

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 80

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Accurate cancer cell identification and microRNA silencing induced therapy using tailored DNA tetrahedron nanostructures†

Juan Su,^a Fubing Wu,^b Hongping Xia,^{*b} Yafeng Wu^{ID} ^{*a} and Songqin Liu^{ID} ^{*a}

Accurate cancer cell identification and efficient therapy are extremely desirable and challenging in clinics. Here, we reported the first example of DNA tetrahedron nanostructures (DTNSs) to real-time monitor and image three intracellular miRNAs based on the fluorescence "OFF" to "ON" mode, as well as to realize cancer therapy induced by miRNA silencing. DTNSs were self-assembled by seven customized single-stranded nucleic acid chains containing three recognition sequences for target miRNAs. In the three vertexes of DTNSs, fluorophores and quenchers were brought into close proximity, inducing fluorescence quenching. In the presence of target miRNAs, fluorophores and quenchers would be separated, resulting in fluorescence recovery. Owing to the unique tetrahedron-like spatial structure, DTNSs displayed improved resistance to enzymatic digestion and high cellular uptake efficiency, and exhibited the ability to simultaneously monitor three intracellular miRNAs. DTNSs not only effectively distinguished tumor cells from normal cells, but also identified cancer cell subtypes, which avoided false-positive signals and significantly improved the accuracy of cancer diagnosis. Moreover, the DTNSs could also act as an anti-cancer drug; antagomir-21 (one recognition sequence) was detached from DTNSs to silence endogenous miRNA-21 inside cells, which would suppress cancer cell migration and invasion, and finally induce cancer cell apoptosis; the result was demonstrated by experiments *in vitro* and *in vivo*. It is anticipated that the development of smart nanoplateforms will open a door for cancer diagnosis and treatment in clinical systems.

Received 25th September 2019

Accepted 30th October 2019

DOI: 10.1039/c9sc04823e

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Accurate identification of cancer at an early stage plays an important role in cancer diagnosis and treatment. MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a kind of cancer biomarker; their aberrant expression levels are closely related to the initiation and progression of cancers,^{1–4} and therefore, sensitive detection of tumor related miRNAs holds great promise for cancer diagnostics and prognostics.^{5–8} Furthermore, simultaneous detection of multiple tumor related miRNAs can avoid false-positive signals and enhance the accuracy of cancer diagnosis. With the development of nanomaterials, a variety of nanoprobe have been reported for the detection of multiple miRNAs.^{9–12} For example, the Tang group developed multicolor fluorescent nanoprobe based on gold nanoparticles (GNPs) for evaluating

cellular migration and invasion by simultaneously imaging miRNA-221, PTEN mRNA and MMP9 in living cells.¹³ The Zhu group assembled multicomponent nucleic acid enzymes onto the surface of mesoporous silica-coated gold nanorods as multifunctional nanodevices for intracellular miRNA-21 and miRNA-145 *in situ* imaging.¹⁴ However, the preparation processes of these nanoprobe are often complicated and time-consuming, and their stability, biocompatibility and cell permeation ability are also not satisfactory for *in vivo* diagnosis, which has driven researchers to find other substitutes. DNA tetrahedron nanostructures have attracted enormous interest owing to their unique advantages, such as ease of self-assembly, excellent biocompatibility, high nuclease stability, remarkable transmembrane capability through a caveolin-dependent pathway and availability for multiple modifications.^{15–18}

To significantly improve the survival rate of cancer patients, besides accurate cancer identification, an efficient treatment strategy is another crucial step. Gene silencing as a kind of gene therapy has now been considered as one of the most promising options to overcome the limitations of traditional cancer therapy.^{19–21} It can induce sequence-specific inhibition of oncogene expression or translation through the delivery of antagomirs to cancer cells, which makes it possess advantages of high specificity, improved safety, high efficacy and

^aJiangsu Engineering Laboratory of Smart Carbon-Rich Materials and Device, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, China

^bDepartment of Pathology, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Department of Oncology, The Affiliated Sir Run Run Hospital, State Key Laboratory of Reproductive Medicine, Key Laboratory of Antibody Technique of National Health Commission, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 211166, China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c9sc04823e

unrestricted choice of targets.^{22,23} For example, leucine-rich repeat-containing G protein-coupled receptor 5 (Lgr5) is a novel gastric cancer marker, and silencing its expression with antagomirs could efficiently inhibit cancer angiogenesis.²⁴ miR-10b was overexpressed in metastatic breast tumor patients, and silencing of miR-10b with antagomirs could significantly decrease miR-10b levels and suppress breast cancer metastasis.²⁵ miRNA-21 as a key oncogenic miRNA was widely overexpressed in various tumors and participated in tumor occurrence and development. Inhibition of endogenous miRNA-21 with antagomirs could suppress cancer cell proliferation, migration and invasion, and tumor growth.^{26,27}

