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Communications

Acceptorless Dehydrogenative Coupling (ADC) of alcohols with amines is reported using a heterogeneous Fe-catalyst. The reaction operates under mild conditions with the liberation of dihydrogen and water as the byproducts. The developed ADC strategy is simple, efficient, exhibits wide functional group tolerances and can be scaled up. The present catalytic approach possesses a dual role; acting as a catalyst as well as can be magnetically separable. The sustainable reuse of a heterogeneous iron catalyst is also shown.

Introduction

Imine is an exceptionally versatile functional group and is ubiquitous in pharmaceuticals, biologically active heterocycles, and natural products.1 Traditionally, imine formation was achieved by the condensation reaction of amines with highly reactive carbonyl compounds and often required dehydrating agents as well as Lewis acid catalysts.2,3 However, direct formation of imine with easily accessible feedstock chemicals is very attractive and highly desirable in organic synthesis.4 In this context, various methods for imine synthesis have been studied based on oxidative dehydrogenation of amines.5,6 An oxidative dehydrogenative coupling of alcohols with amines using various oxidants such as dioxygen, TEMPO, quinine, and iodosylbenzene.7,8 However, several drawbacks remain, for example, the intrinsic self-coupling properties of the substrates, the formation of other side products such as nitrile, amide, azo and a related compound, and the need of stoichiometric amount of oxidants.9 Although the use of molecular oxygen as a mild oxidant is an attractive strategy, oxidative dehydrogenative coupling accomplished with O2 might be problematic at the higher pressures (need of special high-pressure equipment) that are usually used on large scales to increase the effective concentration of the oxidant. Thus, the development of an efficient strategy for selective construction of imine scaffolds under the oxidant-free condition is a key motivation in the contemporary science. Recently a direct coupling of alcohols with amines by acceptorless dehydrogenative strategy has been developed as an efficient protocol to construct imine bond (C=N) with the liberation of molecular hydrogen and water as the byproducts, which is a greener and more practical method. Despite several efficient homogeneous catalysts are well-developed for acceptorless dehydrogenation reaction under oxidant-free conditions,9 the major disadvantages such as the use of noble metals, extensive ligand synthesis, sensitivity, handling under the practical conditions, poor recovery and competing hydrogen atom transfer reaction10 (leads to a mixture of amine and imine products) remain unaddressed. However, in a very recent report from Feringa and co-workers, imine was reported as the potential intermediate in the homogeneous Fe-catalyzed direct alklyation of amines with alcohols via hydrogen atom transfer reaction.11 Indeed, heterogeneous catalysts with such activity for the straightforward synthesis of an imine by oxidant-free, acceptorless dehydrogenative coupling of alcohols with amines are rare and very limited. Very recently, Pt nanoparticles loaded on TiO2 was shown to promote direct synthesis of imines from alcohols and amine under UV irradiation,12 and Pd(0)-immobilized recyclable hydrotalcite catalysts (HT4) for alcohol imination via acceptorless dehydrogenation also reported.13 Notably, catalysts (both homogeneous and heterogeneous) used for imine synthesis by ADC strategy are extensively based on precious metals. In this regard, the development of novel highly active and selective non-precious metal catalysts; in particular, base metal catalysts is of pivotal importance and a focus point from the perspective of cost, abundance, and sustainable chemistry.14-15 To best of our knowledge, there are no reusable heterogeneous catalysts based on earth-
abundant, economical ‘green’ metals for direct imine formation by acceptorless dehydrogenative coupling of alcohols with amines.

During the last decade, N-doped graphene materials have been shown to be an interesting commodity for sustainable catalysis. Inspired by these results, herein we report the preparation of heterogeneous iron-based catalyst (Fe-Phen@C) and describe for the first time environmentally benign dehydrogenative coupling of alcohols and amines to form imines and $H_2$ (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1. Overview of the present work and the reusable Fe-based heterogeneous catalyst used in this study.

Results and discussion

The active heterogeneous iron catalyst was prepared by pyrolysis of an in situ generated Fe-Phen complex $\text{Fe(acac)}_2$:1,10-phenanthroline = 1:1 on exfoliated graphene oxide support at 800 °C for 4 h under argon atmosphere. The complete characterization of the active material (Fe-Phen@C) has been carried out using PXRD, TEM analysis, SEM analysis, XPS, ICP and Raman spectroscopy (see supporting information for characterization data).

XRD pattern of Fe-Phen@C sample presented in Fig. 1 shows diffraction peaks confirming the presence of $\beta''$-Fe$_3$O$_4$ (JCPDS no 40-1139), Fe$_2$O$_3$ (JCPDS no 85-1436), Fe$_3$N (JCPDS no 01-1236) and Fe$_2$C (JCPDS no 85-1317) and Fe$_3$C (JCPDS no 17-0333). Formation of Fe$_3$N was probably due to the decomposition of N-containing Fe-phenanthroline complex on exfoliated graphene oxide (EGO). A peak at 20 = 26.6 degrees corresponding to (002) lattice plane of reduced graphite oxide (RGO) was also observed. The peak is broad suggesting the carbon support was composed of a few layers of graphene sheets. In Fig. 2 (a) TEM image of Fe-Phen@C sample is shown. In the bright field image, one can observe the wrinkles of the thin layers of RGO support as dark lines and Fe-rich nanoparticles as dark spots. It can be observed that the nanoparticles are located only on the RGO sheets. The nanoparticles distributed throughout the graphene sheets varied in size from 8 to 50 nm. However, the majority of particles were in the range of 14-20 nm. FESEM image in Fig. 2 (b) shows the morphology of the as-prepared Fe-Phen@C catalyst. In the dark field, FE-SEM of Fe-Phen@C was taken using secondary electrons in which metal has high surface electrons density appears brighter than RGO. It can be observed that Fe-rich particles are distributed spatially apart on the graphene layers.

Fig. 2 (a) Microstructural characterization of Fe-Phen@C material TEM image of Fe-Phen@C: Scale bar, 50 nm. (b) FESEM image of Fe-Phen@C: scale bar, 1 μm.

A recent unprecedented report from our research group on heterogeneous iron-catalyzed acceptorless dehydrogenation of fundamentally important feedstocks such as alcohols to carbonyl compounds and cyclic amines to N-heterocycles prompted us to disclose our first report on a simple, efficient, reusable heterogeneous iron-catalyzed direct imine formation by acceptorless dehydrogenative coupling of alcohols with amines (Scheme 1, Table 1). The present ADC strategy has a broad substrate scope as well as functional group tolerance, and operates under mild conditions with the liberation of hydrogen gas and water as the by-products, thus making the protocol completely environmentally-benign. An ease of separation and reusability of the heterogeneous iron catalyst is also successfully demonstrated.

