ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Journal Name



COMMUNICATION

Unprecedented $W_2(0)$ quadruply bonded complex supported by π -donor ligands⁺

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

K. Ventura, J. R. Prat, L. M. Aguirre Quintana, A. Goos and D. Villagran*^a

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

A quadruply bonded complex $W_2(DippF)_2K_2$ with a $W_2(0)$ core was synthesized and structurally characterized. The observed W-W distance of 2.407(1) Å and DFT calculations are consistent to the unprecendented electronic structure in D_{2h} symmetry of $\sigma^2 \pi^2 \pi^2 \delta^2$ $\delta^2 \delta^{*2}$ where the HOMO is the δ^* orbital.

The chemistry of W_2 complexes has recently been the subject of renewed interest by many research groups due to its potential in small molecule activation reactions.^{1–7} However, in contrast to the rich chemistry of the other two group 6 bimetallics (Cr₂ and Mo₂), the chemistry of W₂ complexes has been characterized by their propensity to be easily oxidized. In fact, W₂ compounds are so easily oxidized that tetragonal compounds bridged by guanidinate ligands are the most easily ionizable complexes measured to date, and have potential uses as strong reductants.¹

Bimetallic complexes bridged by π -acceptor ligands such as CO allow the formation of singly-bonded staggered complexes such as $Mn_2(CO)_{10}$, $Re_2(CO)_{10}$, $MnRe(CO)_{10}$ and $Co_2(CO)_8$,⁸⁻¹⁰ whose chemistry has been well-studied. These bimetallic complexes supported by π -acceptor ligands can be considered as being formed by two M(CO)₅ fragments that are isolobal with the methyl radical and behave accordingly, $^{8\mbox{--}10}$ yet no W_2 complexes of this type are known to exist. While π -acceptor ligands can help stabilize electron rich metals thanks to backbonding, ligands of increased basicity, such as $\pi\text{-}\text{donors},$ are needed to support bimetallic complexes with higher oxidations states. The most common ligands of this type are carboxylates, formamidinates, and guanidinates.^{5,11} The lowest oxidation state of W–W bonded complexes when bridged by π -donor ligands is W(II)-W(II). In contrast, dichromium and dimolybdenum bimetallic systems have been isolated with oxidation states of Cr(I)-Cr(I) and Mo(I)-Mo(I). Currently, no ditungsten analogs are known, in part, due to the difficulty of

their synthesis. While the W(I)–W(I) complex is still unreported (but still pursued by us and others), herein we report the synthesis and characterization of an *even more* highly reduced W(0)-W(0) bimetallic complex (Figure 1) supported by two DippF ligands (DippF = bis-diisopropylphenyl formamidinate) and its precursors.



Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of 3 plotted at the 50% probability value. W(1)-W(1) distance 2.407(1) Å, W1-N(1) distance 2.08(2) Å.

The multi-step synthesis of the title compound starts with WCl₆ as a starting material, which is reacted with W(CO)₆ to form WCl₄ as depicted in Scheme 1. Reduction of WCl₄ with KC₈ in the presence of stoichiometric amounts of the lithium salt of DippF, yields the bimetallic precursor 1 (26% yield) which has a W₂⁶⁺ core. A cyclic voltammogram (CV) of 1, Figure 3, shows a reversible reduction at -1.28 V (vs Fc/Fc+) followed by an irreversible reduction at -1.89 V. A third reversible reduction event at -2.28 V is also observed.

^{a.} Department of Chemistry, The University of Texas at El Paso, El Paso TX †Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

COMMUNICATION

Reduction of **1** with one equivalent of lithium metal in THF yields **2** in 99% yield (see Supplementary Information). Compound **2** has been characterized by x-ray crystallography, and has a W-W bond distance of 2.280(1) Å. This distance is consistent with a bond order of 3.5^{12} NMR spectroscopy shows broad signals consistent with having a paramagnetic sample. EPR spectroscopy shows an anisotropic signal with g = 1.86 (Figure S2) also in agreement with similar W–W complexes with bond order of $3.5^{.4,13\,14}$

