

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2025, 16, 18705

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 5th March 2025
Accepted 7th September 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5sc01754h

rsc.li/chemical-science

Atomic diffusion barriers and inter-element miscibility guide the development of unexplored crystal phases

Kenshi Matsumoto,^{*a} Masaki Kudo,^b Yasutomi Tatetsu,^c Ryota Sato,^d Ryo Takahata^{ad} and Toshiharu Teranishi^{ad}

Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ ordered alloy nanoparticles containing alternating layers of an L1₀ (CuAu-type)-like PdFePd trilayer and a Pd–In ordered alloy monolayer are formed by following the inter-element miscibility of In, which is miscible with Pd but immiscible with Fe. An understanding of the atomic diffusion processes based on inter-element miscibility is required to effectively synthesize such unknown crystal phases. In this study, we demonstrated that the temperature required for the formation of the Z3 structure largely depends on the diffusion path of Fe into PdIn_x or In into FePd₃ alloy nanoparticles. The results indicate that the design of the diffusion path should be considered to develop unexplored crystal phases, especially in alloy systems containing an immiscible pair of elements.

Introduction

The crystal structure is an important factor in determining the physical and chemical properties of metal nanomaterials;^{1,2} thus, the stabilization of unknown crystal structures is an attractive approach for discovering highly functional materials.³ In particular, alloy systems have the potential to exhibit various physical and chemical properties because numerous structures are geometrically allowed at specific compositions.⁴ However, the formation of crystal structures is governed by thermodynamics, and thus it is extremely difficult to develop crystal structures with thermodynamically unfavourable atomic arrangements. Although many metastable phases have been reported under specific conditions, such as high pressure,⁵ high temperature,⁶ nano-size^{7,8} and lattice strain,^{9,10} these phases were represented by well-known crystal structures. In contrast, the development of synthetic strategies for producing unprecedented crystal structures is relatively challenging.

Recently, we succeeded in synthesizing unprecedented Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ alloy nanoparticles (NPs), which consisted of alternating layers of an L1₀ (CuAu-type)-like PdFePd trilayer and a Pd–In ordered alloy monolayer (Fig. 1a), using nanoparticulate precursors of A1 (face-centred cubic (*fcc*)-type solid-

solution) PdIn_x@FeO_y core@shell (A1-PdIn_x@FeO_y) NPs.¹¹ In addition, it was both experimentally and theoretically confirmed that the inter-element miscibility of In, which is miscible with Pd but immiscible with Fe,¹² provided the driving force for stabilizing the Z3 phase. Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ phase possesses not only ferromagnetic property with high coercivity¹¹ but also high potential as oxygen reduction reaction catalyst.³ Elucidating the formation mechanism of the Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ phase, specifically the atomic diffusion process, is necessary to expand




Fig. 1 (a) Unit cell of the unprecedented Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ structure, atomic-resolution EDX elemental mapping for [100] of the Z3 phase (red: In-L, blue: Fe-K, green: Pd-L), and inter-element miscibility of In with Pd and Fe (reproduced from ref. 11). (b) Illustration depicting the thermal conversion of two well-designed precursor nanostructures into the Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ phase.

^aInstitute for Chemical Research, Kyoto University, Gokasho, Uji, Kyoto 611-0011, Japan. E-mail: matsumoto.kenshi.3r@kyoto-u.ac.jp; teranishi@scl.kyoto-u.ac.jp

^bThe Ultramicroscopy Research Center, Kyushu University, 744 Motoooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan

^cDepartment of Health Informatics, Meio University, Biimata, Nago, Okinawa 905-8585, Japan

^dDepartment of Chemistry, Graduate School of Science, Kyoto University, Gokasho, Uji, Kyoto 611-0011, Japan



the library of unexplored ordered alloy structures based on the inter-element miscibility of the third element.

