Journal of Materials Chemistry C

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/materialsC

Journal Name

ARTICLE

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

γ Radiation Induced Self-Assembly of Fluorescent Molecules into Nanofibers: a Stimuli-responsive Sensing

Ji-Min Han,^{*a*} Na Wu,^{*a*} Brian Wang,^{*b*} Chen Wang,^{*a*} Miao Xu,^{*a*} Xiaomei Yang,^{*a*} Haori Yang,^{*c*} and Ling Zang^{**a*}

Low dose detection of γ radiation remains critical for radiology therapy and nuclear security. We report herein on a novel dual-band fluorescence sensor system based on a molecule, 4-(1*H*-phenanthro[9,10*d*]imidazol-2-yl)-*N*,*N*-diphenylaniline (PI-DPA), which can be dissolved into halogenated solvents to enable expedient detection of γ radiation. The limit of detection was projected down to 0.006 Gy. Exposure to γ radiation decomposes CHCl₃ into small radicals, which then combine to produce HCl. Strong interaction of HCl with the imidazole group of PI-DPA converts it into PI-DPA/HCl adduct, which self-assembles into nanofibers, quenching the fluorescence of pristine PI-DPA molecule, while producing new fluorescent emission in longer wavelength. Such dual-band emission response provides improved sensing reliability compared to single band response. Systematic investigations based on acid titration, ¹H NMR spectral measurements and time-course SEM imaging suggest that the observed new fluorescence band is due to π - π stacking of the PI-DPA/HCl adduct, which is facilitated by the formation of hydrogen bonded cluster units. The nanofibers exhibited high and reversible photoconductivity. Combining with the sensitive fluorescence response, the photoconductive nanofibers will enable development of multimode stimuli-responsive sensor system that is suited for small, low cost dosimetry of γ radiation with improved sensitivity and detection reliability.

Introduction

Low dose detection and dosimetry of γ radiation is crucial for radiology therapy, nuclear security and space mission.¹ Current detection technologies are vulnerable to low sensitivity (ion chambers),² energy and angular dependence (semiconductor detectors),³ expensive and/or complicated manufacturing (scintillation detectors),⁴ and time-consuming to read out (filmbased detectors).^{1a} Aiming to overcome these problems, various chemical sensors have been developed to detect γ radiation. Of the chemical sensors developed thus far, fluorescent sensing represents a simple, rapid, and highly sensitive approach.⁵ Among these studies, most of the efforts were focused on the fluorescence "turn-off" (quenching) mechanism. Recently, we have reported an imidazole-based sensor molecule, which can be dissolved into halogenated solvents (e.g., CHCl₃) to enable instant detection of γ radiation.^{5h} The sensing mechanism was based on fluorescence quenching caused by the chemical interaction between the sensor molecule and HCl molecule produced from y-radiation decomposition of CHCl₃. However, such single emission band monitoring faces potential challenge regarding detection reliability. For example, some environmental interferences or even excitation light fluctuation may cause the emission intensity decrease, resulting in false

positives of radiation detection. To this regard, fluorescence turn-on sensors would be more ideal compared to the quenching based ones, though few of such turn-on sensors have yet been reported. Difficulty in development of fluorescence turn-on sensors for radiation detection mostly lies in the molecular design, for which the sensor molecule must be sufficiently reactive to the signature species formed under γ radiation, whereas the reaction product thus formed must be highly fluorescent and more importantly robust enough against any fluorescence quenching reaction with γ radiation or the reactive radicals produced.



Scheme 1. (a) Molecular structures of the sensor molecule, PI-DPA, and its two analogues. (b) Fluorescence photographs of a CHCl₃ solution of PI-DPA (1×10^{-5} mol/L) before and after 5 Gy of γ radiation. (c) The dual-band fluorescence

RSCPublishing

sensing mechanism of PI-DPA, which can be combined with the photoconductive (resistive) sensing of nanofibers.

