

Environmental Science Water Research & Technology

Volume 9
Number 6
June 2023
Pages 1549–1752

rsc.li/es-water



ISSN 2053-1400

PAPER

Nikitha Inarmal and Brenda Moodley
Selected pharmaceutical analysis in a wastewater treatment
plant during COVID-19 infection waves in South Africa

PAPER

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: *Environ. Sci.: Water Res. Technol.*, 2023, 9, 1566

Selected pharmaceutical analysis in a wastewater treatment plant during COVID-19 infection waves in South Africa†

Nikitha Inarmal  and Brenda Moodley *

Globally, an extensive range of pharmaceuticals are consumed daily to treat a variety of illnesses and diseases. Since the occurrence of the SARS-CoV-2 virus (COVID-19) outbreak, the use of pharmaceuticals has increased drastically in order to treat and prevent infection. Studies have shown that pharmaceutical usage is largely dependent on seasonal temperatures. This was explored in the present study and was verified by the results obtained. Versatile solid phase extraction (SPE) and liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) methods were developed and validated for the accurate detection of target pharmaceuticals. Method percentage recoveries ranged from 73.53–100.70%, while the limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) ranged from 0.0330–0.886 mg L⁻¹ and 0.0990–2.68 mg L⁻¹, respectively. Resulting concentrations of pharmaceuticals used to treat chronic ailments such as diabetes, hypertension, tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS showed consistent daily usage while pharmaceuticals used for the treatment of COVID-19 and influenza showed distinct seasonal trends. Concentrations obtained for sulfamethoxazole hydroxylamine and sulfamethoxazole ranged from 0.05215–0.3438 mg L⁻¹ and 0.009818–0.3002 mg L⁻¹, respectively, while concentrations quantified for prednisolone and ivermectin ranged from 0.008775–0.4482 mg L⁻¹ and 0.008520–0.979 mg L⁻¹, respectively. Trends also directly correlated with the total number of active COVID-19 cases experienced in South Africa during sampling periods and this was confirmed using a one-way ANOVA test. *P*-values obtained for sulfamethoxazole hydroxylamine, sulfamethoxazole and ivermectin were below 0.05.

Received 31st January 2023,
Accepted 27th March 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ew00059a

rsc.li/es-water

Water impact

The presence of selected pharmaceuticals used to treat COVID-19 infections was determined in a wastewater treatment plant and shows how the COVID-19 pandemic may have affected the concentration levels of these emerging contaminants in the environment. This study presents for the first time, to the best of our knowledge, the concentrations of ivermectin in a wastewater treatment plant during the infection waves experienced in South Africa.

1. Introduction

Over the past century, the field of medicine has made significant progress in the lengthening of life expectancy and reduction of morbidity.¹ Presently, more than 3000 chemical compounds have been approved by various regulatory bodies as constituents of pharmaceutical products.² Pharmaceuticals are not entirely metabolised by the human body and are excreted either unchanged or as metabolites.³

Wastewater refers to used water that encompasses any combination of industrial, commercial, medical, domestic, and agricultural activities, stormwater, and sewer inflow.⁴

Pharmaceutical contaminants are not sufficiently removed during conventional processes operated at most WWTPs. Therefore, their occurrence in environmental media, such as water bodies, implies possible danger to overall public health, biota, and the environment.⁴ There is increasing indication that a wide variety of pharmaceuticals persist in natural water resources.⁵ These organic pollutants are classed within the emerging contaminants (EC) group and enter various water systems through sewage, leeching, industrial waste and improper disposal. The detection of pharmaceuticals in South African water systems has increased sporadically over the last few years. Adverse effects associated with this phenomenon includes bacterial resistance to numerous antibiotics, feminisation and infertility amongst aquatic animals and unnecessary exposure of these animals to pharmaceuticals that they simply do not

School of Chemistry and Physics, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Westville Campus, 4000, South Africa. E-mail: 215001342@stu.ukzn.ac.za, moodleyb3@ukzn.ac.za
† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ew00059a>



need. The desired characteristic when it comes to medication is persistence within the human body to aid in the healing of various ailments however, this often leads to the same persistence observed within the environment.⁶

The SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) outbreak affected the world in 2020. The World Health Organisation (WHO) classified this outbreak as a global pandemic. COVID-19 is a highly contagious respiratory virus that has resulted in the loss of millions of lives globally.⁷ The occurrence of the global pandemic gave rise to a substantial increase in the use of pharmaceuticals to prevent and treat infections. Pharmacies and medical facilities reported an increased demand for pharmaceuticals such as antibiotics, antivirals and immunosuppressants during this period.⁷ Although interactions between humans play a vital role in transmission of the virus, temperature and humidity were found to affect virus survival as well as human immune functioning and response.⁸ During the period of time in which COVID-19 was discovered and became classified as a global pandemic, geographical regions that experienced higher ambient temperatures (being >20 °C), were found to have reported lower number of COVID-19 cases compared to regions that experienced lower temperatures apart from other meteorological conditions such as precipitation, wind speed and solar radiation that were also found to have had effects on transmission.⁸ The consumption of certain pharmaceuticals, inclusive of antimicrobials and antibiotics, has a distinct seasonal trend of increased usage during the winter months and decreased usage in the summer months, both of which ran parallel with influenza and COVID-19 cases.⁹ Epidemiologists in South Africa predicted the end of the fourth COVID-19 infection wave to occur at the end of January 2022. The start of the potential fifth wave was forecasted for April 2022. The peak of the fifth wave was experienced around June 2022, during the cold, winter month. Using this information, sampling trips were centred around these periods of time in order to compare pharmaceutical concentrations during the high and low infection periods. In South Africa, ivermectin has been used as a treatment for COVID-19 infection. Initially, the use of ivermectin to treat the virus was prohibited as there were limited available studies on the effectiveness of this drug in the treatment of COVID-19. In January 2021, the South African Health Products Regulatory Authority (SAHPRA) approved the use of ivermectin in the treatment of COVID-19, but only under controlled, compassionate usage under Section 21 of the Medicines and Related Substances Act.¹⁰ Despite the initial prohibition of widespread use of ivermectin, the drug was largely available on the black market. In May 2022 SAHPRA had terminated the compassionate usage of ivermectin programme on the basis that additional large scale clinical studies had shown little to no improvement in COVID-19 cases with the use of ivermectin. Additionally, the regulatory body had expressed a rapid decline in the number of patients and medical facilities applying for usage and dispensing of the drug.¹¹

This research study aims to identify and quantify the concentrations of selected pharmaceuticals and metabolites present in the influent stream at a Wastewater Treatment plant situated in KwaZulu-Natal. Pharmaceuticals of interest were selected due to them being used for medical conditions that are prevalent in South Africa as well as being commonly prescribed to treat and prevent COVID-19 and influenza. These analytes of interest are outlined in Table 1. Additionally, the study aimed to show trends that exist between pharmaceutical usage and seasonal changes.

2. Methods and materials

2.1. Chemicals and reagents

This study involved the analysis of ten pharmaceutical standards and one internal standard (IS). Certified reference standards used for analysis included metformin, caffeine, sulfamethoxazole hydroxylamine, sulfamethoxazole, primidone (IS), nevirapine, prednisolone, valsartan, rifampicin, EE2 and ivermectin, all of which were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (South Africa) and DLD Scientific. HPLC grade methanol was purchased from Sigma Aldrich, South Africa. Oasis® HLB cartridges (60 mg, 3 cc) were purchased from Microsep (Pty). Millipore water used for experimental analysis was obtained from a Synergy® UV water purification system.

2.2. Study site and sampling procedure

The study site for this research project was a WWTP situated in Pietermaritzburg, KwaZulu-Natal. Fig. 1(A) depicts a map of South Africa with the province KwaZulu-Natal highlighted. An expansion of KwaZulu-Natal, shown in Fig. 1(B), highlights the location of Pietermaritzburg in relation to the province. Treated wastewater effluent from the WWTP flows directly into a nearby receiving river which serves as a source of water for domestic and agricultural use.

Wastewater samples were collected from four sampling sites within the WWTP, which services the Pietermaritzburg population. Sampling points include the inlet, balancing tank, secondary effluent and maturation river. Samples were collected for seven consecutive days (Monday to Sunday) over three sampling periods, February 2022, April 2022 and June 2022. Wastewater samples were collected in glass amber bottles and sample pH was recorded immediately after collection, prior to being acidified with acetic acid for preservation. These pH values are included in the ESI† (S1). The samples were kept on ice during transportation to the laboratory. At the laboratory, samples were stored in the fridge at 4 °C. Filtration and extraction of all samples were performed within two weeks of collection.

