ChemComm

Accepted Manuscript



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/chemcomm

COMMUNICATION

Click and Release: Fluoride Cleavable Linker for Mild Bioorthogonal Separation⁺

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

Elia M. Schneider, Martin Zeltner, Vladimir Zlateski, Robert N. Grass, Wendelin J. Stark*

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Herein, we present a water dispersable, magnetic nanoparticle supported "click and release" system. The cleavable linker has been synthesized by using a strain-promoted copper-free "click" reagent to establish the specific link and a fluoride cleavable silane moiety for mild cleavage. Small organic molecules, azide-bearing dyes and functionalized enzymes have been bound to the magnetic particle and released in a bioorthogonal way.

Bio-orthogonal chemistry¹ opened the way for a detailed description and understanding of many biological processes, namely by the possibility to label and track specific biomolecules such as enzymes, lipids and sugars in vivo.² However, these kind of reactions, such as the Staudinger ligation or the 1,3-dipolar Huisgen cycloaddition of azides and alkynes ("click"),³ are not reversible und cannot be cleaved in a specific and bio-compatible way (i.e. low temperatures, at neutral pH and in water). This would be beneficial for a large set of applications, for example native protein analysis,⁴ protein glycosylation analysis⁵ and analysis of protein complexes⁶ via mass spectroscopy. There exist only a few reports of such linker systems;7 however, the cleaving conditions are often quite harsh or not fully bio-orthogonal. Our idea was to implement a cleavable silane moiety, one of the most used protecting groups for alcohols in organic chemistry.⁸ The main advantage of this system is the cleavage via fluoride ions, which has already been used in solid peptide synthesis.9 However, instead of using anhydrous HF we opted for buffered oxide etch (mild buffered fluoride solution) which has been used to uncage DNA and RNA from silica without damaging the biomolecules.¹⁰ Our aim was to combine this mature technique to the modern copper-free "click" chemistry. Since the Huisgen-cycloaddition usually needs a high amount of copper catalyst, which hinders proper cell function,¹¹ a variety of strain-promoted copper-free click

Institute for Chemical and Bioengineering, ETH Zurich, Vladimir-Prelog-Weg 1, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland

reagents have been developed.¹² These reagents promote the relatively fast dipolar cycloaddition without the need of ..., copper catalyst, thus leading to bio-compatible chemistry. By combining the mature and biorthogonal silane-chemistry the state-of-the-art copper-free "click"-chemistry, creation of cleavable "click and release" system is anticipated Additionally, a suitable way to get in and out of a biologic. system has to be considered. A promising approach is provided by magnetic nanoparticles, since they can be separated quickly from any solution by using a permanent magnet. Recently, a plethora of magnetic nano-sized reagents has been developed,¹³ based on magnetic carbon-coated met I nanoparticles. Advantages of these nanoparticles are a higher saturation magnetization and thus faster separation compare 1 to silica coated iron oxide nanoparticles.^{13a} Furthermore, the graphene layer protects the particle from degradation by



Scheme. 1. Copper-free dipolar cycloaddition (click) on the magnetic nanoparticle followed by the fluorine-mediated release.

AL SOC

E-mail: Wendelin.stark@chem.ethz.ch

^{*}Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, protein adsorption experiments, XRD spectra and TEM micrographs. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

buffered oxide etch and can be functionalized in a covalent and stable manner.^{13d} However, one of the main drawbacks of this material is the unspecific protein adsorption ("fouling") on the highly hydrophobic, graphene-like surface.

To provide a solution for this problem, defined coatings have been developed, often PEG-chains or analogues.¹⁴ A practical route to coat nanoparticles in an uniform and defined way is surface initiated atom transfer radical polymerization (SI-ATRP), a "grafting from" approach.¹⁵ Herein, we present the synthesis of a bio-orthogonal "click" and release system (Scheme 1) on a magnetic, PEG-coated support.

The preparation of the PEG-coated magnetic nanoparticles was conducted via standard surface initiated atom transfer radical polymerization using CuBr₂ and bipyridine (bipy) as catalyst and ligand, respectively (Fig. 1). First, the magnetic carbon-coated cobalt nanoparticles (C/Co) were functionalized according to literature,^{14b} yielding covalently bound starter particles 1. Subsequently, 1 was polymerized using ascorbic acid to reduce Cu(II) to the active Cu(I) form (known as activator regenerated by electron transfer (ARGET) ATRP),¹⁶ resulting in the novel PEG-coated magnetic nanoparticles C/Co@PEGMA 2 (Fig. 2). Using this polymerization technique, control of the polymer length and thus the desired dispersion stability could be obtained.14a Indeed, the so obtained polymer-coated particles were sufficiently dispersible in an aqueous solution and the formation of a polymer layer around the magnetic particles was confirmed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM, see Fig 1. in ESI). Comparing the unfunctionalized C/Co particles to the polymerized C/Co@PEGMA 2, the first stack while the latter do not due to steric repulsion of the transparent polymer layer. Compound **2** was characterized *via* elemental microanalysis (ΔC = 24.4 %, see Table 1. in the ESI) and IR (Fig. 2). Since an extensive polymer layer can significantly lower the saturation magnetization, vibrating sample magnetometer а measurement (VSM) was executed. 151 emu g⁻¹ was obtained