Scheme 1. Synthetic pathway for $W_2(DippF)_2K_2$

- I. 2 WCl₆ + W(CO)₆ 3 WCl₄ + 6 CO
- II. 2 WCl₄ + 2 KC₈ → W₂Cl₆ + 2 KCl



Reduction of **1** in refluxing THF with excess potassium sand yields **3** in 14% yield (Scheme 1.V). Crystals grown at –10 °C from a concentrated solution of **3** in ether were analyzed under single-crystal x-ray diffraction. The structure of **3** is shown in Figure 1. The W–W bond distance of 2.407(1) Å is longer than the range of classical $\sigma^2 \pi^4 \delta^2$ quadruply bonded complexes (spanning distances between 2.155(2) Å and 2.375(1) Å).^{15,16} The bond distances between the metal center and the N atom in the ligand are between 2.081(2) – 2.121(2) Å. The distances between the potassium cations and the isopropyl groups of the ligand fall in the range of 3.262(6) – 3.303(4) Å. On the other hand the distances between the isopropyl groups and the W atoms are between 2.216(2) – 2.223(6) Å.

DFT calculations were performed on a geometry optimized dianionic model of $\mathbf{3}$ to gain insight into its electronic structure. The top six highest occupied molecular orbitals of



the model of **3** shows that they all are metal based (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Molecular orbital diagram plotted at the 0.04 isodensity value for a dianionic model of 3.

Starting from HOMO-5 and going up in energy until the HOMO, we see the molecular orbital manifold of bimetallic systems with D_{2h} symmetry,^{5,17–19} namely a σ -orbital (HOMO-5, 93% metal character), two π -orbitals close in energy (but not degenerate) (HOMO-4 and HOMO-3, 82 and 96% metal character, respectively), a distorted δ -orbital composed by two $d_x^{\ 2}$ orbitals (HOMO-2, 87% metal), a second $\delta\text{-orbital}$ formed by two d_{xy} orbitals (51% metal), and finally a δ^* antibonding orbital as the HOMO (79% metal). The HOMO has a large s orbital contribution to the MO (22% s orbital character vs 20% d orbital character) also consistent with previous calculations on similar W₂ systems.²⁰ This molecular orbital manifold shows that the net bond order of this system is four and it is consistent with the experimentally observed long W-W distance. The electronic spectrum of 3 shows a broad signal from 600 to 350 nm (Figure S7) suggesting the availability of low-lying excited states.²¹

Journal Name

The strong π interactions between the metal and the ligand are described pictorially in Figure 4. The π system of each DippF ligand yields three orbitals composed of different combinations of the NCN p₇ orbitals, namely a bonding combination (all p_z orbitals in phase), a non-bonding (no p_z character in the central C atom) , and an antibonding orbital combination (left side of Figure 4, from bottom to top). Since there are two DippF ligands, the in-phase and out-of-phase



Figure 3. Cyclic voltammogram of 1 depicting two reversible redox events and one non-reversible oxidation.

interactions of each are expected. In an idealized D_{2h} symmetry each combination has the following Mulliken labels: b_{3g}, b_{1u} for bonding, a_{u}, b_{2g} for non-bonding and b_{3g}, b_{1u} for antibonding. The bonding and nonbonding orbitals are occupied, while the antibonding orbital is not.²²

The out-of-phase combinations of the bonding, non-bonding and antibonding (b_{3g} , a_u , and b_{3g} respectively) interact with one set of the δ and δ^* . The ligand based antibonding b_{3q} interacts with the $b_{3q} \delta$ orbital. The empty $\pi^* b_{3q}$ stabilizes the delta b_{3g} in a back-bonding interaction. In the potassium salt of the deprotonated DippF ligand the carbon-nitrogen distances of the NCN π system are 1.312(3) and 1.319(3) Å. 23 When DippF is associated to the ditungsten core, W_2 , in **3** those distances increase to 1.37(3) and 1.36(3) Å, suggesting that the b_{3a} antibonding ligand orbital is occupied, and consistent with the depicted molecular orbital in Figure 4.²⁴ Three signals of different intensity were observed in the Raman spectrum of **3** (Figure S3). We assigned these vibrations with the aid of DFT calculations. Strong signals at 343.7 and 421.8 cm^{-1} are attributed to ligands vibrations while a signal centered at 299.4 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the tungsten-tungsten vibration which is in the range of quadruply bonded systems.¹³ To the best of our knowledge, compound **3** is the first example of a M₂ core with a metal center in the zero oxidation state with π -donating ligands. Moreover, the low oxidation state of the metal centers makes this an unprecedented electron rich metal core. We are currently working on oxidizing 3 to yield the W(I)-W(I) analog to those of the Cr_2 and Mo_2 quintuply bonded complexes,^{5,17,19} as well as exploring its reactivity.