In biomedical science, developing nanomaterials that integrating both ultrasensitive diagnosis and highly efficient therapy functions remains attractive and challenging.^{28,29} In this work, we reported the first example of vertebral-shaped DNA tetrahedron nanostructures (DTNSs) for accurate cancer identification and miRNA silencing induced therapy. Based on the fluorescence "OFF" to "ON" mode, three intracellular miRNAs (miRNA-21, miRNA-122 and miRNA-194) were simultaneously monitored and imaged, which not only effectively distinguished tumor cells from normal cells, but also identified cancer cell subtypes, and thus the accuracy of cancer diagnosis was significantly improved. In miRNA-21 overexpressed cancer cells, antagomir-21 (one recognition sequence) was detached from DTNSs to silence endogenous miRNA-21 inside cells, which would suppress cancer cell migration and invasion, and finally induce cancer cell apoptosis. The prepared DTNSs displayed improved resistance to enzymatic digestion and high cellular uptake efficiency, and exhibited accurate cancer identification and efficient cancer therapy ability.

Results and discussion

Preparation and characterization of DNA tetrahedron nanostructures (DTNSs)

The DTNSs were prepared with seven customized single-stranded nucleic acid chains (P1–P7) through a simple thermal annealing method (Fig. 1). Four chains (P1–P4), partially complementing each other, would spontaneously and respectively fold into triangles and then assemble into a rigid tetrahedron (named TDN). Three sequences linked with quenchers (BHQ1 for FAM, BHQ2 for TAMRA and Cy5) were distributed in the vertexes of the tetrahedron, respectively, and were complementary to the recognition sequence in P5–P7 chains. Fluorescein FAM labeled P5, TAMRA labeled P6 and Cy5 labeled P7 were introduced into the above tetrahedron based on the principles of Watson–Crick base pairing to form DTNSs. The formation of DTNSs was identified by agarose gel electrophoresis analysis (inset in Fig. 1). For lanes 1 and 2, only a single band was observed, indicating that fluorescence or quenching group modified nucleic acid chains maintained good purity. With the step-by-step addition of chains from lane 3 to lane 8, there clearly appeared a gradual reduction of electrophoretic mobility, which could be ascribed to the increasing molecular mass and more complicated spatial construction of assemblies. The AFM image further verified the successful formation of the




Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of DNA tetrahedron nanostructures (DTNSs) preparation and cell entry for accurate cancer cell identification and effective therapy. DTNSs were prepared with seven customized single-stranded DNA chains (P1–P7) through a simple thermal annealing method. Agarose gel electrophoresis (4%) analysis was used to verify the step-by-step construction process of the tetrahedron structure. Lane 0: Marker; Lane 1: P5; Lane 2: P3; Lane 3: P2 + P3; Lane 4: P1 + P2 + P3; Lane 5: P1 + P2 + P3 + P4; Lane 6: P1 + P2 + P3 + P4 + P5; Lane 7: P1 + P2 + P3 + P4 + P5 + P6; Lane 8: P1 + P2 + P3 + P4 + P5 + P6 + P7. In the three vertexes of DTNSs, the fluorescence of FAM, TAMRA and Cy5 was respectively quenched by the adjacent quenchers (BHQ1, BHQ2 and BHQ2), so the fluorescence of DTNSs was "OFF"; after DTNSs entered cancer cells and came into contact with target miRNAs (miRNA-21, miRNA-122 and miRNA-194), P5, P6 and P7 chains would detach from DTNSs and hybridize with target miRNAs, and the corresponding fluorescence signals were recovered. Based on the principle, simultaneous monitoring of inter-cellular miRNA-21, miRNA-122 and miRNA-194 was realized. Moreover, the detached antagomir-21 (P5) could silence endogenous miRNA-21 to trigger cell apoptosis, and suppress cell migration and invasion, so effective cancer therapy was achieved.

DTNSs, as shown in Fig. S1,† and the prepared DTNSs were vertebral-shaped nanoparticles with a diameter of ~3 nm.

