ChemComm



COMMUNICATION

View Article Online

Binding and activation of small molecules by a quintuply bonded chromium dimer†

Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2014, **50**. 2579

Received 15th November 2013 Accepted 8th January 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c3cc48746f

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Jingmei Shen, # Glenn P. A. Yap and Klaus H. Theopold*

The quintuply bonded [HLiPrCr]2 reacts with various small molecules, revealing a pattern of two kinds of transformations. Unsaturated molecules that are neither polar nor oxidizing form binuclear [2+n] cycloaddition products retaining Cr-Cr quadruple bonds. In contrast, polar or oxidizing molecules effect the complete cleavage of the Cr-Cr bond.


Occasioned by the discovery of a dinuclear chromium complex featuring a sterically accessible quintuple metal-metal bond, we have begun to explore the reactivity of this novel functional group unique to transition metal chemistry. Recent studies indicate that M-M quintuple bonds have a remarkable reaction chemistry. 1-16 Herein we describe the products of reactions between quintuply bonded $[^{H}L^{iPr}Cr]_{2}$ (1, where $^{H}L^{iPr} = Ar-N = C(H)-(H)C = N-Ar$, with Ar = 2.6-diisopropylphenyl)¹⁷ and various small molecules (Scheme 1). These reactions are of interest in their own right and make for fascinating comparisons with the reactivities of other binuclear metal complexes.

1 reacts rapidly with molecules containing multiple bonds. For example, we have previously described [2+2] cycloaddition reactions between 1 and alkynes. 18 While the analogous reaction with ethylene is apparently reversible, 1 adds to the destabilized C=C double bond of 1,1-dimethylallene, yielding another isolable [2+2] cycloaddition product, namely [HLiPrCr]₂(μ-η¹:η¹-H₂CCCMe₂) (2, see Fig. 1). The terminal C=C bond of the allene ligand has added across the two metal centers, forming a fourmembered dimetallacycle. The C53-C54 distance of 1.466(5) Å and the Cr-Cr distance of 1.9462(8) Å are consistent with a twoelectron reduction of allene and concomitant oxidation of the Cr-Cr center, which, however, retains the short Cr-Cr distance characteristic of a quadruple bond (see Table 1). The other C=C bond of the allene remains essentially unperturbed (1.346(5) Å).

Scheme 1 Reactions of 1 with alkyne, allene, sulfur, PhN=NPh, AdN₃, CO, benzophenone and benzylideneaniline.

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, DE 19716, USA. E-mail: theopold@udel.edu

[‡] Current address: Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering, Northwestern University, USA.



Ar=2,6-diisopropylphenyl

Fig. 1 The molecular structure of 2 (30% probability level). Ligand i-Pr groups and H-atoms have been omitted for clarity

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Preparative and crystallographic data. CCDC 971178-971183. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c3cc48746f

Communication ChemComm

Table 1 Selected interatomic distances (Å) and angles (°)

	Cr-Cr	C-C ^c	C-N ^c	θ^a	δ^b
1	1.8028(9)	1.350(5)	1.368(3)	N/A	N/A
2	1.9462(8)	1.337(5)	1.380(4)	24.3°	151°
3	1.9305(8)	1.367(3)	1.360(3)	15.6°	143°
4	2.498(4)	1.395(11)	1.380(9)	N/A	N/A
5	$1.9575(11)^d$	1.346(6)	1.385(6)	N/A	$142^{\circ d}$
6	3.1667(15)	1.360(6)	1.336(6)	N/A	N/A
7	N/A	1.383(6)	1.355(5)	N/A	N/A
1 -Butyne ¹⁸	1.9248(7)	1.352(4)	1.370(4)	23.7°	146°


 $[^]a$ Twist angle (X–X)–(Cr–Cr) (X = C or S). b Dihedral angle between two ligand planes (see the ESI for details). c Average bond lengths in the α-diimine backbones. ^d Average.


The core of 2 adopts an almost planar geometry with a (C-C)-(Cr-Cr) twist angle of 24.3°, similar to the aforementioned alkyne adducts. 18 The ¹H NMR spectrum of 2 exhibited sharp resonances consistent with a diamagnetic ground state of the molecule.

