

Redox-Switchable Atom Transfer Radical Polymerization

Journal:	ChemComm
Manuscript ID	CC-COM-11-2018-009209.R2
Article Type:	Communication



COMMUNICATION



Redox-Switchable Atom Transfer Radical Polymerization

Sajjad Dadashi-Silab,^a Francesca Lorandi,^a Marco Fantin,^a and Krzysztof Matyjaszewski*^a

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Temporal control in atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) relies on modulating the oxidation state of a copper catalyst, as polymer chains are activated by L/Cu^I and deactivated by L/Cu^I . Regeneration of L/Cu^I activator has been achieved by applying a multitude of external stimuli. However, switching the Cu catalyst off by oxidizing to L/Cu^{II} through external chemical stimuli has not yet been investigated. A redox switchable ATRP was developed in which an oxidizing agent was used to oxidize L/Cu^I activator to L/Cu^{II} , thus halting the polymerization. A ferrocenium salt or oxygen were used to switch off the Cu catalyst, whereas ascorbic acid was used to switch the catalyst on by (re)generating L/Cu^I . The redox switches efficiently modulated the oxidation state of the catalyst without sacrificing control over polymerization.

Catalysts activity can be efficiently tuned by external stimuli, altering their redox properties or steric environment. Redoxswitchable catalysis can provide chemo-, regio- and stereochemical and temporal control over reactions, thus being a fundamental tool in catalysis and supramolecular chemistry.¹⁻ ³ The most explored strategy consists of designing ligands that incorporate redox-active groups,³ particularly ferrocenyl units.⁴⁻ ⁷ Alternatively, the oxidation state of a catalyst center can be directly modulated to alter its activity.^{1, 8, 9}

Controlled polymerization techniques have recently been advanced by applying external stimuli for triggering polymerization processes.^{8, 10, 11} The use of external stimuli allows polymerizations to be controlled in a spatiotemporal manner, providing a great opportunity for synthesis of advanced polymers with pre-determined, tunable properties.

A great deal of research has been devoted to regulating various polymerization techniques by external control. Most widely studied stimuli include photochemistry,¹²⁻¹⁹ electrochemistry,²⁰⁻²² mechanical,²³ chemical,^{24, 25} and redox control.^{9, 26-33} These stimuli reversibly affect the redox properties of the catalyst, thus allowing control over polymerization rate or mechanism.

^{a.} Department of Chemistry, Carnegie Mellon University 4400 Fifth Avenue, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213, United States E-mail: km3b@andrew.cmu.edu

*Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, and detailed kinetics and polymerization results. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

This journal is C The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

Atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) has become a common technique to prepare well-defined polymers.³⁴⁻³⁹ Recent advances in ATRP have focused on (re)generation of the activator form of the catalyst through external means. Using external stimuli for activator (re)generation elicits spatiotemporal control over ATRP processes.¹¹

In Cu-catalyzed ATRP, temporal control depends on the concentration of L/Cu^I activator, as well as how fast it can be consumed or removed from the reaction media. In the absence of stimuli, L/Cu^I is mainly consumed by irreversible radical terminations. Each termination event causes the accumulation of two molecules of L/Cu^{II} deactivator. Subsequently, polymerization stops in the absence of activator regeneration. In this regard, the ATRP equilibrium and the ratio of [L/Cu^{II}]/[L/Cu^{II}] depend on the activity of the catalyst.⁴⁰ Therefore, for highly active catalytic systems, the large ATRP equilibrium constant results in a very low L/Cu^{II} concentration, and thus the reaction stops rapidly. Conversely, for less active systems, the presence of high concentration of activator species enables the polymerization to continue for longer, until all the catalyst is converted to L/Cu^{II}.³⁸

The majority of ATRP reactions regulated by external stimuli relies on using the stimuli to switch the reaction on by reducing L/Cu^{II} to L/Cu^{I.11} However, oxidation of L/Cu^I to L/Cu^{II}, which would lead to on-demand switching of ATRP between on/off states, has not been widely studied. Electrochemically mediated ATRP uniquely enables both the reduction and oxidation of the Cu catalyst *via* alternate application of reducing and oxidizing current or potential.^{20, 41-43}

Indeed, the ATRP equilibrium—maintained via a redox process using a Cu catalyst—provides the possibility of on-demand switching of the polymerization by affecting the oxidation state of the catalyst. This behavior allows for efficient temporal control over chain growth to be attained in ATRP, which would not be accessible in other conventional or controlled radical polymerization techniques.

