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A Highly Convergent Synthesis of the C1-C31 Polyol Domain of Amphidinol 3 featuring a TST-RCM Reaction: Confirmation of the Revised Relative Stereochemistry†

Aleksandr Grisin and P. Andrew Evans*

The concise enantioselective synthesis of the revised C1-C31 fragment of the polyketide amphidinol 3 was accomplished in 16 steps and 13.9% overall yield. Salient features of the strategy include chemoselective Weinreb amide coupling and concomitant CBS reduction for the preparation of the C1-C15 tris-syn-1,5-diol motif and a temporary silicon-tethered ring-closing metathesis (TST-RCM) reaction in combination with a diastereoselective hydroboration for the installation of the C16-C31 polypropionate fragment. The union of the fragments was accomplished by a regioselective ring-opening of the terminal epoxide with a phenyl sulfone stabilized carbanion, which upon deprotection permits a comparison of the relative configuration with the natural product.

Introduction

Amphidinols (AMs) and their congeners are structurally unique polyene-polyhydroxy secondary metabolites that belong to the linear polyether family isolated from the dinoflagellate Amphidinium species.1 In recent years there has been considerable interest in amphidinol 3 (1, Fig. 1), which was isolated from A. klebsii by Murata and co-workers in 1999 in waters off Japan, due to its potent biological activity.2 For instance, the amphidinols exhibit antifungal, cytotoxic, hemolytic and anti-diatom activity, in which AM3 (1) exhibits the most potent antifungal activity (MEC = 4–9 µg/disk against Aspergillus niger), albeit with hemolytic action (EC50 = 0.009–0.4 µM against human erythrocyte cells). Interestingly, the mechanism of action for this agent has recently been attributed to its ability to form barrel-stave pores, similar to amphotericin B, which is induced by the stereospecific molecular recognition with membrane sterols.3,4 Specifically, the bis-tetrahydropyran core, which is highly conserved in this family, hydrogen bonds with the 3β-OH of the sterols to permit the permeabilization of the membrane. The absolute and relative configuration of AM3 (1) was deduced using a combination of J-based configurational analysis (JBCA) for acyclic 1,2- and 1,3-dioxygenated systems,5 modified Mosher’s method,6 nOe experiments and chiral HPLC analysis of degradation products. Nevertheless, the revision of the configuration at C2 and C51 has severely hampered progress towards the total synthesis of this agent.7 Hence, the unique molecular architecture and potent biological activity coupled with residual structural and mechanistic ambiguities have prompted several creative approaches8 to the C19-C31 polyol,9 C30-C51 bis-tetrahydropyran10 and the C52-C67 polyene,11 albeit prior to the stereochemical revisions outlined above. Herein, we now describe a novel and expeditious synthesis of the revised C1-C31 fragment of AM3 (1) using a highly convergent strategy, which confirms the relative configuration.

Retrosynthetic analysis

We envisioned the C1-C31 fragment, which is challenging due to the complications posed by the installation of remote stereochemistry in the acyclic linear carbon backbone, would be derived using the strategy outlined in Scheme 1. For instance, this motif has three syn-1,5-diols, two of which are separated by E-configured double bonds, coupled to a highly functionalized polyacetate/polypropionate type domain that is terminated with a trisubstituted E-olefin.

![Figure 1. Structure of the polyene-polyhydroxy secondary metabolite, amphidinol 3 (1).](image-url)
Scheme 1 Retrosynthetic analysis of the C1–C31 polyol fragment of amphidinol 3. TIPS = triisopropylsilyl, TBS = tert-butyldimethylsilyl, TES = triethylsilyl, Bn = benzyl, PMB = p-methoxybenzyl.