Fluorescence quenching and recovery capability of the prepared DTNSs

The fluorophores in the vertexes of DTNSs were quenched by the adjacent quenchers, and the fluorescence quenching capability depended on the ratio of TDN to nucleic acid chains (P5/P6/P7) during DTNSs preparation, which was determined by $((F_{\text{control}} - F_{\text{DTNSs}})/F_{\text{control}}) \times 100\%$, where F_{DTNSs} was the fluorescence of DTNSs and F_{control} was the fluorescence of P5/P6/P7 chains. The fluorescence of FAM/TAMRA/Cy5 was gradually quenched by increasing the ratio of TDN to P5/P6/P7 and reached a constant value at ratios of 1.5, 1.25 and 1.25, respectively, and the quenching efficiency was up to 90% (Fig. S2 and Table S1†). In the presence of target miRNAs (miRNA-21, miRNA-122 and miRNA-194), P5, P6 and P7 would release from DTNSs, and then hybridize with the targets to form much more stable double strands. In consequence, the corresponding fluorescence signals (F_{target}) were recovered. The degree of fluorescence recovery ($F_{\text{target}}/F_{\text{DTNSs}}$) was

gradually enhanced with the increased ratio of tetrahedron to P5/P6/P7 and reached a platform at ratios of 1.5, 1.5 and 1.5, respectively (Fig. S3†). Combining the quenching and recovery efficiency, a ratio of 1.5 was selected for TDN to P5/P6/P7. Under the optimized conditions, the fluorescence intensities of FAM, TAMRA, and Cy5 increased by about 6.7-fold, 5.4-fold and 11.0-fold in the presence of the three miRNA targets, respectively.

Ability of DTNSs to detect miRNAs in a homogeneous solution

Fig. 2A–C show that the fluorescence intensities of FAM, TAMRA and Cy5 all increased linearly with the concentration of miRNA targets from 0.15–37.5 nM. The detection limit was calculated to be 0.13 nM for miRNA-21, 0.64 nM for miRNA-122, and 0.68 nM for miRNA-194 (Fig. 2D–F), which were significantly lower than the previous reports (Table S2†). We next assessed the sequence specificity and the multiple detection ability for the three miRNA targets. DTNSs were transferred into 21 wells (3 × 7) and different mixed solutions composed of various combinations of the three target miRNAs were respectively added. The fluorescence emission spectra of FAM, TAMRA or Cy5 were obtained only in response to miRNA-21, miRNA-122 or miRNA-194 targets and had no notable cross-reactivity with each other (Fig. 2G). And when the three targets co-existed, the fluorescence signals of FAM, TAMRA or Cy5 all continuously increased with the corresponding target addition (Fig. S4†). In contrast, treatment of DTNSs with miRNAs with a scrambled sequence (shown in Table S3†) showed no significant changes in fluorescence intensity (Fig. S5†). The above results indicated that the prepared DTNSs allowed high-throughput monitoring of the

three miRNA targets with high sensitivity, no notable cross-reactivity and good specificity.

To verify the nuclease resistance of the DTNSs, 10% fetal calf serum (FBS, v/v) was used for the preparation of DTNSs solution to closely mimic physiological conditions. As shown in Fig. S6A–C,† no detectable fluorescence changes were observed with time for DTNSs in both FBS and PBS. The fluorescence recovery had no significant difference after the addition of target miRNAs for the two groups. Moreover, the bands of DTNSs treated with PBS or FBS solution for 1–4 h in agarose gel electrophoresis still maintained the same position, demonstrating that DTNSs were undecomposed when treated with FBS for 4 h (Fig. S4D†). All the above results confirmed that the DTNSs had good nuclease stability due to their tetrahedron-like spatial structure and were suitable for *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies.

Cytotoxicity was another vital factor for living cell studies. The cytotoxicity of DTNSs was tested by the MTT assay in normal cells (HEK293). After incubation with DTNSs at a high concentration of 100 nM for 48 h, the HEK293 cells still maintained more than 90% of the viability, revealing the low cytotoxicity of the DTNSs to normal cells (Fig. S7†).

Detection and imaging of intracellular miRNAs with DTNSs

For this study, the cell permeability of DTNSs was investigated by using non-rigid DNA tetrahedron-based nanoprobe (n-DTNSs) and DNA line nanostructures (DLNSs) as controls. After 4 h incubation, the fluorescence in HepG2 cells incubated with DTNSs was obviously higher than that of HepG2 cells incubated with n-DTNSs or DLNSs (Fig. S8†), indicating that the rigid DTNSs undoubtedly improved self-delivery capability without