We report herein on a new fluorescence turn-on sensor system based on molecular self-assembly as shown in Scheme 1, where the sensor molecule is 4-(1H-phenanthro[9,10d]imidazol-2-yl)-N,N-diphenylaniline (PI-DPA), which can be dissolved in halogen solvent like CHCl₃. Upon exposure to γ radiation CHCl₃ decomposes into radicals, which then combine to produce HCl. Strong interaction of HCl with the imidazole group of PI-DPA converts the molecule to PI-DPA/HCl adduct, which tends to self-assemble to form well-defined nanofiber structure. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report on the nanofibril self-assembly induced by γ radiation. Formation of nanofibril structure quenches the fluorescence of pristine PI-DPA molecule, and generates a new fluorescence band at longer wavelength intrinsic to the aggregation state, providing a dual-band fluorescence sensing. By monitoring both the emission quenching and turn-on, the detection specificity or reliability of γ radiation is much enhanced (compared to the single band fluorescence quenching). Furthermore, by measuring the intensity increase of the new emission band, the detection sensitivity can potentially be improved by taking advantage of the low emission intensity (background) at that wavelength of the pristine sensor molecule.⁶ Moreover, the aggregation state helps prevent further molecular reaction with γ radiation or the reactive radicals produced. Remarkably, the long nanofibers exhibited high and reversible photoconductivity, providing another signal output to monitor γ radiation. Conductivity based gamma radiation sensor was previously reported using polymer/carbon nanotube composites,⁷ though the detection sensitivity was not competitive, in the range of 10 Gy (or 10^3 rad).

Results and Discussion

The sensor response of PI-DPA was first investigated by using UV-Vis and fluorescence spectral measurements. As shown in Figure 1a, the main absorption peak of PI-DPA centered at 370 nm gradually red shifted to 393 nm with increasing dose of γ radiation. Consistent with the absorption change, dramatic fluorescence spectral change was also observed concurrently (Figure 1b). Before exposure to γ radiation the CHCl₃ solution of PI-DPA demonstrated a strong blue fluorescence at 420 nm, whereas such emission was gradually quenched with increasing dose of γ radiation. Meanwhile, a new fluorescence band emerged at the longer wavelength, centered at 494 nm. Remarkably, both the absorption and fluorescence of PI-DPA showed significant change after only 0.1 Gy of radiation, implying high sensitivity of sensor response. All the spectral changes were observed instantly (in seconds) after the irradiation, indicating fast sensor response. Interestingly, both the absorption and emission intensity (at 494 nm) showed linear relationship with the radiation dose in the low range (0-2.0 Gy)as shown in Figure 1c and 1d, which allows for quantitative data analysis to determine the detection limit. By defining an intensity (or absorbance) change three times higher than the standard deviation (σ) as the detectable signal, the detection limit (based on 3σ /slope) for the sensor solution shown in Figure 1 can already reach 0.006 Gy through the emission intensity measurement, or 0.008 Gy through the absorption measurement (see detailed data processing in Supporting Information).^{5h,8}



Figure 1. (a) The absorption and (b) fluorescence spectra of PI-DPA in CHCl₃ solution (1×10^{-5} mol/L) before and after exposed to varying doses γ radiation (0 - 5.0 Gy). The excitation wavelength is 370 nm. (c) Absorption change of PI-DPA recorded at 393 nm and (d) fluorescence intensity change recorded at 494 nm, both as a function of the dose of γ radiation.

Both the red shifted absorption and new fluorescence band shown in Figure 1 imply molecular aggregation of PI-DPA as indeed observed by dynamic light scattering (DLS) measurement of the solution after 5 Gy γ irradiation (Figure S2). To explore the microscopic morphology of the molecular aggregation, the precipitation of PI-DPA molecules was transferred onto a glass substrate by drop-casting. Long, welldefined nanofibers were observed with optical microscope as shown in Figure 2. The bright field image (Figure 2a) demonstrates clearly the individual PI-DPA nanofibers, as well as the bundles of several nanofibers. One possible mechanism causing such fibril aggregation is through the formation of triarylamine radical, which was proven effective for initiating molecular assembly of neutral triarylamine molecules through synergistic $\pi - \pi$ stacking and charge transfer interactions.⁹ Considering that PI-DPA contains a triarylamine moiety, triarylamine radicals may also be formed in our case either by direct interaction with γ radiation or reacting with the radicals decomposed from CHCl₃. However, the strong green fluorescence of the PI-DPA nanofibers thus formed (Figure 2b) excludes the presence of triarylamine radicals, as the cationic radicals (if present) would function as strong fluorescence quenchers. To further exclude the radical mediating pathway, we performed the same experiments of Figure 1 with a reference compound, CHO-TPA (Scheme 1), which contains the same trarylamine group as PI-DPA, but lacks the imidazole