Scheme 2 Preparation of the C1–C9 iodide 5 and the C10–C15 epoxide 6. Conditions: (a) Acrolein, HG-II, CH₂Cl₂, 40 °C, then TESOTf, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, −78 °C, 93%, E/Z ≥ 19:1; (b) AllenylSnBu₃, (1pc)₂BH, Et₃O, −40 °C to −20 °C, then 5, Et₃O, −78 °C, 89%, ds ≥ 19:1; (c) TBSOTf, Et₂O, 0 °C, 95%; (d) I₂, Et₂O, 0 °C, 99%; (e) CDI, then BnNH(OMe), CH₂O, −78 °C, 93%, (f) TIPSO, Et₂O, −78 °C, 89%, (g) ≥ 19:1; (h) PrMgCl, NaHCO₃ (1:1), THF, −10 °C, 64%; (i) Acetone, Oxone® NaHCO₃, EtOAc/H₂O (1:1), RT, 98%; (j) (S,S)-Co-OAc, H₂O, THF, RT, 60% (based on 50% conv.), ≥99% ee; HG-II = Hoveyda-Grubbs second-generation catalyst, TF = trifluoromethanesulfonyl, 1pc = isopinocamphyl, CDI = 1,1'-carbonyldiimidazole, Oxone® = potassium peroxymonosulfate, THF = tetrahydrofuran.

Hence, the ability to develop a highly convergent route to 2 would provide an opportunity to facilitate a Negishi carboalumination/Cram addition to enable the union with the C32–C67 segment and elaboration to the natural product. The retrosynthetic analysis of 2 affords two fragments, 3 and 4, of similar size and complexity, which we assumed could be coupled via the ring-opening of the terminal epoxide 3 with the lithiated sulfone derived from 4. The masked syn-1,5-tetraol 3 would in turn be prepared by the alkylation of the Weinreb amide 6 with an organometallic reagent derived from the vinyl iodide 5 and an enantioselective reduction of the resulting ketone. The preparation of the cyclic silaketal 4, which constitutes the aforementioned polycarbonate/polypropionate type domain, relies on a Z-selective TST-RCM reaction for coupling 7 and 8 with concomitant diastereoselective hydroboration and facilitating the construction of the C23–C24 stereocenters using medium-ring stereocontrol.

Results and discussion

Guided by this strategy, we began our synthesis of the C1–C15 fragment 3 with the preparation of Weinreb coupling partners 5 and 6 (Scheme 2). Cross metathesis of the homoallylic alcohol 9 with excess acrolein using Hoveyda-Grubbs second-generation catalyst, followed by in situ protection of the secondary alcohol furnished iodide 5 in 94% yield (E/Z ≥ 19:1 by NMR). Treatment of the a,β-unsaturated aldehyde 10 with the chiral tin boronate derived from the combination of the allenyl stannane with (1pc)₂BH in diethyl ether at −78 °C, afforded the requisite vinyl stannane in 89% yield with excellent stereocontrol (ds ≥ 19:1 benzy NMR). Protection of the resulting secondary alcohol as the tert-butyldimethylsilyl ether and halogen-metal exchange of the vinyl stannane gave iodide 5 in 94% (over 2 steps) thereby completing the pronucleophile component. The preparation of the enantiomerically enriched Weinreb amide 6 originated with the conversion of 5-hexenoic acid 11 to the Weinreb amide 12 using carboxyldimidazole and N-benzyl-O-methylhydroxylamine. Epoxidation of the terminal olefin in 12 with in situ generated DMDO provided the racemic epoxide, which was subjected to Jacobsen’s hydrolytic kinetic resolution to furnish the enantiomerically enriched epoxide 6 (≥99% ee by HPLC).
Scheme 3 outlines the coupling of the vinyl iodide 5 with the Weinreb amide 6 and elaboration to the terminal epoxide 3. Preliminary attempts to facilitate the coupling with the vinyl lithium reagent derived from 5 proceeded with moderate success, due to the reduction of the intermediary organometallic reagent. Gratifyingly, treatment of the vinyl iodide 5 with iPrMgCl·LiCl in the presence of 15-crown-5 followed by the addition of the Weinreb amide 6 furnished the α,β-unsaturated ketone 13 in 64% yield without erosion of olefin geometry.21 The fragment was then completed with the enantioselective CBS reduction of ketone 13 (ds ≥19:1 by NMR) and protection of the allylic alcohol to afford the C1–C15 fragment 3 in excellent overall yield.

