Green Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/greenchem

Green Chemistry

Z.J. Wang,^a W. R. Jackson^a and A. J. Robinson^a*

(RCM) and cross-metathesis (CM) reactions in water.

A Simple and Practical Preparation of an Efficient

Water Soluble Olefin Metathesis Catalyst

Journal Name

ARTICLE

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Received 00th January 2012, Accepted 00th January 2012

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Introduction

Ru-alkylidene catalysed olefin metathesis has become a powerful tool for the formation of new C-C double bonds.¹ The commercial availability of bench stable catalysts, generally mild reaction conditions employed and functional group tolerance of the catalysts have permitted wide-spread application of this chemistry into areas such as natural product synthesis,² materials science³ and the pharmaceutical industry.⁴ There is still considerable interest in improving the capabilities of Ru-based metathesis catalysts in the areas of Z-selectivity,⁵ efficient ethenolysis,⁶ and use of less expensive organometallic species.⁷ Furthermore, with increasing demand for greener variants of existing chemistry,8 as well as ongoing interest in accessing biologically relevant molecules, olefin metathesis in water is an area which calls for further research and development. Importantly, many polar ionic substrates (such as those presented in Table 1) have poor solubility in toluene or dichloromethane and therefore suffer from low reactivity in traditional organic media. Therefore, development of efficient aqueous phase olefin metathesis would provide а complimentary extension to the scope of this chemistry.

Numerous strategies have been developed to facilitate olefin metathesis reactions in aqueous media including using solvent mixtures,9 additives,10 on water metathesis11 and catalyst modification.¹² In addition, several heterogeneous catalysts capable of functioning in water have been reported in water Whilst examples of tailored Ru-alkylidene catalysts (1-5) (Figure 1) for olefin metathesis in water have been reported,¹³ some vital limitations in this area of research still remain. In particular, Ru-complexes 2, 4 and 5 possess water solubilising functionalities on the benzylidene ligand.¹⁴ Upon dissociation of this labile ligand, the propagating Ru-alkylidene species must some vital limitations in this area of research still remain. In particular, Ru-complexes 2, 4 and 5 possess water solubilising functionalities on the benzylidene ligand.¹⁴ Upon dissociation of this labile ligand, the propagating Ru-alkylidene species must operate independent of the initially appended water solubilising functionality. On the other hand functionalising the non-dissociating NHC ligand with hydrophilic groups is a promising tactic to maintain homogeneity of the active propagating catalyst in water throughout the entire reaction cycle.15





However, efforts towards this end have been met with limited success in terms of catalyst activity and practicality. Fo. example, Schanz and coworkers¹⁶ demonstrated the potential utility of ammonium functionalities on the NHC ligand to solubilise the Ru-alkylidene complex 3 in water. However, relatively low metathesis activity was reported for 3, possibly due to the electron withdrawing effects of the ammonium groups directly attached to the aryl systems.¹⁷ Grubbs and coworkers¹⁸ reported the polyethylene glycol based complex 1

RSCPublishing

with higher catalytic activity in metathesis reactions. The synthesis of this complex however required the manipulation and purification of polymeric intermediates. In our ongoing efforts to expand the utility of Ru-alkylidene catalysed olefin metathesis chemistry¹⁹ we sought a simple, active and easily accessible Ru-alkylidene catalyst which operates homogeneously in water.



Results and discussion

Herein we report the preparation of an in-situ generated Rualkylidene catalyst 6 (Figure 1), from bench-stable precursor 7, and examine its catalytic activity in ring-closing metathesis (RCM) and cross-metathesis (CM) reactions performed in water. Complex 7 was prepared in six steps from the benzonitrile 8^{20} (Scheme 1). Reduction of 8 with LiAlH₄, followed by treatment with Boc anhydride afforded carbamate 9. Condensation of 9 with glyoxal and subsequent reduction with NaBH₄ gave diamine 10. Treatment of 10 with triethyl orthoformate in the presence of NH₄BF₄ gave imidazolinium salt 11. It is noteworthy that the preparation of imidazolinium salt 11 from the starting benzonitrile 8 required only one chromatographic purification (of the diamine 10) and consequently enabled access to 11 in a highly scalable (> 10 g batch) fashion. Deprotonation of carbene precursor 11 using KHMDS or KO^tBu followed by reaction with HGI provided the Ru-alkylidene complex 7, however in low yields. Deleterious deprotonation of the acidic NH protons of the carbamate groups was deemed responsible for the low yield and unwanted by-products. Importantly, Taton and coworkers²¹ recently reported the use of imidazolinium hydrogen carbonate salts as an alternative source of carbenes without the need for strong base. We applied this approach to our synthetic route by first converting the tetrafluroborate salt 11 to the bicarbonate salt 12 using an ion exchange resin.²² The bicarbonate salt 12 was subsequently treated with HGI at 80 °C in toluene to afford the desired Ru-alkylidene 7 in good yield. Complex 7 was easily isolated and no storage precautions were necessary for this air stable, non-hygroscopic complex.

