ChemComm



Photochemical CO₂ Reduction with Mononuclear and Dinuclear Rhenium Catalysts Bearing a Pendant Anthracene Chromophore

Journal:	ChemComm
Manuscript ID	CC-COM-11-2018-009155.R1
Article Type:	Communication

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

ChemComm



COMMUNICATION

Photochemical CO₂ Reduction with Mononuclear and Dinuclear Rhenium Catalysts Bearing a Pendant Anthracene Chromophore

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx Nalaka P. Liyanage,^a Weiwei Yang,^a Stephen Guertin,^b Sayontani Sinha Roy,^a Casey A. Carpenter,^a Rebecca E. Adams,^b Russel H. Schmehl,^{*b} Jared H. Delcamp^{*a} and Jonah W. Jurss^{*a}

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

A series of anthracene-substituted mononuclear and dinuclear rhenium complexes have been studied for photocatalytic CO_2 reduction. The effects on catalytic activity of one *versus* two covalently-linked active sites, their relative proximity to one another, and the pendant organic chromophore are discussed.

Finding a sustainable energy conversion strategy is an urgent scientific and technological challenge given our reliance on non-renewable fossil fuels as a primary energy source coupled with increasing global energy demand.¹ In particular, the development of photocatalysts for CO₂ reduction to carbon-based fuels is attractive for harnessing solar energy with long-term storage in the form of chemical bonds.^{2,3} In 1983, Lehn and co-workers reported a single component photocatalyst for CO₂ conversion with general structure ReX(α -diimine)(CO)₃ (where X is Cl⁻ or Br⁻).⁴ The Re system continues to attract attention as it is a rare example of a complex that plays the role of *both* light absorber and CO₂ reducing catalyst.

We have recently reported the electrocatalytic activity of welldefined dinuclear rhenium catalysts supported by a rigid anthracenebased polypyridyl ligand.⁵ A side-by-side investigation of the *cis* and *trans* conformers revealed key differences in mechanism for CO₂ reduction to CO, consistent with single-site and cooperative bimetallic pathways contingent on the relative orientation of metal active sites with respect to the anthracene bridge. In the *cis* conformer *cis*-Re₂Cl₂, the rhenium sites are proximal and have an appropriate intermetallic distance for cooperative CO₂ activation and conversion. Indeed, electrocatalytically, *cis*-Re₂Cl₂ outperformed its *trans* counterpart *trans*-Re₂Cl₂ with both dinuclear catalysts possessing higher turnover frequencies (TOFs) and comparable Faradaic efficiencies relative to Re(bpy)(CO)₃Cl.⁵

For photocatalytic applications, the presence of two metal centers may enable more efficient accumulation of reducing



Figure 1. Structures of Re photocatalysts studied for CO₂ reduction.

equivalents for improved CO₂ conversion.⁶ Dinuclear rhenium catalysts, tethered together by flexible alkyl chains linking two bipyridines, have been reported for photocatalytic CO₂ reduction.^{7,8} These catalysts were shown to outperform their mononuclear parent catalyst with greater durability and higher TOFs observed as the alkyl chain was shortened. However, this approach lacks structural integrity and samples indiscrete conformations in solution. Other Re₂ catalysts have not been studied photocatalytically or feature ligand scaffolds that preclude intramolecular bimetallic CO₂ activation and conversion.⁹⁻¹¹ Thus the desirable design parameters surrounding this approach remain poorly understood.

In general, plausible pathways for accelerated catalysis with a dinuclear complex relative to a mononuclear analogue include: (1) cooperative catalysis in which both metal centers are involved in substrate activation and conversion, or (2) one site acting as an efficient, covalently-linked photosensitizer to the second site performing CO₂ reduction. In the dual active site mechanism (1), an intramolecular pathway may exist involving cooperative binding of the substrate where CO₂ is bridged between rhenium centers, potentially increasing the basicity of CO₂ and the rate of catalysis by facilitating C-O bond cleavage and the elimination of water in subsequent steps. Intramolecular CO₂ binding between metal centers is only expected for *cis*-Re₂Cl₂ since CO₂ is geometrically inhibited from interacting with both rhenium centers in *trans*-Re₂Cl₂.

