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**Effect of potential supramolecular-bond promoters on the DNA-interacting abilities of copper-terpyridine compounds**

Jordi Grau, Rosa F. Brissos, Jorge Salinas-Uber, Ana Belén Caballero, Amparo Caubet, Olivier Roubeau, Luis Korrodi-Gregório, Ricardo Pérez-Tomás and Patrick Gamez

Three copper(II) coordination compounds have been prepared from three different 2,2′:6′,2″-terpyridine-based ligands, which have been elected to investigate the potential role of supramolecular interactions on the DNA-interacting and cytotoxicity properties of the corresponding metal complexes. Hence, the ligands 4′-((naphthalen-2-yl) methoxy)-2,2′:6′,2″-terpyridine (Naphtpy) and 4′-((1H-benzimidazole-2-yl)methoxy)-2,2′:6′,2″-terpyridine (Bimztpy) have been synthesized from commercially-available 4′-chloro-2,2′:6′,2″-terpyridine (Cltpy), and their copper(II) complexes have been obtained by reaction with copper(II) nitrate. The DNA-interacting abilities of the corresponding compounds [Cu(Naphtpy)(H₂O)(NO₃)₂] (I), [Cu(Naphtpy)(NO₃)(H₂O)](NO₃)(MeOH) (2) and [Cu(Bimztpy)(NO₃)(H₂O)](NO₃) (3) have been investigated using different techniques, and cytotoxicity assays with several cancer cell lines have revealed interesting features, viz. the more efficient complex is 2, which although it does not act as DNA cleaver, it displays the most effective DNA-interacting and cytotoxic properties, compared to I and 3.

**Introduction**

The study of the interaction of metal complexes with nucleic acids has become an increasingly active field of investigation after the discovery of the anticancer properties of cisplatin, one of the most used chemotherapy drugs worldwide. It is well known that cisplatin interferes with DNA through binding to guanine bases, inducing kinks in the biomolecule, which leads to cell death (apoptosis). This platinum drug has several drawbacks, i.e. it is only efficient for a limited number of cancers, some tumours may exhibit acquired or intrinsic resistance to it, and it produces severe side effects, such as nausea, nephrotoxicity, ototoxicity or myelosupression. Therefore, the search for improved drugs is a perpetual scientific challenge; for instance, three new platinum-containing compounds have been developed and marketed, viz. carboplatin, oxaliplatin and nedaplatin.

Alternative approaches have been developed as well to circumvent these drawbacks, exploiting other metal ions. One possible strategy consists in using the redox properties of a metallic centre to generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) that will be able to cleave DNA, for example via oxidation of the deoxyribose unit. Actually, this approach is based on the DNA-cleaving properties of bleomycins, a family of natural products with antitumour activity, which originates from their aptitude to bind transition metals like iron and copper, and to subsequently produce ROS in the presence of a one-electron reductant. Hence, various synthetic coordination compounds mimicking the nuclease activity of bleomycins have been reported, and the most studied being (methidiumpropyl-EDTA)iron(II) and bis-phenanthroline copper(I).

2,2′:6′,2″-Terpyridine (tpy) is a tridentate ligand that has widely been used to generate transition-metal complexes. Actually, tpy-based metal complexes have found numerous remarkable applications in various areas of chemistry. In the field of anticancer drug design, the utilization of tpy-containing systems is receiving a great deal of attention, for instance due to their recently recognized propensity to stabilize G-quadruplex structures.

In the present study, three different 4′-substituted tpy ligands have been used to generate copper(II) complexes, and their DNA-interacting properties have been investigated and compared. The ligands Naphtpy and Bimztpy (Fig. 1) have been prepared from commercially available Cltpy, and have been designed to favour π-π interactions (naphthyl group in Naphtpy) and hydrogen-bonding contacts (benzimidazole ring...
in *bimztpy*). Hence, *Cltpy* does not possess an additional group favouring supramolecular π–π interactions while both *naphtpy* and *bimztpy* have an aryl substituent that may promote π-stacking, and *bimztpy* can also act as hydrogen donor and/or hydrogen acceptor moiety.

**Results and discussion**

**Ligand synthesis:** The ligands *naphtpy* and *bimztpy* were prepared by reaction of respectively 2-naphthalenemethanol or benzimidazol-2-methanol with *Cltpy* in DMSO in the presence of potassium hydroxide, applying a synthetic procedure described in the literature (see Experimental section). The single-crystal X-ray structure of *naphtpy* could be obtained; the corresponding crystallographic data are given in Table S1 and a representation of its molecular structure is depicted in Fig. S1 (see Experimental section).

**Complex synthesis and structure:** Reaction of one equivalent of copper(II) nitrate trihydrate with one equivalent of the ligand in methanol at 50 °C leads to the formation of the corresponding coordination compound. All complexes are isolated as microcrystalline powders by filtration and are fully characterized (see Experimental section).

Single crystals of [Cu(*Cltpy*)(H$_2$O)(NO$_3$)$_3$] (1) can be obtained by crystallization in methanol. A representation of the molecular structure of 1, determined by X-ray diffraction, is shown in Fig. S2 (ESI†). The crystal structure of 1 has been reported previously; the complex is octahedral, with the tpy ligand and the water molecule occupying the equatorial plane and the nitrate ions located at the axial positions. In the crystal lattice, the molecules are associated through π–π interactions (as expected, this ligand has been designed to favour the occurrence of such supramolecular contacts).

Reaction of one equivalent of *naphtpy* with one equivalent of copper(II) nitrate trihydrate yields the compound [Cu(*naphtpy*)(NO$_3$)$_2$(H$_2$O)(NO$_3$)(MeOH)] (2). A representation of the molecular structure of 2, determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction, is depicted in Fig. 2. Crystallographic and refinement parameters are summarized in Table S2 (ESI†), and selected coordination bond lengths and angles are listed in Table S3 (ESI†). First, it should be mentioned that the crystal lattice of this compound contains two slightly different copper centers, with only some minor divergences regarding coordination bond lengths and angles. Therefore, only one coordination geometry is described in detail. The geometry about the metal ion is a distorted square pyramid, characterized by τ values of 0.03 and 0.12 (for the two copper ions). The basal plane is formed by the three nitrogen atoms from the *naphtpy* ligand and a water molecule, the apical position being occupied by a nitrate anion (Fig. 2). The Cu–N, Cu–O$_{water}$ and Cu–O$_{nitrate}$ bond distances are similar to those found for 1, the nitrate ion being slightly closer in 2 (compared to 1, see Table S3). The basal angles, varying from 79.61(9)° to 99.80(9)° (Cu1, Table S3), reflect the geometrical distortion, which is mostly due to the small bite angle of the tpy unit.