Application of catalyst precursor 7 to olefin metathesis reactions in water was investigated. Acid cleavage of the Boc groups with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in CH_2Cl_2 followed by



Scheme 2 Activation of catalyst precursor ${\bf 7}$ to afford the water soluble diamonium complex ${\bf 6}$

removal of volatiles under reduced pressure generated the di-ammonium complex **6** as a green solid (Scheme 2). Subsequent dissolution of **6** in water at room temperature was facile; 5 mg of **6** readily dissolves in 1 ml of D_2O giving a molar solution (0.005 mM) comparable to that employed in reactions involving organic solvents. Conveniently, the generation of **6** (from complex **7**) and the subsequent olefin metathesis reaction in water could be performed in a one-pot procedure, without the need for purification of **6**.

The olefin metathesis activity of complex 6 was investigated with a model RCM reaction in D_2O (Figure 2). The rate of RCM of diene 13 at various temperatures was followed by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy. At room temperature, the reaction reached equilibrium after 1 h with an 87% conversion to the ring-closed product 14. At 50 °C, the reaction proceeded to completion after 40 minutes. Remarkably, catalyst 6 showed high activity at 80 °C with complete conversion of diene 13 to the cyclised product 14 in 10 minutes.



The turnover number (TON) of **6** was also studied (Table 1, Entry 1). At a loading of 0.1 mol% of catalyst **6**, RCM of diene **15** proceeded to 91% conversion, equating to a TON of greater than 900. Using 5 mol% of **6** gave optimal metathesis results and these conditions were used for subsequent reactions (Table 1). The RCM of dienes **13**, **15** and **16** gave complete conversion to cyclised products **14**, **17** and **18** respectively (Entries 1-3). O particular interest to our ongoing studies towards marine alkaloids,²³ RCM of **19** gave the 1-azaspirocycle **20** (Entry 4). RCM of dienes **21**, **22** and **23** gave the pyrrolidinium structures **24**, **25** and **26** respectively in moderate conversions (Entries 5-7). Isolation of selected examples was achieved *via* simple aqueous extraction to afford pure ammonium products (see Table 1 and Experimental Section). Journal Name



^a Metathesis reactions were performed using 5 mol% 6 in D₂O at 80 °C for 1 h. The initial substrate concentration was 0.1 M in D₂O. Conversions were determined by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy. ^b Reaction performed using 0.1 mol% precatalyst 6. ^c Isolated yield.

Studies on CM in water began with the homo-coupling of allyl alcohol (27) to give diol 28 in excellent conversion (Entry 8). Self CM of long alkenylammonium salts both with a non-terminal alkene (29) and a terminal methylene group (31) gave almost quantitative conversion to the di-ammonium salts 30 and 32 respectively (Entries 9 and 10). Similarly, the hexenylammonium salt 33 gave excellent conversion to the cross-product 34 (Entry 11). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of CM of amine salts in aqueous systems.

Unexpectedly, short chain alkenylammonium salts were poor substrates for CM in water. It was important to assess these substrates because of their immediate utility in polyamide synthesis. The attempted self CM of the pentenylammonium chloride 35, butenylammonium chloride 37 (X = Cl), trimethylammonium analogue 39 and allylammonium chloride 41 all failed to afford their respective cross-products (Entries 12-15). We have previously reported that CM of the butenylammonium salt 37 in dichloromethane was depender on the nature of the anion. In this previous report, a low yield was obtained for the CM of the chloride salt 37 (X = Cl) in dichloromethane (38%) but a satisfactory conversion of the tetrafluoroborate salt 37 (X = BF₄) was observed (91%).²⁴ Unfortunately, in aqueous systems, the sulfate. tetrafluoroborate and triflate salts were also unreactive (Entry 13).