Scheme 4. Preparation of the C16–C23 fragment 7 and the C24–C30 fragment 8. Conditions: (a) Br2, PPh3, imid, 2-methyl-2-butene, CH2Cl2, 0 °C; (b) AD-mix-α, dBuOH/H2O (1:1), 0 °C, 75% (over 2 steps), 92% ee; (c) TBSCI, imid, CH2Cl2, 0 °C to RT, 80%; (d) Isopropenylmagnesium bromide, Li2[CuCl4], Et2O, −78 °C to RT, 99%; (e) Boc-ON, LiHMDS, THF, 0 °C, 95%; (f) IBr, PhMe, −85 °C, 15:1; (g) K2CO3, MeOH, RT, 81% (over 2 steps); (h) TBSCI, TMEDA, DMF, 0 °C to RT, 97%; (i) Me3SOTf, nBuLi, THF, −10 °C to 0 °C, 92%: imid = imidazole, AD = asymmetric dihydroxylation, Boc-ON = 2-(tert-butoxycarbonyloxyimino)-2-phenyleacetonitrile, HMDMS = hexamethyldisilazane, PhMe = toluene, TMEDA = tetramethylthlenediamine, DMF = dimethylformamide.

In concurrent work, we focused on the preparation of the fragments required for the key TST-RCM cross-coupling reaction (Scheme 4).22 Conversion of the allylic alcohol 1423 to the corresponding primary allylic bromide and concomitant Sharpless asymmetric dihydroxylation,24 afforded the required α-hydroxy epoxide 15 in 75% overall yield and with 92% enantiomeric excess (by 1H NMR analysis of the Mosher’s ester). Protection of the secondary alcohol 15 as the tert-butyldimethylsilylether and regioselective ring-opening of the terminal epoxide with isopropenylmagnesium cuprate at −78 °C furnished 7 in 79% yield over two steps. The elaboration of the allylic alcohol 8 commenced with Boc protection of the homoallylic alcohol 1625 to afford carbonate 17 in 95% yield. This substrate provided the necessary functionalization to affect the strategic 1,3-syn stereoinduction using IBr at low temperature to install the C25 stereocenter with good diastereoccontrol (ds = 15:1 by NMR).26 Hydrolysis of the intermediate cyclic iodocarbonate with potassium carbonate in methanol furnished the β-hydroxy epoxide 18 in 81% yield over two steps. The allylic alcohol 8 was then completed in 89% overall yield with the protection of the secondary alcohol 18 as the tert-butyldimethylsilylether and ring-opening of the terminal epoxide with the sultone ylide, generated in situ from Me3SOTf.

Scheme 5 delineates the TST-RCM coupling of the fragments 7 and 8 and subsequent elaboration to afford 4. Treatment of the homoallylic alcohol 7 with excess iPr2SiCl3 to afford the monoalkoxycarbosilane, followed by removal of the excess tethering reagent and addition of the allylic alcohol 8, furnished the diene 19 in 84% yield,13,14 thereby setting the stage for the ring-closing metathesis reaction. Although preliminary studies demonstrated that the cyclization of 19 was particularly challenging, Grubbs’ second-generation catalyst provided the optimal catalyst to afford the silaketal 20 in quantitative yield and with excellent Z/E selectivity (≥19:1 by NMR).27,28 Furthermore, this transformation was highly scalable and reproducible (>1g scale). Diastereoselective hydroboration of the trisubstituted olefin 20 provided the required anti-vic-alcohol using medium-ring stereoccontrol (Fig. 2). Although the transformation was accompanied by the cleavage of a tert-butyldimethylsilylether group, this was inconsequential since the crude diol was silylated to afford the fully protected silaketal 21 in quantitative yield. The origin of stereocontrol in the hydroboration is evident from the inspection of the molecular model of alkene 20, which demonstrates the approach of the electrophile is favored from the convex face of the silaketal (Fig. 2).