Table 1. Optimization of the reaction conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Cat.</th>
<th>Variation from the initial conditions</th>
<th>Conversion of 1a (%)</th>
<th>Yield of 3aa (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fe-Phen@C</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>97 (93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fe-Phen@C</td>
<td>closed system</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>40 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fe-Phen@C</td>
<td>without t-BuOK</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fe-Phen@C</td>
<td>K$_2$CO$_3$ instead of t-BuOK</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fe-Phen@C</td>
<td>KOH instead of t-BuOK</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Fe-Phen@C</td>
<td>CH$_3$CN instead of n-octane</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Fe-Phen@C</td>
<td>toluene instead of n-octane</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Fe-Phen@C</td>
<td>at 80 °C</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>34 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Fe@C</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Ph@C</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>without Fe-Phen@C</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Fe(acac)$_2$/Phen</td>
<td>under homogeneous condition</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Fe(CO)$_2$/Phen</td>
<td>under homogeneous condition</td>
<td>trace</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Fe-Phen@SiO$_2$</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Fe-Phen@Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Fe-Phen@TiO$_2$</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>31 (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reaction conditions: 1a (0.5 mmol), 2a (0.55 mmol), cat. Fe-Phen@C (8 mol%), t-BuOK.
Optimization studies on Iron-catalyzed direct imine formation by ADC of alcohols with amines are summarized in Table 1. We began our investigation using (4-chlorophenyl)methanol (1a) and m-toluidine (2a) as benchmark substrates in presence of catalytic amount of Fe-Phen@C (8 mol%), and t-BuOK (10 mol%) in n-octane heated at 120 °C (bath temperature) under open Ar atm for 24 h to yield 3aa in 93% isolated yield with the complete conversion of 1a (Table 1, entry 1). The formation of molecular hydrogen was qualitatively analyzed by gas chromatography (GC). Performing reaction under closed condition yielded 3aa in lower yield (40%) (Table 1, entry 2) and clearly indicating that the constant removal of H₂ gas from the reaction medium is crucial. Notably, the efficiency of the reaction was significantly affected in the absence of t-BuOK (Table 1, entry 3). Other bases like K₂CO₃ and KOH are ineffective under standard reaction conditions (Table 1, entries 4-5). The solvent dependency of the same reaction was carried out (Table 1, entries 1 and 6-7) and we found that the reaction proceeds efficiently in n-octane compared to other solvents. By lowering the temperature, we obtained the product in lower yield (Table 1, entry 8) and no reaction was observed in the absence of the Fe-Phen@C catalyst (Table 1, entry 11). Notably, either no imine formation or hydrogen gas was observed under homogeneous conditions (Table 1, entries 12-13). It was worth noting that under the similar experimental conditions, catalysts (Fe-Phen) prepared on other conventional supports such as SiO₂, Al₂O₃ and TiO₂ showed lesser activity in the imine formation (Table 1, entries 14-16). These studies show that the special structure of reduced graphene oxide (RGO) may be affected the reactivity in the environmentally-benign dehydrogenative coupling of alcohols and amines to form imines and H₂.

With an optimized catalytic system in hand (Table 1), we set out to probe its versatility in the direct imine synthesis by ADC strategy of various alcohols and amines. Using (4-chlorophenyl)methanol 1a as the benchmark substrate, a number of different anilines were tested using Fe-Phen@C catalyst under standard conditions. As shown in Table 2, the present heterogeneous Fe-catalysis is compatible with various anilines containing an electron-rich and electron-deficient substituents, affording the desired imines in good to excellent yields (up to 95%) under very mild, eco-benign conditions. Interestingly, the reaction proceeded successfully with both cyclic and acyclic secondary amines and gave the desired imines. Isolated yields. After 48 h. Reaction under homogeneous condition using in situ generated Fe-catalyst by reacting 1:1 mixture of Fe salt and 1,10-phenanthroline (Phen) followed by treatment with t-BuOK (10 mol%).

Next, the impact of varying the substituents on the alcohol coupling partner was also assessed (Table 3). The straightforward imine formation reaction proceeded in excellent yields with either electron donating (4-methyl, 4-methoxy) or electron withdrawing (4-fluoro, 4-nitro) substituents on the benzyl alcohol. Notably, ortho-substituted alcohols yielded the imines in lower yields, which could be explained by steric effects. To our delight, biomass-derived furfural alcohol also gave the corresponding imine in good yield (3bk in 76% yield). Less reactive cinnamyl alcohol was also tested for the direct imine formation reaction and efficiently gave the corresponding imine in 66% yield. However, 1-hexanol failed to convert into the corresponding aliphatic imine (3bm) under optimized conditions.
**Table 3. Iron-catalyzed direct imine synthesis: Scope of alcohols.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alcohol</th>
<th>Imine</th>
<th>Reaction Conditions</th>
<th>Isolated Yield</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>3aa</td>
<td>1a (0.5 mmol), 2a (0.55 mmol), cat. Fe-Phen@C (8 mol%), t-BuOK (10 mol%), and n-octane (2 mL) heated at 120 °C (oil-bath temperature) under open argon atm.</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>3bb</td>
<td>1a (0.55 mmol), cat. Fe-Phen@C (8 mol%), t-BuOK (10 mol%), and n-octane (2 mL) heated at 120 °C (oil-bath temperature) under open argon atm.</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>3bc</td>
<td>1a (0.55 mmol), cat. Fe-Phen@C (8 mol%), t-BuOK (10 mol%), and n-octane (2 mL) heated at 120 °C (oil-bath temperature) under open argon atm.</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>3bd</td>
<td>1a (0.55 mmol), cat. Fe-Phen@C (8 mol%), t-BuOK (10 mol%), and n-octane (2 mL) heated at 120 °C (oil-bath temperature) under open argon atm.</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>3be</td>
<td>1a (0.55 mmol), cat. Fe-Phen@C (8 mol%), t-BuOK (10 mol%), and n-octane (2 mL) heated at 120 °C (oil-bath temperature) under open argon atm.</td>
<td>89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>3bf</td>
<td>1a (0.55 mmol), cat. Fe-Phen@C (8 mol%), t-BuOK (10 mol%), and n-octane (2 mL) heated at 120 °C (oil-bath temperature) under open argon atm.</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>3bg</td>
<td>1a (0.55 mmol), cat. Fe-Phen@C (8 mol%), t-BuOK (10 mol%), and n-octane (2 mL) heated at 120 °C (oil-bath temperature) under open argon atm.</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>3bh</td>
<td>1a (0.55 mmol), cat. Fe-Phen@C (8 mol%), t-BuOK (10 mol%), and n-octane (2 mL) heated at 120 °C (oil-bath temperature) under open argon atm.</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>3bi</td>
<td>1a (0.55 mmol), cat. Fe-Phen@C (8 mol%), t-BuOK (10 mol%), and n-octane (2 mL) heated at 120 °C (oil-bath temperature) under open argon atm.</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>3bj</td>
<td>1a (0.55 mmol), cat. Fe-Phen@C (8 mol%), t-BuOK (10 mol%), and n-octane (2 mL) heated at 120 °C (oil-bath temperature) under open argon atm.</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>3bk</td>
<td>1a (0.55 mmol), cat. Fe-Phen@C (8 mol%), t-BuOK (10 mol%), and n-octane (2 mL) heated at 120 °C (oil-bath temperature) under open argon atm.</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>3bl</td>
<td>1a (0.55 mmol), cat. Fe-Phen@C (8 mol%), t-BuOK (10 mol%), and n-octane (2 mL) heated at 120 °C (oil-bath temperature) under open argon atm.</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Reusability and Heterogeneity**