NPs have two advantages for this investigation. One is to facilitate the atomic diffusion required to form an alloy with a homogeneous composition.¹³ The other advantage is the ease of structural transformation, considering that a disorder-order transformation of the crystal structure in alloys propagates from the particle surface to the core.^{14,15}

In this work, we demonstrate the importance of designing precursor materials that consider the ease of atomic diffusion to synthesize NPs with unknown crystal phases like the $Z3$ phase. To investigate how the immiscibility between Fe and In affects their diffusion processes to form the $Z3$ phase, we designed two types of NPs, namely $\text{FePd}_3\text{:In}$ NPs (diffusion of In into the FePd_3 alloy) and $\text{PdIn}_x\text{:Fe}$ NPs (diffusion of Fe into the In-poor PdIn_x alloy; $17 < x < 23$ atomic % (at%)), in which the atomic diffusion is promoted by reductive annealing to provide a $Z3\text{-Fe}(\text{Pd},\text{In})_3$ phase (Fig. 1b). We found that the reductive annealing temperatures of the two NPs differ by 200 K during the formation of $Z3\text{-Fe}(\text{Pd},\text{In})_3$. To clarify the diffusion paths of In and Fe, the intermediate phases obtained before the formation of the $Z3\text{-Fe}(\text{Pd},\text{In})_3$ phase were identified by high-angle annular dark-field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM), energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) and powder X-ray diffraction (XRD). The results indicate that the extent to which Fe and In occupy adjacent sites in the crystal structure during the diffusion process determines the

formation temperature (*i.e.*, inter-diffusion activation energy) of the $Z3\text{-Fe}(\text{Pd},\text{In})_3$ phase. Moreover, a theoretical approach based on first-principles calculations supports our claim that the differences in activation energies depending on diffusion paths can be qualitatively explained by the differences in formation energies of the intermediates leading to the $Z3$ phase. Therefore, the diffusion path must be considered in the design of precursor materials, to facilitate the discovery of unexplored crystal structures in ternary alloys containing immiscible elemental pairs.

Results and discussion

Synthesis of two types of nanoparticulate precursors

Fe and In are an immiscible combination in a binary phase diagram.¹² To investigate how the diffusion path affects the formation temperature of the $Z3\text{-Fe}(\text{Pd},\text{In})_3$ NPs, two types of nanoparticulate precursors with different distributions of Fe, Pd and In ($\text{FePd}_3\text{:In}$ and $\text{PdIn}_x\text{:Fe}$ NPs) were synthesized using step-by-step approaches.

$\text{FePd}_3\text{:In}$ NPs were synthesized as follows: (a1) synthesis of 23 nm Pd NPs,^{11,16} (a2) growth of FeO_y shells on Pd NPs (Pd@FeO_y core@shell NPs),^{11,16} (a3) growth of mesoporous SiO_2 shells on Pd@FeO_y NPs ($\text{Pd@FeO}_y\text{@SiO}_2$ NPs),¹⁷ (a4) transformation of $\text{Pd@FeO}_y\text{@SiO}_2$ NPs into $L1_2$ (Cu_3Au -type) $\text{FePd}_3\text{@SiO}_2$ NPs by reductive annealing and (a5) deposition




Fig. 2 (a–d and h–k) EDX elemental maps (Pd-L (a and h), In-L (b and i), Fe–K (c and j) and Si–K (d and k)) of $\text{FePd}_3\text{:In}$ (a–d) and $\text{PdIn}_x\text{:Fe}$ (h–k) NPs. (e, f, l and m) Overlay of a–c (e), (a–d) (f), h–j (l) and (h–k) (m). (g and n) EDX line profiles indicated by the white arrows in f and m.