For the photosensitizer pathway (2), it is generally accepted that $Re(bpy)(CO)_3CI$ functions through a bimolecular pathway where one complex operates as a photosensitizer (PS) and a second complex operates initially as a photocatalyst for the first reduction, then as an electrocatalyst which receives the second electron needed for the $2e^-$ reduction of CO_2 to CO from a reduced PS in solution.⁶ In this

^a Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Mississippi, University, MS 38677, USA. E-mail: delcamp@olemiss.edu, jwjurss@olemiss.edu

^{b.} Department of Chemistry, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA 70118, USA. E-mail: russ@tulane.edu

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

COMMUNICATION

pathway, *cis*-Re₂Cl₂ and *trans*-Re₂Cl₂ are predicted to have superior reactivity to mononuclear derivatives since both dinuclear systems could utilize one Re site as a PS and the second as the catalyst, thereby increasing the relative concentration of PS near the active site. This effect would be most dramatic at low concentrations where the intermolecular reaction necessary for the monomers would be slow. Higher durability at low concentrations is known for photocatalyst systems; however, most rely on a high PS loading to keep the effective concentration of PS high in the dilute solution.^{12,13} The approach pursued here may enable a high rate of reactivity to be retained at low concentrations while promoting increased durability.

In addition, sufficiently long-lived excited states are required for efficient solar-to-chemical energy conversion, where longer lifetimes result in more efficient excited-state quenching to generate catalytic species. One avenue to more persistent excited states in metal polypyridine complexes is to link them with organic chromophores possessing long-lived triplet excited states where decay pathways are strongly forbidden.¹⁴⁻¹⁹ Anthryl substituents have served effectively as the organic component in a variety of systems.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ Important light absorption and excited-state decay pathways of such systems are depicted in **Scheme 1** where excited states are ultimately funnelled downhill by internal conversion and/or intersystem crossing to the anthracene-based triplet excited state.

Beyond anthracene's potential to act as a triplet excited-state acceptor, a rigid scaffold for promoting cooperative bimetallic reactivity, and its impact on reduced species as a large π -system with extended delocalization, the anthracene moiety also functions as a sterically bulky group that may inhibit deleterious intermolecular catalyst deactivation pathways. Indeed, an iridium-based single-component photocatalyst bearing an anthracene substituent was recently reported for CO₂ reduction with improved stability.²⁰ In this context, we report the photocatalytic activity and photophysical properties of the dinuclear Re complexes shown in **Figure 1**. For comparison, experiments employing the same set of conditions were also performed in parallel with mononuclear chromophore/catalysts Re(bpy)(CO)₃Cl and **anthryl-Re** to disentangle the effect of more than one metal active site as well as the possible involvement of the pendant organic chromophore in photocatalytic activity (**Figure 1**).

For photosensitizer-free catalysis, the rhenium catalysts are initially photoexcited directly into their long wavelength metal-toligand charge transfer (MLCT) absorption. This is followed by reductive quenching in the presence of a sacrificial donor to form a



Scheme 1. Light absorption and excited-state electron transfer pathways in Re compounds with pendant anthracene.²¹

ChemComm

ligand-centered radical anion. With Re(bpy)(CO)₃Cl, the ligandcentered anion is delocalized on the bpy ligand prior to Cl⁻ dissociation.^{6,22} In the Re₂Cl₂ complexes, the Re(bpy) units are in weak electronic communication *via* the anthracene backbone (comproportionation constants (K_c) of 50 were determined electrochemically for each conformer),⁵ which could facilitate intramolecular electron transfer between active sites.