The significant advantage of heterogeneous catalysts over soluble homogeneous catalysts is its capability for easy separation and recycling. The iron catalyst was easily separated from the reaction medium under the strong magnetic field, as shown in Fig. 4a & 4b. The recovered heterogeneous Fe-Phen@C was reused for direct imine synthesis in at least six cycles without a considerable loss in the yield (Fig. 4c). The results were within the error limits, and indeed, no deactivation of the catalyst was observed. The hot filtration test was carried out, and it was observed that no further imine formation (3aa) took place after the catalyst was filtered off at the conversion of 1a in 67%. Inductively coupled plasma (ICP) analyzes confirmed that the iron concentration in the filtrate was less than 0.22 ppm. Notably, no imine formation was observed in reaction under complete homogeneous condition (Table 1, entries 12-13). All these results clearly demonstrate that the present Fe-catalysis is truly heterogeneous in nature.
Mechanistic Study

The high activity and selectivity of Fe-catalyst in the direct imine formation by acceptorless dehydrogenative coupling of alcohols with amines motivated us to gain insight into the mechanistic details (Scheme 3). In this regard, we performed the acceptorless dehydrogenation of alcohol, 1a (in the absence of amine) under the identical conditions, and a quantitative yield of the corresponding aldehyde was obtained after 24 h with the liberation of hydrogen gas.\(^\text{17}\) The formation of H\(_2\) gas in the Fe-Phen@C catalyzed the direct synthesis of imines from alcohols and amines was qualitatively observed on gas chromatography. We have also endeavored to investigate the effect of the catalyst in the consequent condensation step. It was clearly observed that the rate of condensation of aldehydes and amines was accelerated in the presence of Fe-Phen@C catalyst, which implies the presence of Lewis acid sites on the catalyst.

To determine if any radical intermediates (e.g. superoxide radical anion, O\(^{-}\))\(_2\); due to the presence of residual oxygen/air or to use of t-BuOK) were involved in the reaction, we performed the reaction in the presence of a radical scavenger (2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol). The scavenger had no effect on the reaction rate in the formation of 3aa, and thus the effect of residual oxygen or air as an oxidant, and the involvement of radical mechanism can be completely ruled out.\(^\text{26}\) Indeed, t-BuOK is required to activate alcohols for the initial dehydrogenation reaction. A deuteron labeling experiments unambiguously illustrated that the initial alcohol dehydrogenation step is irreversible. Thus, upon treatment of a 1:1 mixture of 4-methylbenzyl alcohol and α,α-d\(_2\)-(4-chlorophenyl) methanol with m-toluidine 2a (1.1 equiv) at 120 \(^\circ\)C in n-octane for 22 h with a catalytic amount of Fe-Phen@C catalyst (8 mol\%) and t-BuOK (10 mol\%), 69% of (E)-1-(4-chlorophenyl)-N-(m-tolyl) methanimine-d\(_3\) (3aa-d) and 37% of (E)-N-m-tolyl-1-(p-tolyl)methanimine (3bd) were obtained as reaction products (Scheme 3d). H/D scrambling was not detected in both of the imine products, suggesting that the initial alcohol dehydrogenation step is irreversible.

Conclusions

In summary, a more sustainable Iron-catalyzed direct imine formation by acceptorless dehydrogenative coupling of alcohols with amines under the oxidant-free condition is reported for the first time. The reaction operates under very mild and environmentally benign conditions with the liberation of dihydrogen and water as the byproducts. The present catalytic approach possesses a dual role; acting as a catalyst as well as can be magnetically separable. The recyclability experiments showed that the catalytic activity for imination can be retained for at least six cycles. The present strategy has great potential for the straightforward synthesis of imines from feedstock chemicals because it tolerates a wide range of substrates with high yields. Mechanistic studies showed the reaction proceeds in a tandem manner (via aldehyde formation), and deuteron labeling experiments unambiguously illustrated that the initial alcohol dehydrogenation step is irreversible.

Acknowledgements

This research is supported by the SERB (SB/FT/CS-065/2013) and EMR/2015/30 (under Green Chemistry program) and CSIR-NCL. GJ thanks to UGC and VGL thanks to CSIR for fellowships and DJ acknowledges the financial support of DST-Ramanujan Fellowship (RJN-112/2012) and BRNS (37(2)14/21/2015/BRNS). We thank Dr. P. R. Rajamohanan for the NMR facility and Dr. S. P. Borikar for GC-MS analysis. EB thanks to Dr. Abhishek Dey, IACS, Kolkata for providing Fe(CO)\(_5\).

