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Highly NIR emitting lanthanide complexes with 2-(tosylamino)benzylidene-N-benzoylehydrazone


New NIR emitting materials were found among the lanthanide complexes with 2-(tosylamino)benzylidene-N-benzoylehydrazone. Complexes of Nd$^{3+}$, Er$^{3+}$ and Yb$^{3+}$, as well as Eu$^{3+}$, Gd$^{3+}$ and Lu$^{3+}$, were synthesized for the first time. Thanks to the absence of the vibration quenching ytterbium complex was found to exhibit the photoluminescence quantum yield of 1.4%. Since sensibilization efficiency was calculated to be 55%, the losses in the quantum yield are probably due to Yb-Yb resonant energy transfer.

Introduction

Luminescent lanthanide(III)-containing materials have recently attracted increasing attention, for example, as luminescent probes for cell imaging and medical diagnostics, due to their unique optical properties such as well-characterized and intense line-like emission, constant position of luminescence bands, long lifetimes of the excited state and high Stokes shift [1-3]. Such lanthanide materials, emitting in the near-infrared (NIR) range, are especially important for both bioanalyses and bioimaging, since NIR range has few interferences with biomaterials so that photons can penetrate deeply into biological samples, cells and tissues [1-3]. However, the efficiency of the NIR emitting lanthanide CCs luminescence is still very low [4-6].

Attempts to increase the quantum yield of NIR emitting lanthanide complexes were taken by using ligands of different classes for luminescence sensibilization, and particular success were quinoline [4, 7-9] and porphin [5] derivatives. In complexes with porphirine lanthanides were coordinated both “within” the porphirine cavity and by an external coordination site. The highest quantum yields among the different complexes of NIR emitting lanthanides were obtained for ytterbium compounds, which has the highest gap between the lowest lying excited state and the highest sublevel of the ground state. Nevertheless even ytterbium complex quantum yields in powder state typically lay much below 1% if not lower with only few examples exceeding this value [10-11].

The above mentioned classes of compounds, as well as others with competing quantum yields [6], have two features in common: first, they have relatively low lying triplet state energy, so that ligand to metal energy transfer is rather effective. Second, and probably more important, is that chelating and bulky nature of these ligands does not allow any quenching by solvent molecules in the lanthanide coordination environment. Indeed, not only overall, but also intrinsic quantum yield of lanthanide NIR emitting complexes is rather low, i.e. it is even more important to avoid quenching than to increase the energy transfer efficiency.

Schiff bases (SBs) form another prospective class of high denticity ligands which may form solvent-free complexes [12-14]. Because of the low lanthanide affinity to nitrogen they are more often obtained as ZnL$_n$NO$_3$ heterometallic luminescent complexes [15-17], though luminescent homometallic complexes are also well-known [18-20]. Important is that lanthanide complexes with SB ligands often include inorganic anions as chloride or nitrate. Among these ligands N-substituted (2-tosylamino)benzilideneimines are very interesting. These compounds as well as their d-metal complexes are known to form lanthanide complexes.

We have selected one of these ligands HL (Fig. 1) with N-(phenylcarbonyl) as an N-substituent, that provides additional oxygen atom able to coordinate lanthanide ion thus stabilizing the complex.

We present herein the synthesis of lanthanide complexes Ln$_3$L$_2$X$_3$ (Ln = Nd, Eu, Gd, Er, Yb, Lu; X = NO$_3$, Cl) (HL is shown in Fig. 1), their photophysical characteristics and primary investigation of luminescence in NIR range. Paramagnetic neodymium, erbium and ytterbium have been chosen as they possess metal-centered luminescence in NIR range, while europium was selected for its isotopic distribution allows using MALDI mass-spectrometry. Gadolinium complexes are suitable for estimating the excited state energy of the ligand, and diamagnetic lutetium complexes are...
suitable to get the reference NMR spectra for comparison with the spectra of paramagnetic metal complexes.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and characterization of potassium salt KL

With the aim to obtain lanthanide complexes with HL ligand in its deprotonated form the standard ligand exchange reaction was chosen:

\[ \text{LnX}_3\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O} + 3\text{KL} \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{LnX}_3 + 3\text{KX} \]  (1)

Solid salt KL was obtained by reaction of HL with KOH in ethanol, isolated and identified based on IR, NMR and elemental analysis, and its crystal structure was determined by single crystal X-ray analysis (Fig. 3).