Figure 4. Molecular orbital depicting ligand-metal interactions of compound 3

Acknowledgements

We thank the UTEP URI program for financial support. JP thanks MARC and NIH for an undergraduate fellowship, LMAQ thanks MERITUS and the COURI program for undergraduate financial support. We thank the department of physics for access to the x-ray diffractometer. Prof. Luis Echegoyen for access to the Raman instrumentation, and NSF grant CHE-1228325 for funding of the EPR instrument.

Notes and references

- Cotton, F. A.; Donahue, J. P.; Lichtenberger, D. L.; (1) Murillo, C. A.; Villagrán, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127 (31), 10808.
- (2) Dolinar, B. S.; Berry, J. F. Dalton Trans. 2014, 43 (16), 6165.
- Cayton, R. H.; Chisholm, M. H.; Huffman, J. C.; (3) Lobkovsky, E. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113 (23), 8709.
- (4) Ventura, K.; Veleta, J. M.; Metta-Magaña, A.; Villagrán, D. Inorganica Chim. Acta 2015, 424, 286.
- Tsai, Y.-C.; Chen, H.-Z.; Chang, C.-C.; Yu, J.-S. K.; Lee, (5) G.-H.; Wang, Y.; Kuo, T.-S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009. 131 (35), 12534.

COMMUNICATION

COMMUNICATION

- (6) Kol, M.; Schrock, R. R.; Kempe, R.; Davis, W. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116 (10), 4382.
- (7) Nippe, M.; Goodman, S. M.; Fry, C. G.; Berry, J. F. J.
 Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133 (9), 2856.
- (8) Churchill, M. R.; Amoh, K. N.; Wasserman, H. J. Inorg. Chem. 1981, 20 (5), 1609.
- (9) Sweany, R. L.; Brown, T. L. Inorg. Chem. 1977, 16 (2), 415.
- Brimm, E. O.; Lynch, M. A.; Sesny, W. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1954, 76 (14), 3831.
- Bailey, P. J.; Pace, S. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2001, 214 (1), 91.
- (12) While the crystal structure of 1 has not yet been obtained we can compare this W-W distance to the triple bonded W2tpg2Cl4 (where tpg is the anion of N,N',N"-triphenylguanidine) that has a W–W bond distance of 2.261(2), consistent with the stronger basicity of the guanidinate ligand.
- (13) Eglin, J. L. In *Multiple Bonds Between Metal Atoms*; Springer US; pp 183–202.
- (14) While we have probed and spectroscopically characterized the second reduction event yielding a putative W(I)-W(I) quintuply bonded complex, the crystal structure of this has remained elusive, and it is not a matter of this communica-tion.
- (15) Eglin, J. L.; Smith, L. T.; Staples, R. J.; Valente, E. J.;
 Zubkowski, J. D. J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 596 (1–2), 136.
- (16) Cotton, F. A.; Murillo, C. A. In *Multiple Bonds Between Metal Atoms*; pp 183–202.
- (17) Nguyen, T.; Sutton, A. D.; Brynda, M.; Fettinger, J. C.; Long, G. J.; Power, P. P. Science 2005, 310 (5749), 844.
- (18) Murillo, C. A. Comments Inorg. Chem. **2015**, 35 (1), 39.
- (19) Noor, A.; Kempe, R. *Inorganica Chim. Acta* **2015**, *424*, 75.
- (20) Landis, C. R.; Weinhold, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128 (22), 7335.
- (21) These excited states would be antibonding in nature with respect to the W-W bond, and thus consistent with the observed long W-W bond.
- (22) The in-phase combination of each of these ligand orbitals do not have the symmetry to interact with the metal d orbitals (b1u, b2g), and they are not depicted graphically except for the b1u of the antibonding that is LUMO and has some interaction with metal π orbitals.
- (23) Cole, M. L.; Junk, P. C. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 666 (1–2), 55.
- (24) In compound 2 the NCN distances are of 1.3214 and 1.3404 Å consistent with the metal-ligand interactions.

Page 4 of 4