Figure 3. Proposed catalyst deactivation pathway for alkenylammonium substrates

From our studies, two factors seem to play important roles in CM reactions catalysed by 6 in water on substrates containing ammonium functionality. Firstly, the length of the linker between the alkene and the ammonium groups has a dramatic impact on the reactivity of the substrate. Substrates containing linkers shorter than three carbons (such as 35, 37 and 41) have no reactivity, possibly due to formation of a nonproductive Ru-nitrogen chelate 45 (Figure 3). Evidence for decomposition of related catalyst systems has recently been reported.²⁵ Whilst short chain substrates have been shown to be reactive in organic solvents (as mentioned above) we postulate that in water, an equilibrium between the ammonium group and its corresponding free amine may allow the Lewis basic nitrogen to coordinate to the Ru-centre and cause catalyst deactivation.²⁶ Curiously, however, analogous amine motifs ar also present in RCM substrates 13, 15 and 16, yet metathesis yields for these substrates is near quantitative. We currently unable to explain the difference in reactivity observed in our RCM and CM reactions but offer the following explanation: In the RCM reactions, where the second olefin is tethered, the rate of RCM exceeds the rate of catalyst deactivation. In the case of



the CM reactions, charge repulsion between the positivelycharged Ru-alkylidine and the second ammonium alkene could disfavour coordination and/or formation of a productive metallocyclobutane. In comparison, substrates containing a longer linker (such as **29**, **31** and **33**), where the pendant ammonium motif is removed from the coordination sphere, may not suffer from this effect and thus showed exceptional CM reactivity in water.

Secondly, our results also suggest that deactivation of catalyst 6 may also be occurring via the Ru-methylidene intermediate **46** (Figure 4).²⁷ This is evident by comparing the attempted CM of 37 (X = Cl) (Entry 13) which gave no desired cross-product, with the CM of 44 (Entry 17) which gave 33% conversion to 38. Whilst both 37 and 44 can give the same cross-product (38) the difference in the two substrates is that the terminal alkene 37 must turnover via a less stable Ru-methylidene intermediate 46 whilst the non-terminal "equivalent" 44 turns over via a more stable Ru-ethylidene intermediate 47. Cycling via more stable catalyst intermediates may explain the formation of product from substrate 41, albeit in a very modest yield. In order to further investigate nonterminal olefin substrates, the styrenyl analogue 43 was also attempted, however no reaction was observed (Entry 16). This study suggests that careful consideration of Ru-alkylidene intermediates may assist in these challenging CM reactions in aqueous solvents. Despite the challenges experienced during CM of the short chain alkenylammoniun salts in water, catalyst 6 is clearly able to facilitate high yielding CM and RCM reactions in water.

Although the primary focus of this work was to perform metathesis reactions on polar substrates in pure water, the use of a mixed solvent system opened up a tantalising opportunity to extend the chemistry and recycle the catalyst via simple extractive separation. Towards this end, metathesis of diethyl diallylmalonate 48 in biphasic solvent systems was investigated (Table 2). Solvent mixtures of EtOAc/H₂O (entry 1) and toluene/H2O (entry 2), both gave no reaction, and a CH₂Cl₂/H₂O mixture facilitated only trace conversion to the ring-closed product 49 (entry 3). A promising result was obtained using aqueous THF which gave 31% conversion to product 49 (entry 4). When the reaction was heated to 40 °C for two hours, excellent (>95%) conversion to 49 was observed (entry 5). At the completion of this reaction, the catalyst was removed via simple phase separation and re-exposed to a fresh sample of diester 48 (Table 2, entry 6). This resulted in only moderate conversion to 49 (75%) suggesting inefficient recapture of the Ru-alkylidene or decomposition of the catalyst.

	EtO ₂ C CO ₂ Et	48 $6 (5 \text{ mol}\%) \qquad \qquad$		
entry	solvent system	temp. (°C)	time (h)	conversion (%) ^a
1	EtOAc/H ₂ O (1:1)	25	2	0
2	toluene/ $H_2O(1:1)$	25	2	0
3	$CH_2Cl_2/H_2O(1:1)$	25	2	6
4	THF/H ₂ O (1:1)	25	2	31
5	$THF/H_2O(1:1)$	40	2	>95
6	THF/H ₂ O (1:1)	40	2	75 ^b

Table 2 RCM of 48 catalysed by 6 in organic solvent/water mixtures

^a Reactions were conducted using **6** (5 mol%) for 2 h. Conversions were determined by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy. ^b Reaction with catalyst recycled from entry 5.

Conclusion

In summary, a simple and highly active water soluble olefin metathesis catalyst (6) has been developed. The catalyst preparation is facile and highly scalable. The complex can be stored as a stable and non-hydroscopic precatalyst (7) and conveniently activated *in-situ* to perform a range of CM and RCM reactions in water. Importantly, the catalyst displays exceptional RCM and CM activity on challenging polar substrates which are also highly *insoluble* in organic solvents without derivatisation. It should be highlighted that this work presents the first examples of CM of amine salts in pure water.