![Fig. 2. Anionic moiety in the KL crystal with the representations of non-hydrogen atoms by probability ellipsoids of atomic displacements (p = 0.5)](image)

The crystal structure of the KL salt is to some extent expected due to a large cation size and a number of donor atoms in the L ligand (Fig. 2) giving multiple coordination modes of the latter. There are four potassium ions (K1-K4), four ligands L being slightly different by geometry and two water molecules in the independent unit. Despite the similarity of the potassium coordination environment which is always composed by four ligands L and the water molecule, cations can be divided into two groups by coordination polyhedron type (Fig. 4). Thus, cations K1 and K4 have strongly distorted trigonal-prismatic environment which is composed by four oxygen atoms of the ligands, the water molecule and the N2 nitrogen atom of the ligands (Fig. 4a and 4c). At the same time the K2 and K3 cations are both coordinated by N2 and N3 nitrogen atoms that together with five oxygen atoms of the ligands and the water molecule give distorted tetragonal-prismatic environment in this case (Fig. 4b and 4d).

![Fig. 3. Layered structure of KL in the crystal](image)

According to an analysis of metal-ligand distances (Table 1) and a Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) [24] search for K-O and K-N bonding distances the metal-ligand bonding for cations with the same polyhedron is similar. Cations with less coordination number (K1 and K4) form rather strong K-O bond with the sulfonyl group of the ligand (2.625(4) and 2.633(4) Å for K1 and K4 respectively), three K-O bonds of intermediate strength with the carbonyl oxygen atom and the water molecule (2.784(4)-2.834(5) Å for K1 and 2.774(4)-2.883(5) Å for K4) and weaker K-O interaction with the sulfonyl group (2.962(4) and 3.085(4) Å for K1 and K4 correspondingly). The coordination number of six is achieved by the presence of an extremely weak K-N2 interaction (3.317(5) and 3.325(5) Å for K1 and K4 respectively).

Table 1. The geometric peculiarities of metal-ligand bonding in the KL crystal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>K1</th>
<th>K4</th>
<th>K2</th>
<th>K3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O1</td>
<td>2.784(5), 2.834(5)</td>
<td>2.814(5), 2.883(5)</td>
<td>2.783(4), 2.964(5)</td>
<td>2.732(4), 2.876(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2</td>
<td>2.625(4)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.030(4)</td>
<td>2.712(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O3</td>
<td>2.962(4)</td>
<td>2.633(4), 3.085(4)</td>
<td>2.713(4), 2.747(4)</td>
<td>2.763(4), 3.155(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O1w</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.774(4)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.763(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2w</td>
<td>2.787(5)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.737(4)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2</td>
<td>3.317(5)</td>
<td>3.325(5)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3.288(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.945(5), 3.340(5)</td>
<td>2.925(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyhedron</td>
<td>Distorted trigonal prism</td>
<td>Distorted tetragonal prism</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In crystal any ligand L possesses bridging function giving endless chains of tetramer units in which two types of cation polyhedrons alternates (Fig. 4). Such chains are additionally stabilized by water molecules being bridging ligands between K1 and K2 or K4 and K3 cations, which is in line with less metal…metal separations in these fragments: K1…K2 3.60 Å, K2…K4 4.52 Å, K4…K3 3.64 Å, K3…K1 4.42 Å. Polymeric chains of KL are in turn bounded by bridging L ligands into layers (Fig. 3), so that K1 and K2 atoms from one chain are cross-linked with K3 and K4 of the neighbor chain by the ligand bridging groups, and K2 and K3 atoms of one chain are cross-linked to K4 and K1 atoms of the neighbor chain. Bulky ligands result in large distance between the chains being 9.0 Å. A number of weak H…H and C-H…π interactions between layers stabilize three-dimensional crystal structure.

Powder XRD patterns of KL were compared with the calculated powder diffraction data from single crystal (Fig. S1). It is obvious that the patterns coincide, indicating the same crystal structure of KL in polycrystalline powder and in single crystals. No additional reflexes, corresponding to HL impurity, were revealed.

