PCCP

# Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/pccp

# Ab initio and Anion Photoelectron Study of $Au_nRh_m$ (n = 1 - 7, m = 1 - 2) clusters<sup>†</sup>

Fernando Buendía <sup>a</sup>, Marcela R. Beltrán <sup>\*a</sup>, Xinxing Zhang<sup>b</sup>, Gaoxiang Liu<sup>b</sup>, Allyson Buytendyk <sup>b</sup> and Kit Bowen<sup>b</sup>

Received Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXX 20XX First published on the web Xth XXXXXXXX 2015 DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

Anion photoelectron spectroscopy (PES) and ab initio calculations have been used to identify the unique structural, electronic, and magnetic properties of both neutral and anionic binary  $Au_nRh_m$  (n=1-7 and m=1-2) clusters in vacuo. Negative ion photoelectron spectra are presented with electron binding energies measured up to 3.493 eV. We discuss our computational results in the context of the PES experiment, in which the calculated electron affinities and vertical detachment energies are in good agreement with the measured values. Theoretically, we investigate the low-lying energy structures and the spin isomers of each neutral, anionic and cationic species. The PES spectra, binding energies, fragmentation energy, electron affinities, vertical and adiabatic detached energies, HOMO-LUMO (H-L) gaps and vibrational spectra are presented and discussed. Our results show that the characteristic planarity for gold clusters is preserved for many of the bimetallic clusters. This study is therefore compared with the case of pure gold for which ample experimental and theoretical data is available. Both experimental and theoretical results obtained here are compared and discussed with previous theoretical studies on the same systems.

### 1 Introduction

The detailed knowledge of the geometry and the electronic structures of atomic clusters is necessary to understand the evolution of their properties with size toward the macroscopic scale. This aspect is particularly important for systems where novel non-bulk like properties emerge at the nano-scale. For example, one such novel phenomenun is the peculiar catalytic behavior of gold at selective size clusters<sup>1</sup>. Moreover, rhodium, which is well known as a heterogeneous catalyst, exhibits a striking magnetic behavior at the nanoscale  $^{2-6}$ . Additionally, there are many cases where adding a second metal enhances catalytic properties. In light of these observations we undertook a systematic study of bimetallic  $Au_nRh_m$ clusters. The study has been performed for  $Au_nRh_m$ cluster sizes with n=1-7, m=1-2 atoms. Our aim is to unveil their geometric structures as well as their elec-

 $\dagger$  Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [cartesian coordinates for all neutral cation and anionic clusters, a list of coordinates for high energy isomers, and figures for cationic lowest energy structures]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

<sup>a</sup> Instituto de Investigaciones en Materiales,

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México Circ. ext. s/n Apdo. Postal 70-360, C.P. 04510, México D.F. ,

México ; Tel: +52 55 5622 4624; E-mail: mbeltran@unam.mx <sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA. tronic signatures in order to study  $O_2$  and CO adsorption on their surfaces in a future study. With these eventual goal in mind, it is of paramount importance that we fully characterize the isolated (in vacuo) clusters. For this reason, we utilized the combination of photo electron spectroscopy (PES) on the anionic clusters and theory based on density functional theory (DFT). We based our research on recent developments in the area of nano clusters, and the size dependence of their catalytic properties, the latter of which can be achieved by either sizeselecting clusters prior to deposition on well characterized surfaces or by investigating individual clusters in the gas phase<sup>7</sup>. The study of gold clusters is amongst the most active topic in nano science both, from the experimental as well as the theoretical point of view. Nevertheless, the nano alloys formed with gold have been less explored, especially those with late transition elements such as rhodium, where research is needed to reveal their properties, there being only as few such studies to be found in the literature<sup>8,9</sup>. For gold, most experimental work is related to determining its geometric structure and has been performed on charged clusters due to the ease of mass separation and thereby size-selection. These studies give insight into a structural evolution that is completely different than other metals. The most characteristic aspect being its transition from 2- to 3-dimensional structures<sup>10,11</sup> in clusters sizes  $\geq 12$  depending on the charged state. For example, a recent study based on a combined FIR-MPD and ab initio calculations confirms planar structures for up to 8 atom clusters<sup>12</sup>.  $Au_{19}$  and Au<sub>20</sub> have been also experimentally confirmed and are non-planar<sup>13,14</sup>. For the cationic ones, the smallest cluster with a non-planar geometry is Au<sub>8</sub>, while for the anions the crossover between planar and non-planar structures is found around the 12-atoms with co-existing planar and non-planar structures. Experiments on gold in the gas phase have determined their ionization potentials by threshold electron impact ionization<sup>15</sup>. From the theory point of view the small sizes planar structures have been well studied in ref.  $^{1,16-25}$ . From all these studies, both their transition sizes as well as the predicted ground state structures, depend on the theoretical methods used and how relativistic effects and dispersion interaction are considered. In the case of rhodium clusters, studies have been performed to establish their role in catalysis in this size regime<sup>26–33</sup>. Recent photo electron spectroscopy (PES) studies combined with theory as well as joint far-infrared multiple photon dissociation (FIR-MPD) experiments supported by DFT studies on  $Rh_n$  clusters elucidated their geometries for all the neutrals as well as for charged states between n=2-9 cluster sizes  $^{2,32,33}$ . Additionally, the reactivity of CO on  $Rh_n$ clusters has been studied in  $^{26-31}$ . Those studies showed the importance of the precise determination of the geometric structures of transition metal clusters. Another interesting aspect of rhodium concerns its magnetic properties. Experiments show that small rhodium clusters with less than 60 atoms have non-zero magnetic moments  $^{34,35}$ . Theoretically many studies have been performed to determine their electronic structures  $^{2-5}$ . The present considers the study of the electronic and vibrational properties of anions, cations and neutral clusters. The experimental negative ion photo electron spectra are discussed in terms of the theoretical predictions by comparing the calculated electron affinities and vertical detachment energies with the measured values. Their predicted infrared spectra. their magnetic moment, the defragmentation energy and their H-L gaps are discussed. For these purposes, we have explored theoretically not only the lowest energy structures and their spin manifold in all cases (neutral and charged clusters) but also a number of higher energy isomers in order to corroborate the experimental findings.