Fig. 3 (a) An annealing program, in which *ex situ* characterizations—HAADF-STEM, EDX and powder XRD—were conducted at the conditions marked with open circles. (b) Powder XRD patterns for NPs obtained by reductive annealing at 673 K for 30 min, 873 K for 250 min and 1073 K for 10 and 180 min. Powder XRD patterns were measured at the X-ray wavelength of 1.542 Å. (c–g) Atomic-resolution EDX elemental maps (Pd–L (c), In–L (d) and Fe–K (e)), overlay of (c–e) (f) and the HAADF-STEM image (g) of NPs formed after reductive annealing at 873 K for 250 min. (h) Crystal phase model obtained from (c–g). (i) Lattice parameters [*a* (blue) and *c* (red)] of major phase obtained by Rietveld refinements for b (Fig. S2) (solid rhombi \blacklozenge : $L1_2$ -(Fe,In)Pd₃, open rhombi \diamond , squares \square and triangles \triangle : three low-ordered Z3-type Fe–Pd–In, solid circles \bullet : Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃) and crystal phase models obtained from the lattice parameters.

of In on the $L1_2$ -FePd₃ using InCl₃ in solution (see SI for details and Fig. S1).

PdIn_x:Fe NPs were synthesized as follows: (b1) synthesis of 23 nm Pd NPs,^{11,16} (b2) alloying Pd NPs with In (PdIn_x NPs),¹¹ (b3) growth of FeO_y shells on PdIn_x NPs (PdIn_x@FeO_y NPs),¹¹ (b4) sequential growth of mesoporous SiO₂ shells on PdIn_x@FeO_y NPs (PdIn_x@FeO_y@SiO₂ NPs)¹⁷ and (b5) transformation of PdIn_x@FeO_y NPs into PdIn_x:Fe NPs by reductive annealing (see SI for details and Fig. S1).

In the above syntheses, SiO₂ shells were used to suppress inter-particle fusion during the annealing processes. The Pd/In/Fe ratios of FePd₃:In and PdIn_x:Fe NPs were 65/12/23 and 60/14/26 (at%), respectively, which were previously confirmed to be within the composition range required to form the Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ phase.¹¹ Rietveld refinements for powder XRD patterns and EDX elemental maps revealed that the FePd₃:In NPs have an $L1_2$ -FePd₃@body-centred tetragonal (*bct*)-type Pd₃In ($L1_2$ -FePd₃@*bct*-Pd₃In) structure, whereas the PdIn_x:Fe

NPs have an A1- and *bct*-type PdIn_x@body-centred cubic (*bcc*)-type Fe (PdIn_x@*bcc*-Fe) structure (Fig. 2, S2 and S8).

Formation process of the Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ phase from FePd₃:In NPs

To not only confirm the formation of the Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ phase but also investigate the structure of intermediates formed from FePd₃:In NPs, reductive annealing was conducted at 673 K for 40 min and 873 K for 250 min (Fig. 3a), and the obtained crystal phases were characterized at room temperature. Rietveld refinements for powder XRD patterns showed that the reductive annealing of FePd₃:In NPs at 673 K gave the $L1_2$ -FePd₃ and *bct*-Pd₃In phases, followed by transformation into the $L1_2$ -Fe–Pd–In and *bcc*-Fe phases by further reductive annealing at 873 K (Fig. 3b and S2). HAADF-STEM observation and EDX elemental maps of NPs obtained at 873 K for 250 min showed selective substitution of Fe with In in the $L1_2$ -FePd₃ phase to give homogeneous distributions of Fe, Pd and In (Fig. 3c–h and S3), as






Fig. 4 (a) An annealing program, in which *ex situ* characterizations—HAADF-STEM, EDX and powder XRD—were conducted at the conditions marked with open circles. (b) Powder XRD patterns for PdIn_x:Fe NPs and NPs obtained by reductive annealing at 773 K for 180 min, 873 K for 250 min and 1073 K for 10 min. Powder XRD patterns were obtained using a synchrotron source with an X-ray wavelength of 0.5274 Å. (c–f) EDX elemental maps (Pd–L (c), In–L (d), Fe–K (e) and Si–K (f)) of NPs obtained by reductive annealing at 773 K for 180 min. (g and h) Overlays of (c–e) (g) and (c–f) (h). (i) EDX line profiles obtained along the white arrow in (h). (j) HAADF-STEM image around the interface of Pd–In@Fe–Pd NPs obtained by reductive annealing at 773 K for 180 min. (k–m) Atomic-resolution EDX elemental maps (Pd–L (k), In–L (l) and Fe–K (m)) of the white square region in j. (n) Overlay of (k–m). (o) Crystal phase model obtained from (k–m).

observed in our previous work.¹¹ The Z₃-Fe(Pd,In)₃ phase was not formed below 873 K when using FePd₃:In NPs as a starting material.