Examination of the crystal packing of 2 reveals that the naphthyl group is largely involved in π–π interactions (as expected, this ligand has been designed to favour the occurrence of such supramolecular contacts).
Indeed, molecules of 2 assemble in head-to-tail fashion by means of strong stacking interactions as reflected by short C₃py⋯C₃naphtyl contact distances, ranging from 3.320(3) to 3.400(4) Å (Fig. 3 and Table S3, ESI†). Furthermore, as occurring in the solid-state structure of 1, the coordinated water molecule acts as an H-donor group for a nitrate ion of an adjacent molecule, forming a dimer (O10⋯O2 and O5⋯O7; Fig. 4 and Table S3, ESI†).

Besides, the coordinated water molecule is also strongly bonded to a lattice nitrate anion (the corresponding donor⋯acceptor contact distances amount to 2.646(3) and 2.630(3) Å; see Table S3 and Fig. S4, ESI†), giving rise to the formation of a supramolecular chain (of dimers) along the crystallographic a axis (Fig. S4, ESI†).

Reaction of one equivalent of **bimztpy** with one equivalent of copper(II) nitrate trihydrate generates copper complex 3, whose molecular structure could not be determined by X-ray diffraction analysis. Indeed, up to now, all attempts to get single crystals of the compound failed, unfortunately. However, elemental analysis and mass-spectrometry data (see Experimental section) of the microcrystalline product allow formulating it as [Cu(bimztpy)(NO₃)₂(H₂O)](NO₃) (3). Therefore, it may be expected that the coordination environment of the metal centre in 3 is analogous to those of 1 and 2 (Fig. S2, ESI†).

**UV-Vis spectroscopy:** Molecular absorption spectroscopy in the ultraviolet (UV) and visible (vis) is an efficient tool to investigate the binding of (coordination) compounds to DNA.⁵¹, ⁵² Therefore, absorption spectra have been recorded at a constant complex concentration of 25 μM and increasing amounts of calf-thymus DNA (ct-DNA), from 0 to 100 μM. The corresponding spectra for 1 are shown in Fig. 5. Those for 2 and 3 are depicted in Fig. S5 (ESI†).

Absorption bands in the region 300–400 nm, which are attributed to metal-to-ligand charge transfer (MLCT), were used to monitor the interaction of 1–3 with duplex DNA. Hypochromism is clearly observed when increasing amounts of 1 are added to ct-DNA (Fig. 5 and Table 1), which suggests an electronic interaction between the binding complex and the biomolecule.⁵³ No redshifts of the absorption bands are observed; actually, a shift towards longer wavelength is indicative of an intercalation binding mode (through stacking interactions).⁵⁴, ⁵⁵ Therefore, 1 does not seem to intercalate between DNA base pairs; rather it appears that 1 tends to (mostly) interact electrostatically with the double helix.⁵⁶, ⁵⁷

The magnitude of the binding strength may be estimated through the determination of the intrinsic binding constant $K_b$, applying eqn (1):⁵⁶

$$\frac{[\text{DNA}]}{\varepsilon_f - \varepsilon_i} = \frac{[\text{DNA}]}{\varepsilon_f - \varepsilon_i} + \frac{1}{K_b \varepsilon_0 (\varepsilon_0 - \varepsilon_i)} \tag{1}$$

where [DNA] is the concentration of ct-DNA in base pairs, $\varepsilon_i$ is the extinction coefficient observed at the given ct-DNA concentration, $\varepsilon_f$ is the extinction coefficient of the free complex in solution ($A_{\text{obs}}/[\text{complex}]$), and $\varepsilon_0$ is the extinction coefficient for the compound in the fully bound form.

The binding constant $K_b$ for 1 amounts to $1.90 \times 10^5$ M⁻¹ (Table 1), hence suggesting a strong affinity of the compound for ct-DNA.

### Table 1 Intrinsic binding constants $K_b$ and % hypochromism for complexes 1–3 interacting with ct-DNA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>$K_b$ (10⁻⁵ M⁻¹)</th>
<th>Log $K_b$</th>
<th>%Hypochromism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.90 ± 0.16</td>
<td>5.28</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.60 ± 0.11</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>13 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.08 ± 0.09</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>6 (12)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Linear [DNA] / ($\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_f$) vs. [DNA] plots are obtained for [complex] : [DNA] ratio ≤ 1:1; $b$ $K_b$ is obtained from the ratio of the slope to the intercept ($\lambda = 337$ nm (1), $\lambda = 330$ nm (2) and $\lambda = 328$ nm (3)). The $K_b$ errors have been determined from the measurement in triplicate for each complex; $c$ at $\lambda = 337$ nm; $d$ at $\lambda = 330$ nm (at $\lambda = 222$ nm); $e$ at $\lambda = 328$ nm (at $\lambda = 204$ nm).

Similar features are observed for compound 2 (Fig. S5a, ESI†), since comparable hypochromism without wavelength shifting occurs (the % hypochromism value is 13 for 2 while it is 16 for 1; see Table 1). However, the DNA-binding abilities of 2 are noticeably greater than those of 1 ($K_b$ (1) = $1.90 \times 10^5$...
binding of the copper complex to DNA, probably through π-backbone interactions. It is well-known that EB is a DNA-intercalating compound that fluoresces when it is bound to the duplex biomolecule. It is important to note that EB may serve as fluorescence quenchers may provide further comparative information regarding their DNA-binding affinities. It is well-known that EB is a DNA-intercalating compound that fluoresces when it is bound to the duplex biomolecule.6, 7 Thus, displacement of EB by a compound that binds to DNA will result in fluorescence quenching.6, 7

Fluorescence spectroscopy: Competitive binding studies using ethidium bromide (EB) bound to ct-DNA and using complexes as fluorescence quenchers may provide further comparative information regarding their DNA-binding affinities. It is well-known that EB is a DNA-intercalating compound that fluoresces when it is bound to the duplex biomolecule.6, 7 Thus, displacement of EB by a compound that binds to DNA will result in fluorescence quenching.6, 7

Table 2 Stern–Volmer constants $K_{SV}$ determined for complexes 1–3 competing with ethidium bromide.6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex</th>
<th>$K_{SV}$ (10$^3$ M$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Log $K_{SV}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.05</td>
<td>3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.97 ± 0.33</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.45 ± 0.20</td>
<td>3.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$K_{SV}$ is obtained from the slope of the linear Stern–Volmer plot. The $K_{SV}$ errors have been determined from the measurement in triplicate for each complex.