2.2.1. Solid phase extraction of pharmaceuticals and metabolites. Wastewater samples were filtered through gravity filtration. A volume of 50 mL was further filtered using a 0.45 µm filter disc and the pH was adjusted to 7.¹³ An Oasis® HLB cartridge was conditioned with 2 mL of methanol followed by 2 mL of Millipore water. The water



Table 1 Basic description of the ten pharmaceuticals evaluated in this study










Class	Pharmaceutical	Chemical structure
Diabetes	Metformin	
Stimulant	Caffeine	
Antibiotic	Sulfamethoxazole	
	Sulfamethoxazole hydroxylamine	
Antiretroviral	Nevirapine	
Endocrine disruptors	Prednisolone	
	17 α -Ethinylestradiol (EE2)	
Hypertension	Valsartan	
Tuberculosis	Rifampicin	



Table 1 (continued)

Class	Pharmaceutical	Chemical structure
Anthelmintic	Ivermectin	

Fig. 1 Geographical location of A) South Africa as a country and B) Pietermaritzburg study area within KwaZulu-Natal.¹²

sample was then loaded onto the cartridge and passed through at a rate of approximately 2 mL min^{-1} . The cartridges were then dried under vacuum for a period of 15 minutes. Extracts were eluted with 4 mL of methanol into amber vials. The eluate was evaporated to dryness under vacuum and thereafter reconstituted in 1 mL of 6 mg L^{-1} standard mixture.

2.2.2. LC-MS analysis of pharmaceuticals and metabolites.

A Shimadzu LC-MS-2020 liquid chromatography-mass spectrometer was used for pharmaceutical analysis following a method adapted from Archer, 2018. The chromatographic method used for the quantification of analytes is described in Table 2. Method blanks containing methanol were run after each sample to ensure quality control for the analysis. Fig. 2 illustrates chromatographic separation of pharmaceuticals, at a wavelength of 233 nm, obtained through the use of the method outlined below.

2.3. Response factors

Response factors (RF) were obtained for each analyte over each of the three sampling periods and show fairly consistent results confirming the stability of the instrument during analysis. The response factors were calculated by means of eqn (1). The internal standard (IS) used for this study was

primidone. Table 3 below outlines RFs obtained for the study.

Table 2 LC-MS instrument parameters for the analysis of pharmaceuticals and their respective metabolites

Parameter		
Column	Shimadzu, Shim-pack GIST reversed phase C18 column, $2.1 \times 100 \text{ mm}$, $3 \mu\text{m}$	
Flow rate	0.22 mL min^{-1}	
Injection volume	$5.00 \mu\text{L}$	
Mobile phases	A: millipore water + 5 mM ammonium acetate + 3 mM acetic acid B: 100% MeOH	
Gradient	Time/min	%B
	0.01	20
	1.00	20
	18.50	90
	22.50	90
	25.00	20
	27.50	20
Mass spectrometer	Single quadrupole, electrospray ionisation (ESI) Ionisation chamber/interface bias voltage: -3.50 kV Ion source temperature: 200°C Detector voltage: -1.45 kV	





1 – metformin, 2 – caffeine, 3 – sulfamethoxazole hydroxylamine, 4 – sulfamethoxazole, 5 – primidone (IS), 6 – nevirapine, 7 – prednisolone, 8 – valsartan, 9 – rifampicin, 10 – ee2, 11 – ivermectin.

Fig. 2 Chromatogram showing analyte separation observed at 233 nm for 6 mg L⁻¹ analytical standard.

$$\frac{\text{Analyte area}}{[\text{analyte}]} = \text{RF} \left(\frac{\text{Area IS}}{[\text{IS}]} \right) \quad (1)$$

2.4. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis for this study was performed using Microsoft Excel Analysis ToolPak. The analysis is expressed by means of a one-way ANOVA. The concentrations of selected pharmaceuticals were compared against the various sampling campaigns to determine whether there were statistically significant differences between sampling campaigns. Significant differences are recorded as a factor of $p < 0.05$.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Method development and validation

Parameters that were investigated to validate the extraction method includes linearity, limit of detection (LOD), limit of

quantification (LOQ), percentage recovery, interday and intraday analyses – all of which are described in Table 4.