As will be shown below, direct irradiation of the Re complexes in the presence of a sacrificial electron donor leads to CO2 reduction to CO. While photocatalysis was expected, the nature of the lowest energy excited state is *different* for the catalysts bearing anthracene versus Re(bpy)(CO)₃Cl. In deaerated solutions (N₂) all three anthracene containing complexes exhibit luminescence in the yellow/red spectral region as well as structured emission at longer wavelengths (Figure S1). The lifetime of the yellow/red emission is approximately 400 ns for the monometallic anthryl-Re complex, and 200 ns for both bimetallic Re complexes. The long wavelength emission (with vibronic components having maxima at 688-700 nm and 764-772 nm) has a double exponential decay with one component being 6-15 µs and the other 23-90 µs (Table S1). This luminescence is completely quenched in the presence of O₂ and, given the energy, lifetime and O₂ quenching behavior, this emission almost certainly arises from an anthracene-localized triplet state obtained following energy transfer from the ³MLCT excited state.²³ This behavior is similar to reported metal polypyridine photosensitizers with pendant anthracene (or pyrene) functionality.¹⁷⁻¹⁹

It is not clear for these systems how the anthracene-localized triplet reacts with the BIH electron donor to generate the reduced Re complex (quenching data is shown in Figure S2). Reduction of the anthracene-localized triplet ($E_0 \sim 1.8 \text{ eV}$) by BIH to generate the anthracene anion / BIH cation pair is thermodynamically uphill by at least 0.21 V (eq 1, **Scheme 1**).

Anthracene + $e^- \rightleftharpoons$ (Anthracene) ⁻	$E_{(AN/AN-)} = -2.25 \text{ V vs Fc}^{+/0}$	(1a)
³ (Anthracene) \rightarrow Anthracene	$E_{\rm g}^{\rm opt} \sim 1.8 \; {\rm eV}$	(1b)

 $E_{(BIH+/BIH)} = -0.24 V^{24}$ BIH 与 $BIH^+ + e^-$ (1c) For the reduced Re/oxidized BIH pair, the energy is 1.26 V above the ground state (Scheme 1) and is therefore accessible from the anthracene triplet (at 1.8 eV), but involves electron transfer between the Re complex and the BIH while using the energy of the anthracene triplet. Note that an intramolecular photoredox reaction between the anthracene triplet and the Re center ($E_{\rm (AN+/AN)}$ \sim 0.8 V; $E_{(\text{Re(bpy)/Re(bpv-)})} \sim -1.5 \text{ V}$) is also endergonic. Regardless of mechanism, these data clearly indicate that photoexcitation of the three anthryl Re complexes results in an excited state that is largely localized on the anthracene as a triplet. Excited-state electron transfer between this triplet and BIH occurs (k_q $\sim 1\text{-}5~x~10^7~M^{-1}s^{-1}$ for the three complexes) to produce the reduced complex/oxidized BIH pair that, following decomposition of the BIH cation radical, leaves the reduced Re complex to react with CO2. Transient absorption spectroscopy shows that the 1e- reduced Re complexes formed by BIH photoreduction under Ar or CO₂ atmosphere exhibit no reaction over the first ~1 ms (Figure 2). This clearly shows that disproportionation and reaction with CO₂ do not occur on this time scale.

Having found that anthracene plays a significant role in the photochemistry of cis-Re₂Cl₂, trans-Re₂Cl₂, and anthryl-Re, we turned to photocatalytic CO₂ reduction in the presence of BIH to understand how a dinuclear approach affects catalysis in a rigidified

ChemComm



Figure 2. Transient absorption spectra at specified times after pulsed laser excitation (λ_{ex} = 355 nm) of **trans-Re₂Cl₂** in DMF under Ar purge (top) and **trans-Re₂Cl₂** with BIH (0.01 M) under CO₂ purge (bottom). No reaction of the one-electron reduced **trans-Re₂Cl₂** complex with CO₂ is evident in the first 800 µs following excitation.

framework. Photocatalysis was conducted with a solar simulated spectrum and CO was the only product observed by headspace GC analysis (Figures S5 and S6).