Fluorescence spectra have been recorded at constant concentrations of EB and ct-DNA, namely 25 µM, adding increasing amounts of the studied complex, viz. in the concentration range 5–200 µM. For the three copper(II) complexes, a decrease in emission intensity is observed. The quenching spectra for compound 1 are shown in Fig. 6, which are representative of the other compounds investigated in the present study (that are complexes 2 and 3; see Fig. S6, ESI†).

The release of EB in all cases confirms that these coordination compounds indeed interact with ct-DNA, as also indicated by the UV-vis measurements (see above).

To compare the affinity of complexes 1–3 for ct-DNA, their quenching efficiency has been assessed determining the corresponding Stern–Volmer constant $K_{SV}$, applying eqn (2)

$$\frac{I_0}{I} = 1 + K_{SV}[\text{complex}] \quad (2)$$

where $I_0$ is the initial fluorescence intensity of EB (bound to ct-DNA) and $I$ the fluorescence intensity in the presence of complex (leading to EB displacement). A plot of $I_0/I$ versus [complex] gives a straight line whose slope is equal to $K_{SV}$. The $K_{SV}$ constants thus obtained for complexes 1–3 are listed in Table 2. The trend of the $K_{SV}$ values follows that observed for the intrinsic binding constants $K_b$ (see above). Indeed, the highest value, i.e. 4.97 × 10$^5$ M$^{-1}$, is found for compound 2 (Table 2), which also shows the greatest $K_b$ (compared to 1 and 3; see Table 1). Thus, 2 that has a naphthyl group (ligand naphtpy) shows the best EB-displacing abilities. Taking into account that EB is a DNA-intercalating molecule, it may be assumed that this higher efficiency arises from the naphthyl unit, which may replace EB between base pairs (actually, UV-vis measurements show that the π–π* transitions corresponding to this group display the highest percentage of hypochromism upon DNA binding; see above and Fig. S5a, ESI†).

The $K_{SV}$ values for 1 and 3 are lower, and the tendency observed is analogous to that noticed for the binding constants $K_b$ (see above; Table 1). Actually, 3 appears to bind to ct-DNA with a slightly better efficiency than 1 (2.45 vs. 1.45 × 10$^5$ M$^{-1}$, Table 2). It can be mentioned here that displacement of EB by a molecule does not imply that the latter acts as an intercalator (like EB). Indeed, electrostatic interactions or groove binding may be sufficient to alter significantly the conformation of the DNA double helix, which may result in the release of EB.51, 62 Therefore, the benzimidazole unit of the ligand bimztpy may
interact with DNA by means of electrostatic interactions, namely hydrogen bonds involving its N–H group (which is not the case for Cltpy), provoking a change of the DNA structure with concomitant loss of EB molecules.

**Gel electrophoresis:** agarose gel electrophoresis has been subsequently employed to observe directly the interaction of compounds 1–3 with plasmid DNA. Hence, the potential DNA-cleaving abilities of 1–3 were evaluated by electrophoretic mobility measurements with pBR322 plasmid DNA. A reducing agent, namely ascorbic acid, has been used as well to simulate the reducing environment found in most cellular compartments (the physiological concentrations of ascorbic acid range from 100 to 400 μM). The generation of copper(I) species will permit the potential formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) that are capable of cleaving DNA. The corresponding agarose gels are depicted in Fig. 7. First, it should be noted that complexes 1 and 3 exhibit similar behaviours while that of 2 is clearly distinct. For 1 (Fig. 7a, left), without reducing agent, complex concentrations of 5–20 μM do not affect the DNA since the bands observed (lanes 2–4), corresponding to forms I and II (supercoiled and circular nicked forms, respectively), and their intensity are similar to those of the native biomolecule (lane 1). From a complex concentration of 40 μM (lane 5), a clear increase of the intensity of the band for form II is noted, which is associated with a vanishing of the band corresponding to form I; in addition, a new band appears between those of forms I and II, which is ascribed to form III (linear form, lane 5) that is produced when both DNA strands are broken. It thus appears that 1 acts as an efficient DNA cleaver when concentrations superior to 40 μM are used, as evidenced in lanes 5–8 (Fig. 7a, left), where an increase of the band intensity for both forms II and III is noticed together with the complete disappearance of the band corresponding to form I. In the presence of reducing agent (Fig. 7b, right), comparable features are observed but the DNA cleavage is achieved at lower complex concentrations (Fig. 7a, right); indeed, complete loss of form I is obtained for a complex concentration of 20 μM (lane 5, Fig. 7a, right), while 60 μM of 1 are required without ascorbic acid (lane 6, Fig. 7a, left). Moreover, the plasmid DNA is completely degraded when using complex concentrations above 40 μM (no bands are observed in lanes 6–8), and this is not occurring without reducing agent (with complex concentrations up to 100 μM; Fig. 7a, left). Consequently, the DNA-cleaving properties of 1 are improved in the presence of ascorbic acid, most likely as the result of the production of higher amounts of ROS.

For 2, a drastically different behaviour is observed (Fig. 7b). Actually, without reducing agent, the intensity of the bands (for both form I and form II) decreases when the concentration of complex is increased, and the generation of form III is not taking place (Fig. 7b, left). These results are indicative of the occurrence of strong DNA–2 interactions, without strand cleavage. Compound 2 may simply act as an efficient DNA binder (in fact, the largest $K_b$ and $K_{SV}$ values have been obtained with 2; see above), giving rise to the formation of voluminous DNA-complex species such as DNA dimers, trimers and so on (bound to metal complexes), which precipitate and result in the vanishing of the bands. When ascorbic acid is used (Fig. 7b, right), a vanishing of the bands also takes place (lanes 3 and 4), but some cleavage is observed as well, since the intensity of the band ascribed to form II increases with the complex concentration (concentrations of 2 above 20 μM; lanes 5–8), and form I is eliminated when a complex concentration above 60 μM is used (lanes 7 and 8; Fig. 7b, right). Accordingly, some quantities of ROS are generated that start to affect the plasmid DNA.