The determination of LOD and LOQ values is necessary to identify the lowest analyte concentrations that can be detected and quantified by the instrument, respectively. In this study, LOD values ranged from 0.033 to 0.886 mg L⁻¹, while LOQ values ranged from 0.099 to 2.684 mg L⁻¹. The purpose of conducting recovery tests is to ensure the efficiency of the methods used for the extraction of selected analytes. Recoveries of analytes ranged from 73.53 to 105.8% analyte recovered which fell within the accepted recovery range of 70 to 120%. The importance of interday and intraday analyses relates to its ability to indicate the instrument's ability to reproduce consistent data output and is expressed as percentage relative standard deviation (%RSD). To ensure reliable reproducibility, %RSD values for the interday and intraday analyses should be below 10%.¹⁴ The results obtained are all below 5% which is indicative of consistency in the instrument's ability for the analysis performed in this study. R^2 values corresponding to linearity of calibration curves for each analyte were all 0.9909 and above. A value of 1.000 for linearity is ideal. The method validation parameters shown in Table 3 are suggestive that the methods used for the extraction and analysis of analytes were suitable for the study.

Table 3 Response factors obtained for each analyte over each sampling period

Analyte	Seasonal response factors		
	Feb 2022	April 2022	Jun 2022
Metformin	1.62	1.59	1.65
Caffeine	0.96	1.01	0.93
Sulfamethoxazole hydroxylamine	0.89	0.85	0.92
Sulfamethoxazole	0.97	0.98	1.01
Nevirapine	1.23	1.19	1.22
Prednisolone	0.89	0.93	0.91
Valsartan	0.91	0.90	0.92
Rifampicin	1.09	1.12	1.06
EE2	0.72	0.73	0.79
Ivermectin	1.02	0.99	0.98

3.2. Concentrations of pharmaceuticals and metabolites

For this study, a total of ten pharmaceuticals were quantified in wastewater influent samples which represented eight different pharmaceutical classes. The results obtained are shown in Fig. 3(a) to (j), which outlines the various influent concentrations quantified. Detailed results outlining tables of concentrations are included in ESI† (S2). Major variations



Table 4 Method validation data obtained for analytes of interest

Pharmaceutical	LOD/mg L ⁻¹	LOQ/mg L ⁻¹	Recovery/%	Interday/%RSD	Intraday/%RSD	R ²
Metformin	0.322	0.974	83.17	3.75	2.63	0.9909
Caffeine	0.033	0.099	86.42	2.32	1.47	0.9936
Sulfamethoxazole hydroxylamine	0.071	0.214	79.65	4.52	3.22	0.9957
Sulfamethoxazole	0.072	0.219	73.53	0.96	1.58	0.9942
Nevirapine	0.066	0.200	100.7	1.36	1.61	0.9971
Prednisolone	0.886	2.684	95.56	1.05	1.89	0.9970
Valsartan	0.220	0.667	89.61	1.84	1.91	0.9950
Rifampicin	0.062	0.187	82.33	0.56	1.31	0.9933
EE2	0.215	0.653	105.8	1.21	1.07	0.9938
Ivermectin	0.589	1.784	88.35	1.54	1.63	0.9952

observed between inlet concentrations can be linked to numerous factors, some of which includes daily variations in the human consumption of these pharmaceuticals or pollution events between the three sampling periods.

3.2.1. Pharmaceuticals prescribed for chronic illnesses.

Pharmaceuticals such as metformin, nevirapine, valsartan and rifampicin are prescribed for chronic illnesses ranging from diabetes, HIV/AIDS, hypertension and tuberculosis, respectively. Data observed in Fig. 3(a), (e), (g) and (h), indicate quantified concentrations of each compound for each sampling season. Pharmaceutical concentrations throughout the week were relatively consistent, which is indicative of daily consumption. Overall, April 2022 samples showed lower pharmaceutical concentrations as compared to February and June 2022. This could be resultant of the April 2022 flood that was experienced in KwaZulu-Natal. Usual rainfall experienced in Pietermaritzburg during the month of April is approximately 40 mm. However, an average of 200 mm of rainfall was recorded during the flooding period and the lower pharmaceutical concentrations could be explained by WWTP influent being saturated with rainwater and surface runoff as opposed to majority of the influent comprising household, hospital and industrial waste. Over the three sampling periods, concentrations of metformin in wastewater influent ranged from 0.01883 to 0.1905 mg L⁻¹. The concentration spike observed for metformin, 0.1905 mg L⁻¹, in the Wednesday sample from the April 2022 sampling period could possibly be a consequence of incorrect or illegal disposal of the pharmaceutical. This is due to the irregularly high concentration that is observed in this sample when comparing to concentrations observed for other days within the April 2022 sampling period. Concentrations of rifampicin ranged from 0.1205 to 0.1674 mg L⁻¹ in February, 0.1448 to 0.2947 mg L⁻¹ in April and 0.1479 to 0.3449 mg L⁻¹ in June. Additionally, the high concentrations of rifampicin observed daily during the April 2022 sampling period, illustrated in Fig. 3(h), could be attributed to incorrect usage or improper disposal of expired or unused medication. This could be explained by the metabolism of rifampicin. Rifampicin is largely metabolised by the human body and a relatively low percentage is excreted as the unchanged drug. Concentrations of rifampicin quantified during February and June 2022 sampling trips were significantly lower in