Experimental

Dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂) was supplied by Merck and distilled over CaH₂ prior to use. Diethyl ether (Et₂O), tetrahydrofuran (THF) and toluene (C₆H₅CH₃) were supplied by Merck and distilled over potassium prior to use. Acetic acid (AcOH), ethyr acetate (EtOAc), hexane, methanol (CH₃OH) and triethylamine (Et₃N) were used as supplied by Merck. 4-Bromo-2,6dimethylaniline, cuprous cyanide (CuCN), lithium aluminum hydride (LiAlH₄), di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ((Boc)₂O), glyoxal solution 40 wt.% in H₂O, sodium borohydride (NaBH₄), ammonium tetrafluoroborate (NH₄BF₄), triethyl orthoformate ammonium bicarbonate (NH₄HCO₃) ((EtO)₃CH), and dichloro(o-isopropoxyphenylmethylene)(tricyclohexylphosphin e)ruthenium(II) (HGI) were used as supplied by Sigma-Aldrich. D₂O was purchased from Cambridge Isotopes Laboratory and degassed by bubbling with argon (30 minutes).

4-Amino-3,5-dimethylbenzonitrile 8

Compound **8** was prepared following a modified procedure developed by Gerritz and coworkers.²⁸ A magnetically stirred solution of 4-bromo-2,6-dimethylaniline (30.0 g, 150 mmol) and CuCN (26.7 g, 300 mmol) in NMP (400 mL) was heated to 160 °C for 16 h. After cooling to room temperature, water (150 mL) and ammonium hydroxide (150 mL) were added and the mixture stirred for a further 0.5 h. During this period, a grey precipitate formed, and the mixture was filtered. The filtrate was extracted with EtOAc (3x200 mL) and the combined **Journal Name**

tert-Butyl (4-amino-3,5-dimethylbenzyl)carbamate 9

A solution of benzonitrile 8 (17.5 g, 120 mmol) in THF (100 mL) was added dropwise to a magnetically stirred suspension of LiAlH₄ (9.31 g, 245 mmol) in THF (500 mL). The mixture was stirred under reflux for 4 h then cooled to room temperature. The reaction was quenched by careful addition of H₂O (5 mL) and 1 M NaOH (5 mL) and stirred for 0.5 h. The mixture was dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered and the filtrate concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the amine as a clear colourless oil. To this residue was added CH₃OH (250 mL) and Et₃N (25 mL). The mixture was cooled to 0 °C and a solution of (Boc)₂O (26.2 g, 120 mmol) in CH₃OH (40 mL) was added over 2 minutes. The reaction was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The mixture was diluted with Et₂O (200 mL) and washed with H₂O (2x150 mL) followed by brine (200 mL). The organic layer was dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 9 (24.3 g, 81%) as a colourless solid, m.p. 100.2-101.3 °C, which was used without further purification. IR v_{max} 3383m, 3347m, 2927m, 1673s, 1622m, 1515s, 1490s, 1155s cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.86 (s, 2H), 4.76 (br s, 1H), 4.15 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 2H), 3.57 (br s, 2H), 2.16 (s, 6H), 1.46 (s, 9H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 155.9, 142.1, 128.1, 127.9, 121.9, 79.2, 44.5, 28.5, 17.6. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH): m/z 251.1749 [M + H]⁺, $C_{14}H_{23}N_2O_2^+$ requires 251.1754.

Di-*tert*-butyl (((ethane-1,2-diylbis(azanediyl))bis(3,5dimethyl-4,1-phenylene))bis(methylene))dicarbamate 10

The intermediate diimine was prepared following a modified procedure of Hintermann.²⁹ A solution of glyoxal (5.80 g, 40 wt.% in H₂O, 40 mmol) and acetic acid (0.1 mL) was added to a magnetically stirred solution of carbamate 9 (20 g, 79.9 mmol) in CH₃OH (50 mL) at 50 °C. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 h. The product precipitated from the solution and the suspension was filtered. The resultant yellow solid was washed with CH₃OH (2x15 mL) and dried to constant weight under reduced pressure to afford the pure diimine. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to a volume of 30 mL and set aside for a second crystallisation. Total yield of diimine: 15.7 g (75%) as a yellow solid, m.p. 185.9-187.5 °C. IR v_{max} 3339m, 2973m, 2932m, 1708s, 1519m, 1246m, 1158s, 1124m cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.08 (s, 2H), 7.00 (s, 4H), 4.86 (br s, 2H), 4.24 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 2.16 (s, 12H), 1.47 (s, 18H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 163.6, 156.0, 149.1, 135.4, 127.6, 126.9, 79.6, 44.4, 28.6, 18.4. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH): m/z 523.3286 [M + H]⁺, C₃₀H₄₃N₄O₄⁺ requires 523.3279.