Complex 3 exhibits a behaviour that is analogous to that of 1. Without reducing agent (Fig. 7c, left), the compound does not affect the biomolecule with concentrations up to 20 μM (lanes 2–4). Above this concentration, the band corresponding to form II intensifies progressively with the concentration, while that of form I decreases (lanes 5–8). In the present case, however, emergence of form III is not observed as for 1. Thus, without ascorbic acid, 3 appears to be a less efficient DNA cleaver than 1. In contrast, when reducing agent is added, the cleaving properties of 3 are significantly better than those of 1 (Figs. 7a and 7c, right); indeed, form III is already generated with 5 μM of 3 (Fig. 7c right, lane 3), whereas 10 μM of 3 are required to detect linear DNA (Fig. 7a right, lane 4). DNA form I is completely damaged with a complex concentration of 10 μM (lane 4), while it is 20 μM for 1 (lane 5). Above a complex concentration of 40 μM, the plasmid DNA is completely degraded (lanes 6–8).

In summary, the electrophoretic results corroborate the stronger DNA-binding affinity of 2 observed by UV-Vis and fluorescence spectroscopy (see above). Interestingly, 1 and 3 are very efficient DNA cleavers, contrary to 2. It appears that the strong binding of 2 to DNA avoids DNA degradation by
ROS; the ROS-generation abilities of 2 seem to be “silenced” through DNA binding.

![Fig. 8 Agarose gel electrophoresis images of pBR322 plasmid DNA (15 μM b.p.) incubated for 1 h at 37 °C with increasing concentrations of free ligand a) Cltpy, b) Naphtpy and c) Bimztpy, without reducing agent. Lane 1: pure plasmid DNA; lane 2: [free ligand] = 5 μM; lane 3: [free ligand] = 10 μM; lane 4: [free ligand] = 20 μM; lane 5: [free ligand] = 40 μM; lane 6: [free ligand] = 60 μM; lane 7: [free ligand] = 80 μM; lane 8: [free ligand] = 100 μM. The same results are observed in the presence of ascorbic acid (reducing agent).](image)

We have also performed similar gel-electrophoresis studies with the free ligands in the absence and presence of reducing agent, namely ascorbic acid. As is evidenced in Fig. 8 (experiments carried out without reducing agent), Cltpy, Naphtpy and Bimztpy do not affect at all the electrophoretic mobility of pBR322 plasmid DNA. The same features are observed in the presence of ascorbic acid (results not shown).

**AFM studies:** pBR322 plasmid DNA was incubated at 37 °C for 1 hour with the different complexes ([complex] = 5 μM) in 40 mM HEPES–10 mM MgCl₂ buffer, as for the corresponding gel electrophoresis experiments (see Experimental section), for comparison purposes. The atomic-force microscopy (AFM) images obtained are presented in Fig. 9. Fig. 9a shows the original morphology of the pBR322 plasmid DNA used for these studies, without (left) and with (right) reducing agent (i.e. ascorbic acid), characterized by the presence of open circular structures with some supercoiling. Clearly, the incubation of the biomolecule with complexes 1–3 affects significantly its structure (Fig. 9b–d, respectively). For 1, without reducing agent, some linear, cleaved DNA is observed together with open circular structures (Fig. 9b left). When reducing agent is added, only linear forms are noticed, corroborating the DNA-cleaving properties of 1 observed by gel electrophoresis (see above). As already revealed by the electrophoretic results and the spectroscopic studies, complex 2 exhibits a drastically distinct behaviour. Indeed, with or without reducing agent (Fig. 9c), 2 induces the formation of supercoiled forms with some globular aggregates (Fig. 9c right), which is indicative of a high affinity of the compound for DNA. No linearized forms are detected (even with reducing agent; Fig. 9c right), which confirms that the interaction of 2 with DNA does not result in its cleavage. Finally, 3 without reducing agent displays DNA-interacting properties, which are reflected by the formation of supercoiled forms (Fig. 9d left). This feature suggests that 3 can bind to DNA thanks to its aromatic benzimidazole substituent (actually the Kᵣ and Kᵥᵥ values for 3 are higher than those for 1, which does not induce supercoiling; see above). In the presence of reducing agent, 3 cleaves the DNA efficiently (only linear forms are detected; Fig. 9d right), confirming the electrophoretic results (see above). In summary, as for the gel electrophoresis, the AFM studies show that 1 and 3 act as DNA cleavers, in contrast to 2.

![Fig. 9. AFM images of (a) pure pBR322 plasmid DNA (without and with ascorbic acid); (b-d) pBR322 plasmid DNA in the presence of complexes 1–3, respectively (left: without ascorbic acid; right: with ascorbic acid. [DNA] = 15 μM b.p.; [complex] = 5 μM.](image)

**ROS generation:** the production of ROS mediated by complexes 1–3 was first evaluated using ascorbic acid (AA); in the presence of ascorbate and dioxygen, Cu²⁺ will indeed generate ROS. The reactions were followed by measuring the AA consumption through its absorbance at 265 nm (pH 7.4, see Experimental section), and the corresponding results are depicted in Figure 10a. Without any copper catalyst (blank experiment), a very slight decrease in absorbance is observed (Figure 10a). In contrast, in the presence of copper(II) ions (i.e. CuCl₂), a rapid consumption of AA takes place, which is total after ca. 10 minutes. Compound 1 also generates a great
amount of ROS, but less than CuCl₂. Finally, compounds 2 and 3 exhibit similar behaviours, viz. both complexes are capable of producing ROS, but this production is clearly inferior compared to that mediated by CuCl₂ and 1 (Figure 10a). It can be noticed that 2 appears to generate slightly more ROS than 3, which again suggests that the ROS-generation abilities of 2 are not operative upon binding to DNA (see above). Furthermore, the results achieved with 1 confirm that it is the most efficient ROS generator, which results in significant DNA cleavage.

In summary, these experiments suggest that the strong DNA-binding affinity of 2 overrides its DNA-cleaving properties (as already indicated by the electrophoretic and AFM studies).