comparison to April 2022, immediately following the KwaZulu-Natal flooding. Concentrations of all other pharmaceuticals were found to be lower during this time and this was due to the substantially higher influx of storm and surface water runoff. Therefore, improper disposal potentially combined with significantly improper usage could have potentially led to the noticeable difference in concentrations between April samples compared to February and June samples. Additionally, the occurrence of the April 2022 flooding resulting in the destruction and washing away of several homes and buildings. The increase in rifampicin quantified during this time could also be resultant of patients medication being washed away. Furthermore, the prescribed term for the treatment of tuberculosis is a period of six months. An overall increase in concentrations observed between February and June samples would be indicative of more people being diagnosed with tuberculosis and thus being on rifampicin treatment. Therefore, the sudden increase observed in April and then an immediate drop in concentration following in June would unlikely be a result of human usage.

3.2.2. Stimulants and self-prescribed pharmaceuticals.

Caffeine is found in beverages such as tea, coffee, energy drinks and soft drinks, as well as chocolates and other snacks. The concentrations of caffeine, outlined in Fig. 3(b), shows a steady increase in caffeine consumption as seasons transition from warm (February) to cold (June). Concentrations ranged from 0.00759 to 0.2138 mg L⁻¹ in February and 0.1488 to 1.2432 mg L⁻¹ in June. A decrease in temperature usually leads to an increase in consumption of hot beverages such as tea and coffee, intending to warm the body and provide a sense of comfort. EE2, is a synthetic estrogen that is used in almost every contraceptive pill (Mastrup *et al.*,⁶ 2005). Concentrations obtained for EE2, depicted in Fig. 3(i), shows consistent daily usage, which is prescribed within this class of pharmaceutical. The highest concentrations of EE2 can be observed in the month of June 2022, which falls within the winter season in South Africa. Concentrations ranged from 0.1139 to 0.2701 mg L⁻¹. This could be explained by referring to data published by Stats SA which indicates that 876 435 babies were born and registered for the year 2016.¹⁵ From the data set, it was found that the months of March and September had the highest births





Fig. 3 Concentrations of analytes quantified daily shown over three sampling seasons for a) metformin, b) caffeine, c) sulfamethoxazole hydroxylamine, d) sulfamethoxazole, e) nevirapine, f) prednisolone, g) valsartan, h) rifampicin, i) EE2 and j) ivermectin.





Fig. 4 Graphical representation of total active COVID-19 cases in South Africa.¹⁶

compared to other months of the year. This implies that increased conception was found in June, which is winter, and December, which is the festive season.¹⁴ The data obtained in this study verifies the information given by Stats SA, indicating that more babies are conceived in June. This would explain the significant increase in the use of contraceptives observed, as there would be a rise in sexual activity during this time of the year. The results from an ANOVA test performed for caffeine and EE2 indicated that each pharmaceutical showed significant seasonal differences in concentrations, by means of $p < 0.05$. Caffeine had a p -value of 9.65×10^{-7} , while EE2 had a p -value of 5.98×10^{-5} .

3.2.3. Pharmaceuticals used for the treatment of influenza and COVID-19. Fig. 4 outlines the total active number of COVID-19 cases experienced in South Africa since the start of the global pandemic.¹⁶ In South Africa, there were a total of five COVID-19 infection waves since the start of the

pandemic. Table 5 shows the weekly average active cases that were recorded during the sampling trips. It is important to note that during the February and June 2022 sampling periods there is an observed decrease in COVID-19 cases as the week progresses (*i.e.*, from Monday to Sunday) which corresponds to a decline in the wave, while in April 2022 an increase in COVID-19 cases is observed as the week progresses, which corresponds to an incline observed in the wave. Detailed daily active COVID-19 cases is outlined within the ESI† (S3). Table 6 depicts analyte concentrations obtained from various geographical locations during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Collating the data obtained from Fig. 3(c), (d), (f) and (j) with the data shown in Table 5, Fig. 5 depicts the relationship that exists between weekly average pharmaceutical concentration and weekly average COVID-19 cases experienced during sampling periods.

