J. L. Qu and W. R. Chen, *Biomaterials*, 2021, **265**, 120421.
- 78 X. Y. Deng, W. Guan, X. C. Qing, W. B. Yang, Y. M. Que, L. Tan, H. Liang, Z. C. Zhang, B. C. Wang, X. M. Liu, Y. L. Zhao and Z. W. Shao, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 4265–4275.
- 79 Y. Tao, E. G. Ju, J. S. Ren and X. G. Qu, *Biomaterials*, 2014, **35**, 9963–9971.
- 80 Y. Y. Pan, Y. D. Yu, X. J. Wang and T. Zhang, *Front. Immunol.*, 2020, **11**, 583084.
- 81 L. He, T. Nie, X. Xia, T. Liu, Y. Huang, X. Wang and T. Chen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2019, **29**, 1901240.
- 82 A. J. Boutilier and S. F. Elswa, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2021, **22**, 6995.
- 83 N. Kumari and S. H. Choi, *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.*, 2022, **41**, 68.
- 84 J. Gao, Y. Z. Liang and L. Wang, *Front. Immunol.*, 2022, **13**, 888713.
- 85 Q. Li, Z. Huang, Q. Wang, J. Gao, J. Chen, H. Tan, S. Li, Z. Wang, X. Weng, H. Yang, Z. Pang, Y. Song, J. Qian and J. Ge, *Biomaterials*, 2022, **284**, 121529.
- 86 M. J. Feito, R. Diez-Orejas, M. Cicuéndez, L. Casarrubios, J. M. Rojo and M. T. Portolés, *Colloids Surf., B*, 2019, **176**, 96–105.
- 87 X. Y. Deng, H. Liang, W. B. Yang and Z. W. Shao, *J. Photochem. Photobiol., B*, 2020, **208**, 111913.
- 88 Y. K. Wu, F. F. Wang, S. H. Wang, J. Ma, M. Xu, M. Gao, R. Liu, W. Chen and S. J. Liu, *Nanoscale*, 2018, **10**, 14637–14650.
- 89 Y. W. Ge, X. L. Liu, D. G. Yu, Z. A. Zhu, Q. F. Ke, Y. Q. Mao, Y. P. Guo and J. W. Zhang, *J. Nanobiotechnol.*, 2021, **19**, 91.
- 90 H. Sharma and S. Mondal, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2020, **21**, 6280.
- 91 H. S. Liu, X. Y. Liu, F. B. Zhao, Y. Liu, L. J. Liu, L. H. Wang, C. B. Geng and P. Huang, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2020, **562**, 182–192.
- 92 A. M. Itoo, S. L. Vemula, M. T. Gupta, M. V. Giram, S. A. Kumar, B. Ghosh and S. Biswas, *J. Controlled Release*, 2022, **350**, 26–59.
- 93 S. Gurunathan and J. H. Kim, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2022, **17**, 5697–5731.
- 94 X. H. Wang, H. Zhang, X. H. Chen, C. R. Wu, K. Ding, G. Y. Sun, Y. Luo and D. B. Xiang, *Acta Biomater.*, 2023, **166**, 42–68.
- 95 M. M. Chen, D. P. Liu, F. S. Liu, Y. N. Wu, X. J. Peng and F. L. Song, *J. Controlled Release*, 2021, **332**, 269–284.
- 96 Y. C. Yang, Y. Zhu, S. J. Sun, C. J. Zhao, Y. Bai, J. Wang and L. T. Ma, *Front. Immunol.*, 2023, **14**, 1259797.
- 97 S. H. Jia, S. K. Ke, L. Tu, S. Q. Chen, B. K. Luo, Y. Q. Xiong, Y. Li, P. Y. Wang and S. F. Ye, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2023, **652**, 329–340.
- 98 S. P. Feng, J. H. Wang, X. Y. Mu, G. L. Gu, Y. F. Wang, J. Y. Lu, S. L. Wang and Q. F. Zhao, *Colloids Surf., B*, 2023, **222**, 113095.
- 99 B. Y. Niu, K. X. Liao, Y. X. Zhou, T. Wen, G. L. Quan, X. Pan and C. B. Wu, *Biomaterials*, 2021, **277**, 121110.



- 100 B. J. Sun, C. Luo, H. Yu, X. B. Zhang, Q. Chen, W. Q. Yang, M. L. Wang, Q. M. Kan, H. T. Zhang, Y. J. Wang, Z. G. He and J. Sun, *Nano Lett.*, 2018, **18**, 3643–3650.
- 101 T. Yin, J. Liu, Z. Zhao, Y. Zhao, L. Dong, M. Yang, J. Zhou and M. Huo, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2017, **27**, 1604620.
- 102 M. Wei, T. C. Lu, Z. Z. Nong, G. Li, X. Pan, Y. M. Wei, Y. F. Yang, N. N. Wu, J. Huang, M. S. Pan, X. H. Li and F. Y. Meng, *J. Drug Delivery Sci. Technol.*, 2019, **53**, 101202.
- 103 A. R. Sarikhani, M. Abedi, S. S. Abolmaali, S. Borandeh and A. M. Tamaddon, *BMC Chem.*, 2024, **18**, 189.