#### 2 Experiment

Negative ion photoelectron spectroscopy is conducted by crossing a beam of mass selected anions with a fixedfrequency photon beam and energy analyzing the resultant photodetached electrons. The photodetachment process is governed by the energy conserving relationship,  $h\nu = EBE + EKE$ , where  $h\nu$  is the photon energy, EBE is the electron binding energy, and EKE is the electron kinetic energy. Our apparatus has been described previously elsewhere<sup>36,37</sup>. Briefly, the apparatus consists of an ion source, a linear time-of-flight (TOF) mass spectrometer, a photodetachment laser, and a magnetic bottle photoelectron spectrometer (MB-PES). The instrumental resolution of the MB-PES is  $\sim 35 \text{ meV}$  at 1 eV EKE. The third harmonic (355 nm, 3.493 eV) of a Nd:YAG laser was used to photodetach the cluster anions of interest. Photoelectron spectra were calibrated as in references<sup>36,37</sup> against the well known atomic transitions of Cu<sup>-</sup>. In the current study, rhodium gold cluster anions were generated in a laser vaporization disk source. A rhodium gold disk was prepared by pressing the mixed powder under a pressure of  $\sim 170$  MPa. The disk was ablated by a pulsed Nd:YAG laser beam of 532 nm photons. The plasma was cooled by supersonically expanding a plume of helium carrier gas issued from a general pulsed valve with a backing pressure of  $\sim 1$  MPa. The negatively charged clusters were then extracted into our spectrometer for photoelectron spectroscopy studies.