To confirm whether the Z₃-Fe(Pd,In)₃ phase can be formed from FePd₃:In NPs, reductive annealing was conducted at 1073 K for NPs obtained at 873 K for 250 min (Fig. 3a). The reductive annealing at 1073 K for 10 min provided the three tetragonal Fe–Pd–In phases, and further reductive annealing for 180 min (total time) gave the Z₃-Fe(Pd,In)₃ single phase (Fig. 3b and S2). Considering the changes in lattice parameters of all crystal phases formed through the reductive annealing process from 873 to 1073 K, the *a*-axis and *c*-axis lengths monotonically

increased and decreased, respectively, with the increase in annealing temperature and time; that is, we observed a monotonical increase in tetragonality (*a/c*) (Fig. 3i). This means that the highly symmetric L₁₂ (cubic) phase formed at 873 K was converted into the low-ordered Z₃-type (tetragonal) phase at 1073 K, as observed in the formation of the low-ordered tetragonal L₁₀-FePt phase from the higher symmetric cubic A1-FePt phase during the reductive annealing process.¹⁸ The HAADF-STEM observation and EDX elemental mapping also show that the low-ordered tetragonal phase was formed after reductive annealing at 1073 K for 10 min (Fig. S4). In fact, we quantitatively evaluated the order degree (*S*) of low-ordered Z₃-






Fig. 5 (a) Two-dimensional plots of powder XRD patterns recorded during the reductive annealing of PdIn_x:Fe NPs at the conditions marked with orange, red and green solid lines in Fig. 4a; (upper) heated to 873 K at 10 K min⁻¹, kept at 873 K for 250 min, heated to 1073 K at 10 K min⁻¹ and kept at 1073 K for 10 min; (bottom) heated to 773 K at 10 K min⁻¹ and kept at 773 K for 180 min. (b) Corresponding partial powder XRD patterns of the products.

type phase by using the ordered peak of 111 (see SI for details), from which the *S* value of the low-ordered Z3-type phase showed the lower value (0.21) than that of Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ formed by the annealing at 1073 K for 180 min (0.94) (Fig. S5). Consequently, in the case of FePd₃:In NPs, the highly ordered Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ phase was formed at 1073 K *via* the L1₂-(Fe,In)Pd₃ and low-ordered Z3-type phases.

Formation process of the Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ phase from PdIn_x:Fe NPs. The formation of the Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ phase from FePd₃:In NPs required the annealing temperature of 1073 K. Next, we used PdIn_x:Fe NPs as a starting nanoparticulate precursor to investigate how the Fe diffusion into PdIn_x alloy NPs containing a small amount of In (17 < *x* < 23 at%) affects the formation temperature of the Z3 phase. First, the reductive annealing of PdIn_x:Fe NPs was carried out at 873 K for 250 min and 1073 K for 10 min (Fig. 4a), and the resulting crystal phases were characterized at room temperature. Unlike the FePd₃:In NPs, powder XRD patterns revealed that the Z3 phase was already formed at 873 K (Fig. 4b). To clarify the detailed crystal structure change of PdIn_x:Fe NPs, the reductive annealing of PdIn_x:Fe NPs was conducted at 773 K for 180 min (Fig. 4a). As expected, the resulting NPs possessed different diffraction pattern compared with both PdIn_x:Fe and Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ NPs (Fig. 4b), but it was difficult to determine the precise crystal structure from the diffraction pattern alone, owing to low crystallinity.