In this paper, a chemically controlled ATRP was developed in which the activity of the Cu catalyst was tuned via redox



Scheme 1. Redox-switchable ATRP by using AscAcid as reducing agent and FcPF_6 or oxygen as oxidizing agents.

FcPF₆ or O₂

processes. As in activators regenerated by electron transfer (ARGET) ATRP, ascorbic acid (AscAcid) was used as a reducing agent to (re)generate the L/Cu¹ activator and switch the reaction on.⁴⁴ Ferrocenium hexafluorophosphate (FcPF₆) or oxygen were used as oxidizing agents to oxidize L/Cu¹ to L/Cu¹¹, and therefore turn the reaction off.

ARGET ATRP of methyl acrylate (MA) was conducted in N,Ndimethylformamide (DMF) using CuBr₂/Me₆TREN as a catalyst (Me₆TREN: tris[2-(dimethylamino)ethyl]amine). AscAcid was added initially to start the polymerization. Monomer conversion reached 56% in 6 h, with the rate of the polymerization decreasing over time (Figure 1). Size exclusion chromatography

(SEC) analysis showed the final polymer with a low dispersity (\mathcal{P} = 1.10), and molecular weight (MW) matching theoretical value ($M_{n,th}$ = 9850, M_n = 10100). The activator L/Cu¹ was switched off by oxidizing to L/Cu¹¹ using FcPF₆ as an oxidizing agent. ARGET ATRP of MA was conducted under similar initial conditions, with ~30% monomer conversion reached within 1 h. Afterward, FcPF₆ (10 mol% with respect to Cu) was added. Kinetic results showed a significant decrease in the rate of the reaction, which continued to only ~39 % monomer conversion, and then stopped (Figure 1). Indeed, it was previously determined that



 Figure 1. Redox control in ATRP by using AscAcid and FcPF₆ as redox agents.

 Reaction
 conditions:
 [MA]/[EBiB]/[CuBr₂]/[Me₆TREN]/[AscAcid]
 =

Journal Name

200/1/0.04/0.04/0.04 in 50 vol% DMF at 25 °C. AscAcid added initially. $\rm FcPF_6$ (10 or 50 mol% with respect to Cu) added at 1 h.



Figure 2. Evolution of the Vis-NIR spectra of Cu catalyst after sequential addition of AscAcid and FcPF₆. [CuBr₂/Me₆TREN] = [AscAcid] = [FcPF₆] = 2.22 mM in DMF. FcPF₆ was added 30 min after addition of AscAcid.

the standard reduction potential of CuBr₂/Me₆TREN in DMF is $E_{[(L/Cu^{II})/(L/Cu^{I})]}^{\Theta} = -0.32 \text{ V}$ vs. saturated calomel electrode (SCE),⁴⁵ whereas $E^{\Theta}_{(Fc^+/Fc)} = 0.48 \text{ V}$ vs. SCE was measured for the redox couple of ferrocenium/ferrocene in DMF.46 Therefore, ferrocenium is a suitable oxidant for the Cu catalyst. In addition, AscAcid is oxidized by reacting with both L/Cu^{II} and FcPF₆.⁴⁷ However, the small increase in monomer conversion suggested that residual AscAcid further regenerated the L/Cu¹ activator, and continued the polymerization. Importantly, SEC analysis showed a polymer with a monomodal, symmetric MW distribution ($M_{n,th}$ = 6950, M_n = 7050 and D = 1.12). Interestingly, when the amount of FcPF₆ was increased to 50 mol% with respect to Cu, the reaction was instantaneously and completely stopped. In this case, the FcPF₆ salt oxidized all the L/Cu^I and residual AscAcid, so that no monomer conversion was observed.

Vis-NIR spectroscopy of the Cu catalyst confirmed its successive reduction and oxidation upon sequential addition of AscAcid and FcPF₆ (Figure 2). A decrease in the absorption peak of L/Cu^{II} at ~960 nm was observed upon addition of AscAcid, indicating reduction to L/Cu^I. FcPF₆ was later added to the solution, and the absorption spectra increased to the initial state. These observations proved that L/Cu^{II} could be successfully reduced to L/Cu^{II} by addition of AscAcid and oxidized back to L/Cu^{II} in the presence of FcPF₆.