Scheme 5. Construction of the C16–C30 fragment 4 using the TST-RCM/hydroboration reaction. Conditions: (a) 7, iPr2SiCl3, imid, CH2Cl2, 0 °C to RT, then 8, imidazole, CH2Cl2, 0 °C to RT, 84%; (b) 2 x 15 mol% G-II, CH2Cl2, 40 °C, 97%, Z/E ≥19:1; (c) BH3·THF, THF, RT, then H2O2, NaOH, 0 °C to RT; (d) TBSOTf, Et3N, CH2Cl2, −40 °C, 72% (over 2 steps), ds ≥19:1; (e) DDQ, CH2Cl2/pH 7 buffer (20:1), 0 °C, 87%; (f) PhSSPPh, PBu3, MeCN, RT, then TPAP, NMO, 40 °C, CH2Cl2, 76%. DDQ = 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone, TPAP = tetra-n-propylammonium persulphate, NMO = 4-methylmorpholine N-oxide.
The relative stereochemistry of the hydroboration product 21 was assigned using a series of 2D NMR experiments in conjunction with coupling constant analysis, as outlined in Fig. 2. The observed spectroscopic data indicates that the silaketals 21 adopt a boat-chair conformation. The 1,2-diequatorial (gauche) coupling constant between H4 and H2 (JH2,4 = 3.1 Hz) and NOE correlation between H2, H1, and H4, which reside on the same face of the molecule support this assignment. Furthermore, the pseudo-1,2-diaxial JH1,H3 (9.8 Hz) and 1,2-axial-equatorial JH1,H3 (5.4 Hz) coupling constants provide additional support for this connectivity. The sulfone 4 was completed by the chemoselective cleavage of the primary PMB ether followed by a one-pot Mitsunobu/oxidation sequence with the primary alcohol 22 to afford 4 in 66% over 2 steps.

Scheme 6. Completion of the C1–C31 fragment of amphidinol 3. Conditions: (a) 4, nBuLi, THF, −78 °C, then 3, BF3·Et2O; (b) TBSOTf, Et3N, CH2Cl2, 0 °C, 90% (over 2 steps); (c) LiDBB, THF, −78 °C, 64%; (d) TPAP, NMO, molecular sieves (4 Å), CH2Cl2, 0 °C, 90% (over 2 steps); (e) Me(CO)C(NiPr)2P(O)(OMe)3, K2CO3, THF/MeOH (1:1), 0 °C to RT, 89% (over 2 steps); LiDBB = lithium di-tert-butylphenylide.

Scheme 6 outlines the union of the C1–C15 3 and C16–C30 4 fragments to complete the construction of the masked polyol 2. Following our initial plan, regioselective epoxide opening was achieved by lithiation of the phenyl sulfone 4 with nBuLi, followed by addition of the terminal epoxide 3 and BF3·Et2O at −78 °C to furnish the requisite β-hydroxysulfone intermediate, which after silylation afforded the C15–C16 coupling product 23 in excellent overall yield as a mixture of inconsequential diastereoisomers at C16.30,31 The strategic removal of the sulfone and the primary benzyl ether moieties in 23 was achieved using a single-electron reduction with freshly prepared lithium di-tert-butylphenylide complex in THF at −78 °C to afford 24 in 64% yield. The resulting primary alcohol was oxidized to the aldehyde using Ley’s conditions32 and converted to the alkyne 2 via Seyerth-Gilbert homologation with Bestmann-Oha reagent in 89% yield over 2 steps to complete the stereoselective construction of the C1–C31 fragment of AM3 (1).33

Chemoslective desulfonylation and deprotection of the silyl ethers in 23 afforded the polyl fragment to facilitate a direct comparison of the spectroscopic data (1H and 13C NMR) with the natural product to support the reassigned relative configuration of amphidinol 3 (1) as outlined in Fig. 3.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we have developed the first synthesis of the reassigned C1–C31 fragment of polyketide amphidinol 3 (1) in 13.7% overall yield using a 16-step longest linear sequence from 16. The strategy encompasses a high degree of convergence and allows for the expeditious preparation of this intermediate for completion of the natural product. Our approach features the allylboration of an electron-deficient α,β-unsaturated aldehyde, mild iodine-magnesium exchange and chemoselective Weinreb amide coupling. Furthermore, the synthesis highlights the utility of the TST-RCM methodology for the non-aldol preparation of the polypentaceto portion of AM3 (1) via the cross-coupling of advanced intermediates and a highly regio- and stereoselective electrophilic functionalization using medium-ring stereocontrol. Overall, this route provides the most expeditious approach to the polyl fragment of AM3 (1) developed to date and confirms the revised structure for the polyl domain of the natural product.
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28  Interestingly, the ring-closing metathesis reaction with Hoveyda-Grubbs second-generation catalyst, which has been utilized to form both E- and Z-silaketals was completely ineffective for this process.29
32  Although excess phenyl sulfone 4 is required in the coupling reaction, the unreacted material was recovered in almost quantitative yield.