**Cytotoxicity assays:** Cell-viability assays were carried out with the free ligands Cltpy, Naphtpy and Bimztpy and complexes 1–3. In a first instance, each compound was screened by means of single-point assays, using two different concentrations, namely 10 and 50 μM, in six cancer cell lines, i.e. A549 (lung adenocarcinoma), A375 (melanoma), MCF-7 (breast adenocarcinoma), PC3 (prostate adenocarcinoma), SKOV3 (ovary adenocarcinoma) and SW620 (colorectal adenocarcinoma). The corresponding results obtained after an incubation time of 24 hours are shown in Fig. S7 and Table S4 for [compound] = 10 μM, and in Fig. 11 and Table 3 for [compound] = 50 μM. At a compound concentration of 10 μM, only complex 2 is capable of affecting cell viability with more than 50% of cell death in MCF-7 (breast adenocarcinoma), after an incubation time of 24 hours (see Table S4). At higher [compound], namely 50 μM, the cytotoxic behavior of complex 2 is confirmed, since it shows good efficiencies for almost all cell lines tested (Fig. 11 and Table 3); for instance, only 5% of the MCF-7 cells are still viable after an incubation time of 24 hours (Table 3). It is really interesting to note that complex 2 exhibits the best cytotoxicity properties. Indeed, from the gel-electrophoretic results (see above), one may have expected that complexes 1 and 3 would show better cytotoxicity properties than 2, since 1 and 3 act as DNA cleavers, in contrast to 2. Therefore, it appears that the stronger DNA-binding behavior presented by 2 (in comparison with that of 1 and 3; see UV-vis and fluorescence spectroscopic studies) may be linked to its higher cytotoxic properties.

Next, the potential formation of hydroxyl radicals was investigated with a highly specific fluorescent probe, namely coumarin-3-carboxylic acid (CCA). The metal-mediated formation of 7-hydroxy-coumarin-3-carboxylic acid (7-OH-CCA), through reaction of CCA with HO· radicals, was followed by fluorescence spectroscopy at pH 7.4, using the excitation wavelength of 7-OH-CCA, i.e. 385 nm, which emits at 500 nm (see Experimental section). The resulting 7-OH-CCA emission versus time curves are shown in Figure 10b. Without copper (blank experiment), a slight increase in 7-OH-CCA fluorescence is observed, whereas the production of 7-OH-CCA is drastically enhanced in the presence of CuCl₂. Surprisingly, compound 1, which exhibits significant ROS-generating properties (see above; Figure 10a), is not able to catalyse the formation of great amounts of 7-OH-CCA (Figure 10b). This result may be explained by a reaction occurring at the ligand, the copper complex thus acting as a self-hydroxyl-radical scavenger. Compounds 2 and 3 also act as scavengers (particularly compound 3); however, some production of 7-OH-CCA is observed with 2, which conversely does not cleave DNA (contrary to 1 and 3).

Fig. 10. (a) Consumption of ascorbic acid (AA) mediated by CuCl₂ and complexes 1–3; [CuCl₂] = [complex] = 1 μM; [AA] = 100 μM; 100 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4. (b) Generation of 7-hydroxy-coumarin-3-carboxylic acid (7-OH-CCA); [CuCl₂] = [complex] = 10 μM; [AA] = 300 μM; [CCA] = 1 mM; 100 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.4.

Fig. 11 Cell-viability assays (single-point screening, % of cell viability) of the free ligands Cltpy, Naphtpy and Bimztpy and complexes 1–3 with different cancer cell lines, namely A549 (lung adenocarcinoma), A375 (melanoma), MCF-7 (breast adenocarcinoma), PC3 (prostate adenocarcinoma), SKOV3 (ovary adenocarcinoma) and SW620 (colorectal adenocarcinoma), using [compound] = 50 μM and an incubation time of 24 h. The results are means ± SD of three separate experiments.
It can also be noticed that the free ligand Cltypy shows good cytotoxicity activity towards colon-cancer SW620 cells, with a cell viability of 17%, after one-day incubation time (Fig. 11 and Table 3). Similar experiments have also been performed applying an incubation time of 48 hours (see Fig. S8 and Table S5). After two days of incubation and [compound] = 10 µM, good cytotoxicity (cell-viability values below 40%; Fig. S8A) is observed for all compounds in the skin-cancer A375 cell line. Furthermore, complexes 1–3 show substantial cytotoxicity against breast-cancer MCF-7 cells, with cell-viability values in the range 24–29% (Table S5). At a compound concentration of 50 µM and incubation time of 48 hours, complex 2 is able to decrease the viability of almost all the types of cancer cells tested in the present study (Fig. S8B); in particular, the skin-, breast- and colon-cancer cells are completely eradicated (Table S5), and low cell-viability values are observed for the other cancer-cell lines (Table S5). Complex 1 exhibits good cytotoxicity for three cell lines, namely lung A549, breast MCF-7 and ovary SKOV3 (Table S5). Finally, complex 3 is active against breast MCF-7 and colon SW620 cells (Table S5).

Regarding the free ligands, it appears that the colon-cancer cells (Table S5) and the free ligands and the copper complexes show substantial cytotoxicity 3 against breast MCF-7 cells (Table S5). Complexes 1 and 2 are able to influence of larger aryl substituents (R = Cl, naphthyl or anthracenyl, pyrenyl) have been used to generate copper(I) complexes, which contains the naphthyl group and exhibits the best DNA-interacting properties. Unexpectedly, complexes 1 and 3, which are capable of cleaving the DNA strands (whereas 2 cannot), are significantly less cytotoxic than 2 (at least in the cell lines tested). Therefore, a coordination compound displaying DNA-cleaving abilities will not necessarily exhibit greater cytotoxicity behaviour than a related complex which does not act as DNA cleaver. The strong DNA-interacting properties of the naphthyl group (through supramolecular π–π interactions) of 2 appear to be a critical issue regarding its biological activity.

Conclusions

In the present study, three terpyridine-based ligands, bearing different R groups at position 4′ (R = Cl, naphthyl or benzimidazole), have been used to generate copper(II) complexes, with the objective to examine the role played by distinct types of supramolecular interactions on their DNA-interacting and cytotoxic properties. Interestingly, the results obtained shows that the most efficient metal complex is 2, which contains the naphthyl group and exhibits the best DNA-interacting properties. Unexpectedly, complexes 1 and 3, which are capable of cleaving the DNA strands (whereas 2 cannot), are significantly less cytotoxic than 2 (at least in the cell lines tested). Therefore, a coordination compound displaying DNA-cleaving abilities will not necessarily exhibit greater cytotoxicity behaviour than a related complex which does not act as DNA cleaver. The strong DNA-interacting properties of the naphthyl group (through supramolecular π–π interactions) of 2 appear to be a critical issue regarding its biological activity.