for non-functionalized carbon coated cobalt nanoparticia C/Co, while for **2** 61.1 emu g⁻¹ was measured. Despite lower magnetization and high dispersion stability, fast separation by using a permanent magnet was possible (Fig. 1). introduce the cleavable silyl linker, dichlor(-То diisopropylsilane was reacted with a hydroxyl-bearing moiety for 6 h, and subsequently added to well-disperse a C/Co@PEGMA particles 2 thus affording the fluoride-cleavable linker system (Scheme 2). In order to verify that the sile. linking system is indeed cleavable with dilute F- solutio (buffered oxide etch, BOE) and to determine the ideal cleavin conditions, test reactions were run using fluorescent 1 naphthol as the leaving group (see Fig. 2 in the ESI). The link system was stable in water, 5 mM HCl solution and pH sodium phosphate buffer (SPB). Notably, 13 mm of BOE pH 1 proved to be an optimal amount of fluoride to cleave the silane bond in quantitative yields. The formation of 1-naphth and difluoro-diisopropylsilane upon treatment with BOE was confirmed by LC-MS and GC-MS, respectively. Subsequer y, the more sophisticated copper free click reagent ((1R,8S,9s)bicyclo[6.1.0]non-4-yn-9-ylmethanol), which contains an accessible hydroxyl group, was reacted with dichlor diisopropylsilane and in situ added to C/Co@PEGMA 2 to



Fig. 2. FT-IR spectra of the magnetic compounds 1-3. Red lines highlight the following vibrations: 2876 cm⁻¹ C-H stretch, 1725 cm⁻¹ C=O stretch.

6 click wash release fluorophore concentration (µmol/l) 5 4 loading: 0.35 ± 0.05 µmol/g 3 2 1 0 initial wash 2 wash 3 cleave supernatant after click

Fig. 3. Click and release of an azide-functionalized dye (TAMRA-Azide). Conditions: in water, click for 3h at RT, wash with water (3x, 1h), cleave for 16 h using 13 $\,$ MM BOE.

afford a magnetic, cleavable copper-free click reagent C/Co@PEGMA-Si-Octyne 3 (Scheme 2). Characterization via elemental microanalysis and IR (esp. C-H stretch at 2876 cm⁻¹) confirmed the establishment of the desired linker system. The loading was determined by clicking the commercially available azide functionalized dye carboxy-tetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA-Azide) to 3 (Fig. 3). Therefore the dye and 3 were shaken for 6 h, resulting in a 1,3-Huisgen dipolar cycloaddition. Subsequently, the absorbance of the supernatant was measured at 550 nm, containing the remaining dye species. The particles were washed with water three times for one hour, resulting in no absorbance at 550 nm, i.e. no color. However, if dispersed in 13 mm BOE, a clear peak at 550 nm appeared, also visible as a purple coloring. It should be noted that 13 mm buffered oxide etch contains a relatively low amount of fluoride (247 ppm), comparable to common toothpaste (1000-1500 ppm).¹⁷ The amount of cleaved dye was used to calculate the loading, determined as 0.35 ± 0.05 µmol g⁻¹. The loading was intentionally set lower (by controlling the amount of mono-chlorinated species added to C/Co@PEGMA) than in the case of 1-naphthol (49 ± 0.4 µmol g⁻¹) because many biomolecules are large in diameter (1COMMUNICATION