#### 3 Theory

The theoretical part of this study has been performed under the framework of the density functional theory (DFT) approximation. The TURBOMOLE V6.4 package has been used<sup>38</sup>. RI-J method was employed for speeding reasons as it saves time on calculating the Coulomb integrals at each step. We have employed the def2-TZVP basis set (with the associated effective core potential def2ecp)<sup>39</sup> and the exchange and correlation functional proposed by Perdew, Becke and Erzenhoff<sup>40</sup>. The suitability of such type of functionals for the investigation of gold clusters has been widely used in the past and has been discussed previously  $^{41,42}$ . The convergence parameter for the energy in the self-consistent field is  $10^{-6}$  Ha. While the conjugated gradient forces were converged to  $10^{-3}$  Ha/ Bhor, the Hartree energy is converged to  $10^{-6}$ Ha at each cycle. The calculation has been performed under the internal coordinates framework, and no symmetry restrictions have been imposed during the minimization process. Once the structures were properly converged a tolerance of 0.1 Å has been used to elucidate the cluster group symmetry. With this theoretical framework we have produced table 1, which shows our calculated values for the Au-Au, Rh-Rh and Au-Rh dimer distances and frequencies. The calculated harmonic vibrational frequencies have been done initially as a viable tool to verify true low energy landscape minima states and also because this quantity can be compared directly with future experimental (FIR-MPD) spectra on the same systems. The IR spectra are calculated only for the lowest-energy isomers. To calibrate our methodology, we compared calculated IR with experimental FIR-MPD on small gold clusters  $(4-7 \text{ atoms})^{12}$ , and our IR spectra agree very well. We identify the participating species in the experimental PES through the quantitative agreement between the calculated (VDE), from the anion ground state with multiplicity M to neutral species (at the anion geometry) with multiplicity  $M \pm 1$ , with the experimental peaks. To extract this result, we do consider that the ionic cluster has an N number of unpaired spins and therefore a magnetic moment of  $N\mu_B$  and a spin multiplicity of M = N + 1 [The spin magnetic moment is related with the multiplicity as follows 2S + 1 = M]. As an electron is detached, the neutral cluster can have a multiplicity of M + 1 or M - 1, depending upon whether the electron was removed from the minority or majority state. Nevertheless theoretical interpretation of the PES spectra is not necessarily straightforward. As the cluster size increases, more issues need to be taken into consideration when calculating these energies for them to match the experimental data. The ground state structures of the anion and its corresponding neutral not necessarily are the same, in fact they can be quite different. When this occurs it leads to a broad peak in the experimental spectrum. This complicates the interpretation of the PES peak assignments. Another issue to be considered is the presence of several low lying structural isomers and spin multiplicities close in energy at each cluster size. Therefore, the calculations were performed for a number of possible structures for both charged and neutral  $Au_n Rh_m$  clusters (for n = 1-7, m=1-2). We explored all inequivalent positions for the Au and Rh atoms as well. The lowest energy isomers together with their spin multiplicity symmetry group and electronic state are shown in figure 1 for the neutral clusters while the anions are shown in figure 2. Depending on the experimental conditions, more than one isomer and/or spin state can be produced in the beam. Therefore, for a synergistic interpretation of the PES spectra, the calculations must include all allowed spin states and all possible isomeric forms for both the neutral and charged configurations. As will be discussed further, if the calculated energies agree with experimental data, we consider that the ground state geometry and its multiplicity have been identified. In order to calibrate our methodology first of all we compare some of our results with previous theoretical calculations in ref.<sup>8</sup> and with the available experimental data<sup>43,44</sup>, such comparison can be seen in table 1 for Au<sub>2</sub>, Rh<sub>2</sub> and AuRh. When we only have gold, we have a bond length of 2.53 Å. This

compares very well with the 2.47 Å experimental value and is very close to the calculated 2.54 Å in ref.<sup>8</sup>. For rhodium we obtain 2.29 Å which is very similar to the experimental value of 2.28 Å also close to the theoretical calculation of 2.32 Å in ref.<sup>8</sup>. Finally, for the AuRh mix we have calculated a bond length of 2.41 Å, and a vibrational frequency of  $196 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  for which no previous experimental data is available. Our result agrees well with the previously calculated value of 2.52 Å for the bond length and the frequency of  $199 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  in ref.<sup>8</sup>. The calculated bond lengths have been used as initial guess parameter in the symmetry unrestricted and unconstrained search for the lowest minima structures here presented. The Au-Au calculated binding energy compares well with the experimental data, and the fact that is smaller than the Au-Rh binding energy suggests that the rhodium is going to prefer highly coordinated sites.

### 4 Results and Discussion

#### 4.1 Experimental Results

The experimental results for the anion photoelectron spectra of AuRh<sup>-</sup>, Au<sub>2</sub>Rh<sup>-</sup>, AuRh<sup>-</sup><sub>2</sub>, Au<sub>2</sub>Rh<sup>-</sup><sub>2</sub> have been recorded at 355 nm photon energy and are presented as a function of EBE in figure 3. The energy difference between the ground state of the anion and the ground state of its neutral is defined as the electron affinity (EA). Computationally the adiabatic detachment energy (ADE) which is defined as the energy difference between the ground state (GS) of the anion and the neutral relaxed to its nearest local minimum. If the neutrals nearest local minimum is also the global minimum, ADE and EA are actually the same values. The vertical transition between anion ground state and the neutral cluster at the geometry of the anion (GA) is defined as the vertical detachment energy (VDE), and it corresponds to the first EBE peak in each spectrum. The VDE is the most reliable experimental value with which to compare with theory, they are calculated according to:

$$ADE = E_{GS}(Au_nRh_m)^- - E_{GS}(Au_nRh_m)$$

$$VDE = E_{GS}(Au_nRh_m)^- - E_{GA}(Au_nRh_m)$$

Experimentally the PES for AuRh<sup>-</sup>, Au<sub>2</sub>Rh<sup>-</sup>, AuRh<sup>-</sup><sub>2</sub>, Au<sub>2</sub>Rh<sup>-</sup><sub>2</sub> have been obtained and are shown in figure 3. The PES recorded for AuRh<sup>-</sup> is shown in figure 3(a). The experimental EBE peak is at 1.40 eV which agrees