Journal Name

group. In comparison, there was no significant fluorescence change observed even after 5 Gy of γ radiation (Figure S3), indicating no aggregation or structural change occurred to the molecule, thus excluding the possibility of triarylamine radical mediated aggregation. These experimental results clearly suggest that the observed molecular aggregation of PI-DPA under γ radiation is unlikely due to the formation of triarylamine radicals; rather the imidazole group seems playing a crucial role in the molecular assembly.



Figure 2. (a) Bright-field and (b) fluorescence optical microscopy images of the nanofibers assembled from PI-DPA ($1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol/L}$ in CHCl₃) after 5 Gy of γ radiation, deposited on glass slide; (c) Absorption and (d) fluorescence spectra of PI-DPA in CHCl₃ solution ($1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol/L}$) upon titration with a series of concentration of HCl (0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0, 1.2 and 1.4 × 10⁻⁵ mol/L). The excitation wavelength is 370 nm.

An alternative mechanism for the observed molecular aggregation of PI-DPA is through the interaction between imidazole group and HCl produced from the γ irradiated CHCl₃.^{5h, 10} To confirm the HCl mediated molecular aggregation, we carried out a series of HCl titration experiments with the same CHCl3 solution of PI-DPA as used in the γ radiation experiment. As expected, upon addition of HCl both the absorption and fluorescence spectra of PI-DPA (Figure 2c, d) underwent almost identical spectral change as observed under γ radiation (Figure 1a, b). Both the absorption and fluorescence spectral changing were observed instantly upon addition of HCl, indicating the fast acid-base binding interaction between HCl and the imidazole moiety. This fast bimolecular reaction ensures the quick sensor response. Moreover, the formation of the PI-DPA/HCl adduct is a reversible reaction, which can be dissociated back to the pristine PI-DPA molecule simply by adding strong base. As shown in Figure S4, the fluorescence spectra of the γ irradiated PI-DPA suspension was nearly fully recovered by adding Et₃N. This further indicates that the observed spectral change of PI-DPA was solely due to the binding with HCl. The PI-DPA solution in CHCl3 with excess of HCl was also cast onto a quartz slide to measure the solid state (thin film) fluorescence spectra. As shown in Figure S5, the fluorescence spectrum

obtained matches very well the spectra measured over the PI-DPA solution after 5 Gy of γ radiation (Figure 1b) and the same solution after addition of HCl (Figure 2d). On the other hand, if the same titration experiment was performed in a good solvent like DMSO, where the protonated PI-DPA is soluble (no aggregation formed), only slight decrease in fluorescence intensity was observed and there was no new band emerged at longer wavelength (Figure S6). These comparative measurements again indicate that the observed fluorescence spectral shift is mainly due to the HCl binding induced molecular aggregation.

The HCl titration curves shown in Figure 2 can be used to estimate the concentration of HCl formed under different doses of γ radiation by comparing the absorption or fluorescence intensity change. For instance, the fluorescence intensity increase measured at 494 nm after 1 Gy of γ radiation (Figure 1b) corresponds approximately to the fluorescence intensity upon addition of 6×10^{-6} mol/L of HCl (Figure 2d), implying that the concentration of HCl produced under 1 Gy of γ radiation was about 6×10^{-6} mol/L. This value is in good agreement with the theoretically projected concentration of HCl (8×10^{-6} mol/L) produced under the same condition.^{10b}

As a control, we also synthesized an analogue of PI-DPA, by replacing the two phenyl groups with two methyl groups. The analogue (namely PI-DMA, Scheme 1) was also examined for the same γ radiation and HCl titration experiments as performed for PI-DPA. However, no fibers or any aggregates were formed from the PI-DMA solution. Under continuous γ radiation, the fluorescence of PI-DMA in CHCl3 was increased in intensity (in contrast to the decrease observed for PI-DPA), and no new fluorescence band emerged at longer wavelength (Figure S7). The observed fluorescence intensity increase is due to the acidification of PI-DMA.¹¹ These control experiments further confirm that the significant fluorescence spectral shift observed for PI-DPA is mostly caused by the molecular aggregation, for which the two phenyl rings in PI-DPA likely play a critical role in the π - π stacking intermolecular arrangement, which in turn enables formation of nanofiber structure (vide infra).