Concentration effects were studied for all catalysts given the mechanistic implications expected of these experiments (Figure 3, Table S2). Reported TOFs are for the fastest 20 min time period within the first 40 min as an estimate of the initial rate prior to significant catalyst deactivation. Broadly comparing dinuclear complexes to mononuclear complexes, significantly higher reactivity is observed at low concentrations (0.05 mM) for the dinuclear complexes (158-128 TOF h⁻¹, 105-95 TON) when compared to the mononuclear complexes (43-17 TOF h⁻¹, 34-16 TON). If the total concentration of metal centers is held constant, the mononuclear catalyst concentration at 0.1 mM can be directly compared to the 0.05 mM dinuclear catalyst concentration. This comparison shows a similar difference in the initial rates of mononuclear versus dinuclear catalysts with the mononuclear catalysts showing TOF values of 43-23 h⁻¹. These results suggest a PS-based pathway is present as this explains how cis-Re2Cl2 and trans-Re2Cl2 have comparable reactivity despite their structure, while substantially outperforming the mononuclear complexes at low concentrations where intermolecular collisions are fewer. As the concentration increases, TOF and TON values converge to similar rates and durabilities for all catalysts, presumably due to intermolecular deactivation pathways that are prevalent at high concentrations. Interestingly, all of the anthracenebased catalysts effectively stop evolving CO after the first hour, while Lehn's benchmark catalyst continues CO production up to 2 hours. In

COMMUNICATION

contrast to reported systems bearing sterically bulky substituents that showed improved stability by limiting bimolecular deactivation pathways, **anthryl-Re** is found to be less durable (*vide infra*).

Comparing the two dinuclear catalysts, trans-Re₂Cl₂ has higher TOF and TON values at the lowest concentration analyzed in Figure **3** (0.05 mM). As the concentration increases, TOF and TON values diminish faster for the trans conformer relative to its cis counterpart; qualitatively, their values follow similar trajectories. The faster decrease in TOF and TON for the trans conformer suggests that it is more susceptible to intermolecular catalyst-catalyst deactivation pathways. The performance trends for the Re₂ complexes strongly suggests one rhenium site is acting as a PS while the other performs catalysis. Moreover, these results indicate intramolecular electron transfer between sites is similar for both dinuclear catalysts, consistent with their equivalent $K_{\rm C}$ values.⁵ To ensure the Re₂ complexes are not photoisomerizing to the same species in solution, the catalysts were independently irradiated in d₆-DMSO under N₂ for up to 8 h. No appreciable interconversion of either isomer was observed by ¹H NMR, which suggests both species retain their conformation over the reaction period. At very low concentrations (1 nM) where some photocatalytic systems show higher TON values,¹² the highest performing catalyst *trans*-Re₂Cl₂ gives a remarkable 40,000 TON for CO. Notably, CO from 1 nM Re(bpy)(CO)₃Cl was undetectable by the GC system used in these studies.

The two mononuclear complexes show TON values at dilute concentrations that are lower than that observed at intermediate concentrations before again decreasing to lower TONs at high concentrations. The TON values for the mononuclear catalysts peak at approximately 0.1-0.2 mM with anthryl-Re peaking at a lower concentration than Re(bpy)(CO)₃Cl. This behaviour can be rationalized if the reaction is bimolecular as prior reports strongly suggest for these types of systems.⁶ At low concentrations, a PS complex and a catalyst complex interact less frequently and potential decomposition of either species is more competitive. At intermediate concentrations, more productive reactions can occur between PS and catalyst complexes. In the high concentration regime, catalyst-catalyst deactivation pathways become competitive with CO production pathways. This highlights a key difference between the mononuclear catalysts and the dinuclear systems which retain a high effective concentration of PS as it is covalently linked to a reactive site at low overall concentration. This circumvents to some degree the non-catalyst-catalyst deactivation mechanisms by maintaining a fast CO production pathway. Comparing TOFs for the monomers, anthryl-Re has a ~2-fold faster rate of catalysis at low