Oxygen atom sources, such as O2, N2O, and NO led to decomposition of 1 accompanied by loss of the diimine ligand. This motivated us to extend the exploration to less oxidizing chalcogens. Thus, treatment of an Et₂O-toluene solution of 1 with elemental sulphur, at room temperature, caused the initially green solution to turn deep blue. A standard work-up of the reaction and recrystallization from diethyl ether yielded the simple binuclear adduct, [HLiPrCr]₂(S₂) (3) in modest yield (20%). The molecular structure of 3 is depicted in Fig. S1 (ESI†); it features a four-membered Cr_2S_2 ring. The "supershort" (Cr-Cr < 2.0 Å) Cr-Cr bond of 3 (1.9305(8) Å) is appreciably longer than that in 1 (1.8028(9) Å), indicating an oxidation from Cr(I) to Cr(II) and hence a bond order reduced to 4. The S-S bond length of 2.0513(10) Å approximates that of Kempe's disulfide analog (2.058(4) Å), which, however, features perpendicular coordination of the S₂²⁻ unit and that of $Cp_2Cr_2(\mu-S)_2(\mu-\eta^1-\eta^1-S_2)$ (2.028(2) Å). As is typical of the [2+2] cycloaddition products of 1, the Cr₂S₂ core is not perfectly planar. The (S–S)–(Cr–Cr) twist angle for the core is 15.6° , somewhat smaller than the analogous angles in the alkyne adducts and 2.

Table 1 contains selected bond lengths and angles for compounds 2-7. All the 'cycloaddition' products of 1 that maintain Cr-Cr bonds, i.e. 2, 3, and 1-2-butyne, exhibit the twisted μ - η^1 : η^1 bonding mode for the X_2 ligands (X = C, S); this differs from the perpendicular (i.e. μ_2 - η^2 : η^2) bonding motif more typically observed for complexes with metal-metal bonds, e.g. in Kempe's aminopyridinato dichromium complexes.^{2-4,20} At the same time, the dihedral angles (δ) between the α -diimine ligand planes are significantly larger than those of the aminopyridinato complexes (e.g. 107° for both the disulfide and the tolylacetylene adduct). In other words, the [L2Cr2] fragments of the α-diimine complexes are considerably flatter than those with aminopyridinato ligands. The near preservation of the planar geometry of 1 and the formation of unsaturated fourmembered Cr₂X₂ rings as opposed to tetrahedrane-like structures is unlikely to be steric in origin. An electronic explanation may be rooted in the electronic flexibility afforded by the redox-active α -diimine ligands; this remains to be explored.

An isoelectronic - but less oxidizing - analog of O2 is azobenzene (PhN=NPh). When one equivalent of the latter





The molecular structure of 4 and 5 (both at 30% probability level).

was added to a solution of $(\mu-\eta^1:\eta^1-HL^{iPr})_2Cr_2$ (1) in diethyl ether, subsequent work-up and recrystallization produced redbrown crystals of dinuclear complex [HLiPrCr(μ-NPh)]₂ (4) in 40% isolated yield. 4 is a dinuclear complex with bridging imido ligands (Fig. 2, top). This reaction may well go through an unstable [2+2] cycloaddition intermediate, which suffers oxidative addition, due to the high electronegativity of nitrogen. The molecular structure of 4 features four-coordinate chromium (ignoring the rather long Cr-C interactions) adopting pseudotetrahedral geometry, which is the preferred geometry of 4-coordinate Cr(III). The N=N double bond has been severed completely $(N \cdot \cdot \cdot N_{\text{avg}} = 2.695 \text{ Å})$. Similarly, the distance between the two chromium atoms in 4 is 2.498(4) Å, indicating the absence of any significant bonding interactions.