In addition to the high sensitivity, the PI-DPA sensor system also exhibited excellent selectivity to γ radiation compared to the common UV radiation or sunlight, which often cause fluorescence quenching of molecular sensors through photo-oxidation (Figure S8). Upon exposure to UV radiation or sunlight both the absorption and fluorescence of PI-DPA decrease in intensity, while in both cases the spectral shape remains unchanged, indicating that portion of the PI-DPA molecules were converted to non-fluorescent state (structure) via photo-oxidation. Moreover, such photoinduced conversion was not reversible, i.e., the decreased absorption or fluorescence could not be recovered by addition of base. The characteristic dual-band fluorescence response to γ radiation makes PI-DPA a unique sensor molecule for selective detection of γ radiation against the interference from UV or sunlight irradiation. This is superior to the common fluorescence sensors

based on single band quenching mode, which may produce false positive for γ radiation when exposed to UV or sunlight.



Figure 3. (a) The proposed HCl assisted π - π stacking aggregation of PI-DPA molecules; (b) Partial ¹H NMR spectra (CDCl₃) of PI-DPA (2 mmol) before and after the addition of HCl (20 mmol).

Using X-ray crystallography, Yoshida *et al.* revealed that the PI-DPA molecules can exhibit enhanced $\pi-\pi$ stacking ability in acetic acid (HAc), through a hydrogen bonded cluster unit composed of two PI-DPA (as hosts) and two HAc (as guests).¹² Considering the fact that hydrogen bonding interaction can help control the organization of aromatic rings in face-to-face $\pi-\pi$ stacking,¹³ we assume that the PI-DPA/HCl adduct can form the similar $\pi-\pi$ stacking assembly as PI-DPA/HAc (Figure 3a and S9a). To verify this hypothesis, we first characterized the self-assembly of PI-DPA/HAc adduct by the ¹H NMR spectra (S9b). Upon addition of HAc, the three signals assigned to the protons on one of the phenyl groups connected to the amine, Ha (7.10 ppm), Hb (7.59 ppm) and Hc (7.01 ppm), experienced upfield shifting. These NMR spectral shifts are due to the shielding from the aromatic ring current of neighboring phenanthryl group within the assembly, which is characteristic of the $\pi - \pi$ stacking interaction.¹⁴ The signals at 8.61 ppm (H¹), 8.37 ppm (H²) (assigned to the two protons on the phenanthryl ring), and 7.98 ppm (H^3) (assigned to the proton on the central phenyl ring connected to imidazole) experienced slight shifting, resulting from the competition between $\pi - \pi$ stacking and aromatic C-H···Ac⁻ interaction.¹⁵ The NMR spectral changes in Figure S9b are highly consistent with the hydrogen bonding assisted $\pi - \pi$ stacking cluster structure reported for the assembly of PI-DPA/HAc adduct. In comparison, we examined the NMR spectral change of PI-DPA/HCl adduct under the same condition (Figure 3b). Upon addition of HCl the signals of H^a, H^b, and H^c showed significant upfield shifting (the same as in the case of PI-DPA/HAc), indicating the strong $\pi - \pi$ stacking interaction. However, the signals of H¹, H², and H³ were shifted downfield, to 8.92 ppm, 8.52 ppm and 8.18 ppm, respectively, likely due to the stronger aromatic C-H···Cl- hydrogen bonds compared to the weak aromatic C-H···Ac⁻ interactions.¹⁶ The consistent ¹H NMR spectral shift between PI-DPA/HCl and PI-DPA/HAc adducts (particularly the upfield shifting due to $\pi - \pi$ stacking) suggest that the molecular assembly of PI-DPA/HCl adopts the similar intermolecular arrangement configuration as revealed from the crystalline structure of PI-DPA/HAc. Such HCl assisted assembly is shown in Figure 3a, wherein the π - π stacking selfassembly is enhanced by the hydrogen bonded cluster unit of two PI-DPA and two HCl. The flexible angle of H···Cl···H bonding^{16d} facilitates the formation of HCl bridging structure.