Subsequent studies will be dedicated to investigate the influence of larger aryl substituents (e.g. anthracenyl, pyrenyl) and of spacers of different lengths between the aryl group and

| Table 3 Cell-viability assays (single-point screening, % cell viability) of the free ligands and the copper complexes 1–3 in different cancer-cell lines, i.e. A549 (lung adenocarcinoma), A375 (melanoma), MCF-7 (breast adenocarcinoma), PC3 (prostate adenocarcinoma), MCF-7 (ovary adenocarcinoma) and SW620 (colorectal adenocarcinoma), using a pre-set [compound] of 50 µM (single-point assay) and an incubation time of 24 h. The data shown are means ± SD of three independent experiments.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell line → Compound</th>
<th>A549</th>
<th>A375</th>
<th>MCF-7</th>
<th>PC3</th>
<th>SKOV3</th>
<th>SW620</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cltypy</td>
<td>43 ± 3.0</td>
<td>30 ± 1.9</td>
<td>55 ± 6.3</td>
<td>65 ± 0.6</td>
<td>52 ± 1.5</td>
<td>17 ± 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naphtpy</td>
<td>60 ± 8.4</td>
<td>56 ± 7.9</td>
<td>39 ± 2.3</td>
<td>71 ± 3.7</td>
<td>51 ± 6.4</td>
<td>71 ± 6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bimztpy</td>
<td>50 ± 2.5</td>
<td>33 ± 2.7</td>
<td>56 ± 4.5</td>
<td>42 ± 3.5</td>
<td>37 ± 1.6</td>
<td>34 ± 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>23 ± 4.6</td>
<td>9 ± 1.1</td>
<td>5 ± 0.9</td>
<td>29 ± 1.3</td>
<td>21 ± 1.0</td>
<td>12 ± 1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>37 ± 1.8</td>
<td>41 ± 3.6</td>
<td>48 ± 0.7</td>
<td>60 ± 1.5</td>
<td>56 ± 5.0</td>
<td>38 ± 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>67 ± 5.9</td>
<td>56 ± 4.6</td>
<td>39 ± 4.0</td>
<td>63 ± 9.5</td>
<td>36 ± 1.4</td>
<td>70 ± 8.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Cell viabilities ≤ 25% are shown in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>IC50 (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cltypy</td>
<td>11 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naphtpy</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.33 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bimztpy</td>
<td>1.15 ± 0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisplatin</td>
<td>35 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Cu(phen)2]2+</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** N.D. = not determined. * The lowest IC50 values are shown in bold.

Fig. 12 IC50 values (µM) from the dose-response assay of the ligand Bimztpy, the complexes 1–3 and the reference compounds cisplatin and [Cu(H2O)(L1,10-phenanthroline)3(NO3)2]2+ (symbolized as [Cu(phen)2]2+) in the MCF-7 cell line, after an incubation time of 24 hours (see Table 4). The results shown are means ± SD of triplicate experiments.

Since all three complexes display notable cytotoxicity properties towards breast MCF-7 cancer cells, half maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) values for all compounds have been determined for this cell line. The corresponding results, after an incubation time of 24 hours, are shown in Fig. 12, and listed in Table 4. For comparison purposes, IC50 values have also been obtained for cisplatin, the reference coordination compound used clinically, and the copper/phenanthroline complex ([Cu(phen)2]X2; X = NO3) which is the reference molecule for copper-based systems (Table 4). As expected, from all compounds reported herein, complex 2 presents the best IC50 value, i.e. 12 µM, which is much lower than that of cisplatin (that is 65 µM; Table 4). The reference copper/phenanthroline complex, which is a DNA-cleaving agent (contrary to 2), exhibits the best cytotoxicity properties, as reflected by its IC50 value of 3.9 µM (Table 4). However, it should be noticed that complex 2, like complex 3, Naphtpy and Bimztpy, gives a lower IC50 value than [Cu(phen)2]2+ (Table 4). Finally, determination of the IC50 values reveals that besides complex 2, complex 3 shows some activity (although much lower than the DNA cleaver [Cu(phen)2]2+).
the terpy moiety on the biological properties of new copper(II) complexes derived from 2.

Experimental section

General methods

All reactions were performed under aerobic conditions and all reagents and solvents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich or Acros Organics. The reference copper(II)-phenanthroline complex \([\text{Cu(H}_2\text{O)(1,10-phenanthroline)}](\text{NO}_3)_2\) was prepared following the procedure described by K. J. Catalan et al.\(^\text{59}\) pBR322 plasmid DNA was purchased from Roche and calf thymus DNA was obtained from Sigma-Aldrich. \(^{1}\text{H},\ ^{13}\text{C}\ {(\ ^{1}\text{H})}\) and heteronuclear \(\{^{1}\text{H}^{\wedge^{13}}\text{C}\}\) HSQC NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature with a Varian Unity 400 MHz spectrometer. Proton and carbon chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million (ppm, \(\delta\) scale) and are referenced to the peak of the solvent used. Infrared spectra (as KBr pellets) were recorded using a Nicolet-5700 FT-IR (in the range 4000–400 cm\(^{-1}\)).

Preparation of the copper(II) complexes

Synthesis of 4\(^{-}\text{H}[\text{benzo}[d][\text{imidazol}[2\text{[y]l)methoxy][2,2':6',2''[terpyridine (Cltpy)}: 0.250 g (1.67 mmol) of benzimidazol-2-methanol was added to a suspension of KOH (0.07 g; 8.38 mmol) in 12 mL of dry DMSO. After stirring for 30 minutes at 70 °C, 0.452 g (1.68 mmol) of Cltpy was added, and the resulting reaction mixture was further stirred for 4 hours at 70 °C. Subsequently, this reaction mixture was poured onto 150 mL of ice water. The white precipitate formed was filtered off, washed with cold water and dried. Yield = 0.259 g (0.68 mmol, 41%). Anal. Calcd for \([\text{Cltpy} \quad + \quad 2\text{H}_2\text{O})\]: C\(_{23}\)H\(_{21}\)N\(_{3}\)O\(_2\): C, 66.50; H, 5.49; N, 16.74. Found: C, 66.48; H, 5.57; N, 16.72. ESI-MS: \(m/z\) = 781.28 [2M + Na]\(^+\), 402.13 [M + Na]\(^+\), 380.15 [M + H]\(^+\). \(^{1}\text{H}\) NMR (400 MHz, d\(_6\)-DMSO): \(\delta\) (ppm) = 8.73 (d, 2H, J = 7.7 Hz, H\(_{2}\)), 8.02 (d, 2H, J = 7.7 Hz, H\(_{7}\)), 8.14 (s, 2H, H\(_{6}\)).