- 104 D. Kalyane, D. Choudhary, S. Polaka, H. Goykar, T. Karanwad, K. Rajpoot and R. Kumar Tekade, *Prog. Mater. Sci.*, 2022, **130**, 100974.
- 105 B. P. Ling, H. T. Chen, D. Y. Liang, W. Lin, X. Y. Qi, H. P. Liu and X. Deng, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 11157–11166.
- 106 H. Ding, Y. J. Cai, L. Z. Gao, M. M. Liang, B. P. Miao, H. W. Wu, Y. Liu, N. Xie, A. F. Tang, K. L. Fan, X. Y. Yan and G. H. Nie, *Nano Lett.*, 2019, **19**, 203–209.
- 107 R. Zhang, C. Wang, X. H. Zheng, S. L. Li, W. C. B. Zhang, Z. Kang, S. Yin, J. Y. Chen, F. Chen and W. B. Li, *Cancer Med.*, 2023, **12**, 20639–20654.
- 108 J. L. Bi, F. F. Bi, X. Pan and Q. Yang, *J. Transl. Med.*, 2021, **19**, 382.
- 109 S. J. Lee, N. J. Toft, T. V. Axelsen, M. S. Espejo, T. M. Pedersen, M. Mele, H. L. Pedersen, E. Balling, T. Johansen, M. Burton, M. Thomassen, P. Vahl, P. Christiansen and E. Boedtkjer, *Breast Cancer Res.*, 2023, **25**, 46.
- 110 E. Boedtkjer and S. F. Pedersen, in *Annual Review Of Physiology*, ed. M. T. Nelson and K. Walsh, 2020, vol. 82, pp. 103–126.
- 111 N. Bellier, P. Baipaywad, N. Ryu, J. Y. Lee and H. Park, *Biomater. Res.*, 2022, **26**, 65.
- 112 H. R. Zhu, L. Gao, X. L. Jiang, R. Liu, Y. T. Wei, Y. L. Wang, Y. L. Zhao, Z. F. Chai and X. Y. Gao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 3695–3698.
- 113 A. Mihanfar, N. Targhazeh, S. Sadighparvar, S. G. Darband, M. Majidinia and B. Yousefi, *Biomol. Concepts*, 2021, **12**, 8–15.
- 114 T. Zhou, X. Zhou and D. Xing, *Biomaterials*, 2014, **35**, 4185–4194.
- 115 G. Gonzalez-Avila, B. Sommer, E. Flores-Soto and A. Aquino-Galvez, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2023, **24**, 16887.
- 116 A. Mustafa, F. Elkhamisy, N. Arghiani and M. Z. I. Pranjol, *Biomed. Pharmacother.*, 2023, **164**, 114932.
- 117 A. M. Itoo, S. L. Vemula, M. T. Gupta, M. V. Giram, S. A. Kumar, B. Ghosh and S. Biswas, *J. Controlled Release*, 2022, **350**, 26–59.
- 118 Q. Yao, L. F. Kou, Y. Tu and L. Zhu, *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.*, 2018, **39**, 766–781.
- 119 B. Z. Wu, M. P. Li, L. D. Wang, Z. Iqbal, K. Q. Zhu, Y. H. Yang and Y. L. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2021, **9**, 4319–4328.
- 120 G. Shim, Q. V. Le, J. Suh, S. Choi, G. Kim, H. G. Choi, Y. B. Kim, R. B. Macgregor and Y. K. Oh, *J. Controlled Release*, 2019, **298**, 110–119.
- 121 F. Li, S. J. Park, D. Ling, W. Park, J. Y. Han, K. Na and K. Char, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2013, **1**, 1678–1686.
- 122 Y. Chen, Y. Yang, Y. Xian, P. Singh, J. Feng, S. Cui, A. Carrier, K. Oakes, T. Luan and X. Zhang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 352–360.
- 123 J. Pi, D. Chen, J. Wang, E. Yang, J. Yang, Y. Liu, J. Yu, J. Xia, X. Huang, L. Chen, Y. Ruan, J. F. Xu, F. Yang and L. Shen, *Pharmacol. Res.*, 2024, **208**, 107379.
- 124 S. Shi, K. Yang, H. Hong, H. F. Valdovinos, T. R. Nayak, Y. Zhang, C. P. Theuer, T. E. Barnhart, Z. Liu and W. Cai, *Biomaterials*, 2013, **34**, 3002–3009.
- 125 K. Yang, J. Wan, S. Zhang, B. Tian, Y. Zhang and Z. Liu, *Biomaterials*, 2012, **33**, 2206–2214.
- 126 L. Lu, H. Qi, J. Zhu, W. X. Sun, B. Zhang, C. Y. Tang and Q. Cheng, *Biomed. Pharmacother.*, 2017, **92**, 187–195.
- 127 D. Yang, L. Feng, C. A. Dougherty, K. E. Luker, D. Chen, M. A. Cauble, M. M. Banaszak Holl, G. D. Luker, B. D. Ross, Z. Liu and H. Hong, *Biomaterials*, 2016, **104**, 361–371.