To monitor the molecular aggregation process, time-



Figure 4. SEM images of samples drop cast on silicon wafer from a PI-DPA solution ($1 \times 10^{-5} \text{ mol/L in CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$) at varying time intervals after addition of 20 fold excess of HCI: (a) 2 min, (b) 10 min, (c) 30 min, and (d) 12 hours. CH₂Cl₂ solvent was used instead mainly because of its higher volatility; the faster vaporization help minimize the additional aggregation formed during the drying process on surface. (e) Schematic drawing of the nanofibers growing process.

Journal Name

course scanning electron microscopy (SEM) imaging was performed over the samples drop cast from the PI-DPA solution at different time intervals after addition of HCl. As shown in Figure 4, small particles were quickly formed within 2 minutes after addition of HCl, indicating the fast molecular aggregation (nucleation) process facilitated by HCl binding. These small particles then grow into larger phase, here nanofiber structure, mainly driven by the one-dimensional π - π stacking arrangement.^{17d,18} Upon further aging, elongated nanofibers can be formed with length growing up to millimetre range. In contrast, the neutral PI-DPA solution (without addition of HCl) forms only film structure when cast on the same substrate (Figure S9), whereas no discrete aggregates were found. These results clearly demonstrate that the HCl binding facilitates the molecular aggregation, and the HCl bridged $\pi - \pi$ stacking arrangement drives the one-dimensional phase growth into well-defined fibril structure.



Figure 5. (a) I-V curves measured over the PI-DPA nanofibers in the dark (red) and under white light irradiation of the power density of 0.25 mW/mm² (black). In comparison, the films of neutral PI-DPA (pink) and PI-DPA/HCI nanoparticles (blue) showed almost no photocurrent under the same light condition (two curves are overlapped at the bottom of plot); (b) Photocurrent of PI-DPA nanofibers measured at 15 V bias in response to turning on and off the white light irradiation, showing the fast, reversible photo-response.

Nanofibers self-assembled from molecules through $\pi - \pi$ stacking usually demonstrate unique electrical properties compared to the amorphous bulk (or film) materials.^{17,18} For example, the one-dimensional molecular arrangement can facilitate the charge transport along the long axis of nanofiber, mainly through π -electron delocalization mediated by the intermolecular $\pi - \pi$ stacking as previously evidenced by both theoretical and experimental observations.¹⁹ With the efficient charge transport pathway along the nanofiber, we attempted to explore the photoconductivity of PI-DPA nanofibers. As shown in Figure 5a, the nanofibers generated from the γ irradiation exhibited low current in the dark, in the range of pA. Such low conductivity is usually observed for pure organic materials selfassembled from molecules, considering the minimal doping.²⁰ Remarkably, under white light irradiation at power density of 0.25 mW/mm² the photocurrent increased by over one order of magnitude, reaching the range of hundred pA. The increased conductivity observed under light is likely due to the photoinduced charge separation between the nanofiber and surface adsorbed oxygen, in conjunction with the efficient charge transport along the nanofiber. Oxygen is often employed for increasing the concentration of holes in p-type organic

materials.^{20a, 21} The LUMO levels of both PI-DPA (-1.4 eV vs. vacuum, calculated, see Supporting Information) and PI-DPA/HCl adduct (-2.1 eV, calculated) are energetically sufficient to drive electron transfer to oxygen under photo-excitation.