Preparation of the copper(II) complexes

The ligands were synthesized by reaction of 2-naphthalenemethanol or benzimidazol-2-methanol with 4\(^{-}\text{chloro}-2,2';6',2''\text{-terpyridine (Cltpy)} in DMSO in the presence of potassium hydroxide, following a known procedure.\(^{48}\)

Synthesis of 4\(^{-}\text{H}[\text{naphthalen-2-ylmethoxy]}-2,2';6',2''\text{-terpyridine (naphtpy)}: 1.000 g (0.632 mmol) of 2-naphthalenemethanol was added to a suspension of KOH (0.039 g; 0.700 mmol) in 15 mL of dry DMSO. After stirring for 30 minutes at 70 °C, 0.165 g (0.620 mmol) of Cltpy was added, and the resulting reaction mixture was further stirred for 4 hours at 70 °C. Subsequently, this reaction mixture was poured onto 150 mL of ice water. The white precipitate formed was filtered off, washed with cold water and chloroform (3 × 5 mL), and dried under reduced pressure. Yield = 0.199 g (0.512 mmol, 81%). Anal. Calcd for \([\text{naphtpy + 0.35 DMSO)}\]: C\(_{26}\)H\(_{21}\)N\(_{3}\)O\(_{1,35}\): C, 77.10; H, 4.89; N, 9.76. Found: C, 76.84; H, 5.10; N, 10.80. ESI-MS: \(m/z\) = 390.23 [M + H]\(^+\), 141.07 [(2\text{[methyl]naphthalene - [H]}]. \(^{1}\text{H}\) NMR (400 MHz, d\(_6\)-DMSO): \(\delta\) (ppm) = 8.72 (d, 2H, J = 4.0 Hz, H\(_{2}\)), 8.62 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz, H\(_{7}\)), 8.12 (s, 2H, H\(_{6}\)), 8.08 (s, 1H, H\(_{1}\)), 8.01 (m, 2H, H\(_{5}\)), 7.99 (m, 1H, H\(_{4}\)), 7.96 (m, 1H, H\(_{8}\)), 7.67 (d, 2H, J = 4.0 Hz, H\(_{7}\)), 7.54 (m, 2H, H\(_{6}\)), 7.49 (m, 2H, H\(_{5}\)). \(^{13}\text{C}\) NMR (400 MHz, d\(_6\)-DMSO): \(\delta\) (ppm) = 166.2 (C\(_{2}\)), 156.8 (C\(_{7}\)), 154.8 (C\(_{3}\)), 149.3 (C\(_{4}\)), 137.4 (C\(_{8}\)), 133.9 (C\(_{3}\)), 132.8 (C\(_{6}\)), 132.6 (C\(_{5}\)), 128.1 (C\(_{7}\)), 127.9 (C\(_{8}\)), 126.4 (C\(_{6}\)), 126.2 (C\(_{5}\)), 125.5 (C\(_{4}\)), 124.6 (C\(_{3}\)), 120.9 (C\(_{2}\)), 107.2 (C\(_{1}\)), 69.6 (C\(_{1}\)). IR (KBr): \(\nu\) = 3426, 1583, 1561, 1404, 1196, 1022, 796 cm\(^{-1}\).

Preparation of the copper(II) complexes

0.41 mmol of the ligand was suspended in 40 mL of methanol and heated at 50 °C until complete dissolution. To this solution, 0.100 g (0.41 mmol) of copper(II) nitrate trihydrate in 10 mL of methanol were added. The resulting mixture was stirred at 40 °C for 2 hours and then left for the slow evaporation of the solvent. After a few days, a precipitate or crystals appeared, which were filtered off, washed with ethyl ether and dried under reduced pressure.

\[\text{[Cu(naphtpy)(H}_2\text{O)}](\text{NO}_3)_2\] (1): Yield: 98 mg [51%]. Anal. Calcd for C\(_{35}\)H\(_{38}\)ClCuN\(_3\)O\(_{7}\): C, 38.07; H, 2.56; N, 14.80. Found: C, 37.94; H, 2.61; N, 14.77. IR (KBr): \(\nu\) = 3414, 3098, 1585, 1561, 1445, 1416, 1404, 1274, 1112, 1017, 802 cm\(^{-1}\).

\[\text{[CuCltpy}(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{NO}_3)_2\] (2): Yield: 192 mg [79%]. Anal. Calcd for C\(_{26}\)H\(_{22}\)CuN\(_3\)O\(_{6}\): C, 52.48; H, 3.56; N, 11.77. Found: C, 52.46; H, 3.19, N, 11.79. IR (KBr): \(\nu\) = 3493, 3061, 1617, 1474, 1383, 1222 cm\(^{-1}\).

\[\text{[Cu(bimztpy)(H}_2\text{O)}](\text{NO}_3)_2\] (3): Yield: 204 mg [81%]. Anal. Calcd for C\(_{35}\)H\(_{38}\)CuN\(_3\)O\(_{7}\) + 1.5 H\(_2\)O: C\(_{23}\)H\(_{22}\)CuN\(_3\)O\(_{6}\): C, 45.06; H, 3.78; N, 15.99. Found: C, 45.11; H, 3.10, N, 15.88. IR (KBr): \(\nu\) = 3422, 3074, 1617, 1478, 1383, 1217 cm\(^{-1}\).