Then, a local structural analysis was conducted to identify this intermediate phase. EDX elemental maps revealed the formation of Pd–In@Fe–Pd NPs by the reductive annealing at 773 K, resulting in heterogeneous distributions of Fe, Pd and In (Fig. 4c–i), in contrast to the homogeneous distributions in NPs obtained at 873 and 1073 K (Fig. S6 and S7). Notably, HAADF-STEM and EDX showed the formation of the Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ phase between the L1₀-FePd and the *bct*-Pd₃In phases (Fig. 4j–o). Based on this structural information, Rietveld refinements for powder XRD patterns were performed to verify the Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃, L1₀-FePd and *bct*-Pd₃In phases after the reductive annealing of PdIn_x:Fe NPs at 773 and 873 K, as well as the Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ phase obtained by reductive annealing at 1073 K (Fig. S8). The results demonstrated that the Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ phase was formed between the L1₀-FePd and *bct*-Pd₃In phases (Fig. 4o).

In addition to the aforementioned structural characterizations at room temperature, *in situ* powder XRD measurements were adopted for interrogating the products formed by the reductive annealing of PdIn_x:Fe NPs (Fig. 5). Below 326 K, the diffraction patterns already differed from that of PdIn_x:Fe NPs owing to the hydrogen insertion into the A1- and *bct*-type PdIn_x phases (Fig. S9).¹⁹ From 326 to 773 K, hydrogen atoms were released from the A1- and *bct*-type PdIn_x phases, and the diffraction patterns became similar to that of PdIn_x:Fe NPs.






Fig. 6 (a and b) Illustrations of the formation processes for the $Z3\text{-Fe(Pd,In)}_3$ phase obtained from the $L1_2\text{-(Fe,In)Pd}_3$ (a) and $L1_0\text{-FePd/bct-Pd}_3\text{In}$ (b) diphases, which are the intermediate structures formed from $\text{FePd}_3\text{:In}$ and $\text{PdIn}_x\text{:Fe}$ NPs, respectively.

Diffraction peaks with broader widths than those of $\text{PdIn}_x\text{:Fe}$ NPs were observed from 773 to 873 K, indicating the formation of the $L1_0\text{-FePd/Z3-Fe(Pd,In)}_3/\text{bct-Pd}_3\text{In}$ triphase, rather than other structures. This is because the phase-segregation in one

particle inevitably decreases the crystalline size of each phase, unlike the formation of ternary solid-solution or ordered Fe-Pd-In alloy. Above 873 K, the diffraction pattern of the $Z3\text{-Fe(Pd,In)}_3$ phase was confirmed. Therefore, *in situ* XRD




Fig. 7 Formation energies of intermediate structures ($\Delta E_{\text{intermediate}}$) compared with $Z3\text{-Fe}_8\text{Pd}_{20}\text{In}_4$ (ΔE_{Z3}), where the red and blue curves are the diffusion paths with the minimum formation energies formed from $L1_2\text{-Fe}_4\text{Pd}_{24}\text{In}_4$ and $L1_0\text{-Fe}_{16}\text{Pd}_{16}/\text{bct-Pd}_{24}\text{In}_8$, respectively, and the + marks represent other paths.



measurements are consistent with the characterizations performed at room temperature, confirming the detection of the intermediate phases during the transition from PdIn_x:Fe NPs to the Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ phase. Ultimately, using PdIn_x:Fe NPs as a nanoparticulate precursor, the Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ phase was obtained as a main phase at 873 K *via* the formation of the L1₀-FePd/Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃/*bct*-Pd₃In triphase.

Dependence of the formation temperature of the Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ structure on the atomic diffusion path

Surprisingly, there was a difference of 200 K in the temperature required to form the Z3 structure as the main phase between FePd₃:In and PdIn_x:Fe NPs within a practical time duration. However, understanding the origin of the formation temperature difference is important in the experimental exploration of novel ordered alloy structures.