- 128 Z. Rahiminezhad, A. Tamaddon, A. Dehshahri, S. Borandeh, S. S. Abolmaali, H. Najafi and N. Azarpira, *Biomater. Adv.*, 2022, **137**, 212851.
- 129 P.-X. Lai, C.-W. Chen, S.-C. Wei, T.-Y. Lin, H.-J. Jian, I. P.-J. Lai, J.-Y. Mao, P.-H. Hsu, H.-J. Lin, W.-S. Tzou, S.-Y. Chen, S. G. Harroun, J.-Y. Lai and C.-C. Huang, *Biomaterials*, 2016, **109**, 12–22.
- 130 Z. Rahiminezhad, A. Tamaddon, A. Dehshahri, S. Borandeh, S. S. Abolmaali, H. Najafi and N. Azarpira, *Biomater. Adv.*, 2022, **137**, 212851.
- 131 B. Vakili, M. Karami-Darehnanaraji, E. Mirzaei, F. Hosseini and N. Nezafat, *Int. Immunopharmacol.*, 2023, **125**, 111062.
- 132 J. Ma, R. Liu, X. Wang, Q. Liu, Y. Chen, R. P. Valle, Y. Y. Zuo, T. Xia and S. Liu, *ACS Nano*, 2015, **9**, 10498–10515.
- 133 K. J. Hiam-Galvez, B. M. Allen and M. H. Spitzer, *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2021, **21**, 345–359.
- 134 R. Saleh and E. Elkord, *Semin. Cancer Biol.*, 2020, **65**, 13–27.
- 135 E. N. Arner and J. C. Rathmell, *Cancer Cell*, 2023, **41**, 421–433.
- 136 H. Zhou, K. Zhao, W. Li, N. Yang, Y. Liu, C. Chen and T. Wei, *Biomaterials*, 2012, **33**, 6933–6942.
- 137 X. Zhi, H. Fang, C. Bao, G. Shen, J. Zhang, K. Wang, S. Guo, T. Wan and D. Cui, *Biomaterials*, 2013, **34**, 5254–5261.
- 138 I. M. Martinez Paino, F. Santos and V. Zucolotto, *J. Biomed. Mater. Res., Part A*, 2016, **105**, 728–736.
- 139 W. Cao, L. He, W. Cao, X. Huang, K. Jia and J. Dai, *Acta Biomater.*, 2020, **112**, 14–28.
- 140 P. Liu, S. Wang, X. Liu, J. Ding and W. Zhou, *Eur. J. Pharm. Sci.*, 2018, **121**, 319–329.
- 141 Z. Qiu, J. Hu, Z. Li, X. Yang, J. Hu, Q. You, S. Bai, Y. Mao, D. Hua and J. Yin, *Colloids Surf., A*, 2020, **593**, 124585.
- 142 S. Gurunathan, M.-H. Kang, M. Jeyaraj and J.-H. Kim, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2019, **20**, 247.



- 143 B. Han, Y. L. Zhang, Q. D. Chen and H. B. Sun, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, **28**, 1802235.
- 144 Y. W. Chen, Y. L. Su, S. H. Hu and S. Y. Chen, *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.*, 2016, **105**, 190–204.
- 145 L. González-Rodríguez, S. Pérez-Davila, M. López-Alvarez, S. Chiussi, J. Serra and P. González, *J. Nanobiotechnol.*, 2023, **21**, 196.
- 146 X. L. Ding, M. D. Liu, Q. Cheng, W. H. Guo, M. T. Niu, Q. X. Huang, X. Zeng and X. Z. Zhang, *Biomaterials*, 2022, **281**, 121369.
- 147 Z. F. Wen, F. Y. Liu, G. X. Liu, Q. Y. Sun, Y. H. Zhang, M. Muhammad, Y. Q. Xu, H. J. Li and S. G. Sun, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2021, **590**, 290–300.
- 148 P. Wang, B. Q. Chen, Y. Y. Zhan, L. G. Wang, J. Luo, J. Xu, L. L. Zhan, Z. H. Li, Y. G. Liu and J. C. Wei, *Pharmaceutics*, 2022, **14**, 2279.
- 149 C. C. Barrera, H. Groot, W. L. Vargas and D. M. Narváez, *Int. J. Nanomed.*, 2020, **15**, 6421–6432.
- 150 B. S. Dash, Y. J. Lu, P. Pejrpriam, Y. H. Lan and J. P. Chen, *Biomater. Adv.*, 2022, **136**, 212764.
- 151 X. Chang, M. Q. Zhang, C. Wang, J. P. Zhang, H. X. Wu and S. P. Yang, *Carbon*, 2020, **158**, 372–385.
- 152 X. Liu, X. Z. Cheng, F. Z. Wang, L. B. Feng, Y. Wang, Y. F. Zheng and R. Guo, *Carbohydr. Polym.*, 2018, **185**, 85–95.
- 153 G. Bai, P. Yuan, B. Cai, X. Qiu, R. Jin, S. Liu, Y. Li and X. Chen, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2019, **29**, 1904401.