The average bond lengths of C-C, C-N bonds in the backbone of the α-diimine ligand are 1.395(11) and 1.380(9) Å, characteristic of a diimine radical anion; accordingly, chromium is in the formal oxidation state +III (S = 3/2). The effective magnetic moment of 4 at room temperature was 2.4(1) $\mu_{\rm B}$, consistent with antiferromagnetic coupling, both between the metal and its radical ligand as well as between the chromium atoms.

The reaction between (μ-η¹:η¹-HLiPr)2Cr2 (1) and sterically demanding Ad-N₃ afforded another imido complex, namely ChemComm Communication

 $[^{H}L^{iPr}Cr]_{2}(NAd)$ (5), as shown in Fig. 2 (bottom). Only one imido group has been added across the Cr–Cr bond. Once again, we suggest that a five-membered [2+3] cycloaddition product may be formed first, which rapidly extrudes N_{2} . The bond distances and angles of 5 are comparable to those of other known bridging imido complexes of chromium. $^{22-26}$ Similar to the geometries of the [2+2] cycloaddition products, the elongated Cr–Cr distance of 1.9575(11) Å is consistent with the two-electron oxidation of the Cr_{2} unit (to $Cr(\pi)$). 5 is also diamagnetic, presumably due to metal–metal quadruple bonding.

Finally, we were interested in studying the reactivity of 1 toward unsaturated molecules featuring X-Y bonds (X, Y = C, N, O). Exposure of a benzene solution of 1 to CO (1 atm) produced the dark blue carbonyl HLiPrCr(CO)4, as confirmed by H NMR spectroscopy. 21 The reaction of 1 with benzophenone resulted in dinuclear [HL^{iPr}Cr(μ-OPh₂)]₂ (6). The structure of 6 (shown in Fig. S2, ESI†) reveals a benzophenone-bridged dimer with square planar Cr centers. The average carbon-oxygen bond length of the benzophenone is 1.355(5) Å, which is much longer than the 1.230(3) Å in benzophenone,²⁷ suggesting some degree of reduction of the C=O bonds. The average bond lengths of C-C, C-N bonds of the backbone of the α -diimine ligand are 1.360(6) and 1.336(6) Å, consistent with those of a monoanionic diimine ligand.²¹ These structural features suggest that 6 is a Cr(II) complex. Like [HL^{iPr}Cr(μ-Cl)]₂, ¹⁷ 6 exhibited a simple isotropically shifted and broadened ¹H NMR spectrum in C₆D₆, with chemical shifts at 96, 14.6, 3.2, 1.56, and -13.0 ppm. $\mu_{\text{eff}}(RT)$ of this complex was found to be 5.1(2) μ_B (3.6(1) μ_B per chromium), which is consistent with two antiferromagnetically coupled $Cr(\pi)$ metal centers (S = 2)coordinated by ligand radicals (S = 1/2).

In contrast to **6**, reductive coupling of C—N double bonds was observed upon exposure of **1** to four equivalents of transbenzylideneaniline. The reaction was found to form the coupling product, ${}^HL^{iPr}Cr(\kappa^2-N_2C_{26}H_{22})$ (7). The crystal structure is shown in Fig. 3. 7 adopts tetrahedral coordination about chromium

C42 C43

C41 C16

C32 C33

C46 C15 C17

C31 C39 C40 C47

C39 C40 C47

C39 C40 C47

C37 C36

C36 C37

C36 C36

C44

C45 C20 C18

C45 C20 C19

C52 N4

C51 C51

C51 C51

C7

C40 C50

C7

C40 C66

Fig. 3 The molecular structure of 7 (30% probability level).

with the α -diimine apparently being in the singly reduced state (see Table 1). The room temperature effective magnetic moment of 7 was found to be 2.9(1) $\mu_{\rm B}$, consistent with a Cr(III) metal center (S=3/2) strongly coupled to a ligand radical (S=1/2).

In summary, reactivity studies on a quintuply bonded dichromium complex supported by α -diimine ligands have been extended to a variety of molecules. The products are varied and their structures differ from those established for quintuply bonded complexes supported by other ligands. A pervasive feature of 1 seems to be the formation of [2+n] cycloaddition products with nonpolar substrates. Polar, heteroatomic multiple bonds on the other hand effect complete cleavage of the metal–metal bond.