Heights of activation barriers between the intermediates structures to form Z3 structures are considered the main factors for determining the formation temperature of the Z3 structure. To understand the origin of this formation temperature difference, we investigated the Fe and In substitution sites in intermediate phases formed from FePd₃:In and PdIn_x:Fe NPs. First, the intermediate phase formed after the reductive annealing of FePd₃:In NPs at 1073 K for 10 min is the low-ordered Z3-type phase (Fig. 3 and S4). Formation of the low-ordered Z3-type phase, in which Fe and In were detected in all monolayers (Fig. S4), results from the substitution of Fe or In with Pd in the Pd monolayer of L1₂-(Fe,In)Pd₃, leading to the occupation of In at the atomic sites closest to Fe (Fig. 6a). Therefore, in the case of FePd₃:In NPs, Fe and In occupy the closest sites to induce the crystal phase change from L1₂-(Fe,In)Pd₃ to the more thermodynamically stable Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ phases.

Conversely, Fe and In atoms do not exist in Pd monolayers of the intermediate structure formed by the reductive annealing of PdIn_x:Fe NPs, or the L1₀-FePd/Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃/*bct*-Pd₃In triphase (Fig. 4j–o and S10); Fe and In are not close to each other in the triphase because all the interplanar spacings between Pd monolayers in the L1₀-FePd, *bct*-Pd₃In and Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ phases are shorter than the corresponding *a*-axis lengths.^{11,16,20} By estimating the interatomic distances from the XRD pattern of L1₀-FePd/Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃/*bct*-Pd₃In triphase, we found that the first and second neighbour distances were 0.272–0.278 and 0.280–0.285 nm, respectively (Fig. S8). Note that this second neighbour distance is longer than the nearest neighbour distance (0.273–0.275 nm) of the low-ordered Z3-type phase derived from FePd₃:In NPs (Fig. S2). In other words, there is a big difference in the interatomic distance between Fe and In in the intermediate phases formed from FePd₃:In and PdIn_x:Fe NPs.

Then, to confirm whether the Fe atoms avoid occupying the sites adjacent to the In sites in the diffusion process from the L1₀-FePd/Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃/*bct*-Pd₃In triphase to the Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ single phase, HAADF-STEM and EDX of NPs with the L1₀-FePd/Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃/*bct*-Pd₃In triphase were conducted during reductive annealing at 873 K. Fast Fourier transform images were obtained from HAADF-STEM images of the two NPs, showing

the brighter 001 and 003 diffraction spots derived from Z3 structure with the increase in reductive annealing time (0, 10, 40 and 100 min), which indicates the increase in the volume ratio of the Z3 phase (Fig. S11). Atomic-resolution EDX elemental maps for a particular area of one NP showed that the L1₀, Z3 and *bct* triphase was epitaxially stacked along the [001] direction of the constituent three phases (Fig. S12). In the NPs obtained by reductive annealing at 873 K for 0, 10 and 40 min, Pd monolayers in the triphase were sandwiched by two Fe–Pd–In alloy monolayers, which indicates selective occupation of Fe and In in certain atomic sites of the L1₀ and *bct* phases. Specifically, the NPs underwent substitution of Fe for Pd or In in the Pd–In monolayers of the *bct*-Pd₃In structure and substitution of Pd or In for Fe in the L1₀-FePd structure (Fig. 6b). Therefore, it was found that Fe and In diffuse while avoiding each other during the formation of the Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ single phase from the L1₀-FePd/Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃/*bct*-Pd₃In triphase.

By comparing the diffusion paths of In in FePd₃:In NPs and Fe in PdIn_x:Fe NPs during reductive annealing, we found that the major difference is whether Fe and In occupy adjacent sites as the atomic diffusion proceeds. To evaluate the activation energies for atomic diffusion into Z3 phase, we calculated the formation energies of various intermediate phases from L1₂-Fe₁Pd₆In₁ or L1₀-Fe₂Pd₂/*bct*-Pd₃In₁ to Z3-Fe₂Pd₅In₁ phases (see SI for details, Fig. 7, S13, Tables S1 and S2). Such an elementary process to form Z3 phase gives quasi-activation energies between intermediate structures because the activation energies are larger than the formation energies. Interestingly, intermediate phases from L1₂-Fe₁Pd₆In₁ to Z3-Fe₂Pd₅In₁ phases showed the larger difference in the formation energies than that of L1₀-Fe₂Pd₂/*bct*-Pd₃In₁ biphasic. Moreover, compared with L1₀-Fe₂Pd₂/*bct*-Pd₃In₁ biphasic, the diffusion paths—corresponding to a frequency factor in Arrhenius equation—with small energy barriers are fewer because the intermediate structures with neighbouring Fe and In atoms are thermodynamically unstable (Table S1). Therefore, the phase stabilities determined by inter-element miscibility suggest that the unfavourable diffusion paths of In and Fe in FePd₃:In NPs require a reductive annealing temperature that is 200 K higher to form the Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ phase.