NMR spectroscopy To determine which proton of HL removes under deprotonation and formation of KL, the data of $^1$H NMR spectra, together with 2D NMR COSY and NOESY spectra, were obtained and compared with HL spectra. As expected, in $^1$H NMR spectra of HL and KL the resonance signals, corresponding to the hydrogen atom in NH groups (11.1 and 12.1 ppm for HL; 11.7 ppm for KL) and to the methylene group (8.5 ppm for HL; 9.1 ppm for KL) are observed. The disappearance of one of the NH resonance signals and shift of the other one together with up field shift of methylene signal in potassium salt spectrum is indicative of the deprotonation of HL (Fig. 5). Signals in the aromatic area (6-8 ppm) were initially assigned based on analysis of the integral intensity and signal splitting that depends on the proton environment (Fig. 5, right).

So, the interaction of HL with KOH results in deprotonation of the tosyl-bonded NH group and formation of potassium salt KL with polymeric structure.
Synthesis and characterization of lanthanide salts Ln$_2$L$_3$X$_3$ (Ln = Nd, Eu, Gd, Er, Yb, Lu; X = NO$_3$, Cl)

The interaction of stoichiometric amounts of KL and hydrates of lanthanide chloride or nitrate (reaction 1) resulted surprisingly in formation of Ln$_2$L$_3$X$_3$ complexes, ascribed according to the scheme:

\[
\text{LnX}_3\cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O} + n\text{KL} \rightarrow \text{Ln}_2\text{L}_3\text{X}_3
\]

Independently on the reagent ratio (n=3 or n=1) the product composition corresponded to the Ln$_2$L$_3$X$_3$ for both X= Cl and NO$_3$. Nevertheless XRD patterns of Ln1 and Ln2 were remarkably different, though there were no traces neither of initial KL nor of HL, as it is shown on example of Er1 and Er2 on Fig. 6.

XRD patterns of the complexes within each of Ln1 and Ln2 series coincide (Fig. S4).

In spite of the same *brutto* composition, crystal structures of Ln1 and Ln2 are obviously different, so it is essential to check if Ln1 and Ln2 form individual phases and if their photophysical properties are affected by this phase difference. As far as all the obtained products were found to have very low solubility in organic solvents (ethanol, acetone, CHCl$_3$, i-PrOH, acetonitrile and their mixtures), no single crystals were obtained, and thus in the absence of the structural data the individuality of Ln$_2$L$_3$X$_3$ complexes has been proven by combination of indirect methods, i.e. elemental analysis, XRD, IR spectroscopy, TGA, MALDI, NMR spectroscopy and luminescent spectroscopy.

![Fig. 6. XRD patterns of HL, KL, Er1 and Er2](image)

![Fig. 7. IR spectra of a) HL, KL, Yb1, b) Er1 and Nd2, and c) KL, Nd2 and Yb1](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cmpnd</th>
<th>Vibration frequency, cm$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\nu$(NH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HL</td>
<td>3159-2837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KL</td>
<td>3218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LuL$_3$(NO$_3$)$_3$</td>
<td>3210</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**IR spectroscopy** IR spectra of Ln$_2$L$_3$(NO$_3$)$_3$, Ln1 and Ln2 were compared with those of HL and of KL (Fig. S6). The complicity of the ligand together with the variation of the coordination modes observed for KL makes IR spectra very complex and hard for interpretation, therefore only the most informative bands were selected for further analysis (Table 2). No ν(OH) band was found in the spectra of these complexes, indicating the absence of the coordinated solvent. It is also witnessed by the absence of the weight loss on the TG curves of the complexes (Fig. S5).

Further comparison of IR spectra within Ln1 and Ln2 series indicate no difference, which is shown in Fig. 7b on example of Er2 and Nd2. Nevertheless in the IR spectra of the samples of different series Ln1 and Ln2 the minimal differences appear (3-4 bands) which origin demands additional study (Fig. 7c). Important is however that among those differences is the first amide band (1627-1629 cm$^{-1}$) which is present in the spectra of Ln2, but is only shown as a part of broad band (amide 1 + ν(C=C)) in the spectra of Ln1 and LuL$_3$(NO$_3$)$_3$. The same differences are also observed on the Raman spectra (Fig. S7).