To further verify that the observed photocurrent enhancement for PI-DPA nanofiber is enabled by the π - π stacking mediated charge delocalization, we performed the same photocurrent experiments with the films of neutral PI-DPA and the PI-DPA/HCl nanoparticles (the early stage aggregates shown in Figure 4a). As expected, the two samples showed almost no photocurrent response under the same light irradiation (Figure 5a). These results clearly indicate that the nanofiber morphology is pivotal for the high photocurrent response by providing efficient charge transport pathway. In addition to the high magnitude, the photocurrent response was found to be also fast (in seconds) and reversible (Figure 5b). Combining such efficient photocurrent measurement with the dual-band fluorescence monitoring as described above will enable development of multimode stimuli-responsive sensor system based on the γ radiation induced self-assembly of PI-DPA. For example, small volume of chloroform solution of the sensor can be sealed in a microfluidic like device (solvent resistive), wherein the nanofibers formed can be aligned in situ into a circuit for photocurrent measurement (monitoring). The multimode sensor system thus fabricated is expected to provide not only improved sensitivity, but also better detection reliability.

Conclusions

In summary, we report herein on a new fluorescence sensor system based on γ radiation stimulated self-assembly of a fluorescence molecule, PI-DPA, dispersed in CHCl₃. When exposed to γ radiation, HCl is formed from the decomposition of CHCl₃; strong binding interaction between HCl and PI-DPA converts the molecule to PI-DPA/HCl adduct, which selfassembles into well-defined nanofiber structure, mainly driven by the hydrogen bonding assisted $\pi - \pi$ stacking. As a result, the blue fluorescence emission (420 nm) of the pristine PI-DPA is quenched, while a new emission band of the nanofibers emerged at the longer wavelength (494 nm). This dual-band emission monitoring can help enhance the detection reliability of γ radiation. By fitting linearly the emission intensity increase at 494 nm as a function of radiation dose, we can project the detection limit down to 0.006 Gy, which represents, to the best of knowledge, the best sensitivity among the chemical sensors reported thus far. The nanofibers of PI-DPA formed under γ radiation demonstrate high photoconductivity, which provides an additional sensor mode to detect γ radiation. Combining with the dual-band fluorescence sensing, the photoconductive nanofibers can be developed into a multimode stimuliresponsive sensor system incorporating both optical and electrical signal modulation. Such responsive self-assembly sensor may find broad applications in low dose detection of γ radiation, taking advantage of high sensitivity, fast response, small size and low cost.

Experimental Section

Synthesis

PI-DPA: Phenanthrene-9,10-quinone (208 mg, 1.0 mmol), ammonium bicarbonate (1.0 g, 13 mmol, excess) and 4-(diphenylamino)benzaldehyde (273 mg, 1.0 mmol) were added into 50 mL of EtOH in a 100 mL round flask; the suspension thus obtained was refluxed for 6 hours. After cooling to room temperature, the solvent was removed by rotatory evaporator, and the residue was further purified by column chromatography (silica gel) to give PI-DPA as a white solid (337 mg, 73% yield). ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 300 MHz, ppm): δ = 13.66 (2 H, bs), 8.90 (4 H, d, J = 8 Hz), 8.65 (4 H, d, J = 8 Hz), 8.5 (4 H, d, J = 8 Hz), 8.11 (4 H, d, J = 8 Hz), 8.79 (4 H, t, J = 8 Hz), 8.68 (4 H, t, J = 8 Hz); ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 75 MHz, ppm): δ = 149.6, 140.6, 130.5, 128.5, 128.0, 127.9, 127.6, 126.2, 124.8, 122.9. LRMS (ESI): m/z calcd: 461.2; found: 462.3 [M + H]⁺.

y radiation experiments

Following the method we developed in the previous study,^{5h} the γ radiation experiments were performed at room temperature with a 6 MV photon beam on a Novalis Classic Linear Accelerator (LINAC) (BrainLAB AG, Feldkirchen, Germany). The radiation output was calibrated by an ionization chamber to generate 0.01 Gy/MU (Monitor Unit) at maximum dose depth of 1.4 cm in water with a Source to Surface Distance (SSD) of 100 cm. The ionization chamber used has a calibration that is traceable to an Accredited Dosimetry Calibration Laboratory. Radiation beam from the LINAC machine head was angled to the downward direction, wherein the sensor samples were placed underneath at a height that was calculated for a certain dose of radiation. Slabs of solid water were placed atop the samples to provide dose building up. We performed the experiments with varying volumes and heights of solution samples (i.e., using vials of 1-10 mL in volume and 1-6 cm in height), and found that the detection efficiency (in terms of fluorescence quenching percentage under certain dose of radiation) was almost the same.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Department of Homeland Security, Science and Technology Directorate under grant number (2009-ST-108-LR0005), NSF (CHE 0931466), the SEED grant of the VP office of University of Utah (award # 10029849), and USTAR program.