6 nm)¹⁸ and therefore hinder a high loading onto nanoparticut due to size-constraints. It should be mentioned that a high loading could possibly be achieved, but since copper-free clic reagents are expensive in large quantities (e.g. grams) and resulting benefit (i.e. higher biomolecule loading) is low, this was not further pursued. Furthermore, unspecific protein binding onto the nanoparticles ("fouling") was investigate since the aim of this work is to catch and release specific (i.e. azide-labelled) compounds. It is crucial that non-labelled proteins do not adhere to the particle surface and that bound proteins can be released effectively. This means that there is no unspecific interaction between the particle and a bound protein. Therefore, rhodamine labelled BSA was dissolved [, water (0.5 nmol mL⁻¹) and the absorption at 555 nm w \gtrsim measured at t= 0 h, then after 2, 18, 32 h using nake particles, 2 or 3 (20 mg each, see Fig. 3 in the ESI). While the naked C/Co particles irreversibly adsorbed over 90% of protein over time, the PEG-functionalized particles 2 and a showed much lower unspecific protein adsorption (i.e. < "" after 32 h). Since PEG-coating has been known to prevent unspecific protein adsorption, these results are in line with recent literature.¹⁹ To demonstrate applicability in the biological field, the protease enzyme α -chymotrypsin (from bovine pancreas) has been functionalized with an azide group by using a commercially available Azide-PEG₄-NHS reagen⁺. The well-studied reaction of NHS-ester with unprotonate, free amine groups of proteins (e.g. lysine) proceeded smooth y in basic buffer solution. The activity of the azide-functionalize. enzyme was then compared to the immobilized enzyme (i. . bound by click-reaction to the magnetic particle) using standard enzymatic assay (Fig. 4).



Fig. 4. Specific activity of azide-functionalized α -chymotrypsin. Enzymatic assay was performed measuring the formation of nitroaniline with UV spectroscopyat 390 nm, using N-benzoyl-L-tyrosine p-nitroanilide as a substrate. Amount of bound enzyme was determined by elemental analysis.

6

7

8

9

COMMUNICATION

As anticipated, the immobilized enzyme exhibited a lower specific activity (i.e. <5 % of the free enzyme). Compared to other reports of covalent²⁰ or biospecific²¹ immobilization of enzymes on magnetic nanoparticles (15-35 %, resp. 106 % retained activity) the herein reported retained activity is low. A possible explanation for this drop can be the random orientation of the immobilized enzyme. Also, the covalent linking strategy might negatively influence the enzyme activity. However, if the clicked enzyme was released by the use of 13 mm BOE, the activity of the released enzyme was substantially increased (i.e. to 67%, compared to the free enzyme). It should be noted that the destructive effect of buffered oxide etch on chymotrpysin is marginal (93 ± 3.1% retained activity after 16 h in 13 mM BOE at RT). As mentioned before, the here used dilute F⁻ solution proved to be harmless to DNA and even RNA.¹⁰ Therefore buffered oxide etch can be assumed to be bio-orthogonal and mild, making it a suitable reagent for a wide use in biochemistry. However, there are also limitations to this cleavage system: pH 4 for 16 h can be too harsh for certain proteins, resulting in denaturing. The long time-span could be reduced by optimizing the conditions (e.g. higher fluoride concentration), if necessary. Furthermore, fluoride can interact with certain metal ions, (e.g. calcium, forming CaF₂) forming stable compound and thus deactivating an enzyme by binding the cofactor.

In summary, a well-dispersed, magnetic and cleavable copperfree click-nano-reagent has been synthesized and characterized. An azide-functionalized dye has been clicked to the magnetic particle and released using 13 mM dilute F⁻ solution. Furthermore it was shown that also enzymes could be bound and released without a substantial loss of activity.

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): two authors (W. J. S. and R. N. G.) declare financial interests, as they are shareholders of Turbobeads LLC, a ¹⁴ company producing magnetic nanoparticles.

Financal support was provided by ETH Zurich and the EU/ITN network Mag(net)icfun (PITN-GA-2012-290248).

Notes and references

- E. M. Sletten and C. R. Bertozzi, Accounts Chem. Res., 16 2011, 44, 666.
 (a) A. B. Neef and C. Schultz, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 17
- 2 (a) A. B. Neef and C. Schultz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2009,
 48, 1498; (b) T. Plass, S. Milles, C. Koehler, C. Schultz and
 E. A. Lemke, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2011, 50, 3878; (c) E.
 M. Sletten and C. R. Bertozzi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2009,
 48, 6974.
- 3 (a) H. C. Kolb, M. G. Finn and K. B. Sharpless, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 2004; (b) E. Saxon and C. R. Bertozzi, *Science*, 2000, **287**, 2007.
- 4 K. Lorenzen and E. V. Duijn, in *Current Protocols in Protein* Science, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2001.
- 5 X. Zhang and Y. Zhang, *Molecules*, 2013, **18**, 7145.