Having confirmed the efficiency of AscAcid and $FcPF_6$ in switching the redox properties of Cu species, and hence controlling the reaction, temporal control was attempted using these chemical redox switches. AscAcid started the ARGET ATRP of MA with 27% monomer conversion obtained in 1 h. Afterward, FcPF₆ (25 mol% with respect to Cu) was added to stop the reaction (Figure 3). Negligible monomer conversion was observed during the 5-h period after the addition of FcPF₆, and the reaction stopped (30% conversion at 6 h). A second batch of AscAcid ([AscAcid] = [L/Cu]) was added to switch the reaction on by regenerating L/Cu^I. Monomer conversion reached 48% within 1 h, confirming the preservation of chainend functionality in the presence of chemical switches.

Importantly, SEC analysis of the polymer showed monomodal, symmetric MW distributions upon successive addition of redox



Figure 3. (A) Temporal control of ATRP using AscAcid and FcPF₆ as redox switches. (B) SEC traces after addition of FcPF₆ and AscAcid. Reaction conditions: [MA]/[EBB]/[CuBr₂]/[Me₆TREN]/[AscAcid] = 200/1/0.04/0.04/0.04 in 50 vol% DMF at 25 °C. AscAcid added at 0 and 6 h. FcPF₆ (25 mol% with respect to Cu) added at 1 h.

switches. SEC traces showed a clear shift toward higher MWs after the addition of the second batch of AscAcid, indicating a well-controlled process.

Oxygen was a simple alternative to FcPF_6 as an oxidizing agent for L/Cu^I, efficiently turning the ATRP catalyst off. An ARGET ATRP of MA was conducted under similar conditions using AscAcid, with 37% monomer conversion reached in 1 h (Figure 4). Then, the polymerization solution was bubbled with air for 1 min. No monomer conversion was observed after exposure to oxygen, indicating complete oxidation of all activator and AscAcid. Consequently, the polymerization was successfully switched off. The standard reduction potential of oxygen in DMF was estimated as $E_{(0_2/H_20)}^{\theta} = 1.08 \text{ V}$ vs. SCE, therefore oxygen is a stronger oxidizing agent compared to FcPF₆.48 Importantly, the reaction was restarted upon the addition of a second batch of AscAcid ([AscAcid] = [L/Cu]) at 6 h. Monomer conversion reached 63% within the following hour. SEC analysis of the polymer revealed a symmetric, mono-modal MW addition of AscAcid. These results indicated that a wellcontrolled polymerization was achieved in the presence of AscAcid and oxygen as redox switches. The chain-end functionality was preserved throughout the reaction enabling further chain growth in a controlled manner.

The strength of this system was further confirmed by switching the polymerization for multiple times using AscAcid and oxygen



Figure. (A) Temporal control of ATRP using AscAcid and oxygen as redox switches. (B) SEC traces after bubbling with air and addition of AscAcid. Reaction conditions:





Figure 4. (A) Kinetics of temporal control using AscAcid and oxygen as redox agents. (B) Number-average molecular weight ($M_{n,r}$ solid points) and dispersity (D, open points) as a function of monomer conversion. Reaction conditions: [MA]/[EBIB/[CuBr₂]/[Me₅TREN]/[AscAcid] = 200/1/0.04/0.04/0.04 in 50 vol% DMF at 25 °C. AscAcid added at 0, 2 and 4 h. Air bubbled for 1 min at 1, 3, and 5 h. Nitrogen bubbled for 2 min at 2 and 4 h.

as redox switches (Figure 5 and Figure S4). The reaction was proceeded in the presence of AscAcid, which regenerated the activator. Introducing oxygen stopped the reaction through oxidation of the catalyst. The polymerization was wellcontrolled upon successive additions of AscAcid and oxygen. It is worth mentioning that in these experiments the amount of oxidizing agents was always relatively low, so as to allow an effective re-starting of the process by adding AscAcid and to limit the contamination of the system.