Fig. 2 Fluorescence spectral response of the DTNSs (37.5 nM) to varied targets miRNA-21 (A), miRNA-122 (B) and miRNA-194 (C) at different concentrations in a homogeneous solution, respectively. Black (0 nM), red (0.15 nM), blue (0.3 nM), darkcyan (0.6 nM), magenta (1.2 nM), dark yellow (2.3 nM), navy (4.7 nM), wine (9.4 nM), pink (18.8 nM), olive (37.5 nM), and royal (75 nM). In each case, the quenched fluorescence was recovered upon addition of target miRNAs in a concentration-dependent manner (D–F). Error bars show the standard deviation of three experiments. (G) Simultaneous detection of multiple miRNAs in solution. A mixed solution consisting of different components of miRNA targets (75 nM) was treated with DTNSs (37.5 nM). The fluorescence responses under three excitation/emission wavelengths (488 nm/520 nm for miRNA-21, 552 nm/580 nm for miRNA-122, 643 nm/660 nm for miRNA-194) were collected. The fluorescence emission spectra of FAM, TAMRA or Cy5 were obtained only in response to miRNA-21, miRNA-122 or miRNA-194 targets and had no notable cross-reactivity with each other.



the help of transfection agents owing to their rapid internalization through a caveolin-dependent pathway,^{34,35} while n-DTNSs and DLNSs had poor permeability and low biostability.

The time-dependent response of DTNSs incubated with cells was then studied by monitoring the fluorescence changes with different incubation periods. Huh7 cells with high expression levels of the three target miRNAs were chosen as the model.³⁶ The fluorescence intensities of green, orange and red all increased before 2 h (Fig. S9†), and then stayed at the same level for another 2 h due to the complete entry of DTNSs, and thus, 2 h was used for the incubation of cells and DTNSs in the following experiments.

We next investigated whether DTNSs could monitor miRNAs in living cells. Three different cell lines, Huh7, HepG2 and HEK293 (human normal cells) were chosen, miRNA-194 was used as a model target. Both confocal images (Fig. 3A) and flow cytometric analysis (Fig. 3B) showed that the fluorescence intensities of Cy5 were obviously different in each cell line, indicating different miRNA-194 expression levels in the three cell lines. In agreement with previous reports,^{36–38} Huh7 had a higher miRNA-194 expression level than HepG2, while HEK293 exhibited a quite low expression level, and quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) was further used to confirm the relative miRNA-194 expression levels (Fig. S10†). The expression levels of miRNAs in cancer cells indicated the stage of tumorigenesis, the effect of therapy and prognosis, and

dynamic monitoring of miRNA expression levels inside cells was significant. To this end, HepG2 cells were treated with a miRNA194 mimic and inhibitor, and non-treated HepG2 cells were used as a control. An miRNA-194 inhibitor with 2'-O-methyl modification was chosen to transfect HepG2 cells to downregulate the expression level of miRNA-194,³⁹ while the miRNA-194 mimic was selected to upregulate it.⁴⁰ As shown in Fig. 3C, compared with the control group, a higher Cy5 fluorescence signal was observed in the mimic treated group while a lower one was displayed in the inhibitor treated group. Additionally, the flow cytometry assay further confirmed the results of confocal images (Fig. 3D). These results demonstrated that DTNSs had the capability of real-time monitoring miRNAs inside cells.

Detection of one kind of miRNA to identify cancers will produce high false positive signals, and thus simultaneous detection of multiple miRNAs inside cells would benefit the diagnostic precision of related cancers. Here we simultaneously detected three miRNAs (miRNA-21, miRNA-122 and miRNA-194) in three cell lines (two cancer cells: Huh7 and HepG2, one normal cell line: HEK293). Confocal images (Fig. 4A) and corresponding grayscale values (Fig. 4B) exhibited three fluorescent signals inside cells with different intensities and spatial distributions. For Huh7 cells, the three target miRNAs were all



Fig. 3 Monitoring of miRNA-194 in three cell lines and its dynamic changes inside living cells. (A) Confocal images of miRNA-194 in three cell lines (Huh7, HepG2 and HEK293) incubated with DTNSs (100 nM), the relative expression levels of miRNA-194 could be qualitatively estimated from different degrees of fluorescence intensities. (B) Detection of miRNA-194 expression levels in different cells via flow cytometry. Confocal images (C) and flow cytometric analysis (D) of HepG2 cells transfected with an miRNA-194 mimic and inhibitor. The inhibitor was selected to downregulate the expression level of miRNA-194 while the mimic was to upregulate it, and untransfected HepG2 cells were used as a control. Compared with the control group, a higher fluorescence signal was observed in the mimic treated group while a lower one was observed in the inhibitor treated group. Images were obtained with excitation at 640 nm. Scale bars: 50 μ m.