Notes and references
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* Tandem catalytic process
* Oxidant-free strategy
* Broad substrate scope
* Gram-scale synthesis
* Water and H₂ gas as the byproducts
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1. General Information

All catalytic experiments were carried out using standard Schlenk techniques. All solvents were reagent grade or better. Deuterated solvents were used as received without any additional purification. Most of the chemicals used in catalysis reactions were purified according to standard procedure (or by vacuum distillation/sublimation). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using silica gel precoated glass plates, which were visualized with UV light at 254 nm or under iodine. Column chromatography was performed with SiO\textsubscript{2} (Silicycle Siliaflash F60 (230-400 mesh). \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (400, 200 or 500 MHz), \textsuperscript{13}C\{\textsuperscript{1}H\} NMR (100 MHz) spectra were recorded on the NMR spectrometer. Deuterated chloroform was used as the solvent, and chemical shift values (\(\delta\)) are reported in parts per million relatives to the residual signals of this solvent [\(\delta\) 7.27 for \textsuperscript{1}H (chloroform-d), \(\delta\) 77.0 for \textsuperscript{13}C\{\textsuperscript{1}H\} (chloroform-d). Abbreviations used in the NMR follow-up experiments: br, broad; s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet. GC analysis was carried out using an HP-5 column (30 m, 0.25 mm, 0.25\(\mu\)). Mass spectra were obtained on a GCMS-QP 5000 instruments with ionization voltages of 70 eV. High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained on a High-resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained by fast atom bombardment (FAB) using a double focusing magnetic sector mass spectrometer and electron impact (EI) ionization technique (magnetic sector-electric sector double focusing mass analyzer). Inductively couple plasma atomic emission spectroscopy (ICP-AES) were acquired for the elemental analysis of absolute iron content within the sample. Analysis performed by SPECTRO analytical instruments GmbH, model ARCOS simultaneous ICP spectrometer, Germany.
2. Catalyst Synthesis and Characterization

2.1 Synthesis of supported catalysts (Fe-Phen@SiO$_2$, Fe-Phen@TiO$_2$, and Fe-Phen@Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts)

2.1a Synthesis of Fe-Phen@C catalyst

![Scheme 1. Synthesis of Fe-Phen@C catalyst](image)

(a) Graphene oxide was prepared from graphite powder by Hummers method as reported in the literature.$^{[2]}$ Graphitic Oxide was heated at 160 °C for 12 h for exfoliation to get exfoliated graphene oxide (EGO).

(b) Fe (III) acetylacetonate 176 mg (0.5 mmol) and 1,10-phenanthroline 90 mg (0.5 mmol) were taken in a beaker containing 30 mL of ethanol and sonicated for 2 h to form Fe-Phenanthroline complex. In another beaker 560 mg of EGO$^{††}$ was taken in 70 mL of ethanol and sonicated for 2 h. The EGO suspension and Fe-phenanthroline complex in ethanol were mixed together and further sonicated for 2 h. The suspension was subsequently refluxed for 4 h and ethanol was evaporated using rota-evaporator. After cooling to 30 °C, the ethanol was removed in vacuum and the solid sample obtained was dried at 80 °C for 14 h. Then, it was ground to a fine powder followed by calcination at 800 °C under a stream of argon with the flow rate of 30 mL/min and the heating rate: 25 °C/min for about 4 h to afford a catalyst Fe-
Phen@C. Iron present in the catalyst determined by ICP-AES analysis and was found to be 5.32%.

††In the case of other conventional support based catalysts such as Fe-Phen@SiO₂, Fe-Phen@TiO₂ and Fe-Phen@Al₂O₃ catalysts, 560 mg of the respective support (SiO₂, Al₂O₃, and TiO₂) was added and the other steps in the synthesis were identical as described in 2.1a.

2.2. Characterization of catalysts

a) XPS of Fe region of Fe-Phen@C

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was done on a VG Microtech Multilab ESCA 3000 spectrometer that was equipped with a Mg Kα X-ray source (hv = 1253.6 eV). The XPS peaks were fitted on XPSPEAK 4.1 having 70% Gaussian and 30% Lorentzian character, after performing a Shirley background subtraction. In the fitting procedure, the full width at half-maximum (FWHM) values were fixed 1.5 eV for all the peaks.

![Deconvoluted XPS spectra of Fe-Phen@C sample: (a) C1s region, (b) N1s region.](image)

Fig. S1 Deconvoluted XPS spectra of Fe-Phen@C sample: (a) C1s region, (b) N1s region.
Table showing the peak positions in C1s and N1s XPS spectra and the corresponding chemical species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C1s Spectra (Fig. S1a)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak Position (eV)</td>
<td>Inference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>284.5</td>
<td>sp² carbon (−C=C−)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>285.8</td>
<td>sp³ carbon such as −C−C− or −C−OH groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>287.3</td>
<td>Carbonyl functional group (C=O).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N1s Spectra (Fig. S1b)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak Position (eV)</td>
<td>Inference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>397.5</td>
<td>N of Fe₃N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>399.1</td>
<td>pyridinic-N/Nₚyri</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400.1</td>
<td>pyrrolic-N/Nₚyr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401.4</td>
<td>quaternary-N/NₚR₄⁺</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403.6</td>
<td>−N−O</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Raman spectra

Fig. S2 Raman Spectra of reduced graphitic oxide support (black) and Fe-Phen@C (red).

Fig. S2 shows Raman spectra of Fe-Phen@C (red trace) and reduced graphitic oxide (black trace). The D band due to the vibration mode of A₁g symmetry of the sp² carbon of graphite lattice located at 1327 cm⁻¹ for Fe-Phen@C and 1330 cm⁻¹ for reduced graphitic oxide support. The D band mainly characterizes structural defects or edges that can break the symmetry and selection rule. The G band that arises due to the first-order scattering of the E₂g mode observed for sp² carbon domains was seen at 1595 cm⁻¹ for Fe-Phen@C and at 1586 cm⁻¹ for reduced graphitic oxide support. G band characterizes the highly ordered graphite
carbon materials. It was observed that deposition of iron in Fe-Phen@C resulted in an increased disorderliness of reduced graphitic oxide, as $I_D/I_G$ increased to 1.36 in Fe-Phen@C compared to $I_D/I_G=1.13$ in reduced graphitic oxide support. G band showed a blue shift of 9 cm$^{-1}$ in Fe-Phen@C as compared to reduced graphitic oxide support which was mainly due to charge transfer from graphene to iron nanoparticles.$^{[10-11]}$

C. EDX analysis

![EDX analysis of Fe-Phen@C.](image)

**Fig. S3** EDX analysis of Fe-Phen@C.

Table showing the weight percent of different elements in the Fe-Phen@C catalyst.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Weight %</th>
<th>Atomic %</th>
<th>Uncert. %</th>
<th>Correction</th>
<th>k-Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C(K)</td>
<td>76.36</td>
<td>83.29</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>3.940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N(K)</td>
<td>9.23</td>
<td>8.64</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>3.826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(K)</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe(K)</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.403</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Experimental Section

3.1 General procedure for the iron-catalyzed direct imine formation

\[
\begin{align*}
R\text{OH} + \text{R}^1\text{NH}_2 & \xrightarrow{\text{Cat. Fe (8 mol\%), t-BuOK (10 mol\%)}} \text{Ar atm, n-octane, 120 °C}} \text{R}^1\text{N} + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{H}_2 \uparrow \\
1 & \quad 2 & \quad 3
\end{align*}
\]

To a 25 mL oven dried schlenk tube, Fe-PPhen@C catalyst (43 mg, 8 mol%), t-BuOK (10 mol%), alcohol (0.5 mmol), an amine (0.55 mmol), and n-octane (2 mL) were added under argon atmosphere. The schlenk tube was equipped with a reflux condenser, and the solution was heated at 120 °C (bath temperature) with stirring under open argon flow for 24 h. After cooling to 30 °C the catalyst was separated from the reaction mixture by an external permanent magnet and the reaction products were analyzed by GC and GC-MS. The supernatant was transferred into another flask, and the catalyst was washed with EtOAc (2 x 4 mL) and the washings were collected. The solvent was evaporated from the reaction mixture, and the crude product was subjected to deactivated silica gel column chromatography using EtOAc : petroleum ether to afford the imine derivatives.