Notes and references

^{*a*} Nano Institute of Utah, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Utah, 36 South Wasatch Dr., Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, USA. E-mail: lzang@eng.utah.edu. ^b Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Louisville, 529 South Jackson Street, Louisville, Kentucky 40202, USA.

^c Department of Nuclear Engineering & Radiation Health Physics, Oregon State University, 3451 SW Jefferson Way, Radiation Center E108, Corvallis, Oregon 97331, USA.

[†] Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Materials, general instrumentations, control experiments are given in the supporting information. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- (a) G. F. Knoll, Radiation detection and measurement, Wiley, 2010;
 (b) R. H. Herz, The photographic action of ionizing radiations in dosimetry and medical, industrial, neutron, auto-and microradiography, Wiley-Interscience New York, 1969.
- S. C. Graham, R. H. Friend, S. Fung, S. C. Moratti, Synth. Met. 1997, 84, 903-904.
- 3 J. G. Webster, *The Measurement, Instrumentation, and Sensors: Handbook,* CRC Press published, 1999.
- 4 L. Storm, H. I. Israel, Atomic Data and Nuclear Data Tables 1970, 7, 565-681.
- 5 (a) E. A. B. Silva, J. F. Borin, P. Nicolucci, C. F. O. Graeff, T. G. Netto, R. F. Bianchi, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2005, 86, 131902; (b) A. Singh, K. Chen, S. J. Adelstein, A. I. Kassis, *Radiat. Res.* 2007, 168, 233-242; (c) H. Zhong, Y. Zhao, Y. Li, Q. Pei, *Nanotechnology* 2008, 19, 505503; (d) Y. S. Zhao, H. Zhong, Q. Pei, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2008, 10, 1848-1851; (e) Z. Li, S. Wu, J. Han, S. Han, *The Analyst* 2011, 136, 3698-3706; (f) E. S. Bronze-Uhle, A. Batagin-Neto, F. C. Lavarda, C. F. O. Graeff, *J. Appl. Phys.* 2011, 110, 073510; (g) E. S. Bronze-Uhle, A. Batagin-Neto, D. M. Fernandes, I. Fratoddi, M. V. Russo, C. F. O. Graeff, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 2013, 102, 241917; (h) J. M. Han, M. Xu, B. Wang, N. Wu, X. Yang, H. Yang, B. J. Salter, L. Zang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2014, 136, 5090-5096; (i) X. Dong, F. Hu, Z. Liu, G. Zhang D. Zhang *Chem. Commun.* 2015, 51, 3892-3895.
- 6 L. Basabe-Desmonts, D. N. Reinhoudt, M. Crego-Calama, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2007, **36**, 993-1017.
- 7 J. M. Lobez, T. M. Swager, Angew Chem Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 95-98.
- 8 B. M. Tissue, *Basics of Analytical Chemistry and Chemical Equilibria* John Wiley & Sons, 2013.
- 9 (a) E. Moulin, F. Niess, M. Maaloum, E. Buhler, I. Nyrkova, N. Giuseppone, *Angew Chem Int. Ed.* 2010, 49, 6974-6978; (b) V. Faramarzi, F. Niess, E. Moulin, M. Maaloum, J. F. Dayen, J. B. Beaufrand, S. Zanettini, B. Doudin, N. Giuseppone, *Nature Chem.* 2012, 4, 485-490.
- (a) M. Ottolenghi, G. Stein, *Radiat. Res.* 1961, 14, 281; (b) H. R. Werner, R. F. Firestone, *J. Phys. Chem.* 1965, 69, 840-849.
- (a) J. Dey, S. K. Dogra, J. Phys. Chem. 1994, 98, 3638-3644; (b) H. J.
 Kim, C. H. Heo, H. M. Kim, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 17969-17977.
- Y. Ooyama, H. Kumaoka, K. Uwada, K. Yoshida, *Tetrahedron* 2009, 65, 8336-8343.
- 13 A. N. Sokolov, T. Friščić, L. R. MacGillivray J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 2806-2807.
- (a) A. S. Shetty, J. Zhang, J. S. Moore, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 1019-1027; (b) Y. Hamuro, S. J. Geib, A. D. Hamilton, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 10587-10593; (c) J. Y. Wang, J. Yan, Z. Li, J. M. Han, Y. Ma, J. Bian, J. Pei, Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 7760.