Fig. 4 Simultaneous monitoring of three miRNAs (miRNA-21, miRNA-122 and mRNA-194) in three different cell lines (Huh7, HepG2 and HEK293 cells). (A) Confocal images of Huh7, HepG2 and HEK293 cells incubated with DTNSs. Scale bar: 50 μ m. For Huh7, the fluorescence responses of FAM, TAMRA and Cy5 were all intense; for HepG2, only the fluorescence of FAM was intense, while for HEK293, the fluorescence signals of FAM, TAMRA and Cy5 were all hardly observed. (B) Grayscale values of fluorescence intensities obtained from image A to quantitatively show the different expression levels of the three miRNAs in the three cell lines. (C) qRT-PCR measurement of relative miRNA expression levels in the three cell lines.



overexpressed; for HepG2 cells, miRNA-21 was high, and miRNA-122 and miRNA-194 were low; for HEK293, the three target miRNAs were quite low, and the expression levels were in agreement with literature reports.^{36,38,41–43} qRT-PCR was used to quantitatively measure relative miRNA expression levels in the three cell lines (Fig. 4C), and the results were consistent with confocal images and flow cytometry. The findings suggested that DTNSs could be used not only to effectively distinguish cancer cells from normal cells, but also to identify cancer cell subtypes.

In vitro cancer therapy induced by miRNA-21 silencing with DTNSs

Previous reports have demonstrated that silencing intracellular miRNA-21 with antagomir-21 could efficiently suppress cancer cell migration and invasion, and finally induce cancer apoptosis.^{26,44} In this system, DTNSs were used as a smart carrier to deliver antagomir-21 into cells through a caveolin-dependent pathway. After cell uptake, endogenous miRNA-21 inside cancer cells served as an initiator to cause the release of antagomir-21 from DTNSs, and then suppress cancer cell migration and invasion, and trigger cell apoptosis. The wound-healing assay was employed to assess the inhibition of cancer cell migration and invasion. As shown in Fig. S11,† after Huh7 cells being treated with DTNSs (500 nM) for a period, the cell migration and invasion were obviously inhibited, while for HEK293 normal cells, the cell migration and invasion were almost unaffected, which was ascribed to the endogenous miRNA-21 silencing effect of DTNSs.

To investigate the therapeutic effect of DTNSs *in vitro*, cytotoxicity assessment was accomplished by the MTT assay (Fig. 5A and B); even at an antagomir-21 dose of 2 μ M in DTNSs, the HEK293 cell viability still remained over 90%. However, the cell viability of Huh7 cells was down to 58% after treatment with 2 μ M DTNSs, which was obviously lower than that of the DTNS-C treated group (~90%). Here, DTNS-C was prepared by replacing antagomir-21 (P5) with normal strand P8, which didn't have a gene silencing function. Therefore, our prepared DTNSs could efficiently induce cancer cell apoptosis and had no side effects to normal cells. And the apoptosis was further confirmed by flow cytometry analysis with the dual fluorescence of Annexin V-FITC/PI (Fig. 5C). To avoid the fluorescence interference of FAM/Cy5 in DTNS-C or DTNSs, DTNS-C or DTNSs without fluorophore modification was synthesized for flow cytometry analysis. The Huh7 and HEK293 cells were respectively treated with DTNS-C and DTNSs without fluorophore modification (2 μ M) for 48 h; the Huh7 cells underwent nearly 50% apoptosis after treatment with DTNSs but no obvious apoptosis was observed in the DTNS-C group. In contrast, no prominent apoptosis was observed in both DTNS-C and DTNSs treated HEK293 cells, and the result was in accordance with the MTT assay.

In vivo cancer imaging and therapy with DTNSs

Before the *in vivo* study of DTNSs for the liver cancer therapeutic effect, the clinical significance of the selected miRNA-21,




Fig. 5 The evaluation of cancer therapy with the prepared DTNSs *in vitro*. Viability of HEK293 (A) and Huh7 (B) cells upon treatment with DTNS-C and DTNSs at concentrations of 100, 250, 500, 1000, and 2000 nM for 48 h. The Huh7 cell viability was about 54% at a DTNSs dose of 2000 nM, while almost 90% of the HEK293 cell viability was obtained due to the low expression level of intracellular miRNA-21. The DTNSs could efficiently induce cancer cell apoptosis through endogenous miRNA-21 silencing. (C) DTNSs (2 μ M, without fluorophore modification)-induced HEK293 and Huh7 cell apoptosis was further determined by flow cytometric analysis with the dual fluorescence of Annexin V-FITC/PI. Viable cells are in the Q4 zone; early apoptotic cells are in the Q3 zone, late apoptotic cells are in the Q2 zone, and already dead cells are in the Q1 zone.