3.2 Gram-scale synthesis of imine

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Cl} & \text{H} & \text{Cl} & \text{H} & \text{Cl} & \text{H} \\
\text{OH} & \text{NH}_2 & \text{NH}_2 & \text{NH}_2 & \text{NH}_2 & \text{NH}_2 \\
1a & 2a & 3aa (78\%) & 3aa (78\%) & 3aa (78\%) & 3aa (78\%)
\end{align*}
\]

To a 50 mL oven dried schlenk flask, Fe-PPhen@C catalyst (258 mg, 8 mol%), t-BuOK (34 mg, 10 mol%), (4-chlorophenyl)methanol (428 mg, 3 mmol), m-toluidine (374 mg, 3.5 mmol), and n-octane (12 mL) were added under argon atmosphere. The schlenk flask was equipped with a reflux condenser, and the solution was heated at 120 °C (bath temperature)
with stirring under open argon flow for 36 h. After cooling to 30 °C the reaction mixture was subjected to centrifugation and the supernatant was collected and the obtained solid was washed with EtOAc (3 x 5 mL) and the washings were collected. The collected reaction mixture was concentrated on rotavapor under reduce pressure. The crude product was purified through triethylamine (5 mL triethylamine in 100 ml pet ether/ethyl acetate) neutralized silica-gel column chromatography by using petroleum ether and ethyl acetate as an eluent.

### 3.3 Reusability procedure

To a 25 mL oven dried schlenk tube, Fe-Phen@C catalyst (86 mg, 8 mol%) t-BuOK (11 mg, 10 mol%), (4-chlorophenyl)methanol (143 mg, 1 mmol), m-toluidine (125 mg, 1.1 mmol), and n-octane (4 mL) were added under argon atmosphere. The schlenk tube was equipped with a reflux condenser, and the solution was heated at 120 °C (bath temperature) with stirring under open argon flow for 24 h. After cooling to 30 °C, the catalyst was separated from the reaction mixture by an external permanent magnet, washed with EtOAc several times and dried under vacuum at 60 °C for 4 h. Then the catalyst was reused for the next cycle. All yields are averages from at least 2 runs.

### 4. Mechanistic Investigation

#### 4.1 Determination of hydrogen gas formation

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Cl-PhOH} (1a) + \text{NH}_2-\text{PhMe} (2a) \xrightarrow{[\text{Fe} + t\text{-BuOK}} & \text{standard condition} \xrightarrow{-\text{H}_2\text{O}} \text{Cl-PhNMe} (3aa) (93\%) + \text{H}_2 \\
\text{observed on GC}
\end{align*}
\]
To an oven dried 20 mL screw-capped septa vial, Fe-Phen@C catalyst (86 mg, 8 mol%), t-BuOK (11 mg, 10 mol%), (4-chlorophenyl)methanol 1a (143 mg, 1 mmol), m-toluidine 2a (125 mg, 1.1 mmol), and n-octane (4 mL) were added under argon atmosphere. The vial was heated at 120 °C for 4 h. After cooling to room temperature, the gaseous mixture (formation of hydrogen gas) was qualitatively analyzed by GC-TCD with a Carbon plot capillary column.

4.2 Reaction under presence of radical (O$^-$) scavenger

To a 25 mL oven dried schlenk tube, Fe-Phen@C catalyst (43 mg, 8 mol%), t-BuOK (6 mg, 10 mol%), (4-chlorophenyl)methanol (71 mg, 0.5 mmol), m-toluidine (62 mg, 0.55 mmol), 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylphenol (BHT) (242 mg, 1.1 mmol), and n-octane (2 mL) were added under argon atmosphere. The schlenk tube was equipped with a reflux condenser and the solution was refluxed under argon atmosphere for 24 h. After cooling to 30 °C the
reaction mixture was subjected to centrifugation and the supernatant was collected and the obtained solid was washed with EtOAc (2 x 4 mL) and the washings were collected. The collected reaction mixture was concentrated on rotavapor under reduce pressure. The crude product was purified (deactivated silica gel column chromatography and the eluent is a mixture of petroleum ether and ethyl acetate) and the yield of (E)-1-(4-chlorophenyl)-N-(m-tolyl) methanimine (3aa) is 85%.

4.3. Hot Filtration Test

To a 25 mL oven dried schlenk tube, Fe-PHEN@C catalyst (43 mg, 8 mol%), t-BuOK (6 mg, 10 mol%), (4-chlorophenyl)methanol 1a (71 mg, 0.5 mmol), m-toluidine 2a (62 mg, 0.55 mmol), and n-octane (2 mL) were added under argon atmosphere. The schlenk tube was equipped with a reflux condenser, and the solution was heated at 120 °C (bath temperature) with stirring under open argon flow for 8 h. After cooling to 30 °C the catalyst was separated from the reaction mixture by an external permanent magnet (at this stage the crude reaction mixture was analyzed by GC (67% of 3aa)). Then, the reaction mixture was transferred into another 25 mL oven dried schlenk tube under an argon atmosphere and was equipped with a reflux condenser, and the solution was heated at 120 °C (bath temperature) with stirring under open argon flow for 24 h. After cooling to room temperature, the crude reaction mixture was quantitatively analyzed by GC and observed that no change in the yield of 3aa.