**MALDI spectroscopy** Because of the specific isotopic distribution europium complexes were used for MALDI spectroscopy. MALDI spectrum of Eu$_2$ revealed the presence of both monomeric ([EuL]$^+$, [EuL$_2$]+, [EuL$_2$-H+Na]$^+$, [EuL$_2$-H+K]$^+$, [EuL$_2$-2H+2K]$^+$) and dimeric molecular ions ([Eu$_2$L]$^+$, [Eu$_2$L$_2$]+) (Fig. 8a), suggesting that Eu$_2$ has at least dimeric structure. However the presence of several sodium and particular potassium containing species signals, including an intense one at 973/975 m/z, demanded assignment verification. Therefore Eu$_2$L$_3$(NO$_3$)$_3$ was used instead of Eu$_2$L$_3$Cl$_3$ (Eu2), since atomic mass of chlorine isotopes (35 and 37 amu) is close to the one of potassium (39 amu).

The spectrum of Eu$_2$L$_3$(NO$_3$)$_3$ revealed essentially the same signals of monomeric units (Fig. 8b), the most intensive of [EuL$_2$]$^+$, [EuL$_2$-H+Na]$^+$, [EuL$_2$-H+K]$^+$, [EuL$_2$-2H+2K]$^+$ species, proving the correct assignment. Besides several nitrate containing species signals were detected, including monomeric ([EuL$_2$(NO$_3$)]$^+$) and dimeric ([Eu$_2$L$_2$(NO$_3$)]$^+$). Besides nitrate-free dimeric [Eu$_2$L$_2$]$^+$ and even trimeric [Eu$_3$L$_2$]$^+$ ion signal was detected, confirming at least oligomeric structure of the complex, and more probably even polymeric, taking into account its very low solubility, as was found in [25].

**NMR spectroscopy** NMR spectroscopic study of paramagnetic ion complexes was run in comparison with analogous lutetium complexes Lu$_2$ and Lu$_2$L$_3$(NO$_3$)$_3$ complexes. Comparison of $^1$H NMR spectra of Lu$_2$L$_3$(NO$_3$)$_3$ and KL (Fig. 5) revealed a downfield shift of the methylene signal together with the rearrangement of the proton signals in the aromatic part of the spectrum, that arises from different ligand coordination modes. Besides signals of both NH groups with summary integral intensity 1 are observed instead of one signal, indicating two resonance forms of the ligand in the complex. The same features are observed in the spectrum of Lu$_2$, both spectra almost coincide (Fig. 9).
The NMR spectra of Eu$_2$L$_3$(NO$_3$)$_3$, Yb$_2$L$_3$ and Nd$_2$L$_3$(NO$_3$)$_3$ are more complex, demonstrating both signal pseudocontact shifts (PCS) and widening due to paramagnetic relaxation enhancement (PRE) (Fig. 9), which is the highest for Nd$_2$ and the lowest for Eu$_2$L$_3$(NO$_3$)$_3$ due to the highest and the lowest PRE radii [26]. Both upfield and downfield shifts of aromatic signals are observed for both Eu$_2$L$_3$(NO$_3$)$_3$, Yb$_2$L$_3$ and Nd$_2$L$_3$(Fig. 9), which is due to different spatial location of the corresponding protons with respect to the paramagnetic metal ion. The appearance of the shift and widening is an indirect proof of non-dissociated complex presence in DMSO-d$_6$ solution.

So, the reaction of lanthanide chloride or nitrate with KL resulted in the formation of Ln$_2$L$_3$X$_3$ (X = NO$_3$, Cl) independently on the reagent ratio. Some differences nevertheless seem to occur between Ln1 and Ln2, which is indicated by the differences of the XRD patterns and in the IR spectra.

**Luminescence spectroscopy** Luminescent properties were first examined for HL, KL, Lu$_2$L$_3$(NO$_3$)$_3$ and Gd$_2$L$_3$(NO$_3$)$_3$, which luminescence originates from the organic part of the molecule, and then for Yb$_1$, Yb$_2$, Er$_2$ and Nd$_2$L, where ionic luminescence in the NIR range was studied. Both Yb$_1$ and Yb$_2$ were taken to compare if the photophysical properties are affected by the change in the crystal structure.

As expected, KL exhibits a broad luminescence band corresponding to the emission of organic part of the molecule (Fig. 10). Hypsochromic shift of the spectrum and FWHM decrease upon deprotonation is due to molecule rigidity. Absence of HL luminescence band on the KL luminescence spectrum is another witness of product purity.