miRNA-122 and miRNA-194 biomarkers was firstly investigated in clinical patients (Fig. S12†). It was shown that the expression of miRNA-21 in clinical patients' liver cancer tumors (T) was significantly higher than that in non-tumor liver tissues (NT); miRNA-122 showed decreased expression in T compared with that in NT, but there was no distinct difference for the expression of miRNA-194-1/2 in T and NT, which indicated that miRNA-21 and miRNA-122 targets were valuable biomarkers for liver cancer diagnosis while miRNA-194 mainly played a role in the discrimination of hepatocellular carcinoma cell subtypes. The normalized relative expression of miRNA-21, miRNA-122 and miRNA-194 in Huh7 cells compared with that in different tissues of mice was also studied. Fig. S13† shows that miRNA-21 was overexpressed in Huh7 cells compared with that in other tissues; miRNA-122 was only expressed in Huh7 and mice liver tissues, while miRNA-194 existed not only in Huh7, but also in mice liver and other tissues like colon, stomach, *etc.* To obtain specific imaging in liver tissue, miRNA-21 and miRNA-122 were finally chosen for the following *in vivo* research. The *in vivo* performance of DTNSs was investigated in a Huh7 liver tumor orthotopic xenograft model by injecting DTNSs through the tail vein to silence the expression of miRNA-21. As shown in Fig. 6A,






Fig. 6 The *in vivo* imaging and therapeutic effects of DTNSs. (A) The representative imaging of mice liver cancer tumor models with injection of DTNS-C and DTNSs. The tumor models were generated using Huh7 cells stably expressing luciferase. (B) The representative four weeks tumor growth curves of mice liver cancer tumor models with injection of DTNS-C and DTNSs. (C) The relative expression of miRNA-21, miRNA-122 and miRNA-194 in the liver tumors of mice liver cancer tumor models with injection of DTNS-C and DTNSs by qRT-PCR analysis.

the tumor region and size in mice were reflected by a luminescence signal, which originated from the α -luciferin catalyzed by luciferase.^{45,46} After two weeks, mice injected with DTNS-C showed strong FAM (Green) and TAMRA (Orange) signals in the liver tumor and liver tissues, suggesting the high expression of miRNA-21 and miRNA-122 in the liver tumor and liver tissues. However, a significantly weaker FAM (Green) signal and obvious smaller tumor size were observed in the DTNSs injected group. From the result of quantitative analysis of bioluminescence signals, we could see that the tumor growth rate of the DTNSs treatment group was obviously lower than that of the DTNS-C group (Fig. 6B). In addition, the qRT-PCR analysis result of mice liver cancer tumor models also showed that only miRNA-21 was down-regulated in the DTNSs treated group (Fig. 6C), demonstrating that antagomir-21 loaded in DTNSs silenced the expression of miRNA-21 in liver tumors and suppressed the tumor growth. All the above results indicated that the prepared DTNSs could serve as a diagnostic probe and anticancer drug to treat cancers.

Conclusions

In summary, we have successfully developed a smart nano-system, DNA tetrahedron nanostructures (DTNSs), which can realize simultaneous monitoring of three intracellular miRNAs accompanied by efficient cancer therapy for the first time. DTNSs exhibited high biostability and cellular uptake efficiency owing to their unique tetrahedron-like spatial structure. Based on the fluorescence “OFF” to “ON” mode, three intracellular miRNA (miRNA-21, miRNA-122 and miRNA-194) expression levels could be quantitatively detected with high sensitivity, specificity and no notable cross-reactivity, and their dynamic changes could be real-time monitored. This system not only

effectively distinguished tumor cells from normal cells, but also identified cancer cell subtypes, which avoided false-positive signals and significantly enhanced the accuracy of cancer diagnosis. Moreover, DTNSs could also act as a kind of cancer drug to treat cancer efficiently through endogenous miRNA-21 silencing, which was demonstrated by experiments *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Therefore, we anticipate that our strategy will have potential application in clinical diagnosis and treatment of cancer in future.

Ethical statement

All procedures involving animals were conducted in accordance with the guidelines for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of Nanjing Medical University and experiments were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Nanjing Medical University.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21635004, 21627806, and 21705018), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (2242017K3DN11), the State Key Laboratory of Analytical Chemistry for Life Science (SKLACLS1913), the National Young 1000 Talents Program of China, the Jiangsu Province Education Department, the Jiangsu Province “Innovative and Entrepreneurial Team” and “Innovative and Entrepreneurial Talent” and the Southeast University-Nanjing Medical University Cooperative Research Project.