Journal Name

Page 4 of 5

- H. Zhang, X. Tang, G. R. Munske, N. Tolic, G. A. Anders, and J. E. Bruce, *Mol. Cell Proteomics*, 2009, **8**, 409.
 (a) C. Sibbersen, L. Lykke, N. Gregersen, K. A. Jorgense and M. Johannsen, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 12098: (b)
 S. Wang, W. Xie, X. Zhang, X. Zou and Y. Zhang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 5907.
- (a) E. J. Corey and B. B. Snider, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 197 94, 2549; (b) S. J. Danishefsky, K. F. McClure, J. Randolph and R. B. Ruggeri, *Science*, 1993, 260, 1307. Sakakiba.S, Shimonis.Y, Y. Kishida, M. Okada and
- Sugihara, B. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1967, 40, 2164.
 (a) R. N. Grass, R. Heckel, M. Puddu, D. Paunescu and W. J. Stark, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 2552; (b) D. Paunescu, R. Fuhrer and R. N. Grass, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 4041; (c) M. Puddu, D. Paunescu, W. J. Statand R. N. Grass, ACS Nano, 2014, 8, 2677; (d) M. Puddu W. J. Stark and R. N. Grass, Adv. Healthcare Mater., 2015, 4, 1332; (e) D. Paunescu, M. Puddu, J. O. B. Soellner, P. R. Stoessel and R. N. Grass, Nat. Protocols, 2013, 8, 2440
 A. J. Link, M. K. S. Vink and D. A. Tirrell, J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 - A. J. Link, M. K. S. Vink and D. A. Tirrell, *J. Am. Chem. Soc* 2004, **126**, 10598.
- (a) N. J. Agard, J. A. Prescher and C. R. Bertozzi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 15046; (b) J. M. Baskin, J.
 Prescher, S. T. Laughlin, N. J. Agard, P. V. Chang, I.
 Miller, A. Lo, J. A. Codelli and C. R. Bertozzi, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 2007, 104, 16793; (c) J. Dommerholt, Schmidt, R. Temming, L. J. A. Hendriks, F. P. J. T. Rutjes, J.
 C. M. van Hest, D. J. Lefeber, P. Friedl and F. L. van Dellangew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 9422; (d) C. S. McKay, Moran and J. P. Pezacki, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 931.
- (a) E. M. Schneider, R. A. Raso, C. J. Hofer, M. Zeltner, R. D. Stealer, S. C. Hess, R. N. Grass and W. J. Stark, *J. Or . Chem.*, 2014, **79**, 10908; (b) W. J. Stark, *Angew. Chem. Im. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 1242; (c) V. Zlateski, R. Fuhrer, F. N. Koehler, S. Wharry, M. Zeltner, W. J. Stark, T. S. Mooa, and R. N. Grass, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2014, **25**, 677; (d) A Schaetz, M. Zeltner, T. D. Michl, M. Rossier, R. Fuhrer ar. 'W. J. Stark, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 10565.
 - (a) C. J. Hofer, V. Zlateski, P. R. Stoessel, D. Paunescours,
 M. Schneider, R. N. Grass, M. Zeltner and W. J. Stark, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 1826; (b) M. Zeltner, R. N.
 Grass, A. Schaetz, S. B. Bubenhofer, N. A. Luechinger and
 W. J. Stark, J. Mater. Chem., 2012, **22**, 12064.
 - (a) S. Edmondson, V. L. Osborne and W. T. S. Huck, *Cher*. *Soc. Rev.*, 2004, **33**, 14; (b) M. Kato, M. Kamigaito, N. Sawamoto and T. Higashimura, *Macromolecules*, 199[°], **28**, 1721; (c) J.-S. Wang and K. Matyjaszewski, *J. Ar Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 5614.
 - K. Matyjaszewski, H. Dong, W. Jakubowski, J. Pietrasik and A. Kusumo, *Langmuir*, 2007, **23**, 4528.
 - S. Twetman, S. Axelsson, H. Dahlgren, A. K. Holm, C. Kallestal, F. Lagerlof, P. Lingstrom, I. Mejare, G. Nordenram, A. Norlund, L. G. Petersson and B. Sc er, *Acta Odontol. Scand.*, 2003, **61**, 347.
- 18 H. P. Erickson, *Biol. Proced. Online*, 2009, **11**, 32.
 - I. Banerjee, R. C. Pangule and R. S. Kane, Adv. Mate 2011, 23, 690.
 - (a) J. Lee, Y. Lee, J. K. Youn, H. B. Na, T. Yu, H. Kim, S.-M. Lee, Y.-M. Koo, J. H. Kwak, H. G. Park, H. N. Chang, I. Hwang, J.-G. Park, J. Kim and T. Hyeon, *Small*, 2008, 4. 143;
 (b) H. J. Park, J. T. McConnell, S. Boddohi, M. Kipper and P. A. Johnson, *Colloid Surface B*, 2011, 83, 100.

15

19

20

21 J. Garcia, Y. Zhang, H. Taylor, O. Cespedes, M. E. Webb and D. Zhou, *Nanoscale*, 2011, **3**, 3721.