reasonably well with the calculated value of 1.38 eV (see column 3 in table 2). The experimental EA is located at about 1.31 eV, while our calculated value is 1.33, again very close to the experimental finding and suggesting that the structure corresponds to the dimer shown in figure 1 (1.a) with symmetry group  $C_{\infty v}$ . Its electronic state corresponds to  ${}^{3}E_{2}$ . The second peak, located at 1.96 eV, is 0.56 eV after the first peak, which is in great consistency with the calculated H-L gap, 0.57 eV (See table 3). The second experimental PES for  $Au_2Rh^-$  is that shown in figure 3 (b) where it can be seen that the EBE peak is located at 3.33 eV. Our predicted VDE value is 3.34 eV, with a very good agreement with the experimental finding. Experimentally, the measured EA is located at 2.6 eV while the calculated one is found to be at 2.64 eV, again in good agreement. This confirms that it corresponds to the triangular structure shown in figure 1 (1.b) with symmetry group  $C_{2v}$  and with an electronic state  $^{2}B_{2}$ . For the case of the AuRh<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> which is shown in figure 3(c) the EBE peak is located at 1.6 eV while our theoretical result is 1.57 as is shown column 3 in table 2. The experimental ADE is 1.4 eV, while the calculated one is located at 1.5 eV. This confirms that it corresponds to the triangular structure shown in figure 1 (2.a) which also has a symmetry group  $C_{2v}$  and an electronic state of  ${}^{4}B_{2}$ . There are more features on the high EBE end in figure 3(c), which are due to the transitions to higher states of the neutral. The last experimental PES spectrum is the one corresponding to Au  $_2Rh_2^-$  and it is shown in figure 3(d). Even though it is noisy, we speculate that the EBE peak is located at 2.5 eV, and the theoretical prediction is found to be 2.47 eV, which is in good agreement. The EA corresponds to 2.1 eV and the theoretical prediction is 2.1 eV, (table 2) confirming the bent rhombus structure shown in figure 1 (2.b) with symmetry group  $C_{2v}$ and with the electronic state of  ${}^{5}B_{1}$ , we speculated that the second peak is located at 3.04 eV, 0.54 eV after the first peak, which is also in good consistency with the calculated H-L gap, 0.45 eV. (See table 3). For the clusters that could be confirmed experimentally we observe the largest difference between the ADE and VDE is for the  $Au_2Rh$  cluster case where there is a marked geometry change from the anionic to the neutral ground state (see figure 1 1.b for the neutral versus figure 2 1.b for the anionic one). The calculated ADE and VDE values for the larger clusters corresponding to  $Au_nRh_m$  clusters (n = 3-7, m=1-2) were only obtained by means of simulations. The procedure we followed for the theoretical assignment has been discussed at length in section 3. All of our predicted VDE and ADE results are listed in columns 2 and 3 in table 2. The ionization potential defined as the energy difference between the cationic state and the

$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\omega_e(\mathbf{c})$	$cm^{-1})$	$r_e(\mathring{A})$	$E_b(eV)$
	Exp.Th	neo. <sup><i>a</i></sup> Res.Exp.	Theo. <sup><i>a</i></sup> Res.E	xp. Theo. <sup><i>a</i></sup> Res.
AuRh  -   199   196   -   2.52   2.50   -   2.64   2.41	$\begin{array}{c c c} \operatorname{Au}_2 & 191^b & 1\\ \operatorname{Rh}_2 & 267^c & 2\\ \operatorname{AuRh} & & 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c c} 170 & 171 & 2.47^b \\ 289 & 283 & 2.28^c \\ 199 & 196 & \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} 29^b & 2.30 & 2.23 \\ - & 2.90 & 2.67 \\ - & 2.64 & 2.41 \end{array}$

<sup>b</sup> Previous experimental values see Ref. <sup>43</sup>

<sup>c</sup> Previous experimental values see Ref. <sup>44</sup>

**Table 2** Calculated adiabatic detachment energies, vertical detachment energies, ionization potentials, binding energies per atom, first and second derivatives of the energy for  $Au_nRh_m$  (n=1-7,m = 1-2) clusters, respectively. All units are given in eV.

Cluster	ADE	VDE	IP	$E_b/atom$	$\Delta E$	$\Delta^2 E$
AuRh	1.33	1.38	8.44	1.20	2.41	0.51
Au <sub>2</sub> Rh	2.64	3.34	7.37	1.43	1.90	-1.37
Au <sub>3</sub> Rh	2.18	2.35	7.70	1.68	2.41	0.33
$Au_4Rh$	2.55	2.76	7.13	1.76	2.08	-0.47
Au <sub>5</sub> Rh	2.65	2.72	7.02	1.93	2.75	0.18
Au <sub>6</sub> Rh	2.64	2.87	7.70	2.02	2.81	-0.84
Au <sub>7</sub> Rh	2.91	3.08	7.08	2.01	1.84	
AuRh <sub>2</sub>	1.52	1.57	7.00	1.86	2.31	-0.16
$Au_2Rh_2$	2.15	2.47	7.15	1.99	2.48	0.32
$Au_3Rh_2$	2.35	2.53	7.07	2.02	2.15	-0.53
$Au_4Rh_2$	2.54	2.59	7.59	2.13	2.68	0.46
$Au_5Rh_2$	2.78	2.99	7.10	2.14	2.21	-0.44
$Au_6Rh_2$	2.57	2.61	6.68	2.17	2.39	

neutral is depicted in column 4 table 2. This quantity is much larger in our clusters than that observed in the bulk phase. It is generally used as a parameter to measure the stability of a given species, therefore we can argue that the results here presented are plausible for mass production and therefore good candidates for future applications as the IP's reported are rather large.