Notes and references

- 1 J. Wang, J. Chen and S. Sen, *J. Cell. Physiol.*, 2016, **231**, 25–30.
- 2 M. V. Iorio and C. M. Croce, *EMBO Mol. Med.*, 2012, **4**, 143–159.
- 3 X. Qiu, J. Xu, J. Guo, A. Yahia-Ammar, N. I. Kapetanakis, I. Duroux-Richard, J. J. Unterluggauer, N. Golob-Schwarzl, C. Regeard, C. Uzan, S. Gouy, M. DuBow, J. Haybaeck, F. Apparailly, P. Busson and N. Hildebrandt, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 8046–8055.
- 4 F. Yang, Y. Cheng, Y. Cao, H. Dong, H. Lu, K. Zhang, X. Meng, C. Liu and X. Zhang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 1709–1715.
- 5 M. D. Jansson and A. H. Lund, *Mol. Oncol.*, 2012, **6**, 590–610.
- 6 Y.-H. Feng and C.-J. Tsao, *Biomed. Rep.*, 2016, **5**, 395–402.
- 7 Q. Xu, M. Zhang, J. Tu, L. Pang, W. Cai and X. Liu, *Oncol. Rep.*, 2015, **34**, 2054–2064.
- 8 R. Ali, S. El Tabbakh, W. El Delgawy, A. Kotb and M. N. Desouky, *Afr. J. Urol.*, 2018, **24**, 347–352.
- 9 S. Ye, X. Li, M. Wang and B. Tang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2017, **89**, 5124–5130.
- 10 X. Meng, W. Dai, K. Zhang, H. Dong and X. Zhang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 1184–1190.