Reduction of the diimine was performed following a modified procedure of Nolan and coworkers.³⁰ NaBH₄ (6.54 g, 172 mmol) was carefully added to a magnetically stirred solution of the above diimine (15.0 g, 28.7 mmol) in THF (100 mL) and CH₃OH (50 mL) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at room. temperature for 16 h. The reaction was quenched with sat. NH₄Cl_(aq) (20 mL). The product was extracted with Et₂O (3x50 mL) and the combined organic extracts washed with brine (50 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was purified by column chromatography (1:1, EtOAc : hexane) to afford 10 (14.4 g, 95%) as a colourless solid, m.p. 107.8-108.7 °C. IR v_{max} 3339m, 3230m, 2969m, 1687s, 1538m, 1480m, 1271m, 1250m, 1155m, 1133m cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 6.92 (s, 4H), 4.81 (br s, 2H), 4.18 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 4H), 3.35 (br s, 2H), 3.19 (s, 4H), 2.29 (s, 12H), 1.47 (s, 18H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 8 156.0, 144.9, 132.6, 129.9, 128.3, 79.4, 49.0, 44.4, 28.5, 18.7. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH): m/z 527.3596 [M + H] $C_{30}H_{47}N_4O_4^+$ requires 527.3592.

1,3-Bis(4-(((*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)-2,6dimethylphenyl)-4,5-dihydro-1*H*-imidazol-3-ium tetrafluoroborate 11

NH₄BF₄ (2.48 g, 23.7 mmol) was added to a solution of diamine **10** (12.5 g, 23.7 mmol) in (EtO)₃CH (35 mL). The mixture was stirred at 120 °C for 16 h. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and the product precipitated from solution. The mixture was filtered and the solid was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and Et₂O (10 mL) and dried under reduced pressure to afford imidazolinium salt **11** (12.6 g, 85%) as a colourless solid, m.p. 240.3 °C (decomp.). IR v_{max} 3333m, 2976m, 1690s, 1637s, 1260s, 1169m, 1046s cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 8.87 (s, 1H), 7.18 (s, 4H), 4.53 (s, 4H), 4.20 (s, 4H), 2.43 (s, 12H), 1.45 (s, 18H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 162.1, 158.4, 143.9, 137.0, 133.1, 129.1, 80.5, 52.6, 44.6, 28.8, 17.8. ¹⁹F-NMR (282 MHz, CD₃OD): δ -154.7. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH): *m/z* 537.3449 [M + H]⁺, C₃₁H₄₅N₄O₄⁺ requires 537.3435.

Ru-alkylidene complex 7

A glass column (2 cm diameter) packed with 5.0 g of Amberlite resin IRA-400 (Cl⁻) was washed with H₂O (30 mL). A solution of sat. NH₄HCO₃(aq) (50 mL) was passed slowly through the resin. The resin was washed with H₂O (30 mL) followed by H₂O/CH₃OH mixture (1:1) (30 mL) then finally CH₃OH (30 mL). A solution of imidazolinium BF₄ salt 11 (1.29 g, 2.07 mmol) dissolved in minimal CH₃OH (15 mL) was loaded onto the resin, and eluted with CH₃OH (25 mL). The combine eluent was concentrated and rigourlessly dried under reduced pressure to afford imidazolinium HCO3 salt 12 (1.22 g, 99%) as a colourless solid. To the vessel containing 12 (1.22 g, 2.04 mmol) was added HGI (919 mg, 1.53 mmol) and a stir bar. The vessel was evacuated and backfilled with N2 (three times). Toluene (30 mL) was added to the vessel via syringe and the mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 2 h. The mixture was then cooled to room temperature and concentrated under reduced

pressure. The residue was purified by silica column chromatography (1:1 Et₂O : hexane \rightarrow 20:1 Et₂O : hexane) (green band was collected) to afford Ru-alkylidene 7 (1.04 g, 79%) as a green solid, m.p. 158.1 °C (decomp.). IR v_{max} 3361m, 2971m, 1697s, 1515m, 1482m, 1365m, 1259s, 1165s cm⁻¹. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 16.6 (s, 1H), 7.24 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (s, 4H), 6.79 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 6.31 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (br s, 2H), 4.51 (sept, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 4.23 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 4H), 3.37 (s, 4H), 2.50 (s, 12H), 1.50 (s, 18H), 1.31 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 6H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 292.5, 213.1, 155.9, 152.7, 145.9, 140.4, 139.9, 129.1, 128.2, 127.9, 122.7, 122.4, 113.2, 79.0, 75.2, 51.2, 44.5, 30.2, 28.6, 21.4. HRMS (ESI⁺, MeOH): m/z 857.3165 [M + H]⁺, C41H57Cl2N4O5102Ru⁺ requires 857.2744. Elemental analysis found: C, 56.6; H, 6.8; N, 6.3. C41H56Cl2N4O5Ru requires C, 57.5; H, 6.6; N, 6.5% C₄₁H₅₆Cl₂N₄O₅Ru + H₂O requires C, 56.3; H, 6.7; N, 6.4%.