Conclusions

We propose that the design of the diffusion path is important for experimentally exploring unknown ternary ordered alloys that contain a pair of immiscible elements at lower temperatures. Herein, we designed two types of diffusion paths, that is, introducing In into the FePd₃ alloy (FePd₃:In) and Fe into the In-poor PdIn_x alloy (PdIn_x:Fe) NPs, to investigate the diffusion path-dependent formation mechanism of the Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ phases. Reductive annealing converted FePd₃:In NPs into L1₂-(Fe,In)Pd₃ at 873 K and then into Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ at 1073 K *via* a low-ordered Z3-type structure. In contrast, PdIn_x:Fe NPs were converted into the L1₀-FePd/Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃/*bct*-Pd₃In triphase at 773 K and then into Z3-Fe(Pd,In)₃ at 873 K. It was concluded that the difference in the formation temperature of the Z3 phase depended on whether In and Fe were close to each other during



the diffusion process, considering the low miscibility of Fe with In. We expect that control over the diffusion process is necessary to discover unknown ordered alloy structures containing an immiscible elemental pair.

Author contributions

K. M., R. S., R. T. and T. T. conceived the study. K. M. and R. S. designed the synthesis scheme. K. M. performed the synthesis and characterizations. K. M. and R. S. measured and analyzed the powder XRD patterns obtained at SPring-8. M. K. collected the HAADF-STEM images and EDX elemental maps. K. M. and M. K. conducted the repeated HAADF-STEM and EDX observations of a specific particle. Y. T. calculated the formation energies. K. M., Y. T. and T. T. co-wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed the results and commented on the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the SI. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5sc01754h>.

Acknowledgements

Powder XRD measurements were performed using the BL02B2 beamline at SPring-8 with the approval of the Japan Synchrotron Radiation Research Institute (JASRI) (Proposal No. 2021B1513 (K. M.), 2021B1708 (R. S.), 2022A1068 (R. S.), 2022A1227 (K. M.) and 2022B1848 (K. M.)), which were experimentally supported by Dr S. Kobayashi, Dr Y. Mori and Dr S. Kawaguchi. EDX elemental maps were obtained by Advanced Research Infrastructure and Nanotechnology in Japan, sponsored by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Japan (Proposal No. JPMXP09A21KU0397 (K. M.)). Preparation of annealed samples was supported by Prof. M. Yamauchi (Kyushu University). This work was supported by JST-CREST (Grant No. JPMJCR21B4) (T. T.), JSPS KAKENHI for Scientific Research (S) (Grant No. JP19H05634 and JP24H00053 (T. T.)), Scientific Research (B) (Grant No. JP18H01953 (R. S.)), Challenging Research (Exploratory) (Grant No. JP19K22231 (T. T.) and JP17K19178 (R. S.)) and Early-Career Scientists (Grant No. JP22K14554 (K. M.)). We thank Robert Ireland, PhD, from Edanz (<https://jp.edanz.com/ac>) for editing a draft of this manuscript.