4.4 Leaching Test

To crude sample (after removal the catalyst) were added sulfuric acid and aqua regia then the volume of the residue was adjusted to 50 mL using water to give a sample for Inductively coupled plasma (ICP) for the measurement of the leaching of Iron and the analyses confirmed that the iron concentration in the filtrate was less than 0.22 ppm.
4.5 Reaction under homogeneous conditions

To a 25 mL oven dried schlenk tube, 8 mol% of Fe salt (iron(III) acetylacetonate or Fe(CO)₅) and 1,10-phenanthroline (7 mg, 8 mol%) and n-octane (2 mL) were added under argon atmosphere. The schlenk tube was equipped with a reflux condenser, and the solution was heated at 80 °C under argon atmosphere for 1 h. To the pre-formed Fe-complex, (4-chlorophenyl)methanol (71 mg, 0.5 mmol), m-toluidine (62 mg, 0.55 mmol), and t-BuOK (6 mg, 10 mol%) were added under argon atm and heated at 120 °C (bath temperature) for 24 h. After cooling to room temperature, the crude reaction mixture was analyzed by GC and observed no formation imine.

4.6 Presence of Lewis acid sites

To a 25 mL oven dried schlenk tube, Fe-Phen@C catalyst (43 mg, 8 mol%), t-BuOK (6 mg, 10 mol%), 4-chlorobenzaldehyde 4 (71 mg, 0.5 mmol), m-toluidine 2a (62 mg, 0.55 mmol), and n-octane (2 mL) were added under argon atmosphere. The schlenk tube was equipped with a reflux condenser and the solution was refluxed under argon atmosphere for 1.5 h. After cooling to 30 °C, the crude reaction mixture was analyzed by ¹H NMR and observed the quantitative formation of 3aa. The same result was observed in the absence of t-BuOK.
4.7 Deuterium labelling studies

To a 25 mL oven dried schlenk tube, Fe-PPhen@C catalyst (86 mg, 8 mol%), t-BuOK (11 mg, 10 mol%), p-tolylmethanol (62 mg, 0.5 mmol), (4-chlorophenyl)methan-\textit{d}_2-ol-\textit{d} (73 mg, 0.5 mmol), and \textit{m}-toluidine (138 mg, 1.1 mmol), and n-octane (4 mL) were added under argon atmosphere. The schlenk tube was equipped with a reflux condenser and the solution was refluxed under argon atmosphere for 22 h. After cooling to 30 °C the reaction mixture was subjected to centrifugation and the supernatant was collected and the obtained solid was washed with EtOAc (2 x 4 mL) and the washings were collected. The collected reaction mixture was concentrated under reduce pressure. The crude product was purified, and the yield of (\textit{E})-1-(4-chlorophenyl)-\textit{N}-(\textit{m}-tolyl)methanimine-\textit{d} and (\textit{E})-\textit{N}-\textit{m}-tolyl-1-(\textit{p}-tolyl)methanimine are 69% and 37% respectively.

5. Characterization Data

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(E)-N-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-3-methylaniline (3aa)}
\end{align*}
\]

106 mg, 93% isolated yield. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (CDCl\textsubscript{3}, 500 MHz) δ 8.43 (s, 1H), 7.85 (d, \textit{J} = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (d, \textit{J} = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (t, \textit{J} = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (d, \textit{J} = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.04-7.02 (m,
$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 200 MHz) δ 8.29 (s, 1H), 7.74 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 7.36 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.23-7.16 (m, 1H), 6.90-6.81 (m, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 125 MHz) δ 159.76, 152.95, 137.81, 134.78, 134.31, 130.89, 130.18, 130.09, 129.46, 129.15, 126.05, 120.87, 119.36; HRMS Calcd for C$_{13}$H$_9$NCl$_2$ [M+H]$^+$: 250.0185; Found: 250.0187.
(E)-3-bromo-N-(4-chlorobenzylidene)aniline (3ad)

130 mg, 89% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz) $\delta$ 8.31 (s, 1H), 7.76 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.38 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H), 7.31–7.14 (m, 3H), 7.06 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 125 MHz) $\delta$ 159.76, 153.01, 137.77, 134.23, 130.85, 130.54, 130.44, 130.07, 129.41, 129.11, 128.92, 123.64, 119.90; HRMS Calcd for C$_{13}$H$_9$NBrCl [M+H]$^+$: 293.9680; Found: 293.9684.

(E)-N-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-2-fluoroaniline (3ae)

68 mg, 58% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz) $\delta$ 8.50 (s, 1H), 7.87 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.46 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.27 - 7.15 (m, 4H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 125 MHz) $\delta$ 161.33, 156.15, 154.16, 139.61, 139.53, 137.77, 134.50, 130.08, 129.06, 126.94, 126.88, 124.50, 124.47, 122.02, 116.37, 116.21; HRMS Calcd for C$_{13}$H$_9$NClF [M+H]$^+$: 234.0480; Found: 234.0482.

(E)-N-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-4-fluoroaniline (3af)

87 mg, 75% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 200 MHz) $\delta$ 8.41 (s, 1H), 7.85 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H), 7.47 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H), 7.37 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 7.15 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H). $^{13}$C NMR
(CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 159.12, 150.10, 137.65, 134.44, 131.75, 130.90, 130.01, 129.46, 129.29, 129.14, 122.17; HRMS Calcd for C₁₃H₉NClF [M+H]⁺: 234.0480; Found: 234.0482.

![Chemical structure of (E)-N-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-3-methoxyaniline (3ag)](image)

**(E)-N-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-3-methoxyaniline (3ag)**

68 mg, 55% isolated yield. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 8.43 (s, 1H), 7.85 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 6.82 - 6.78 (m, 3H), 3.85 (s, 3H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 160.31, 159.01, 153.08, 137.42, 134.56, 129.97, 129.94, 129.08, 112.79, 112.02, 106.62, 55.33; HRMS Calcd for C₁₄H₁₂NClO [M+H]⁺: 246.0680; Found: 246.0683.

![Chemical structure of (E)-N-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-3-(trifluoromethyl)aniline (3ah)](image)

**(E)-N-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-3-(trifluoromethyl)aniline (3ah)**

134 mg, 95% isolated yield. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) δ 8.44 (s, 1H), 7.87 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 7.53-7.45 (m, 4H), 7.39 - 7.37 (m, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 125 MHz) δ 160.24, 152.12, 137.96, 134.19, 130.14, 129.73, 129.20, 128.32, 124.15, 122.66, 117.71; HRMS Calcd for C₁₄H₉NClF₃ [M+H]⁺: 284.0448; Found: 284.0450.
(E)-N-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-3-nitroaniline (3ai)

120 mg, 92% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz) $\delta$ 8.47 (s, 1H), 8.11 (dt, $J = 8.2$, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (t, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 7.58 (t, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.54 (dt, $J = 8.5$, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 125 MHz) $\delta$ 161.08, 152.75, 138.33, 133.88, 130.29, 129.96, 129.27, 129.11, 127.62, 120.67, 115.31; HRMS Calcd for C$_{13}$H$_9$N$_2$O$_2$ [M+H]$^+$: 261.0425; Found: 261.0733.