General Procedure for Olefin Metathesis in Water

Trifluoroacetic acid (0.50 mL) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of 7 (10.0 mg, 0.012 mmol, 5 mol%) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.0 mL) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred for 1 h at 0 °C and then concentrated under reduced pressure to afford 6 as a green solid. Fresh CH₂Cl₂ (2.0 mL) was added to the solid and the resultant suspension was concentrated under reduced pressure to remove remaining traces of trifluoroacetic acid. The complex 6 was dried under reduced pressure and a solution of the substrate (0.23 mmol) in D₂O (2.3 mL) was added. The homogeneous mixture was stirred at 80 °C for 1 h. The reaction mixture was analysed by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy. Isolation of metathesis products was performed on selected substrates. For isolation, the reaction mixture was cooled to r.t. and diluted with H_2O (5 ml). The aqueous phase was washed with Et_2O (5 mL) and the organic phase discarded. The aqueous phase was basified with 1 M NaOH solution (2 mL) and the free amine extracted with Et₂O (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered and to the filtrate was added a saturated solution of HCl in Et₂O (2 mL). The resultant cloudy suspension was then concentrated in vacuo to afford the corresponding ammonium hydrochloride salt. For compound characterisation see Supplementary Information.

Representative Procedure for Olefin Metathesis in Water/Solvent Mixtures

A solution of **6** in H_2O was prepared as described in the General Procedure above. A solution of **48** (0.24 mmol, 58 mg) in THF (2.3 mL) was added to the aqueous solution of **6**. The reaction mixture was heated to 40 °C for 2 hours. After cooling to room temperature, hexane (3 mL) was added to the reaction mixture. The organic layer was removed from the reaction *via* syringe, dried (MgSO₄), filtered and concentrated *in vacuo*. The residue was analysed by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy. Catalyst recycling experiments involved introduction of a fresh batch of **48** (0.24 mmol, 58 mg) in THF (2.3 mL) to the aqueous solution and repeating the above procedure.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the financial support of the Australian Research Council and the award of a post-graduate research award to ZJW.

Notes and References

^{*a*} School of Chemistry, Monash University, Clayton 3800 Victoria, Australia

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

- (a) Hoveyda, A. H.; Zhugralin, A. R. *Nature* 2007, 450, 243 251. (b) Vougioukalakis, G. C.; Grubbs, R. H. *Chem. Rev.* 2010, 110, 1746-1787.
- (a) Statsuk, A. V.; Liu, D.; Kozmin, S. A.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004, 126, 9546-9547. (b) Gillingham, D. G.; Hoveyda, A. H. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007, 46, 3860-3864.
- (a) Mol, J. C. J. Mol. Catal. A 2004, 213, 39-45. (b) White, S R.; Sottos, N. R.; Geubelle, P. H.; Moore, J. S.; Kessler, M. R.; Sriram, S. R.; Brown, E. N.; Viswanathan, S. Nature 2001 409, 794-797.
- (a) Nicola, T.; Brenner, M.; Donsbach, K.; Kreye, P. Org. Proc. Res. Dev. 2005, 9, 513-515. (b) Yee, N. K.; Farina, V.; Houpis, I. N.; Haddad, N.; Frutos, R. P.; Gallou, F.; Wang, X.-J. Wei, X.; Simpson, R. D.; Feng, X.; Fuchs, V.; Xu, Y.; Tan, J.; Zhang, L.; Xu, J.; Smith-Keenan, L. L.; Vitous, J.; Ridges, M. D.; Spinelli, E. M.; Johnson, M. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 7133-7145.
- (a) Endo, K.; Grubbs, R. H.; J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 8525–8527. (b) Keitz, B. K.; Endo, K.; Patel, P. R.; Herbert, M. B.; Grubbs. R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 693-699.
 (c) Occhipinti, G.; Hansen, F. R.; Tornroos, K. W.; and Jenser, V. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3331-3334. (d) Rosebrugh, L. E.; Herbert, M. B.; Marx, V. M.; Keitz, B. K.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 1276-1279. (e) Khan, R. K. M.; Torker, S.; Hoveyda, A. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 10258-10261. (f) Koh, M. J.; Khan, R. K. M.; Torker, S.; Yu, M.; Mikus, M. S.; Hoveyda, A. H. Nature 2015, 517, 181-186.
- (a) Thomas, R. M.; Keitz, B. K.; Champagne, T. M.; Grubbs R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 7490-7496. (b) Marx, V. M.; Sullivan, A. H.; Melaimi, M.; Virgil, S. C.; Keitz, B. K.; Weinberger, D. S.; Bertrand, G.; Grubbs, R. H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1919-1923.
- (a) Dazinger, G.; Kirchner, K. Organometallics 2004, 23, 6281-6287. (b) Poater, A.; Pump, E.; Vummaletic, S. V. C.; Cavallo L. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2014, 610-611, 29-32. (c) Vasiliu, M.; Arduengo, A. J.; Dixon D. A. J. Phys. Chem. (2014, 118, 13563-13577. (d) Lwin, S.; Keturakis, C.; Handzlik, J.; Sautet, P.; Li, Y.; Frenkel, A. I.; Wachs, I. E.; ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 1432-1444.
- (a) Szczepaniak, G.; Kosiński, K.; Grela, K. Green Chem.
 2014, 16, 4474-4492. (b) Anastas, P.; Eghbali, N. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2010, 39, 301-312.