- 15 N. H. Evans, P. D. Beer, Angew Chem Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 11716-11754.
- 16 (a) V. S.Bryantsev, B. P. Hay, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 8282-8283; (b) K. Chellappan, N. J. Singh, I.-C. Hwang, J. W. Lee, K. S. Kim, Angew Chem Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 2899-2903; (c) K. J. Winstanley, A. M. Sayer, D. K. Smith, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 1760-1767; (d) A. Kovács, Z. Varga, Coord. Chem. Rev. 2006, 250, 710–727; (e) Y. Li, A. H. Flood, Angew Chem Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 2649-2652; (f) V. Havel, J. Svec, M. Wimmerova, M. Dusek, M. Pojarova, V. Sindelar, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4000-4003.
- 17 (a) K. Balakrishnan, A. Datar, R. Oitker, H. Chen, J. Zuo, L. Zang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10496-10497; (b) K. Balakrishnan, A. Datar, T. Naddo, J. Huang, R. Oitker, M. Yen, J. Zhao, L. Zang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 7390-7398; (c) Y. Che, A. Datar, K. Balakrishnan, L. Zang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 7234-7235; (d) L. Zang, Y. Che, J. S. Moore, Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 1596-1608.
- (a) A. C. Grimsdale, K. Mullen, Angew Chem Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 5592-5629; (b) Y. S. Zhao, H. Fu, A. Peng, Y. Ma, Q. Liao, J. Yao, Acc. Chem. Res. 2010, 43, 409-418; (c) F. Wurthner, Chem. Commun. 2004, 14, 1564-1579; (d) C. Park, J. E. Park, H. C. Choi, Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 2353–2364.
- (a) Y. Che, A. Datar, X. Yang, T. Naddo, J. Zhao, L. Zang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, **129**, 6354-6355; (b) Y. Che, X. Yang, G. Liu, C. Yu, H. Ji, J. Zuo, J. Zhao, L. Zang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, **132**, 5743-5750; (c) Y. Che, H. Huang, M. Xu, C. Zhang, B. R. Bunes, X. Yang, L. Zang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, **133**, 1087-1091; (d) V. Coropceanu, J. Cornil, D. A. da Silva Filho, Y. Olivier, R. Silbey, J. L. Bredas, Chem. Rev. 2007, **107**, 926-952; (e) F. S. Kim, G. Ren, S. A. Jenekhe Chem. Mater. 2011, **23**, 682–732.
- 20 (a) Y. Che, X. Yang, Z. Zhang, J. Zuo, J. S. Moore, L. Zang, *Chem. Commun.* 2010, 46, 4127-4129; (b) H. Huang, D. E. Gross, X. Yang, J. S. Moore, L. Zang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* 2013, 5, 7704-7708.
- 21 (a) A. J. Maliakal, J. Y. C. Chen, W.-Y. So, S. Jockusch, B. Kim, M. F. Ottaviani, A. Modelli, N. J. Turro, C. Nuckolls, A. P. Ramirez, *Chem. Mater.* 2009, 21, 5519-5526; (b) X. Zhang, J. Jie, W. Zhang, C. Zhang, L. Luo, Z. He, X. Zhang, W. Zhang, C. Lee, S. Lee, *Adv. Mater.* 2008, 20, 2427-2432.





 γ radiation causes self-assembly of sensor molecules into nanofibril structure, enabling stimuli-responsive sensing based on fluorescence and photoconductivity modulation.