From the data illustrated in Fig. 5(a) and (b), the samples taken in February 2022 were found to have had substantially high concentrations of antibiotics – sulfamethoxazole hydroxylamine and sulfamethoxazole, which are metabolite and parent compound, respectively. Concentrations during this period of time for sulfamethoxazole hydroxylamine and sulfamethoxazole ranged from 0.2035 to 0.3438 mg L⁻¹ and 0.05661 to 0.1912 mg L⁻¹, respectively. This correlates to the data shown in Table 5, which indicates that the higher

Table 5 Weekly average active COVID-19 cases in South Africa during sampling periods¹⁶

Sampling period	Average number of active cases
31 January–6 February 2022	52 393
18–24 April 2022	20 048
6–12 June 2022	23 847

Table 6 Concentrations of target analytes from various regions during the COVID-19 pandemic

Analyte	Concentration range/ $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	Source	Region	Ref.
Sulfamethoxazole hydroxylamine	52.15–343.8	Wastewater influent	KwaZulu-Natal	Present study
Sulfamethoxazole	<LOD – 0.3011	Wastewater influent	Pennsylvania	17
	<LOD – 0.4869	Wastewater effluent	Pennsylvania	17
	9.818–300.2	Wastewater influent	KwaZulu-Natal	Present study
Prednisolone	8.775–448.2	Wastewater influent	KwaZulu-Natal	Present study
Ivermectin	1.500 ^a	Wastewater effluent	Spain	18
	8.520–979.4	Wastewater influent	KwaZulu-Natal	Present study

^a Average concentration.




number of active COVID-19 cases were during February, when compared to the other two sampling months. The concentrations of hydroxylamine sulfamethoxazole and sulfamethoxazole quantified in June 2022 were comparable to that found in February 2022, as shown in Fig. 5(a) and (b), albeit the total number of active COVID-19 cases were substantially lower. Concentrations found for the parent compound ranged from 0.2023 to 0.3061 mg L⁻¹ and metabolite concentrations were between 0.09136 and 0.2921 mg L⁻¹. This can be attributed to June being a colder month and the start of the influenza season experienced in South Africa. An increase in the prescription of sulfamethoxazole containing antibiotics used to treat influenza would have contributed to the high concentrations found in wastewater samples. Prednisolone concentrations, depicted in Fig. 5(c), follows a similar usage trend when comparing results to the curve shown in Fig. 4. Prednisone was predominantly used for the treatment of COVID-19, this was confirmed as the highest concentrations of its major metabolite, prednisolone, were found in February 2022 (0.0883 to 0.2052 mg L⁻¹), corresponding to the highest number of active cases recorded. A rapid decline in usage is observed in April 2022, which directly corresponds to the lowest number of active cases recorded for this period of time. Thereafter, a slight rise in concentration is observed as the COVID-19 cases start to pick up again in June 2022 (0.01343 to 0.4482 mg L⁻¹). This is illustrated in Fig. 5(c). The 0.4482 mg L⁻¹ prednisolone outlier observed within Fig. 3(f) could be resultant of irregular usage, as prednisone tablets will have to be internally metabolised by the human body in order to become prednisolone, which was detected. Concentrations of ivermectin found during sampling trips, illustrated in Fig. 3(j), shows direct correlation to the data shown in Fig. 4 and Table 5. As shown in Fig. 5(d), the highest observed concentrations were found in February 2022, ranging from 0.2979 to 0.9794 mg L⁻¹. A major decrease in ivermectin usage is observed as the COVID-19 fifth wave approached. Concentrations quantified for April ranged from 0.00818 to 0.1043 mg L⁻¹, while June concentrations ranged from 0.07600 to 0.1246 mg L⁻¹. This could be due to the fewer number of active cases recorded for this period as well as the prohibition of the use of ivermectin as per SAHPRA. Furthermore, the low concentrations observed could be due to the fact that most people were now vaccinated against COVID-19 as well as milder COVID-19 cases were being experienced as the virus continued to mutate. The ANOVA test was performed for each pharmaceutical within this category. Sulfamethoxazole hydroxylamine, sulfamethoxazole and ivermectin all showed significant differences between seasons as $p < 0.05$. P -values obtained were as follows, sulfamethoxazole hydroxylamine, $p = 6.85 \times 10^{-6}$; sulfamethoxazole, $p = 1.47 \times 10^{-7}$ and ivermectin, $p = 4.37 \times 10^{-7}$. Prednisolone showed no significant difference as $p = 0.05579$, which is greater than the significance level of 0.05. This is resultant