This work was supported by the NSF (CHE-0911081).

Notes and references

- A. Noor, T. Bauer, T. K. Todorova, B. Weber, L. Gagliardi and R. Kempe, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 9825–9832.
- 2 E. S. Tamne, A. Noor, S. Qayyum, T. Bauer and R. Kempe, Inorg. Chem., 2012, 52, 329–336.
- 3 C. Schwarzmaier, A. Noor, G. Glatz, M. Zabel, A. Y. Timoshkin, B. M. Cossairt, C. C. Cummins, R. Kempe and M. Scheer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 7283–7286.
- 4 A. Noor, E. S. Tamne, S. Qayyum, T. Bauer and R. Kempe, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 6900–6903.
- 5 A. Noor and R. Kempe, Chem. Rec., 2010, 10, 413-416.
- 6 F. R. Wagner, A. Noor and R. Kempe, Nat. Chem., 2009, 1, 529-536.
- 7 A. Noor, G. Glatz, R. Müller, M. Kaupp, S. Demeshko and R. Kempe, Nat. Chem., 2009, 1, 322–325.
- 8 A. Noor, F. R. Wagner and R. Kempe, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 7246–7249.
- 9 P.-F. Wu, S.-C. Liu, Y.-J. Shieh, T.-S. Kuo, G.-H. Lee, Y. Wang and Y.-C. Tsai, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 4391–4393.
- 10 H.-G. Chen, H.-W. Hsueh, T.-S. Kuo and Y.-C. Tsai, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 10256–10260.
- 11 S.-C. Liu, W.-L. Ke, J.-S. K. Yu, T.-S. Kuo and Y.-C. Tsai, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 6394–6397.
- 12 Y. L. Huang, D. Y. Lu, H. C. Yu, J. S. Yu, C. W. Hsu, T. S. Kuo, G. H. Lee, Y. Wang and Y. C. Tsai, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, 51, 7781–7785.
- 13 Y.-C. Tsai, H.-Z. Chen, C.-C. Chang, J.-S. K. Yu, G.-H. Lee, Y. Wang and T.-S. Kuo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 12534–12535.
- 14 Y. C. Tsai, C. W. Hsu, J. S. Yu, G. H. Lee, Y. Wang and T. S. Kuo, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 7250-7253.
- 15 Y.-C. Tsai, Y.-M. Lin, J.-S. K. Yu and J.-K. Hwang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 13980–13981.
- 16 C. Ni, B. D. Ellis, G. J. Long and P. P. Power, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009,
- 2332-2334. 17 K. A. Kreisel, G. P. Yap, O. Dmitrenko, C. R. Landis and
- K. H. Theopold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 14162–14163.
- 18 J. Shen, G. P. Yap, J. P. Werner and K. H. Theopold, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 12191–12193.
- 19 L. Y. Goh and T. C. W. Mak, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1986, 1474–1475.
- 20 M. J. Calhorda and R. Hoffmann, Organometallics, 1986, 5, 2181-2187.
- 21 K. A. Kreisel, G. P. Yap and K. H. Theopold, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, 47, 5293–5303.
- 22 W. H. Monillas, G. P. A. Yap and K. H. Theopold, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 2011, 369, 103–119.
- 23 W. H. Monillas, G. P. Yap, L. A. MacAdams and K. H. Theopold, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, 129, 8090–8091.
- 24 A. A. Danopoulos, D. M. Hankin, G. Wilkinson, S. M. Cafferkey, T. K. N. Sweet and M. B. Hursthouse, *Polyhedron*, 1997, **16**, 3879–3892.
- 25 A. A. Danopoulos, G. Wilkinson, T. K. N. Sweet and M. B. Hursthouse, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1995, 2111–2123.
- 26 B. Moubaraki, K. S. Murray, P. J. Nichols, S. Thomson and B. O. West, *Polyhedron*, 1994, 13, 485–495.
- 27 E. B. Fleischer, N. Sung and S. Hawkinson, J. Phys. Chem., 1968, 72, 4311–4312.