The reduction and oxidation of the Cu catalyst by AscAcid and oxygen was also confirmed by Vis-NIR spectroscopy. The absorption peak of L/Cu^{II} at ~960 nm decreased upon addition of AscAcid indicating reduction to L/Cu^I. The solution was bubbled with air and the absorption peak partially increased, suggesting re-oxidation to L/Cu^{II} (Figure S5). Interestingly, further addition of AscAcid resulted in a reduction to L/Cu^I. These results supported that the Cu catalyst can be reversibly toggled between L/Cu^{II} and L/Cu^{III} states using redox switches, for multiple times.

In summary, the activity of the Cu catalyst in ATRP was effectively switched on and off using chemical redox switches. AscAcid acted as a reducing agent that turned the reaction on by (re)generating the L/Cu¹ activator. An oxidizing agent, such as the FcPF₆ salt or oxygen, switched off the Cu catalyst by oxidizing it to L/Cu¹¹, thus stopping the reaction. These species efficiently altered the oxidation state of the Cu catalyst enabling perfect temporal control over the polymerization. Importantly, chain-end functionality was preserved in the presence of oxidizing agents, as further addition of AscAcid restarted the polymerization in a controlled manner.

Future work will focus on investigating temporal control in ATRP regulated by external stimuli to improve the mechanistic understanding of these techniques and broaden their scope. In addition to the chemical stimuli presented in here, new advanced techniques comprised of orthogonal multi-stimuli will be developed to precisely modulate the ATRP catalyst.

COMMUNICATION

Acknowledgement

Financial support from NSF (CHE-1707490) is acknowledged.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

References

- V. Blanco, D. A. Leigh and V. Marcos, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, 44, 5341-5370.
- 2. M. J. Wiester, P. A. Ulmann and C. A. Mirkin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 114-137.
- A. M. Allgeier and C. A. Mirkin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1998, 37, 894-908.
- 4. E. T. Singewald, C. A. Mirkin and C. L. Stern, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1995, **34**, 1624-1627.
- 5. H. Plenio and R. Diodone, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1995, **492**, 73-80.
- 6. T. H. Antonio Togni, ed., *Ferrocenes: Homogeneous Catalysis, Organic Synthesis, Materials Science,* John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- H. F. Cheng, A. I. d'Aquino, J. Barroso-Flores and C. A. Mirkin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 14590-14594.
- 8. A. J. Teator, D. N. Lastovickova and C. W. Bielawski, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 1969-1992.
- 9. C. Chen, ACS Catal., 2018, 8, 5506-5514.
- F. A. Leibfarth, K. M. Mattson, B. P. Fors, H. A. Collins and C. J. Hawker, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 199-210.
- 11. X. Pan, M. Fantin, F. Yuan and K. Matyjaszewski, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 5457-5490.
- 12. S. Dadashi-Silab, S. Doran and Y. Yagci, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 10212-10275.
- 13. D. Konkolewicz, K. Schröder, J. Buback, S. Bernhard and K. Matyjaszewski, *ACS Macro Lett.*, 2012, **1**, 1219-1223.
- 14. X. Pan, M. A. Tasdelen, J. Laun, T. Junkers, Y. Yagci and K. Matyjaszewski, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2016, **62**, 73-125.
- 15. B. P. Fors and C. J. Hawker, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 8850-8853.
- 16. M. Chen, M. Zhong and J. A. Johnson, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, **116**, 10167-10211.
- 17. V. Kottisch, M. J. Supej and B. P. Fors, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 8260-8264.
- 18. N. Corrigan, S. Shanmugam, J. Xu and C. Boyer, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2016, **45**, 6165-6212.
- 19. S. Dadashi-Silab, X. Pan and K. Matyjaszewski, *Macromolecules*, 2017, **50**, 7967-7977.
- 20. A. J. D. Magenau, N. C. Strandwitz, A. Gennaro and K. Matyjaszewski, *Science*, 2011, **332**, 81-84.
- B. M. Peterson, S. Lin and B. P. Fors, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 2076-2079.
- 22. M. Qi, Q. Dong, D. Wang and J. A. Byers, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 5686-5690.
- Z. Wang, X. Pan, J. Yan, S. Dadashi-Silab, G. Xie, J. Zhang, Z. Wang, H. Xia and K. Matyjaszewski, ACS Macro Lett., 2017, 6, 546-549.