![Fig. 10. Luminescence spectra of HL, KL, Gd2 and LuL$_3$(NO$_3$)$_3$ at 298 K (left) and Gd2 at 298 K and 77K (right)](image)

Luminescence spectra of both Gd$_2$ and Lu$_2$ at room temperature also consisted of one fluorescence band with the maxima at 485 nm. Luminescence spectrum of Lu$_2$ remains the same at 77K, though phosphorescence bands appear in the one of Gd$_2$ (Fig. 10, right), allowing to estimate ligand excited states as $S_1$(L$^-$) = 20600 cm$^{-1}$ and $T_1$(L$^-$) = 19400 cm$^{-1}$. The excited state lifetime of Gd$_2$, measured upon triplet state relaxation, is equal to 41.3(5) µsec. It is worth noting that the $\Delta E(S_1-T_1) = 1200$ cm$^{-1}$ is very low, which allows to suggest Gd$_2$ as potential material with delayed fluorescence [27]. Such a behaviour in turn may be explained by different spatial localization of HOMO and LUMO.

The luminescence spectra of Nd$_2$, Er$_2$ and Yb$_2$ revealed typical ionic luminescence, which resolution significantly increases at 77K (Fig. 11, Fig. 12). The highest intensity was observed for ytterbium complexes, therefore they were examined in more details. So, luminescence spectra at 298K and 77K, excited state lifetimes and quantum yields were compared for Yb$_2$ and Yb$_1$. 

![Fig. 11. Luminescence spectra of Yb1 and Yb2 at room temperature and at 77 K](image)
Fig. 12 Luminescence spectra of Nd2, Er2 and Yb2 at room temperature and at 77 K

The luminescence spectra of both Yb1 and Yb2 revealed typical ionic luminescence, corresponding to the \( ^7F_{7/2} \rightarrow ^7F_{5/2} \) transition (Fig. 11) upon through-ligand excitation. As expected, different Stark splitting of the luminescence band was observed for Yb1 and Yb2, indicating different coordination environment, which is consistent with the differences in IR spectra.

Nevertheless the lifetimes of the excited \( ^7F_{7/2} \) state of Yb3+ as well as were found to be practically the same for both complexes at 298 K as well as at 77 K, indicating that excited state relaxation processes are the same for both compounds. Luminescence quantum yields measured for Yb1 and Yb2 also coincided and reached 1.2% and 1.4%, correspondingly.

Table 3. Photophysical data of Yb1 and Yb2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>( \tau_{\text{obs}} ) ( \mu \text{sec} )</th>
<th>PLQY, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>298 K</td>
<td>77 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb1</td>
<td>47.8</td>
<td>49.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb2</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>48.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These values are rather high for ytterbium coordination compounds, which quantum yield in powder state are typically much below 1%. Nevertheless it is rather far from 100%, therefore the question arises where the losses originate from. Taking into account a big difference in the energies of ligand excited state and ytterbium resonance level (ca. 9400 cm\(^{-1}\)), the low quantum yield may originate from the low sensibilization efficiency, which can be estimated as

\[
\eta_{\text{sens}} = \frac{Q_{Yb}^{\text{obs}}}{\tau_{\text{obs}}}.
\]

where \( Q_{Yb}^{\text{obs}} = \frac{\tau_{\text{obs}}}{\tau_{\text{rad}}} \). The pure radiative lifetime \( \tau_{\text{rad}} \) of Yb3+ is believed to depend only insufficiently on coordination environment and is typically estimated as 2 ms [28], so the internal quantum yield is \( Q_{Yb}^{\text{int}} = 2.4% \), giving rather high sensibilization efficiency \( \eta_{\text{sens}} = 55% \). The radiative lifetime can also be calculated from the absorption spectrum corresponding to the emission spectrum with the help of the modified Einstein’s equation [29]:

\[
\frac{1}{\tau_{\text{rad}}} = 2303 \times \frac{8\pi n^2 \bar{\nu}^2 (2J + 1)}{N_A (2J'+1)} \int \epsilon(\bar{\nu})d\bar{\nu}
\]