Journal Name

ARTICLE

- (a) Connon, S. J.; Blechert, S. Bioorg. *Med. Chem. Lett.* 2007, *12*, 1873-1876. (b) Binder, J. B.; Blank, J. J.; Raines R. T. *Org. Lett.* 2007, *9*, 4885-4888.
- (a) Lipshutz, B. H.; Aguinaldo, G. T.; Ghorai, S.; Voigtritter, K. Org. Lett. 2008, 7-8, 953-956. (b) Lipshutz, B. H.; Ghorai, S. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 705-708. (c) Matsuo, T.; Yoshida, T.; Fujii, A.; Kawahara, K.; Hirota, S. Organometallics 2013, 32, 5313-5319.
- (a) Gulajski, L.; Śledź, P.; Lupa, A.; Grela, K. Green Chem.
 2008, 10, 279-282. (b) Davis, K. J.; Sinou, D. J. Mol. Catal. A
 2002, 177, 173-178. (c) Polshettiwar. V.; Varma. R. S. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 7417-7419.
- 12. (a) Michrowska, A.; Gulajski, L.; Karczmarska, Z.; Mennecke, K.; Kirschning, A.; Grela, K. Green Chem. 2006, 8, 685-688. (b) Gulajski, L.; Michrowska, A.; Naroznik, J.; Karczmarska, Z.; Rupnicki, L.; Grela, K. ChemSusChem 2008, 1, 103-109. (c) Rix, D.; Clavier, H.; Coutard, Y.; Gulajski, L.; Grela, K.; Mauduit, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, 691, 5397-5405. (d) Rix, D.; Caïjo, F.; Laurent, I.; Gulajski, L.; Grela, K.; Mauduit, M. Chem. Commun. 2007, 3771-3773. (e) Pastva, J.; Skowerski, K.; Czarnocki, S. J.; Žilková, N.; Čejka, J.; Bastl, Z.; Balcar, H. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 3227-3236. (f) Hryniewicka, A.; Misztalewska, I.; Czajkowska-Szczykowska, D.; Urbańczyk-Lipkowska, Z.; Morzycki, J. W.; Witkowski, S. Tetrahedron 2014, 70, 6810-6816. (g) Öztürk, B. Ö.; Sariaslan, B.; Bayramgil, N. P.; Şehitoğlu, S. K.; Applied Catalysis A: General 2014, 483, 19-24.
- (a) Burtscher, D.; Grela, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 442-454. (b) Tomasek, J.; Schatz, J. Green Chem. 2013, 15, 2317-2338. (c) Lipshutz, B. H. and Ghorai, S. Olefin Metathesis in Water and Aqueous Media. in Olefin Metathesis: Theory and Practice: Grela, K., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, USA, 2014; pp 515-521.
- (a) Jordan, J. P.; Grubbs, R. H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 5152-5155.
 (b) Skowerski, K.; Szczepaniak, G.; Wierzbicka, C.; Gulajski, L.; Bieniek, M.; Grela, K. Catal. Sci. Technol. 2012, 2, 2424-2427.
- Samojlowicz, C.; Bieniek, M.; Grela, K. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 3708-3742.
- (a) Balof, S. L.; P'Pool, S. J.; Berger, N. J.; Valente, E. J.; Shiller, A. M.; Schanz, H.-J. *Dalton Trans.* 2008, 5791-5799.
 (b) Cabrera, J.; Padilla, R.; Bru, M.; Lindner, R.; Kageyama, T.; Wilckens, K.; Balof, S. L.; Schanz, H.-J.; Dehn, R.; Teles, J. H.; Deuerlein, S.; Müller, K.; Rominger, F.; Limbach, M. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2012, *18*, 14717-14724.
- (a) Luján, C.; Nolan S. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 2011, 696, 3935-3938. (b) Pump, E.; Poater, A.; Zirngast, M.; Torvisco, A.; Fischer, R.; Cavallo, L.; Slugovc, C. Organometallics 2014, 33, 2806-2813. (c) Manzini, S.; Urbina Blanco, C. A.; Nelson, D. J.; Poater, A.; Lebl, T.; Meiries, S.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Falivene, L.; Cavallo, L.; Nolan, S. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 2015, 780, 43-48.
- Hong, S. H.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 3508-3509.
- Wang, Z. J.; Jackson, W. R.; Robinson, A. J. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3006-3009.