- 24. H. J. Yoon, J. Kuwabara, J.-H. Kim and C. A. Mirkin, *Science*, 2010, **330**, 66-69.
- 25. B. M. Peterson, V. Kottisch, M. J. Supej and B. P. Fors, ACS *Cent. Sci.*, 2018, **4**, 1228-1234.
- C. K. A. Gregson, V. C. Gibson, N. J. Long, E. L. Marshall, P. J. Oxford and A. J. P. White, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 7410-7411.
- E. M. Broderick, N. Guo, T. Wu, C. S. Vogel, C. Xu, J. Sutter, J. T. Miller, K. Meyer, T. Cantat and P. L. Diaconescu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, 47, 9897-9899.
- E. M. Broderick, N. Guo, C. S. Vogel, C. Xu, J. Sutter, J. T. Miller, K. Meyer, P. Mehrkhodavandi and P. L. Diaconescu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 9278-9281.
- A. B. Biernesser, B. Li and J. A. Byers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 16553-16560.
- X. Wang, A. Thevenon, J. L. Brosmer, I. Yu, S. I. Khan, P. Mehrkhodavandi and P. L. Diaconescu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 11264-11267.
- L. A. Brown, J. L. Rhinehart and B. K. Long, ACS Catal., 2015, 5, 6057-6060.
- 32. A. B. Biernesser, K. R. Delle Chiaie, J. B. Curley and J. A. Byers, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 5251-5254.
- D. N. Lastovickova, H. Shao, G. Lu, P. Liu and C. W. Bielawski, Chem. Eur. J., 2017, 23, 5994-6000.
- J.-S. Wang and K. Matyjaszewski, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 5614-5615.
- K. Matyjaszewski and J. Xia, Chem. Rev., 2001, 101, 2921-2990.
- 36. K. Matyjaszewski, *Macromolecules*, 2012, **45**, 4015-4039.
- K. Matyjaszewski, W. Jakubowski, K. Min, W. Tang, J. Huang, W. A. Braunecker and N. V. Tsarevsky, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A., 2006, **103**, 15309-15314.
- 38. S. Dadashi-Silab and K. Matyjaszewski, *Macromolecules*, 2018, **51**, 4250-4258.
- 39. T. G. Ribelli, F. Lorandi, M. Fantin and K. Matyjaszewski, *Macromol. Rapid Commun.*, 2018, 1800616.
- T. G. Ribelli, M. Fantin, J.-C. Daran, K. F. Augustine, R. Poli and K. Matyjaszewski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 1525-1534.
- P. Chmielarz, M. Fantin, S. Park, A. A. Isse, A. Gennaro, A. J.
 D. Magenau, A. Sobkowiak and K. Matyjaszewski, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2017, 69, 47-78.
- 42. M. Fantin, A. A. Isse, A. Venzo, A. Gennaro and K. Matyjaszewski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 7216-7219.
- 43. F. Lorandi, M. Fantin, A. A. Isse and A. Gennaro, *Curr. Opin. Electrochem.*, 2018, **8**, 1-7.
- 44. A. Simakova, S. E. Averick, D. Konkolewicz and K. Matyjaszewski, *Macromolecules*, 2012, **45**, 6371-6379.
- 45. F. Lorandi, M. Fantin, A. A. Isse, A. Gennaro and K. Matyjaszewski, *Electrochim. Acta*, 2018, **260**, 648-655.
- 46. L. Falciola, A. Gennaro, A. A. Isse, P. R. Mussini and M. Rossi, J. Electroanal. Chem., 2006, **593**, 47-56.
- 47. B. G. Cox, W. Jedral and J. Palou, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 1988, , 733-740.
- M. L. Pegis, J. A. S. Roberts, D. J. Wasylenko, E. A. Mader, A. M. Appel and J. M. Mayer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, 54, 11883-11888.

Table of Content

Redox-Switchable Atom Transfer Radical Polymerization

Sajjad Dadashi-Silab, Francesca Lorandi, Marco Fantin, and Krzysztof Matyjaszewski



A redox-switchable ATRP was developed in which the copper catalyst, and hence the polymerization, was switched on/off using redox agents.