# 4.2 Theoretical Results

# 4.2.1 $Au_nRh$

The calculated ground state structures for  $Au_nRh_m$ (n = 1 - 7, m = 1, 2) for the neutral clusters are shown in figure 1, while the anions are shown in figure 2, both with their corresponding group symmetry and electronic states. The first thing to notice, is that all neutral as well as charged clusters are planar for  $Au_nRh n = 1 - 6$ with the exception of the  $Au_7Rh$  which is 3-D but its corresponding planar structure is only 0.02 eV above. This result is different that the one obtained by Yang



Fig. 1 Atomic  $Au_nRh_m$  neutral clusters for n=1-7 and m= 1-2 atoms with their corresponding symmetry group and electronic state.





Fig. 2 Atomic  $Au_nRh_m$  anionic clusters for n=1-7 and m= 1-2 atoms with their corresponding symmetry group and electronic state.



Fig. 3 Photo Electron Spectra for  $Au_nRh_m^-$  clusters for n=1-2 and m= 1-2 atoms.

Ji-Xian et. al. in ref.<sup>8</sup> as he finds a three dimensional ground state geometry for Au<sub>5</sub>Rh. This same structure when subjected to our methodology is higher in energy by 0.16 eV with respect to our lowest (planar) structure which depicted in figure 1 (1.e). Finally we remark that this structure when negatively charged (anionic) is indeed non-planar (see figure 2 (1.e)) agreeing well with the structure found in ref.<sup>8</sup>. In general terms we found that the energy difference between planar and non-planar structures decreases as number of gold atoms increases in the cluster. These (planar-(non-planar)) energy differences for the neutral clusters are shown graphically in figure 4. Another interesting finding is that the most stable cluster structures occur for the case when an even number of gold atoms is present and it is correlated with the fact that the atom positions are very specific. The rhodium atom always lies in a highly coordinated site, this can also be correlated with the considerable charge transfer towards the rhodium atom from its surrounding neighbors. This charge transfer tends to increase with the cluster size i.e. number of gold atoms. Graphically this can be seen in figure 5 for neutral clusters. An interesting point to notice is the parallelism between the well known planar characteristic of pure gold clusters for sizes 2-13 atoms versus the geometries of the gold rhodium mix structures, for up to 7 atoms which are also planar. The planarity is broken for Au<sub>7</sub>Rh, nevertheless its ((non-planar)-planar) energy difference is very small -0.02 eV (see figure 4). This energy is smaller than the  $k_BT$  thermal energy given by the room temperature, therefore it is to be expected While its equivalent geometric structure to coexist.  $(Au_8)$  has a much larger ((non-planar)-planar) energy difference of 0.1 eV per atom<sup>7</sup>. The arguments here exposed regarding their stability is supported by both, the analysis of the first and second derivative of the energy which have been calculated as:

$$\Delta E(Au_nRh_m) = E(Au_{n-1}Rh_m) + E(Au) - E(Au_nRh_m)$$
$$\Delta^2 E(Au_nRh_m) = 2E(Au_nRh_m) - E(Au_{n+1}Rh)$$
$$-E(Au_{n-1}Rh_m).$$

Table 3 shows the multiplicity for the clusters here studied in columns 2 and 3. For clarity the trend of the magnetic moment is shown in figure 6, we found that it oscillates with the number of gold atoms in the mix being enhanced for even numbered in the case of the anionic clusters. The same result applies for the odd numbered clusters in the case of the neutral clusters. The stability of the clusters increases for those who depict higher multiplicity, this correlates with larger gaps as can be seen in columns 4 and 5 in table 3. We perform a study of the stability by means of the defragmentation energy which we calculate according to the following formulae:

$$Au_nRh_m + \Delta E \rightarrow Au_nRh_{m-1} + Rh$$
  
 $Au_nRh_m + \Delta E \rightarrow Au_{n-1}Rh_m + Au.$ 

The defragmentation energy analysis shows that the energy required to dissociate one rhodium atom is larger than the necessary to dissociate a gold atom. We found that  $Au_5Rh$  is the most stable structure as the biggest defragmentation energy is required to remove the rhodium from this cluster. We remark that this structure is equivalent to the one proposed for  $Au_6$ . As a general trend we can observe in table 3 that the defragmentation energy from the structures with an even number of atoms are more stable than the odd numbered ones. Finally we show in figures 7 and 8, the Infra Red (IR) simulated spectra corresponding to the ground state neutral and anionic clusters corresponding to the structures shown in figures 1 and 2, respectively. The intensities of the bands in the IR spectra are normalized to the largest peak.