X-ray crystallography

Data for the ligand Naphtpy were collected on colourless plate of dimensions 0.28 × 0.08 × 0.02 mm\(^3\) at 100 K using a Bruker APEX II QUAZAR diffractometer equipped with a microfocus multilayer monochromator with Mo K\(_\alpha\) radiation (\(\lambda\) = 0.71073).
Data for compounds 1 and 2 were obtained respectively at 100 and 150 K, on a blue plate of dimensions $0.45 \times 0.08 \times 0.04$ mm$^3$ (1), and on a green needle of dimensions $0.40 \times 0.04 \times 0.02$ mm$^3$ on a Bruker D8 diffractometer on the Advanced Light Source beam-line 11.3.1 at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, from a silicon 111 monochromator ($\lambda = 0.7749$ Å). Data reduction and absorption corrections were performed with SAINT and SADABS, respectively.\textsuperscript{70} The structures were solved and refined on $F^2$ with SHELXTL suite.\textsuperscript{70, 71} Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters while hydrogen atoms were included at calculated positions and refined with isotropic thermal parameters, except those on the water molecules ion the structures of 1 and 2, which were found in different Fourier map and refined freely with their isotropic thermal parameter 1.5 times that of their carrier oxygen.

**Gel electrophoresis**

Stock solutions of the copper(II) compounds were prepared in 40 mM HEPES–10 mM MgCl$_2$ buffer (pH = 7.2), pBR322 plasmid DNA aliquots (0.2 mg mL$^{-1}$) in 40 mM HEPES–10 mM MgCl$_2$ buffer were incubated with the complexes for 1 h at 37 °C. Subsequently, ascorbic acid (100 µM in 40 mM HEPES–10 mM MgCl$_2$ buffer) was added (in the case of experiments without ascorbic acid, this step was skipped) and the resulting mixture (15 µM DNA b.p. in a 100 mM solution of the complex) was incubated at 37 °C for an additional hour. Next, the reaction samples were treated with 4 µL of a quenching solution (30% (v/v) glycerol; 0.25% (w/v) bromophenol blue and 0.25% (w/v) xylene cyanol), and then electrophoretized on agarose gel (1% in TAE buffer, Tris-acetate–EDTA) for 2 h at 1.5 V cm$^{-1}$, using a BIORAD horizontal tank connected to a PHARMACIA GPS 200/400 variable potential power supply. Samples of free DNA and DNA in the presence of ascorbic acid were used as controls. Afterwards, the DNA was stained with SYBR$\textsuperscript{®}$ safe and the gel was photographed with a BIORAD Gel Doc$^\text{TM}$ EZ Imager.

**AFM experiments**

pBR322 plasmid DNA was heated just before use at 60 °C for 10 min to obtain a homogeneous distribution of topoisomers. The stock solutions of the complexes and plasmid DNA, as well as the reaction samples (namely the DNA–complex–ascorbic acid mixtures), were prepared as above (see section Gel electrophoresis experiments). The AFM samples were prepared by casting a 5 µL drop of test solution onto freshly cleaved muscovite green mica disks as the support. The drop was allowed to stand undisturbed for 3 min to favour the adsorbate–substrate interaction. Each DNA–laden disk was rinsed with Milli-Q water and was blown dry with clean compressed argon gas directed normal to the disk surface. The samples were stored over silica prior to AFM imaging.

**ROS-generation studies**

*Consumption of Ascorbic Acid (AA):* first, a 100 mM phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.4) was prepared, which was treated with Chelex® 100 resin (Bio-Rad) to remove any traces of metal ions. Next, a copper solution (1 µM CuCl$_2$ or compounds 1–3) was added. The reaction was initiated through the addition of a solution of AA to a final concentration of 100 µM. The consumption of AA was followed by its UV absorbance at 265 nm.

*Formation of 7-hydroxy-coumarin-3-carboxylic acid (7-OHCCA):* A solution of copper catalyst (10 µM CuCl$_2$ or compounds 1–3) was added to a 1 mM solution of coumarin-3-carboxylic acid (CCA) in 100 mM phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.4; previously treated with Chelex® 100 resin). The reaction was initiated by addition of a solution of AA to a final concentration of 300 µM. The generation of 7-OHCCA was followed by fluorescence spectroscopy through its emission at 500 nm, when excited at 385 nm.

**Cell cultures**

Human melanoma (A375) and lung (A549), breast (MCF-7), prostate (PC3), ovary (SKOV3) and colorectal (SW620) adenocarcinoma cell lines were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). The cell lines A549, A375, SKOV3 and SW620 were cultured in DMEM medium and PC3 in F12 medium. All media were supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS; Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 100 U mL$^{-1}$ penicillin, 100 µg mL$^{-1}$ streptomycin, and 2 mM L-glutamine. The MCF-7 cell line was cultured in DMEM–F12 (HAM) media (1:1) supplemented with 5% horse serum (Life Technologies), 100 µM sodium pyruvate (Sigma–Aldrich Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, USA), 10 µg mL$^{-1}$ insulin (Sigma–Aldrich), 100 U mL$^{-1}$ penicillin, 100 µg mL$^{-1}$ streptomycin, and 2 mM L-glutamine. The media and supplements whenever not stated were bought from Biological Industries, Beit Haemek, Israel. Cells were grown at 37 °C under a 5% CO$_2$ atmosphere.

**Cell-viability assays**

Cells ($1 \times 10^5$ cells per mL) were seeded in 96-well plates and allowed to grow for 24 h. For single-point experiments, the cells were treated with two different concentrations, i.e. 10 µM and 50 µM, of the compounds investigated for 24 and 48 h. For the dose–response curves, the cells were treated with different concentrations of the compounds; in the range 0.63–80 µM for 24 h. After treatment, a 10 µM solution of MTT (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide; Sigma–Aldrich) was added to each well and the plates were incubated at 37 °C for another 4 h. Subsequently, the medium was removed and the purple formazan crystals were dissolved in DMSO. Absorbance was measured at 570 nm using a multiwell plate reader (Multiskan FC, Thermo Scientific). The cell viability was calculated as follows: viability (%) = [(absorbance of the treated wells) / (absorbance of the control wells)] × 100. The IC$_{50}$ values (which correspond to the compound concentrations in µM that produce 50% cell-viability reduction) were obtained from the dose-response curves using GraphPad Prism V5.0 for windows (Graphpad Software, San Diego, CA,
USA). All data are shown as the mean value ± S.D. of three independent experiments for single-point assays and for the dose–response curves.
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Supramolecular interactions prevail over DNA-cleaving abilities in the cytotoxicity behaviour of terpyridine-based copper(II) complexes