where \( c \) is the speed of light in vacuum (cm sec\(^{-1}\)), \( n \) is refractive index, \( N_A \) is Avogadro’s number, \( J \) and \( J' \) are the quantum numbers for the ground and excited states, respectively, \( \int \epsilon(\bar{\nu})d\bar{\nu} \) is the integrated spectrum of the \( f-f \) transition, \( \bar{\nu} = \int \bar{\nu} \epsilon(\bar{\nu})d\bar{\nu} / \int \epsilon(\bar{\nu})d\bar{\nu} \) is the barycenter of the transition. The very low solubility of Yb1 and Yb2, which was measured to be 1.6 mM in MeCN, lead to the very low signal to noise ratio in the absorption spectrum (Fig. S9), so the value of \( \tau_{\text{rad}} = 0.96 \) ms obtained from this spectrum is only a rough estimation. This value resulted in \( Q_{Yb}^{\text{obs}} = 5% \) and \( \eta_{\text{sens}} = 25% \).

Even this value of \( \eta_{\text{sens}} \) is rather high for such a big difference in the energies of ligand excited state and ytterbium resonance level, which indicates that in case of NIR emitters it is indeed much more important to exclude the possible quenchers prior to look for the ligands with low lying triplet state.
The question of the quenching origin nevertheless remains. Typically the energy losses in NIR emitting complexes are associated with the vibrational quenching, which, however, is questionable for the compounds under investigation. Indeed, the $\tau_{\text{exc}}$ is almost independent on the temperature (Fig. 5, Table 3), while the vibrational quenching is usually temperature-dependent [30-32], making the observed lifetimes also to depend on temperature.

So the remaining possibility of the quenching might be resonant Yb-Yb energy transfer. This energy transfer is well-known to quench the ytterbium luminescence in inorganic compounds, such as Yb$^{3+}$-doped garnets, YSZ etc., if the doping concentrations is higher than a certain critical value. Knowing the crystal lattice parameters one can recalculate these values into the critical distances $d$(Yb...Yb), which appear to be as high as 10Å [33] and even 20Å [34].

As far as Yb1 and Yb2 have oligo- or polymeric structure, $d$(Yb...Yb) in these complexes should be rather low; so, the highest K...K distance, i.e. the interchain distance in KL (Fig. 3), is still below 9Å, even though the bridging ligand coordinates two neighbouring potassium atoms by two different functional groups. Though we have no structural data for neither Ln1 nor Ln2, we suggest that $d$(Yb...Yb) should not exceed this value, which depends only on the ligand geometry. So the value of $d$(Yb...Yb) should be below the typical critical value, so making resonant Yb-Yb energy transfer the possible quenching mechanism.

Conclusions

A series of lanthanide complexes Ln$_3$L$_2$X$_3$ with 2-(tosylamino)benzylidene-N-benzoylhydrazone were synthesized by reaction of lanthanide nitrate or chloride LnX$_3$ with KL. This potassium salt KL has layered structure, each layer is built from the polymeric chains, linked by the bridging ligands.

The composition of lanthanide complexes was shown to be independent on reagent ratio, which, however, influences their crystal structure. Data of MALDI spectroscopy and low solubility of the obtained complexes allows to suggest their oligo- or polymeric structure. Thanks to high ligand denticity all the complexes do not contained coordinated solvent molecules, which was shown to exclude vibration quenching of NIR luminescence. Quantum yield of YbL$_2$C$_6$I$_4$ reached 1.4%, that is remarkable for lanthanide complex based NIR emitters. Since sensibilization efficiency was calculated to be 55%, and the losses in the quantum yield are probably due to Yb-Yb resonant energy transfer. Therefore complex dilution with non-luminescent ion as in [35] or monomeric complex formation may seem a perspective approach to the synthesis of efficient NIR emitters.

Experimental section

Materials and methods

**Elemental analyses (C, H, N)** were performed on a Vario Micro Cube (Elementar, Germany) by the Microanalytical Service of the Lomonosov Moscow State University.

**IR spectra** were recorded on bulk samples in the range of 4000–600 cm$^{-1}$ with a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum One spectrometer equipped with a universal attenuated total reflection sampler.

$^1$H NMR analysis was carried out on the Avance-400 Bruker (400 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$/TMS).