- 20. Prepared from 2,6-dimethylaniline, see Supporting Information.
- Fèvre, M.; Pinaud, J.; Leteneur, A.; Gnanou, Y.; Vignolle, J.; Taton, D. Miqueu, K.; Sotiropoulos, J.-M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 6776-6784.
- Alcalde, E.; Dinarès, I.; Ibáňez, A.; Mesquida, N. *Molecules* 2012, 17, 4007-4027.
- Wang, Z. J.; Spiccia, N. S.; Gartshore, C. J.; Illesinghe, J.; Jackson, W. R.; Robinson, A. J. Synthesis 2013, 45, 3118-3124.
- Woodward, C. P.; Spiccia, N. D.; Jackson, W. R.; Robinson, A. J. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 779-781.
- (a) Lummiss, J. A. M.; Ireland, B. J.; Sommers, J. M.; Fogg, D. E. *ChemCatChem.* 2014, *6*, 459-463. (b) Manzini, S.; Fernández-Salas, J. A.; Nolan, S. P. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2014, *47*, 3089-3101. (c) Manzini, S.; Nelson, D. J.; Lebl, T.; Poater, A.; Cavallo, L.; Slawina, A. M. Z.; Nolan, S. P. *Chem. Commun.* 2014, *50*, 2205-2207. (d) Manzini, S.; Poater, A.; Nelson, E J.; Cavallo, L.; Slawin, A. M. Z.; Nolan, S. P. *Angew. Chem Int. Ed.* 2014, *53*, 8995-8999.
- Reactions involving 37 (X = Cl) with a stoichiometric amount of catalyst 6 were also attempted, however this resulted in a complex mixture.
- The decomposition pathways involving Ru-methylidene intermediates are documented in the literature. See (a) Hong, S. H.; Wenzel, A. G.; Salguero, T. T.; Day, M. W.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 7961-7968. (b) Ulman, M.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 7202-7207. (c) Sanford, M. S.; Love, J. A.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 6543-6554. (d) Burdett, K. A.; Harris, L. D.; Margl, P.; Maughon, B. R.; Mokhtar-Zadeh, T.; Saucier, P. C.; Wasserman, E. P. Organometallics, 2004, 23, 2027-2047. (e) Lysenko, Z.; Maughon, B. R.; Mokhtar-Zadeh, T.; Tulchinsky, M. L.; J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, 691, 5197-5203; (f) Monfette, S.; Eyholzer, M.; Roberge D. M.; Fogg, D. E.; Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 11720-11725. (g) Lummiss, J. A.; Beach, N. J.; Smith, J. C.; Fogg. D. E. Catal. Sci. Technol. 2012, 2, 1630-1632.
- Gerritz, S.; Shi, S.; Zhu, S. Aminoacetamide Acyl Guanidines as beta-Secretase Inhibitors. United States Patent US 2006/0287287, December 21, 2006.
- 29. Hintermann, L. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2007, 3, 22.
- Grasa, G. A.; Viciu, M. S.; Huang, J.; Nolan, S. P. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 7729-7737

This journal is $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ The Royal Society of Chemistry 2012

A Simple and Practical Preparation of an Efficient Water Soluble Olefin Metathesis Catalyst

Z. J. Wang,^a W. R. Jackson^a and A. J. Robinson^a*

A facile gram-scale preparation of a di-ammonium functionalised Ru-alkylidene complex which efficiently catalyses ringclosing metathesis and cross-metathesis reactions in water.