**Table 3** Cluster structure, multiplicity for both anionic and neutral clusters, HOMO-LUMO gaps and defragmentation energy (in election volts) for  $Au_nRh_m$  (n = 1 - 7, m = 1, 2)

Cluster	M = Anion	2S+1 Neutral	H-L Anion	(GAP) Neutral	D. Rh	E. Au
AuRh	4	3	0.31	0.57	2.41	2.41
$Au_2Rh$	3	2	0.48	0.35	2.08	1.90
$Au_3Rh$	2	3	0.33	0.48	3.39	2.41
$Au_4Rh$	3	2	0.47	0.22	3.02	2.08
$Au_5Rh$	2	3	0.29	0.46	3.65	2.75
$Au_6Rh$	3	2	0.06	0.65	3.26	2.81
Au <sub>7</sub> Rh	2	1	0.73	0.64	3.60	1.85
$AuRh_2$	5	4	0.26	0.27	3.07	2.31
$Au_2Rh_2$	4	5	0.21	0.45	3.65	2.48
$Au_3Rh_2$	5	6	0.27	0.73	3.40	2.15
$Au_4Rh_2$	4	5	0.27	0.38	4.00	2.68
$Au_5Rh_2$	5	4	0.37	0.31	3.43	2.21
$\mathrm{Au}_6\mathrm{Rh}_2$	4	3	0.21	0.23	3.22	2.39

#### 4.2.2 $Au_nRh_2$

The ground state structures for  $Au_nRh_2$  (for n=1-7) clusters are shown in figure 1 (2.a-f) with their corresponding group symmetry and electronic state. The first thing to

Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics Accepted Manuscript

notice is that opposed to the case for the clusters containing only one rhodium atom which are planar, the structures with two rhodium atoms are all three dimensional, with the exception of  $Au_4Rh_2$  shown in figure 1(2.d), which is very similar to the pure gold cluster with six atoms<sup>7</sup>. Nevertheless, despite being three dimensional one can see that the gold atoms tend to remain in one plane, while the rhodium atoms are displaced from it. Another thing we can remark is the fact that in the process of the cluster formation, rhodium binds preferably to another rhodium atom, this can be explained due to the difference in magnitude between Rh-Rh > Au-Rh >Au-Au binding energies. This can be seen clearly in the case of  $Au_3Rh_2$  in figure 1(2.c) where the gold atoms do not bind amongst themselves but always to the rhodium atoms. And it also explains why all  $Au_nRh_2$  structures have many group symmetry operations with respect to the axis formed between the two rhodium atoms, in particular they all have a mirror plane symmetry. Curiously enough the two rhodium atoms clusters energy difference between the non-planar and planar structures decreases with the number of gold atoms (with the exception of  $Au_4Rh_2$  where the non-planar structure is higher in energy). This is imprinted by the well known gold relativistic effects. With regard to their magnetic properties the addition of one rhodium atom contributes to their total magnetization with  $2\mu_B$ . The magnetic behavior with the addition of gold atoms is similar to the one observed in the anionic clusters in the previous section for the  $Au_nRh$ clusters. However the magnetic moment for the neutral clusters oscillates in a bigger range of values and is consistent with the energy HOMO-LUMO difference as can be seen in table 3. Table 3 also shows the defragmentation energy for all neutral clusters here investigated. One can notice that once more, that the dissociative energy for rhodium is larger than for gold, in all cases, this reinforces the idea that rhodium binds more strongly than gold, and explains why rhodium binds to itself and also why it always remains in the center of the clusters. We remark from table 3 the fact that  $Au_4Rh_2$  has the largest defragmentation energy and has also a structure similar to that of  $Au_6$  and incidentally to  $Au_5Rh$ . This strange coincidence may be caused by the fact that they all have the same number of electrons in the last s-orbital. Their IR spectra is shown in figures: 7 for the neutral clusters and 8 for the anions. Their peaks are constantly shifted to the left when we compare them with the IR's corresponding to the one rhodium atom case structures. This is due to the differences in mass and also to the binding energy increase (see column 6 in table 2) in the latter.