- 11 J. Hu, M. H. Liu and C. Y. Zhang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 4258–4267.
- 12 X. Meng, K. Zhang, W. Dai, Y. Cao, F. Yang, H. Dong and X. Zhang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 7419–7425.
- 13 M. Luan, N. Li, W. Pan, L. Yang, Z. Yu and B. Tang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **53**, 356–359.
- 14 P. Zhang, Z. He, C. Wang, J. Chen, J. Zhao, X. Zhu, C.-Z. Li, Q. Min and J.-J. Zhu, *ACS Nano*, 2015, **9**, 789–798.
- 15 L. He, D. Q. Lu, H. Liang, S. Xie, C. Luo, M. Hu, L. Xu, X. Zhang and W. Tan, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**, 4060–4066.
- 16 L. He, D. Lu, H. Liang, S. Xie, X. Zhang, Q. Liu, Q. Yuan and W. Tan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 258–263.
- 17 J. Li, C. Fan, H. Pei, J. Shi and Q. Huang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 4386–4396.
- 18 Y. Zhang, Y. Deng, C. Wang, L. Li, L. Xu, Y. Yu and X. Su, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 5959–5966.
- 19 J. Krutzfeldt, N. Rajewsky, R. Braich, K. G. Rajeev, T. Tuschl, M. Manoharan and M. Stoffel, *Nature*, 2005, **438**, 685–689.
- 20 Y. Li, K. Zhang, P. Liu, M. Chen, Y. Zhong, Q. Ye, M. Q. Wei, H. Zhao and Z. Tang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, e1901570.
- 21 N. Li, H. Yang, Z. Yu, Y. Li, W. Pan, H. Wang and B. Tang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 2816–2822.
- 22 X. Chen, L. S. Mangala, C. Rodriguez-Aguayo, X. Kong, G. Lopez-Berestein and A. K. Sood, *Cancer Metastasis Rev.*, 2018, **37**, 107–124.
- 23 Q. Liu, D. Wang, M. Yuan, B. F. He, J. Li, C. Mao, G. S. Wang and H. Qian, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 7562–7568.
- 24 H.-Q. Xi, K.-C. Zhang, B. Wei, D. Huang, J.-Y. Li and L. Chen, *Oncotarget*, 2017, **8**, 31581–31591.
- 25 L. Ma, F. Reinhardt, E. Pan, J. Soutschek, B. Bhat, E. G. Marcusson, J. Teruya-Feldstein, G. W. Bell and R. A. Weinberg, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 2010, **28**, 341–347.
- 26 S. Zhu, H. Wu, F. Wu, D. Nie, S. Sheng and Y. Y. Mo, *Cell Res.*, 2008, **18**, 350–359.
- 27 T. R. Wagenaar, S. Zabludoff, S. M. Ahn, C. Allerson, H. Arlt, R. Baffa, H. Cao, S. Davis, C. Garcia-Echeverria, R. Gaur, S. M. Huang, L. Jiang, D. Kim, C. Metz-Weidmann, A. Pavliceck, J. Pollard, J. Reeves, J. L. Rocnik, S. Scheidler, C. Shi, F. Sun, T. Tolstykh, W. Weber, C. Winter, E. Yu, Q. Yu, G. Zheng and D. Wiederschain, *Mol. Cancer Res.*, 2015, **13**, 1009–1021.
- 28 Y. Li, J. Tang, L. He, Y. Liu, Y. Liu, C. Chen and Z. Tang, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 4075–4080.
- 29 X. Shen, Y. Zhang, J. Sun, H. Lu, J. Ouyang and N. Na, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 6113–6119.
- 30 S. Wang, M. Xia, J. Liu, S. Zhang and X. Zhang, *ACS Sens.*, 2017, **2**, 735–739.
- 31 L. Zhong, S. Cai, Y. Huang, L. Yin, Y. Yang, C. Lu and H. Yang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, **90**, 12059–12066.
- 32 J. Dong, H. Dong, W. Dai, X. Meng, K. Zhang, Y. Cao, F. Yang and X. Zhang, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2019, **1078**, 176–181.
- 33 N. Xie, J. Huang, X. Yang, Y. Yang, K. Quan, M. Ou, H. Fang and K. Wang, *ACS Sens.*, 2016, **1**, 1445–1452.
- 34 A. S. Walsh, H. Yin, C. M. Erben, M. J. A. Wood and A. J. Turberfield, *ACS Nano*, 2011, **5**, 5427–5432.
- 35 L. Liang, J. Li, Q. Li, Q. Huang, J. Shi, H. Yan and C. Fan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 2014, **53**, 7745–7750.
- 36 W. Tian, X. Dong, X. Liu, G. Wang, Z. Dong, W. Shen, G. Zheng, J. Lu, J. Chen, Y. Wang, Z. Wu and X. Wu, *PLoS One*, 2012, **7**, e29551.
- 37 S. Xu, B. Zhang, Y. Zhu, H. Huang, W. Yang, H. Huang, H. L. Zheng and X. Liu, *Cell Biol. Int.*, 2017, **41**, 249–257.
- 38 H. Ishida, T. Tatsumi, A. Hosui, T. Nawa, T. Kodama, S. Shimizu, H. Hikita, N. Hiramatsu, T. Kanto, N. Hayashi and T. Takehara, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 2011, **412**, 92–97.
- 39 C. C. Esau, *Methods*, 2008, **44**, 55–60.
- 40 R. Das, P. A. Gregory, R. C. Fernandes, I. Denis, Q. Wang, S. L. Townley, S. G. Zhao, A. R. Hanson, M. A. Pickering, H. K. Armstrong, N. A. Lokman, E. Ebrahimie, E. Davicioni, R. B. Jenkins, R. J. Karnes, A. E. Ross, R. B. Den, E. A. Klein, K. N. Chi, H. S. Ramshaw, E. D. Williams, A. Zoubeydi, G. J. Goodall, F. Y. Feng, L. M. Butler, W. D. Tilley and L. A. Selth, *Cancer Res.*, 2017, **77**, 1021–1034.
- 41 M. Girard, E. Jacquemin, A. Munnich, S. Lyonnet and A. Henrion-Caude, *J. Hepatol.*, 2008, **48**, 648–656.
- 42 A. M. Harrandah, R. A. Mora and E. K. L. Chan, *Cancer Lett.*, 2018, **438**, 126–132.
- 43 S. Yin, Y. Fan, H. Zhang, Z. Zhao, Y. Hao, J. Li, C. Sun, J. Yang, Z. Yang, X. Yang, J. Lu and J. J. Xi, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 11012.
- 44 J. A. Chan, A. M. Krichevsky and K. S. Kosik, *Cancer Res.*, 2005, **65**, 6029–6033.
- 45 C. Zhang, Z. Yan, M. E. Arango, C. L. Painter and K. Anderes, *Clin. Cancer Res.*, 2009, **15**, 238–246.
- 46 D. E. Jenkins, Y. Oei, Y. S. Hornig, Y. Shang-Fan, J. Dusich, T. Purchio and P. R. Contag, *Clin. Exp. Metastasis*, 2003, **20**, 733–744.