Figure 3. Left) Maximum TON *vs* catalyst concentration. Middle) TOF *vs* catalyst concentration. TOF is the fastest value measured within first two timepoints. Right) TON for CO production *vs* time for 0.1 mM *cis*-Re₂Cl₂, 0.1 mM *trans*-Re₂Cl₂, 0.2 mM anthryl-Re, and 0.2 mM Re(bpy)(CO)₃Cl. *Conditions*: DMF containing 5% triethylamine, 10 mM BIH; irradiated with a solar simulator (AM 1.5 filter, 100 mW/cm²).

COMMUNICATION

ChemComm

concentrations. A significantly higher molar absorptivity was measured at 370 nm for **anthryl-Re** (11,100 M⁻¹cm⁻¹) relative to Re(bpy)(CO)₃Cl (3,800 M⁻¹cm⁻¹) and the excited state lifetime of **anthryl-Re** is significantly longer than for Re(bpy)(CO)₃Cl (> 1 µs *vs* < 100 ns)²⁴ enabling a greater degree of BIH quenching. These factors may explain the difference in rates at these concentrations (Figure S3, Table S1).

Quantum yield estimations also show a significant difference in the catalytic behaviour of the mononuclear versus dinuclear catalysts (Figure S4, Table S2). Between 0.05 mM and 0.5 mM the dinuclear complexes have estimated quantum yields of 1.6-4.3% with higher concentrations showing higher quantum yields. In the low concentration regimes, the dinuclear catalysts have roughly double the quantum yield of the mononuclear catalysts. This offers additional evidence that pathway 2 is operable in that both dinuclear complexes have relatively high quantum yields at low concentrations. At the highest concentration evaluated (1.0 mM) where the mononuclear catalyst can operate through an intermolecular PS pathway most efficiently, Re(bpy)(CO)₃Cl and the dinuclear catalysts have similar quantum yields (4.4-4.6%). Based on previous work^{6,22} and the results described here, a proposed mechanism for the Re₂ photocatalysts is shown in Figure 4, which illustrates a division of duties for the two active sites and allows access to an exceptional TON value for carbon monoxide of 40,000.

Two dinuclear Re catalysts with well-defined, shape persistent structures and a mononuclear analogue have been studied for lightdriven CO₂ reduction. Their photocatalytic properties have been explored in parallel with benchmark catalyst, Re(bpy)(CO)₃Cl. Incorporation of a pendant anthracene group on the bipyridyl ligand (**anthryl-Re**) had a modest effect on the catalytic performance. In contrast, the Re₂ catalysts perform significantly better (~4X higher TON) than the benchmark system when the relative concentration in rhenium is held constant (0.1 mM dinuclear vs 0.2 mM mononuclear). Despite the structural differences of *cis*-Re₂Cl₂ and *trans*-Re₂Cl₂, their initial rates are comparable with both being dramatically faster (~6X higher) than the mononuclear complexes, which have comparable rates to each other. This suggests that the mechanism for CO₂ reduction may be similar for the two dinuclear complexes and does not require a specific spatial orientation of the



Figure 4. Proposed catalytic cycle for photochemical CO₂ reduction to CO with the dinuclear Re catalysts, *cis*-Re₂Cl₂ or *trans*-Re₂Cl₂. The *trans* conformer is shown in the specific example above.

Re active sites. The excited-state kinetics and emission spectra reveal that the anthracene backbone plays a more significant role beyond a simple structural unit. Evidence of an anthracene triplet state is observed, and given the unlikely event of direct reduction of the anthracene triplet state by sacrificial reductant BIH, a more complex mechanism is likely taking place beyond the Re(bpy) excitation/BIH reduction kinetics.