(a) Sulfamethoxazole hydroxylamine



(b) Sulfamethoxazole



(c) Prednisolone



(d) Ivermectin

Fig. 5 Relationship between weekly average pharmaceutical concentration and weekly average COVID-19 cases for a) sulfamethoxazole hydroxylamine, b) sulfamethoxazole, c) prednisolone and d) ivermectin.



of the single outlier obtained during the Thursday sample from the June sampling campaign. If the Thursday outlier was instead a result within the concentration range for the sampling campaign, then the ANOVA test would indicate a significant difference between the different sampling campaigns, i.e., $p < 0.05$.

3.2.3.1. Comparison of concentrations for pharmaceuticals used for the treatment of COVID-19 and influenza. Table 6 outlined below shows concentrations of pharmaceuticals used for the treatment of COVID-19 and influenza from various geographical regions.

From the data shown in Table 6, it is observed that the concentrations obtained from South Africa, within the present study, were substantially high. The concentrations obtained from this study were also significantly higher than other South African studies that investigated the same analytes prior to the occurrence of the COVID-19 pandemic.^{19,20} This indicated increased usage of this medication during the pandemic. Concentrations obtained for sulfamethoxazole from Pennsylvania were the lowest obtained for the pharmaceutical. This could be due to the wastewater treatment plant sampled for this study exclusively treating wastewater and stormwater from the Penn State University Park campus and not a specific catchment where residential, industrial and medicinal activities are occurring. The low concentrations would be due to a smaller population size within the campus. Additionally, samples were taken once a week over the one-year sampling period, and sampling during the winter months (COVID-19 peaks) were not obtained. Literature concentrations obtained for ivermectin were taken from wastewater effluent. The concentrations would therefore be lower than the influent concentrations obtained for this study as the wastewater would have already gone through the treatment process. Furthermore, samples from this study were taken between the months of April 2020 and January 2021, while the use of ivermectin for the treatment of COVID-19 was still under investigation.

4. Conclusion

This study aimed to quantify targeted pharmaceuticals and metabolites present in wastewater influent and to provide a link between pharmaceutical usage, COVID-19 infections, and the change in seasonal weather temperatures. Results obtained show that concentrations of these pharmaceuticals were substantially higher than those observed from literature during the COVID-19 pandemic. The data did however show direct correlation between the consumption of pharmaceuticals and the total number of COVID-19 cases reported within South Africa. Pharmaceuticals prescribed to treat chronic ailments were found to have consistent daily usage, as required with this class of compounds.

Author contributions

Nikitha Inarmal – student who carried out the research for her Masters qualification. She did the experimental work, sampling and analysis of data as well as writing up the manuscript. Brenda Moodley – sole supervisor of the student who carried out the research. She obtained the funding and collaboration with the industry partner, conceptualised the idea, provided guidance with carrying out the experimental work and analysis of data and provided feedback on the writing of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Umgeni Water for their financial assistance for this research.