(E)-N-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-3,4-dimethoxyaniline (3aj)

71 mg, 51% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) $\delta$ 8.44 (s, 1H), 7.81 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.42 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 6.89-6.87 (m, 2H), 6.82 (dd, $J = 8.2$, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz) $\delta$ 156.80, 149.26, 147.83, 144.74, 136.92, 134.73, 129.64, 128.93, 112.12, 111.30, 105.51, 55.99, 55.80; HRMS Calcd for C$_{15}$H$_{13}$NO$_2$Cl [M+H]$^+$: 276.0786; Found: 276.0787.

(E)-N-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-3-methoxy-2-methylaniline (3ak)
91 mg, 70% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz) $\delta$ 8.33 (s, 1H), 7.87 (d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.46 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.18 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 6.77 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 1H), 6.60 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz), 3.88 (s, 3H), 2.25 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 125 MHz) $\delta$ 158.13, 151.62, 137.20, 134.98, 129.86, 129.01, 126.56, 120.40, 110.50, 107.79, 55.68, 10.32; HRMS Calcd for C$_{15}$H$_{14}$ONCl [M+H]$^+$: 260.0764; Found: 260.0837.

(E)-N-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-2,3-dimethylaniline (3al)

97 mg, 81% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 200 MHz) $\delta$ 8.32 (s, 1H), 7.87 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H), 7.46 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.17 - 7.04 (m, 2H), 6.79 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 2.30 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 50 MHz) $\delta$ 157.69, 150.67, 137.51, 137.05, 135.01, 130.47, 129.80, 128.98, 127.46, 126.02, 115.33, 20.09, 13.79; HRMS Calcd for C$_{15}$H$_{14}$NCl [M+H]$^+$: 244.0888; Found: 244.0890.

(E)-N-(4-chlorobenzylidene) naphthalen-1-amine (3am)

121 mg, 91% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz) $\delta$ 8.6 (s, 1H), 7.91 - 7.86 (m, 5H), 7.62 (s, 1H), 7.53 - 7.45 (m, 5H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 125 MHz) $\delta$ 158.92, 149.16, 137.38, 134.66, 134.00, 132.05, 129.97, 129.08, 129.00, 127.92, 127.71, 126.45, 125.47, 120.89, 117.93; HRMS Calcd for C$_{17}$H$_{12}$NCl [M+H]$^+$: 266.0731; Found: 266.0733.
(E)-N-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-1-amine (3an)

109 mg, 81% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz) δ 8.4 (s, 1H), 7.74 (d, $J$ = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, $J$ = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.19 - 7.14 (m, 3H), 7.02 (2, $J$ = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 4.54 (t, $J$ = 7 Hz, 1H), 2.96 - 2.84 (m, 2H), 2.13 - 2.02 (m, 3H), 1.91 - 1.84 (m, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 125 MHz) δ 159.15, 137.15, 136.96, 136.56, 134.80, 129.56, 129.25, 128.84, 128.58, 127.01, 125.83, 68.52, 31.52, 29.49, 20.10; HRMS Calcd for C$_{17}$H$_{16}$NCl [M+H]$^+$: 270.1044; Found: 270.1042.

(E)-N-(4-chlorobenzylidene)cyclohexanamine (3ao)

82 mg, 74% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz) δ 8.27 (s, 1H), 7.67 (d, $J$ = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (d, $J$ = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 3.19 (s, 1H), 1.85 - 1.82 (m, 2H), 1.74 - 1.67 (m, 3H), 1.62 - 1.55 (m, 2H), 1.40 - 1.33 (m, 2H), 1.30 - 1.25 (m, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 125 MHz) δ 157.25, 136.16, 135.01, 129.20, 128.72, 69.92, 34.25, 25.55, 24.71; HRMS Calcd for C$_{13}$H$_{16}$NCl [M+H]$^+$: 222.1044; Found: 222.1042.

(E)-N-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-1,1-diphenylmethanamine (3aq)

94 mg, 62% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz) δ 8.40 (s, 1H), 7.80 (d, $J$ = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.42 – 7.30 (m, 11H), 7.26 – 7.20 (m, 1H), 5.62 (s, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 125 MHz) δ
159.44, 143.65, 136.70, 134.74, 129.62, 128.79, 128.46, 127.60, 127.05, 77.84; HRMS Calcd for C$_{20}$H$_{16}$NCl [M+H]$^+$: 306.1044; Found: 306.1042.

(E)-N-(4-chlorobenzylidene)-1-phenylmethanamine (3ar)

46 mg, 40% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 200 MHz) δ 8.25 (s, 1H), 7.63 (d, $J$ = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.31 – 7.21 (m, 7H), 4.73 (d, $J$ = 1.1 Hz, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 50 MHz) δ 160.47, 139.01, 136.66, 134.60, 129.41, 128.83, 128.50, 127.95, 127.04, 64.94; HRMS Calcd for C$_{14}$H$_{12}$NCl [M+H]$^+$: 230.0731; Found: 230.0730.

(E,E)-N$_1$N$_3$-bis(4-chlorobenzylidene)benzene-1,3-diamine (3as)

132 mg, 75% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz) δ 8.48 (s, 2H), 7.86 (d, $J$ = 8.5 Hz, 4H), 7.47 (d, $J$ = 8.5 Hz, 4H), 7.42 (t, $J$ = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (dd, $J$ = 7.6, 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.06 (s, 1H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 125 MHz) δ 159.25, 152.64, 137.52, 134.53, 129.99, 129.86, 129.10, 129.01, 118.77, 113.04; HRMS Calcd for C$_{20}$H$_{14}$N$_2$Cl$_2$ [M+H]$^+$: 353.0607; Found: 353.0603.

(E)-N-benzylidene-3-methylaniline (3ba)

70 mg, 72% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 200 MHz) δ 8.47 (s, 1H), 7.94 – 7.89 (m, 2H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.33 – 7.29 (m, 1H), 7.09 – 7.01 (m, 3H), 2.41 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$,
50 MHz) δ ppm 160.25, 131.35, 129.79, 129.01, 128.80, 126.75, 121.66, 117.87, 21.45;
HRMS Calcd for C_{14}H_{13}N [M+H]^+: 196.1121; Found: 196.1121.

(E)-N-(4-fluorobenzylidene)-3-methylaniline (3bb)

92 mg, 86% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 200 MHz) δ 8.31 (s, 1H), 7.79 (d, $J = 8.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.23 - 6.90 (m, 6H), 2.30 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 50 MHz) δ 167.12, 162.12, 158.52, 151.81, 138.96, 132.58, 130.77, 130.60, 128.95, 126.75, 121.55, 117.76, 116.07, 115.63, 21.34; HRMS Calcd for C$_{14}$H$_{12}$NF [M+H]$^+$: 214.1027; Found: 214.1027.