The authors thank the National Science Foundation (OIA-1539035) for funding this work.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Notes and references

- D. L. Ashford, M. K. Gish, A. K. Vannucci, M. K. Brenaman, J. L. Templeton, J. M. Papanikolas and T. J. Meyer, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, 115, 13006.
- 2 H. Takeda, C. Cometto, O. Ishitani and M. Robert, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 70.
- 3 N. Elgrishi, M. B. Chambers, X. Wang and M. Fontecave, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 761.
- 4 J. Hawecker, J.-M. Lehn and R. Ziessel, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1983, 536.
- 5 W. Yang, S. S. Roy, W. C. Pitts, R. L. Nelson, F. R. Fronczek and J. W. Jurss, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2018, **57**, 9564.
- 6 H. Takeda, K. Koike, H. Inoue and O. Ishitani, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 2023.
- 7 C. Bruckmeier, M. W. Lehenmeier, R. Reithmeier, B. Rieger, J. Herranz and C. Kavakli, *Dalt. Trans.*, 2012, **41**, 5026.
- 8 Y. Tamaki, D. Imori, T. Morimoto, K. Koike and O. Ishitani, *Dalt. Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 14668.
- 9 C. W. Machan, J. Yin, S. A. Chabolla, M. K. Gilson and C. P. Kubiak, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, **138**, 8184.
- 10 A. Wilting and I. Siewert, ChemistrySelect, 2018, 3, 4593.
- 11 J. Shakeri, H. Hadadzadeh and H. Tavakol, *Polyhedron*, 2014, **78**, 112.
- 12 V. S. Thoi, N. Kornienko, C. G. Margarit, P. Yang and C. J. Chang, J. *Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 14413.
- 13 Z. Guo, F. Yu, Y. Yang, C.-F. Leung, S.-M. Ng, C.-C. Ko, C. Cometto, T.-C. Lau and M. Robert, *ChemSusChem*, 2017, **10**, 4009.
- 14 J. A. Simon, S. L. Curry, R. H. Schmehl, T. R. Schatz, P. Piotrowiak, X. Jin and R. P. Thummel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**, 11012.
- 15 D. S. Tyson, K. B. Henbest, J. Bialecki and F. N. Castellano, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2001, 105, 8154.
- 16 A. Del Guerzo, S. Leroy, F. Fages and R. H. Schmehl, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2002, **41**, 359.
- 17 A. Harriman, M. Hissler, A. Khatyr and R. Ziessel, *Chem. Commun.*, 1999, **8**, 735.
- 18 G. C. Balazs, A. del Guerzo and R. H. Schmehl, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2005, **4**, 89.
- 19 M. E. Walther and O. S. Wenger, Dalton Trans., 2008, 6311.
- 20 A. Genoni, D. N. Chirdon, M. Boniolo, A. Sartorel, S. Bernhard and M. Bonchio, ACS Catal., 2017, 7, 154.
- 21 The wavelengths (in nm) reported in Scheme 1 correspond to the low energy onset for absorptions and to the high energy onset for emissions.
- 22 Y. Kou, Y. Nabetani, D. Masui, T. Shimada, S. Takagi, H. Tachibana and H. Inoue, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, **136**, 6021.
- 23 S. L. Murov, I. Carmichael and G. L. Hug, Handbook of Photochemistry, 2nd ed., 1993, pp 7-9.
- 24 R. R. Rodrigues, C. M. Boudreaux, E. T. Papish and J. H. Delcamp, ACS Appl. Energy Mater., 2018, DOI: 10.1021/acsaem.8b01560.
- 25 L. A. Worl, P. Duesing, P. Chen, R. Della Ciana and T. J. Meyer, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1991, 849.



Well-defined dinuclear rhenium photocatalysts featuring an anthracene chromophore are significantly faster and more durable than their mononuclear counterparts.