Notes and references

- 1 R. Hoffmann, *Solids and Surfaces: A Chemist's View of Bonding in Extended Structures*, VCH Publisher, 1988.
- 2 D. Wu, K. Kusada, S. M. Aspera, H. Nakanishi, Y. Chen, O. Seo, C. Song, J. Kim, S. Hiroi, O. Sakata, T. Yamamoto,

- S. Matsumura, Y. Nanba, M. Koyama, N. Ogiwara, S. Kawaguchi, Y. Kubota and H. Kitagawa, *ACS Mater. Au*, 2022, **2**, 110.
- 3 K. Matsumoto, R. Sato and T. Teranishi, *Trends Chem.*, 2023, **5**, 201.
- 4 R. V. Chepulskii, S. V. Barabash and A. Zunger, *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.*, 2012, **85**, 144201.
- 5 G. Mettela, Y. A. Sorb, A. Shukla, C. Bellin, V. Svitlyk, M. Mezouar, C. Narayana and G. U. Kulkarni, *Chem. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1485.
- 6 M. Cui, C. Yang, S. Hwang, M. Yang, S. Overa, Q. Dong, Y. Yao, A. H. Brozena, D. A. Cullen, M. Chi, T. F. Blum, D. Morris, Z. Finfrock, X. Wang, P. Zhang, V. G. Goncharov, X. Guo, J. Luo, Y. Mo, F. Jiao and L. Hu, *Sci. Adv.*, 2022, **8**, eabm4322.
- 7 K. Kusada, M. Yamauchi, H. Kobayashi, H. Kitagawa and Y. Kubota, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 15896.
- 8 J. Pirart, A. Front, D. Rapetti, C. Andreazza-Vignolle, P. Andreazza, C. Mottet and R. Ferrando, *Nat. Commun.*, 2019, **10**, 1982.
- 9 P. Quarterman, C. Sun, J. Garcia-Barriocanal, M. DC, Y. Lv, S. Manipatruni, D. E. Nikonov, I. A. Young, P. M. Voyles and J.-P. Wang, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**, 2058.
- 10 A. Janssen, Z. Lyu, M. Figueras-Valls, H.-Y. Chao, Y. Shi, V. Pawlik, M. Chi, M. Mavrikakis and Y. Xia, *Nano Lett.*, 2022, **22**, 3591.
- 11 K. Matsumoto, R. Sato, Y. Tatetsu, R. Takahata, S. Yamazoe, M. Yamauchi, Y. Inagaki, Y. Horibe, M. Kudo, T. Toriyama, M. Auchi, M. Haruta, H. Kurata and T. Teranishi, *Nat. Commun.*, 2022, **13**, 1047.
- 12 T. B. Massalski, H. Okamoto, P. R. Subramanian and L. Kacprzak, *Binary Alloy Phase Diagrams*, ASM International, edn 2, 1990.
- 13 J. E. S. van der Hoeven, T. A. J. Welling, T. A. G. Silva, J. E. van den Reijen, C. L. Fontaine, X. Carrier, C. Louis, A. van Blaaderen and P. E. de Jongh, *ACS Nano*, 2018, **12**, 8467.
- 14 F. Li, Y. Zong, Y. Ma, M. Wang, W. Shang, P. Tao, C. Song, T. Deng, H. Zhu and J. Wu, *ACS Nano*, 2021, **15**, 5284.
- 15 X. Chen, S. Zhang, C. Li, Z. Liu, X. Sun, S. Cheng, D. N. Zakharov, S. Hwang, Y. Zhu, J. Fang, G. Wang and G. Zhou, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2022, **119**, e2117899119.
- 16 K. Matsumoto, R. Sato, T. T. Trinh, N. Sakuma, T. Shoji, M. Haruta, H. Kurata and T. Teranishi, *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2019, **1**, 2598.
- 17 D.-S. Bae, K.-S. Han and J. H. Adair, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2002, **12**, 3117.
- 18 J. P. Liu, K. Elkins, D. Li, V. Nandwana and N. Poudyal, *IEEE Trans. Magn.*, 2006, **42**, 3036.
- 19 H. Kohlmann, A. V. Skripov, A. V. Soloninin and T. J. Udovic, *J. Solid State Chem.*, 2010, **183**, 2461.
- 20 Q. Feng, S. Zhao, Y. Wang, J. Dong, W. Chen, D. He, D. Wang, J. Yang, Y. Zhu, H. Zhu, L. Gu, Z. Li, Y. Liu, R. Yu, J. Li and Y. Li, *J. Am. Soc. Chem.*, 2017, **139**, 7294.