**Raman spectra** were recorded in the range of 400–2000 cm$^{-1}$ with a Renishaw InVia spectrometer.

**Photoluminescence spectra** at 25 °C and 77 K in visible range were measured on multichannel spectrometer S2000 (Ocean Optics) with a diode laser ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 337$ nm) as an excitation source. **Luminescence lifetimes** in visible range were determined using boxcar averager system (model 162) including gated integrators (model 164) and wide-band preamplifier (model 115) from EG&G Princeton applied research. **Lifetimes** were calculated from the luminescence kinetics recorded upon excitation with nitrogen laser (337 nm). Lifetimes are averages of at least three independent measurements. All luminescence decays proved to be perfect single-exponential functions.

**Photoluminescence spectra and lifetimes** in NIR range were measured using an Edinburgh Instruments FLS980 Fluorescence Spectrometer equipped with 450 W Xenon lamp. Both the excitation and emission 300 mm focal length monochromators were in Czerny Turner configuration. Excitation arm was supplied with holographic grating of 1800 lines/mm, blazed at 250nm. While the emission spectra was supplied with ruled grating, 1800 lines/mm blazed at 500nm. The spectral resolution was 0.1 nm. The R5509-72 photomultiplier tube from Hamamatsu in nitrogen-flow cooled housing was used as a detector for near infrared range.

**Absorption and diffuse reflection spectra** were measured in acetonitrile using Lambda950 spectrometer (Perkin Elmer).

**Quantum yields** were determined with the Fluorolog FL3–22 spectrophotometer at room temperature under excitation into ligand states according to an absolute method using an integration sphere. Each sample was measured three times to get an average value. The estimated error for the quantum yields is ±10%.

**X-ray powder diffraction** (XRD) measurements were performed on a Rigaku D/Max 2500 diffractometer in the $2\theta$ range 5-80$^\circ$ with Cu K$\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda=1.54064$ A).

**MALDI spectroscopy** was made on Autoflex II with time of flight detector (Bruker Daltonics, Germany).

**Crystallographic data:** Crystals of the KL salt C$_{4}$H$_{4}$K$_{2}$N$_{2}$O$_{2}$I$_{4}$S$_{3}$, M = 1758.17) are monoclinic, space group P2$_1$/a, $\alpha$ = 14.873(4), $\beta$ = 31.050(8), $\gamma$ = 17.737(4), $\beta$ = 90.749(5), V = 8190(3) Å$^3$, Z = 4 ($\beta$ = 2), $F(000)$ = 3648. Intensities of 55854 reflections were measured with a Bruker APEX 2 Duo diffractometer ($\lambda$(MoK$\alpha$) = 0.71072Å, o-scans, 20<54$^\circ$) and 17806 independent reflections [R$_{int}$ = 0.1369] were used in further refinement. The structure was solved by direct method and refined by the full-matrix least-squares technique against $F^2$ in the isotropic-anisotropic approximation. The positions of all hydrogen atoms were calculated using geometric criteria and possible H-bonding network: hydrogen atoms of water molecules were not localized from different Fourier map. All hydrogen atoms were refined in the isotropic approximation within the riding model. For KL, the refinement converged to wR2 = 0.2236 and GOF = 0.988 for all independent reflections (R1 = 0.0765 was calculated against F for 9401 observed reflections with I>$2\sigma$(I)). All calculations were performed using SHELX 2014 [36].

CCDC 1054462 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for KL. These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html (or from the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, CB21EZ, UK; or deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).
Syntheses

Synthesis of HL. The synthesis of HL was performed following the scheme:

![Chemical Structure]

To a hot solution of 2-tosylaminobenzaldehyde (2.75 g, 10 mmol) in ethanol (50 ml) a hot solution of N-benzyolhydrazine (1.36 g, 10 mmol) in ethanol (20 ml) was added. The mixture was refluxed for 3 hours at room temperature. The solvent was then partially evaporated to give the white precipitate of KL crystals, that were filtered off and washed thrice with a small amount of ethanol and dried in dessicator.

Elemental analysis, %: clcd. C 64.11, H 4.87, N 10.68, found C 64.23, H 4.98, N 10.73

Synthesis of KL. Ethanol solution of KOH (1.05 mmol) was added to ethanol solution of HL (1 mmol), and the mixture was stirred for 3 hours at room temperature. The solvent was then partially evaporated to give the white precipitate of KL crystals, that were filtered off and washed thrice with a small amount of cold ethanol.


Yield 86 %. Colorless crystals, m.p. 194-195 °C.

Syntheses
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