# 5 Conclusions

We have performed a comprehensive study of the energetic, structural, electronic, and magnetic properties of charged and neutral  $Au_nRh_m$  (m=1-7, n=1-2) clusters, by using a synergistic approach which combined anion photoelectron spectroscopy and DFT based theoretical calculations. The total energy calculations quantitatively account for the photodetachment spectra and validates that the ground state structures and their magnetic moment had been univocally identified. ADE, VDE, binding energy, defragmentation energy, IR spectra, as well as the HOMO-LUMO gaps have also been calculated for these structures. The stability and dependence of these properties on the cluster sizes have been analyzed and discussed. We have found that  $Au_nRh$  are planar for up to 7 atoms while for  $Au_nRh_2$  the structures are definitively not planar with the exception of  $Au_4R_2$  which shows very similar characteristics to both Au<sub>6</sub> and Au<sub>5</sub>Rh. Another striking result is the magnetic moment which seems to remain unaffected with the addition of gold atoms in the mix. We find that the structures are more stable when they contain an even number of atoms. This a generalization of the result found earlier by Yang Ji-Xian et. al. ref.<sup>8</sup>. We have shown here how the combined use of an experimental technique (PES) and electronic structure theory can provide detailed information about the structures of binary clusters of gold and late transition metal clusters.

# Acknowledgments

M.R.B. and F.B.Z. acknowledge the financial support from PAPIIT IN110112, and IN100515 UNAM project, and from DGSCA UNAM. Some calculations were performed at the supercomputer center in UNAM. F.B.Z. acknowledges support from CONACYT (financial support No. 227089), and to the IIM-UNAM (Instituto de Investigaciones en Materiales, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México). This material is based in part on work supported by the (US) National Science Foundation under Grant No. CHE-1360692 (KHB).

# References

- 1 H. Häkkinen and U. Landman, Phys. Rev., 2000, B 62 R2287.
- 2 M.R. Beltrán, F. Buendía, V. Chauhan, P. Sen, H. Wang, Y. J. Ko and L. Bowen, European J. of Phys. D., 2013, 67, 63.

- 3 B. V. Reddy, S. N. Khanna and B. I. Dunlap. Phys. Rev. Lett., 1993, 70, 3323.
- 4 B. V. Reddy, S. K. Nayak, S. N. Khanna, B. K. Rao and P. Jena. Phys. Rev. B, 1999, 59, 5214.
- 5 Y-C. Bae, H. Osanai, V. Kumar and Y. Kawazoe. Phys. Rev. B, 2004, 70, 195413.
- 6 M. Eichelbaum, K. Rademann, A. Hoell, D.M. Tatchev, W. Weigel, R. Stober, and G. Pachioni, Nanotechnology, 2008, 19, 135701.
- 7 Li Xao, Bethany Tollberg, Xiankui Hu, Lichang Wang, J. Chem. Phys. 2006, 124, 114309.
- 8 Yang Ji-Xian, Wei Cheng-Fuand Guo Jian-Jun, Physica B, 2010, 405, 4892.
- 9 Ruth L. Chantry, Ivailo Atanasov, Sarah L. Horswell, Z.Y. Li, and Roy L. Johnston, Gold Clusters, Colloids and Nanoparticles, Structe and Bonding, 162, 162: 6790, Springer International Publishing Switzerland, 2014.
- 10 D. Schooss, P. Weis, O. Hampe and M. M. Kappes, Philos. T. R. Soc., 2010, A 368, 1211.
- 11 L.-M. Wang and L.-S. Wang, Nanoscale, 2012, 4, 4038.
- 12 Phillip Gruene, Burkhard Butschke, Honathan T. Lyon, David M. Rayner, and Andre Fielicke, Z. Phys. Chem., 2014, 0480.
- 13 P. Gruene, D.M. Rayner, B. Redlich, A.F. G. van der Meer, J. T. Lyon, G. Meijer, and A. Fielicke, Science, 2008, 321, 674.
- 14 L. M. Ghiringhelli, P. Gruene, L.T. Lyon, D. M. Rayner, G. Meijer, A. Fielicke and M. Scheffler, New J. Phys., 2013, 15, 083003.
- 15 J. Jackschath, I. Rabin, and W. Schulze, Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem., 1992, 96, 1200.
- 16 G. Bravo-Pérez, I.L. Garzón, and O. Novaro, J. Mol. Struc. TEOCHEM, 1999, 493, 225.
- 17 H. Grönbeck and W. Andreoni, Chem. Phys., 2000, 262, 1.
- 18 V. Bonacic-Koutecký, J. Burda, R. Mitric, M. Ge, G. Zampella, and P. Fantucci, J. Chem. Phys., 2002, 117, 3120.
- 19 E. M. Fernandez, J.M. Soler, I.L. Garzón, L. C. Balbás, Phys. Rev. B, 2004, 70, 165403.
- 20 F. Remacle and E. S. Kryachko, J. Chem. Phys., 2005, 122, 044304.
- 21 B. Assadollahzadeth and P. Schwerdtfeger, J. Chem. Phys., 2009, 131, 064306.
- 22 H. M. Lee and K. S. Kim, Chem. Eur. J., 2012, 18, 13203.
- 23 D. A. Götz, R. Schäfer and P. Schwerdtfeger, J. Comput. Chem., 2013, 34, 1975.