References

- 1 C. Y. Chen and K. M. Lin, Health consequences of illegal drug use, *Curr. Opin. Psychiatry*, 2009, **22**, 287–292.
- 2 D. Löffler and T. A. Ternes, Determination of acidic pharmaceuticals, antibiotics and ivermectin in river sediment using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry, *J. Chromatogr. A*, 2003, **1021**(1–2), 133–144.
- 3 K. Li, P. Du, Z. Xu, T. Gao and X. Li, Occurrence of illicit drugs in surface waters in China, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2016, **213**, 395–402.
- 4 K. M. Kanama, A. P. Daso, L. Mpenyana-Monyatsi and M. A. A. Coetzee, Assessment of pharmaceuticals, personal care products, and hormones in wastewater treatment plants receiving inflows from health facilities in North-West Province, South Africa, *J. Toxicol.*, 2018, **2018**, 1–16.
- 5 E. Archer, Interaction of pharmaceutical and personal care products (PPCPs) and endocrine disrupting contaminants (EDCs) with microbial communities in South African wastewater treatment works and environmental waters, *PhD thesis*, Stellenbosch University, 2018.
- 6 M. Mastrup, A. I. Schäfer and S. J. Khan, Predicting the fate of the contraceptive pill in wastewater treatment and discharge, *Water Sci. Technol.*, 2005, **52**(8), 279–286.
- 7 M. Ciotti, M. Ciccozzi, A. Terrinoni, W. C. Jiang, C. B. Wang and S. Bernardini, The COVID-19 pandemic, *Crit. Rev. Clin. Lab. Sci.*, 2020, **57**(6), 365–388.
- 8 W. S. Byun, S. W. Heo, G. Jo, J. W. Kim, S. Kim, S. Lee, H. E. Park and J. H. Baek, Is coronavirus disease (COVID-19) seasonal? A critical analysis of empirical and epidemiological studies at global and local scales, *Environ. Res.*, 2021, **196**, 1–10.
- 9 D. O'Flynn, J. Lawler, A. Yusuf, A. Parle-McDermott, D. Harold, T. McCloughlin, L. Holland, F. Regan and B. White, A review of pharmaceutical occurrence and pathways in the aquatic environment in the context of a changing climate



- and the COVID-19 pandemic, *Anal. Methods*, 2021, **13**, 575–594.
- 10 SAHPRA, Update on the use of ivermectin in the prevention or treatment of COVID-19. 2021 [Online], Available: <https://www.sahpra.org.za/press-releases/update-on-the-use-of-ivermectin-in-the-prevention-or-treatment-of-covid-19/>, [Accessed: 11 August 2022].
 - 11 SAHPRA, SAHPRA statement on the termination of the “ivermectin controlled compassionate use access programme”. 2022 [Online], Available: <https://www.sahpra.org.za/press-releases/sahpra-statement-on-the-termination-of-the-ivermectin-controlled-compassionate-use-access-programme/>, [Accessed: 11 August 2022].
 - 12 iStock by Getty Images. Online. Available: <https://www.istockphoto.com/search/more-like-this/507567722?assettype=image&istockcollection=main%2Cvalue&phrase=kwazulu%20natal%20map%20with%20scale>, [Accessed: 30 March 2023].
 - 13 E. Archer, B. Petrie, B. Kasprzyk-Hordern and G. M. Wolfaardt, The fate of pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs), endocrine disrupting contaminants (EDCs), metabolites and illicit drugs in a WWTW and environmental waters, *Chemosphere*, 2017, **174**, 437–446.
 - 14 UNODC, *Guidance for the validation of analytical methodology and calibration of equipment used for testing of illicit drugs in seized materials and biological specimens*, 2009.
 - 15 Stats SA, Recorded live births, 2016, 2017 [Online], Available: <https://www.statssa.gov.za/?p=10524>, [Accessed: 2 August 2022].
 - 16 World-O-Meter, South Africa, 2022 [Online], Available: <https://www.worldometers.info/coronavirus/country/south-africa/>, [Accessed: 2 August 2022].
 - 17 K. R. Hayden, M. Jones, K. R. Elkin, M. J. Shreve, W. I. Clees, S. Clark, M. L. Mashtare, T. L. Veith, H. A. Elliott, J. E. Watson, J. Silverman, T. L. Richard, A. F. Read and H. E. Preisendanz, Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on pharmaceuticals in wastewater treated for beneficial reuse: Two case studies in central Pennsylvania, *J. Environ. Qual.*, 2022, **51**, 1066–1082.
 - 18 J. F. Tarazona, M. Martinez, M. A. Martinez and A. Anadon, Environmental impact assessment of COVID-19 therapeutic solutions. A prospective analysis, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2021, **778**, 1–9.
 - 19 S. Matongo, G. Birungi, B. Moodley and P. Ndungu, Occurrence of selected pharmaceuticals in water and sediment of Umgeni River, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 2015, **22**(13), 10298–10308.
 - 20 F. O. Agunbiade and B. Moodley, Pharmaceuticals as emerging organic contaminants in Umgeni River water system, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, *Environ. Monit. Assess.*, 2014, **186**, 7273–7291.