(E)-N-(4-iodobenzylidene)-3-methylaniline (3bc)

125 mg, 78% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) δ 8.37 (s, 1H), 7.81 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.61 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.06-6.99 (m, 1H), 2.38 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz) δ 158.91, 151.62, 139.04, 137.97, 135.70, 130.14, 129.01, 127.00, 121.57, 117.79, 98.09, 21.38; HRMS Calcd for C$_{14}$H$_{12}$NI [M+H]$^+$: 322.0087; Found: 322.0086.

(E)-3-methyl-N-(4-methylbenzylidene)aniline (3bd)

53 mg, 51% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 200 MHz) δ 8.32 (s, 1H), 7.70 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 2H), 7.20-7.15 (m, 3H), 6.97-6.91 (m, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 2.30 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 50
MHz) δ 160.07, 152.22, 141.72, 138.87, 133.71, 129.45, 128.90, 128.74, 126.47, 121.60, 117.81, 21.56, 21.36; HRMS Calcd for C_{13}H_{15}N [M+H]^+: 210.1277; Found: 210.1277.

(E)-N-(4-methoxybenzylidene)-3-methylaniline (3be)
70 mg, 62% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 200 MHz) δ 8.38 (s, 1H), 7.84 (d, $J = 8.9$ Hz, 2H), 7.31-7.23 (m, 1H), 7.04 - 6.96 (m, 5H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 125 MHz) δ 162.15, 159.53, 152.30, 138.90, 130.44, 129.25, 128.91, 126.31, 121.61, 117.81, 114.13, 55.41, 21.40.

(E)-3-methyl-N-(4-nitrobenzylidene)aniline (3bf)
96 mg, 80% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 400 MHz) δ 8.56 (s, 1H), 8.34 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H), 8.08 (d, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H), 7.33 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.14-7.07 (m, 3H), 2.42 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz)) δ 157.19, 151.01, 149.34, 141.74, 139.32, 129.43, 129.23, 127.93, 124.09, 121.78, 118.00, 77.08, 21.47; HRMS Calcd for C$_{14}$H$_{12}$O$_2$N$_2$ [M+H]$^+$: 241.0972; Found: 241.0972.

(E)-3-methyl-N-(3-methylbenzylidene)aniline (3bg)
95 mg, 91% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 200 MHz) δ 8.33 (s, 1H), 7.67 (s, 1H), 7.56 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.22-7.15 (m, 3H), 6.97-6.90 (m, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 2.30 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR
(CDCl$_3$, 50 MHz) $\delta$ 160.42, 152.16, 138.94, 138.52, 136.23, 132.15, 128.93, 128.62, 126.62, 126.37, 121.61, 117.81, 21.38, 21.28; HRMS Calcd for C$_{15}$H$_{15}$N $[M+H]^+$: 210.1277; Found: 210.1279.

(E)-N-(3-methoxybenzylidene)-3-methylaniline (3bh)

67 mg, 60% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 200 MHz) $\delta$ 8.37 (s, 1H), 7.47-7.31 (m, 3H), 7.23-7.19 (dd, $J = 7.3$, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.02-6.69 (m, 4H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 2.34 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 125 MHz) $\delta$ 162.15, 159.53, 152.30, 138.90, 130.44, 129.25, 128.91, 126.31, 121.61, 117.81, 114.13, 55.41, 21.40; HRMS Calcd for C$_{15}$H$_{15}$NO $[M+H]^+$: 226.1226; Found: 226.1227.

(E)-N-(4-bromo-2-methoxybenzylidene)-3-methylaniline (3bi)

70 mg, 46% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz) $\delta$ 8.78 (s, 1H), 7.97 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.23-6.96 (m, 6H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 2.35 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 125 MHz) $\delta$ 159.66, 155.14, 152.33, 138.90, 128.89, 128.65, 126.67, 126.46, 124.15, 123.79, 121.71, 118.01, 115.33, 114.69, 55.83, 21.38; HRMS Calcd for C$_{15}$H$_{14}$ONBr $[M+H]^+$: 304.0332; Found: 304.0333.
(E)-N-(2-aminobenzylidene)-3-methylaniline (3bj)

70 mg, 67% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz) $\delta$ 8.53 (s, 1H), 7.35 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.06-6.99 (m, 3H), 6.77-6.70 (m, 3H), 6.53 (s, 1H), 2.40 (s, 3H). HRMS Calcd for C$_{14}$H$_{14}$N$_2$ [M+H]$^+$: 211.1230; Found: 211.1230.

(E)-N-(furan-2-ylmethylene)-3-methylaniline (3bk)

70 mg, 76% isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 200 MHz) $\delta$ 8.24 (s, 1H), 7.57 (s, 1H), 7.24-7.19 (m, 1H), 7.03-6.99 (m, 3H), 6.90 (d, $J$ = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 6.52-6.50 (m, 1H), 2.34 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 100 MHz) $\delta$ 152.10, 151.32, 147.49, 145.52, 138.94, 128.93, 126.97, 121.65, 118.02, 116.04, 112.08, 21.35; HRMS Calcd for C$_{12}$H$_{11}$ON [M+H]$^+$: 186.0913; Found: 186.0914.

(E)-3-methyl-N-((E)-3-phenylallylidene)aniline (3bl)

50 mg, 45% Isolated yield. $^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz) $\delta$ 8.26 (d, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, $J$ = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.40-7.34 (m, 3H), 7.25 (d, $J$ = 6.5Hz, 1H), 7.16-6.97 (m, 3H), 2.37 (s, 3H). $^{13}$C NMR (CDCl$_3$, 125 MHz) $\delta$ 161.44, 151.71, 143.83, 138.99, 135.62, 129.54, 128.98, 128.91, 128.65, 127.47, 126.89, 121.61, 117.95, 21.39; HRMS Calcd for C$_{16}$H$_{15}$N [M+H]$^+$: 222.1277; Found: 222.1277.
(E)-1-(4-chlorophenyl)-N-(m-tolyl)methanimine-d (3aa-d)

$^1$H NMR (CDCl$_3$, 500 MHz) $\delta$ 7.85 (d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.46 (d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (t, $J$ = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.08-7.02 (m, 3H), 2.40 (s, 3H); HRMS Calcd for C$_{14}$H$_{11}$NCID [M+H]$^+$: 231.0794; Found: 231.0794.
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