- 24 J. Wang, G. Wang, and J. Zhao, Phys. Rev., 2002, B 66, 035418.
- 25 R. M. Olson, S. Varganov, M. S. Gordon, H. Metiu, S. Chretien, P. Piecuch, K. Kowalski, S. A. Kucharski, and M. Musial, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 1049.
- 26 P. Ghosh, R. Pushpa, S. de Gironcoli and S. Narasimhan. J Chem. Phys., 2008, 128, 194708.
- 27 S. M. Hamilton, W. S. Hopkins, D. J. Harding, T. R. Walsh, P. Gruene, M.Haertelt, A. Fielicke, G. Meijer and S. Mackenzie, Jour. of the Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 1448.
- 28 S. M. Hamilton, W. S. Hopkins, D. J. Harding, T. R. Walsh, M.Haertelt, C. Kerpal, P. Gruene, G. Meijer, A. Fielicke, and S. Mackenzie, Jour. of Phys. Chem. A, 2011, 115, 2489; A. Fielicke, G von Helden, G. Meijer, D. B. Pedersen, B. Simard and D. M. Rayner, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2004, 108, 14591.
- 29 A. Fielicke, P. Gruene, G. Mejier and D. M. Rayner, Surface Science, 2009, 603, 1427.
- 30 X. Li, A. Grubisic, S.T. Stokes, J. Cordes, G.F. Gantefoer, K.H. Bowen, B. Kiran, M. Willis, P. Jena, R. Burgert, H. Schnoeckel, Science, 2007, 315, 356.
- 31 H. Wang, Y. J. Ko, L. G. García, P. Sen, M. R. Beltrán and K. H. Bowen, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2011, 13, 7685.
- 32 D. J. Harding, T. R. Walsh, S. M. Hamilton, W. S. Hopkins, S. R. Mackenzie, P. Gruene, M. Haertelt, G. Meijer and A. Fielicke, J. of Chem. Phys., 2010, 132, 011101-1.
- 33 D. J. Harding, P. Gruene, M. Haertelt, G. Meijer, A. Fielicke, S. M. Hamilton, W. S. Hopkins, S. R. Mackenzie, S. P. Neville and T. R. Walsh, J. of Chem. Phys., 2010, 133, 214304.
- 34 A. J. Cox, J. G. Louderback and L. A. Bloomfield. Phys. Rev. Lett., 1993, 71, 923.
- 35 A. J. Cox, J. G. Louderback, S. E. Apsel and L. A. Bloomfield. Phys. Rev. B, 1994, 49, 12295.
- 36 M. Gerhards, O.C. Thomas, J.M. Nilles, W.J. Zheng, K.H. Bowen, J. Chem. Phys. 2002, 116, 10247.
- 37 D.G. Leopold, J. Ho, W.C. Lineberger, J. Chem. Phys. 1987, 86, 1715.
- 38 R. Ahlrichs, M. Bar, M. Haser, H. Horn and C. Kolmel, Chem. Phys. Lett., 1989, 162, 165.
- 39 F. Weigend and R. Ahlrichs, Phys. Chem/ Chem. Phys., 2005, 7, 3297.
- 40 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1996, 77, 3865.
- 41 M.P. Johansson, A. Lechtken, D. Schoos, M. M. Kappes, and F. Furche, Phys. Rev. A, 2008, 77, 053202.

10 | Journal Name, 2010, **[vol]**, 1–16

- 42 M. Mantina, R. Valero, and D.G. Truhlar, J. Chem. Phys., 2009, 131, 064706.
- 43 K.A. Gingerich, D.L. Cocke, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1972, 1, 536.
- 44 K.P. Huber, G. Herzberg, in: Molecular Spectra and Molecular structure IV. Constants of Diatomic Molecules, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, New York, 1979, 198.





Fig. 4 Energy difference between the lowest planar and non-planar neutral  $Au_nRh_m$  cluster structures given in eV.



Fig. 5 Charge transfer calculated on neutral  $Au_nRh_m$  clusters towards the rhodium atoms according to the Mulliken approximation.





Fig. 6 Total magnetic moment as the number of gold atoms increases for  $Au_nRh_m$  neutral clusters

14 | Journal Name, 2010, **[vol]**, 1–16

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry [year]



Fig. 7 Infra red spectra for  $Au_nRh_m$  (n = 1 - 7, m = 1, 2) neutral clusters in arbitrary units (The vertical axis is referred to the maximum peak intensity).



Fig. 8 Infra red spectra for  $Au_nRh_m$  (n = 1 - 7, m = 1, 2) anionic clusters in arbitrary units (The vertical axis is referred to the maximum peak intensity).